SAR Evaluation Report

in accordance with the requirements of
FCC Report and Order: ET Docket 93-62, and OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C

for

Dual Band Tri Mode PCS/AMPS/CDMA Cellular Camera Phone

MODEL: VT-5D

FCC ID: GKRVT-5D

July 21, 2004

REPORT NO: 04I2820-2

Prepared for

**Compal Electronics Inc
No. 581, Jui-Kuang Rd.
Neihu, Taipei, 114,
Taiwan, R.O.C.**

Prepared by

**COMPLIANCE CERTIFICATION SERVICES
561F MONTEREY ROAD,
MORGAN HILL, CA 95037, USA
TEL: (408) 463-0885**

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE (SAR EVALUATION)

DATES OF TEST: July 19, 20 & 21, 2004

APPLICANT:	Compal Electronics Inc.
	No. 581, Jui-Kuang Rd
	Neihu, Taipei, 114, Taiwan R.O.C.
MODEL:	VT-5D
FCC ID:	GKRVT-5D
DEVICE CATEGORY:	PORTABLE DEVICES
EXPOSURE CATEGORY:	GENERAL POPULATION/UNCONTROLLED EXPOSURE

Test Sample is a: Production unit

Modulation type: AMPS/CDMA

Tx Frequency: 824.04 to 848.97 MHz for AMPS mode
824.70 to 848.31 MHz for CDMA Cellular band
1851.25 to 1908.75 MHz for CDMA PCS band

Max. O/P Power: 26.1 dBm for AMPS
(Conducted/Average) 23.7 dBm for CDMA Cellular band
23.7 dBm for CDMA PCS band

Max. SAR (1g): **AMPS Mode:**
1.09 mW/g at Right head touch position
1.23 mW/g at Body worn
CDMA Cellular Band:
0.665 mW/g at Right head touch position)
0.726 mW/g at Body worn
CDMA PCS Band:
0.869 mW/g at Right head tilt position)
0.417 mW/g at Body worn

Application Type: Certification

FCC Rule Part(s): 22H/24E

This wireless portable device has been shown to be capable of compliance for localized specific absorption rate (SAR) for uncontrolled environment/general population exposure limits specified in ANSI/IEEE Std. C95.1-1992 and had been tested in accordance with the measurement procedures specified in FCC OET 65 Supplement C (released on 6/29/2001 see Test Report).

I attest to the accuracy of data. All measurements reported herein were performed by me or were made under my supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I assume full responsibility for the completeness of these measurements and vouch for the qualifications of all persons taking them.

Hsin-Fu Shih (Sunny Shih)
Senior Engineer

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. EQUIPMENT UNDER TEST (EUT) DESCRIPTION

The following is the information provided by the applicant.

Type of EUT: Dual Band Tri Mode AMPS/CDMA Cellular/PCS Camera Phone

Type/Model No.:   VT-5D

Modulation Type: AMPS/CDMA

TX Frequency: 824.04 to 848.97 MHz for AMPS mode
                      824.70 to 848.31 MHz for CDMA Cellular band
                      1851.25 to 1908.75 MHz for CDMA PCS band

Duty Cycle: 100%

Battery: Only one model with EUT

2. REQUIREMENTS FOR COMPLIANCE TESTING DEFINED BY THE FCC

The US Federal Communications Commission has released the report and order "Guidelines for Evaluating the Environmental Effects of RF Radiation", ET Docket No. 93-62 in August 1996. The order requires routine SAR evaluation prior to equipment authorization of portable transmitter devices, including portable telephones. For consumer products, the applicable limit is 1.6 mW/g for an uncontrolled environment and 8.0 mW/g for an occupational/controlled environment as recommended by the ANSI/IEEE standard C95.1-1992. According to the Supplement C of OET Bulletin 65 "Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guide-lines for Human Exposure to Radio frequency Electromagnetic Fields", released on Jun 29, 2001 by the FCC, the device should be evaluated at maximum output power (radiated from the antenna) under "worst-case" conditions for normal or intended use, incorporating normal antenna operating positions, device peak performance frequencies and positions for maximum RF energy coupling.

3. DOSIMETRIC ASSESSMENT SETUP

These measurements were performed with the automated near-field scanning system DASY3 from Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG). The system is based on a high precision robot (working range greater than 0.9 m), which positions the probes with a positional repeatability of better than ± 0.02 mm. Special E- and H-field probes have been developed for measurements close to material discontinuity, the sensors of which are directly loaded with a Schottky diode and connected via highly resistive lines to the data acquisition unit. The SAR measurements were conducted with the dosimetric probe **ES3DV2-SN: 3023** (manufactured by SPEAG), designed in the classical triangular configuration and optimized for dosimetric evaluation. The probe has been calibrated according to the procedure described in [7] with accuracy of better than ±10%. The spherical isotropy was evaluated with the procedure described in [8] and found to be better than ±0.25 dB. The phantom used was the SAM Twin Phantom as described in FCC supplement C, IEE P1528 and CENELEC EN50361.

3.1. MEASUREMENT SYSTEM DIAGRAM

The DASY4 system for performing compliance tests consists of the following items:

- A standard high precision 6-axis robot (St¨aubli RX family) with controller, teach pendant and software. An arm extension for accommodating the data acquisition electronics (DAE).
- A dosimetric probe, i.e., an isotropic E-field probe optimized and calibrated for usage in tissue simulating liquid. The probe is equipped with an optical surface detector system.
- A data acquisition electronics (DAE) which performs the signal amplification, signal multiplexing, AD-conversion, offset measurements, mechanical surface detection, collision detection, etc. The unit is battery powered with standard or rechargeable batteries. The signal is optically transmitted to the EOC.
- The Electro-optical converter (EOC) performs the conversion between optical and electrical of the signals for the digital communication to the DAE and for the analog signal from the optical surface detection. The EOC is connected to the measurement server.
- The function of the measurement server is to perform the time critical tasks such as signal filtering, control of the robot operation and fast movement interrupts.
- A probe alignment unit which improves the (absolute) accuracy of the probe positioning.
- A computer operating Windows 2000 or Windows XP.
- DASY4 software.
- Remote control with teach pendant and additional circuitry for robot safety such as warning lamps, etc.
- The SAM twin phantom enabling testing left-hand and right-hand usage.
- The device holder for handheld mobile phones.
- Tissue simulating liquid mixed according to the given recipes.
- Validation dipole kits allowing validating the proper functioning of the system.

3.2. SYSTEM COMPONENTS

DASY4 MEASUREMENT SERVER

The DASY4 measurement server is based on a PC/104 CPU board with a 166MHz low-power Pentium, 32MB chip disk and 64MB RAM. The necessary circuits for communication with either the DAE3 electronic box as well as the 16-bit AD-converter system for optical detection and digital I/O interface are contained on the DASY4 I/O-board, which is directly connected to the PC/104 bus of the CPU board.

The measurement server performs all real-time data evaluation for field measurements and surface detection, controls robot movements and handles safety operation. The PC-operating system cannot interfere with these time critical processes. All connections are supervised by a watchdog, and disconnection of any of the cables to the measurement server will automatically disarm the robot and disable all program-controlled robot movements. Furthermore, the measurement server is equipped with two expansion slots which are reserved for future applications. Please note that the expansion slots do not have a standardized pinout and therefore only the expansion cards provided by SPEAG can be inserted. Expansion cards from any other supplier could seriously damage the measurement server. Calibration: No calibration required.

DATA ACQUISITION ELECTRONICS (DAE)

The data acquisition electronics (DAE3) consists of a highly sensitive electrometer grade preamplifier with auto-zeroing, a channel and gain-switching multiplexer, a fast 16 bit AD converter and a command decoder and control logic unit. Transmission to the measurement server is accomplished through an optical downlink for data and status information as well as an optical uplink for commands and the clock. The mechanical probe mounting device includes two different sensor systems for frontal and sideways probe contacts. They are used for mechanical surface detection and probe collision detection. The input impedance of the DAE3 box is 200MOhm; the inputs are symmetrical and floating. Common mode rejection is above 80 dB.

ES3DV2 ISOTROPIC E-FIELD PROBE FOR DOSIMETRIC MEASUREMENTS

Construction:	Symmetrical design with triangular core Interleaved sensors Built-in shielding against static charges PEEK enclosure material (resistant to organic solvents, e.g., glycolether)
Calibration:	Basic Broad Band Calibration in air: 10-2500 MHz. Conversion Factors (CF) for HSL 900 and HSL 1800 CF-Calibration for other liquids and frequencies upon request.
Frequency:	10 MHz to > 6 GHz; Linearity: ± 0.2 dB
Directivity:	± 0.2 dB in HSL (rotation around probe axis); ± 0.3 dB in tissue material (rotation normal to probe axis)
Dynamic Range:	5 µW/g to > 100 mW/g; Linearity: ± 0.2 dB
Dimensions:	Overall length: 330 mm (Tip: 20 mm) Tip diameter: 3.9 mm (Body: 12 mm) Distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 2.7 mm
Application:	General dosimetry up to 6 GHz Dosimetry in strong gradient fields Compliance tests of mobile phones

Interior of probe

Isotropic E-Field Probe

SAM Phantom (V4.0)

Construction: The shell corresponds to the specifications of the Specific Anthropomorphic Mannequin (SAM) phantom defined in IEEE 1528-200X, CENELEC 50361 and IEC 62209. It enables the dosimetric evaluation of left and right hand phone usage as well as body mounted usage at the flat phantom region. A cover prevents evaporation of the liquid. Reference markings on the phantom allow the complete setup of all predefined phantom positions and measurement grids by manually teaching three points with the robot.

Shell Thickness: 2 ±0.2 mm
Filling Volume: Approx. 25 liters
Dimensions: Height: 810mm; Length: 1000mm; Width: 500mm

Device Holder for SAM Twin Phantom

Construction: In combination with the Twin SAM Phantom V4.0 or Twin SAM, the Mounting Device (made from POM) enables the rotation of the mounted transmitter in spherical coordinates, whereby the rotation point is the ear opening. The devices can be easily and accurately positioned according to IEC, IEEE, CENELEC, FCC or other specifications. The device holder can be locked at different phantom locations (left head, right head, flat phantom).

System Validation Kits

Construction: Symmetrical dipole with l/4 balun Enables measurement of feedpoint impedance with NWA Matched for use near flat phantoms filled with brain simulating solutions Includes distance holder and tripod adaptor.

Frequency: 450, 900, 1800, 2450, 5800 MHz
Return loss: > 20 dB at specified validation position
Power capability: > 100 W (f < 1GHz); > 40 W (f > 1GHz)
Dimensions: 450V2: dipole length: 270 mm; overall height: 330 mm
D900V2: dipole length: 149 mm; overall height: 330 mm
D1800V2: dipole length: 72 mm; overall height: 300 mm
D2450V2: dipole length: 51.5 mm; overall height: 300 mm
D5GHzV2: dipole length: 25.5 mm; overall height: 290 mm

4. EVALUATION PROCEDURES

DATA EVALUATION

The DASY4 post processing software (SEMCAD) automatically executes the following procedures to calculate the field units from the microvolt readings at the probe connector. The parameters used in the evaluation are stored in the configuration modules of the software:

Probe parameters:	- Sensitivity	$Norm_i, a_{i0}, a_{i1}, a_{i2}$
	- Conversion factor	$ConvF_i$
	- Diode compression point	dcp_i
Device parameters:	- Frequency	f
	- Crest factor	cf
Media parameters:	- Conductivity	σ
	- Density	ρ

These parameters must be set correctly in the software. They can be found in the component documents or be imported into the software from the configuration files issued for the DASY components. In the direct measuring mode of the multi-meter option, the parameters of the actual system setup are used. In the scan visualization and export modes, the parameters stored in the corresponding document files are used.

The first step of the evaluation is a linearization of the filtered input signal to account for the compression characteristics of the detector diode. The compensation depends on the input signal, the diode type and the DC-transmission factor from the diode to the evaluation electronics. If the exciting field is pulsed, the crest factor of the signal must be known to correctly compensate for peak power. The formula for each channel can be given as:

$$V_i = U_i + U_i^2 \cdot \frac{cf}{dcp_i}$$

with V_i = Compensated signal of channel i   (i = x, y, z)
          U_i = Input signal of channel i   (i = x, y, z)
          cf = Crest factor of exciting field   (DASY parameter)
          dcp_i = Diode compression point   (DASY parameter)

From the compensated input signals the primary field data for each channel can be evaluated:

E-field probes:

$$E_i = \sqrt{\frac{V_i}{Norm_i \bullet ConvF}}$$

H-field probes:

$$H_i = \sqrt{Vi} \cdot \frac{a_{i0} + a_{i1}f + a_{i2}f^2}{f}$$

with V_i = Compensated signal of channel i   (i = x, y, z)
          $Norm_i$ = Sensor sensitivity of channel i   (i = x, y, z)
                  $\mu V/(V/m)^2$ for E0field Probes
          $ConvF$ = Sensitivity enhancement in solution
          aij = Sensor sensitivity factors for H-field probes
          f = Carrier frequency (GHz)
          Ei = Electric field strength of channel i in V/m
          Hi = Magnetic field strength of channel i in A/m

The RSS value of the field components gives the total field strength (Hermitian magnitude):

$$E_{tot} = \sqrt{E_x^2 + E_y^2 + E_z^2}$$

The primary field data are used to calculate the derived field units.

$$SAR = E_{tot}^2 \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\rho \cdot 1000}$$

with SAR = local specific absorption rate in mW/g

E_{tot} = total field strength in V/m

σ = conductivity in [mho/m] or [Siemens/m]

ρ = equivalent tissue density in g/cm^3

Note that the density is normally set to 1 (or 1.06), to account for actual brain density rather than the density of the simulation liquid.

The power flow density is calculated assuming the excitation field as a free space field.

$$P_{pwe} = \frac{E_{tot}^2}{3770} \quad \text{or} \quad P_{pwe} = H_{tot}^2 \cdot 37.7$$

with P_{pwe} = Equivalent power density of a plane wave in mW/cm^2

E_{tot} = total electric field strength in V/m

H_{tot} = total magnetic field strength in A/m

SAR SYSTEM MEASUREMENT PROCEDURES

The procedure for assessing the peak spatial-average SAR value consists of the following steps:

- **Power Reference Measurement**

The reference and drift jobs are useful jobs for monitoring the power drift of the device under test in the batch process. Both jobs measure the field at a specified reference position, at a selectable distance from the phantom surface. The reference position can be either the selected section's grid reference point or a user point in this section. The reference job projects the selected point onto the phantom surface, orients the probe perpendicularly to the surface, and approaches the surface using the selected detection method.

- **Area Scan**

The area scan is used as a fast scan in two dimensions to find the area of high field values, before doing a finer measurement around the hot spot. The sophisticated interpolation routines implemented in DASY4 software can find the maximum locations even in relatively coarse grids. This grid is anchored at the grid reference point of the selected section in the phantom. When the area scan's property sheet is brought-up, grid settings can be edited by a user. When an area scan has measured all reachable points, it computes the field maximum found in the scanned area, within a range of the global maximum. If only one Zoom Scan follows the Area Scan, then only the absolute maximum will be taken as reference. For cases where multiple maximums are detected, the number of Zoom Scans has to be increased accordingly.

- **Zoom Scan**

Zoom scans are used to assess the peak spatial SAR values within a cubic averaging volume containing 1 g and 10 g of simulated tissue. The default zoom scan measures 5 x 5 x 7 points within a cube whose base faces are centered around the maximum found in a preceding area scan job within the same procedure. If the preceding Area Scan job indicates more then one maximum, the number of Zoom Scans has to be enlarged accordingly. For dosimetric application, it is necessary to assess the peak spatial SAR value averaged over a volume. For this purpose, fine resolution volume scans need to be performed at the peak SAR location(s) determined during the Area Scan.

- **Power Drift measurement**

The drift job measures the field at the same location as the most recent reference job within the same procedure, and with the same settings. The drift measurement gives the field difference in dB from the reading conducted within the last reference measurement. Several drift measurements are possible for one reference measurement. This allows a user to monitor the power drift of the device under test within a batch process. In the properties of the Drift job, the user can specify a limit for the drift and have DASY4 software stop the measurements if this limit is exceeded.

- **Z-Scan**

The Z Scan job measures points along a vertical straight line. The line runs along the Z-axis of a one-dimensional grid. A user can anchor the grid to the current probe location. As with any other grids, the local Z-axis of the anchor location establishes the Z-axis of the grid.

SPATIAL PEAK SAR EVALUATION

The procedure for spatial peak SAR evaluation has been implemented according to the IEEE1529 standard. It can be conducted for 1 g and 10 g.

The DASY4 system allows evaluations that combine measured data and robot positions, such as:

- maximum search
- extrapolation
- boundary correction
- peak search for averaged SAR

During a maximum search, global and local maximum searches are automatically performed in 2-D after each Area Scan measurement with at least 6 measurement points. It is based on the evaluation of the local SAR gradient calculated by the Quadratic Shepard's method. The algorithm will find the global maximum and all local maxima within -2 dB of the global maxima for all SAR distributions.

Extrapolation

Extrapolation routines are used to obtain SAR values between the lowest measurement points and the inner phantom surface. The extrapolation distance is determined by the surface detection distance and the probe sensor offset. Several measurements at different distances are necessary for the extrapolation.

Extrapolation routines require at least 10 measurement points in 3-D space. They are used in the Cube Scan to obtain SAR values between the lowest measurement points and the inner phantom surface. The routine uses the modified Quadratic Shepard's method for extrapolation. For a grid using 5x5x7 measurement points with 5mm resolution amounting to 343 measurement points, the uncertainty of the extrapolation routines is less than 1% for 1 g and 10 g cubes.

Boundary effect

For measurements in the immediate vicinity of a phantom surface, the field coupling effects between the probe and the boundary influence the probe characteristics. Boundary effect errors of different dosimetric probe types have been analyzed by measurements and using a numerical probe model. As expected, both methods showed an enhanced sensitivity in the immediate vicinity of the boundary. The effect strongly depends on the probe dimensions and disappears with increasing distance from the boundary. The sensitivity can be approximately given as:

$$S \approx S_o + S_b exp(-\frac{z}{a})cos(\pi\frac{z}{\lambda})$$

Since the decay of the boundary effect dominates for small probes ($a \ll \lambda$), the cos-term can be omitted. Factors Sb (parameter Alpha in the DASY4 software) and a (parameter Delta in the DASY4 software) are assessed during probe calibration and used for numerical compensation of the boundary effect. Several simulations and measurements have confirmed that the compensation is valid for different field and boundary configurations.

This simple compensation procedure can largely reduce the probe uncertainty near boundaries. It works well as long as:

- the boundary curvature is small
- the probe axis is angled less than 30_ to the boundary normal
- the distance between probe and boundary is larger than 25% of the probe diameter
- the probe is symmetric (all sensors have the same offset from the probe tip)

Since all of these requirements are fulfilled in a DASY4 system, the correction of the probe boundary effect in the vicinity of the phantom surface is performed in a fully automated manner via the measurement data extraction during postprocessing.

5. MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

UNCERTAINTY BUDGE ACCORDING TO IEEE P1528								
Error Description	Uncertainty Value [%]	Prob. Dist.	Div.	(c_i) 1g	(c_i) 10g	Std. Unc.(1g)	Std. Unc. (10g)	(vi) v_{eff}
Measurement System								
Probe Calibration	±4.8	N	1	1	1	±4.8%	±4.8%	∞
Axial Isotropy	±4.7	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.7	±1.9%	±1.9%	∞
Hemispherical Isotropy	±9.6	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.7	±3.9%	±3.9%	∞
Boundary Effects	±1.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±0.6%	±0.6%	∞
Linearity	±4.7	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±2.7%	±2.7%	∞
System Detection Limits	±1.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±0.6%	±0.6%	∞
Readout Electronics	±1.0	N	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±1.0%	±1.0%	∞
Response Time	±0.8	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±0.5%	±0.5%	∞
Integration Time	±2.6	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±1.5%	±1.5%	∞
RF Ambient Condition	±1.59	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±0.9%	±0.9%	∞
Probe Positioner	±1.6	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±0.2%	±0.2%	∞
Probe Positioning	±2.9	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±1.7%	±1.7%	∞
Max. SAR Eval.	±1.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±0.6%	±0.6%	∞
Test sample Related								
Device Positioning	±1.1	N	1	1	1	±1.1%	±1.1%	145
Device Holder	±3.6	N	1	1	1	±3.6%	±3.6%	5
Power Drift	±5.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±2.9%	±2.9%	∞
Phantom and Setup								
Phantom Uncertainty	±4.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±2.3%	±2.3%	∞
Liquid Conductivity (target)	±5.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.64	0.43	±1.8%	±1.2%	∞
Liquid Conductivity (meas.)	±2.5	N	1	0.64	0.43	±1.6%	±1.1%	∞
Liquid Peermittivity (target)	±5.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	0.49	±1.7%	±1.4%	∞
Liquid Permittivity (meas.)	±2.5	N	1	0.6	0.49	±1.5%	±1.2%	∞
Combined Std. Uncertainty						±9.8%	±9.6%	330
Expanded STD Uncertainty						**±19.6%**	**±19.2%**	

Table: Worst-case uncertainty for DASY4 assessed according to IEEE P1528.
The budge is valid for the frequency range 300MHz – 3GHz and represents a worst-case analysis.

6. EXPOSURE LIMIT

(A) Limits for Occupational/Controlled Exposure (W/kg)

Whole-Body	Partial-Body	Hands, Wrists, Feet and Ankles
0.4	8.0	20.0

(B) Limits for General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure (W/kg)

Whole-Body	Partial-Body	Hands, Wrists, Feet and Ankles
0.08	1.6	4.0

NOTE 1: See Section 1 for discussion of exposure categories.

NOTE 2: Whole-Body SAR is averaged over the entire body, partial-body SAR is averaged over any 1 gram of tissue defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube. SAR for hands, wrists, feet and ankles is averaged over any 10 grams of tissue defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube.

NOTE 3: At frequencies above 6.0 GHz, SAR limits are not applicable and MPE limits for power density should be applied at 5 cm or more from the transmitting device.

NOTE 4: The time averaging criteria for field strength and power density do not apply to general population SAR limit of 47 CFR §2.1093

NOTE
GENERAL POPULATION/UNCONTROLLED EXPOSURE
PARTIAL BODY LIMIT
1.6 mW/g

---

7. EUT ARRANGEMENT

Please refer to IEEE P1528 illustration below.

7.1 ANTHROPOMORPHIC HEAD PHANTOM

Figure 7-1a shows the front, back and side views of SAM. The point "M" is the reference point for the center of mouth, "LE" is the left ear reference point (ERP), and "RE" is the right ERP. The ERPs are 15 mm posterior to the entrance to ear canal (EEC) along the B-M line (Back-Mouth), as shown in Figure 7-1b. The plane passing through the two ear reference points and M is defined as the Reference Plane. The line N-F (Neck-Front) perpendicular to the reference plane and passing through the RE (or LE) is called the Reference Pivoting Line (see Figure 7-1c). Line B-M is perpendicular to the N-F line. Both N-F and B-M lines should be marked on the external phantom shell to facilitate handset positioning. Posterior to the N-F line, the thickness of the phantom shell with the shape of an ear is a flat surface 6 mm thick at the ERPs. Anterior to the N-F line, the ear is truncated as illustrated in Figure 7-1b. The ear truncation is introduced to avoid the handset from touching the ear lobe, which can cause unstable handset positioning at the cheek.


Figure 7-1a
Front, back and side view of SAM (model for the phantom shell)

Figure 7-1b
Close up side view of phantom showing the ear region

Figure 7-1c
Side view of the phantom showing relevant markings and the 7 cross sectional plane locations

7.2 DEFINITION OF THE "CHEEK/TOUCH" POSITION

The "cheek" or "touch" position is defined as follows:

a. Ready the handset for talk operation, if necessary. For example, for handsets with a cover piece, open the cover. (If the handset can also be used with the cover closed both configurations must be tested.)

b. Define two imaginary lines on the handset: the vertical centerline and the horizontal line. The vertical centerline passes through two points on the front side of the handset: the midpoint of the width wt of the handset at the level of the acoustic output (point A on Figures 7-2a and 7-2b), and the midpoint of the width wb of the bottom of the handset (point B). The horizontal line is perpendicular to the vertical centerline and passes through the center of the acoustic output (see Figure 7-2a). The two lines intersect at point A. Note that for many handsets, point A coincides with the center of the acoustic output. However, the acoustic output may be located elsewhere on the horizontal line. Also note that the vertical centerline is not necessarily parallel to the front face of the handset (see Figure 7-2b), especially for clamshell handsets, handsets with flip pieces, and other irregularly-shaped handsets.

c. Position the handset close to the surface of the phantom such that point A is on the (virtual) extension of the line passing through points RE and LE on the phantom (see Figure 7-2c), such that the plane defined by the vertical center line and the horizontal line of the handset is approximately parallel to the sagittal plane of the phantom.

d. Translate the handset towards the phantom along the line passing through RE and LE until the handset touches the pinna.

e. e) While maintaining the handset in this plane, rotate it around the LE-RE line until the vertical centerline is in the plane normal to MB-NF including the line MB (called the reference plane).

f. Rotate the handset around the vertical centerline until the handset (horizontal line) is symmetrical with respect to the line NF.

g. While maintaining the vertical centerline in the reference plane, keeping point A on the line passing through RE and LE and maintaining the handset contact with the pinna, rotate the handset about the line NF until any point on the handset is in contact with a phantom point below the pinna (cheek). See Figure 7-2c. The physical angles of rotation should be noted.

Figure 7.2c
Phone "cheek" or "touch" position. The reference points for the right ear (RE), left ear LE) and mouth (M), which define the reference plane for handset positioning, are indicated.

Figure 7.2a   Figure 7.2b

7.3 DEFINITION OF THE "TILTED" POSITION

The "tilted" position is defined as follows:
a. Repeat steps (a) – (g) of 7.2 to place the device in the "cheek position."
b. While maintaining the orientation of the handset move the handset away from the pinna along the line passing through RE and LE in order to enable a rotation of the handset by 15 degrees.
c. Rotate the handset around the horizontal line by 15 degrees.
d. While maintaining the orientation of the handset, move the handset towards the phantom on a line passing through RE and LE until any part of the handset touches the ear. The tilted position is obtained when the contact is on the pinna. If the contact is at any location other than the pinna (e.g., the antenna with the back of the phantom head), the angle of the handset should be reduced. In this case, the tilted position is obtained if any part of the handset is in contact with the pinna as well as a second part of the handset is contact with the phantom (e.g., the antenna with the back of the head).


Figure 7-3
Phone "tilted" position. The reference points for the right ear (RE), left ear (LE) and mouth (M), which define the reference plane for handset positioning, are indicated.

8. MEASUREMENT RESULTS

8.1. SIMULATING LIQUIDS PARAMETER CHECK

The simulating liquids should be checked at the beginning of a series of SAR measurements to determine of the dielectric parameters are within the tolerances of the specified target values. The relative permittivity and conductivity of the tissue material should be within $\pm 5\%$ of the values given in the table below. 5% may not be easily achieved at certain frequencies. Under such circumstances, 10% tolerance may be used until more precise tissue recipes are available.

TISSUE DIELECTRIC PARAMETERS FOR HEAD AND BODY PHANTOMS

The head tissue dielectric parameters recommended by the IEEE SCC-34/SC-2 in P1528 have been incorporated in the following table. These head parameters are derived from planar layer models simulating the highest expected SAR for the dielectric properties and tissue thickness variations in a human head. Other head and body tissue parameters that have not been specified in P1528 are derived from the tissue dielectric parameters computed from the 4-Cole-Cole equations and extrapolated according to the head parameters specified in P1528.

Target Frequency (MHz)	Head		Body	
	ε_r	σ (S/m)	ε_r	σ (S/m)
150	52.3	0.76	61.9	0.80
300	45.3	0.87	58.2	0.92
450	43.5	0.87	56.7	0.94
835	41.5	0.90	55.2	0.97
900	41.5	0.97	55.0	1.05
915	41.5	0.98	55.0	1.06
1450	40.5	1.20	54.0	1.30
1610	40.3	1.29	53.8	1.40
1800 – 2000	40.0	1.40	53.3	1.52
2450	39.2	1.80	52.7	1.95
3000	38.5	2.40	52.0	2.73
5800	35.3	5.27	48.2	6.00

(ε_r = relative permittivity, σ = conductivity and ρ = 1000 kg/m^3)

TYPICAL COMPOSITION OF INGREDIENTS FOR LIQUID TISSUE PHANTOMS

The following tissue formulations are provided for reference only as some of the parameters have not been thoroughly verified. The composition of ingredients may be modified accordingly to achieve the desired target tissue parameters required for routine SAR evaluation.

Ingredients (% by weight)	Frequency (MHz)									
	450		835		915		1900		2450	
Tissue Type	Head	Body	Head	Body	Head	Body	Head	Body	Head	Body
Water	38.56	51.16	41.45	52.4	41.05	56.0	54.9	40.4	62.7	73.2
Salt (NaCl)	3.95	1.49	1.45	1.4	1.35	0.76	0.18	0.5	0.5	0.04
Sugar	56.32	46.78	56.0	45.0	56.5	41.76	0.0	58.0	0.0	0.0
HEC	0.98	0.52	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.21	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0
Bactericide	0.19	0.05	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.27	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
Triton X-100	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	36.8	0.0
DGBE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	44.92	0.0	0.0	26.7
Dielectric Constant	43.42	58.0	42.54	56.1	42.0	56.8	39.9	54.0	39.8	52.5
Conductivity (S/m)	0.85	0.83	0.91	0.95	1.0	1.07	1.42	1.45	1.88	1.78

Salt: 99$^+$% Pure Sodium Chloride   Sugar: 98$^+$% Pure Sucrose
Water: De-ionized, 16 MΩ^+ resistivity   HEC: Hydroxyethyl Cellulose
DGBE: 99$^+$% Di(ethylene glycol) butyl ether, [2-(2-butoxyethoxy)ethanol]
Triton X-100 (ultra pure): Polyethylene glycol mono [4-(1,1, 3, 3-tetramethylbutyl)phenyl]ether

SIMULATING LIQUID PARAMETER CHECK RESULT

@ Head 835 MHz   Date: July 19, 2004

Ambient Temperature = 25°C; Relative humidity = 47%   Measured by: John Dorsey

Simulating Liquid			Parameters		Target	Measured	Deviation (%)
f (MHz)	Temp. (°C)	Depth (cm)					
835	24	15	ε"	Relative Permittivity (ε$_r$):	41.5	42.3422	2.03
			19.8808	Conductivity (σ):	0.90	0.924	2.61

Note: Interpolated medium parameters used for SAR evaluation.

The conductivity (σ) can be given as:

$$\sigma = \omega \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon'' = 2 \pi f \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon''$$

$$\text{where} \qquad f = target\, f * 10^6$$

$$\varepsilon_0 = 8.854 * 10^{-12}$$

Simulating Liquid Parameter Check Results @ 835 MHz

Ambient temperature = 25.0 deg. C; Liquid temperature = 24.0 deg.C
July 19, 2004 10:08 AM

Frequency	e'	e"
750.000000 MHz	43.3625	20.2416
755.000000 MHz	43.2971	20.2093
760.000000 MHz	43.2435	20.1744
765.000000 MHz	43.1668	20.1347
770.000000 MHz	43.1018	20.0926
775.000000 MHz	43.0312	20.1089
780.000000 MHz	42.9997	20.0586
785.000000 MHz	42.9360	20.0510
790.000000 MHz	42.8603	20.0359
795.000000 MHz	42.7961	20.0179
800.000000 MHz	42.7330	20.0001
805.000000 MHz	42.6956	19.9537
810.000000 MHz	42.6322	19.9475
815.000000 MHz	42.6057	19.9151
820.000000 MHz	42.5392	19.9103
825.000000 MHz	42.4711	19.8955
830.000000 MHz	42.3900	19.8688
835.000000 MHz	**42.3422**	**19.8808**
840.000000 MHz	42.3134	19.8452
845.000000 MHz	42.2368	19.8237
850.000000 MHz	42.1517	19.8050
855.000000 MHz	42.1062	19.7754
860.000000 MHz	42.0423	19.7515
865.000000 MHz	41.9739	19.7088
870.000000 MHz	41.9054	19.6975
875.000000 MHz	41.8648	19.7087
880.000000 MHz	41.7927	19.6786
885.000000 MHz	41.7429	19.6524
890.000000 MHz	41.6809	19.6503
895.000000 MHz	41.6547	19.5977
900.000000 MHz	41.6022	19.5884

SIMULATING LIQUID PARAMETER CHECK RESULT

@ Muscle 835 MHz   Date: 7/19/04

Ambient Temperature = 25°C; Relative humidity = 47%   Measured by: John Dorsey

Simulating Liquid			Parameters		Target	Measured	Deviation (%)	Limit (%)
f (MHz)	Temp. (°C)	Depth (cm)						
835	24	15	є"	Relative Permittivity (ϵ_r):	55.2	56.0628	1.56	± 5
			20.8307	Conductivity (σ):	0.97	0.968	-0.24	± 5

Note: Interpolated medium parameters used for SAR evaluation.

The conductivity (σ) can be given as:

$$\sigma = \omega \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon'' = 2\pi f \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon''$$

$$where \qquad f = target\ f * 10^6$$

$$\varepsilon_0 = 8.854 * 10^{-12}$$

Simulating Liquid Parameter Check Results @ 835 MHz

Ambient temperature = 25.0 deg. C; Liquid temperature = 24.0 deg.C
July 19, 2004 05:54 PM

Frequency	e'	e"
750.000000 MHz	56.7521	21.2532
755.000000 MHz	56.6761	21.1864
760.000000 MHz	56.6195	21.1375
765.000000 MHz	56.5734	21.0145
770.000000 MHz	56.5189	20.9663
775.000000 MHz	56.4594	20.9672
780.000000 MHz	56.4447	20.8939
785.000000 MHz	56.3765	20.8635
790.000000 MHz	56.3400	20.8220
795.000000 MHz	56.3018	20.8045
800.000000 MHz	56.2681	20.7968
805.000000 MHz	56.2666	20.8113
810.000000 MHz	56.2466	20.8040
815.000000 MHz	56.2360	20.8043
820.000000 MHz	56.2022	20.8299
825.000000 MHz	56.1571	20.8371
830.000000 MHz	56.0899	20.8415
835.000000 MHz	56.0628	20.8307
840.000000 MHz	56.0158	20.7944
845.000000 MHz	55.9566	20.7522
850.000000 MHz	55.9004	20.6874
855.000000 MHz	55.8505	20.6644
860.000000 MHz	55.7869	20.6037
865.000000 MHz	55.7299	20.5302
870.000000 MHz	55.6978	20.4907
875.000000 MHz	55.6240	20.4251
880.000000 MHz	55.5843	20.3894
885.000000 MHz	55.5600	20.3372
890.000000 MHz	55.5346	20.3069
895.000000 MHz	55.5388	20.2700
900.000000 MHz	55.5123	20.2980

SIMULATING LIQUID PARAMETER CHECK RESULT

@ Head 835 MHz   Date: July 20, 2004

Ambient Temperature = 25°C; Relative humidity = 46%   Measured by: John Dorsey

Simulating Liquid			Parameters		Target	Measured	Deviation (%)	Limit (%)
f (MHz)	Temp. (°C)	Depth (cm)						
835	24	15	ε"	Relative Permittivity (ε_r):	41.5	42.3226	1.98	± 5
			19.8864	Conductivity (σ):	0.90	0.924	2.64	± 5

Note: Interpolated medium parameters used for SAR evaluation.

The conductivity (σ) can be given as:

$$\sigma = \omega \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon'' = 2 \pi f \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon''$$

$$where \qquad f = target\, f * 10^6$$

$$\varepsilon_0 = 8.854 * 10^{-12}$$

Simulating Liquid Parameter Check Results @ 835 MHz
Ambient temperature = 25.0 deg. C; Liquid temperature = 24.0 deg.C
July 20, 2004 09:54 AM

Frequency	e'	e"
750.000000 MHz	43.3489	20.2336
755.000000 MHz	43.2971	20.2093
760.000000 MHz	43.2164	20.1587
765.000000 MHz	43.1533	20.1270
770.000000 MHz	43.0857	20.0743
775.000000 MHz	43.0017	20.0830
780.000000 MHz	42.9468	20.0282
785.000000 MHz	42.8702	20.0133
790.000000 MHz	42.7976	20.0087
795.000000 MHz	42.7774	19.9900
800.000000 MHz	42.7070	19.9853
805.000000 MHz	42.6726	19.9491
810.000000 MHz	42.6188	19.9187
815.000000 MHz	42.5743	19.9185
820.000000 MHz	42.5235	19.9308
825.000000 MHz	42.4583	19.9258
830.000000 MHz	42.4082	19.8956
835.000000 MHz	42.3226	19.8864
840.000000 MHz	42.2731	19.8883
845.000000 MHz	42.2202	19.8389
850.000000 MHz	42.1517	19.8050
855.000000 MHz	42.0774	19.7838
860.000000 MHz	42.0110	19.7503
865.000000 MHz	41.9428	19.7077
870.000000 MHz	41.8877	19.6720
875.000000 MHz	41.8296	19.6580
880.000000 MHz	41.7658	19.6561
885.000000 MHz	41.6985	19.6051
890.000000 MHz	41.6551	19.5978
895.000000 MHz	41.6290	19.5455
900.000000 MHz	41.5739	19.5770

SIMULATING LIQUID PARAMETER CHECK RESULT

@ Head 1900 MHz   Date: July 21, 2004

Ambient Temperature = 24°C; Relative humidity = 48%   Measured by: John Dorsey

Simulating Liquid			Parameters		Target	Measured	Deviation (%)	Limit (%)
f (MHz)	Temp. (°C)	Depth (cm)						
1900	23	15	ε″	Relative Permittivity (ε$_r$):	40.0	40.1322	0.33	± 5
			13.3552	Conductivity (σ):	1.40	1.412	0.83	± 5

Note: Interpolated medium parameters used for SAR evaluation.

The conductivity (σ) can be given as:

$$\sigma = \omega \varepsilon_0 \, \varepsilon'' = 2\,\pi\,f\,\varepsilon_0\,\varepsilon''$$

$$where \qquad f = target\ f * 10^6$$

$$\varepsilon_0 = 8.854 * 10^{-12}$$

Simulating Liquid Parameter Check Results @ 1900MHz

Ambient temperature = 24.0 deg. C; Liquid temperature = 23.0 deg.C
July 21, 2004 09:33 AM

Frequency	e'	e″
1.710000000 GHz	40.8953	12.8255
1.720000000 GHz	40.8474	12.8563
1.730000000 GHz	40.8221	12.8548
1.740000000 GHz	40.7475	12.8832
1.750000000 GHz	40.7127	12.9031
1.760000000 GHz	40.6546	12.9435
1.770000000 GHz	40.6007	13.0185
1.780000000 GHz	40.5387	13.0522
1.790000000 GHz	40.4898	13.0750
1.800000000 GHz	40.4922	13.1090
1.810000000 GHz	40.4911	13.1112
1.820000000 GHz	40.4715	13.1310
1.830000000 GHz	40.4565	13.1417
1.840000000 GHz	40.4308	13.1865
1.850000000 GHz	40.3911	13.1977
1.860000000 GHz	40.3496	13.2373
1.870000000 GHz	40.2889	13.2683
1.880000000 GHz	40.2284	13.3114
1.890000000 GHz	40.1762	13.3373
1.900000000 GHz	40.1322	13.3552
1.910000000 GHz	40.1023	13.3610

SIMULATING LIQUID PARAMETER CHECK RESULT

@ Muscle 1900 MHz   Date: July 21, 2004

Ambient Temperature = 24°C; Relative humidity = 48%   Measured by: John Dorsey

Simulating Liquid			Parameters		Target	Measured	Deviation (%)	Limit (%)
f (MHz)	Temp. (°C)	Depth (cm)						
1900	23	15	ε″	Relative Permittivity (ϵ_r):	53.3	53.4837	0.34	± 5
			14.4206	Conductivity (σ):	1.52	1.524	0.28	± 5

Note: Interpolated medium parameters used for SAR evaluation.

The conductivity (σ) can be given as:

$$\sigma = \omega \varepsilon_0 \, \varepsilon'' = 2\,\pi\,f\,\varepsilon_0\,\varepsilon''$$

$$where \qquad f = target\ f * 10^6$$

$$\varepsilon_0 = 8.854 * 10^{-12}$$

Simulating Liquid Parameter Check Results @ 1900MHz

Ambient temperature = 24.0 deg. C; Liquid temperature = 23.0 deg.C
July 21, 2004 03:30 PM

Frequency	e'	e″
1.710000000 GHz	54.0523	13.9137
1.720000000 GHz	54.0019	13.9510
1.730000000 GHz	53.9848	13.9680
1.740000000 GHz	53.9288	13.9847
1.750000000 GHz	53.8994	14.0086
1.760000000 GHz	53.8708	14.0395
1.770000000 GHz	53.8356	14.0946
1.780000000 GHz	53.7927	14.1125
1.790000000 GHz	53.7635	14.1481
1.800000000 GHz	53.7620	14.1707
1.810000000 GHz	53.7503	14.1837
1.820000000 GHz	53.7443	14.2176
1.830000000 GHz	53.7165	14.2413
1.840000000 GHz	53.6933	14.2759
1.850000000 GHz	53.6684	14.2902
1.860000000 GHz	53.6577	14.3099
1.870000000 GHz	53.6169	14.3428
1.880000000 GHz	53.5713	14.3842
1.890000000 GHz	53.5162	14.4090
1.900000000 GHz	53.4837	14.4206
1.910000000 GHz	53.4445	14.4427

8.2. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK

The system performance check is performed prior to any usage of the system in order to guarantee reproducible results. The system performance check verifies that the system operates within its specifications. The system performance check results are tabulated below. And also the corresponding SAR plot is attached as well in the SAR plots files.

SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK MEASUREMENT CONDITIONS

- The measurements were performed in the flat section of the SAM twin phantom filled with Head simulating liquid of the following parameters.
- The DASY4 system with an Isotropic E-Field Probe ES3DV2-SN: 3023 was used for the measurements.
- The dipole was mounted on the small tripod so that the dipole feed point was positioned below the center marking of the flat phantom section and the dipole was oriented parallel to the body axis (the long side of the phantom). The standard measuring distance was 10 mm (above 1 GHz) and f15 mm (below 1 GHz) from dipole center to the simulating liquid surface.
- The coarse grid with a grid spacing of 15 mm was aligned with the dipole.
- Special 5 x 5 x 7 fine cube was chosen for cube integration(dx=dy=7.5mm; dz=5mm).
- Distance between probe sensors and phantom surface was set to 4 mm.
- The dipole input power (forward power) was 250 mW±3%.
- The results are normalized to 1 W input power.

REFERENCE SAR VALUES

The system performance check is performed prior to any usage of the system in order to guarantee reproducible results. The system performance check verifies that the system operates within its specifications of ±10%. The system performance check results are tabulated below. And also the corresponding SAR plot is attached as well in the SAR plots files.
IEEE P1528 Recommended Reference Value

Frequency (MHz)	1 g SAR	10 g SAR	Local SAR at surface (Above feed point)	Local SAR at surface (y=2cm offset from feed point)
300	3.0	2.0	4.4	2.1
450	4.9	3.3	7.2	3.2
835	9.5	6.2	14.1	4.9
900	10.8	6.9	16.4	5.4
1450	29.0	16.0	50.2	6.5
1800	38.1	19.8	69.5	6.8
1900	39.7	20.5	72.1	6.6
2450	52.4	24.0	104.2	7.7
3000	63.8	25.7	140.2	9.5

SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK RESULTS

@ SYSTEM VALIDATION DIPOLE: D835V2 SN:4d002　　　　　Date: July 19, 2004

Ambient Temperature = 25°C; Relative humidity = 47%　　　　　Measured by: John Dorsey

Head Simulating Liquid			Mrasured		Target$_{1g}$	Deviation[%]	Limited[%]
f (MHz)	Temp. [°C]	Depth [cm]	1g	Normalized to 1 W			
835	24	15	2.42	9.68	9.5	1.89	± 10

@ SYSTEM VALIDATION DIPOLE: D835V2 SN:4d002　　　　　Date: July 20, 2004

Ambient Temperature = 25°C; Relative humidity = 46%　　　　　Measured by: John Dorsey

Head Simulating Liquid			Mrasured		Target$_{1g}$	Deviation[%]	Limited[%]
f (MHz)	Temp. [°C]	Depth [cm]	1g	Normalized to 1 W			
835	24	15	2.38	9.52	9.5	0.21	± 10

@ SYSTEM VALIDATION DIPOLE: D1900V2 SN:5d043　　　　　Date: July 21, 2004

Ambient Temperature = 24°C; Relative humidity = 48%　　　　　Measured by: John Dorsey

Head Simulating Liquid			Mrasured		Target$_{1g}$	Deviation[%]	Limited[%]
f (MHz)	Temp. [°C]	Depth [cm]	1g	Normalized to 1 W			
1900	23	15	9.41	37.64	39.7	-5.19	± 10

8.3. PROCEDURES USED TO ESTABLISH TEST SIGNAL

The following procedures had been used to prepare the EUT for the SAR test.

AMPS Mode:

Press the key "**14709631232580##"
Using the u/down key to select the following items
1. Chan Tune – Select Channels (991, 383, 799)
2. FM TX Up/ Down – Adjust RF power

**CDMA Mode:**

Press the key "**14709631232580##"
Using the u/down key to select the following items
1. CDMA TX On/Off – Transmitter on or off
2. CDMA TX Up/Dwn – Adjust RF power
3. Channel Tune – Select Channels (1013, 363 and 799) for PCS band
                        Select Channels (25, 600 and 1175) for PCS band

Maximum conducted power was measured by replacing the antenna with an adapter for conductive measurements, before and after the SAR measurements was done.

8.4. SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS

1_Left Head Touch Position

AMPS - Duty cycle: 100%; Crest factor: 1   Depth of liquid: 15 cm

EUT Position	Antenna	Ch. #	f [MHz]	*Conducted Power [dBm]		SAR_1g [mW/g]	
				Before	After	Measured	Limited
Left Touch	Fixed	991	824.04	26.10	26.08	0.885	1.6
Left Touch	Fixed	383	836.49	26.05	26.04	0.982	1.6
Left Touch	Fixed	799	848.97	26.06	26.05	0.986	1.6
CDMA Cellular band - Duty cycle: 100%; Crest factor: 1							
Left Touch	Fixed	1015	824.70	23.50		**	1.6
Left Touch	Fixed	363	835.89	23.70	23.60	0.605	1.6
Left Touch	Fixed	777	848.31	23.50		**	1.6
CDMA PCS band - Duty cycle: 100%; Crest factor: 1							
Left Touch	Fixed	25	1851.25	23.50		**	1.6
Left Touch	Fixed	600	1880.00	23.60	23.50	0.559	1.6
Left Touch	Fixed	1175	1908.75	23.70		**	1.6

Notes:
1. *: Average power.
2. **: The SAR measured at the middle channel for this configuration is at least 3 dB lower than SAR limit, testing at low & high channel is optional.
3. Please see attachment for the detailed measurement data and plots showing the maximum SAR location of the EUT.

SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS
2_Left Head Tilt Position

AMPS - Duty cycle: 100%; Crest factor: 1   Depth of liquid: 15 cm

EUT Position	Antenna	Ch. #	f [MHz]	*Conducted Power [dBm]		SAR_1g [mW/g]	
				Before	After	Measured	Limited
Left Tilt	Fixed	991	824.04	26.10	26.07	0.745	1.6
Left Tilt	Fixed	383	836.49	26.05	26.05	0.801	1.6
Left Tilt	Fixed	799	848.97	26.06	26.05	0.786	1.6
CDMA Cellular band - Duty cycle: 100%; Crest factor: 1							
Left Tilt	Fixed	1015	824.70	23.50		**	1.6
Left Tilt	Fixed	363	835.89	23.70	23.60	0.459	1.6
Left Tilt	Fixed	777	848.31	23.50		**	1.6
CDMA PCS band - Duty cycle: 100%; Crest factor: 1							
Left Tilt	Fixed	25	1851.25	23.50		**	1.6
Left Tilt	Fixed	600	1880.00	23.60	23.50	0.750	1.6
Left Tilt	Fixed	1175	1908.75	23.70		**	1.6

Notes:
1. *: Average power.
2. **: The SAR measured at the middle channel for this configuration is at least 3 dB lower than SAR limit, testing at low & high channel is optional.
3. Please see attachment for the detailed measurement data and plots showing the maximum SAR location of the EUT.

SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS
3_Right Head Touch Position

AMPS - Duty cycle: 100%; Crest factor: 1   Depth of liquid: 15 cm

EUT Position	Antenna	Ch. #	f [MHz]	*Conducted Power [dBm]		SAR_1g [mW/g]	
				Before	After	Measured	Limited
Right Touch	Fixed	991	824.04	26.10	26.08	0.989	1.6
Right Touch	Fixed	383	836.49	26.05	26.04	1.050	1.6
Right Touch	Fixed	799	848.97	26.06	26.05	1.090	1.6
CDMA Cellular band - Duty cycle: 100%; Crest factor: 1							
Right Touch	Fixed	1015	824.70	23.50	23.50	0.485	1.6
Right Touch	Fixed	363	835.89	23.70	23.60	0.639	1.6
Right Touch	Fixed	777	848.31	23.50	23.50	0.665	1.6
CDMA PCS band - Duty cycle: 100%; Crest factor: 1							
Right Touch	Fixed	25	1851.25	23.50		**	1.6
Right Touch	Fixed	600	1880.00	23.60	23.50	0.559	1.6
Right Touch	Fixed	1175	1908.75	23.70		**	1.6

Notes:
1. *: Average power.
2. **: The SAR measured at the middle channel for this configuration is at least 3 dB lower than SAR limit, testing at low & high channel is optional.
3. Please see attachment for the detailed measurement data and plots showing the maximum SAR location of the EUT.

SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS
4_Right Head Tilt Position

AMPS - Duty cycle: 100%; Crest factor: 1   Depth of liquid: 15 cm

EUT Position	Antenna	Ch. #	f [MHz]	*Conducted Power [dBm]		SAR_1g [mW/g]	
				Before	After	Measured	Limited
Right Tilt	Fixed	991	824.04	26.10	26.07	0.868	1.6
Right Tilt	Fixed	383	836.49	26.05	26.05	0.913	1.6
Right Tilt	Fixed	799	848.97	26.06	26.05	0.927	1.6
CDMA Cellular band - Duty cycle: 100%; Crest factor: 1							
Right Tilt	Fixed	1015	824.70	23.50		**	1.6
Right Tilt	Fixed	363	835.89	23.70	23.60	0.550	1.6
Right Tilt	Fixed	777	848.31	23.50		**	1.6
CDMA PCS band - Duty cycle: 100%; Crest factor: 1							
Right Tilt	Fixed	25	1851.25	23.50	23.40	0.691	1.6
Right Tilt	Fixed	600	1880.00	23.60	23.50	0.755	1.6
Right Tilt	Fixed	1175	1908.75	23.70	23.50	0.869	1.6

Notes:
1. *: Average power.
2. **: The SAR measured at the middle channel for this configuration is at least 3 dB lower than SAR limit, testing at low & high channel is optional.
3. Please see attachment for the detailed measurement data and plots showing the maximum SAR location of the EUT.

SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS
5_Body Position

15 mm

AMPS - Duty cycle: 100%; Crest factor: 1   Depth of liquid: 15 cm

Sep. dist. [mm]	Antenna	Ch. #	f [MHz]	*Conducted Power [dBm]		SAR_1g [mW/g]	
				Before	After	Measured	Limited
15	Fixed	991	824.04	26.10	26.07	1.21	1.6
15	Fixed	383	836.49	26.05	26.05	1.23	1.6
15	Fixed	799	848.97	26.06	26.05	1.16	1.6
CDMA Cellular band - Duty cycle: 100%; Crest factor: 1							
15	Fixed	1015	824.70	23.50		**	1.6
15	Fixed	363	835.89	23.70	23.60	0.726	1.6
15	Fixed	777	848.31	23.50		**	1.6
CDMA PCS band - Duty cycle: 100%; Crest factor: 1							
15	Fixed	25	1851.25	23.50	23.40	0.391	1.6
15	Fixed	600	1880.00	23.60	23.50	0.417	1.6
15	Fixed	1175	1908.75	23.70	23.50	0.405	1.6

Notes:
1. *: Average power.
2. **: The SAR measured at the middle channel for this configuration is at least 3 dB lower than SAR limit, testing at low & high channel is optional.
3. The Ear-microphone wire connected to the phone jack, to simulate hand-free operation in a body worn configuration.
4. Please see attachment for the detailed measurement data and plots showing the maximum SAR location of the EUT.

---

9. EUT PHOTOS

EUT PHOTOS (1/2)

EUT PHOTOS (2/2)

10. EQUIPMENT LIST & CALIBRATION STATUS

Name of Equipment	Manufacturer	Type/Model	Serial Number	Cal. Due date
S-Parameter Network Analyzer	Agilent	8753ES-6	US39173569	8/8/04
Electronic Probe kit	Hewlett Packard	85070C	N/A	N/A
Power Meter	Giga-tronics	8651A	8651404	9/16/05
Power Sensor	Giga-tronics	80701A	1834588	9/16/05
Amplifier	Mini-Circuits	ZVE-8G	0360	N/A
Amplifier	Mini-Circuits	ZHL-42W	D072701-5	N/A
Radio Communication Tester	Rohde & Schwarz	CMU 200	838114/032	12/1/04
Data Acquisition Electronics (DAE)	SPEAG	DAE3 V1	500	12/23/04
Dosimetric E-Field Probe	SPEAG	ES3DV2	3023	9/23/04
System Validation Dipole	SPEAG	D835V2	4d002	1/12/06
System Validation Dipole	SPEAG	D1900V2	5d043	1/17/2006
Probe Alignment Unit	SPEAG	LB (V2)	261	N/A
Robot	Staubli	RX90B L	F00/5H31A1/A/01	N/A
SAM Twin Phantom	SPEAG	TP-1785	QD 000 P40 CA	N/A
SAM Twin Phantom	SPEAG	TP-1015	N/A	N/A
Simulating Liquids	CCS	H1900	N/A	Within 24 hrs of first test
Simulating Liquids	CCS	M1900	N/A	Within 24 hrs of first test
Simulating Liquids	CCS	H835	N/A	Within 24 hrs of first test
Simulating Liquids	CCS	M835	N/A	Within 24 hrs of first test

11. REFERENCES

[1] Federal Communications Commission, \Report and order: Guidelines for evaluating the environ-mental effects of radiofrequency radiation", Tech. Rep. FCC 96-326, FCC, Washington, D.C. 20554, 1996.

[2] David L. Means Kwok Chan, Robert F. Cleveland, \Evaluating compliance with FCC guidelines for human exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic fields", Tech. Rep., Federal Communication Commision, O_ce of Engineering & Technology, Washington, DC, 1997.

[3] Thomas Schmid, Oliver Egger, and Niels Kuster, \Automated E-_eld scanning system for dosimetric assessments", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, pp. 105{113, Jan. 1996.

[4] Niels Kuster, Ralph K.astle, and Thomas Schmid, \Dosimetric evaluation of mobile communications equipment with known precision", IEICE Transactions on Communications, vol. E80-B, no. 5, pp. 645{652, May 1997.

[5] CENELEC, \Considerations for evaluating of human exposure to electromagnetic fields (EMFs) from mobile telecommunication equipment (MTE) in the frequency range 30MHz - 6GHz", Tech. Rep., CENELEC, European Committee for Electrotechnical Standardization, Brussels, 1997.

[6] ANSI, ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992: IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz, The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., New York, NY 10017, 1992.

[7] Katja Pokovic, Thomas Schmid, and Niels Kuster, \Robust setup for precise calibration of E-_eld probes in tissue simulating liquids at mobile communications frequencies", in ICECOM _ 97, Dubrovnik, October 15{17, 1997, pp. 120{124.

[8] Katja Pokovic, Thomas Schmid, and Niels Kuster, \E-_eld probe with improved isotropy in brain simulating liquids", in Proceedings of the ELMAR, Zadar, Croatia, 23{25 June, 1996, pp. 172{175.

[9] Volker Hombach, Klaus Meier, Michael Burkhardt, Eberhard K. uhn, and Niels Kuster, \The dependence of EM energy absorption upon human head modeling at 900 MHz", IEEE Transactions onMicrowave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, no. 10, pp. 1865{1873, Oct. 1996.

[10] Klaus Meier, Ralf Kastle, Volker Hombach, Roger Tay, and Niels Kuster, \The dependence of EM energy absorption upon human head modeling at 1800 MHz", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, Oct. 1997, in press.

[11] W. Gander, Computermathematik, Birkhaeuser, Basel, 1992.

[12] W. H. Press, S. A. Teukolsky,W. T. Vetterling, and B. P. Flannery, Numerical Recepies in C, The Art of Scientific Computing, Second Edition, Cambridge University Press, 1992..Dosimetric Evaluation of Sample device, month 1998 9

[13] NIS81 NAMAS, \The treatment of uncertainity in EMC measurement", Tech. Rep., NAMAS Executive, National Physical Laboratory, Teddington, Middlesex, England, 1994.

[14] Barry N. Taylor and Christ E. Kuyatt, \Guidelines for evaluating and expressing the uncertainty of NIST measurement results", Tech. Rep., National Institute of Standards and Technology, 1994. Dosimetric Evaluation of Sample device, month 1998 10

12. ATTACHMENTS

No.	Contents	No. of page (s)
1	System Performance Check Plots	6
2-1	SAR Test Plots (AMPS Mode)	20
2-2	SAR Test Plots (CDMA Cellular band)	9
2-3	SAR test Plots (CDMA PCS mode)	11
3	Certificate of Probe ES3DV2 SN 3023	13
4	System Validation Dipole D835V2 SN 4d002	6
5	System Validation Dipole D1900V2 SN 5d043	6

END OF REPORT