

SAR Compliance Test Report

Test report no.:	Salo_SAR_0504_07	Date of report:	2005-02-03
Template version:	2	Number of pages:	48
Testing laboratory:	TCC Salo P.O. Box 86 Joensuunkatu 7H / Kiila 1B FIN-24101 SALO, FINLAND Tel. +358 (0) 7180 08000 Fax. +358 (0) 7180 45220	Client:	Nokia Finland P.O. Box 86 Joensuunkatu 7E FIN-24101 SALO, FINLAND Tel. +358 (0) 7180 08000 Fax. +358 (0) 7180 44277
Responsible test engineer:	Virpi Tuominen	Product contact person:	Timo Seppälä
Measurements made by:	Janne Hirsimäki, Virpi Tuominen		
Tested device:	RA-4		
FCC ID:	PYARA-4	IC:	661V-RA4
Supplement reports:	-		
Testing has been carried out in accordance with:	47CFR §2.1093 Radiofrequency Radiation Exposure Evaluation: Portable Devices FCC OET Bulletin 65 (Edition 97-01), Supplement C (Edition 01-01) Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields RSS-102 Evaluation Procedure for Mobile and Portable Radio Transmitters with Respect to Health Canada's Safety Code 6 for Exposure of Humans to Radio Frequency Fields IEEE 1528 - 2003 IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques		
Documentation:	The documentation of the testing performed on the tested devices is archived for 15 years at TCC Nokia.		
Test results:	The tested device complies with the requirements in respect of all parameters subject to the test. The test results and statements relate only to the items tested. The test report shall not be reproduced except in full, without written approval of the laboratory.		
Date and signatures:	2005-02-03		
For the contents:			


Virpi Tuominen
Senior Design Engineer

CONTENTS

1. SUMMARY OF SAR TEST REPORT.....	3
1.1 TEST DETAILS.....	3
1.2 MAXIMUM RESULTS.....	3
1.2.1 Head Configuration.....	3
1.2.2 Body Worn Configuration	3
1.2.3 Maximum Drift	3
1.2.4 Measurement Uncertainty	4
2. DESCRIPTION OF THE DEVICE UNDER TEST	4
2.1 PICTURE OF THE DEVICE.....	4
2.2 DESCRIPTION OF THE ANTENNA	5
3. TEST CONDITIONS	5
3.1 TEMPERATURE AND HUMIDITY	5
3.2 TEST SIGNAL, FREQUENCIES, AND OUTPUT POWER	5
4. DESCRIPTION OF THE TEST EQUIPMENT	5
4.1 MEASUREMENT SYSTEM AND COMPONENTS	5
4.1.1 Isotropic E-field Probe SN: 1395.....	6
4.2 PHANTOMS	7
4.3 SIMULATING LIQUIDS	7
4.3.1 Liquid Recipes.....	7
4.3.2 Verification of the System.....	8
4.3.3 Tissue Simulants used in the Measurements.....	9
5. DESCRIPTION OF THE TEST PROCEDURE	10
5.1 DEVICE HOLDER.....	10
5.2 TEST POSITIONS.....	10
5.2.1 Against Phantom Head.....	10
5.2.2 Body Worn Configuration	11
5.3 SCAN PROCEDURES.....	11
5.4 SAR AVERAGING METHODS.....	12
6. MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY	13
7. RESULTS	14
APPENDIX A: VALIDATION SCANS	16
APPENDIX B: MEASUREMENT SCANS.....	20
APPENDIX C: RELEVANT PAGES FROM PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT(S)	47
APPENDIX D: RELEVANT PAGES FROM DIPOLE VALIDATION KIT REPORT(S)	48

1. SUMMARY OF SAR TEST REPORT

1.1 Test Details

Period of test	2005-01-26 to 2005-01-28
SN, HW and SW numbers of tested device	SN: 004400/57/163044/9, HW: 5300, SW: 04.53(00), DUT: 10380
Batteries used in testing	BP-6M, DUT: 10381, 10382
Headsets used in testing	HDS-3, DUT: 06909
Other accessories used in testing	MMC-card, DUT: 10384
State of sample	Prototype unit
Notes	-

1.2 Maximum Results

The maximum measured SAR values for Head configuration and Body Worn configuration are given in section 1.2.1 and 1.2.2 respectively. The device conforms to the requirements of the standard(s) when the maximum measured SAR value is less than or equal to the limit.

1.2.1 Head Configuration

Mode	Ch / f (MHz)	Radiated power	Position	SAR limit (1g avg)	Measured SAR value (1g avg)	Result
GSM850	251 / 848.8	28.10dBm ERP	Left Cheek	1.6 W/kg	0.44 W/kg	PASSED
GSM1900	661 / 1880.0	32.90dBm EIRP	Right Cheek	1.6 W/kg	0.29 W/kg	PASSED

1.2.2 Body Worn Configuration

Mode	Ch / f (MHz)	Radiated power	Separation distance	SAR limit (1g avg)	Measured SAR value (1g avg)	Result
2-slot GPRS850	251 / 848.8	24.04dBm ERP	1.5cm	1.6 W/kg	1.15 W/kg	PASSED
2-slot GPRS1900	661 / 1880.0	31.97dBm EIRP	1.5cm	1.6 W/kg	0.95 W/kg	PASSED

1.2.3 Maximum Drift

Maximum drift during measurements	-0.1 dB
-----------------------------------	---------

1.2.4 Measurement Uncertainty

Extended Uncertainty (k=2) 95%	$\pm 29.8 \%$
--------------------------------	---------------

2. DESCRIPTION OF THE DEVICE UNDER TEST

Device category	Portable				
Exposure environment	General population/uncontrolled				
Modes and Bands of Operation	GSM850	GSM1900	GPRS850 GPRS1900 (GSM)	EGPRS850 EGPRS1900 (EDGE)	BT
Modulation Mode	GMSK	GMSK	GMSK	8PSK	GFSK
Duty Cycle	1/8	1/8	1/8 or 2/8	1/8 or 2/8	
Transmitter Frequency Range (MHz)	824.2 – 848.8	1850.2 – 1909.8	824.2 – 848.8 1850.2 – 1909.8	824.2 – 848.8 1850.2 – 1909.8	2402.0 – 2480.0

Outside of USA and Canada, the transmitter of the device is capable of operating also in GSM1800, which is not part of this filing.

EGPRS mode was not measured, because maximum averaged output power is more than 3 dB lower in EGPRS mode than in GPRS mode.

2.1 Picture of the Device



2.2 Description of the Antenna

The device has an internal patch antenna.

3. TEST CONDITIONS

3.1 Temperature and Humidity

Period of measurement:	2005-01-26 to 2005-01-28
Ambient temperature (°C):	22.1 to 22.4
Ambient humidity (RH %):	30 to 40

3.2 Test Signal, Frequencies, and Output Power

The device was put into operation by using a call tester. Communication between the device and the call tester was established by air link.

The device output power was set to maximum power level for all tests; a fully charged battery was used for every test sequence.

In all operating bands the measurements were performed on lowest, middle and highest channels.

The power output was measured by a separate test laboratory on the same unit as used for SAR testing.

4. DESCRIPTION OF THE TEST EQUIPMENT

4.1 Measurement System and Components

The measurements were performed using an automated near-field scanning system, DASY 4 software version 4.4, manufactured by Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG) in Switzerland. The SAR extrapolation algorithm used in all measurements on the device was the 'worst-case extrapolation' algorithm.

The following table lists calibration dates of SPEAG components:

Test Equipment	Serial Number	Calibration interval	Calibration expiry
DAE V1	372	12 months	08/2005
E-field Probe ET3DV6	1395	12 months	08/2005
Dipole Validation Kit, D835V2	462	24 months	07/2006
Dipole Validation Kit, D1900V2	5d013	24 months	07/2006

Additional test equipment used in testing:

Test Equipment	Model	Serial Number	Calibration interval	Calibration expiry
Signal Generator	SML03	101265	12 months	09/2005
Amplifier	ZHL-42 (SMA)	N072095-5	12 months	07/2005
Power Meter	NRVS	849305/028	12 months	07/2005
Power Sensor	NRV-Z32	839176/020	12 months	07/2005
Call Tester	CMU 200	101111	12 months	09/2005
Call Tester	CMU 200	104983	12 months	04/2005
Vector Network Analyzer	8753E	US38432928	12 months	10/2005
Dielectric Probe Kit	85070B	US33020420	-	-

4.1.1 Isotropic E-field Probe SN: 1395

Construction	Symmetrical design with triangular core Built-in optical fiber for surface detection system Built-in shielding against static charges PEEK enclosure material (resistant to organic solvents, e.g., butyl diglycol)
Calibration	Calibration certificate in Appendix C
Frequency	10 MHz to 3 GHz (dosimetry); Linearity: ± 0.2 dB (30 MHz to 3 GHz)
Optical Surface Detection	± 0.2 mm repeatability in air and clear liquids over diffuse reflecting surfaces
Directivity	± 0.2 dB in HSL (rotation around probe axis) ± 0.4 dB in HSL (rotation normal to probe axis)
Dynamic Range	5 μ W/g to > 100 mW/g; Linearity: ± 0.2 dB

Dimensions	Overall length: 330 mm Tip length: 16 mm Body diameter: 12 mm Tip diameter: 6.8 mm
Application	Distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 2.7 mm General dosimetry up to 3 GHz Compliance tests of mobile phones Fast automatic scanning in arbitrary phantoms

4.2 Phantoms

The phantom used for all tests i.e. for both validation testing and device testing, was the twin-headed "SAM Phantom", manufactured by SPEAG. The phantom conforms to the requirements of IEEE 1528 - 2003.

Validation tests were performed using the flat section, whilst Head SAR tests used the left and right head profile sections. Body SAR testing also used the flat section between the head profiles.

The SPEAG device holder (see Section 5.1) was used to position the device in all tests whilst a tripod was used to position the validation dipoles against the flat section of phantom.

4.3 Simulating Liquids

Recommended values for the dielectric parameters of the simulating liquids are given in IEEE 1528 - 2003 and FCC Supplement C to OET Bulletin 65. All tests were carried out using liquids whose dielectric parameters were within $\pm 5\%$ of the recommended values. All tests were carried out within 24 hours of measuring the dielectric parameters.

The depth of the liquid was 15.0 ± 0.5 cm measured from the ear reference point during validation and device measurements.

4.3.1 Liquid Recipes

The following recipes were used for Head and Body liquids:

800MHz band

Ingredient	Head (% by weight)	Body (% by weight)
Deionised Water	39.74	50.65
HEC	0.25	-
Sugar	58.31	48.21
Preservative	0.15	0.20
Salt	1.55	0.94

1900MHz band

Ingredient	Head (% by weight)	Body (% by weight)
Deionised Water	54.88	69.02
Butyl Diglycol	44.91	30.76
Salt	0.21	0.22

4.3.2 Verification of the System

The manufacturer calibrates the probes annually. Dielectric parameters of the simulating liquids were measured every day using the dielectric probe kit and the network analyser. A SAR measurement was made following the determination of the dielectric parameters of the liquids, using the dipole validation kit. A power level of 250 mW was supplied to the dipole antenna, which was placed under the flat section of the twin SAM phantom. The validation results (dielectric parameters and SAR values) are given in the table below.

System verification, head tissue simulant

f [MHz]	Description	SAR [W/kg], 1g	Dielectric Parameters		Temp [°C]
			ϵ_r	σ [S/m]	
835	Reference result	2.45	41.8	0.92	N/A
	$\pm 10\%$ window	2.21 – 2.70			
	2005-01-26	2.49	40.7	0.90	21.5
1900	Reference result	10.0	39.4	1.44	N/A
	$\pm 10\%$ window	9.00 – 11.00			
	2005-01-27	9.94	38.6	1.37	20.8

System verification, body tissue simulant

f [MHz]	Description	SAR [W/kg], 1g	Dielectric Parameters		Temp [°C]
			ϵ_r	σ [S/m]	
835	Reference result	2.45	54.6	0.99	N/A
	$\pm 10\%$ window	2.21 – 2.70			
	2005-01-27	2.54	53.1	0.94	21.6
1900	Reference result	10.40	52.2	1.58	N/A
	$\pm 10\%$ window	9.36 – 11.44			
	2005-01-28	10.3	52.3	1.52	20.3

Plots of the Verification scans are given in Appendix A.

4.3.3 Tissue Simulants used in the Measurements

Head tissue simulant measurements

f [MHz]	Description	Dielectric Parameters		Temp [°C]
		ϵ_r	σ [S/m]	
836	Recommended value	41.5	0.90	N/A
	$\pm 5\%$ window	39.4 – 43.6	0.86 – 0.95	
	2005-01-26	40.7	0.90	21.0
1880	Recommended value	40.0	1.40	N/A
	$\pm 5\%$ window	38.0 – 42.0	1.33 – 1.47	
	2005-01-27	38.7	1.33	21.0

Body tissue simulant measurements

f [MHz]	Description	Dielectric Parameters		Temp [°C]
		ϵ_r	σ [S/m]	
836	Recommended value	55.2	0.97	N/A
	$\pm 5\%$ window	52.4 – 58.0	0.92 – 1.02	
	2005-01-27	53.1	0.94	21.0
1880	Recommended value	53.3	1.52	N/A
	$\pm 5\%$ window	50.6 – 56.0	1.44 – 1.60	
	2005-01-28	52.4	1.51	21.0

5. DESCRIPTION OF THE TEST PROCEDURE

5.1 Device Holder

The device was placed in the device holder (illustrated below) that is supplied by SPEAG as an integral part of the Dasy system.



Device holder supplied by SPEAG

A Nokia designed spacer (illustrated below) was used to position the device within the SPEAG holder. The spacer positions the device so that the holder has minimal effect on the test results but still holds the device securely. The spacer was removed before the tests.



Nokia spacer

5.2 Test Positions

5.2.1 Against Phantom Head

Measurements were made in “cheek” and “tilt” positions on both the left hand and right hand sides of the phantom.

The positions used in the measurements were according to IEEE 1528 - 2003 "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques".



Photo of the device in "cheek" position



Photo of the device in "tilt" position

5.2.2 Body Worn Configuration

The device was placed in the SPEAG holder using the Nokia spacer and placed below the flat section of the phantom. The distance between the device and the phantom was kept at the separation distance indicated in the photo below using a separate flat spacer that was removed before the start of the measurements. The device was oriented with its antenna facing the phantom since this orientation gave higher results.



Photo of the device positioned for Body SAR measurement.
The spacer was removed for the tests.

5.3 Scan Procedures

First coarse scans were used for determination of the field distribution. Next a cube scan, a minimum of 5x5x7 points covering a cube of at least 30x30x30 mm was performed around the highest E-field value to determine the averaged SAR value. Drift was determined by measuring the same point at the start of the coarse scan and again at the end of the cube scan.

5.4 SAR Averaging Methods

The maximum SAR value was averaged over a cube of tissue using interpolation and extrapolation.

The interpolation, extrapolation and maximum search routines within Dasy4 are all based on the modified Quadratic Shepard's method (Robert J. Renka, "Multivariate Interpolation Of Large Sets Of Scattered Data", University of North Texas ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software, vol. 14, no. 2, June 1988, pp. 139-148).

The interpolation scheme combines a least-square fitted function method with a weighted average method. A trivariate 3-D / bivariate 2-D quadratic function is computed for each measurement point and fitted to neighbouring points by a least-square method. For the cube scan, inverse distance weighting is incorporated to fit distant points more accurately. The interpolating function is finally calculated as a weighted average of the quadratics.

In the cube scan, the interpolation function is used to extrapolate the Peak SAR from the deepest measurement points to the inner surface of the phantom.

6. MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

Table 6.1 – Measurement uncertainty evaluation

Uncertainty Component	Section in IEEE 1528	Tol. (%)	Prob Dist	Div	C_i	$C_i \cdot U_i$ (%)	V_i
Measurement System							
Probe Calibration	E2.1	±5.8	N	1	1	±5.8	∞
Axial Isotropy	E2.2	±4.7	R	√3	$(1-c_p)^{1/2}$	±1.9	∞
Hemispherical Isotropy	E2.2	±9.6	R	√3	$(c_p)^{1/2}$	±3.9	∞
Boundary Effect	E2.3	±8.3	R	√3	1	±4.8	∞
Linearity	E2.4	±4.7	R	√3	1	±2.7	∞
System Detection Limits	E2.5	±1.0	R	√3	1	±0.6	∞
Readout Electronics	E2.6	±1.0	N	1	1	±1.0	∞
Response Time	E2.7	±0.8	R	√3	1	±0.5	∞
Integration Time	E2.8	±2.6	R	√3	1	±1.5	∞
RF Ambient Conditions - Noise	E6.1	±3.0	R	√3	1	±1.7	∞
RF Ambient Conditions - Reflections	E6.1	±3.0	R	√3	1	±1.7	∞
Probe Positioner Mechanical Tolerance	E6.2	±0.4	R	√3	1	±0.2	∞
Probe Positioning with respect to Phantom Shell	E6.3	±2.9	R	√3	1	±1.7	∞
Extrapolation, interpolation and Integration Algorithms for Max. SAR Evaluation	E5.2	±3.9	R	√3	1	±2.3	∞
Test sample Related							
Test Sample Positioning	E4.2.1	±6.0	N	1	1	±6.0	11
Device Holder Uncertainty	E4.1.1	±5.0	N	1	1	±5.0	7
Output Power Variation - SAR drift measurement	6.6.3	±10.0	R	√3	1	±5.8	∞
Phantom and Tissue Parameters							
Phantom Uncertainty (shape and thickness tolerances)	E3.1	±4.0	R	√3	1	±2.3	∞
Liquid Conductivity Target - tolerance	E3.2	±5.0	R	√3	0.64	±1.8	∞
Liquid Conductivity - measurement uncertainty	E3.3	±5.5	N	1	0.64	±3.5	5
Liquid Permittivity Target tolerance	E3.2	±5.0	R	√3	0.6	±1.7	∞
Liquid Permittivity - measurement uncertainty	E3.3	±2.9	N	1	0.6	±1.7	5
Combined Standard Uncertainty			RSS			±14.9	206
Coverage Factor for 95%			k=2				
Expanded Standard Uncertainty						±29.8	

7. RESULTS

The measured Head SAR values for the test device are tabulated below:

850MHz band, Head SAR results

Mode	MMC-card option	Position		SAR, averaged over 1g (W/kg)		
				Ch 128 824.2 MHz	Ch 190 836.6 MHz	Ch 251 848.8 MHz
GSM	Without MMC-card	Power level		27.10 dBm	27.60 dBm	28.10 dBm
		Left	Cheek	0.339	0.379	0.441
			Tilt	-	0.178	-
		Right	Cheek	-	0.362	-
			Tilt	-	0.171	-
GSM	With MMC-card	Left Cheek		0.347	0.378	0.426
GSM	Without MMC-card	Left Cheek with BT active		-	-	0.426

1900MHz band, Head SAR results

Mode	MMC-card option	Position		SAR, averaged over 1g (W/kg)		
				Ch 512 1850.2 MHz	Ch 661 1880.0 MHz	Ch 810 1909.8 MHz
GSM	Without MMC-card	Power level		30.00 dBm	32.90 dBm	31.50 dBm
		Left	Cheek	-	0.252	-
			Tilt	-	0.226	-
		Right	Cheek	0.225	0.275	0.241
			Tilt	-	0.212	-
GSM	With MMC-card	Right Cheek		0.239	0.293	0.246
GSM	With MMC-card	Right Cheek with BT active		-	0.277	-

The measured Body SAR values for the test device are tabulated below:

850MHz band, Body SAR results

Mode	MMC-card option	Body-worn location setup	SAR, averaged over 1g (W/kg)		
			Ch 128 824.2 MHz	Ch 190 836.6 MHz	Ch 251 848.8 MHz
2-slot GPRS	Without MMC-card	Power level	23.04 dBm	23.43 dBm	24.04 dBm
		Without headset	1.04	1.10	1.15
		Headset HDS-3	0.557	0.487	0.560
GSM	Without MMC-card	Power level	27.10 dBm	27.60 dBm	28.10 dBm
		Without headset	0.968	1.02	1.02
2-slot GPRS	With MMC-card	Without headset	1.03	1.04	1.12
2-slot GPRS	Without MMC-card	Without headset with BT active	-	-	1.10

1900MHz band, Body SAR results

Mode	MMC-card option	Body-worn location setup	SAR, averaged over 1g (W/kg)		
			Ch 512 1850.2 MHz	Ch 661 1880.0 MHz	Ch 810 1909.8 MHz
2-slot GPRS	Without MMC-card	Power level	29.40 dBm	31.97 dBm	30.92 dBm
		Without headset	0.861	0.949	0.880
		Headset HDS-3	0.770	0.825	0.803
GSM	Without MMC-card	Power level	30.00 dBm	32.90 dBm	31.50 dBm
		Without headset	-	0.601	-
2-slot GPRS	With MMC-card	Without headset	0.825	0.880	0.877
2-slot GPRS	Without MMC-card	Without headset with BT active	-	0.901	-

Plots of the Measurement scans are given in Appendix B.

APPENDIX A: VALIDATION SCANS

Date: 2005-01-26

Test Laboratory: TCC Nokia

Dipole 835MHz, Type: D835V2, System Performance Check

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 835 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: $f = 835$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.901$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 40.7$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1395; ConvF(6.42, 6.42, 6.42); Calibrated: 26.08.2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn372; Calibrated: 19.08.2004
- Phantom: SAM 2; Type: Twin SAM 040 CA; Serial: TP - 1177
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.4 Build 3; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 130

d=15mm, Pin=249mW, t=21.5 C/Area Scan (61x81x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 2.69 mW/g


d=15mm, Pin=249mW, t=21.5 C/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 56.9 V/m; Power Drift = -0.001 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.72 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 2.49 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 1.62 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.71 mW/g



Date: 2005-01-27

Test Laboratory: TCC Nokia

Dipole 1900MHz, Type: D1900V2, System Performance Check

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 1900 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: $f = 1900$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.37$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 38.6$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1395; ConvF(4.93, 4.93, 4.93); Calibrated: 26.08.2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn372; Calibrated: 19.08.2004
- Phantom: SAM 2; Type: Twin SAM 040 CA; Serial: TP - 1177
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.4 Build 3; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 130

d=15mm, Pin=251mW, t=20.8 C/Area Scan (61x81x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 11.4 mW/g


d=15mm, Pin=251mW, t=20.8 C/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 96.7 V/m; Power Drift = 0.003 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 16.5 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 9.94 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 5.27 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 11 mW/g



Date: 2005-01-27

Test Laboratory: TCC Nokia

Dipole 835MHz, Type: D835V2, System Performance Check

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 835 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: $f = 835 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 0.942 \text{ mho/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 53.1$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1395; ConvF(6.17, 6.17, 6.17); Calibrated: 26.08.2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn372; Calibrated: 19.08.2004
- Phantom: SAM 1; Type: Twin SAM 040 CA; Serial: TP-1179
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.4 Build 3; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 130

d=15mm, Pin=251mW, t=21.6 C/Area Scan (61x81x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 2.75 mW/g


d=15mm, Pin=251mW, t=21.6 C/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 55.7 V/m; Power Drift = -0.0 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.72 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 2.54 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 1.67 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.75 mW/g



Date: 2005-01-28

Test Laboratory: TCC Nokia

Dipole 1900MHz, Type: D1900V2, System Performance Check

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 1900 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: $f = 1900$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.52$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 52.3$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1395; ConvF(4.38, 4.38, 4.38); Calibrated: 26.08.2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn372; Calibrated: 19.08.2004
- Phantom: SAM 1; Type: Twin SAM 040 CA; Serial: TP-1179
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.4 Build 3; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 130

d=15mm, Pin=251mW, t=20.3 C/Area Scan (61x81x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 12 mW/g

d=15mm, Pin=251mW, t=20.3 C/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm


Reference Value = 93.1 V/m; Power Drift = -0.002 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 17.4 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 10.3 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 5.44 mW/g

Maximum averaged SAR over 10 g is located on the boundary of the measurement cube.

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 11.8 mW/g



APPENDIX B: MEASUREMENT SCANS

Date: 2005-01-26

Test Laboratory: TCC Nokia

Type: RA-4, Head Measurement - Left, Worst Case Extrapolation, t=20.6 C, no MMC, no BT

Communication System: GSM850; Frequency: 848.8 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 848.8 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 0.913 \text{ mho/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 40.5$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Left Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1395; ConvF(6.42, 6.42, 6.42); Calibrated: 26.08.2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn372; Calibrated: 19.08.2004
- Phantom: SAM 2; Type: Twin SAM 040 CA; Serial: TP - 1177
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.4 Build 3; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 130

Cheek position/Area Scan (41x101x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

[Info: Interpolated medium parameters used for SAR evaluation!](#)

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.455 mW/g


Cheek position/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=7.5mm, dy=7.5mm, dz=5mm


Reference Value = 16.5 V/m; Power Drift = 0.0 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.666 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.441 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.296 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.453 mW/g





Date: 2005-01-26

Test Laboratory: TCC Nokia

Type: RA-4, Head Measurement - Left, Worst Case Extrapolation, t=21.1 C, no MMC, no BT

Communication System: GSM850; Frequency: 836.6 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 836.6$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.903$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 40.7$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Left Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1395; ConvF(6.42, 6.42, 6.42); Calibrated: 26.08.2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn372; Calibrated: 19.08.2004
- Phantom: SAM 2; Type: Twin SAM 040 CA; Serial: TP - 1177
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.4 Build 3; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 130

Tilt position/Area Scan (41x101x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

[Info: Interpolated medium parameters used for SAR evaluation!](#)

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.172 mW/g

Tilt position/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=7.5mm, dy=7.5mm, dz=5mm


Reference Value = 14.3 V/m; Power Drift = 0.0 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.366 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.178 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.106 mW/g

Maximum averaged SAR over 10 g is located on the boundary of the measurement cube.

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.188 mW/g



Date: 2005-01-26

Test Laboratory: TCC Nokia

Type: RA-4, Head Measurement - Right, Worst Case Extrapolation, $t=21.2$ C, no MMC, no BT

Communication System: GSM850; Frequency: 836.6 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 836.6$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.903$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 40.7$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Right Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1395; ConvF(6.42, 6.42, 6.42); Calibrated: 26.08.2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn372; Calibrated: 19.08.2004
- Phantom: SAM 2; Type: Twin SAM 040 CA; Serial: TP - 1177
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.4 Build 3; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 130

Cheek position/Area Scan (51x101x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15$ mm, $dy=15$ mm

[Info: Interpolated medium parameters used for SAR evaluation!](#)

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.393 mW/g


Cheek position/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=7.5$ mm, $dy=7.5$ mm, $dz=5$ mm

Reference Value = 15.1 V/m; Power Drift = 0.0 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.563 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.362 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.246 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.378 mW/g



Date: 2005-01-26

Test Laboratory: TCC Nokia

Type: RA-4, Head Measurement - Right, Worst Case Extrapolation, t=20.8 C, no MMC, no BT

Communication System: GSM850; Frequency: 836.6 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 836.6 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 0.903 \text{ mho/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 40.7$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Right Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1395; ConvF(6.42, 6.42, 6.42); Calibrated: 26.08.2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn372; Calibrated: 19.08.2004
- Phantom: SAM 2; Type: Twin SAM 040 CA; Serial: TP - 1177
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.4 Build 3; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 130

Tilt position/Area Scan (41x101x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15\text{mm}$, $dy=15\text{mm}$

[Info: Interpolated medium parameters used for SAR evaluation!](#)

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.192 mW/g


Tilt position/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=7.5\text{mm}$, $dy=7.5\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 13.4 V/m; Power Drift = 0.1 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.334 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.171 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.105 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.189 mW/g



Date: 2005-01-26

Test Laboratory: TCC Nokia

Type: RA-4, Head Measurement - Left, Worst Case Extrapolation, t=20.2 C, MMC, no BT

Communication System: GSM850; Frequency: 848.8 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 848.8 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 0.913 \text{ mho/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 40.5$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Left Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1395; ConvF(6.42, 6.42, 6.42); Calibrated: 26.08.2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn372; Calibrated: 19.08.2004
- Phantom: SAM 2; Type: Twin SAM 040 CA; Serial: TP - 1177
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.4 Build 3; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 130

Cheek position/Area Scan (41x101x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15\text{mm}$, $dy=15\text{mm}$

[Info: Interpolated medium parameters used for SAR evaluation!](#)

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.451 mW/g


Cheek position/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=7.5\text{mm}$, $dy=7.5\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 16.5 V/m; Power Drift = -0.1 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.643 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.426 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.288 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.437 mW/g



Date: 2005-01-26

Test Laboratory: TCC Nokia

Type: RA-4, Head Measurement - Left, Worst Case Extrapolation, t=20.2 C, no MMC, BT

Communication System: GSM850; Frequency: 848.8 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 848.8 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 0.913 \text{ mho/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 40.5$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Left Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1395; ConvF(6.42, 6.42, 6.42); Calibrated: 26.08.2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn372; Calibrated: 19.08.2004
- Phantom: SAM 2; Type: Twin SAM 040 CA; Serial: TP - 1177
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.4 Build 3; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 130

Cheek position/Area Scan (41x101x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15\text{mm}$, $dy=15\text{mm}$

[Info: Interpolated medium parameters used for SAR evaluation!](#)

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.443 mW/g


Cheek position/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=7.5\text{mm}$, $dy=7.5\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 16.6 V/m; Power Drift = 0.0 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.643 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.426 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.286 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.442 mW/g



Date: 2005-01-27

Test Laboratory: TCC Nokia

Type: RA-4, Head Measurement - Left, Worst Case Extrapolation, t=20.4 C, no MMC, no BT

Communication System: GSM1900; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium parameters used: $f = 1880$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.33$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 38.7$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Left Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1395; ConvF(4.93, 4.93, 4.93); Calibrated: 26.08.2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn372; Calibrated: 19.08.2004
- Phantom: SAM 2; Type: Twin SAM 040 CA; Serial: TP - 1177
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.4 Build 3; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 130

Cheek position/Area Scan (41x101x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.272 mW/g


Cheek position/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=7.5mm, dy=7.5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 9.14 V/m; Power Drift = 0.0003 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.416 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.252 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.151 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.265 mW/g



Date: 2005-01-27

Test Laboratory: TCC Nokia

Type: RA-4, Head Measurement - Left, Worst Case Extrapolation, t=20.3 C, no MMC, no BT

Communication System: GSM1900; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium parameters used: $f = 1880$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.33$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 38.7$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Left Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1395; ConvF(4.93, 4.93, 4.93); Calibrated: 26.08.2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn372; Calibrated: 19.08.2004
- Phantom: SAM 2; Type: Twin SAM 040 CA; Serial: TP - 1177
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.4 Build 3; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 130

Tilt position/Area Scan (41x101x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.250 mW/g


Tilt position/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=7.5mm, dy=7.5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 11.7 V/m; Power Drift = -0.0 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.465 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.226 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.133 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.236 mW/g



Date: 2005-01-27

Test Laboratory: TCC Nokia

Type: RA-4, Head Measurement - Right, Worst Case Extrapolation, t=20.0 C, no MMC, no BT

Communication System: GSM1900; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium parameters used: $f = 1880$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.33$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 38.7$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Right Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1395; ConvF(4.93, 4.93, 4.93); Calibrated: 26.08.2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn372; Calibrated: 19.08.2004
- Phantom: SAM 2; Type: Twin SAM 040 CA; Serial: TP - 1177
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.4 Build 3; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 130

Cheek position/Area Scan (41x101x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.298 mW/g


Cheek position/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=7.5mm, dy=7.5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 11 V/m; Power Drift = -0.1 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.476 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.275 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.161 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.291 mW/g



Date: 2005-01-27

Test Laboratory: TCC Nokia

Type: RA-4, Head Measurement - Right, Worst Case Extrapolation, t=20.0 C, no MMC, no BT

Communication System: GSM1900; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium parameters used: $f = 1880$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.33$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 38.7$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Right Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1395; ConvF(4.93, 4.93, 4.93); Calibrated: 26.08.2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn372; Calibrated: 19.08.2004
- Phantom: SAM 2; Type: Twin SAM 040 CA; Serial: TP - 1177
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.4 Build 3; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 130

Tilt position/Area Scan (41x101x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.236 mW/g


Tilt position/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=7.5mm, dy=7.5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 12.6 V/m; Power Drift = -0.0 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.423 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.212 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.127 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.223 mW/g



Date: 2005-01-27

Test Laboratory: TCC Nokia

Type: RA-4, Head Measurement - Right, Worst Case Extrapolation, $t=19.6$ C, MMC, no BT

Communication System: GSM1900; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium parameters used: $f = 1880$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.33$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 38.7$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Right Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1395; ConvF(4.93, 4.93, 4.93); Calibrated: 26.08.2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn372; Calibrated: 19.08.2004
- Phantom: SAM 2; Type: Twin SAM 040 CA; Serial: TP - 1177
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.4 Build 3; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 130

Cheek position/Area Scan (41x101x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15$ mm, $dy=15$ mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.315 mW/g


Cheek position/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=7.5$ mm, $dy=7.5$ mm, $dz=5$ mm


Reference Value = 11.1 V/m; Power Drift = -0.0009 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.517 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.293 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.171 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.309 mW/g





Date: 2005-01-27

Test Laboratory: TCC Nokia

Type: RA-4, Head Measurement - Right, Worst Case Extrapolation, t=19.4 C, MMC, BT

Communication System: GSM1900; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium parameters used: $f = 1880$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.33$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 38.7$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Right Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1395; ConvF(4.93, 4.93, 4.93); Calibrated: 26.08.2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn372; Calibrated: 19.08.2004
- Phantom: SAM 2; Type: Twin SAM 040 CA; Serial: TP - 1177
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.4 Build 3; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 130

Cheek position/Area Scan (41x101x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.293 mW/g


Cheek position/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=7.5mm, dy=7.5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 11.1 V/m; Power Drift = -0.0 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.486 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.277 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.162 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.293 mW/g



Date: 2005-01-27

Test Laboratory: TCC Nokia

Type: RA-4, Body measurement, Worst Case Extrapolation, t=21.5 C, no Headset, no MMC, no BT

Communication System: GPRS850; Frequency: 848.8 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:4.2

Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 848.8 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 0.955 \text{ mho/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 52.9$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1395; ConvF(6.17, 6.17, 6.17); Calibrated: 26.08.2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn372; Calibrated: 19.08.2004
- Phantom: SAM 1; Type: Twin SAM 040 CA; Serial: TP-1179
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.4 Build 3; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 130

Body Measurement/Area Scan (41x101x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15\text{mm}$, $dy=15\text{mm}$

[Info: Interpolated medium parameters used for SAR evaluation!](#)

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 1.21 mW/g

Body Measurement/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=7.5\text{mm}$, $dy=7.5\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 24.7 V/m; Power Drift = -0.1 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.72 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 1.15 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.819 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.2 mW/g

Body Measurement/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 1: Measurement grid: $dx=7.5\text{mm}$, $dy=7.5\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$


Reference Value = 24.7 V/m; Power Drift = -0.1 dB


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.49 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.926 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.614 mW/g

Maximum averaged SAR over 1 g is located on the boundary of the measurement cube. Maximum averaged SAR over 10 g is located on the boundary of the measurement cube.

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.03 mW/g





Date: 2005-01-27

Test Laboratory: TCC Nokia

Type: RA-4, Body measurement, Worst Case Extrapolation, t=21.0 C, Headset: HDS-3, no MMC, no BT

Communication System: GPRS850; Frequency: 848.8 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:4.2

Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 848.8 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 0.955 \text{ mho/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 52.9$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1395; ConvF(6.17, 6.17, 6.17); Calibrated: 26.08.2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn372; Calibrated: 19.08.2004
- Phantom: SAM 1; Type: Twin SAM 040 CA; Serial: TP-1179
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.4 Build 3; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 130

Body Measurement/Area Scan (41x101x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

[Info: Interpolated medium parameters used for SAR evaluation!](#)

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.610 mW/g

Body Measurement/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=7.5mm, dy=7.5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 22.4 V/m; Power Drift = -0.0 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.06 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.560 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.342 mW/g

Warning: Maximum averaged SAR over 10 g is located on the boundary of the measurement cube.

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.593 mW/g


Body Measurement/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 1: Measurement grid: dx=7.5mm, dy=7.5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 22.4 V/m; Power Drift = -0.0 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.748 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.495 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.356 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.517 mW/g



Date: 2005-01-27

Test Laboratory: TCC Nokia

Type: RA-4, Body measurement, Worst Case Extrapolation, t=21.0 C, no Headset, MMC, no BT

Communication System: GPRS850; Frequency: 848.8 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:4.2

Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 848.8 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 0.955 \text{ mho/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 52.9$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1395; ConvF(6.17, 6.17, 6.17); Calibrated: 26.08.2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn372; Calibrated: 19.08.2004
- Phantom: SAM 1; Type: Twin SAM 040 CA; Serial: TP-1179
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.4 Build 3; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 130

Body Measurement/Area Scan (41x101x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15\text{mm}$, $dy=15\text{mm}$

[Info: Interpolated medium parameters used for SAR evaluation!](#)

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 1.17 mW/g

Body Measurement/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=7.5\text{mm}$, $dy=7.5\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 24.7 V/m; Power Drift = -0.1 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.73 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 1.12 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.796 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.17 mW/g

Body Measurement/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 1: Measurement grid: $dx=7.5\text{mm}$, $dy=7.5\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$


Reference Value = 24.7 V/m; Power Drift = -0.1 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.45 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.902 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.593 mW/g

Maximum averaged SAR over 1 g is located on the boundary of the measurement cube. Maximum averaged SAR over 10 g is located on the boundary of the measurement cube.

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.02 mW/g



Date: 2005-01-27

Test Laboratory: TCC Nokia

Type: RA-4, Body measurement, Worst Case Extrapolation, t=20.9 C, no Headset, no MMC, BT

Communication System: GPRS850; Frequency: 848.8 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:4.2

Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 848.8 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 0.955 \text{ mho/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 52.9$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1395; ConvF(6.17, 6.17, 6.17); Calibrated: 26.08.2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn372; Calibrated: 19.08.2004
- Phantom: SAM 1; Type: Twin SAM 040 CA; Serial: TP-1179
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.4 Build 3; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 130

Body Measurement/Area Scan (41x101x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15\text{mm}$, $dy=15\text{mm}$

[Info: Interpolated medium parameters used for SAR evaluation!](#)

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 1.15 mW/g

Body Measurement/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=7.5\text{mm}$, $dy=7.5\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 22.4 V/m; Power Drift = 0.008 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.64 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 1.1 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.781 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.15 mW/g

Body Measurement/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 1: Measurement grid: $dx=7.5\text{mm}$, $dy=7.5\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$


Reference Value = 22.4 V/m; Power Drift = 0.008 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.43 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.886 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.590 mW/g

Maximum averaged SAR over 1 g is located on the boundary of the measurement cube. Maximum averaged SAR over 10 g is located on the boundary of the measurement cube.

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1 mW/g



Date: 2005-01-27

Test Laboratory: TCC Nokia

Type: RA-4, Body measurement, Worst Case Extrapolation, t=21.3 C, no Headset, no MMC, no BT

Communication System: GSM850; Frequency: 836.6 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 836.6 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 0.943 \text{ mho/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 53.1$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1395; ConvF(6.17, 6.17, 6.17); Calibrated: 26.08.2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn372; Calibrated: 19.08.2004
- Phantom: SAM 1; Type: Twin SAM 040 CA; Serial: TP-1179
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.4 Build 3; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 130

Body Measurement/Area Scan (41x101x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15\text{mm}$, $dy=15\text{mm}$

[Info: Interpolated medium parameters used for SAR evaluation!](#)

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 1.07 mW/g

Body Measurement/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=7.5\text{mm}$, $dy=7.5\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 22.1 V/m; Power Drift = -0.004 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.58 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 1.02 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.723 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.09 mW/g

Body Measurement/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 1: Measurement grid: $dx=7.5\text{mm}$, $dy=7.5\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$


Reference Value = 22.1 V/m; Power Drift = -0.004 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.37 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.847 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.568 mW/g

Maximum averaged SAR over 1 g is located on the boundary of the measurement cube. Maximum averaged SAR over 10 g is located on the boundary of the measurement cube.

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.945 mW/g



Date: 2005-01-27

Test Laboratory: TCC Nokia

Type: RA-4, Body measurement, Worst Case Extrapolation, t=21.3 C, no Headset, no MMC, no BT

Communication System: GSM850; Frequency: 848.8 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 848.8 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 0.955 \text{ mho/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 52.9$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1395; ConvF(6.17, 6.17, 6.17); Calibrated: 26.08.2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn372; Calibrated: 19.08.2004
- Phantom: SAM 1; Type: Twin SAM 040 CA; Serial: TP-1179
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.4 Build 3; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 130

Body Measurement/Area Scan (41x101x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15\text{mm}$, $dy=15\text{mm}$

[Info: Interpolated medium parameters used for SAR evaluation!](#)

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 1.07 mW/g

Body Measurement/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=7.5\text{mm}$, $dy=7.5\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 23.2 V/m; Power Drift = -0.1 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.55 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 1.02 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.723 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.06 mW/g

Body Measurement/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 1: Measurement grid: $dx=7.5\text{mm}$, $dy=7.5\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$


Reference Value = 23.2 V/m; Power Drift = -0.1 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.38 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.835 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.551 mW/g

Maximum averaged SAR over 1 g is located on the boundary of the measurement cube. Maximum averaged SAR over 10 g is located on the boundary of the measurement cube.

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.945 mW/g



Date: 2005-01-28

Test Laboratory: TCC Nokia

Type: RA-4, Body measurement, Worst Case Extrapolation, t=21.0 C, no Headset, no MMC, no BT

Communication System: GPRS1900; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:4.2

Medium parameters used: $f = 1880 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 1.51 \text{ mho/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 52.4$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1395; ConvF(4.38, 4.38, 4.38); Calibrated: 26.08.2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn372; Calibrated: 19.08.2004
- Phantom: SAM 1; Type: Twin SAM 040 CA; Serial: TP-1179
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.4 Build 3; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 130

Body Measurement/Area Scan (41x101x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15\text{mm}$, $dy=15\text{mm}$

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 1.03 mW/g


Body Measurement/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=7.5\text{mm}$, $dy=7.5\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$


Reference Value = 23.3 V/m; Power Drift = -0.0 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 2.09 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.949 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.554 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.979 mW/g





Date: 2005-01-28

Test Laboratory: TCC Nokia

Type: RA-4, Body measurement, Worst Case Extrapolation, t=20.6 C, Headset: HDS-3, no MMC, no BT

Communication System: GPRS1900; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:4.2

Medium parameters used: $f = 1880 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 1.51 \text{ mho/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 52.4$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1395; ConvF(4.38, 4.38, 4.38); Calibrated: 26.08.2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn372; Calibrated: 19.08.2004
- Phantom: SAM 1; Type: Twin SAM 040 CA; Serial: TP-1179
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.4 Build 3; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 130

Body Measurement/Area Scan (41x101x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15\text{mm}$, $dy=15\text{mm}$

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.911 mW/g


Body Measurement/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=7.5\text{mm}$, $dy=7.5\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 21.5 V/m; Power Drift = -0.1 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.78 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.825 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.481 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.863 mW/g



Date: 2005-01-28

Test Laboratory: TCC Nokia

Type: RA-4, Body measurement, Worst Case Extrapolation, t=20.4 C, no Headset, MMC, no BT

Communication System: GPRS1900; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:4.2

Medium parameters used: $f = 1880 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 1.51 \text{ mho/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 52.4$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1395; ConvF(4.38, 4.38, 4.38); Calibrated: 26.08.2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn372; Calibrated: 19.08.2004
- Phantom: SAM 1; Type: Twin SAM 040 CA; Serial: TP-1179
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.4 Build 3; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 130

Body Measurement/Area Scan (41x101x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15\text{mm}$, $dy=15\text{mm}$

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.949 mW/g


Body Measurement/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=7.5\text{mm}$, $dy=7.5\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 22.2 V/m; Power Drift = -0.005 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.91 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.880 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.514 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.924 mW/g



Date: 2005-01-28

Test Laboratory: TCC Nokia

Type: RA-4, Body measurement, Worst Case Extrapolation, t=20.3 C, no Headset, no MMC, BT

Communication System: GPRS1900; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:4.2

Medium parameters used: $f = 1880 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 1.51 \text{ mho/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 52.4$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1395; ConvF(4.38, 4.38, 4.38); Calibrated: 26.08.2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn372; Calibrated: 19.08.2004
- Phantom: SAM 1; Type: Twin SAM 040 CA; Serial: TP-1179
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.4 Build 3; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 130

Body Measurement/Area Scan (41x101x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15\text{mm}$, $dy=15\text{mm}$

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 1.02 mW/g


Body Measurement/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=7.5\text{mm}$, $dy=7.5\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 21.8 V/m; Power Drift = -0.1 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.95 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.901 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.526 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.928 mW/g



Date: 2005-01-28

Test Laboratory: TCC Nokia

Type: RA-4, Body measurement, Worst Case Extrapolation, t=20.8 C, no Headset, no MMC, no BT

Communication System: GSM1900; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium parameters used: $f = 1880 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 1.51 \text{ mho/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 52.4$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1395; ConvF(4.38, 4.38, 4.38); Calibrated: 26.08.2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn372; Calibrated: 19.08.2004
- Phantom: SAM 1; Type: Twin SAM 040 CA; Serial: TP-1179
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.4 Build 3; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 130

Body Measurement/Area Scan (41x101x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15\text{mm}$, $dy=15\text{mm}$

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.670 mW/g


Body Measurement/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=7.5\text{mm}$, $dy=7.5\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 18.2 V/m; Power Drift = -0.0 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.29 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.601 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.352 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.622 mW/g



APPENDIX C: RELEVANT PAGES FROM PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT(S)

E-field Probe, SN: 1395

See the next three pages

Client

Nokia Salo TCC

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object(s) ET3DV6 - SN:1395

Calibration procedure(s) QA CAL-01.v2
Calibration procedure for dosimetric E-field probes

Calibration date: August 26, 2004

Condition of the calibrated item In Tolerance (according to the specific calibration document)

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI).
The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature 22 +/- 2 degrees Celsius and humidity < 75%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Model Type	ID #	Cal Date (Calibrated by, Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter EPM E4419B	GB41293874	5-May-04 (METAS, No 251-00388)	May-05
Power sensor E4412A	MY41495277	5-May-04 (METAS, No 251-00388)	May-05
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: 5086 (20b)	3-May-04 (METAS, No 251-00389)	May-05
Fluke Process Calibrator Type 702	SN: 6295803	8-Sep-03 (Sintrel SCS No. E030020)	Sep-04
Power sensor HP 8481A	MY41092180	18-Sep-02 (SPEAG, in house check Oct03)	In house check: Oct 05
RF generator HP 8684C	US3642U01700	4-Aug-99 (SPEAG, in house check Aug02)	In house check: Aug05
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585	18-Oct-01 (SPEAG, in house check Oct03)	In house check: Oct 05

	Name	Function	Signature
Calibrated by:	Nico Vetterli	Technician	
Approved by:	Katja Pokovic	Laboratory Director	

Date issued: August 26, 2004

This calibration certificate is issued as an intermediate solution until the accreditation process (based on ISO/IEC 17025 International Standard) for Calibration Laboratory of Schmid & Partner Engineering AG is completed.

DASY - Parameters of Probe: ET3DV6 SN:1395

Sensitivity in Free Space

Diode Compression^A

NormX	1.74 $\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$	DCP X	92	mV
NormY	1.75 $\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$	DCP Y	92	mV
NormZ	1.71 $\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$	DCP Z	92	mV

Sensitivity in Tissue Simulating Liquid (Conversion Factors)

Please see Page 7.

Boundary Effect

Head **900 MHz** Typical SAR gradient: 5 % per mm

Sensor Center to Phantom Surface Distance		3.7 mm	4.7 mm
SAR _{be} [%]	Without Correction Algorithm	10.2	5.0
SAR _{be} [%]	With Correction Algorithm	0.0	0.1

Head **1750 MHz** Typical SAR gradient: 10 % per mm

Sensor Center to Phantom Surface Distance		3.7 mm	4.7 mm
SAR _{be} [%]	Without Correction Algorithm	15.0	9.7
SAR _{be} [%]	With Correction Algorithm	0.1	0.0


Sensor Offset

Probe Tip to Sensor Center	2.7 mm
Optical Surface Detection	in tolerance

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor k=2, which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

^A numerical linearization parameter: uncertainty not required

Conversion Factor Assessment



f [MHz]	Validity [MHz] ^B	Tissue	Permittivity	Conductivity	Alpha	Depth	ConvF Uncertainty
835	785-885	Head	41.5 ± 5%	0.90 ± 5%	0.80	1.73	6.42 ± 9.7% (k=2)
900	850-950	Head	41.5 ± 5%	0.97 ± 5%	0.96	1.58	6.25 ± 9.7% (k=2)
1750	1700-1800	Head	40.0 ± 5%	1.40 ± 5%	0.56	2.55	5.15 ± 9.7% (k=2)
1900	1850-1950	Head	40.0 ± 5%	1.40 ± 5%	0.58	2.72	4.93 ± 9.7% (k=2)
2450	2400-2500	Head	39.2 ± 5%	1.80 ± 5%	1.19	1.73	4.55 ± 9.7% (k=2)
835	785-885	Body	55.2 ± 5%	0.97 ± 5%	0.94	1.61	6.17 ± 9.7% (k=2)
900	850-950	Body	55.0 ± 5%	1.05 ± 5%	0.87	1.71	6.01 ± 9.7% (k=2)
1750	1700-1800	Body	53.3 ± 5%	1.52 ± 5%	0.58	2.80	4.56 ± 9.7% (k=2)
1900	1850-1950	Body	53.3 ± 5%	1.52 ± 5%	0.64	2.78	4.38 ± 9.7% (k=2)
2450	2400-2500	Body	52.7 ± 5%	1.95 ± 5%	1.99	1.20	4.34 ± 9.7% (k=2)

^B The total standard uncertainty is calculated as root-sum-square of standard uncertainty of the Conversion Factor at calibration frequency and the standard uncertainty for the indicated frequency band.

APPENDIX D: RELEVANT PAGES FROM DIPOLE VALIDATION KIT REPORT(S)

- 835MHz Dipole
- 1900MHz Dipole

See the next six pages.

Client

Nokia Salo TCC

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object(s)

D835V2 - SN:462

Calibration procedure(s)

QA CAL-05.v2
Calibration procedure for dipole validation kits

Calibration date:

July 14, 2004

Condition of the calibrated item

In Tolerance (according to the specific calibration document)

This calibration statement documents traceability of M&TE used in the calibration procedures and conformity of the procedures with the ISO/IEC 17025 international standard.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature 22 +/- 2 degrees Celsius and humidity < 75%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Model Type	ID #	Cal Date (Calibrated by, Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter EPM E442	GB37480704	6-Nov-03 (METAS, No. 252-0254)	Nov-04
Power sensor HP 8481A	US37292783	6-Nov-03 (METAS, No. 252-0254)	Nov-04
Power sensor HP 8481A	MY41092317	18-Oct-02 (Agilent, No. 20021018)	Oct-04
RF generator R&S SML-03	100698	27-Mar-2002 (R&S, No. 20-92389)	In house check: Mar-05
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585	18-Oct-01 (SPEAG, in house check Nov-03)	In house check: Oct 05

Calibrated by:

Name
Judith Mueller

Function
Technician

Signature

Approved by:

Katja Pokovic

Laboratory Director

Date issued: July 15, 2004

This calibration certificate is issued as an intermediate solution until the accreditation process (based on ISO/IEC 17025 International Standard) for Calibration Laboratory of Schmid & Partner Engineering AG is completed.

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

DUT: Dipole 835 MHz; Type: D835V2; Serial: D835V2 - SN462

Communication System: CW-835; Frequency: 835 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: HSL 835 MHz;

Medium parameters used: $f = 835 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 0.92 \text{ mho/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 41.8$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DAS4 (High Precision Assessment)

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1507; ConvF(6.3, 6.3, 6.3); Calibrated: 1/23/2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn903; Calibrated: 2/19/2004
- Phantom: Flat Phantom half size; Type: QD000P49AA; Serial: SN:1001;
- Measurement SW: DAS4, V4.3 Build 8; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 117

Pin = 250 mW; d = 15 mm/Area Scan (81x81x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15\text{mm}$, $dy=15\text{mm}$
Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 2.62 mW/g


Pin = 250 mW; d = 15 mm/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=5\text{mm}$, $dy=5\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 55.1 V/m; Power Drift = -0.01 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.72 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 2.45 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 1.59 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.65 mW/g



Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

DUT: Dipole 835 MHz; Type: D835V2; Serial: D835V2 - SN462

Communication System: CW-835; Frequency: 835 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: Muscle 835 MHz;

Medium parameters used: $f = 835 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 0.99 \text{ mho/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 54.6$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY4 (High Precision Assessment)

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1507; ConvF(6.13, 6.13, 6.13); Calibrated: 1/23/2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn903; Calibrated: 2/19/2004
- Phantom: Flat Phantom half size; Type: QD000P49AA; Serial: SN:1001;
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.3 Build 8; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 117

Pin = 250 mW; d = 15 mm/Area Scan (81x81x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15\text{mm}$, $dy=15\text{mm}$
Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 2.64 mW/g


Pin = 250 mW; d = 15 mm/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=5\text{mm}$, $dy=5\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 53.6 V/m; Power Drift = 0.00 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.59 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 2.45 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 1.61 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.66 mW/g



Client

Nokia Salo TCC

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object(s) **D1900V2 - SN:5d013**

Calibration procedure(s) **QA CAL-05.v2
Calibration procedure for dipole validation kits**

Calibration date: **July 13, 2004**



Condition of the calibrated item **In Tolerance (according to the specific calibration document)**

This calibration statement documents traceability of M&TE used in the calibration procedures and conformity of the procedures with the ISO/IEC 17025 international standard.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature 22 +/- 2 degrees Celsius and humidity < 75%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Model Type	ID #	Cal Date (Calibrated by, Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter EPM E442	GB37480704	6-Nov-03 (METAS, No. 252-0254)	Nov-04
Power sensor HP 8481A	US37292783	6-Nov-03 (METAS, No. 252-0254)	Nov-04
Power sensor HP 8481A	MY41092317	18-Oct-02 (Agilent, No. 20021018)	Oct-04
RF generator R&S SML-03	100698	27-Mar-2002 (R&S, No. 20-92389)	In house check: Mar-05
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585	18-Oct-01 (SPEAG, in house check Nov-03)	In house check: Oct 05

	Name	Function	Signature
Calibrated by:	Judith Mueller	Technician	
Approved by:	Katja Pokovic	Laboratory Director	

Date issued: July 15, 2004

This calibration certificate is issued as an intermediate solution until the accreditation process (based on ISO/IEC 17025 International Standard) for Calibration Laboratory of Schmid & Partner Engineering AG is completed.

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

DUT: Dipole 1900 MHz; Type: D1900V2; Serial: D1900V2 - SN5d013

Communication System: CW-1900; Frequency: 1900 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: HSL 1900 MHz;

Medium parameters used: $f = 1900$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.44$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 39.4$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY4 (High Precision Assessment)

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1507; ConvF(4.96, 4.96, 4.96); Calibrated: 1/23/2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn903; Calibrated: 2/19/2004
- Phantom: Flat Phantom quarter size; Type: QD000P50AA; Serial: SN:1002;
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.3 Build 8; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 117

Pin = 250 mW; d = 10 mm/Area Scan (81x81x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm
Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 11.4 mW/g


Pin = 250 mW; d = 10 mm/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 93.6 V/m; Power Drift = -0.03 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 17.9 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 10 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 5.24 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 11.4 mW/g



Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

DUT: Dipole 1900 MHz; Type: D1900V2; Serial: D1900V2 - SN5d013

Communication System: CW-1900; Frequency: 1900 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: Muscle 1900 MHz;

Medium parameters used: $f = 1900$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.58$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 52.2$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY4 (High Precision Assessment)

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1507; ConvF(4.57, 4.57, 4.57); Calibrated: 1/23/2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn903; Calibrated: 2/19/2004
- Phantom: SAM with CRP - TP1006; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP:1006;
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.3 Build 8; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 117

Pin = 250 mW; d = 10 mm/Area Scan (81x81x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm
Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 12.0 mW/g

Pin = 250 mW; d = 10 mm/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 82.5 V/m; Power Drift = -0.05 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 18.9 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 10.4 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 5.41 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 11.8 mW/g

