Power Density Evaluation Report

FCC ID : A4RGD1YQ

Equipment : Phone Model Name : GD1YQ

Applicant : Google LLC

1600 Amphitheatre Parkway,

Mountain View, California, 94043 USA

Report No.: FA011718-01C

Standard : FCC 47 CFR Part 2 (2.1093)

We, SPORTON INTERNATIONAL INC have been evaluated in accordance with 47 CFR Part 2.1093 for the device and pass the limit.

The test results in this report apply exclusively to the tested model / sample. Without written approval of SPORTON INTERNATIONAL INC. EMC & Wireless Communications Laboratory, the test report shall not be reproduced except in full.

Approved by: Cona Huang / Deputy Manager

Qua Grang.

Sporton International Inc.

No. 52, Huaya 1st Rd., Guishan Dist., Taoyuan City, Taiwan

TEL: 886-3-327-3456 Page 1 of 23 FAX: 886-3-328-4978 Issued Date: Jul. 23, 2020

Table of Contents

1. Summary	
1. Summary	4
3. Equipment Under Test (EUT) Information	<u> </u>
3.1 General Information	5
4. RF Exposure Limits	
4.1 Uncontrolled Environment	6
4.2 Controlled Environment	6
5. System Description and Setup	7
5.1 EUmmWave Probe / E-Field 5G Probe	8
5.2 Data Acquisition Electronics (DAE)	9
5.3 Scan configuration	
6. Test Equipment List	
7. System Verification Source	10
8. Power Density System Verification	
9. System Verification Results	
9.1 Computation of the Electric Field Polarization Ellipse	
9.2 Total Field and Power Flux Density Reconstruction	12
9.3 Test Positions	
10. RF Exposure Evaluation Results	13
11. 5G NR + LTE + WLAN + BT Sim-Tx analysis	
12. Simultaneous-Tx analysis	
12.1 Simultaneous transmission analysis for WiFi/BT + 5G NR	
13. Uncertainty Assessment	22
14 References	23

Appendix A. Plots of System Performance Check Appendix B. Plots of Power Density Measurement Appendix C. DASY Calibration Certificate Appendix D. Setup Photo

History of this test report

Report No.: FA011718-01C

Report No.	Version	Description	Issued Date
FA011718-01C	01	Initial issue of report	Jul. 23, 2020

 TEL: 886-3-327-3456
 Page 3 of 23

 FAX: 886-3-328-4978
 Issued Date : Jul. 23, 2020

1. Summary

The maximum measured average power density found during testing for Google LLC, Phone, are as follows.

	Star	Simultaneous transmission with other transmitters		
RF Trar	nsmitter	Measured Reported PD PD (mW/cm²) (mW/cm²)		Summation of Exposure Ratio
5G FR2	n260	0.574	0.75	0.000
5G FR2	n261	0.548	0.75	0.999
Result				

Report No.: FA011718-01C

Reviewed by: <u>Jason Wang</u> Report Producer: <u>Wan Liu</u>

2. Guidance Applied

The Power Density testing specification, method, and procedure for this device is in accordance with the following standards:

- FCC 47 CFR Part 2.1091
- FCC 47 CFR Part 2.1093
- FCC KDB 865664 D02 SAR Reporting v01r02
- FCC KDB 447498 D01 General RF Exposure Guidance v06
- FCC KDB 648474 D04 SAR Evaluation Considerations for Wireless Handsets v01r03
- TCBC workshop notes
- IEC Draft TR 63170

TEL: 886-3-327-3456 Page 4 of 23
FAX: 886-3-328-4978 Issued Date: Jul. 23, 2020

3. Equipment Under Test (EUT) Information

3.1 General Information

	Product Feature & Specification
Equipment Name	Phone
FCC ID	A4RGD1YQ
	GSM850: 824.2 MHz ~ 848.8 MHz GSM850: 824.2 MHz ~ 1909.8 MHz WCDMA Band II: 1850 MHz ~ 1755 MHz WCDMA Band IV: 1710 MHz ~ 1755 MHz WCDMA Band IV: 824 MHz ~ 849 MHz CDMA 2000 BC1: 1851.25 MHz ~ 1908.75 MHz CDMA 2000 BC1: 187.9 MHz ~ 823.1 MHz LTE Band 2: 1850 MHz ~ 1910 MHz LTE Band 2: 1850 MHz ~ 1755 MHz LTE Band 5: 824 MHz ~ 849 MHz LTE Band 7: 2500 MHz ~ 1755 MHz LTE Band 12: 699 MHz ~ 716 MHz LTE Band 13: 777 MHz ~ 787 MHz LTE Band 14: 788 MHz ~ 798 MHz LTE Band 17: 704 MHz ~ 716 MHz LTE Band 25: 1850 MHz ~ 1915 MHz LTE Band 26: 814 MHz ~ 849 MHz LTE Band 30: 2305 MHz ~ 2315 MHz LTE Band 38: 2570 MHz ~ 2690 MHz LTE Band 41: 2496 MHz ~ 2690 MHz LTE Band 66: 1710 MHz ~ 1780 MHz LTE Band 66: 1710 MHz ~ 1910 MHz GS NR n2: 1850 MHz ~ 1890 MHz GS NR n2: 1850 MHz ~ 1910 MHz GS NR n2: 1850 MHz ~ 1915 MHz GS NR n2: 699 MHz ~ 716 MHz GS NR n3: 694
Mode	GSM/GPRS/EGPRS RMC/AMR 12.2Kbps HSDPA HSUPA DC-HSDPA CDMA2000: 1xRTT/1xEv-Do(Rev.0)/1xEv-Do(Rev.A) LTE: QPSK, 16QAM, 64QAM 5G NR: DFT-s-OFDM/CP-OFDM, Pi/2 BPSK/QPSK/16QAM/64QAM/256QAM WLAN: 802.11a/b/g/n/ac HT20/HT40/VHT20/VHT40/VHT80 Bluetooth BR/EDR/LE NFC/WPT: ASK

Report No.: FA011718-01C

 TEL: 886-3-327-3456
 Page 5 of 23

 FAX: 886-3-328-4978
 Issued Date : Jul. 23, 2020

4. RF Exposure Limits

4.1 Uncontrolled Environment

Uncontrolled Environments are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their exposure. The general population/uncontrolled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which the general public may be exposed or in which persons who are exposed as a consequence of their employment may not be made fully aware of the potential for exposure or cannot exercise control over their exposure. Members of the general public would come under this category when exposure is not employment-related; for example, in the case of a wireless transmitter that exposes persons in its vicinity.

Report No.: FA011718-01C

4.2 Controlled Environment

Controlled Environments are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by persons who are aware of the potential for exposure, (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation). In general, occupational/controlled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which persons are exposed as a consequence of their employment, who have been made fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over their exposure. The exposure category is also applicable when the exposure is of a transient nature due to incidental passage through a location where the exposure levels may be higher than the general population/uncontrolled limits, but the exposed person is fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over his or her exposure by leaving the area or by some other appropriate means.

The criteria listed in Table 1 shall be used to evaluate the environmental impact of human exposure above 6GHz to radio frequency (RF) radiation as specified in §1.1310.

General Population Basic restriction for power density for frequencies between 1.5GHz and 100 GHz is 1.0 $\text{mW/cm}^2 = 10 \text{ W/m}^2$

Frequency range (MHz)	Electric field strength (V/m)	Magnetic field strength (A/m)	Power density (mW/cm ²)	Averaging time (minutes)
800 St.	(A) Limits for Oc	cupational/Controlled Expos	sures	W: 1111 122 1
0.3-3.0	614	1.63	*(100)	6
3.0-30	1842/	f 4.89/1	*(900/f2)	6
30-300	61.4	0.163	1.0	6
300-1500			f/300	6
1500-100,000			5	6
	(B) Limits for Gene	ral Population/Uncontrolled I	Exposure	
0.3-1.34	614	1.63	*(100)	30
1.34-30	824/	f 2.19/1	*(180/f2)	30
30-300	27.5	0.073	0.2	30
300-1500			f/1500	30
1500-100,000			1.0	30


Table 1

TEL: 886-3-327-3456 Page 6 of 23
FAX: 886-3-328-4978 Issued Date: Jul. 23, 2020

5. System Description and Setup

The system to be used for the near field power density measurement

- SPEAG DASY6 system
- SPEAG cDASY6 5G module software
- EUmmWVx probe
- 5G Phantom cover



TEL: 886-3-327-3456 Page 7 of 23
FAX: 886-3-328-4978 Issued Date: Jul. 23, 2020

5.1 EUmmWave Probe / E-Field 5G Probe

The probe design allows measurements at distances as small as 2 mm from the sensors to the surface of the device under test (DUT). The typical sensor to probe tip distance is 1.5 mm.

Report No.: FA011718-01C

Frequency	750 MHz – 110 GHz					
Probe Overall Length	320 mm					
Probe Body Diameter	8.0 mm					
Tip Length	23.0 mm					
Tip Diameter	8.0 mm					
Probe's two dipoles length	0.9 mm – Diode loaded					
Dynamic Range	< 20 V/m - 10000 V/m with PRE-10 (min < 50 V/m - 3000 V/m)					
Position Precision	< 0.2 mm					
Distance between diode sensors and probe's tip	1.5 mm					
Minimum Mechanical separation between probe tip and a Surface	0.5 mm					
Applications	E-field measurements of 5G devices and other mm-wave transmitters operating above 10GHz in < 2 mm distance from device (free-space) Power density, H-field and far-field analysis using total field reconstruction.					
Compatibility	cDASY6 + 5G-Module SW1.0 and higher					
0	sensor 1,5mm calibrated					

TEL: 886-3-327-3456 Page 8 of 23
FAX: 886-3-328-4978 Issued Date: Jul. 23, 2020

5.2 Data Acquisition Electronics (DAE)

The data acquisition electronics (DAE) consists of a highly sensitive electrometer-grade preamplifier with auto-zeroing, a channel and gain-switching multiplexer, a fast 16 bit AD-converter and a command decoder and control logic unit. Transmission to the measurement server is accomplished through an optical downlink for data and status information as well as an optical uplink for commands and the clock.

The input impedance of the DAE is 200 MOhm; the inputs are symmetrical and floating. Common mode rejection is above 80 dB.



Report No.: FA011718-01C

5.3 Scan configuration

Fine-resolution scans on 2 different planes are performed to reconstruct the E- and H-fields as well as the power density; the z-distance between the 2 planes is set to $\lambda/4$.

The (x, y) grid step is also set $\lambda/4$, the grid extent is set to sufficiently large to identify the field pattern and the peak.

6. Test Equipment List

Manufacturer	Name of Engineers	True o /Mandal	Serial Number	Calibration		
	Name of Equipment	Type/Model	Seriai Number	Last Cal.	Due Date	
SPEAG	5G Verification Source	30 GHz	1007	Nov. 19, 2019	Nov. 18, 2020	
SPEAG	EUmmWV Probe Tip Protection	EUmmWV4	9461	Nov. 05, 2019	Nov. 04, 2020	
SPEAG	Data Acquisition Electronics	DAE4	854	May. 26, 2020	May. 25, 2021	
SPEAG	Data Acquisition Electronics	DAE4	1424	Jan. 24, 2020	Jan. 23, 2021	
Testo	Hygro meter	608-H1	45196600	Nov. 18, 2019	Nov. 17, 2020	
Agilent	Spectrum Analyzer	N9010A	MY54200486	Oct. 28, 2019	Oct. 27, 2020	
Custom Microwave	Standard Horn antenna	M15RH	V91113-A	NCR	NCR	

TEL: 886-3-327-3456 Page 9 of 23
FAX: 886-3-328-4978 Issued Date: Jul. 23, 2020

7. System Verification Source

The System Verification sources at 30 GHz and above comprise horn-antennas and very stable signal generators.

Report No.: FA011718-01C

Model	Ka-band horn antenna
Calibrated frequency:	30 GHz at 10mm from the case surface
Frequency accuracy	± 100 MHz
E-field polarization	linear
Harmonics	-20 dBc
Total radiated power	14 dBm
Power stability	0.05 dB
Power consumption	5 W
Size	00 x 100 x 100 mm
Weight	1 kg

 TEL: 886-3-327-3456
 Page 10 of 23

 FAX: 886-3-328-4978
 Issued Date : Jul. 23, 2020


8. Power Density System Verification


The system performance check verifies that the system operates within its specifications.

The EUT is replaced by a calibrated source, the same spatial resolution, measurement region and the test separation used in the calibration was applied to system check. Through visual inspection into the measured power density distribution, both spatially (shape) and numerically (level) have no noticeable difference. The measured results should be within 0.66dB of the calibrated targets.

Frequency [GHz]	Grid step	Grid extent X/Y [mm]	Measurement points
10	$0.25 \left(\frac{\lambda}{4}\right)$	120/120	16×16
30	$0.25 \left(\frac{\tilde{\lambda}}{4}\right)$	60/60	24×24
60	$0.25 \left(\frac{\hat{\lambda}}{4}\right)$	32.5/32.5	26×26
90	$0.25 \ (\frac{\lambda}{4})$	30/30	36×36

Settings for measurement of verification sources





Report No.: FA011718-01C

Verification Setup photo

9. System Verification Results

Date	Frequency (GHz)	5G Verification Source	Probe S/N	DAE S/N	Distance (mm)	Measured 4 cm^2 (W/m^2)	Targeted 4 cm^2 (W/m^2)	Deviation (dB)
2020/05/02	30G	30GHz_1007	9461	854	10	31.3	34.1	-0.343
2020/06/03	30G	30GHz_1007	9461	1424	10	34.3	34.1	0.026

TEL: 886-3-327-3456 Page 11 of 23
FAX: 886-3-328-4978 Issued Date: Jul. 23, 2020

9.1 Computation of the Electric Field Polarization Ellipse

For the numerical description of an arbitrarily oriented ellipse in three-dimensional space, five parameters are needed: the semi-major axis (a), the semi-minor axis (b), two angles describing the orientation of the normal vector of the ellipse (\emptyset,θ) , and one angle describing the tilt of the semi-major axis (ψ) . For the two extreme cases, i.e., circular and linear polarizations, three parameters only (a, \emptyset and θ) are sufficient for the description of the incident field.

Report No.: FA011718-01C




Illustration of the angles used for the numerical description of the sensor and the orientation of an ellipse in 3-D space.

For the reconstruction of the ellipse parameters from measured data, the problem can be reformulated as a nonlinear search problem. The semi-major and semi-minor axes of an elliptical field can be expressed as functions of the three angles (\emptyset , θ and ψ). The parameters can be uniquely determined towards minimizing the error based on least-squares for the given set of angles and the measured data. In this way, the number of free parameters is reduced from five to three, which means that at least three sensor readings are necessary to gain sufficient information for the reconstruction of the ellipse parameters. However, to suppress the noise and increase the reconstruction accuracy, it is desirable that the system of equations be over determined. The solution to use a probe consisting of two sensors angled by r_1 and r_2 toward the probe axis and to perform measurements at three angular positions of the probe, i.e., at β_1 , β_2 and β_3 , results in over-determinations by a factor of two. If there is a need for more information or increased accuracy, more rotation angles can be added. The reconstruction of the ellipse parameters can be separated into linear and non-linear parts that are best solved by the Givens algorithm combined with a downhill simplex algorithm. To minimize the mutual coupling, sensor angles are set with a shift of 90 degree ($r_2 = r_1 + 90$ degree), and to simplify, the first rotation angle of the probe (β_1) can be set to 0 degree.

9.2 Total Field and Power Flux Density Reconstruction

Computation of the power density in general requires knowledge of the electric and magnetic field amplitudes and phases in the plane of incidence. Reconstruction of these quantities from pseudo-vector E-field measurements is feasible, as they are constrained by Maxwell's equations. SPEAG have developed a reconstruction approach based on the Gerchberg-Saxton algorithm, which benefits from the availability of the E-field polarization ellipse information obtained with the EUmmWV2 probe.

The average of the reconstructed power density is evaluated over a circular area in each measurement plane. Two average power density values can be computed, the average total power density and the average incident power density, and the average total power density is used to determine compliance.

- $|Re\{S\}|$ is the total Poynting vector
- n · $Re\{S\}$ is the normal Poything vector

The software post-processing reports to values, "S avg tot" and "S avg inc". "S avg tot" represents average total power density (all three xyz components included), and "S avg inc" represents average normal power density. The average total power density "S avg tot" is reported to determine the device compliance.

TEL: 886-3-327-3456 Page 12 of 23 FAX: 886-3-328-4978 Issued Date: Jul. 23, 2020

9.3 Test Positions

Band	Antenna	Measurement Plane								
	Module	Front 2mm	Back 2mm	Left Side 10mm	Right Side 10mm	Top Side 10mm	Bottom Side 10mm			
5G NR Band 260	0	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No			
	1	Yes	No	No	No	No	No			
5G NR Band 261	0	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No			
	1	Yes	No	No	No	No	No			

Report No.: FA011718-01C

From the Part 0 and simulation report, beam IDs with highest PD and corresponding input power limit were selected to be tested for each antenna module and for each frequency band.

10. RF Exposure Evaluation Results

- 1. The PD test was performed of a 2mm and 10 mm separation between sensor and EUT surface (the probe tip is 0.5mm to the EUT surface), 2 mm separation distance PD testing is for Head exposure condition, 10 mm separation distance is for hotspot and body worn exposure conditions.
- 2. According to TCBC Workshop in October 2018, 4 cm² averaging area are used.
- 3. This device is enabled with Qualcomm® Smart Transmit feature, smart transmit will manage and ensure LTE and 5G simultaneous transmission is compliant. The validation of the time-averaging algorithm and compliance under the Tx varying transmission scenario for WWAN technologies are reported in Part 2 report.
- 4. Input power limit parameter for 5G mmW NR radio was calculated in RF Exposure Part 0 test report.
- 5. The device was configured to transmit CW wave signal for testing, due to Qualcomm® Smart Transmit feature, additional testing was not required for different modulations (CP-OFDM QPSK, CP-OFDM 16QAM, CP-OFDM 64QAM), RB configurations, component carriers, channel configurations (low channel, mid channel, high channel).
- 6. Power density measurements were performed with DUT transmitting at input.power.limit for one single beam for each polarization (H & V) and one beam-pair, for each antenna type and for each antenna module (0,1) on the worst-surfaces.
- 7. The Beam ID with one of the highest initial simulated power density for that surface and distance was selected for Part 1 Power Density measurements.
- 8. Some Power Density Evaluations were performed at a more conservative power level.
- 9. It's illustrated in Part 0 report that , for 5G mmW NR since there is total design-related uncertainty arising from TxAGC and device-to-device variation, the worst-case RF exposure should be determined by accounting for this device uncertainty of 2.1 dB, as well as PD design target of 6.17 W/m2. Smart Transmit algorithm limits PD exposure to 75% of maximum to provide at least 25% margin allocated for 4G LTE anchor. Therefore, 5G mmW NR RF exposure for this DUT is evaluated by reported PD calculated as:

Reported PD=75% x PD design target +2.1 dB =7.5 W/cm2 = 0.75 mW/cm^2

TEL: 886-3-327-3456 Page 13 of 23 FAX: 886-3-328-4978 Issued Date: Jul. 23, 2020



SPORTON LAB. FCC RF Exposure Report

Test number	5G FR2	Antenna module	Beam ID 1	Beam ID 2	Frequency (GHz)	Exposure Surface	Test separation	Input Power Iimit (dBm)	modulation	Epeak [V/m]	Hpeak [A/m]	Sporton Measured results Savg inc 4cm^2 (W/m2)	Sporton Measured results Savg tot 4cm^2 (W/m2)
	n260	0	20	-	38.5	S1_Front	2mm	4.12	CW	60.2	0.156	3.03	3.72
	n260	0	-	154	38.5	S5_Top	10mm	4.12	CW	75.2	0.18	4.75	5.21
	n260	0	28	154	38.5	S5_Top	10mm	0.61	CW	56.4	0.129	2.9	3.23
01	n260	1	13	-	38.5	S4_Right	10mm	4.72	CW	72	0.179	5.24	5.74
	n260	1	-	152	38.5	S4_Right	10mm	4.24	CW	66.3	0.167	4.23	4.72
	n260	1	24	150	38.5	S4_Right	10mm	0.72	CW	69.1	0.176	4.82	5.23
	n260	1	0	-	38.5	S4_Right	10mm	10.78	CW	71.7	0.181	5.08	5.15
	n260	1	16	-	38.5	S4_Right	10mm	5.6	CW	74.2	0.195	3.74	4.81
	n261	0	19	-	27.55	S1_Front	2mm	3.83	CW	50.8	0.123	1.88	2.66
	n261	0	-	156	27.55	S5_Top	10mm	4.26	CW	55.7	0.137	3.46	3.71
	n261	0	19	147	27.55	S5_Top	10mm	0.28	CW	43.4	0.123	2.51	2.73
02	n261	1	14	-	27.55	S4_Right	10mm	5.16	CW	71.2	0.182	5.14	5.48
	n261	1	-	141	27.55	S4_Right	10mm	5.37	CW	67	0.188	4.86	5.26
	n261	1	14	141	27.55	S4_Right	10mm	1.38	CW	62.8	0.15	3.85	4.13
	n261	1	0	-	27.55	S4_Right	10mm	12.2	CW	66.6	0.17	4.82	5.37

Report No. : FA011718-01C

TEL: 886-3-327-3456 Page 14 of 23 FAX: 886-3-328-4978 Issued Date: Jul. 23, 2020

11. <u>5G NR + LTE + WLAN + BT Sim-Tx analysis</u>

In 5G NR + LTE + WLAN + BT simultaneous transmission, 5G NR and LTE transmission are managed and controlled by Qualcomm® Smart Transmit, while the RF exposure from WLAN and BT radios is managed using legacy approach, i.e., through a fixed power back-off if needed.

Report No.: FA011718-01C

Since WLAN and BT do not employ time-averaging, 1gSAR and 10gSAR measurement for WLAN and BT need to be conducted at their corresponding rated power following current FCC test procedures to determine reported SAR values.

Smart Transmit current implementation assumes hotspots from 5G NR and LTE are collocated. Therefore, for a total of 100% exposure margin, if LTE uses x%, then the exposure margin left for 5G NR is capped to (100-x)%. Thus, the compliance equation for LTE + 5G NR is

$$x\% *A + (100-x)\% *B \le 1.0$$
,

Where, A is normalized reported time-averaged SAR exposure ratio from LTE, and A \leq 1.0; B is normalized reported time-averaged exposure ratio from 5G NR (i.e., PD exposure for mmW NR or SAR exposure for sub6 NR), and B \leq 1.0.

Let C = normalized reported SAR exposure ratio from WLAN+BT, then for compliance,

$$x\% * A + (100-x)\% * B + C \le 1.0$$
 (1

$$x\% * A + (100-x)\% * B \le x\% * max(A, B) + (100-x)\% * max(A, B) \le max(A, B)$$

$$x\% * A + (100-x)\% * B + C \le max(A, B) + C \le 1.0$$
 (2)

if A + C \leq 1.0 and B + C \leq 1.0 can be proven, then "x% * A + (100-x)% * B + C \leq 1.0". Therefore simultaneous transmission analysis for 5G NR + LTE + WLAN + BT can be performed in two steps

```
Step 1: Prove total exposure ratio (TER) of LTE + WLAN + BT < 1
```

Step 2: Prove total exposure ratio (TER) of 5G NR + WLAN + BT < 1

Else, if A + C > 1.0 and/or B + C > 1.0, then the followings need to hold true for compliance:

i. A and C are decoupled based on the SPLSR criteria, and

ii. $(100-x)\% * B + C \le 1.0$, and

iii. $x\% * A + (100-x)\% * B \le 1.0$

Note iii. is covered in Part 2 report; i. and ii. should be addressed in Part 2 report.

Step 1: it's justified in Part 1 SAR report (Sporton report number FA011718-01A, rev.01)

Step 2: it's justified in section 12.1

TEL: 886-3-327-3456 Page 15 of 23
FAX: 886-3-328-4978 Issued Date: Jul. 23, 2020



FCC RF Exposure Report

During TER analysis, the *reported* time-averaged PD (assuming *input.power.limit* for at least one beam < NV setting *Pmax*) applies only to the worst-surface of the device. For other surfaces, worst-case PD needs to be calculated to assess TER for the corresponding surface. To determine worst-case PD for other surfaces, using simulation results

1. Calculate ratio of simulated PD for desired surface to simulated PD of worstsurface for a given beam

Report No.: FA011718-01C

- 2. Repeat 1 to obtain ratios for all supported beams, and determine maximum ratio
- 3. Repeat 1~2 to obtain the corresponding worst-case PD for rest of surfaces (non worst-case surfaces) needed for TER analysis.

For example, if the back surface of device has highest PD and is determined as worst-surface, then,

- Back_surface_worst-case_PD = reported time-averaged PD
 where, reported time-averaged PD = PD_design_target + mmW device design
 related uncertainty
- For other surfaces
 - front_surface_worst-case_PD = PD_ratio_front_to_back * reported timeaveraged PD
 where, PD_ratio_front_to_back = max { simulated PD front(i) / simulated P_back(i) }, beam i = 1,2 ... N }, N= total N beams (all beams) supported by the mmW module being evaluated being evaluated.
 - Follow similar approach to determine worst-case PD for bottom/top/left/right (if applicable).
- For body-worn and hotspot scenario, if SAR was measured at 15mm and 10mm, respectively, then the worst-case PD at 15mm and 10mm separation distance should be determined per surface as
 - > 15mm_worst-case_PD = PD_ratio_15mm_to_0mm * reported timeaveraged PD Here, PD_ratio_15 mm _to_0mm = max $\left\{\frac{simulated\ Pd\ at\ 15\ mm\ (i)}{simulated\ PD\ at\ 0\ mm\ (i)}, beam\ i = 1,2 ... N\right\}$, N = total number of beams (all beams) supported by the mmW module being evaluated.
 - > $10mm_worst\text{-}case_PD = PD_ratio_10mm_to_0mm * reported timeaveraged PD$ Here, PD_ratio_15 mm _to_0mm = $max \left\{ \frac{simulated\ Pd\ at\ 10\ mm\ (i)}{simulated\ PD\ at\ 0\ mm\ (i)}, beam\ i = 1,2 ... N \right\}$, , N = total number of beams (all beams) supported by the mmW module being evaluated.
 - Note the validated model/simulation should be used in worst-case PD determination.

TEL: 886-3-327-3456 Page 16 of 23 FAX: 886-3-328-4978 Issued Date: Jul. 23, 2020

12. Simultaneous-Tx analysis

NO	Cimultan and Tanamiasian Confirmation	E	xposure Position	ons
NO.	Simultaneous Transmission Configurations	Head	Hotspot	Body-worn
1.	WiFi 5G SISO (Ant 3) + Bluetooth (Ant 4) + n260/n261	Yes	Yes	Yes
2.	WiFi 5G SISO (Ant 4) + Bluetooth (Ant 4) + n260/n261	Yes	Yes	Yes
3.	WiFi 5G MIMO (Ant 3+4) + Bluetooth (Ant 4) + n260/n261	Yes	Yes	Yes
4.	WiFi 5G SISO (Ant 3) + n260/n261	Yes	Yes	Yes
5.	WiFi 5G SISO (Ant 4) + n260/n261	Yes	Yes	Yes
6.	WiFi 5G MIMO (Ant 3+4) + n260/n261	Yes	Yes	Yes
7.	WiFi 2.4G SISO (Ant 3) + n260/n261	Yes	Yes	Yes
8.	WiFi 2.4G SISO (Ant 4) + n260/n261	Yes	Yes	Yes
9.	WiFi 2.4G MIMO (Ant 3+4) + n260/n261	Yes	Yes	Yes
10.	Bluetooth (Ant 4) + n260/n261	Yes	Yes	Yes
11.	WiFi 2.4G SISO (Ant 4) + WiFi 5G SISO (Ant 3) + n260/n261	Yes	Yes	Yes

Report No.: FA011718-01C

General Note:

- 1. The WLAN and Bluetooth SAR test results were referring the report of FCC ID: A4RGD1YQ (Sporton SAR Report No. FA011718-01A).
- 2. Considering n260/n261 transmitter with WLAN and Bluetooth can transmit simultaneously, the basic restrictions are on SAR and power density, and summation of these quantities should follow below formula and the simultaneous transmission analysis was following below step.
 - i) Use the standalone SAR according original report to collocate with n260/n261 transmitter power density at each exposure positions, if the result < 1, additional analysis is not necessary.

The $[\sum \text{ of (the highest measured or estimated SAR for each standalone antenna configuration, adjusted for maximum tune-up tolerance) / 1.6 W/kg] + <math>[\sum \text{ of MPE ratios}]$ is \leq 1.0.

- 3. This device is enabled with Qualcomm® Smart Transmit feature to control and manage transmitting power in real time and to ensure that the time-averaged RF exposure from WWAN is in compliance with FCC requirements. Since the device enabled with Qualcomm® Smart Transmit feature, 4G LTE and 5G mmW NR simultaneous transmission scenario does not need to be evaluated under Total Exposure Ratio (TER). The validation of the time-averaging algorithm and compliance under the Tx varying transmission scenario for WWAN technologies are reported in Part 2 report.
- 4. For 5G mmW NR, compute reported time-averaged PD = 75% * PD_design_target * 10(mmW device design uncertainty in dB)/10 and use this computed reported time-averaged PD in total exposure ratio (TER) analysis.

TEL: 886-3-327-3456 Page 17 of 23
FAX: 886-3-328-4978 Issued Date: Jul. 23, 2020

12.1 <u>Simultaneous transmission analysis for WiFi/BT + 5G NR</u>

NR Band	Antenna Module	Surface	Evaluation Distance (mm)	Ratio*	75% * (PD_Design Target + Total uncertainty) (W/m^2)	75%* (PD_Design Target + Total uncertainty) * Ratio (W/m^2)
		worst-surface (Front)	2mm	1.00	7.5	7.500
		Front	10mm	0.49	7.5	3.675
n260	0	Back	10mm	0.43	7.5	3.205
11260	U	Left	10mm	0.14	7.5	1.059
		Right	10mm		Excluded	
		worst-surface Top	10mm	1.00	7.5	7.500
		worst-surface	2mm	1.00	7.5	7.500
		Front	10mm	0.45	7.5	3.360
- 000		Back	10mm	0.46	7.5	3.432
n260	1	Left	10mm		Excluded	
		worst-surface Right	10mm	1.00	7.5	7.500
		Тор	10mm		Excluded	
		worst-surface (Front)	2mm	1.00	7.5	7.500
		Front	10mm	0.50	7.5	3.778
n261	0	Back	10mm	0.61	7.5	4.580
11201	0	Left	10mm	0.25	7.5	1.878
		Right	10mm		Excluded	
		worst-surface Top	10mm	1.00	7.5	7.500
		worst-surface (Front)	2mm	1.00	7.5	7.500
		Front	10mm	0.46	7.5	3.427
n261		Back	10mm	0.50	7.5	3.725
11201	1	Left	10mm		Excluded	
		worst-surface Right	10mm	1.00	7.5	7.500
		Тор	10mm		Excluded	

Report No. : FA011718-01C

TEL: 886-3-327-3456 Page 18 of 23 FAX: 886-3-328-4978 Issued Date : Jul. 23, 2020

^{*}Ratio is highest ratio of (PD on desired exposure plane) / (PD on worst-surface) out of all beams and out of all channels include in Power Density Simulation Report.



SPORTON LAB. FCC RF Exposure Report

<he><Head Exposure Condition></hr>

			2	3	4	5	6	7	8		Re	ported SAF	R/1.6 + PD/1	0 Summatio	on	
50	GFR2 Band	Exposure Position	2.4GHz WLAN Ant 4	2.4GHz WLAN Ant 3	5GHz WLAN Ant 4	5GHz WLAN Ant 3	5GHz WLAN Ant 4+3	Bluetooth Ant 4	PD	8+2+3 Summed	8+7 Summed	8+2+5 Summed	8+6 Summed	8+4+7 Summed	8+5+7 Summed	8+6+7 Summed
			1g SAR (W/kg)	1g SAR (W/kg)	1g SAR (W/kg)	1g SAR (W/kg)	1g SAR (W/kg)	1g SAR (W/kg)	4cm^2(W/m ^2)	Ratio	Ratio	Ratio	Ratio	Ratio	Ratio	Ratio
		Right Cheek	0.071	0.298	0.082	0.251	0.333	0.064	7.500	0.981	0.790	0.951	0.958	0.841	0.947	0.998
FR2	FR2 N260	Right Tilted	0.101	0.104	0.102	0.113	0.215	0.073	7.500	0.878	0.796	0.884	0.884	0.859	0.866	0.930
FKZ	Module 0	Left Cheek	0.094	0.274	0.210	0.115	0.325	0.071	7.500	0.980	0.794	0.881	0.953	0.926	0.866	0.998
		Left Tilted	0.252	0.059	0.231	0.054	0.285	0.113	7.500	0.944	0.821	0.941	0.928	0.965	0.854	0.999

Report No. : FA011718-01C

			2	3	4	5	6	7	8		Re	ported SAR	2/1.6 + PD/1	0 Summatic	n	
50	FR2 Band	Exposure Position	2.4GHz WLAN Ant 4	2.4GHz WLAN Ant 3	5GHz WLAN Ant 4	5GHz WLAN Ant 3	5GHz WLAN Ant 4+3	Bluetooth Ant 4	PD	8+2+3 Summed	8+7 Summed	8+2+5 Summed	8+6 Summed	8+4+7 Summed	8+5+7 Summed	8+6+7 Summed
			1g SAR (W/kg)	1g SAR (W/kg)	1g SAR (W/kg)	1g SAR (W/kg)	1g SAR (W/kg)	1g SAR (W/kg)	4cm^2(W/m ^2)	Ratio	Ratio	Ratio	Ratio	Ratio	Ratio	Ratio
		Right Cheek	0.071	0.298	0.082	0.251	0.333	0.064	7.500	0.981	0.790	0.951	0.958	0.841	0.947	0.998
FR2	FR2 n260	Right Tilted	0.101	0.104	0.102	0.113	0.215	0.073	7.500	0.878	0.796	0.884	0.884	0.859	0.866	0.930
FKZ	Module 1	Left Cheek	0.094	0.274	0.210	0.115	0.325	0.071	7.500	0.980	0.794	0.881	0.953	0.926	0.866	0.998
		Left Tilted	0.252	0.059	0.231	0.054	0.285	0.113	7.500	0.944	0.821	0.941	0.928	0.965	0.854	0.999

			2	3	4	5	6	7	8		Re	ported SAF	R/1.6 + PD/1	0 Summatio	n	
50	FR2 Band	Exposure Position	2.4GHz WLAN Ant 4	2.4GHz WLAN Ant 3	5GHz WLAN Ant 4	5GHz WLAN Ant 3	5GHz WLAN Ant 4+3	Bluetooth Ant 4	PD	8+2+3 Summed	8+7 Summed	8+2+5 Summed	8+6 Summed	8+4+7 Summed	8+5+7 Summed	8+6+7 Summed
			1g SAR (W/kg)	1g SAR (W/kg)	1g SAR (W/kg)	1g SAR (W/kg)	1g SAR (W/kg)	1g SAR (W/kg)	4cm^2(W/m ^2)	Ratio	Ratio	Ratio	Ratio	Ratio	Ratio	Ratio
		Right Cheek	0.071	0.298	0.082	0.251	0.333	0.064	7.500	0.981	0.790	0.951	0.958	0.841	0.947	0.998
FR2	FR2 n261	Right Tilted	0.101	0.104	0.102	0.113	0.215	0.073	7.500	0.878	0.796	0.884	0.884	0.859	0.866	0.930
FKZ	Module 0	Left Cheek	0.094	0.274	0.210	0.115	0.325	0.071	7.500	0.980	0.794	0.881	0.953	0.926	0.866	0.998
		Left Tilted	0.252	0.059	0.231	0.054	0.285	0.113	7.500	0.944	0.821	0.941	0.928	0.965	0.854	0.999

			2	3	4	5	6	7	8		Re	ported SAR	1.6 + PD/1	0 Summatio	n	
5	GFR2 Band	Exposure Position	2.4GHz WLAN Ant 4	2.4GHz WLAN Ant 3	5GHz WLAN Ant 4	5GHz WLAN Ant 3	5GHz WLAN Ant 4+3	Bluetooth Ant 4	PD	8+2+3 Summed	8+7 Summed	8+2+5 Summed	8+6 Summed	8+4+7 Summed	8+5+7 Summed	8+6+7 Summed
			1g SAR (W/kg)	1g SAR (W/kg)	1g SAR (W/kg)	1g SAR (W/kg)	1g SAR (W/kg)	1g SAR (W/kg)	4cm^2(W/m ^2)	Ratio	Ratio	Ratio	Ratio	Ratio	Ratio	Ratio
		Right Cheek	0.071	0.298	0.082	0.251	0.333	0.064	7.500	0.981	0.790	0.951	0.958	0.841	0.947	0.998
FR	FR2 n261	Right Tilted	0.101	0.104	0.102	0.113	0.215	0.073	7.500	0.878	0.796	0.884	0.884	0.859	0.866	0.930
FK	Module 1	Left Cheek	0.094	0.274	0.210	0.115	0.325	0.071	7.500	0.980	0.794	0.881	0.953	0.926	0.866	0.998
		Left Tilted	0.252	0.059	0.231	0.054	0.285	0.113	7.500	0.944	0.821	0.941	0.928	0.965	0.854	0.999

 TEL: 886-3-327-3456
 Page
 19 of 23

 FAX: 886-3-328-4978
 Issued Date: Jul. 23, 2020



SPORTON LAB. FCC RF Exposure Report

<Hotspot Exposure Condition>

			2	3	4	5	6	7	8		Re	ported SAF	R/1.6 + PD/1	0 Summatio	n	
W	WAN Band	Exposure Position	2.4GHz WLAN Ant 4	2.4GHz WLAN Ant 3	5GHz WLAN Ant 4	5GHz WLAN Ant 3	5GHz WLAN Ant 4+3	Bluetooth Ant 4	PD	8+2+3 Summed	8+7 Summed	8+2+5 Summed	8+6 Summed	8+4+7 Summed	8+5+7 Summed	8+6+7 Summed
			1g SAR (W/kg)	1g SAR (W/kg)	1g SAR (W/kg)	1g SAR (W/kg)	1g SAR (W/kg)	1g SAR (W/kg)	4cm^2(W/m ^2)	Ratio	Ratio	Ratio	Ratio	Ratio	Ratio	Ratio
		Front	0.194	0.147	0.559	0.114	0.563	0.016	3.675	0.581	0.378	0.560	0.719	0.727	0.449	0.729
		Back	0.229	0.165	0.330	0.135	0.188	0.023	3.205	0.567	0.335	0.548	0.438	0.541	0.419	0.452
FR1	FR2 n260	Left side	0.044	0.256	0.065	0.551	0.116	0.001	1.059	0.293	0.107	0.478	0.178	0.147	0.451	0.179
FKI	Module 0	Right side	0.094	0.024	0.375	0.055	0.388	0.001		0.074	0.001	0.093	0.243	0.235	0.035	0.243
		Top side	0.277	0.019	0.266	0.118	0.235	0.073	7.500	0.935	0.796	0.916	0.897	0.962	0.869	0.943
		Bottom side								0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000

Report No. : FA011718-01C

			2	3	4	5	6	7	8		Re	ported SAF	R/1.6 + PD/1	0 Summatio	on	
W	WAN Band	Exposure Position	2.4GHz WLAN Ant 4	2.4GHz WLAN Ant 3	5GHz WLAN Ant 4	5GHz WLAN Ant 3	5GHz WLAN Ant 4+3	Bluetooth Ant 4	PD	8+2+3 Summed	8+7 Summed	8+2+5 Summed	8+6 Summed	8+4+7 Summed	8+5+7 Summed	8+6+7 Summed
			1g SAR (W/kg)	1g SAR (W/kg)	1g SAR (W/kg)	1g SAR (W/kg)	1g SAR (W/kg)	1g SAR (W/kg)	4cm^2(W/m ^2)	Ratio	Ratio	Ratio	Ratio	Ratio	Ratio	Ratio
		Front	0.194	0.147	0.559	0.114	0.563	0.016	3.360	0.549	0.346	0.529	0.688	0.695	0.417	0.698
		Back	0.229	0.165	0.330	0.135	0.188	0.023	3.432	0.589	0.358	0.571	0.461	0.564	0.442	0.475
FR1	FR2 n260	Left side	0.044	0.256	0.065	0.551	0.116	0.001		0.188	0.001	0.372	0.073	0.041	0.345	0.073
FKI	Module 1	Right side	0.094	0.024	0.375	0.055	0.388	0.001	7.500	0.824	0.751	0.843	0.993	0.985	0.785	0.993
		Top side	0.277	0.019	0.266	0.118	0.235	0.073		0.185	0.046	0.166	0.147	0.212	0.119	0.193
		Bottom side								0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000

			2	3	4	5	6	7	8		Re	ported SAF	R/1.6 + PD/1	0 Summatio	n	
W	WAN Band	Exposure Position	2.4GHz WLAN Ant 4	2.4GHz WLAN Ant 3	5GHz WLAN Ant 4	5GHz WLAN Ant 3	5GHz WLAN Ant 4+3	Bluetooth Ant 4	PD	8+2+3 Summed	8+7 Summed	8+2+5 Summed	8+6 Summed	8+4+7 Summed	8+5+7 Summed	8+6+7 Summed
			1g SAR (W/kg)	1g SAR (W/kg)	1g SAR (W/kg)	1g SAR (W/kg)	1g SAR (W/kg)	1g SAR (W/kg)	4cm^2(W/m ^2)	Ratio	Ratio	Ratio	Ratio	Ratio	Ratio	Ratio
		Front	0.194	0.147	0.559	0.114	0.563	0.016	3.778	0.591	0.388	0.570	0.730	0.737	0.459	0.740
		Back	0.229	0.165	0.330	0.135	0.188	0.023	4.580	0.704	0.472	0.686	0.576	0.679	0.557	0.590
FR1	FR2 n261	Left side	0.044	0.256	0.065	0.551	0.116	0.001	1.878	0.375	0.188	0.560	0.260	0.229	0.533	0.261
FKI	Module 0	Right side	0.094	0.024	0.375	0.055	0.388	0.001		0.074	0.001	0.093	0.243	0.235	0.035	0.243
		Top side	0.277	0.019	0.266	0.118	0.235	0.073	7.500	0.935	0.796	0.916	0.897	0.962	0.869	0.943
		Bottom side								0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000

			2	3	4	5	6	7	8		Re	ported SAF	2/1.6 + PD/1	0 Summatio	n	
W	WAN Band	Exposure Position	2.4GHz WLAN Ant 4	2.4GHz WLAN Ant 3	5GHz WLAN Ant 4	5GHz WLAN Ant 3	5GHz WLAN Ant 4+3	Bluetooth Ant 4	PD	8+2+3 Summed	8+7 Summed	8+2+5 Summed	8+6 Summed	8+4+7 Summed	8+5+7 Summed	8+6+7 Summed
			1g SAR (W/kg)	1g SAR (W/kg)	1g SAR (W/kg)	1g SAR (W/kg)	1g SAR (W/kg)	1g SAR (W/kg)	4cm^2(W/m ^2)	Ratio	Ratio	Ratio	Ratio	Ratio	Ratio	Ratio
		Front	0.194	0.147	0.559	0.114	0.563	0.016	3.427	0.556	0.353	0.535	0.695	0.702	0.424	0.705
		Back	0.229	0.165	0.330	0.135	0.188	0.023	3.725	0.619	0.387	0.600	0.490	0.593	0.471	0.504
FR1	FR2 n261	Left side	0.044	0.256	0.065	0.551	0.116	0.001		0.188	0.001	0.372	0.073	0.041	0.345	0.073
FRT	Module 1	Right side	0.094	0.024	0.375	0.055	0.388	0.001	7.500	0.824	0.751	0.843	0.993	0.985	0.785	0.993
		Top side	0.277	0.019	0.266	0.118	0.235	0.073		0.185	0.046	0.166	0.147	0.212	0.119	0.193
		Bottom side								0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000

 TEL: 886-3-327-3456
 Page
 20 of 23

 FAX: 886-3-328-4978
 Issued Date: Jul. 23, 2020

<Body-Worn Exposure Condition>

	FR2 n260		2	3	4	5	6	7	8		Re	ported SAF	R/1.6 + PD/1	0 Summatio	n	
W	WAN Band	Exposure Position	2.4GHz WLAN Ant 4	2.4GHz WLAN Ant 3	5GHz WLAN Ant 4	5GHz WLAN Ant 3	5GHz WLAN Ant 4+3	Bluetooth Ant 4	PD	8+2+3 Summed	8+7 Summed	8+2+5 Summed	8+6 Summed	8+4+7 Summed	8+5+7 Summed	8+6+7 Summed
			1g SAR (W/kg)	1g SAR (W/kg)	1g SAR (W/kg)	1g SAR (W/kg)	1g SAR (W/kg)	1g SAR (W/kg)	4cm^2(W/m ^2)	Ratio	Ratio	Ratio	Ratio	Ratio	Ratio	Ratio
ED4	FR2 n260	Front	0.194	0.147	0.579	0.232	0.579	0.016	3.675	0.581	0.378	0.634	0.729	0.739	0.523	0.739
FRI	FR1 FR2 n260 Module 0	Back	0.229	0.165	0.377	0.292	0.428	0.023	3.205	0.567	0.335	0.646	0.588	0.571	0.517	0.602

Report No. : FA011718-01C

			2	3	4	5	6	7	8		Re	ported SAF	R/1.6 + PD/1	0 Summatio	on	
w	WAN Band	Exposure Position	2.4GHz WLAN Ant 4	2.4GHz WLAN Ant 3	5GHz WLAN Ant 4	5GHz WLAN Ant 3	5GHz WLAN Ant 4+3	Bluetooth Ant 4	PD	8+2+3 Summed	8+7 Summed	8+2+5 Summed	8+6 Summed	8+4+7 Summed	8+5+7 Summed	8+6+7 Summed
			1g SAR (W/kg)	1g SAR (W/kg)	1g SAR (W/kg)	1g SAR (W/kg)	1g SAR (W/kg)	1g SAR (W/kg)	4cm^2(W/m ^2)	Ratio	Ratio	Ratio	Ratio	Ratio	Ratio	Ratio
FR1	FR2 n260	Front	0.194	0.147	0.579	0.232	0.579	0.016	3.360	0.549	0.346	0.602	0.698	0.708	0.491	0.708
FKI	Module 1	Back	0.229	0.165	0.377	0.292	0.428	0.023	3.432	0.589	0.358	0.669	0.611	0.593	0.540	0.625

WWAN Band		Exposure Position	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Reported SAR/1.6 + PD/10 Summation						
			2.4GHz WLAN Ant 4	2.4GHz WLAN Ant 3	5GHz WLAN Ant 4	5GHz WLAN Ant 3	5GHz WLAN Ant 4+3	Bluetooth Ant 4	PD	8+2+3 Summed Ratio	8+7 Summed Ratio	8+2+5 Summed Ratio	8+6 Summed Ratio	8+4+7 Summed Ratio	8+5+7 Summed Ratio	8+6+7 Summed Ratio
			1g SAR (W/kg)	1g SAR (W/kg)	1g SAR (W/kg)	1g SAR (W/kg)	1g SAR (W/kg)	1g SAR (W/kg)	4cm^2(W/m ^2)							
FR1	FR2 n261 Module 0	Front	0.194	0.147	0.579	0.232	0.579	0.016	3.778	0.591	0.388	0.644	0.740	0.750	0.533	0.750
FKI		Back	0.229	0.165	0.377	0.292	0.428	0.023	4.580	0.704	0.472	0.784	0.726	0.708	0.655	0.740

			2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Reported SAR/1.6 + PD/10 Summation						
WWAN Band		Exposure Position	2.4GHz WLAN Ant 4	2.4GHz WLAN Ant 3	5GHz WLAN Ant 4	5GHz WLAN Ant 3	5GHz WLAN Ant 4+3	Bluetooth Ant 4	PD	8+2+3 Summed	8+7 Summed	8+2+5 Summed	8+6 Summed	8+4+7 Summed	8+5+7 Summed	8+6+7 Summed
			1g SAR (W/kg)	1g SAR (W/kg)	1g SAR (W/kg)	1g SAR (W/kg)	1g SAR (W/kg)	1g SAR (W/kg)	4cm^2(W/m ^2)	Ratio Ratio	Ratio	Ratio	Ratio	Ratio	Ratio	Ratio
FR1	FR2 n261 Module 1	Front	0.194	0.147	0.579	0.232	0.579	0.016	3.427	0.556	0.353	0.609	0.705	0.715	0.498	0.715
FKI		Back	0.229	0.165	0.377	0.292	0.428	0.023	3.725	0.619	0.387	0.698	0.640	0.623	0.569	0.654

Test Engineer: Steven Chang and Tom Jiang

 TEL: 886-3-327-3456
 Page
 21 of 23

 FAX: 886-3-328-4978
 Issued Date: Jul. 23, 2020

13. Uncertainty Assessment

The budget is valid for evaluation distances > $\lambda/2\pi$. For specific tests and configurations, the Uncertainty could be considerably smaller.

Report No.: FA011718-01C

Preliminary Module mmWave Uncertainty Budget Evaluation Distances to the Antennas > λ / 2π											
Error Description	Uncertainty Value (± dB)	Probability	Divisor	(Ci)	Standard Uncertainty (±dB)	(Vi) Veff					
Measurement System											
Probe Calibration	0.49	N	1	1	0.49	∞					
Hemispherical Isotropy	0.50	R	1.732	1	0.29	∞					
Linearity	0.20	R	1.732	0	0.12	∞					
System Detection Limits	0.04	R	1.732	1	0.02	∞					
Modulation Response	0.40	R	1.732	1	0.23	∞					
Readout Electronics	0.03	N	1	1	0.03	∞					
Response Time	0.00	R	1.732	1	0.00	∞					
Integration Time	0.00	R	1.732	1	0.00	∞					
RF Ambient Noise	0.2	R	1.732	1	0.12	∞					
RF Ambient Reflections	0.21	R	1.732	1	0.12	∞					
Probe Positioner	0.04	R	1.732	1	0.02	∞					
Probe Positioning	0.30	R	1.732	1	0.17	∞					
Savg Reconstruction	0.60	R	1.732	1	0.35	∞					
Test Sample Related											
Power Drift	0.2	R	1.732	1	0.12	∞					
Input Power	0	N	1	0	0.00	∞					
	0.76 dB	∞									
	K=2										
E	1.52 dB										

 TEL: 886-3-327-3456
 Page
 22 of 23

 FAX: 886-3-328-4978
 Issued Date: Jul. 23, 2020

14. References

[1] FCC 47 CFR Part 2 "Frequency Allocations and Radio Treaty Matters; General Rules and Regulations"

Report No. : FA011718-01C

- [2] FCC KDB 447498 D01 v06, "Mobile and Portable Device RF Exposure Procedures and Equipment Authorization Policies", Oct 2015
- [3] FCC KDB 865664 D02 v01r02, "RF Exposure Compliance Reporting and Documentation Considerations" Oct 2015.
- [4] FCC KDB 648474 D04 v01r03, "SAR Evaluation Considerations for Wireless Handsets", Oct 2015.

TEL: 886-3-327-3456 Page 23 of 23 FAX: 886-3-328-4978 Issued Date: Jul. 23, 2020