

### **ELEMENT MATERIALS TECHNOLOGY**

(formerly PCTEST)
7185 Oakland Mills Road, Columbia, MD 21046 USA
Tel. +1.410.290.6652 / Fax +1.410.290.6654
http://www.element.com



### SAR EVALUATION REPORT

**Applicant Name:** 

Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. 129, Samsung-ro, Maetan dong, Yeongtong-gu, Suwon-si Gyeonggi-do, 16677, Korea Date of Testing: 04/01/2024-04/18/2024 Test Site/Location: Element Washington DC LLC, Columbia, MD, USA Document Serial No.: 1M2403190019-01.A3L(R1)

FCC ID: A3LNP940XMA

APPLICANT: SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.

DUT Type: Portable Laptop
Application Type: Certification
FCC Rule Part(s): CFR §2.1093
Model(s): NP940XMA
Additional Model(s): NP944XMA

					SAR			
Equipment Class	Band	& Mode	Tx Fi	Tx Frequency				
DTS	2.4 G	Hz WIFI	2412 -	2472 MHz	0.52			
NII	5 GI	Hz WIFI	U-NII-1: 51 U-NII-2A: 52 U-NII-2C: 53 U-NII-3: 57 U-NII-4: 58	0.56*				
6CD	6 GI	Hz WIFI	U-NII-5: 59 U-NII-6: 64 U-NII-7: 65 U-NII-8: 68	0.59*				
DSS	2.4 GHz	z Bluetooth	2402 -	2480 MHz	0.47			
Simultaneou	s SAR per KD	B 690783 D01v0	1r03:		1.53			
Equipment Class	Band & Mode	Tx Frequ	iency	APD (W/m²) Body	Reported PD (W/m²) Body			
6CD	6 GHz WIFI	U-NII-5: 5935 - U-NII-6: 6435 - U-NII-7: 6535 - U-NII-8: 6895 -	6515 MHz 6875 MHz	3.64*	5.51*			

Note: \* SAR/APD/PD values represent RF exposure during MIMO operations.

Note: This revised test report supersedes and replaces the previously issued test report on the same subject device for the same type of testing as indicated. Please discard or destroy the previously issued test report(s) and dispose of it accordingly.

This wireless portable device has been shown to be capable of compliance for localized specific absorption rate (SAR) for uncontrolled environment/general population exposure limits specified in ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992 and has been tested in accordance with the measurement procedures specified in Section 1.9 of this report; for North American frequency bands only.

I attest to the accuracy of data. All measurements reported herein were performed by me or were made under my supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I assume full responsibility for the completeness of these measurements and vouch for the qualifications of all persons taking them. Test results reported herein relate only to the item(s) tested.









The SAR Tick is an initiative of the Mobile & Wireless Forum (MWF). While a product may be considered eligible, use of the SAR Tick logo requires an agreement with the MWF. Further details can be obtained by emailing: sartick@mwfai.info.

FCC ID: A3LNP940XMA	SAR EVALUATION REPORT	Approved by: Technical Manager
Document S/N: 1M2403190019-01.A3L(R1)	DUT Type: Portable Laptop	Page 1 of 38
1		DEV 00.0



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	DEVICE	UNDER TEST	3
2	INTROD	UCTION	10
3	DOSIME	TRIC ASSESSMENT	11
4	TEST C	ONFIGURATION POSITIONS	12
5	RF EXP	OSURE LIMITS	13
6	FCC ME	ASUREMENT PROCEDURES	15
7	RF CON	DUCTED POWERS	17
8	SYSTEM	// VERIFICATION	24
9	SAR DA	TA SUMMARY	27
10	POWER	DENSITY DATA SUMMARY	30
11	SAR ME	ASUREMENT VARIABILITY	31
12	EQUIPM	IENT LIST	32
13	MEASUI	REMENT UNCERTAINTIES	33
14	CONCL	JSION	36
15	REFERE	ENCES	37
APPEI APPEI APPEI APPEI APPEI	NDIX A: NDIX B: NDIX C: NDIX D: NDIX E: NDIX F: NDIX G: NDIX H:	SAR TEST PLOTS SAR DIPOLE VERIFICATION PLOTS PROBE AND DIPOLE CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES SAR TISSUE SPECIFICATIONS MULTI-TX AND ANTENNA SAR CONSIDERATIONS SAR SYSTEM VALIDATION IEEE 802.11 RU and MRU Exclusion DUT ANTENNA DIAGRAM AND SAR TEST SETUP PHOTOGRAPHS	

FCC ID: A3LNP940XMA	SAR EVALUATION REPORT	Approved by: Technical Manager
Document S/N: 1M2403190019-01.A3L(R1)	DUT Type: Portable Laptop	Page 2 of 38



# 1 DEVICE UNDER TEST

### **Device Overview** 1.1

Band & Mode	Operating Modes	Tx Frequency
2.4 GHz WIFI	Data	2412 - 2472 MHz
5 GHz WIFI	Data	U-NII-1: 5180 - 5240 MHz U-NII-2A: 5260 - 5320 MHz U-NII-2C: 5500 - 5720 MHz U-NII-3: 5745 - 5825 MHz U-NII-4: 5845 - 5885 MHz
6 GHz WIFI	Data	U-NII-5: 5935 - 6415 MHz U-NII-6: 6435 - 6515 MHz U-NII-7: 6535 - 6875 MHz U-NII-8: 6895 - 7115 MHz
2.4 GHz Bluetooth	Data	2402 - 2480 MHz

FCC ID: A3LNP940XMA	SAR EVALUATION REPORT	Approved by: Technical Manager
Document S/N: 1M2403190019-01.A3L(R1)	DUT Type: Portable Laptop	Page 3 of 38



## 1.2 Nominal and Maximum Output Power Specifications

This device operates using the following maximum and nominal output power specifications. SAR values were scaled to the maximum allowed power to determine compliance per KDB Publication 447498 D04v01.

Note: Targets for 802.11ax/be RU operations can be found in 802.11ax/be RU SAR Exclusion Appendix.

### 1.2.1 2.4 GHz SISO/MIMO WLAN Output Power

		IEEE 802.11 Modulated Output Power (in dBm)																										
								SISO								MIMO												
Band		Antenna 1													MI	MO												
		ь			9			n			ax (SU) be (SU)		b (CDD+STBC) (CDD+STBC)		C)	(CDD + STBC, SDM)			ax (SU) (CDD + STBC, SDM)		be (SU) (CDD + STBC, SDM)		M)					
Maximum / Nominal Power	Max	ı	Nom.	Ma	ах	Nom.	Ma	ix	Nom.	Ma	вх	Nom.	Ма	ах	Nom.	Max		Nom.	Max	Nom.	Max	No	n.	Max	Nom.	Max		Nom.
	15.0	)	14.0	15	5.0	14.0	15	.0	14.0	15	i.0	14.0	15.	.0	14.0	18.0		17.0	18.0	17.0	18.0	17	.0	18.0	17.0	18.	)	17.0
2.4 GHz WLAN				ch. 10:	14.0	13.0	ch. 10:	14.0	13.0										ch. 10: 17.0	16.0	ch. 10: 17					l		
	ch. 12: ch. 13:	4.0	-1.0	ch. 12: ch. 13:	0.0	3.0 -1.0	ch. 12: ch. 13:	4.0 0.0	-1.0	ch. 12: ch. 13:	4.0 0.0	3.0 -1.0	ch. 12: ch. 13:	4.0	-1.0	ch. 12: ch. 13:	7.0	6.0 2.0	ch. 12: 7.0 ch. 13: 3.0	6.0 2.0		0 6.			6.0 2.0	ch. 12: ch. 13:	7.0	6.0 2.0

### 1.2.2 5 GHz MIMO WLAN Output Power

					IEEE 802	2.11 Modulated Outp	ut Power (	in dBm)			
Mode	Band					MIMO					
Wiode	Danu	a (CDD + STBC	)	n (CDD + STBC, SI	DM)	ac (CDD + STBC, SI	DM)	ax (SU) (CDD + STBC, SI	OM)	be (SU) (CDD + STBC, S	DM)
	/ Nominal wer	Max	Nom.	Max	Nom.	Max	Nom.	Max	Nom.	Max	Nom.
	UNII-1	15.0	14.0	15.0	14.0	15.0	14.0	15.0	14.0	15.0	14.0
5 GHz	UNII-2A	15.0	14.0	15.0	14.0	15.0	14.0	15.0	14.0	15.0	14.0
WIFI (20MHz	UNII-2C	15.0	14.0	15.0	14.0	15.0	14.0	15.0	14.0	15.0	14.0
BW)	UNII-3	15.0	14.0	15.0	14.0	15.0	14.0	15.0	14.0	15.0	14.0
	UNII-4	15.0	14.0	15.0	14.0	15.0	14.0	15.0	14.0	15.0	14.0
	UNII-1			15.0	14.0	15.0	14.0	15.0	14.0	15.0	14.0
5 GHz	UNII-2A			15.0	14.0	15.0	14.0	15.0	14.0	15.0	14.0
WIFI (40MHz	UNII-2C			15.0	14.0	15.0	14.0	15.0	14.0	15.0	14.0
5 GHz WiFi (20MHz BW) 5 GHz WiFi (40MHz BW) 5 GHz WiFi (80MHz BW)	UNII-3			15.0	14.0	15.0	14.0	15.0	14.0	15.0	14.0
	UNII-4			15.0	14.0	15.0	14.0	15.0	14.0	15.0	14.0
	UNII-1					15.0	14.0	15.0	14.0	15.0	14.0
5 GHz	UNII-2A					15.0	14.0	15.0	14.0	15.0	14.0
(80MHz	UNII-2C					15.0	14.0	15.0	14.0	15.0	14.0
BW)	UNII-3					15.0	14.0	15.0	14.0	15.0	14.0
	UNII-4					15.0	14.0	15.0	14.0	15.0	14.0
5 GHz	UNII-1/2A					15.0	14.0	15.0	14.0	15.0	14.0
	UNII-2C					15.0	14.0	15.0	14.0	15.0	14.0
BW)	UNII-3/4					15.0	14.0	15.0	14.0	15.0	14.0

FCC ID: A3LNP940XMA	SAR EVALUATION REPORT	Approved by: Technical Manager
Document S/N: 1M2403190019-01.A3L(R1)	DUT Type: Portable Laptop	Page 4 of 38



# 1.2.3 6 GHz MIMO WLAN Output Power

					IEEE 8	02.11 Modula	ated Outp	ut Power	(in dBm)		
Mode		Band					MIMO				
Wode		Dana		a (CDD + STE	3C)	(CD	ax (SU) D+STBC, S	DM)	(CDI	be (SU) D+STBC, S	DM)
Maximum / Nom	ninal P	ower		Max	Nom.	Ma	ax	Nom.	Ма	x	Nom.
6 GHz WIFI (20MHz	BW) -	UNII-5/7	ch. 2:	12.0 5.5	11.0 4.5	12 ch. 2:	.0 5.5	11.0 4.5	12. ch. 2:	0 5.5	11.0 4.5
6 GHz WIFI (40MHz SP	BW) -	UNII-5/7				12	.0	11.0	12.	0	11.0
6 GHz WIFI (80MHz SP	BW) -	UNII-5/7				12	.0	11.0	12.	0	11.0
6 GHz WIFI (160MHz - SP	UNII-5/7				12.0			12.	11.0		
6 GHz WIFI (320MHz BW) - SP									12.	0	11.0
					IEEE 802	2.11 Modulat	ted Outpu	ıt Power (i	n dBm)		
Mode	R	and -					MIMO				
Wode		ana	(	a (CDD + STBC)			ax (SU) + STBC, SD	M)	be (SU) (CDD + STBC, SDM)		
Maximum / Nomi	nal Po	wer	М	ax	Nom.	Мах		Nom.	Max	(	Nom.
6 GHz WIFI (20MHz	UNII-	5/6/7/8	7	.0	6.0	7.5		6.5	7.5	i	6.5
BW) - LPI			ch. 2:	5.5	4.5	ch. 2:	5.5	4.5	ch. 2:	5.5	4.5
6 GHz WIFI (40MHz BW) - LPI						10.0	)	9.0	10.0	)	9.0
6 GHz WIFI (80MHz BW) - LPI	UNII-	5/6/7/8				12.0	)	11.0	12.0	)	11.0
6 GHz WIFI (160MHz BW) - LPI	UNII-	5/6/7/8				12.0	12.0		12.0		11.0
6 GHz WIFI (320MHz BW) - LPI	UNII-	5/6/7/8							12.0	11.0	

FCC ID: A3LNP940XMA	SAR EVALUATION REPORT	Approved by: Technical Manager
Document S/N: 1M2403190019-01.A3L(R1)	DUT Type: Portable Laptop	Page 5 of 38



## 1.2.4 2.4 GHz Bluetooth Output Power

				Modulate	ed Output	Power (in dBm)			
Mode	Data		Single A	Antenna	Eac	h Chain in	Dual Mode		
	Rate	Antenna 0	)	Antenna 1		Antenna 0 in Dua	Nom.         Max         No           9.5         14.0         13           8.5         10.5         9.           8.5         10.5         9.           6.5         10.5         9.           N/A         N/A         N/A	ual Mode	
Maximum / Nomi	nal Power	Max	Nom.	Max	Nom.	Max	Nom.	Max	Nom.
Bluetooth	1Mbps	17.5	16.5	17.5	16.5	10.5	9.5	14.0	13.0
Bluetooth EDR	2Mbps	15.0	14.0	15.0	14.0	9.5	8.5	10.5	9.5
Bluetooth EDR	3Mbps	15.0	14.0	15.0	14.0	9.5	8.5	10.5	9.5
Bluetooth LE	1Mbps	13.0	12.0	13.0	12.0	7.5	6.5	10.5	9.5
Bluetooth LE	2Mbps	13.0	12.0	13.0	12.0	7.5	6.5	10.5	9.5
Bluetooth LE	125kbps	7.5	6.5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Bluetooth LE	500kbps	7.5	6.5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

### 1.3 DUT Antenna Locations

The overall dimensions of this device is > 200 mm. A diagram showing the location of the device antennas can be found in the DUT Antenna Diagram and SAR Test Setup Photographs Appendix. Exact dimensions and separation distances are shown in the Technical Descriptions in the FCC filings.

Table 1-1
Device Edges/Sides for SAR Testing Laptop Mode

Antenna	Back	Front	Тор	Bottom	Right	Left
1	No	No	No	Yes	No	No
2	No	No	No	Yes	No	No

Note: Per FCC KDB Publication 616217 D04v01r01, particular edges were not required to be evaluated for SAR based on the SAR exclusion threshold in KDB 447498 D04v01. Additional edges may have been evaluated for simultaneous transmission analysis.

FCC ID: A3LNP940XMA	SAR EVALUATION REPORT	Approved by: Technical Manager
Document S/N: 1M2403190019-01.A3L(R1)	DUT Type: Portable Laptop	Page 6 of 38
1		DEV 00 0



### 1.4 Simultaneous Transmission Capabilities

According to FCC KDB Publication 447498 D04v01, transmitters are considered to be operating simultaneously when there is overlapping transmission, with the exception of transmissions during network hand-offs with maximum hand-off duration less than 30 seconds.

This device contains multiple transmitters that may operate simultaneously, and therefore requires a simultaneous transmission analysis according to FCC KDB Publication 447498 D04v01 4.3.2 procedures.

Table 1-2
Simultaneous Transmission Scenarios

No.	Capable Transmit Configuration
1	2.4 GHz Bluetooth Ant 0
2	2.4 GHz Bluetooth Ant 1
3	2.4 GHz Bluetooth Dual
4	2.4 GHz WLAN Ant 1
5	2.4 GHz WLAN MIMO
6	5 GHz WLAN MIMO
7	6 GHz WLAN MIMO
8	2.4 GHz WLAN Ant 1 + 5 GHz WLAN MIMO
9	2.4 GHz WLAN Ant 1 + 6 GHz WLAN MIMO
10	2.4 GHz WLAN MIMO + 5 GHz WLAN MIMO
11	2.4 GHz WLAN MIMO + 6 GHz WLAN MIMO
12	2.4 GHz Bluetooth Ant 0 + 2.4 GHz WLAN Ant 1
13	2.4 GHz Bluetooth Ant 0 + 5 GHz WLAN MIMO
14	2.4 GHz Bluetooth Ant 0 + 6 GHz WLAN MIMO
15	2.4 GHz Bluetooth Ant 1 + 5 GHz WLAN MIMO
16	2.4 GHz Bluetooth Ant 1 + 6 GHz WLAN MIMO
17	2.4 GHz Bluetooth Dual + 5 GHz WLAN MIMO
18	2.4 GHz Bluetooth Dual + 6 GHz WLAN MIMO
19	2.4 GHz Bluetooth Ant 0 + 2.4 GHz WLAN Ant 1 + 5 GHz WLAN MIMO
20	2.4 GHz Bluetooth Ant 0 + 2.4 GHz WLAN Ant 1 + 6 GHz WLAN MIMO

- 1. This device supports 2x2 MIMO Tx for WLAN 802.11b/a/g/n/ac/ax/be. 802.11b/a/g/n/ac/ax/be supports CDD and STBC and 802.11n/ac/ax/be additionally supports SDM.
- 2. This device supports Bluetooth Tethering.
- 3. 2.4 GHz WLAN Antenna 1 and 2.4 GHz Bluetooth Antenna 1 share the same antenna path and cannot transmit simultaneously.
- 4. 5 GHz WLAN and 6 GHz WLAN share the same antenna path and cannot transmit simultaneously.

### 1.5 Miscellaneous SAR Test Considerations

### (A) WIFI/BT

FCC ID: A3LNP940XMA	SAR EVALUATION REPORT	Approved by: Technical Manager
Document S/N: 1M2403190019-01.A3L(R1)	DUT Type: Portable Laptop	Page 7 of 38
		DEV/ 22.0



Since U-NII-1 and U-NII-2A bands have the same maximum output power and the highest reported SAR for U-NII-2A is less than 1.2 W/kg, SAR is not required for U-NII-1 band according to FCC KDB Publication 248227 D01v02r02.

This device supports IEEE 802.11ac with the following features:

- a) Up to 160 MHz Bandwidth only
- b) No aggregate channel configurations
- c) 2 Tx antenna output
- d) 256 QAM is supported
- e) TDWR and Band gap channels are supported

This device supports IEEE 802.11ax/be with the following features:

- a) Up to 320 MHz Bandwidth only for 5/6 GHz
- b) 2 Tx antenna output
- c) Up to 1024 QAM is supported
- d) TDWR and Band gap channels are supported for 5/6 GHz
- e) MU-MIMO UL Operations are not supported

Per FCC Guidance, 802.11ax/be RU/MRU was considered a higher order 802.11 mode when compared to a/b/g/n/ac/be to apply KDB Publication 248227 D01v02r02 for OFDM mode selection. Therefore, SAR tests were not required for 802.11ax/be RU/MRU based on the maximum allowed output powers of OFDM modes and the reported SAR values. Per FCC Guidance, maximum conducted powers were performed for each RU/MRU size to demonstrate that the output powers would not be higher than the other OFDM 802.11 modes. Please see Measurement Reports SNs: 1M2403190019-06.A3L, 1M2403190019-08.A3L, 1M2403190019-10.A3L for 802.11ax/be RU/MRU output powers.

This device supports channel 1-13 for 2.4 GHz WLAN. However, because channel 12/13 targets are not higher than that of channels 1-11, channels 1, 6, and 11 were considered for SAR testing per FCC KDB 248227 D01V02r02.

Per FCC guidance, SAR was performed using 6.5 GHz SAR probe calibration factors. FCC KDB 648474 and FCC KDB 248227 were followed for test positions, distances, and modes. Per TCB workshop October 2020 notes, 5 channels were tested. Absorbed power density (APD) using a 4cm2 averaging area is reported based on SAR measurements. Incident power density is evaluated at 2mm ensuring that the resolution is sufficient such that integrated power density (iPD) between d=2mm and d= $\lambda$ /5mm is  $\geq$  -1dB per equipment manufacturer guidance. Power density results are scaled up for uncertainty above 30%.

### 1.6 Guidance Applied

- IEEE 1528-2013
- FCC KDB Publication 248227 D01v02r02 (SAR Considerations for 802.11 Devices)
- FCC KDB Publication 447498 D04v01 (General SAR Guidance)
- FCC KDB Publication 865664 D01v01r04, D02v01r02 (SAR Measurements up to 6 GHz)
- April 2019 TCB Workshop Notes (IEEE 802.11ax/be)
- FCC KDB 648474 D04 (Accessories)
- FCC KDB Publication 616217 D04v01r02 (Laptop)
- IEC 62479:2010
- SPEAG DASY6 System Handbook
- IEC/IEEE 63195-1:2022
- SPEAG DASY6 Application Note (Interim Procedures for Devices Operating at 6-10 GHz) (Nov 2021)
- November 2017, October 2018, April 2019, November 2019, October 2020 TCBC Workshop Notes

FCC ID: A3LNP940XMA	SAR EVALUATION REPORT	Approved by: Technical Manager
Document S/N: 1M2403190019-01.A3L(R1)	DUT Type: Portable Laptop	Page 8 of 38



### 1.7 Device Serial Numbers

Several samples with identical hardware were used to support SAR testing. The manufacturer has confirmed that the device(s) tested have the same physical, mechanical and thermal characteristics and are within operational tolerances expected for production units. The serial numbers used for each test are indicated alongside the results in Section 9 and Section 10.

FCC ID: A3LNP940XMA	SAR EVALUATION REPORT	Approved by: Technical Manager
Document S/N: 1M2403190019-01.A3L(R1)	DUT Type: Portable Laptop	Page 9 of 38



### 2 INTRODUCTION

The FCC and Innovation, Science, and Economic Development Canada have adopted the guidelines for evaluating the environmental effects of radio frequency (RF) radiation in ET Docket 93-62 on Aug. 6, 1996 and Health Canada Safety Code 6 to protect the public and workers from the potential hazards of RF emissions due to FCC-regulated portable devices. [1]

The safety limits used for the environmental evaluation measurements are based on the criteria published by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for localized specific absorption rate (SAR) in IEEE/ANSI C95.1-1992 Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz [3] and Health Canada RF Exposure Guidelines Safety Code 6 [22]. The measurement procedure described in IEEE/ANSI C95.3-2002 Recommended Practice for the Measurement of Potentially Hazardous Electromagnetic Fields - RF and Microwave [4] is used for guidance in measuring the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) due to the RF radiation exposure from the Equipment Under Test (EUT). These criteria for SAR evaluation are similar to those recommended by the International Committee for Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP) in Biological Effects and Exposure Criteria for Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields," Report No. Vol 74. SAR is a measure of the rate of energy absorption due to exposure to an RF transmitting source. SAR values have been related to threshold levels for potential biological hazards.

### 2.1 SAR Definition

Specific Absorption Rate is defined as the time derivative (rate) of the incremental energy (dU) absorbed by (dissipated in) an incremental mass (dm) contained in a volume element (dV) of a given density ( $\rho$ ). It is also defined as the rate of RF energy absorption per unit mass at a point in an absorbing body (see Equation 2-1).

# Equation 2-1 SAR Mathematical Equation

$$SAR = \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{dU}{dm} \right) = \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{dU}{\rho dv} \right)$$

SAR is expressed in units of Watts per Kilogram (W/kg).

$$SAR = \frac{\sigma \cdot E^2}{\rho}$$

where:

 $\sigma$  = conductivity of the tissue-simulating material (S/m)  $\rho$  = mass density of the tissue-simulating material (kg/m<sup>3</sup>)

E = Total RMS electric field strength (V/m)

NOTE: The primary factors that control rate of energy absorption were found to be the wavelength of the incident field in relation to the dimensions and geometry of the irradiated organism, the orientation of the organism in relation to the polarity of field vectors, the presence of reflecting surfaces, and whether conductive contact is made by the organism with a ground plane.[6]

FCC ID: A3LNP940XMA	SAR EVALUATION REPORT	Approved by: Technical Manager
Document S/N: 1M2403190019-01.A3L(R1)	DUT Type: Portable Laptop	Page 10 of 38



## DOSIMETRIC ASSESSMENT

### 3.1 **Measurement Procedure**

The evaluation was performed using the following procedure compliant to FCC KDB Publication 865664 D01v01r04 and IEEE 1528-2013:

- 1. The SAR distribution at the exposed side of the head or body was measured at a distance no greater than 5.0 mm from the inner surface of the shell. The area covered the entire dimension of the device-head and body interface and the horizontal grid resolution was determined per FCC KDB Publication 865664 D01v01r04 (See Table 3-1) and IEEE 1528-2013.
- 2. The point SAR measurement was taken at the maximum SAR region determined from Step 1 to enable the monitoring of SAR fluctuations/drifts during the 1g/10g cube evaluation. SAR at this fixed point was measured and used as a reference value.
- Figure 3-1
  - Sample SAR Area Scan
- 3. Based on the area scan data, the peak of the region with maximum SAR was determined by spline interpolation. Around this point, a volume was assessed according to the measurement resolution and volume size requirements of FCC KDB Publication 865664 D01v01r04 (See Table 3-1) and IEEE 1528-2013. On the basis of this data set, the spatial peak SAR value was evaluated with the following procedure (see references or the DASY manual online for more details):
  - a. SAR values at the inner surface of the phantom are extrapolated from the measured values along the line away from the surface with spacing no greater than that in Table 3-1. The extrapolation was based on a least-squares algorithm. A polynomial of the fourth order was calculated through the points in the z-axis (normal to the phantom shell).
  - b. After the maximum interpolated values were calculated between the points in the cube, the SAR was averaged over the spatial volume (1g or 10g) using a 3D-Spline interpolation algorithm. The 3D-spline is composed of three one-dimensional splines with the "Not a knot" condition (in x, y, and z directions). The volume was then integrated with the trapezoidal algorithm. One thousand points (10 x 10 x 10) were obtained through interpolation, in order to calculate the averaged SAR.
  - c. All neighboring volumes were evaluated until no neighboring volume with a higher average value was found.
- 4. The SAR reference value, at the same location as step 2, was re-measured after the zoom scan was complete to calculate the SAR drift. If the drift deviated by more than 5%, the SAR test and drift measurements were repeated.

Table 3-1 Area and Zoom Scan Resolutions per FCC KDB Publication 865664 D01v01r04\*

	Maximum Area Scan	Maximum Zoom Scan	Maximum Zoom Scan Spatial Resolution (mm)		Minimum Zoom Scan	
Frequency	Resolution (mm) (Δx <sub>area</sub> , Δy <sub>area</sub> )	Resolution (mm) (Δx <sub>200m</sub> , Δy <sub>200m</sub> )	Uniform Grid	Graded Grid		Volume (mm) (x,y,z)
	died- ydiedy	1 200117	Δz <sub>zoom</sub> (n)	Δz <sub>zoom</sub> (1)*	Δz <sub>zoom</sub> (n>1)*	.,,,,
≤2 GHz	≤ 15	≤8	≤5	≤4	$\leq 1.5*\Delta z_{zoom}(n-1)$	≥ 30
2-3 GHz	≤ 12	≤5	≤5	≤4	$\leq 1.5*\Delta z_{zoom}(n-1)$	≥ 30
3-4 GHz	≤ 12	≤5	≤ 4	≤3	$\leq 1.5*\Delta z_{zoom}(n-1)$	≥ 28
4-5 GHz	≤ 10	≤ 4	≤3	≤2.5	$\leq 1.5*\Delta z_{zoom}(n-1)$	≥ 25
5-6 GHz	≤ 10	≤ 4	≤2	≤2	≤ 1.5*∆z <sub>zoom</sub> (n-1)	≥ 22

\*Also compliant to IEEE 1528-2013 Table 6

FCC ID: A3LNP940XMA	SAR EVALUATION REPORT	Approved by: Technical Manager
Document S/N: 1M2403190019-01.A3L(R1)	DUT Type: Portable Laptop	Page 11 of 38



# 4 TEST CONFIGURATION POSITIONS

#### 4.1 **Device Holder**

The device holder is made out of low-loss POM material having the following dielectric parameters: relative permittivity  $\varepsilon = 3$  and loss tangent  $\delta = 0.02$ .

### 4.2 SAR Testing for Laptop per KDB Publication 616217 D04v01r02

Per FCC KDB Publication 616217 D04v01r02, When antennas are incorporated in the keyboard section of a laptop computer, SAR is required for the bottom surface of the keyboard. The SAR Exclusion Threshold in KDB 447498 D04v01 can be applied to determine SAR test exclusion for adjacent edge configurations. The closest distance from the antenna to an adjacent laptop edge is used to determine if SAR testing is required for the adjacent edges, with the adjacent edge positioned against the phantom and the edge containing the antenna positioned perpendicular to the phantom.

FCC ID: A3LNP940XMA	SAR EVALUATION REPORT	Approved by: Technical Manager
Document S/N: 1M2403190019-01.A3L(R1)	DUT Type: Portable Laptop	Page 12 of 38



# 5 RF EXPOSURE LIMITS

### 5.1 Uncontrolled Environment

UNCONTROLLED ENVIRONMENTS are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their exposure. The general population/uncontrolled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which the general public may be exposed or in which persons who are exposed as a consequence of their employment may not be made fully aware of the potential for exposure or cannot exercise control over their exposure. Members of the general public would come under this category when exposure is not employment-related; for example, in the case of a wireless transmitter that exposes persons in its vicinity.

### 5.2 Controlled Environment

CONTROLLED ENVIRONMENTS are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by persons who are aware of the potential for exposure, (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation). In general, occupational/controlled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which persons are exposed as a consequence of their employment, who have been made fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over their exposure. This exposure category is also applicable when the exposure is of a transient nature due to incidental passage through a location where the exposure levels may be higher than the general population/uncontrolled limits, but the exposed person is fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over his or her exposure by leaving the area or by some other appropriate means.

### 5.3 RF Exposure Limits for Frequencies Below 6 GHz

Table 5-1
SAR Human Exposure Specified in ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992 and Health Canada Safety Code 6

HUMAN EXPOSURE LIMITS			
	UNCONTROLLED ENVIRONMENT General Population (W/kg) or (mW/g)	CONTROLLED ENVIRONMENT Occupational (W/kg) or (mW/g)	
Peak Spatial Average SAR <sub>Head</sub>	1.6	8.0	
Whole Body SAR	0.08	0.4	
Peak Spatial Average SAR Hands, Feet, Ankle, Wrists, etc.	4.0	20	

- 1. The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 1 gram of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube) and over the appropriate averaging time.
- 2. The Spatial Average value of the SAR averaged over the whole body.
- 3. The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 10 grams of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube) and over the appropriate averaging time.

FCC ID: A3LNP940XMA	SAR EVALUATION REPORT	Approved by: Technical Manager
Document S/N: 1M2403190019-01.A3L(R1)	DUT Type: Portable Laptop	Page 13 of 38



# 5.4 RF Exposure Limits for Frequencies Above 6 GHz

Per §1.1310 (d)(3), the MPE limits are applied for frequencies above 6 GHz. Power Density is expressed in units of W/m² or mW/cm².

Peak Spatially Averaged Power Density was evaluated over a circular area of 4 cm<sup>2</sup> per interim FCC Guidance for near-field power density evaluations per October 2018 TCB Workshop notes.

Table 5-2
Human Exposure Limits Specified in FCC 47 CFR §1.1310

Human Exposure to Radiofrequency (RF) Radiation Limits				
Frequency Range [MHz]	Power Density [mW/cm²]	Average Time [Minutes]		
(A) Limi	ts For Occupational / Controlled E	nvironments		
1,500 – 100,000 5.0 6				
(B) Limits For General Population / Uncontrolled Environments				
1,500 – 100,000	1.0	30		

Note: 1.0 mW/cm<sup>2</sup> is 10 W/m<sup>2</sup>

FCC ID: A3LNP940XMA	SAR EVALUATION REPORT	Approved by: Technical Manager
Document S/N: 1M2403190019-01.A3L(R1)	DUT Type: Portable Laptop	Page 14 of 38



## 6 FCC MEASUREMENT PROCEDURES

Power measurements for licensed transmitters are performed using a base station simulator under digital average power.

### 6.1 **Measured and Reported SAR**

Per FCC KDB Publication 447498 D04v01, when SAR is not measured at the maximum power level allowed for production units, the results must be scaled to the maximum tune-up tolerance limit according to the power applied to the individual channels tested to determine compliance. For simultaneous transmission, the measured aggregate SAR must be scaled according to the sum of the differences between the maximum tune-up tolerance and actual power used to test each transmitter. When SAR is measured at or scaled to the maximum tune-up tolerance limit, the results are referred to as reported SAR. The highest reported SAR results are identified on the grant of equipment authorization according to procedures in KDB 690783 D01v01r03.

### **SAR Testing with 802.11 Transmitters** 6.2

The normal network operating configurations of 802.11 transmitters are not suitable for SAR measurements. Unpredictable fluctuations in network traffic and antenna diversity conditions can introduce undesirable variations in SAR results. The SAR for these devices should be measured using chipset based test mode software to ensure the results are consistent and reliable. See KDB Publication 248227 D01v02r02 for more details.

### 6.2.1 **General Device Setup**

Chipset based test mode software is hardware dependent and generally varies among manufacturers. The device operating parameters established in test mode for SAR measurements must be identical to those programmed in production units, including output power levels, amplifier gain settings and other RF performance tuning parameters.

A periodic duty factor is required for current generation SAR systems to measure SAR. When 802.11 frame gaps are accounted for in the transmission, a maximum transmission duty factor of 92 - 96% is typically achievable in most test mode configurations. A minimum transmission duty factor of 85% is required to avoid certain hardware and device implementation issues related to wide range SAR scaling. The reported SAR is scaled to 100% transmission duty factor to determine compliance at the maximum tune-up tolerance limit.

### 6.2.2 U-NII-1 and U-NII-2A

For devices that operate in both U-NII-1 and U-NII-2A bands, when the same maximum output power is specified for both bands. SAR measurement using OFDM SAR test procedures is not required for U-NII-1 unless the highest reported SAR for U-NII-2A is > 1.2 W/kg. When different maximum output powers are specified for the bands, SAR measurement for the U-NII band with the lower maximum output power is not required unless the highest reported SAR for the U-NII band with the higher maximum output power, adjusted by the ratio of lower to higher specified maximum output power for the two bands, is > 1.2 W/kg. When 10g SAR measurement is considered, a factor of 2.5 is applied to the thresholds above.

### U-NII-2C and U-NII-3 6.2.3

The frequency range covered by U-NII-2C and U-NII-3 is 380 MHz (5.47 – 5.85 GHz), which requires a minimum of at least two SAR probe calibration frequency points to support SAR measurements. When Terminal Doppler Weather Radar (TDWR) restriction applies, the channels at 5.60 – 5.65 GHz in U-NII-2C band must be disabled with acceptable mechanisms and documented in the equipment certification. Unless band gap channels are permanently disabled, SAR must be considered for these channels. Each band is tested independently according to the normally required OFDM SAR measurement and probe calibration frequency points requirements.

FCC ID: A3LNP940XMA	SAR EVALUATION REPORT	Approved by: Technical Manager
Document S/N: 1M2403190019-01.A3L(R1)	DUT Type: Portable Laptop	Page 15 of 38



### 6.2.4 2.4 GHz SAR Test Requirements

SAR is measured for 2.4 GHz 802.11b DSSS using either the fixed test position or, when applicable, the initial test position procedure. SAR test reduction is determined according to the following:

- 1) When the reported SAR of the highest measured maximum output power channel for the exposure configuration is ≤ 0.8 W/kg, no further SAR testing is required for 802.11b DSSS in that exposure configuration.
- When the reported SAR is > 0.8 W/kg, SAR is required for that position using the next highest measured output power channel. When any reported SAR is > 1.2 W/kg, SAR is required for the third channel; i.e., all channels require testing.

2.4 GHz 802.11 g/n/ax OFDM are additionally evaluated for SAR if the highest reported SAR for 802.11b, adjusted by the ratio of the OFDM to DSSS specified maximum output power, is > 1.2 W/kg. When SAR is required for OFDM modes in 2.4 GHz band, the Initial Test Configuration Procedures should be followed. When 10g SAR measurement is considered, a factor of 2.5 is applied to the thresholds above.

### 6.2.5 OFDM Transmission Mode and SAR Test Channel Selection

When the same maximum output power was specified for multiple OFDM transmission mode configurations in a frequency band or aggregated band. SAR is measured using the configuration with the largest channel bandwidth, lowest order modulation and lowest data rate. When the maximum output power of a channel is the same for equivalent OFDM configurations; for example, 802,11a, 802,11n and 802,11ac or 802,11g and 802.11n with the same channel bandwidth, modulation and data rate etc., the lower order 802.11 mode i.e., 802.11a, then 802.11n and 802.11ac or 802.11g then 802.11n, is used for SAR measurement. Per April 2019 TCB Workshop guidance, 802.11ax was considered the highest order 802.11 mode. When the maximum output power are the same for multiple test channels, either according to the default or additional power measurement requirements, SAR is measured using the channel closest to the middle of the frequency band or aggregated band. When there are multiple channels with the same maximum output power, SAR is measured using the higher number channel.

### 6.2.6 **Subsequent Test Configuration Procedures**

For OFDM configurations in each frequency band and aggregated band, SAR is evaluated for initial test configuration using the fixed test position or the initial test position procedure. When the highest reported SAR (for the initial test configuration), adjusted by the ratio of the specified maximum output power of the subsequent test configuration to initial test configuration, is ≤ 1.2 W/kg, no additional SAR tests for the subsequent test configurations are required. When 10g SAR measurement is considered, a factor of 2.5 is applied to the thresholds above.

### 6.2.7 MIMO SAR considerations

Per KDB Publication 248227 D01v02r02, the simultaneous SAR provisions in KDB Publication 447498 D04v01 should be applied to determine simultaneous transmission SAR test exclusion for WIFI MIMO. If the sum of 1g single transmission chain SAR measurements is <1.6 W/kg, no additional SAR measurements for MIMO are required. Alternatively, SAR for MIMO can be measured with all antennas transmitting simultaneously at the specified maximum output power of MIMO operation. When 10g SAR measurement is considered, a factor of 2.5 is applied to the thresholds above.

FCC ID: A3LNP940XMA	SAR EVALUATION REPORT	Approved by: Technical Manager
Document S/N: 1M2403190019-01.A3L(R1)	DUT Type: Portable Laptop	Page 16 of 38
		DE1/000



# 7 RF CONDUCTED POWERS

### 7.1 WLAN Conducted Powers

Table 7-1
2.4 GHz WLAN Maximum Average RF Power – Antenna 1

2.4GHz WIFI (20MHz 802.11b SISO ANT 1)				
Freq. [MHz]	Channel	Detector	Conducted Power [dBm]	
2412	1		14.35	
2437	6	Average	14.23	
2462	11		14.12	
2.4GHz	WIFI (20MI	lz 802.11g	SISO ANT 1)	
Freq. [MHz]	Channel	Detector	Conducted Power [dBm]	
2412	1		14.36	
2437	6	Average	14.01	
2462	11		14.17	
2.4GHz	WIFI (20MI	lz 802.11n	SISO ANT 1)	
Freq. [MHz]	Channel	Detector	Conducted Power [dBm]	
2412	1		14.44	
2437	6	Average	14.13	
2462	11		14.13	
2.4GHz	WIFI (20MH	z 802.11ax	SISO ANT 1)	
Freq. [MHz]	Channel	Detector	Conducted Power [dBm]	
2412				
	1		14.39	
2437	6	Average	14.39 14.48	
2462	6	,	14.48 14.04	
2462	6	,	14.48	
2462	6	,	14.48 14.04	
2462 2.4GHz Freq.	6 11 <b>WIFI (20M</b> H	z 802.11be	14.48 14.04 SISO ANT 1)	
2462 2.4GHz Freq. [MHz]	6 11 WIFI (20MH	z 802.11be	14.48 14.04 SISO ANT 1) Conducted Power [dBm]	

FCC ID: A3LNP940XMA	SAR EVALUATION REPORT	Approved by: Technical Manager
Document S/N: 1M2403190019-01.A3L(R1)	DUT Type: Portable Laptop	Page 17 of 38



Table 7-2
2.4 GHz WLAN Maximum Average RF Power – MIMO

2.4 GHZ WLAN MAXIMUM AVERAGE RF FOWER - MINIO  2.4GHz WIFI (20MHz 802.11b MIMO)					
Freq [MHz]	Channel	Detector	Conducted Power [dBm]		Bm]
[IVIITZ]			ANT0	ANT1	MIMO
2412	1		14.64	14.17	17.42
2437	6	Average	14.06	14.09	17.09
2462	11		14.05	14.03	17.05
		2.4GHz WIF	I (20MHz 802.11	g MIMO)	
Freq [MHz]	Channel	Detector	Con	ducted Power [d	Bm]
[IVITIZ]			ANT0	ANT1	MIMO
2412	1		14.70	14.36	17.54
2437	6	Average	14.75	14.53	17.65
2462	11	1	14.13	14.08	17.12
2.4GHz WIFI (20MHz 802.11n MIMO)					
Freq [MHz]	Channel	Detector	Conducted Power [dBm]		Bm]
			ANT0	ANT1	MIMO
2412	1	]	14.76	14.51	17.65
2437	6	Average	14.21	14.02	17.13
2462	11		14.18	14.13	17.17
		2.4GHz WIF	I (20MHz 802.11	ax MIMO)	
Freq [MHz]	Channel	Detector	Con	ducted Power [d	Bm]
[IVIITZ]			ANT0	ANT1	MIMO
2412	1		14.77	14.42	17.61
2437	6	Average	14.15	14.01	17.09
2462	11		14.15	14.12	17.15
	2.4GHz WIFI (20MHz 802.11be MIMO)				
Freq [MHz]	Channel	Detector	Conducted Power [dBm]		Bm]
			ANT0	ANT1	MIMO
2412	1	]	14.68	14.49	17.60
2437	6	Average	14.22	14.04	17.14
2462	11		14.27	14.20	17.25

FCC ID: A3LNP940XMA	SAR EVALUATION REPORT	Approved by: Technical Manager
Document S/N: 1M2403190019-01.A3L(R1)	DUT Type: Portable Laptop	Page 18 of 38
		DEV 00 0



Table 7-3
5 GHz WLAN Maximum Average RF Power – MIMO

5 GHz WEAN Maximum Average RF Fower = MIMO  5GHz WIFI (80MHz 802.11ac MIMO)						
Band	Freq [MHz]	Channel	Avg. Co	Avg. Conducted Powers [dBm]		
	[IVITZ]		ANT0	ANT1	MIMO	
UNII-1	5210	42	11.15	10.88	14.03	
UNII-2A	5290	58	11.49	11.16	14.34	
	5530	106	11.54	10.64	14.12	
UNII-2C	5610	122	11.53	10.75	14.17	
	5690	138	11.75	10.64	14.24	
UNII-3	5775	155	11.55	11.01	14.30	
UNII-4	5885	171	11.57	11.34	14.47	
	5	GHz WIFI (	80MHz 802.11	ax MIMO)		
Band	Freq	Channel	Avg. Conducted Powers [dBm]			
	[MHz]		ANT0	ANT1	MIMO	
UNII-1	5210	42	11.16	11.20	14.19	
UNII-2A	5290	58	11.03	11.01	14.03	
	5530	106	11.74	11.01	14.40	
UNII-2C	5610	122	11.67	11.01	14.36	
	5690	138	11.99	11.02	14.54	
UNII-3	5775	155	11.81	11.15	14.50	
UNII-4	5885	171	11.31	11.01	14.17	
	5	GHz WIFI (	80MHz 802.11	be MIMO)		
Band	Freq	Channel	Avg. Co	nducted Power	s [dBm]	
	[MHz]		ANT0	ANT1	MIMO	
UNII-1	5210	42	11.29	11.19	14.25	
UNII-2A	5290	58	11.05	11.05	14.06	
	5530	106	11.78	11.01	14.42	
UNII-2C	5610	122	11.73	11.03	14.40	
	5690	138	11.46	11.04	14.27	
UNII-3	5775	155	11.84	11.18	14.53	
UNII-4	5885	171	11.34	11.01	14.19	

FCC ID: A3LNP940XMA	SAR EVALUATION REPORT	Approved by: Technical Manager
Document S/N: 1M2403190019-01.A3L(R1)	DUT Type: Portable Laptop	Page 19 of 38



Table 7-4
6 GHz WLAN Maximum Average RF Power - MIMO

O GITZ VVLAN IVIANITIUM AVERAGE IN FOWER - IVINVIO					
6GHz WIFI (80MHz 802.11ax MIMO)					
Band	Freq	Channel	Avg. Conducted Powers [dBm]		
	[MHz]		ANT0	ANT1	MIMO
UNII-5	5985	7	8.19	8.91	11.58
UNII-3	6305	71	7.65	8.58	11.15
UNII-6	6465	103	8.46	8.61	11.55
UNII-7	6705	151	8.17	8.52	11.36
UNII-8	7025	215	7.75	8.31	11.05
	(	GHz WIFI (8	30MHz 802.11b	e MIMO)	
Band	Freq	Channel	Avg. Conducted Powers [dBm]		
	[MHz]		ANT0	ANT1	MIMO
UNII-5	5985	7	8.41	8.98	11.71
UNII-3	6305	71	7.70	8.64	11.21
UNII-6	6465	103	8.59	8.69	11.65
UNII-7	6705	151	8.20	8.55	11.39
UNII-8	7025	215	8.06	8.99	11.56

Justification for test configurations for WLAN per KDB Publication 248227 D01v02r02:

- Power measurements were performed for the transmission mode configuration with the highest maximum output power specified for production units.
- For transmission modes with the same maximum output power specification, powers were measured for the largest channel bandwidth, lowest order modulation and lowest data rate.
- For transmission modes with identical maximum specified output power, channel bandwidth, modulation and data rates, power measurements were required for all identical configurations.
- For each transmission mode configuration, powers were measured for the highest and lowest channels; and at the mid-band channel(s) when there were at least 3 channels supported. For configurations with multiple mid-band channels, due to an even number of channels, both channels were measured.




Figure 7-1
Power Measurement Setup

FCC ID: A3LNP940XMA	SAR EVALUATION REPORT	Approved by: Technical Manager
<b>Document S/N:</b> 1M2403190019-01.A3L(R1)	DUT Type: Portable Laptop	Page 20 of 38
~		DE1/ 00 0



## 7.2 Bluetooth Conducted Powers

Table 7-5
Bluetooth Maximum Average RF Power – Antenna 0

Frequency [MHz]	Data Rate [Mbps]	Mod.	Power Scheme	Channel No.	Avg Conducted Power				
					[dBm]	[mW]			
2402	1.0	GFSK	ePA	0	16.12	40.926			
2441	1.0	GFSK	ePA	39	16.57	45.394			
2480	1.0	GFSK	ePA	78	17.10	51.286			
2402	2.0	π/4-DQPSK	ePA	0	13.30	21.380			
2441	2.0	π/4-DQPSK	ePA	39	13.79	23.933			
2480	2.0	π/4-DQPSK	ePA	78	14.13	25.882			
2402	3.0	8DPSK	ePA	0	13.23	21.038			
2441	3.0	8DPSK	ePA	39	13.81	24.044			
2480	3.0	8DPSK	ePA	78	14.15	26.002			

Table 7-6
Bluetooth Maximum Average RF Power – Antenna 1

Frequency [MHz]	Data Rate [Mbps]	Mod.	Power Scheme	Channel No.	Avg Conducted Power				
					[dBm]	[mW]			
2402	1.0	GFSK	ePA	0	16.26	42.267			
2441	1.0	GFSK	ePA	39	17.00	50.119			
2480	1.0	GFSK	ePA	78	17.00	50.119			
2402	2.0	π/4-DQPSK	ePA	0	13.44	22.080			
2441	2.0	π/4-DQPSK	ePA	39	14.21	26.363			
2480	2.0	π/4-DQPSK	ePA	78	14.26	26.669			
2402	3.0	8DPSK	ePA	0	13.49	22.336			
2441	3.0	8DPSK	ePA	39	14.19	26.242			
2480	3.0	8DPSK	ePA	78	14.29	26.853			

FCC ID: A3LNP940XMA	SAR EVALUATION REPORT	Approved by: Technical Manager
Document S/N: 1M2403190019-01.A3L(R1)	DUT Type: Portable Laptop	Page 21 of 38






Figure 7-2
Bluetooth Transmission Plot – Antenna 0

Equation 7-1 Bluetooth Antenna 0 Duty Cycle Calculation  $Duty\ Cycle = \frac{Pulse\ Width}{Period}*100\% = \frac{2.89ms}{3.75ms}*100\% = 77.07\%$ 

D 100	FCC ID: A3LNP940XMA	SAR EVALUATION REPORT	Approved by: Technical Manager	
Document S/N:   DUT Type:   Page 22 of 38   Page 22 of 38	Document S/N: 1M2403190019-01.A3L(R1)	DUT Type: Portable Laptop	Page 22 of 38	






Figure 7-3
Bluetooth Transmission Plot – Antenna 1

# Equation 7-2 Bluetooth Antenna 1 Duty Cycle Calculation $Duty \, Cycle = \frac{Pulse \, Width}{Period} * 100\% = \frac{2.88ms}{3.75ms} * 100\% = 76.80\%$ Bluetooth Signaling Test Set Signal Analyzer Wireless Device

Figure 7-4
Power Measurement Setup

FCC ID: A3LNP940XMA	SAR EVALUATION REPORT	Approved by: Technical Manager
Document S/N: 1M2403190019-01.A3L(R1)	DUT Type: Portable Laptop	Page 23 of 38



# **8 SYSTEM VERIFICATION**

### 8.1 Tissue Verification

Table 8-1
Measured Head Tissue Properties

	IVICa.	sui <del>c</del> u	Hea	uii	33uc	гтор	יבו נוכ	,3	
Calibrated for	Tissue Type	Tissue Temp	Measured	Measured	Measured	TARGET	TARGET	% dev σ	% dev c
Tests Performed on:	risade Type	During Calibration (°C)	Frequency (MHz)	Conductivity, σ (S/m)	Dielectric Constant, ε	Conductivity, σ (S/m)	Dielectric Constant, ε	% dev 0	76 GEV 2
			2300 2310	1.695	40.288	1.670 1.679	39.500 39.480	1.50%	1.99%
			2310	1.703	40.271 40.251	1.687	39.460	1.42%	2.00%
			2400	1.771	40.112	1.756	39.289	0.85%	2.09%
			2450 2480	1.807	40.025 39.980	1.800	39.200 39.162	0.39%	2.10%
			2500	1.842	39.945	1.855	39.136	-0.70%	2.07%
04/11/2024	2450 Head	21.1	2510 2535	1.850 1.870	39.931 39.896	1.866 1.893	39.123 39.092	-0.86% -1.22%	2.07%
			2550	1.881	39.879	1.909	39.073	-1.47%	2.06%
			2560	1.889	39.868 39.813	1.920	39.060 39.009	-1.61%	2.07%
			2600 2650	1.922	39.813	1.964 2.018	39.009 38.945	-2.14%	2.05%
			2690	1.991	39.683	2.051	38.907	-2.93%	1.99%
			2700 2300	2.008 1.701	39.645	2.073	38.882 39.500	-3.14% 1.86%	1.96%
			2310	1,710	40.058	1.679	39.480	1.85%	1.45%
			2320 2400	1.718 1.779	40.049	1.687 1.756	39.460 39.289	1.84%	1.49%
			2450	1.822	39.855	1.800	39.200	1.22%	1.67%
			2480	1.845	39.822	1.833	39.162	0.65%	1.69%
04/18/2024	2450 Head	19.7	2500 2510	1.860 1.867	39.778 39.752	1.855 1.866	39.136 39.123	0.27%	1.64%
			2535	1.888	39.689	1.893	39.092	-0.26%	1.53%
			2550 2560	1.902	39.666	1.909 1.920	39.073 39.060	-0.37% -0.47%	1.52%
			2600	1.911 1.943	39.599	1.964	39.009	-1.07%	1.51%
			2650	1.982	39.478	2.018	38.945	-1.78%	1.37%
			2680 2700	2.010	39.439 39.425	2.051	38.907 38.882	-2.00%	1.37%
			5180	4.480	35.224	4.635	36.009	-3.34%	-2.18%
			5190 5200	4.492	35.207	4.645 4.655	35.998 35.986	-3.29% -3.20%	-2.20%
	1	1	5200 5210	4.506 4.518	35.186 35.164	4.666	35.986 35.975	-3.17%	-2.22%
	1	1	5220	4.529	35.140	4.676	35.963	-3.14%	-2.25% -2.29%
	1	1	5240 5250	4.551 4.562	35.118 35.114	4.696 4.706	35.940 35.929	-3.09% -3.06%	-2.29%
	1	1	5260	4.570	35.099	4.717	35.917	-3.12%	-2.28%
	1	1	5270 5280	4.577 4.587	35.071	4.727	35.906 35.894	-3.17%	-2.33%
	1	1	5280 5290	4.587 4.599	35.007	4.737 4.748	35.894 35.883	-3.17% -3.14%	-2.40%
			5300 5310	4.615 4.631	34.987	4.758 4.768	35.871 35.860	-3.01% -2.87%	-2.46%
			5310	4.642	34.979	4.768	35.860 35.849	-2.87% -2.85%	-2.46%
			5500	4.844	34.662	4.963	35.643	-2.40%	-2.75%
			5510 5520	4.854	34.653	4.973	35.632 35.630	-2.39%	-2.75%
			5530	4.866	34.622	4.994	35.609	-2.56%	-2.77%
			5540 5550	4.876 4.891	34.593 34.559	5.004 5.014	35.597 35.586	-2.56% -2.45%	-2.82%
			5560	4.891	34.559	5.024	35.586	-2.35%	-2.93%
			5580	4.933	34.508	5.045 5.065	35.551	-2.22%	-2.93%
			5600 5610	4.952 4.961	34.491 34.474	5.065	35.529 35.518	-2.23%	-2.92%
			5620	4.971	34.444	5.086	35.506	-2.26%	-2.99%
			5640 5660	4.995 5.023	34.391 34.367	5.106 5.127	35.483 35.460	-2.17%	-3.08% -3.08%
04/01/2024	5200-5800 Head	20.9	5670	5.034	34.353	5.127	35.449	-2.03%	-3.09%
			5680	5.042	34.339	5.147	35.437	-2.04%	-3.10%
			5690 5700	5.050 5.059	34.323 34.304	5.158 5.168	35.426 35.414	-2.09%	-3.11% -3.13%
			5710	5.073	34.289	5.178	35.403	-2.03%	-3.15%
			5720 5745	5.085 5.113	34.264 34.210	5.188 5.214	35.391 35.363	-1.99% -1.94%	-3.18% -3.26%
			5750	5.121 5.129	34.204	5.219	35.357	-1 88%	-3.26%
			5755	5.129	34.203	5.224	35.351	-1.82% -1.74%	-3.25%
			5765 5775	5.143 5.150	34.198 34.184	5.234 5.245	35.340 35.329	-1.74%	-3.23% -3.24%
			5785 5795	5.157	34.162	5.255	35.317	-1.86%	-3.27%
			5795 5800	5.168 5.174	34.140 34.124	5.265 5.270	35.305 35.300	-1.84% -1.82%	-3.30% -3.33%
			5800	5.174	34.124	5.270	35.300	-1.82%	-3.33%
			5805 5825	5.180	34.110	5.275	35.294 35.271	-1.80% -1.72%	-3.35%
			5835	5.218	34.059	5.305	35.230	-1.64%	-3.32%
			5845 5850	5.228	34.048	5.315	35.210 35.200	-1.64%	-3.30%
			5850 5855	5.233 5.237	34.044	5.320 5.325	35.200 35.197	-1.64% -1.65%	-3.28% -3.29%
	1	1	5865	5.246	34.025	5.336	35.190	-1.69%	-3.31%
			5865 5865	5.246 5.246	34.025 34.025	5.336 5.336	35.190 35.190	-1.69% -1.69%	-3.31%
	1	1	5865	5.246	34.025	5.336	35.190	-1.69%	-3.31%
	1	1	5875 5885	5.259 5.273	34.000 33.974	5.347 5.357	35.183 35.177	-1.65% -1.57%	-3.36% -3.42%
			5905	5.292	33.948	5.379	35.163	-1.62%	-3.46%
			5935	5.470	34.241	5.411	35.143	1.09%	-2.57%
	1	1	5970 5985	5.512 5.522	34.170 34.147	5.448 5.464	35.120 35.110	1.17%	-2.71%
			6000	5.554	34.127 34.162	5.464 5.480 5.510	35.100 35.070	1.35%	-2.77%
			6025 6065	5.632 5.660	34.162 34.087	5.510 5.557	35.070 35.022	1.85%	-2.59% -2.67%
	1	1	6075	5.654	34.020	5.569	35.010	1.53%	-2.83%
	1	1	6085 6185	5.666 5.795	33.990 33.842	5.580 5.698	34.998 34.878	1.54%	-2.88%
			6185	5.927	33.842	5.805	34.770	2 10%	-3.27%
	1	1	6285	5.922	33.640	5.816	34.758	1.82%	-3.22%
	1	1	6305 6345	5.949 6.021	33.590 33.480	5.840 5.887	34.734 34.686	1.87%	-3.29% -3.48%
			6475	6.179	33.252	6.041	34.530	1.89%	-4.93%
04/08/2024	6000 Head	20.1	6485	6.206	33.267	6.052	34.518	2.54%	-3.62%
04/08/2024	1	1	6500 6505	6.208 6.202	33.245 33.247	6.070 6.076	34.500 34.494	2.27%	-3.64% -3.62%
	1	1	6545	6.248	33.051	6.122	34.446	2.05%	-4.05%
	1	1	6665 6675	6.379 6.404	32.887 32.844	6.265 6.273	34.302 34.290	1.82%	-4.13% -4.22%
	1	1	6685	6.423	32.844 32.811	6.285	34.278	2.20%	-4.28%
	1	1	6715	6.455	32.865	6.319	34.242	2.15%	-4.02%
	1	1	6785 6825	6.533 6.591	32.633 32.626	6.400 6.447	34.158 34.110	2.08%	-4.46% -4.35%
			6985	6.748	32.409	6.633	33.918	1.73%	-4.45%
		I	6995	6.747	32.367	6.644	33.906	1.55%	-4.54%
			7000						
			7000 7005 7025	6.751 6.760	32.330 32.299	6.650 6.656 6.680	33.900 33.894 33.870	1.52% 1.56% 1.89%	-4.63% -4.71% -4.93%

The above measured tissue parameters were used in the DASY software. The DASY software was used to perform interpolation to determine the dielectric parameters at the SAR test device frequencies (per KDB Publication 865664 D01v01r04 and IEEE 1528-2013 6.6.1.2. The tissue parameters listed in the SAR test plots may slightly differ from the table above due to significant digit rounding in the software.

FCC ID: A3LNP940XMA	SAR EVALUATION REPORT	Approved by: Technical Manager
<b>Document S/N:</b> 1M2403190019-01.A3L(R1)	DUT Type: Portable Laptop	Page 24 of 38



## 8.2 Test System Verification

Prior to SAR assessment, the system is verified to  $\pm 10\%$  of the SAR measurement on the reference dipole at the time of calibration by the calibration facility. Full system validation status and result summary can be found in the SAR System Validation Appendix.

Table 8-2 System Verification Results

SAR System	Tissue Frequency (MHz)	Tissue Type	Date	Amb. Temp. (C)	Liquid Temp. (C)	Input Power (W)	Source SN	Probe SN	DAE	Measured SAR 1g (W/kg)	1a (W/ka)	1W Normalized SAR 1g (W/kg)	(%)	Measured SAR 10g (W/kg)	1W Target SAR 10g (W/kg)	1W Normalized SAR 10g (W/kg)	Deviation 10g	Measured APD 4 cm <sup>2</sup> (W/m <sup>2</sup> )	1W Target APD 4 cm <sup>2</sup> (W/m <sup>2</sup> )	1W Normalized APD 4 cm <sup>2</sup>	Deviation APD 4 cm <sup>2</sup> (%)
K2	2450	HEAD	04/11/2024	20.7	21.1	0.10	882	7547	1322	5.200	53.000	52.000	-1.89%	2.430	24.900	24.300	-2.41%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
0	2450	HEAD	04/18/2024	21.3	19.7	0.10	719	7803	1533	5.250	55.000	52.500	-4.55%	2.450	25.700	24.500	-4.67%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
0	5250	HEAD	04/01/2024	22.3	20.9	0.05	1057	7803	1533	3.820	79.400	76.400	-3.78%	1.100	22.700	22.000	-3.08%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
0	5600	HEAD	04/01/2024	22.3	20.9	0.05	1057	7803	1533	4.050	82.800	81.000	-2.17%	1.160	23.600	23.200	-1.69%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
0	5750	HEAD	04/01/2024	22.3	20.9	0.05	1057	7803	1533	3.670	79.800	73.400	-8.02%	1.050	22.700	21.000	-7.49%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
0	5850	HEAD	04/01/2024	22.3	20.9	0.05	1057	7803	1533	3.820	81.500	76.400	-6.62%	1.070	23.000	21.400	-6.96%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
R	6500	HEAD	04/08/2024	20.5	19.7	0.03	1018	7410	1638	7.670	293.000	306.800	4.71%	1.420	53.900	56.800	5.38%	34.5	1310	1380	5.37%




Figure 8-1
System Verification Setup Diagram



Figure 8-2
System Verification Setup Photo

FCC ID: A3LNP940XMA	SAR EVALUATION REPORT	Approved by: Technical Manager
<b>Document S/N:</b> 1M2403190019-01.A3L(R1)	DUT Type: Portable Laptop	Page 25 of 38



### 8.3 Power Density Test System Verification

The system was verified to be within ±0.66 dB of the power density targets on the calibration certificate according to the test system specification in the user's manual and calibration facility recommendation. The 0.66 dB deviation threshold represents the expanded uncertainty for system performance checks using SPEAG's mmWave verification sources. The same spatial resolution and measurement region used in the source calibration was applied during the system check.

The measured power density distribution of verification source was also confirmed through visual inspection to have no noticeable differences, both spatially (shape) and numerically (level) from the distribution provided by the manufacturer, per November 2017 TCBC Workshop Notes.




Figure 8-3
System Verification Setup Photo

# Table 8-3 10 GHz Verifications

						System Ver	rification				
System	Frequency	Date	Source	Probe	Prad	Normal psPD (W	/m² over 4 cm²)	Deviation (dB)	Total psPD (W	Deviation (dB)	
System	(GHz)	Dute	S/N	S/N	(mW)	Measured	Target	Deviation (ab)	Measured	Target	Deviation (ub)
Q	10	04/08/2024	1002	9622	93.3	52.20	54.60	-0.20	52.50	54.90	-0.19

Note: A 10 mm distance spacing was used from the reference horn antenna aperture to the probe element.

FCC ID: A3LNP940XMA	SAR EVALUATION REPORT	Approved by: Technical Manager
Document S/N: 1M2403190019-01.A3L(R1)	DUT Type: Portable Laptop	Page 26 of 38



# 9 SAR DATA SUMMARY

### 9.1 2.4 GHz WLAN SISO Standalone SAR

### Table 9-1 2.4 GHz WLAN Antenna 1 Body

Exposure	Band / Mode	Bandwidth [MHz]	Service / Modulation	Ant.	Serial Number	Duty Cycle [%]	Power Drift [dB]	Frequency [MHz]	Channel #	Data Rate [Mbps]	Max Allowed Power [dBm]	Conducted Power [dBm]	Test Position			Power Scaling Factor	Duty Cycle Scaling Factor	Reported 1g SAR [W/kg]	
Body	Body 2.4 GHz WIFI/ IEEE 802.11b 22 DSSS 1 0041K 99.85 -0.06 2412.00 1 1 15.0 14.35								5 Bottom 0 0.445 1.161 1.002 0.518 A						A1				
ANSI/IEEE C95.1 1992 - SAFETY UMIT Spatial Peak Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population														Body V/kg (mW/g ed over 1 gr					

### Table 9-2 2.4 GHz WLAN MIMO Body

Exposure	Band / Mode	Bandwidth [MHz]	Service / Modulation	Ant.	Serial Number	Duty Cycle [%]	Power Drift [dB]	Frequency [MHz]	Channel#	Data Rate [Mbps]	Max Allowed Power [dBm]	Conducted Power [dBm]	Allowed Power (2nd		lest Position	Spacing [mm]	Measured 1g SAR [W/kg]	Power Scaling Factor	Duty Cycle Scaling Factor		Plot#
Body	2.4 GHz WIFI/ IEEE 802.11b	22	DSSS	MIMO	00041K	99.85	0.01	2412.00	1	1	15.0	14.64	15.0	14.17	Bottom	0	0.362	1.211	1.002	0.439	
				ANSI/IE	EE C95.1 199	2 - SAFETY L	MIT											Body			
					Spatial I	Peak											1.6 V	V/kg (mW/g	:)		
			Un	controll	ed Exposure/	/General Pop	ulation										averag	ed over 1 gr	am		
Note: To achieve the 18 o	IRm maximum allowed MIMO nov	vor shown in	the documentation of	ach ante	nna transmit	ts at a mavim	hawolle mu	nower of 15	dRm												

### 9.2 5 GHz WLAN Standalone SAR

### Table 9-3 5 GHz WLAN MIMO Body

Exposure	Band / Mode	Bandwidth [MHz]	Service / Modulation	Ant.	Serial Number	Duty Cycle [%]	Power Drift [dB]	Frequency [MHz]	Channel #	U-NII band	Data Rate [Mbps]	Max Allowed Power [dBm]	Power	Power (2nd	Conducted Power (2nd ant) [dBm]	Test Position	Spacing [mm]	Measured 1g SAR [W/kg]	Power Scaling Factor	Duty Cycle Scaling Factor	Reported 1g SAR [W/kg]	
Body	5 GHz WIFI/ IEEE 802.11ac	80	OFDM	MIMO	0015V	99.67	0.03	5290.00	58	U-NII-2A	58.5	12.0	11.49	12.0	11.16	Bottom	0	0.375	1.213	1.003	0.456	
Body	5 GHz WIFI/ IEEE 802.11ac	80	OFDM	MIMO	0015V	99.67	-0.12	5690.00	138	U-NII-2C	58.5	12.0	11.75	12.0	10.64	Bottom	0	0.406	1.368	1.003	0.557	
Body	5 GHz WIFI/ IEEE 802.11ac	80	OFDM	MIMO	0015V	99.67	-0.17	5775.00	155	U-NII-3	58.5	12.0	11.55	12.0	11.01	Bottom	0	0.408	1.256	1.003	0.514	
Body	5 GHz WIFI/ IEEE 802.11ac	80	OFDM	MIMO	0015V	99.67	-0.11	5855.00	171	U-NII-4	58.5	12.0	11.57	12.0	11.34	Bottom	0	0.480	1.164	1.003	0.560	A2
	ANSI/IEEE C95.1 1992 - SAFETY LIMIT															Body						
					Sp	atial Peak												1.6 V	V/kg (mW/g	:)		
				Unco	ntrolled Expo	osure/Genera	I Populatio											averag	ed over 1 gr	am		

### 9.3 6 GHz Standalone SAR and APD

### Table 9-4 6 GHz WLAN MIMO Body

Exposure	Band / Mode	Bandwidth [MHz]	Service / Modulation	Ant.	Serial Number	Duty Cycle [%]	Power Drift [dB]	Frequency [MHz]	Channel #	Data Rate	Max Allowed Power [dBm]	Conducted Power [dBm]	Max Allowed Power (2nd ant) [dBm]	Conducted Power (2nd ant) [dBm]	Test Position	Spacing [mm]	Measured 1g SAR [W/kg]	Power Scaling Factor	Duty Cycle Scaling Factor		Plot#
Body	6 GHz WIFI/ IEEE 802.11ax	80		MIMO	0407A	99.69	0.11	5985.00	7	MCS0	9.0	8.19	9.0	8.91	Bottom	0	0.361	1.205	1.003	0.436	
Body	6 GHz WIFI/ IEEE 802.11ax	80	OFDM	MIMO	0407A	99.69	0.03	6305.00	71	MCS0	9.0	7.65	9.0	8.58	Bottom	0	0.430	1.365	1.003	0.589	A3
Body	6 GHz WIFI/ IEEE 802.11ax	80	OFDM	MIMO	0407A	99.69	0.06	6465.00	103	MCS0	9.0	8.46	9.0	8.61	Bottom	0	0.327	1.132	1.003	0.371	
Body	6 GHz WIFI/ IEEE 802.11ax	80	OFDM	MIMO	0407A	99.69	-0.18	6705.00	151	MCS0	9.0	8.17	9.0	8.52	Bottom	0	0.365	1.211	1.003	0.443	
Body	6 GHz WIFI/ IEEE 802.11ax	80	OFDM	MIMO	0407A	99.69	0.07	7025.00	215	MCS0	9.0	7.75	9.0	8.31	Bottom	0	0.291	1.334 Body	1.003	0.389	
Note: To achieve the 12 of	ARSI/IEEE C95.1 1922 - SAFETY LIMIT Spatial Peak Uncontrolled Exposury/General Population we the 12 dBm maximum allowed MIMO power shown in the documentation, each antenna transmits at a maximum allowed power of 9 dBm.																	V/kg (mW/g ed over 1 gra			
Exposure	Band/ Mode	Bandwidth [MHz]	Service/ Modulation	Ant.	Serial Number	Duty Cycle [%]	Power Drift [dB]	Frequency [MHz]	Channel#	Data Rate	Max Allowed Power [dBm]	Conducted Power [dBm]	Max Allowed Power (2nd ant) [dBm]	Conducted Power (2nd ant) [dBm]	Test Position	Spacing [mm]	Measured APD [W/m² (4cm²)]	Power Scaling Factor	Duty Cycle Scaling Factor	Reported APD [W/m² (4cm²)]	Plot#
Body	6 GHz WIFI/ IEEE 802.11ax	80	OFDM	MIMO	0407A	99.69	0.11	5985.00	7	MCS0	9.0	8.19	9.0	8.91	Bottom	0	2.100	1.205	1.003	2.538	
Body	6 GHz WIFI/ IEEE 802.11ax	80	OFDM	MIMO	0407A	99.69	0.03	6305.00	71	MCS0	9.0	7.65	9.0	8.58	Bottom	0	2.660	1.365	1.003	3.642	A3
Body	6 GHz WIFI/ IEEE 802.11ax	80	OFDM	MIMO	0407A	99.69	0.06	6465.00	103	MCS0	9.0	8.46	9.0	8.61	Bottom	0	1.900	1.132	1.003	2.157	
Body	6 GHz WIFI/ IEEE 802.11ax	80	OFDM	MIMO	0407A	99.69	-0.18	6705.00	151	MCS0	9.0	8.17	9.0	8.52	Bottom	0	2.290	1.211	1.003	2.782	
Body	6 GHz WIFI/ IEEE 802.11ax	80	OFDM	MIMO	0407A	99.69	0.07	7025.00	215	MCS0	9.0	7.75	9.0	8.31	Bottom		1.890	1.334	1.003	2.529	

FCC ID: A3LNP940XMA	SAR EVALUATION REPORT	Approved by: Technical Manager
Document S/N: 1M2403190019-01.A3L(R1)	DUT Type: Portable Laptop	Page 27 of 38



### 9.4 Bluetooth SISO Standalone SAR

# Table 9-5 Bluetooth Antenna 0 Body

Exposure	Band / Mode	Service / Modulation	Ant.	Serial Number	Duty Cycle [%]	Power Drift [dB]	Frequency [MHz]	Channel#	Data Rate [Mbps]	Max Allowed Power [dBm]	Conducted Power [dBm]	Test Position		Measured 1g SAR [W/kg]	Power Scaling Factor	Duty Cycle Scaling Factor	Reported 1g SAR [W/kg]	
Body	2.4 GHz Bluetooth	FHSS	0	0032M	77.07	0.01	2480.00	78	1	17.5	17.10	Bottom	0	0.380	1.096	1.025	0.427	
		ANSI/I	IEEE C95	5.1 1992 - SA	FETY LIMIT										Body			
	Spatial Peak													1.6 W	/kg (mW/g)			
		Uncontro	lled Exp	osure/Gener	ral Population	n								average	ed over 1 gra	m		

# Table 9-6 Bluetooth Antenna 1 Body

Exposure	Band / Mode	Service / Modulation	Ant.	Serial Number	Duty Cycle [%]	Power Drift [dB]	Frequency [MHz]	Channel#	Data Rate [Mbps]	Max Allowed Power [dBm]	Conducted Power [dBm]	Test Position		Measured 1g SAR [W/kg]	Power Scaling Factor	Duty Cycle Scaling Factor		Plot #
Body	2.4 GHz Bluetooth	FHSS	1	0032M	76.80	-0.01	2441.00	39	1	17.5	17.00	Bottom	0	0.411	1.122	1.016	0.469	
		ANSI/I	IEEE C95	.1 1992 - SAI	FETY LIMIT										Body			
			S	patial Peak										1.6 W	/kg (mW/g)			
		Uncontro	lled Exp	osure/Gener	ral Population	n								average	ed over 1 gra	m		

### 9.5 SAR Test Notes

### General Notes:

- 1. The test data reported are the worst-case SAR values according to test procedures specified in IEEE 1528-2013, FCC KDB Publication 447498 D04v01, and FCC KDB Publication 616217 D04v01r02.
- 2. Batteries are fully charged at the beginning of the SAR measurements.
- 3. Liquid tissue depth was at least 15.0 cm for all frequencies.
- 4. The manufacturer has confirmed that the device(s) tested have the same physical, mechanical and thermal characteristics and are within operational tolerances expected for production units.
- 5. SAR results were scaled to the maximum allowed power to demonstrate compliance per FCC KDB Publication 447498 D04v01.
- 6. Per FCC KDB Publication 865664 D01v01r04, variability SAR tests were not required since measured SAR results for all frequency bands were less than 0.8 W/kg. Please see Section 11 for variability analysis.
- 7. FCC KDB Publication 616217 D04v01r02 Section 4.2, When antennas are incorporated in the keyboard section of a laptop computer, SAR is required for the bottom surface of the keyboard. Provided tablet use conditions are not supported by the laptop computer, SAR tests for bystander exposure from the edges of the keyboard and display screen of laptop computers are generally not required. The SAR Exclusion Threshold in FCC KDB 447498 D04v01 was applied to determine SAR test exclusion for adjacent edge configurations.
- 8. The orange highlights throughout the report represent the highest scaled SAR per Equipment Class.

### WLAN Notes:

- Justification for test configurations for WLAN per KDB Publication 248227 D01v02r02 for 2.4 GHz WIFI
  single transmission chain operations, the highest measured maximum output power channel for DSSS
  was selected for SAR measurement. SAR for OFDM modes (2.4 GHz 802.11g/n/ax/be) was not required
  due to the maximum allowed powers and the highest reported DSSS SAR. See Section 6.2.4 for more
  information.
- Justification for test configurations for WLAN per KDB Publication 248227 D01v02r02 for 5 GHz WIFI
  operations, the initial test configuration was selected according to the transmission mode with the highest
  maximum allowed powers. Other transmission modes were not investigated since the highest reported
  SAR for initial test configuration adjusted by the ratio of maximum output powers is less than 1.2 W/kg for
  1g evaluations. See Section 6.2.5 for more information.

FCC ID: A3LNP940XMA	SAR EVALUATION REPORT	Approved by: Technical Manager
Document S/N: 1M2403190019-01.A3L(R1)	DUT Type: Portable Laptop	Page 28 of 38



- 3. Per KDB Publication 248227 D01v02r02, SAR for MIMO was evaluated by following the simultaneous SAR provisions from KDB Publication 447498 D04v01 by either evaluating the sum of the 1g SAR values of each antenna transmitting independently or making a SAR measurement with both antennas transmitting simultaneously. Please see the Multi-Tx and Antenna SAR Considerations Appendix for complete analysis.
- 4. When the maximum reported 1g averaged SAR is ≤0.8 W/kg, SAR testing on additional channels was not required. Otherwise, SAR for the next highest output power channel was required until the reported SAR result was ≤ 1.20 W/kg for 1g evaluations or all test channels were measured.
- 5. The device was configured to transmit continuously at the required data rate, channel bandwidth and signal modulation, using the highest transmission duty factor supported by the test mode tools. The reported SAR was scaled to the 100% transmission duty factor to determine compliance. Procedures used to measure the duty factor are identical to that in the associated EMC test reports.
- 6. Per FCC guidance, SAR was performed using 6.5 GHz SAR probe calibration factors. Per October 2020 TCB Workshop notes, 5 channels were tested.

### **Bluetooth Notes**

Bluetooth SAR was measured with the device connected to a call box with hopping disabled with DH5
operation and Tx Tests test mode type. Per October 2016 TCB Workshop Notes, the reported SAR was
scaled to the 79% transmission duty factor for Bluetooth Antenna 0 and 78% transmission duty factor for
Bluetooth Antenna 1 to determine compliance. See RF Conducted Power Section for the time domain plot
and calculation for the duty factor of the device.

Document S/N: DUT Type:	: A3LNP940XMA	FCC ID: A3LNP940XMA	SAR EVALUATION REPORT	Approved by: Technical Manager	
1M2403190019-01.A3L(R1) Portable Laptop Page 29 of 38		Document S/N:         DUT Type:           1M2403190019-01.A3L(R1)         Portable Laptop		Page 29 of 38	



## 10 POWER DENSITY DATA SUMMARY

### 10.1 6 GHz WIFI Power Density Results

### Table 10-1 6 GHz WLAN Laptop

												MEASUREM	ENT RESULT	3											
Frequency (MHz)	Channel	Mode	Service	Bandwidth [MHz]	Maximum Allowed Power (Ant 1) [dBm]	Conducted Power (Ant 1) [dBm]	Maximum Allowed Power (Ant 2) [dBm]	Conducted Power (Ant 2) [dBm]	Power Drift (dB)	Spacing (mm)	Antenna Config.	DUT Serial Number	Data Rate (Mbps)	Side	Duty Cycle (%)	Grid Step (A)	iPD (W/m²)	Scaling Factor for Measurement Uncertainty per IEC 62479	Scaling Factor (Power)	Scaling Factor (Duty Cycle)	Normal psPD (W/m²)	Scaled Normal psPD (W/m²)	Total psPD (W/m²)	Scaled Total psPD (W/m²)	Plot #
5985.00	7	802.11ax	OFDM	80	9.00	8.19	9.00	8.91	0.20	2	мімо	0716P	MCS0	Bottom	99.69	0.125	2.030	1.554	1.205	1.003	2.390	4.489	2.680	5.034	A5
5985.00	7	802.11ax	OFDM	80	9.00	8.19	9.00	8.91	0.16	10.02	МІМО	0716P	MCS0	Bottom	99.69	0.125	0.886	1.554	1.205	1.003	0.632	1.187	0.687	1.290	
6305.00	71	802.11ax	OFDM	80	9.00	7.65	9.00	8.58	-0.14	2	мімо	0716P	MCS0	Bottom	99.69	0.125		1.554	1.365	1.003	2.380	5.064	2.590	5.510	
6465.00	103	802.11ax	OFDM	80	9.00	8.46	9.00	8.61	0.03	2	МІМО	0716P	MCS0	Bottom	99.69	0.125		1.554	1.132	1.003	1.570	2.770	1.860	3.282	
6705.00	151	802.11ax	OFDM	80	9.00	8.17	9.00	8.52	-0.10	2	МІМО	0716P	MCS0	Bottom	99.69	0.125		1.554	1.211	1.003	1.970	3.718	2.320	4.379	
7025.00	215	802.11ax	OFDM	80	9.00	7.75	9.00	8.31	-0.12	2	мімо	0716P	MCS0	Bottom	99.69	0.125		1.554	1.334	1.003	1.120	2.329	1.280	2.661	
					Spat	310 - SAFETY LIMIT tial Average sure / General Pop													Power Density 10 W/m² raged over 4 cm²						

### **Power Density General Notes**

- 1. The manufacturer has confirmed that the devices tested have the same physical, mechanical and thermal characteristics and are within operational tolerances expected for production units.
- 2. Batteries are fully charged at the beginning of the measurements. The DUT was connected to a wall charger for some measurements due to the test duration. It was confirmed that the charger plugged into this DUT did not impact the near-field PD test results.
- Power density was calculated by repeated E-field measurements on two measurement planes separated by λ/4.
- 4. The device was configured to transmit continuously at the required data rate, channel bandwidth and signal modulation, using the highest transmission duty factor supported by the test mode tools.
- 5. Per FCC guidance and equipment manufacturer guidance, power density results were scaled according to IEC 62479:2010 for the portion of the measurement uncertainty > 30%. Total expanded uncertainty of 2.68 dB (85.4%) was used to determine the psPD measurement scaling factor.
- 6. Per equipment manufacturer guidance, power density was measured at d=2mm and d=λ/5mm using the same grid size and grid step size for some frequencies and surfaces. The integrated Power Density (iPD) was calculated based on these measurements. Since iPD ratio between the two distances is ≥ -1dB, the grid step was sufficient for determining compliance at d=2mm.
- 7. PTP-PR algorithm was used during psPD measurement and calculations.
- 8. PD results were scaled to the maximum allowed power to demonstrate compliance per FCC KDB Publication 447498 D04.
- 9. Per October 2020 TCB Workshop notes, 5 channels were tested.

FCC ID: A3LNP940XMA	SAR EVALUATION REPORT	Approved by: Technical Manager
Document S/N: 1M2403190019-01.A3L(R1)	DUT Type: Portable Laptop	Page 30 of 38



# 11 SAR MEASUREMENT VARIABILITY

### 11.1 Measurement Variability

FCC KDB Publication 865664 D01v01, SAR measurement variability was not assessed for each frequency band since all measured SAR values are < 0.80 W/kg for 1g SAR and < 2.0 W/kg for 10g SAR.

### 11.2 Measurement Uncertainty

The measured SAR was <1.5 W/kg for 1g for all frequency bands. Therefore, per KDB Publication 865664 D01v01r04, the extended measurement uncertainty analysis per IEEE 1528-2013 was not required.

FCC ID: A3LNP940XMA	SAR EVALUATION REPORT	Approved by: Technical Manager
Document S/N: 1M2403190019-01.A3L(R1)	DUT Type: Portable Laptop	Page 31 of 38



# 12 EQUIPMENT LIST

Manufacturer	Model	Description	Cal Date	Cal Interval	Cal Due	Serial Number
Agilent	E4404B	Spectrum Analyzer	N/A	N/A	N/A	MY45113242
Agilent	E4438C	ESG Vector Signal Generator	10/10/2023	Annual	10/10/2024	MY42082659
Agilent	E4438C	ESG Vector Signal Generator	11/15/2023	Annual	11/15/2024	MY45092078
Agilent	N5182A	MXG Vector Signal Generator	11/14/2023	Annual	11/14/2024	US46240505
Agilent	N5182A	MXG Vector Signal Generator	7/4/2023	Annual	7/4/2024	MY48180366
Agilent	8753ES	S-Parameter Vector Network Analyzer	7/21/2023	Annual	7/21/2024	US39170118
Agilent	8753ES	S-Parameter Vector Network Analyzer	1/10/2024	Annual	1/10/2025	MY40001472
Amplifier Research	15S1G6	Amplifier	CBT	N/A	CBT	343972
Amplifier Research	15S1G6	Amplifier	CBT	N/A	CBT	433971
Amplifier Research	150A100C	Amplifier	CBT	N/A	CBT	350132
Anritsu	MN8110B	I/O Adaptor	CBT	N/A	CBT	6261747881
Anritsu	ML2496A	Power Meter	6/15/2023	Annual	6/15/2024	1138001
Anritsu	MA2411B	Pulse Power Sensor	6/14/2023	Annual	6/14/2024	1911105
Anritsu	MA2411B	Pulse Power Sensor	6/15/2023	Annual	6/15/2024	1126066
Anritsu	MA24106A	USB Power Sensor	4/21/2023	Annual	4/21/2024	1349503
Anritsu	MA24106A	USB Power Sensor	10/31/2023	Annual	10/31/2024	1248508
Anritsu	MA24106A	USB Power Sensor	7/4/2023	Annual	7/4/2024	1244512
Control Company	4040	Therm./ Clock/ Humidity Monitor	1/15/2024	Annual	1/15/2025	160574418
Mitutoyo	500-196-30	CD-6"ASX 6Inch Digital Caliper	2/16/2022	Triennial	2/16/2025	A20238413
Keysight Technologies	N6705B	DC Power Analyzer	5/5/2021	Triennial	5/5/2024	MY53004059
Keysight Technologies	N9020A	MXA Signal Analyzer	10/17/2023	Annual	10/17/2024	MY51240479
Keysight Technologies	N9020A	MXA Signal Analyzer	4/6/2023	Annual	4/6/2024	MY48010233
Agilent	N9020A	MXA Signal Analyzer	10/17/2023	Annual	10/17/2024	MY51240479
Mini-Circuits	VLF-2950+	Low Pass Filter DC to 2700 MHz	CBT	N/A	CBT	N/A
Mini-Circuits	VLF-6000+	Low Pass Filter DC to 6000 MHz	CBT	N/A	CBT	31634
Mini-Circuits	ZUDC10-83-S+	Directional Coupler	CBT	N/A	CBT	2050
Mini-Circuits	ZUDC10-83-S+	Directional Coupler	7/5/2023	Annual	7/5/2024	2111
Seekonk	TSF-100	Torque Wrench	6/30/2023	Annual	6/30/2024	47639-29
Seekonk	TSF-100	Torque Wrench	6/30/2023	Annual	6/30/2024	47639-1256
SPEAG	DAK-3.5	Dielectric Assessment Kit	11/13/2023	Annual	11/13/2024	1277
SPEAG	DAK-3.5	Dielectric Assessment Kit	5/9/2023	Annual	5/9/2024	1070
SPEAG	DAKS-3.5	Portable Dielectric Assessment Kit	8/14/2023	Annual	8/14/2024	1041
SPEAG	MAIA	Modulation and Audio Interference Analyzer	N/A	N/A	N/A	1379
SPEAG	MAIA	Modulation and Audio Interference Analyzer	N/A	N/A	N/A	1243
SPEAG	5G Verification Source 10GHz	10GHz System Verification Antenna	3/5/2024	Annual	3/5/2025	1002
SPEAG	D2450V2	2450 MHz SAR Dipole	8/18/2021	Triennial	8/18/2024	719
SPEAG	D2450V2	2450 MHz SAR Dipole	2/8/2024	Triennial	2/8/2025	882
SPEAG	D5GHzV2	5 GHz SAR Dipole	2/21/2024	Annual	2/21/2025	1057
SPEAG	D6.5GHzV2	6.5 GHz SAR Dipole	1/10/2024	Annual	1/10/2025	1018
SPEAG	DAE4ip	Dasy Data Acquisition Electronics	10/18/2023	Annual	10/18/2024	1638
SPEAG	DAE4	Dasy Data Acquisition Electronics	1/9/2024	Annual	1/9/2025	1533
SPEAG	DAE4ip	Dasy Data Acquisition Electronics	11/15/2023	Annual	11/15/2024	1639
SPEAG	DAE4	Dasy Data Acquisition Electronics	10/18/2023	Annual	10/18/2024	1322
SPEAG	EX3DV4	SAR Probe	1/11/2024	Annual	1/11/2025	7803
SPEAG	EX3DV4	SAR Probe	7/7/2023	Annual	7/7/2024	7410
SPEAG	EUmmWV4	EUmmWV4 Probe	2/2/2024	Annual	2/2/2025	9622
SPEAG	EX3DV4	SAR Probe	10/23/2023	Annual	10/23/2024	7547

Note: 1) All equipment was used solely within its respective calibration period. 2) CBT (Calibrated Before Testing). Prior to testing, the measurement paths containing a cable, amplifier, attenuator, coupler or filter were connected to a calibrated source (i.e. a signal generator) to determine the losses of the measurement path. The power meter offset was then adjusted to compensate for the measurement system losses. This level offset is stored within the power meter before measurements are made. This calibration verification procedure applies to the system verification and output power measurements. The calibrated reading is then taken directly from the power meter after compensation of the losses for all final power measurements.

FCC ID: A3LNP940XMA	SAR EVALUATION REPORT	Approved by: Technical Manager
Document S/N: 1M2403190019-01.A3L(R1)	DUT Type: Portable Laptop	Page 32 of 38



# 13 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTIES

### Applicable for SAR Measurements < 6 GHz:

				f(d,k)			c x f/e	c x g/e	
	IEEE	Tol.	Prob.		Ci	Ci	1gm	10gms	
Uncertainty Component	1528 Sec.	(± %)	Dist.	Div.	1gm	10 gms	u <sub>i</sub>	u <sub>i</sub>	v <sub>i</sub>
	000.						(± %)	(± %)	
Measurement System									
Probe Calibration	E.2.1	7	Ν	1	1	1	7.0	7.0	∞
Axial Isotropy	E.2.2	0.25	Ν	1	0.7	0.7	0.2	0.2	∞
Hemishperical Isotropy	E.2.2	1.3	Ν	1	0.7	0.7	0.9	0.9	∞
Boundary Effect	E.2.3	2	R	1.73	1	1	1.2	1.2	∞
Linearity	E.2.4	0.3	Ν	1	1	1	0.3	0.3	8
System Detection Limits	E.2.4	0.25	R	1.73	1	1	0.1	0.1	8
Modulation Response	E.2.5	4.8	R	1.73	1	1	2.8	2.8	8
Readout Electronics	E.2.6	0.3	Ν	1	1	1	0.3	0.3	8
Response Time	E.2.7	0.8	R	1.73	1	1	0.5	0.5	8
Integration Time	E.2.8	2.6	R	1.73	1	1	1.5	1.5	∞
RF Ambient Conditions - Noise	E.6.1	3	R	1.73	1	1	1. <i>7</i>	1. <i>7</i>	8
RF Ambient Conditions - Reflections	E.6.1	3	R	1.73	1	1	1. <i>7</i>	1.7	∞
Probe Positioner Mechanical Tolerance	E.6.2	0.8	R	1.73	1	1	0.5	0.5	8
Probe Positioning w/ respect to Phantom	E.6.3	6.7	R	1.73	1	1	3.9	3.9	∞
Extrapolation, Interpolation & Integration algorithms for Max. SAR Evaluation	E.5	4	R	1.73	1	1	2.3	2.3	8
Test Sample Related									
Test Sample Positioning	E.4.2	3.12	N	1	1	1	3.1	3.1	35
Device Holder Uncertainty	E.4.1	1.67	Ν	1	1	1	1. <i>7</i>	1. <i>7</i>	5
Output Power Variation - SAR drift measurement	E.2.9	5	R	1.73	1	1	2.9	2.9	∞
SAR Scaling	E.6.5	0	R	1.73	1	1	0.0	0.0	∞
Phantom & Tissue Parameters									
Phantom Uncertainty (Shape & Thickness tolerances)	E.3.1	7.6	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	4.4	4.4	8
Liquid Conductivity - measurement uncertainty	E.3.3	4.3	Ν	1	0.78	0.71	3.3	3.0	76
Liquid Permittivity - measurement uncertainty	E.3.3	4.2	Ν	1	0.23	0.26	1.0	1.1	75
Liquid Conductivity - Temperature Uncertainty	E.3.4	3.4	R	1.73	0.78	0.71	1.5	1.4	∞
Liquid Permittivity - Temperature Unceritainty	E.3.4	0.6	R	1.73	0.23	0.26	0.1	0.1	∞
Liquid Conductivity - deviation from target values	E.3.2	5.0	R	1.73	0.64	0.43	1.8	1.2	- 8
Liquid Permittivity - deviation from target values	E.3.2	5.0	R	1.73	0.60	0.49	1.7	1.4	∞
Combined Standard Uncertainty (k=1)	1		RSS	1	<u>I</u>		12.2	12.0	191
Expanded Uncertainty k=2					24.4	24.0			
(95% CONFIDENCE LEVEL)									

The above measurement uncertainties are according to IEEE Std. 1528-2013

FCC ID: A3LNP940XMA	SAR EVALUATION REPORT	Approved by: Technical Manager
Document S/N: 1M2403190019-01.A3L(R1)	DUT Type: Portable Laptop	Page 33 of 38



### Applicable for SAR Measurements > 6 GHz:

	e for SAR Measurements > 6 GHz:	1 '	1	l	1	1	1		I	ı
Measurement System   Probe Calibration   Secondary   Dist.					f(d,k)			c x f/e	c x g/e	
Measurement System   E.2.1   9.3   N   1   1   1   9.7   0.2   0.2			Tol.	Prob.		ci	c <sub>i</sub>	1gm	10gms	
Probe Calibration	Uncertainty Component		(± %)	Dist.	Div.	1gm	10 gms	u <sub>i</sub>	u <sub>i</sub>	vi
Probe Calibration								(± %)	(± %)	
Axial Isotropy	Measurement System									
Hemishperical Isotropy   E.2.2   1.3   N   1   0.7   0.7   0.9   0.9	Probe Calibration	E.2.1	9.3	Ν	1	1	1	9.3	9.3	∞
Boundary Effect	Axial Isotropy	E.2.2	0.25	Z	1	0.7	0.7	0.2	0.2	8
Eight   Eigh		E.2.2	1.3	N	1	0.7	0.7	0.9	0.9	8
System Detection Limits	3oundary Effect	E.2.3	2	R	1.73	1	1	1.2	1.2	∞
Modulation Response	_inearity	E.2.4	0.3	N	1	1	1	0.3	0.3	∞
Readout Electronics         E.2.6         0.3         N         1         1         1         0.3         0.3           Response Time         E.2.7         0.8         R         1.73         1         1         0.5         0.5           Integration Time         E.2.8         2.6         R         1.73         1         1         1.5         1.5           RF Ambient Conditions - Noise         E.6.1         3         R         1.73         1         1         1.7         1.7           RF Ambient Conditions - Reflections         E.6.1         3         R         1.73         1         1         1.7         1.7           Probe Positioner Mechanical Tolerance         E.6.2         0.8         R         1.73         1         1         0.5         0.5           Probe Positioning W/ respect to Phantom         E.6.3         6.7         R         1.73         1         1         3.9         3.9           Extrapolation, Interpolation & Integration algorithms for Max. SAR Evaluation         E.5         4         R         1.73         1         1         3.1         3.1         1         2.3         2.3           Test Sample Related           Test Sample Positioning<	System Detection Limits	E.2.4	0.25	R	1.73	1	1	0.1	0.1	8
E.2.7   0.8   R   1.73   1   1   0.5   0.5	Modulation Response	E.2.5	4.8	R	1.73	1	1	2.8	2.8	∞
E.2.8   2.6   R   1.73   1   1   1.5   1.5   1.5   RF Ambient Conditions - Noise   E.6.1   3   R   1.73   1   1   1.7   1.7   1.7   RF Ambient Conditions - Reflections   E.6.1   3   R   1.73   1   1   1.7   1	Readout Electronics	E.2.6	0.3	Ν	1	1	1	0.3	0.3	∞
RF Ambient Conditions - Noise         E.6.1         3         R         1.73         1         1         1.7         1.7           RF Ambient Conditions - Reflections         E.6.1         3         R         1.73         1         1         1.7         1.7           Probe Positioner Mechanical Tolerance         E.6.2         0.8         R         1.73         1         1         0.5         0.5           Probe Positioning w/ respect to Phantom         E.6.3         6.7         R         1.73         1         1         3.9         3.9           Extrapolation, Interpolation & Integration algorithms for Max. SAR Evaluation         E.6.3         6.7         R         1.73         1         1         3.9         3.9           Extrapolation, Interpolation & Integration algorithms for Max. SAR Evaluation         E.6.3         6.7         R         1.73         1         1         3.9         3.9           Extrapolation, Interpolation & Integration algorithms for Max. SAR Evaluation         E.6.3         6.7         R         1.73         1         1         2.3         2.3           Test Sample Related         E.5.2         8         1.6         R         1.73         1         1         1.7         1.7         1.7	Response Time	E.2.7	0.8	R	1.73	1	1	0.5	0.5	∞
RF Ambient Conditions - Reflections   E.6.1   3   R   1.73   1   1   1.7   1.7	ntegration Time	E.2.8	2.6	R	1.73	1	1	1.5	1.5	∞
Probe Positioner Mechanical Tolerance         E.6.2         0.8         R         1.73         1         1         0.5         0.5           Probe Positioning w/ respect to Phantom         E.6.3         6.7         R         1.73         1         1         3.9         3.9           Extrapolation, Interpolation & Integration algorithms for Max. SAR Evaluation         E.5         4         R         1.73         1         1         2.3         2.3           Test Sample Related           Test Sample Positioning         E.4.2         3.12         N         1         1         1         3.1         3.1           Device Holder Uncertainty         E.4.1         1.67         N         1         1         1         1.7         1.7           Output Power Variation - SAR drift measurement         E.2.9         5         R         1.73         1         1         2.9         2.9           SAR Scaling         E.6.5         0         R         1.73         1         1         0.0         0.0           Phantom Uncertainty (Shape & Thickness tolerances)         E.3.1         7.6         R         1.73         1.0         1.0         4.4         4.4           Liquid Conducti	RF Ambient Conditions - Noise	E.6.1	3	R	1.73	1	1	1.7	1.7	∞
Probe Positioning w/ respect to Phantom   E.6.3   6.7   R   1.73   1   1   3.9   3.9	RF Ambient Conditions - Reflections	E.6.1	3	R	1.73	1	1	1.7	1.7	∞
Extrapolation, Interpolation & Integration algorithms for Max. SAR Evaluation  Test Sample Related  Test Sample Positioning  E.4.2 3.12 N 1 1 1 3.1 3.1 3.1  Device Holder Uncertainty  E.4.1 1.67 N 1 1 1 1 1.7 1.7  Output Power Variation - SAR drift measurement E.2.9 5 R 1.73 1 1 2.9 2.9  SAR Scaling  E.5.5 O R 1.73 1 1 0.0 0.0  Phantom & Tissue Parameters  Phantom Uncertainty (Shape & Thickness tolerances)  E.3.1 7.6 R 1.73 1.0 1.0 4.4 4.4  Liquid Conductivity - measurement uncertainty  E.3.3 4.3 N 1 0.78 0.71 3.3 3.0  Liquid Permittivity - measurement uncertainty  E.3.4 3.4 R 1.73 0.78 0.71 1.5 1.4  Liquid Conductivity - Temperature Uncertainty  E.3.4 0.6 R 1.73 0.23 0.26 0.1 0.1  Liquid Permittivity - Temperature Uncertainty  E.3.4 0.6 R 1.73 0.64 0.43 1.8 1.2  Liquid Conductivity - deviation from target values  E.3.2 5.0 R 1.73 0.60 0.49 1.7 1.4  Combined Standard Uncertainty (k=1)	Probe Positioner Mechanical Tolerance	E.6.2	0.8	R	1.73	1	1	0.5	0.5	∞
Max. SAR Evaluation         E.S         4         R         1.73         1         1         2.3         2.3           Test Sample Related           Test Sample Positioning         E.4.2         3.12         N         1         1         1         3.1         3.1           Device Holder Uncertainty         E.4.1         1.67         N         1         1         1         1.7         1.7           Output Power Variation - SAR drift measurement         E.2.9         5         R         1.73         1         1         2.9         2.9           SAR Scaling         E.6.5         0         R         1.73         1         1         0.0         0.0           Phantom & Tissue Parameters           Phantom Uncertainty (Shape & Thickness tolerances)         E.3.1         7.6         R         1.73         1.0         1.0         4.4         4.4           Liquid Conductivity - measurement uncertainty         E.3.3         4.3         N         1         0.78         0.71         3.3         3.0           Liquid Permittivity - measurement uncertainty         E.3.4         3.4         R         1.73         0.78         0.71	Probe Positioning w/ respect to Phantom	E.6.3	6.7	R	1.73	1	1	3.9	3.9	∞
Test Sample Positioning         E.4.2         3.12         N         1         1         1         3.1         3.1           Device Holder Uncertainty         E.4.1         1.67         N         1         1         1         1.7         1.7           Output Power Variation - SAR drift measurement         E.2.9         5         R         1.73         1         1         2.9         2.9           SAR Scaling         E.6.5         0         R         1.73         1         1         0.0         0.0           Phantom & Tissue Parameters           Phantom Uncertainty (Shape & Thickness tolerances)         E.3.1         7.6         R         1.73         1.0         1.0         4.4         4.4           Liquid Conductivity - measurement uncertainty         E.3.1         7.6         R         1.73         1.0         1.0         4.4         4.4           Liquid Permittivity - measurement uncertainty         E.3.3         4.2         N         1         0.78         0.71         3.3         3.0           Liquid Conductivity - Temperature Uncertainty         E.3.4         3.4         R         1.73         0.78         0.71         1.5         1.4           Liquid P		r E.5	4	R	1.73	1	1	2.3	2.3	8
Device Holder Uncertainty	- Fest Sample Related									
Output Power Variation - SAR drift measurement         E.2.9         5         R         1.73         1         1         2.9         2.9           SAR Scaling         E.6.5         0         R         1.73         1         1         0.0         0.0           Phantom & Tissue Parameters           Phantom Uncertainty (Shape & Thickness tolerances)         E.3.1         7.6         R         1.73         1.0         1.0         4.4         4.4           Liquid Conductivity - measurement uncertainty         E.3.3         4.3         N         1         0.78         0.71         3.3         3.0           Liquid Permittivity - measurement uncertainty         E.3.3         4.2         N         1         0.23         0.26         1.0         1.1           Liquid Conductivity - Temperature Uncertainty         E.3.4         3.4         R         1.73         0.78         0.71         1.5         1.4           Liquid Permittivity - Temperature Uncertainty         E.3.4         0.6         R         1.73         0.23         0.26         0.1         0.1           Liquid Conductivity - deviation from target values         E.3.2         5.0         R         1.73         0.64         0.43         1.8 <t< td=""><td>Fest Sample Positioning</td><td>E.4.2</td><td>3.12</td><td>Ν</td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>3.1</td><td>3.1</td><td>35</td></t<>	Fest Sample Positioning	E.4.2	3.12	Ν	1	1	1	3.1	3.1	35
SAR Scaling         E.6.5         0         R         1.73         1         1         0.0         0.0           Phantom & Tissue Parameters           Phantom Uncertainty (Shape & Thickness tolerances)         E.3.1         7.6         R         1.73         1.0         1.0         4.4         4.4           Liquid Conductivity - measurement uncertainty         E.3.3         4.3         N         1         0.78         0.71         3.3         3.0           Liquid Permittivity - measurement uncertainty         E.3.3         4.2         N         1         0.23         0.26         1.0         1.1           Liquid Conductivity - Temperature Uncertainty         E.3.4         3.4         R         1.73         0.78         0.71         1.5         1.4           Liquid Permittivity - Temperature Uncertainty         E.3.4         0.6         R         1.73         0.23         0.26         0.1         0.1           Liquid Conductivity - deviation from target values         E.3.2         5.0         R         1.73         0.64         0.43         1.8         1.2           Liquid Permittivity - deviation from target values         E.3.2         5.0         R         1.73         0.60         0.49	Device Holder Uncertainty	E.4.1	1.67	Ν	1	1	1	1.7	1.7	5
Phantom & Tissue Parameters         Phantom Uncertainty (Shape & Thickness tolerances)       E.3.1       7.6       R       1.73       1.0       1.0       4.4       4.4         Liquid Conductivity - measurement uncertainty       E.3.3       4.3       N       1       0.78       0.71       3.3       3.0         Liquid Permittivity - measurement uncertainty       E.3.3       4.2       N       1       0.23       0.26       1.0       1.1         Liquid Conductivity - Temperature Uncertainty       E.3.4       3.4       R       1.73       0.78       0.71       1.5       1.4         Liquid Permittivity - Temperature Uncertainty       E.3.4       0.6       R       1.73       0.23       0.26       0.1       0.1         Liquid Conductivity - deviation from target values       E.3.2       5.0       R       1.73       0.64       0.43       1.8       1.2         Liquid Permittivity - deviation from target values       E.3.2       5.0       R       1.73       0.60       0.49       1.7       1.4         Combined Standard Uncertainty (k=1)       RSS       13.8       13.6	Output Power Variation - SAR drift measurement	E.2.9	5	R	1.73	1	1	2.9	2.9	∞
Phantom Uncertainty (Shape & Thickness tolerances) E.3.1 7.6 R 1.73 1.0 1.0 4.4 4.4  Liquid Conductivity - measurement uncertainty E.3.3 4.3 N 1 0.78 0.71 3.3 3.0  Liquid Permittivity - measurement uncertainty E.3.3 4.2 N 1 0.23 0.26 1.0 1.1  Liquid Conductivity - Temperature Uncertainty E.3.4 3.4 R 1.73 0.78 0.71 1.5 1.4  Liquid Permittivity - Temperature Unceritainty E.3.4 0.6 R 1.73 0.23 0.26 0.1 0.1  Liquid Conductivity - deviation from target values E.3.2 5.0 R 1.73 0.64 0.43 1.8 1.2  Liquid Permittivity - deviation from target values E.3.2 5.0 R 1.73 0.60 0.49 1.7 1.4  Combined Standard Uncertainty (k=1) RSS 13.8 13.6	SAR Scaling	E.6.5	0	R	1.73	1	1	0.0	0.0	∞
Liquid Conductivity - measurement uncertainty       E.3.3       4.3       N       1       0.78       0.71       3.3       3.0         Liquid Permittivity - measurement uncertainty       E.3.3       4.2       N       1       0.23       0.26       1.0       1.1         Liquid Conductivity - Temperature Uncertainty       E.3.4       3.4       R       1.73       0.78       0.71       1.5       1.4         Liquid Permittivity - Temperature Uncertainty       E.3.4       0.6       R       1.73       0.23       0.26       0.1       0.1         Liquid Conductivity - deviation from target values       E.3.2       5.0       R       1.73       0.64       0.43       1.8       1.2         Liquid Permittivity - deviation from target values       E.3.2       5.0       R       1.73       0.60       0.49       1.7       1.4         Combined Standard Uncertainty (k=1)       RSS       13.8       13.6	Phantom & Tissue Parameters									
Liquid Permittivity - measurement uncertainty       E.3.3       4.2       N       1       0.23       0.26       1.0       1.1         Liquid Conductivity - Temperature Uncertainty       E.3.4       3.4       R       1.73       0.78       0.71       1.5       1.4         Liquid Permittivity - Temperature Unceritainty       E.3.4       0.6       R       1.73       0.23       0.26       0.1       0.1         Liquid Conductivity - deviation from target values       E.3.2       5.0       R       1.73       0.64       0.43       1.8       1.2         Liquid Permittivity - deviation from target values       E.3.2       5.0       R       1.73       0.60       0.49       1.7       1.4         Combined Standard Uncertainty (k=1)       RSS       13.8       13.6	Phantom Uncertainty (Shape & Thickness tolerances)	E.3.1	7.6	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	4.4	4.4	8
Liquid Conductivity - Temperature Uncertainty       E.3.4       3.4       R       1.73       0.78       0.71       1.5       1.4         Liquid Permittivity - Temperature Unceritainty       E.3.4       0.6       R       1.73       0.23       0.26       0.1       0.1         Liquid Conductivity - deviation from target values       E.3.2       5.0       R       1.73       0.64       0.43       1.8       1.2         Liquid Permittivity - deviation from target values       E.3.2       5.0       R       1.73       0.60       0.49       1.7       1.4         Combined Standard Uncertainty (k=1)       RSS       13.8       13.6	Liquid Conductivity - measurement uncertainty	E.3.3	4.3	Ν	1	0.78	0.71	3.3	3.0	76
Liquid Permittivity - Temperature Unceritainty       E.3.4       0.6       R       1.73       0.23       0.26       0.1       0.1         Liquid Conductivity - deviation from target values       E.3.2       5.0       R       1.73       0.64       0.43       1.8       1.2         Liquid Permittivity - deviation from target values       E.3.2       5.0       R       1.73       0.60       0.49       1.7       1.4         Combined Standard Uncertainty (k=1)       RSS       13.8       13.6	iquid Permittivity - measurement uncertainty	E.3.3	4.2	N	1	0.23	0.26	1.0	1.1	75
Liquid Permittivity - Temperature Unceritainty       E.3.4       0.6       R       1.73       0.23       0.26       0.1       0.1         Liquid Conductivity - deviation from target values       E.3.2       5.0       R       1.73       0.64       0.43       1.8       1.2         Liquid Permittivity - deviation from target values       E.3.2       5.0       R       1.73       0.60       0.49       1.7       1.4         Combined Standard Uncertainty (k=1)       RSS       13.8       13.6	Liquid Conductivity - Temperature Uncertainty	E.3.4	3.4	R	1.73	0.78	0.71	1.5	1.4	∞
Liquid Conductivity - deviation from target values E.3.2 5.0 R 1.73 0.64 0.43 1.8 1.2 Liquid Permittivity - deviation from target values E.3.2 5.0 R 1.73 0.60 0.49 1.7 1.4 Combined Standard Uncertainty (k=1) RSS 13.8 13.6		E.3.4	0.6	R	1.73	0.23	0.26	0.1	0.1	∞
Liquid Permittivity - deviation from target values E.3.2 5.0 R 1.73 0.60 0.49 1.7 1.4  Combined Standard Uncertainty (k=1) RSS 13.8 13.6	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	E.3.2	5.0	R	1.73	0.64	0.43	1.8	1.2	∞
Combined Standard Uncertainty (k=1)  RSS  13.8  13.6	, ,									∞
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					1					19
Expanded Orice taility $K=2$ $\begin{bmatrix} 2/.0 & 2/.1 \end{bmatrix}$	·									'
(95% CONFIDENCE LEVEL)	'			K=Z				27.0	2/.1	

The above measurement uncertainties are according to IEEE Std. 1528-2013

FCC ID: A3LNP940XMA	SAR EVALUATION REPORT	Approved by: Technical Manager
Document S/N: 1M2403190019-01.A3L(R1)	DUT Type: Portable Laptop	Page 34 of 38



### Applicable for Power Density Measurements:

er Density Measurements:	ь	С	d	e	f =	σ
a			u	C		g
					c x f/e	
	Unc.	Prob.			u <sub>i</sub>	
Uncertainty Component	(± dB)	Dist.	Div.	Ci	(± dB)	Vi
Measurement System	1					
Calibration	0.49	Ν	1	1	0.49	∞
Probe Correction	0.00	R	1.73	1	0.00	8
Frequency Response	0.20	R	1.73	1	0.12	8
Sensor Cross Coupling	0.00	R	1.73	1	0.00	8
Isotropy	0.50	R	1.73	1	0.29	8
Linearity	0.20	R	1.73	1	0.12	8
Probe Scattering	0.00	R	1.73	1	0.00	8
Probe Positioning offset	0.30	R	1.73	1	0.17	8
Probe Positioning Repeatability	0.04	R	1.73	1	0.02	∞
Sensor MechanicalOffset	0.00	R	1.73	1	0.00	8
Probe Spatial Resolution	0.00	R	1.73	1	0.00	∞
Field Impedence Dependance	0.00	R	1.73	1	0.00	∞
Amplitude and Phase Drift	0.00	R	1.73	1	0.00	8
Amplitude and Phase Noise	0.04	R	1.73	1	0.02	8
Measurement Area Truncation	0.00	R	1.73	1	0.00	8
Data Acquisition	0.03	Ν	1	1	0.03	∞
Sampling	0.00	R	1.73	1	0.00	8
Field Reconstruction	2.00	R	1.73	1	1.15	8
Forward Transformation	0.00	R	1.73	1	0.00	8
Power Density Scaling	0.00	R	1.73	1	0.00	8
Spatial Averaging	0.10	R	1.73	1	0.06	8
System Detection Limit	0.04	R	1.73	1	0.02	8
Test Sample Related						
Probe Coupling with DUT	0.00	R	1.73	1	0.00	8
Modulation Response	0.40	R	1.73	1	0.23	8
Integration Time	0.00	R	1.73	1	0.00	8
Response Time	0.00	R	1.73	1	0.00	8
Device Holder Influence	0.10	R	1.73	1	0.06	8
DUT alignment	0.00	R	1.73	1	0.00	8
RF Ambient Conditions	0.04	R	1.73	1	0.02	8
Ambient Reflections	0.04	R	1.73	1	0.02	8
Immunity/Secondary Reception	0.00	R	1.73	1	0.00	8
Drift of DUT	0.21	R	1.73	1	0.12	8
Combined Standard Uncertainty (k=1) RSS						8
Expanded Uncertainty k=2						
(95% CONFIDENCE LEVEL)						

FCC ID: A3LNP940XMA	SAR EVALUATION REPORT	Approved by: Technical Manager
Document S/N: 1M2403190019-01.A3L(R1)	DUT Type: Portable Laptop	Page 35 of 38



### 14 CONCLUSION

### 14.1 Measurement Conclusion

The SAR evaluation indicates that the EUT complies with the RF radiation exposure limits of the FCC and Innovation, Science, and Economic Development Canada, with respect to all parameters subject to this test. These measurements were taken to simulate the RF effects of RF exposure under worst-case conditions. Precise laboratory measures were taken to assure repeatability of the tests. The results and statements relate only to the item(s) tested.

Please note that the absorption and distribution of electromagnetic energy in the body are very complex phenomena that depend on the mass, shape, and size of the body, the orientation of the body with respect to the field vectors, and the electrical properties of both the body and the environment. Other variables that may play a substantial role in possible biological effects are those that characterize the environment (e.g. ambient temperature, air velocity, relative humidity, and body insulation) and those that characterize the individual (e.g. age, gender, activity level, debilitation, or disease). Because various factors may interact with one another to vary the specific biological outcome of an exposure to electromagnetic fields, any protection guide should consider maximal amplification of biological effects as a result of field-body interactions, environmental conditions, and physiological variables. [3]

FCC ID: A3LNP940XMA	SAR EVALUATION REPORT	Approved by: Technical Manager
Document S/N: 1M2403190019-01.A3L(R1)	DUT Type: Portable Laptop	Page 36 of 38



### **REFERENCES**

- Federal Communications Commission, ET Docket 93-62, Guidelines for Evaluating the Environmental Effects of Radiofrequency Radiation, Aug. 1996.
- ANSI/IEEE C95.1-2005, American National Standard safety levels with respect to human exposure to radio frequency electromagnetic fields, 3kHz to 300GHz, New York: IEEE, 2006.
- ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992, American National Standard safety levels with respect to human exposure to radio frequency electromagnetic fields, 3kHz to 300GHz, New York: IEEE, Sept. 1992.
- ANSI/IEEE C95.3-2002, IEEE Recommended Practice for the Measurement of Potentially Hazardous Electromagnetic Fields - RF and Microwave, New York: IEEE, December 2002.
- IEEE Standards Coordinating Committee 39 Standards Coordinating Committee 34 IEEE Std. 1528-2013, IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques.
- NCRP, National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements, Biological Effects and Exposure Criteria for RadioFrequency Electromagnetic Fields, NCRP Report No. 86, 1986. Reprinted Feb. 1995.
- T. Schmid, O. Egger, N. Kuster, Automated E-field scanning system for dosimetric assessments, IEEE Transaction on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, Jan. 1996, pp. 105-113.
- K. Pokovic, T. Schmid, N. Kuster, Robust setup for precise calibration of E-field probes in tissue simulating liquids at mobile communications frequencies, ICECOM97, Oct. 1997, pp. 1 -124.
- K. Pokovic, T. Schmid, and N. Kuster, E-field Probe with improved isotropy in brain simulating liquids, Proceedings of the ELMAR, Zadar, Croatia, June 23-25, 1996, pp. 172-175.
- [10] Schmid & Partner Engineering AG, Application Note: Data Storage and Evaluation, June 1998, p2.
- [11] V. Hombach, K. Meier, M. Burkhardt, E. Kuhn, N. Kuster, The Dependence of EM Energy Absorption upon Human Modeling at 900 MHz, IEEE Transaction on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44 no. 10, Oct. 1996, pp. 1865-1873.
- [12] N. Kuster and Q. Balzano, Energy absorption mechanism by biological bodies in the near field of dipole antennas above 300MHz, IEEE Transaction on Vehicular Technology, vol. 41, no. 1, Feb. 1992, pp. 17-23.
- [13] G. Hartsgrove, A. Kraszewski, A. Surowiec, Simulated Biological Materials for Electromagnetic Radiation Absorption Studies, University of Ottawa, Bioelectromagnetics, Canada: 1987, pp. 29-36.
- [14] Q. Balzano, O. Garay, T. Manning Jr., Electromagnetic Energy Exposure of Simulated Users of Portable Cellular Telephones, IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, vol. 44, no.3, Aug. 1995.
- [15] W. Gander, Computermathematick, Birkhaeuser, Basel, 1992.
- [16] W.H. Press, S.A. Teukolsky, W.T. Vetterling, and B.P. Flannery, Numerical Recipes in C, The Art of Scientific Computing, Second edition, Cambridge University Press, 1992.
- [17] N. Kuster, R. Kastle, T. Schmid, Dosimetric evaluation of mobile communications equipment with known precision, IEEE Transaction on Communications, vol. E80-B, no. 5, May 1997, pp. 645-652.
- [18] CENELEC CLC/SC111B, European Prestandard (prENV 50166-2), Human Exposure to Electromagnetic Fields Highfrequency: 10kHz-300GHz, Jan. 1995.

FCC ID: A3LNP940XMA	SAR EVALUATION REPORT	Approved by: Technical Manager
<b>Document S/N:</b> 1M2403190019-01.A3L(R1)	DUT Type: Portable Laptop	Page 37 of 38
~		DEV / 00 0



- [19] Prof. Dr. Niels Kuster, ETH, Eidgenössische Technische Hoschschule Zürich, Dosimetric Evaluation of the Cellular Phone.
- [20] IEC 62209-1, Measurement procedure for the assessment of specific absorption rate of human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices - Part 1: Devices used next to the ear (Frequency range of 300 MHz to 6 GHz), July 2016.
- [21] Innovation, Science, Economic Development Canada RSS-102 Radio Frequency Exposure Compliance of Radiocommunication Apparatus (All Frequency Bands) Issue 5, March 2015.
- [22] Health Canada Safety Code 6 Limits of Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields in the Frequency Range from 3 kHz - 300 GHz, 2015
- [23] FCC SAR Test Procedures for 2G-3G Devices, Mobile Hotspot and UMPC Devices KDB Publications 941225, D01-D07
- [24] SAR Measurement Guidance for IEEE 802.11 Transmitters, KDB Publication 248227 D01
- [25] FCC SAR Considerations for Handsets with Multiple Transmitters and Antennas, KDB Publications 648474 D03-D04
- [26] FCC SAR Evaluation Considerations for Laptop, Notebook, Netbook and Tablet Computers, FCC KDB Publication 616217 D04
- [27] FCC SAR Measurement and Reporting Requirements for 100MHz 6 GHz, KDB Publications 865664 D01-D02
- [28] FCC General RF Exposure Guidance and SAR Procedures for Dongles, KDB Publication 447498, D01-D02
- [29] Anexo à Resolução No. 533, de 10 de Septembro de 2009.
- [30] IEC 62209-2, Human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices - Human models, instrumentation, and procedures - Part 2: Procedure to determine the specific absorption rate (SAR) for wireless communication devices used in close proximity to the human body (frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz), Mar. 2010.

FCC ID: A3LNP940XMA	SAR EVALUATION REPORT	Approved by: Technical Manager
Document S/N: 1M2403190019-01.A3L(R1)	DUT Type: Portable Laptop	Page 38 of 38