Test Report S/N: 101600-32KBC Dates of Tests: October 24-27, 2000

# **CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE SAR EVALUATION**

#### **Test Lab:**

#### CELLTECH RESEARCH INC.

Testing and Engineering Lab 1955 Moss Court

Kelowna, B.C. Canada V1Y 9L3

Phone: 250 - 860-3130 Fax: 250 - 860-3110 Toll Free: 1-877-545-6287

e-mail: <u>celltech@globuswireless.com</u> web site: www.globuswireless.com

### **Applicant Name:**

#### ITRONIX CORPORATION

801 South Stevens Street Spokane, WA 99204

Attn: Fred Phillips, Certification Engineer

Phone: 509-742-1506 Fax: 509-626-4204

FCC ID: KBCT5200RIM801D

**Model(s):** T5200

Equipment Type: Rugged Laptop PC with RIM 801D ARDIS Radio Modem

Tx Frequency Range: 806-825 MHz Rx Frequency Range: 851-870 MHz Max. RF Output Power: 1.2 Watts

**FCC Rule Part(s):** 2.1093; **ET Docket 96.326** 

This wireless mobile and/or portable device has been shown to be compliant for localized specific absorption rate (SAR) for uncontrolled environment/general exposure limits specified in ANSI/IEEE Std. C95.1-1992 and had been tested in accordance with the measurement procedures specified in ANSI/IEEE Std. C95.3-1999. (See test report).

I attest to the accuracy of data. All measurements were performed by me or were made under my supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I assume full responsibility for the completeness of these measurements and vouch for the qualifications of all persons taking them.

Celltech Research Inc. certifies that no party to this application has been denied FCC benefits pursuant to Section 5301 of the Anti-Drug Abuse Act of 1988, 21 U.S.C. 853(a).

Shawn McMillen General Manager Celltech Research Inc.

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
2.0	DESCRIPTION OF EUT.	1
3.0	SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM	2
4.0	MEASUREMENT SUMMARY	3
5.0	SAR LIMITS	3
6.0	DETAILS OF SAR EVALUATION.	4
7.0	EVALUATION PROCEDURES	5
8.0	SYSTEM VALIDATION	5
9.0	SIMULATED EQUIVALENT TISSUES.	6
10.0	TISSUE PARAMETERS.	6
11.0	SYSTEM SPECIFICATIONS	7
12.0	TEST EQUIPMENT LIST.	8
13.0	MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTIES.	9
14.0	REFERENCES.	10
APPE	NDIX A - SAR MEASUREMENT DATA NDIX B - DIPOLE VALIDATION NDIX C - PROBE CALIBRATION	11 12 13
	NDIX D - TEST SETUP PHOTOGRAPHSNDIX E – USERS MANUAL WARNING STATEMENT	14 15
AFFE	NDIA IZ — UBIZNB IVIAINUALZ VVANININUT BIA I PZVIPZNI	1.)

### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

This measurement report is designed to show compliance of the ITRONIX Model: T5200 Rugged Laptop PC with RIM 801D ARDIS Radio Modem FCC ID: KBCT5200RIM801D with FCC Part 2.1093, ET Docket 96-326 Rules for mobile and portable devices. The test procedures, as described in American National Standards Institute C95.1 - 1992 (1), FCC OET Bulletin 65-1997 were employed. A description of the product and operating configuration, detailed summary of the test results, methodology and procedures used in the evaluation, equipment used, and the various provisions of the rules are included within this test report.

### 2.0 DESCRIPTION of Equipment Under Test (EUT)

EUT Type	Rugged Laptop PC with RIM 801D ARDIS Radio Modem	<b>Equipment Class</b>	Licensed Non-Broadcast Station Transmitter (TNB)
Radio Type	RIM 801D (ARDIS Network)	Model No.(s)	T5200
FCC ID	KBCT5200RIM801D	S/N No.	Pre-production
Tx Frequency Range (MHz)	806-825	Max. RF Output Power	1.2 Watts
Rx Frequency Range (MHz)	851-870	Signal Modulation(s)	FSK
Antenna Type	λ/2 Resonant Dipole	Antenna Length	160 mm








Fig. 2. Rear of EUT



Fig. 3. Antenna



Fig. 4. 801D Radio Modem

1

#### 3.0 SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM

Celltech Research SAR measurement facility utilizes the Dosimetric Assessment System (DASY<sup>TM</sup>) manufactured by Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG<sup>TM</sup>) of Zurich, Switzerland. The DASY system is comprised of the robot controller, computer, near-field probe, probe alignment sensor, and the generic twin phantom containing brain or muscle equivalent material (see Figure 6). The robot is a six-axis industrial robot performing precise movements to position the probe to the location (points) of maximum electromagnetic field (EMF). A cell controller system contains the power supply, robot controller, teach pendant (Joystick), and remote control, is used to drive the robot motors. The Staubli robot is connected to the cell controller to allow software manipulation of the robot. A data acquisition electronics (DAE) circuit performs the signal amplification, signal multiplexing, AD-conversion, offset measurements, mechanical surface detection, collision detection, etc. is connected to the Electro-optical coupler (EOC). The EOC performs the conversion from the optical into digital electric signal of the DAE and transfers data to the PC plug-in card. The DAE3 utilizes a highly sensitive electrometer-grade preamplifier with auto-zeroing, a channel and gain-switching multiplexer, a fast 16 bit AD-converter and a command decoder and control logic unit. Transmission to the PC-card is accomplished through an optical downlink for data and status information and an optical uplink for commands and clock lines. The mechanical probe-mounting device includes two different sensor systems for frontal and sidewise probe contacts. They are also used for mechanical surface detection and probe collision detection. The robot uses its own controller with a built in VME-bus computer.



Fig. 5. DASY3 SAR Measurement System

# Test Report S/N: 101600-32KBC Dates of Tests: October 24-27, 2000

### 4.0 MEASUREMENT SUMMARY

The measurement results were obtained with the EUT tested in the conditions described in this report. Detailed measurement data and plots showing the maximum SAR location of the EUT are reported in Appendix A.

Freq. (MHz)	Mode Tested	Conducted Power (dBm)	Antenna Position	Phantom Section	Separation Distance (cm)	SAR (w/kg)
806	Unmod.	30.0	Vertical	Flat	5.0	0.981
815	Unmod.	30.0	Vertical	Flat	5.0	0.914
821	Unmod.	30.0	Vertical	Flat	5.0	0.835
Mixture Type: MUSCLE Dielectric Constant: 56.1 Conductivity: 0.95  ANSI / IEEE C95.1 1992 - SAFETY LIMIT Spatial Peak Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population Body SAR: 1.6 W/kg (averaged over 1 gram)						

### Notes:

- 1. All modes of operation were investigated and the worst-case SAR levels are recorded.
- 2. The SAR values found were below the maximum limit of 1.6 w/kg.
- 3. The worst-case SAR value found was 0.981 w/kg.

### 5.0 SAR SAFETY LIMITS

EXPOSURE LIMITS (General populations/Uncontrolled Exposure Environment)	SAR (W/Kg)
Spatial Average (averaged over the whole body)	0.08
Spatial Peak (averaged over any 1g of tissue)	1.60
Spatial Peak (hands/wrists/feet/ankles averaged over 10g)	4.00

- Notes: 1. The FCC SAR safety limits specified in the table above apply to devices operated in the General Population / Uncontrolled Exposure environment.
  - 2. Uncontrolled environments are defined as locations where there is exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their exposure.

### **6.0 DETAILS OF SAR EVALUATION**

The ITRONIX T5200 Rugged Laptop PC with RIM 801D ARDIS Radio Modem FCC ID: KBCT5200RIM801D was found to be compliant for localized specific absorption rate (SAR) based on the following test provisions and conditions:

- 1) The EUT was tested in a body-worn configuration with the antenna side placed parallel to the outer surface of the planar phantom with 5.0cm spacing between the antenna and the outer surface of the planar phantom.
- 2) The body-worn measurements were evaluated at maximum power and the unit was operated for an appropriate period prior to the evaluation in order to minimize drift.
- 3) The device was keyed to operate continuously in the transmit mode for the duration of the test.
- 4) The location of the maximum spatial SAR distribution (Hot Spot) was determined relative to the device and its antenna.
- 5) The EUT was tested with a fully charged battery.



Fig. 6. SAR test setup with 5cm spacing between the antenna and planar phantom surface.

# Test Report S/N: 101600-32KBC Dates of Tests: October 24-27, 2000

### 7.0 EVALUATION PROCEDURES

The Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) evaluation was performed in the following manner:

- a. (i) The evaluation was performed in an applicable area of the phantom depending on the type of device being tested. For devices worn about the ear during normal operation, both the left and right ear positions were evaluated at the center frequency of the band at maximum power. The side, which produced the greatest SAR, determined which side of the phantom would be used for the entire evaluation. The positioning of the head worn device relative to the phantom was dictated by FCC OET bulletin 65 Supp., C.
- (ii) For body-worn devices or devices which can be operated within 20cm of the body, the planar section of the phantom was used. The type of device being evaluated dictated the distance of the EUT to the outer surface of the planar phantom.
- b. The SAR was determined by a pre-defined procedure within the DASY3 software. Upon completion of a reference and optical surface check, the exposed region of the phantom was scanned near the inner surface with a grid spacing of 20mm x 20mm.
- c. A 5x5x7 matrix was performed around the greatest spatial SAR distribution found during the area scan of the applicable exposed region. SAR values were then calculated using a 3-D spline interpolation algorithm and averaged over spatial volumes of 1 and 10 grams.
- d. If the EUT had any appreciable drift over the course of the evaluation, then the EUT was re-evaluated. Any unusual anomalies over the course of the test also warranted a re-evaluation.

#### 8.0 SYSTEM VALIDATION

Prior to the assessment, the system was verified in the planar region of the phantom. For devices operating below 1GHz, an 835MHz dipole or 900MHz was used, depending on the operating frequency of the EUT. For devices operating above 1GHz, an 1800MHz dipole was used. A forward power of 250mW was applied to the dipole and system was verified to a tolerance of  $\pm 5\%$ . Following the validation, the fluid remained or was changed depending on the particular part of the body being evaluated. The applicable verification(s) is/are as follows (see Appendix B for validation test plot):

Dipole Validation Kit	Target SAR 1g (w/kg)	Measured SAR 1g (w/kg)
D835V2	2.06	2.03

### 9.0 SIMULATED TISSUES

The brain and muscle mixtures consist of a viscous gel using hydroxethylcellullose (HEC) gelling agent and saline solution. Preservation with a bactericide was added and visual inspection was made to ensure air bubbles are not trapped during the mixing process. The mixture was calibrated to obtain proper dielectric constant (permittivity) and conductivity of the tissue.

INGREDIENT	FREQUENCY (835MHz Muscle)
Water	52.4%
Sugar	45.0%
Salt	1.4%
HEC	1.0%
Bactericide	0.2%

### 10.0 TISSUE PARAMETERS

The dielectric parameters of the fluids were verified prior to the SAR evaluation using an 85070C Dielectric Probe Kit and an 8753E Network Analyzer. The dielectric parameters of the fluid are as follows:

Frequency	Dielectric Constant	Conductivity	ñ (Kg/m³)
(Muscle)	å <sub>r</sub>	ó (mho/m)	
800-850 MHz	56.1 ± 5%	$0.95 \pm 5\%$	1000

1955 Moss Court, Kelowna, B.C. CANADA V1Y 9L3 Test Report S/N: 101600-32KBC Dates of Tests: October 24-27, 2000

### 11.0 ROBOT SYSTEM SPECIFICATIONS

**Specifications** 

**POSITIONER:** Stäubli Unimation Corp. Robot Model: RX60L

**Repeatability:** 0.02 mm

No. of axis: 6

Data Acquisition Electronic (DAE) System

**Cell Controller** 

Processor: Pentium III
Clock Speed: 450 MHz
Operating System: Windows NT
Data Card: DASY3 PC-Board

**Data Converter** 

**Features:** Signal Amplifier, multiplexer, A/D converter, and control logic

**Software:** DASY3 software

**Connecting Lines:** Optical downlink for data and status info.

Optical uplink for commands and clock

**PC Interface Card** 

**Function:** 24 bit (64 MHz) DSP for real time processing

Link to DAE3

16 bit A/D converter for surface detection system

serial link to robot

direct emergency stop output for robot

E-Field Probe

Model: ET3DV6 Serial No.: 1387

**Construction:** Triangular core fiber optic detection system

**Frequency:** 10 MHz to 6 GHz

**Linearity:**  $\pm 0.2 \text{ dB } (30 \text{ MHz to } 3 \text{ GHz})$ 

Phantom

**Phantom:** Generic Twin **Shell Material:** Fiberglass  $2.0 \pm 0.1 \text{ mm}$ 

# 12.0 TEST EQUIPMENT LIST

SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM					
<u>EQUIPMENT</u>	<u>S/N #</u>	<u>CALIB. DATE</u>			
DASY3 System -Robot -ET3DV6 E-Field Probe -DAE -835MHz Validation Dipole -900MHz Validation Dipole -1800MHz Validation Dipole	599396-01 1387 383 411 054 247	N/A Sept 1999 Sept 1999 Aug 1999 Aug 1999			
-Generic Twin Phantom V3.0  85070C Dielectric Probe Kit	N/A N/A	N/A N/A			
Gigatronics 8652A Power Meter -Power Sensor 80701A -Power Sensor 80701A	1835272 1833535 1833542	Oct 1999 Oct 1999 Oct 1999			
E4408B Spectrum Analyzer	US39240170	Nov 1999			
8594E Spectrum Analyzer	3543A02721	Mar 2000			
8753E Network Analyzer	US38433013	Nov 1999			
8648D Signal Generator	3847A00611	N/A			
5S1G4 Amplifier Research Power Amplifier	26235	N/A			

#### 13.0 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTIES

<b>Uncertainty Description</b>	Error	Distribution	Weight	Standard Deviation	Offset
Probe Uncertainty					
Axial isotropy	±0.2 dB	U-Shaped	0.5	±2.4 %	
Spherical isotropy	±0.4 dB	U-Shaped	0.5	±4.8 %	
Isotropy from gradient	±0.5 dB	U-Shaped	0	±	
Spatial resolution	±0.5 %	Normal	1	±0.5 %	
Linearity error	±0.2 dB	Rectangle	1	±2.7 %	
Calibration error	±3.3 %	Normal	1	±3.3 %	
SAR Evaluation Uncertainty					
Data acquisition error	±1 %	Rectangle	1	±0.6 %	
ELF and RF disturbances	±0.25 %	Normal	1	±0.25 %	
Conductivity assessment	±5 %	Rectangle	1	±5.8 %	
Spatial Peak SAR Evaluation Uncertainty					
Extrapolated boundary effect	±3 %	Normal	1	±3 %	±5 %
Probe positioning error	±0.1 mm	Normal	1	±1 %	
Integrated and cube orientation	±3 %	Normal	1	±3 %	
Cube Shape inaccuracies	±2 %	Rectangle	1	±1.2 %	
Device positioning	±6 %	Normal	1	±6 %	
<b>Combined Uncertainties</b>				±11.7 %	±5 %

Measurement uncertainties in SAR measurements are difficult to quantify due to several variables including biological, physiological, and environmental. However, the estimated measurement uncertainties in SAR are less than 15-25 %.

According to ANSI/IEEE C95.3, the overall uncertainties are difficult to assess and will vary with the type of meter and usage situation. However, accuracy's of  $\pm$  1 to 3 dB can be expected in practice, with greater uncertainties in near-field situations and at higher frequencies (shorter wavelengths), or areas where large reflecting objects are present. Under optimum measurement conditions, SAR measurement uncertainties of at least  $\pm$  2dB can be expected.

According to CENELEC, typical worst-case uncertainty of field measurements is  $\pm$  5 dB. For well-defined modulation characteristics the uncertainty can be reduced to  $\pm$  3 dB.

# Test Report S/N: 101600-32KBC Dates of Tests: October 24-27, 2000

### 14.0 REFERENCES

- (1) ANSI, ANSI/IEEE C95.1: IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3kHz to 300 Ghz, The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., New York, NY 10017, 1992;
- (2) Federal Communications Commission, "Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radio frequency Electromagnetic Fields", OET Bulletin 65, FCC, Washington, D.C. 20554, 1997;
- (3) Thomas Schmid, Oliver Egger, and Neils Kuster, "Automated E-field scanning system for dosimetric assessments", IEEE *Transaction on Microwave Theory and Techniques*, Vol. 44, pp. 105 113, January, 1996.
- (4) Niels Kuster, Ralph Kastle, and Thomas Schmid, "Dosimetric evaluation of mobile communications equipment with know precision", IEICE Transactions of Communications, vol. E80-B, no. 5, pp. 645 652, May 1997.

1955 Moss Court, Kelowna, B.C. CANADA V1Y 9L3 Test Report S/N: 101600-32KBC Dates of Tests: October 24-27, 2000

# APPENDIX A - SAR MEASUREMENT DATA

# ITRONIX FCC ID: KBCT5200RIM801D

Generic Twin Phantom; Flat Section; Position:  $(270^{\circ},0^{\circ})$ ; Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1387; ConvF(6.43,6.43,6.43); Crest factor: 1.0; Muscle 835 MHz:  $\sigma = 0.95$  mho/m  $\epsilon_r = 56.1$   $\rho = 1.00$  g/cm<sup>3</sup>


Coarse: Dx = 20.0, Dy = 20.0, Dz = 10.0Cube 5x5x7


SAR (1g): 0.981 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.721 mW/g

Separation Distance 5.0cm ITRONIX CORPORATION

Model: T5200 (Handheld PC with Rim 801D)

Unmodulated Carrier Low Channel [806MHz] Conducted Power 30dBm Date Tested: Oct 24, 2000





# ITRONIX FCC ID: KBCT5200RIM801D


Generic Twin Phantom; Flat Section; Position: (270°,0°); Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1387; ConvF(6.43,6.43,6.43); Crest factor: 1.0; Muscle 835 MHz:  $\sigma$  = 0.95 mho/m  $\epsilon_r$  = 56.1  $\rho$  = 1.00 g/cm³


Coarse: Dx = 20.0, Dy = 20.0, Dz = 10.0Cube 5x5x7

SAR (1g): 0.914 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.672 mW/g

Separation Distance 5.0cm ITRONIX CORPORATION Model: T5200 (Handheld PC with Rim 801D)

Unmodulated Carrier Mid Channel [815MHz] Conducted Power 30dBm Date Tested: Oct 24, 2000





# ITRONIX FCC ID: KBCT5200RIM801D

Generic Twin Phantom; Flat Section; Position: (270°,0°); Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1387; ConvF(6.43,6.43,6.43); Crest factor: 1.0; Muscle 835 MHz:  $\sigma$  = 0.95 mho/m  $\epsilon_r$  = 56.1  $\rho$  = 1.00 g/cm³


Coarse: Dx = 20.0, Dy = 20.0, Dz = 10.0Cube 5x5x7


SAR (1g): 0.835 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.613 mW/g

Separation Distance 5.0cm ITRONIX CORPORATION

Model: T5200 (Handheld PC with Rim 801D)

Unmodulated Carrier High Channel [821MHz] Conducted Power 30dBm Date Tested: Oct 24, 2000





1955 Moss Court, Kelowna, B.C. CANADA V1Y 9L3 Test Report S/N: 101600-32KBC Dates of Tests: October 24-27, 2000

# APPENDIX B - DIPOLE VALIDATION


# Dipole 835 MHz

Generic Twin Phantom; Flat Section; Position:  $(90^\circ, 90^\circ)$ ; Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1387; ConvF(6.43,6.43,6.43); Crest factor: 1.0; Brain 835 MHz:  $\sigma = 0.80$  mho/m  $\epsilon_r = 44.2$   $\rho = 1.00$  g/cm<sup>3</sup>

Coarse: Dx = 20.0, Dy = 20.0, Dz = 10.0Cube 5x5x7

SAR (1g): 2.03 mW/g, SAR (10g): 1.35 mW/g

Validation Date: Oct. 24, 2000



# Validation Dipole D835V2 SN:411, d = 15mm

Frequency: 835 MHz; Antenna Input Power: 250 [mW]


Generic Twin Phantom; Flat Section; Grid Spacing: Dx = 20.0, Dy = 20.0, Dz = 10.0

Probe: ET3DV5 - SN1342/DAE3; ConvF(5.75,5.75,5.75); Brain 835 MHz:  $\sigma = 0.80$  mho/m  $\epsilon_r = 44.2$   $\rho = 1.00$  g/cm<sup>3</sup>

Cubes (2): Peak: 3.07  $\text{mW/g} \pm 0.05 \text{ dB}$ , SAR (1g): 2.06  $\text{mW/g} \pm 0.05 \text{ dB}$ , SAR (10g): 1.38  $\text{mW/g} \pm 0.05 \text{ dB}$ , (Worst-case extrapolation)

Penetration depth: 13.6 (12.7, 14.8) [mm]

Powerdrift: -0.00 dB



Test Report S/N: 101600-32KBC Dates of Tests: October 24-27, 2000

# APPENDIX C - PROBE CALIBRATION

# Schmid & Partner Engineering AG

Staffelstrasse 8, 8045 Zurich, Switzerland, Telefon +41 1 280 08 60, Fax +41 1 280 08 64

# Probe ET3DV6

SN:1387

Manufactured: September 21, 1999 Last calibration: September 22, 1999

Calibrated for System DASY3


# DASY3 - Parameters of Probe: ET3DV6 SN:1387

Sensitiv	vity in Free S	Space	Diode 0	Compressi	on	
	NormX	1.55	$\mu V/(V/m)^2$		DCP X	<b>98</b> mV
	NormY		$\mu V/(V/m)^2$		DCP Y	<b>98</b> mV
	NormZ		$\mu V/(V/m)^2$		DCP Z	<b>98</b> mV
	NOTTIZ	1.04	μν/(ν/ιιι)		DCF Z	<b>90</b> 111 V
Sensitiv	vity in Tissue	Sim	ulating Liquid			
Brain	450 MHz	z	$e_r$ = 48 ± 5%	s =	: 0.50 ± 10% ı	mho/m
	ConvF X	6.76	extrapolated		Boundary eff	fect:
	ConvF Y	6.76	extrapolated		Alpha	0.30
	ConvF Z	6.76	extrapolated		Depth	2.52
Brain	in 900 MHz		$e_r$ = 42.5 ± 5%	s =	: 0.86 ± 10% ı	mho/m
	ConvF X	6.34	± 7% (k=2)		Boundary ef	fect:
	ConvF Y	6.34	± 7% (k=2)		Alpha	0.47
	ConvF Z	6.34	± 7% (k=2)		Depth	2.25
Brain	1500 MHz	Z	$e_r$ = 41 ± 5%	s <b>=</b>	: 1.32 ± 10% ı	mho/m
	ConvF X	5.78	interpolated		Boundary eff	fect:
	ConvF Y	5.78	interpolated		Alpha	0.69
	ConvF Z	5.78	interpolated		Depth	1.88
Brain	1800 MH	Z	$e_r$ = 41 ± 5%	s <b>=</b>	: 1.69 ± 10% ı	mho/m
	ConvF X	5.50	± 7% (k=2)		Boundary eff	fect:
	ConvF Y	5.50	± 7% (k=2)		Alpha	0.81
	ConvF Z	5.50	± 7% (k=2)		Depth	1.70
Sensor	Offset					


# Sensor Offset

Probe Tip to Sensor Center	2.7	mm
Optical Surface Detection	$1.6 \pm 0.2$	mm


# Receiving Pattern (f), $q = 0^{\circ}$



# ET3DV6 SN:1387




# Isotropy Error (f), $q = 0^{\circ}$




# **Frequency Response of E-Field**


(TEM-Cell:ifi110, Waveguide R22, R26)




# **Dynamic Range f(SAR**<sub>brain</sub>)

(TEM-Cell:ifi110)






# **Conversion Factor Assessment**



# **Receiving Pattern (f)**

(in brain tissue, z = 5 mm)



1955 Moss Court, Kelowna, B.C. CANADA V1Y 9L3 Test Report S/N: 101600-32KBC Dates of Tests: October 24-27, 2000

# APPENDIX D - TEST SETUP PHOTOGRAPHS

# Test Report S/N: 101600-32KBC Dates of Tests: October 24-27, 2000

# SAR TEST SETUP PHOTOGRAPHS





B.C. CANADA V1Y 9L3

Test Report S/N: 101600-32KBC Dates of Tests: October 24-27, 2000

# APPENDIX E - USERS MANUAL WARNING STATEMENT

**Important** Before using this manual and the product it describes, read the Microsoft's End User's License Agreement and the safety information.

# Compliance Statements

The T5200 computer has been tested and found to comply with the limits for a Class B digital device, pursuant to Part 15 of the FCC Rules. These limits are designed to provide reasonable protection against harmful interference in a residential installation. This equipment generates, uses, and can radiate radio frequency energy and, if not installed and used in accordance with the instructions, may cause harmful interference to radio communications. However, there is no guarantee that interference will not occur in a particular installation.

**WARNING** The Itronix Corporation Model T5200 Wireless Mobile Workstation was found to be compliant with FCC RF exposure requirements for the uncontrolled/general population exposure environment with these operating conditions. While this device is in operation its antenna must be at least 5 cm from the body of the user and all nearby persons in order to comply with FCC RF exposure requirements.

If this equipment does cause harmful interference to radio or television reception, which can be determined by turning the equipment off and on, the user is encouraged to try to correct the interference by one or more of the following measures:

- Reorient or relocate the receiving antenna.
- Increase the separation between the equipment and receiver.
- Connect the equipment into an outlet on a circuit different from that to which the receiver is connected.