

RESPONSE to RA25

Ask:

- 1.) There are no Schematics included with this device application. A BOM is not the same as a schematic diagram of the RF circuitry. Please provide schematic diagrams.

Answer:

We have submitted them.

- 2.) The Block Diagram does not include any relevant details about the transmitter. Pages 2 and 3 do not even display properly. Please provide a more complete and detailed block diagram.

Answer:

We have revised and submitted.

- 3.) The External Photographs are poor and provide very little information about this device. Please provide larger, clearer External Photographs showing all ports and all controls. It is recommended that standard orthographic projection techniques be used showing all sides of the device.

Answer: We have revised it.

- 4.) In general, only very specific types of Exhibits can be held Confidential. These include Parts List, Tune-Up Specifications, Block Diagram, Schematics and Operational Description. No other documents can be held Confidential without great justification. Please review your Confidential Request letter and correct.

Answer: We have revised and submitted.

- 5.) The Form 731 is signed by Royce Wang, but the printed name is that of Zihui Wang. Who is the individual responsible for this Application? If it is to be someone other than Royce Wang then we will need some notice that authorizes Zihui Wang to speak on behalf of ZTE Corporation.

Answer: We have revised and submitted.

- 6.) The Internal Photos are not satisfactory. Please provide larger, clearer photos, top and bottom, of all relevant construction details and all circuit boards / daughter boards. Be sure that all RF shielding is removed for photographs.

Answer: We have Revised and submitted. All our parts are shown in the Pictures. But some parts in the RA25 are brought from other companies. We can only give you a surface view.

- 7.) The Label exhibit is satisfactory. However, the FCC logo is not appropriate for this device and should be removed.

Answer: We have revised it.

- 8.) It appears that the Parts List may not include all the active devices as required by FCC rules. Kindly double-check and provide as necessary.

Answer: We have revised and submitted.

- 9.) The Tune Up procedure provided is not satisfactory. Ideally it should include a description of how power and frequency are set for these devices as they leave the factory floor. Please include target tune-up values and their tolerance in the tune-up procedure.

Answer: We have revised it.