PCTEST
7185 Oakland Mills Road, Columbia, MD 21046 USA
Tel. 410.290.6652 / Fax 410.290.6654
http://www.pctest.com



HEARING AID COMPATIBILITY

Applicant Name:
LG Electronics U.S.A, Inc.
111 Sylvan Avenue, North Building
Englewood Cliffs, NJ 07632
United States

Date of Testing:
10/5/2020 - 10/12/2020
Test Site/Location:
PCTEST, Columbia, MD, USA
Test Report Serial No.:
1M2009170151-13-R1.ZNF
Date of Issue:
10/20/2020

FCC ID:	**ZNFK200TM**
APPLICANT:	**LG ELECTRONICS U.S.A, INC.**

Scope of Test:	Audio Band Magnetic Testing (T-Coil)
Application Type:	Certification
FCC Rule Part(s):	CFR §20.19(b)
HAC Standard:	ANSI C63.19-2011 285076 D01 HAC Guidance v05 285076 D02 T-Coil testing for CMRS IP v03
DUT Type:	Portable Handset
Model:	LM-K200TM
Additional Model(s):	LMK200TM, K200TM
Test Device Serial No.:	*Pre-Production Sample* [S/N: 18878]

C63.19-2011 HAC Category:	**T3 (SIGNAL TO NOISE CATEGORY)**

Note: This revised Test Report (S/N: 1M2009170151-13-R1.ZNF) supersedes and replaces the previously issued test report on the same subject device for the same type of testing as indicated. Please discard or destroy the previously issued test report(s) and dispose of it accordingly.

This wireless portable device has been shown to be hearing-aid compatible under the above rated category, specified in ANSI/IEEE Std. C63.19-2011 and has been tested in accordance with the specified measurement procedures. Test results reported herein relate only to the item(s) tested. Hearing-Aid Compatibility is based on the assumption that all production units will be designed electrically identical to the device tested in this report. North American Bands only.

I attest to the accuracy of data. All measurements reported herein were performed by me or were made under my supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I assume full responsibility for the completeness of these measurements and vouch for the qualifications of all persons taking them.

Randy Ortanez
President

ACCREDITED
CERT #2041.01

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION

On July 10, 2003, the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) adopted new rules requiring wireless manufacturers and service providers to provide digital wireless phones that are compatible with hearing aids. The FCC has modified the exemption for wireless phones under the Hearing Aid Compatibility Act of 1998 (HAC Act) in WT Docket 01-309 RM-8658[1] to extend the benefits of wireless telecommunications to individuals with hearing disabilities. These benefits encompass business, social and emergency communications, which increase the value of the wireless network for everyone. An estimated more than 10% of the population in the United States show signs of hearing impairment and of that fraction, almost 80% use hearing aids. Approximately 500 million people worldwide and 30 million people in the United States suffer from hearing loss.

Compatibility Tests Involved:

The standard calls for wireless communications devices to be measured for:

- RF Electric-field emissions
- T-coil mode, magnetic-signal strength in the audio band
- T-coil mode, magnetic-signal frequency response through the audio band
- T-coil mode, magnetic-signal and noise articulation index

The hearing aid must be measured for:

- RF immunity in microphone mode
- RF immunity in T-coil mode

In the following tests and results, this report includes the evaluation for a wireless communications device.



Figure 1-1 Hearing Aid *in-vitu*

[1] FCC Rule & Order, WT Docket 01-309 RM-8658

2. DUT DESCRIPTION

FCC ID:	ZNFK200TM
Applicant:	LG Electronics U.S.A, Inc. 111 Sylvan Avenue, North Building Englewood Cliffs, NJ 07632 United States
Model:	LM-K200TM
Additional Model(s):	LMK200TM, K200TM
Serial Number:	18878
HW Version:	REV1.0
SW Version:	K200TM08g
Antenna:	Internal Antenna
DUT Type:	Portable Handset

I. LTE Band Selection

This device supports the following pairs of LTE bands with similar frequencies: LTE B26 & B5, LTE B66 & B4, as well as B25 & B2. Each pair of LTE bands have the same target power and share the same transmission path. Since the supported frequency spans for the smaller LTE bands are completely covered by the larger LTE bands, only the larger LTE bands (LTE B26, B66 and B25) were evaluated for hearing aid compliance.

Table 2-1
ZNFK200TM HAC Air Interfaces

Air-Interface	Band (MHz)	Type Transport	HAC Tested	Simultaneous But Not Tested	Name of Voice Service	Audio Codec Evaluated
GSM	850	VO	Yes	Yes: WIFI or BT	CMRS Voice[1]	EFR
	1900					
	GPRS/EDGE	VD	Yes	Yes: WIFI or BT	Google Duo[2]	OPUS
UMTS	850	VD	Yes	Yes: WIFI or BT	CMRS Voice[1]	NB AMR
	1700					
	1900					
	HSPA	VD	Yes	Yes: WIFI or BT	Google Duo[2]	OPUS
LTE (FDD)	680 (B71)	VD	Yes[3]	Yes: WIFI or BT	VoLTE[1], Google Duo[2]	VoLTE: NB AMR, WB AMR, EVS Google Duo: OPUS
	700 (B12)		Yes			
	780 (B13)					
	850 (B5)					
	850 (B26)					
	1700 (B4)					
	1700 (B66)					
	1900 (B2)					
	1900 (B25)					
LTE (TDD)	2600 (B41)	VD	Yes	Yes: WIFI or BT	VoLTE[1], Google Duo[2]	VoLTE: NB AMR, WB AMR, EVS Google Duo: OPUS
WIFI	2450	VD	Yes	Yes: GSM, UMTS, or LTE	Google Duo[2]	Google Duo: OPUS
BT	2450	DT	No	Yes: GSM, UMTS, or LTE	N/A	N/A

Type Transport
VO = Voice Only
DT = Digital Data - Not intended for Voice Services
VD = CMRS and/or IP Voice over Data Transport

Notes:
1. Reference level in accordance with 7.4.2.1 of ANSI C63.19-2011 and July 2012 C63 VoLTE Interpretation.
2. Reference level is -20dBm0 in accordance with FCC KDB 285076 D02
3. LTE B71 , while outside the scope of ANSI C63.19 and FCC HAC regulations, were additionally tested according to the existing HAC procedures with currently available test equipment.

3. ANSI C63.19-2011 PERFORMANCE CATEGORIES

I. MAGNETIC COUPLING

Axial and Radial Field Intensity

All orientations of the magnetic field, in the axial and radial position along the measurement plane shall be ≥ -18 dB(A/m) at 1 kHz in a 1/3 octave band filter per §8.3.1.

Frequency Response

The frequency response of the axial component of the magnetic field shall follow the response curve specified in EIA RS-504-1983, over the frequency range 300 Hz – 3000 Hz per §8.3.2.



Figure 3-1
Magnetic field frequency response for Wireless Devices with an axial field ≤-15 dB(A/m) at 1 kHz



Figure 3-2
Magnetic Field frequency response for wireless devices with an axial field that exceeds -15 dB(A/m) at 1 kHz

Signal Quality

The table below provides the signal quality requirement for the intended audio magnetic signal from a wireless device. Only the RF immunity of the hearing aid is measured in T-coil mode. It is assumed that a hearing aid can have no immunity to an interference signal in the audio band, which is the intended reception band for this mode. The only criterion that can be measured is the RF immunity in T-coil mode. This is measured using the same procedure as the audio coupling mode at the same levels.

The signal quality of the axial and radial components of the magnetic field was used to determine the T-coil mode category.

Category	Telephone RF Parameters
	Wireless Device Signal Quality [(Signal + Noise)-to-noise ratio in dB]
T1	0 to 10 dB
T2	10 to 20 dB
T3	20 to 30 dB
T4	> 30 dB

Table 3-1
Magnetic Coupling Parameters

Note: The FCC limit for SNNR is 20dB and the test data margins will indicate a margin from the FCC limit for compliance.

4. METHOD OF MEASUREMENT

I. Test Setup

The equipment was connected as shown in an acoustic/RF hemi-anechoic chamber:



Figure 4-1
Validation Setup with Helmholtz Coil



Figure 4-2
T-Coil Test Setup

II. Scanning Mechanism

Manufacturer:	TEM
Accuracy:	± 0.83 cm/meter
Minimum Step Size:	0.1 mm
Maximum speed	6.1 cm/sec
Line Voltage:	115 VAC
Line Frequency:	60 Hz
Material Composite:	Delrin (Acetal)
Data Control:	Parallel Port
Dynamic Range (X-Y-Z):	45 x 31.75 x 47 cm
Dimensions:	36” x 25” x 38”
Operating Area:	36” x 49” x 55”
Reflections:	< -20 dB (in anechoic chamber)



Figure 4-3
RF Near-Field Scanner

III. ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice

Manufacturer:	ITU-T
Active Frequency Range:	100 Hz – 8 kHz
Stimulus Type:	Male and Female, no spaces
Single Sample Duration:	20.96 seconds
Activity Level:	100%



Figure 4-4
Spectral Characteristic of full P.50



Figure 4-5
Temporal Characteristic of full P.50

ABM1 Measurement Block Diagram:



ABM2 Measurement Block Diagram:



Figure 4-6 Magnetic Measurement Processing Steps

IV. Test Procedure

1. Ambient Noise Check per C63.19 §7.3.1
   a. Ambient interference was monitored using a Real-Time Analyzer between 100-10,000 Hz with 1/3 octave filtering.
   b. "A-weighting" and Half-Band Integration was applied to the measurements.
   c. Since this measurement was measured in the same method as ABM2 measurements, this level was verified to be more than 10 dB below the lowest measurement signal (which is the highest ABM2 measurement for a T4 WD). Therefore the maximum noise level for a T4 WD with an ABM1 = -18 dBA/m is:
      -18 - 30 - 10= -58 dBA/m

2. Measurement System Validation (See Figure 4-1)
   a. The measurement system including the probe, pre-amplifier and acquisition system were validated as an entire system to ensure the reliability of test measurements.
   b. ABM1 Validation
      The magnetic field at the center of the Helmholtz coil is given by the equation (per C63.19 Annex D.10.1):

$$H_c = \frac{NI}{r\sqrt{1.25^3}} = \frac{N(\frac{V}{R})}{r\sqrt{1.25^3}}$$

Where H_c = magnetic field strength in amperes per meter
N = number of turns per coil

For the Helmholtz Coil, N=20; r=0.08m; R=10.2Ω and using V=18mV:

$$H_c = \frac{20\cdot(\frac{0.018}{10.2})}{0.08\cdot\sqrt{1.25^3}} = 0.316A/m \approx -10dB(A/m)$$

Therefore a pure tone of 1kHz was applied into the coils such that 18mV was observed across the resistor. The voltmeter used for measurement was verified to be capable of measurements in the audio band range. This theoretically generates an expected field of -10 dB(A/m) in the center of the Helmholtz coil which was used to validate the probe measurement at -10dB(A/m). This was verified to be within ± 0.5 dB of the -10dB(A/m) value (see Pages 34 & 35).

c. Frequency Response Validation
The frequency response through the Helmholtz Coil was verified to be within 0.5 dB relative to 1kHz, between 300 – 3000 Hz using the P.50 signal as shown below:



Figure 4-7 Frequency Response Validation

d. ABM2 Measurement Validation
WD noise measurements are filtered with A-weighting and Half-Band Integration over a frequency range of 100Hz – 10kHz to process ABM2 measurements. Below is the verification of the system processing A-weighting and Half-Band integration between system input to output within 0.5 dB of the theoretical result:

Table 4-1
ABM2 Frequency Response Validation

f (Hz)	HBI, A - Measured (dB re 1kHz)	HBI, A - Theoretical (dB re 1kHz)	dB Var.
100	-16.180	-16.170	-0.010
125	-13.257	-13.250	-0.007
160	-10.347	-10.340	-0.007
200	-8.017	-8.010	-0.007
250	-5.925	-5.920	-0.005
315	-4.045	-4.040	-0.005
400	-2.405	-2.400	-0.005
500	-1.212	-1.210	-0.002
630	-0.349	-0.350	0.001
800	0.071	0.070	0.001
1000	0.000	0.000	0.000
1250	-0.503	-0.500	-0.003
1600	-1.513	-1.510	-0.003
2000	-2.778	-2.780	0.002
2500	-4.316	-4.320	0.004
3150	-6.166	-6.170	0.004
4000	-8.322	-8.330	0.008
5000	-10.573	-10.590	0.017
6300	-13.178	-13.200	0.022
8000	-16.241	-16.270	0.029
10000	-19.495	-19.520	0.025



Figure 4-8
ABM2 Frequency Response Validation

The ABM2 result is a power sum from 100Hz to 10kHz with half-band integration and A-weighting. To verify the power sum measurement, a power sum over the full band was measured and verified to track with the source level (See Figure 4-9). Therefore the setup in this step was used to verify the power sum post-processing for ABM2 measurements. See below block diagram:



Figure 4-9
ABM2 Validation Block Diagram

The power summed output results for a known input were compared to the multi-meter results to verify any deviation in the post-processing implemented with the power-sum.

Table 4-2
ABM2 Power Sum Validation

WN Input (dBV)	Power Sum (dBV)	Multimeter-Full (dBV)	Dev (dB)
-60	-60.36	-60.2	0.16
-50	-50.19	-50.13	0.06
-40	-40.14	-40.03	0.11
-30	-30.13	-30.01	0.12
-20	-20.12	-20	0.12
-10	-10.14	-10	0.14



Figure 4-10
ABM2 Power Sum Validation

3. Measurement Test Setup
   a. Fine scan above the WD (TEM)
      i. A multitone signal was applied to the handset such that the phone acoustic output was stable within 1dB over the probe settling time and with the acoustic output level at the C63.19 specified levels (below). The measurement step size was in 2 mm increments at a distance of 10 mm between the surface of the wireless device as shown below (note that in Figure 4-12, the grid is not to scale but merely a graphical representation of the coordinate system in use):



Figure 4-11
Measurement Distance



Figure 4-12
Measurement Grid

      ii. After scanning, the planar field maximum point was determined. The position of the probe was moved to this location to setup the test using the SoundCheck system.
      iii. These steps were repeated for all T-coil orientations (axial and radial) per Figure 4-14 after a T-coil orientation was fully measured with the SoundCheck system.
   b. Speech Signal Setup to Base Station Simulator
      i. C63.19 Table 7-1 states audio reference input levels for various technologies:

Standard	Technology	Input Level (dBm0)
TIA/EIA/IS-2000	**CDMA**	**-18**
J-STD-007	**GSM (217)**	**-16**
T1/T1P1/3GPP	**UMTS (WCDMA)**	**-16**
iDEN™	**TDMA (22 and 11 Hz)**	**-18**

    ii. See Section 5 for more information regarding CMW500 audio level settings for Voice Over LTE (VoLTE).
    iii. See Section 6 for more information regarding audio level settings for Over-The-Top (OTT) Voice Over IP (VoIP) Testing.
  c. Real-Time Analyzer (RTA)
    i. The Real-Time Analyzer was configured to analyze measurements using 1/3 Octave band weighted filtering.
  d. WD Radio Configuration Selection
    i. The device was chosen to be tested in the worst-case ABM2 condition (See Section 7 for more information regarding worst-case configurations for UMTS. LTE configuration information can be found in Section 5 and 6. WIFI configuration information can be found in Section 6.)
    ii. Supported GSM vocoders were investigated for the worst-case ABM2 condition. GSM-EFR was deemed the worst-case condition for the GSM air interface.

4. Signal Quality Data Analysis
  a. Narrow-band Magnetic Intensity
    i. The standard specifies a 1kHz 1/3 octave band minimum field intensity for a sine tone. The ABM1 measurements were evaluated at 1kHz with 1/3 octave band filtering over an averaged period of 1 second.
  b. Frequency Response
    i. The appropriate frequency response curve was measured to curves in Figure 3-1 or Figure 3-2 between 300 – 3000 Hz using digital linear averaging (limit lines chosen according to measurement found in step 4a). A linear average over 3x the length of the artificial voice signal (3x sampling) was performed. A 10 second delay was configured in the measurement process of the stimulus to ensure handset vocoder latency effects and echo cancellation devices (if any) were appropriately stabilized during measurements.
    ii. The appropriate post-processing was applied according to the system processing chain illustrated in Figure 4-7. All R10 frequencies were plotted with respect to 0dB at 1kHz value and aligned with respect to the EIA-504 mask.
    iii. The margin is represented by the closest measured data point on the curve to the EIA-504 limit lines, in dB.
  c. Signal Quality Index
    i. Ensuring the WD was at maximum RF power, maximum volume, backlight off, display on, maximum contrast setting, keypad lights on (when possible) with no audio signal through the vocoder, the WD was measured over at least 100 Hz – 10,000 Hz, maximized over 5 seconds with a 50ms sample time for the ABM2 measurement (5 second time period is used in noise measurements under standards such as IEEE 269, etc.).
    ii. After applying half-band integration and A-weighting to the result, a power sum was applied over each 1/3 octave bandwidth frequency for an ABM2 value.
    iii. This result was subtracted from the ABM1 result in step a, to obtain the Signal Quality.

V. Test Setup



Figure 4-13
Audio Magnetic Field Test Setup

Environmental conditions such as temperature and relative humidity are monitored to ensure there are no impacts on system specifications. Proper voltage and power line frequency conditions are maintained with three phase power sources. Environmental noise and reflections are monitored through system checks.

VI. Deviation from C63.19 Test Procedure

Non-conducted RF connection due to inaccessible RF ports.

VII. Air Interface Technologies Tested

All air interfaces which support voice capabilities over a managed CMRS or pre-installed OTT VoIP applications were tested for T-coil unless otherwise noted. See Table 2-1 for more details regarding which modes were tested.

VIII. Wireless Device Channels and Frequencies

1. 2G/3G Modes

The frequencies listed in the table below are those that lie in the center of the bands used for cellular telephony. Low, middle and high channels were tested in each band for FCC compliance evaluation to ensure the maximum emission is captured across the entire band. Only middle channels were evaluated for data modes.

Table 4-3
Center Channels and Frequencies

Test frequencies & associated channels	
Channel	**Frequency (MHz)**
Cellular 850	
190 (GSM)	836.60
4183 (UMTS)	836.60
AWS 1750	
1412 (UMTS)	1730.40
PCS 1900	
661 (GSM)	1880
9400 (UMTS)	1880

2. 4G (LTE) Modes

The middle channel for every band and bandwidth combination was tested for each probe orientation. The band and bandwidth combination from each probe orientation resulting in the worst-case SNNR was additionally tested using low and high channels for that band and bandwidth combination. Low-mid and mid-high channels are additionally tested for LTE TDD. The middle channel and supported bandwidths from the worst-case bands according to Tables 6-5 and 6-6 were additionally evaluated with OTT VoIP for each probe orientation. See Tables 8-4 to 8-11 and Tables 8-14 and 8-15 for LTE bandwidths and channels.

3. WIFI

The middle channel for each IEEE 802.11 standard was tested for each probe orientation. The 2.4GHz IEEE 802.11 standard from each probe orientation resulting in the worst-case SNNR was additionally tested using low and high channels. See Table 8-16 for WIFI standards and channels.

IX. Test Flow

The flow diagram below was followed (From C63.19):



Figure 4-14
C63.19 T-Coil Signal Test Process

5. VOLTE TEST SYSTEM SETUP AND DUT CONFIGURATION

I. Test System Setup for VoLTE over IMS T-coil Testing

1. Equipment Setup

The general test setup used for VoLTE over IMS is shown below. The callbox used when performing VoLTE over IMS T-coil measurements is a CMW500. The Data Application Unit (DAU) of the CMW500 was used to simulate the IP Multimedia Subsystem (IMS) server.



Figure 5-1
Test Setup for VoLTE over IMS T-Coil Measurements

2. Audio Level Settings

According to the July 2012 interpretations by the C63 Committee regarding the appropriate audio levels to be used for VoLTE over IMS T-coil testing, -16dBm0 shall be used for the normal speech input level*. The CMW500 base station simulator was manually configured to ensure that the settings for speech input and full scale levels resulted in the -16dBm0 speech input level to the DUT for the VoLTE over IMS connection.

* http://c63.org/documents/misc/posting/new_interpretations.htm

II. DUT Configuration for VoLTE over IMS T-coil Testing

1. Radio Configuration

An investigation was performed to determine the modulation and RB configuration to be used for testing. The effects of modulation and RB configuration were found to be independent of band and bandwidth; therefore, only one band and bandwidth were used for this investigation. 16QAM, 1RB, 99%RB offset was used for the testing as the worst-case configuration for the handset. See below table for SNNR comparison between different radio configurations:

Table 5-1
VoLTE over IMS SNNR by Radio Configuration

Band	Frequency [MHz]	Channel	Bandwidth [MHz]	Modulation	RB Size	RB Offset	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]
66	1745.0	132322	20	QPSK	1	0	8.55	-45.42	53.97
66	1745.0	132322	20	QPSK	1	50	8.29	-44.32	52.61
66	1745.0	132322	20	QPSK	1	99	8.42	-44.71	53.13
66	1745.0	132322	20	QPSK	50	0	8.30	-46.93	55.23
66	1745.0	132322	20	QPSK	50	25	8.59	-47.12	55.71
66	1745.0	132322	20	QPSK	50	50	8.61	-44.24	52.85
66	1745.0	132322	20	QPSK	100	0	8.70	-46.43	55.13
66	1745.0	132322	20	16QAM	1	0	8.65	-40.87	49.52
66	1745.0	132322	20	16QAM	1	50	8.54	-40.03	48.57
66	1745.0	132322	20	16QAM	1	99	8.64	-38.28	**46.92**
66	1745.0	132322	20	16QAM	50	0	8.66	-46.38	55.04
66	1745.0	132322	20	16QAM	50	25	8.56	-46.28	54.84
66	1745.0	132322	20	16QAM	50	50	8.71	-46.71	55.42
66	1745.0	132322	20	16QAM	100	0	8.50	-46.93	55.43
66	1745.0	132322	20	64QAM	1	0	8.72	-40.86	49.58
66	1745.0	132322	20	64QAM	1	50	8.86	-40.31	49.17
66	1745.0	132322	20	64QAM	1	99	8.43	-38.77	47.20
66	1745.0	132322	20	64QAM	50	0	8.87	-47.32	56.19
66	1745.0	132322	20	64QAM	50	25	8.39	-47.02	55.41
66	1745.0	132322	20	64QAM	50	50	8.82	-46.87	55.69
66	1745.0	132322	20	64QAM	100	0	8.82	-46.62	55.44

2. Codec Configuration

An investigation was performed to determine the audio codec configuration to be used for testing. The effects of codec configuration were found to be independent of radio configuration; therefore, only one radio configuration was used for this investigation. The WB AMR 6.60kbps setting was used for the audio codec on the CMW500 for VoLTE over IMS T-coil testing. See below table for comparisons between different codecs and codec data rates:

Table 5-2
AMR Codec Investigation – VoLTE over IMS

Codec Setting:	WB AMR 23.85kbps	WB AMR 6.60kbps	NB AMR 12.2kbps	NB AMR 4.75kbps	Orientation	Band / BW	Channel
ABM1 (dBA/m)	9.56	8.66	11.58	9.52	Axial	Band 66 20MHz	132322
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-41.35	-41.02	-40.22	-40.49			
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass			
S+N/N (dB)	50.91	**49.68**	51.80	50.01			

- Mute on; Backlight off; Max Volume; Max Contrast
- TPC = "Max Power"

Table 5-3
EVS Codec Investigation - VoLTE over IMS

Codec Setting:	EVS Primary WB 13.2kbps	EVS Primary WB 5.9kbps	EVS Primary NB 13.2kbps	EVS Primary NB 5.9kbps	Orientation	Band / BW	Channel
ABM1 (dBA/m)	10.83	9.80	12.26	9.65	Axial	Band 66 20MHz	132322
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-40.77	-41.12	-40.75	-40.84			
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass			
S+N/N (dB)	51.60	50.92	53.01	50.49			

- Mute on; Backlight off; Max Volume; Max Contrast
- TPC = "Max Power"



Figure 5-2
Audio Band Magnetic Curve Measurement Block Diagram

3. LTE TDD Uplink-Downlink Configuration Investigation for VoLTE over IMS

An investigation was performed to determine the worst-case Uplink-Downlink configuration for VoLTE over IMS T-Coil testing.

Per 3GPP TS 36.211, the total frame length for each TDD radio frame of length $T_f = 307200 \cdot T_s$ = 10 ms, where T_s is a number of time units equal to 1/(15000 x 2048) seconds. Additionally, each radio frame consists of 10 subframes, each of length $30720 \cdot T_s$ = 1 ms, and subframes can be designated as uplink (U), downlink (D), or special subframe (S), depending on the Uplink-Downlink configuration as indicated in Table 4.2-2 of 3GPP TS 36.211. In the transmission duty factor calculation, the special subframe configuration with the shortest UpPTS duration within the special subframe is used and will be applied for measurement. From 3GPP TS 36.211 Table 4.2-1, the shortest UpPTS is $2192 \cdot Ts$ which occurs in the normal cyclic prefix and special subframe configuration 4.

See table below outlining the calculated transmission duty cycles for each Uplink-Downlink configuration:

Table 5-4
Uplink-Downlink Configurations for Type 2 Frame Structures

Uplink-downlink configuration	Downlink-to-Uplink Switch-point periodicity	Subframe number										Calculated Transmission Duty Cycle (%)
		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
0	5 ms	D	S	U	U	U	D	S	U	U	U	61.4%
1	5 ms	D	S	U	U	D	D	S	U	U	D	41.4%
2	5 ms	D	S	U	D	D	D	S	U	D	D	21.4%
3	10 ms	D	S	U	U	U	D	D	D	D	D	30.7%
4	10 ms	D	S	U	U	D	D	D	D	D	D	20.7%
5	10 ms	D	S	U	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	10.7%
6	5 ms	D	S	U	U	U	D	S	U	U	D	51.4%

a. **Power Class 3 Uplink-Downlink Configuration Investigation**

Power Class 3 was evaluated with the following radio configuration: channel 40620, 20MHz BW, 16QAM, 1RB, 99%RB Offset. For Power Class 3, all configurations (0-6) are supported. The configuration which resulted in the worst SNNR was used for full testing. Uplink-Downlink configuration 0 was used as the worst-case configuration for Power Class 3 VoLTE over IMS T-Coil testing. See table below for the SNNR comparison between each Uplink-Downlink configuration:

Table 5-5
Power Class 3 VoLTE over IMS SNNR by UL-DL Configuration

Frequency [MHz]	Channel	Bandwidth [MHz]	Modulation	RB Size	RB Offset	UL-DL Configuration	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	99	0	8.53	-29.60	**38.13**
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	99	1	8.67	-29.93	38.60
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	99	2	8.63	-30.42	39.05
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	99	3	8.77	-33.38	42.15
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	99	4	8.75	-31.71	40.46
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	99	5	8.63	-33.18	41.81
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	99	6	8.40	-29.90	38.30

b. **Power Class 2 Uplink-Downlink Configuration Investigation**

Power Class 2 was evaluated with the following radio configuration: channel 40620, 20MHz BW, 16QAM, 1RB, 99%RB Offset. For Power Class 2, configurations 1-5 are supported. The configuration which resulted in the worst SNNR was used for full testing. Uplink-Downlink configuration 1 was used as the worst-case configuration for Power Class 2 VoLTE over IMS T-Coil testing. See table below for the SNNR comparison between each Uplink-Downlink configuration:

Table 5-6
Power Class 2 VoLTE over IMS SNNR by UL-DL Configuration

Frequency [MHz]	Channel	Bandwidth [MHz]	Modulation	RB Size	RB Offset	UL-DL Configuration	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	99	1	8.91	-27.38	**36.29**
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	99	2	8.75	-28.28	37.03
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	99	3	8.49	-30.91	39.40
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	99	4	8.59	-31.29	39.88
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	99	5	8.61	-31.02	39.63

Note: LTE TDD B41 Power Class 2 only supports UL-DL configurations 1-5, not 0 or 6.

c. **Conclusion**

Per the investigations above, UL-DL Configuration 0 was used to evaluate Power Class 3 VoLTE over IMS and UL-DL Configuration 1 was used to evaluate Power Class 2 VoLTE over IMS.

6. OTT VOIP TEST SYSTEM AND DUT CONFIGURATION

I. Test System Setup for OTT VoIP T-Coil Testing

1. OTT VoIP Application

Google Duo is a pre-installed application on the DUT which allows for VoIP calls in a held-to-ear scenario. Duo uses the OPUS audio codec and supports a bitrate range of 6kb/s to 75kb/s. All air interfaces capable of a data connection were evaluated with Google Duo.

2. Equipment Setup

A CMW500 callbox was used to perform OTT VoIP T-coil measurements. The Data Application Unit (DAU) of the CMW500 was connected to the internet and allowed for an IP data connection on the DUT. An auxiliary VoIP unit was used to initiate an OTT VoIP call to the DUT. The auxiliary VoIP unit allowed for the configuration and monitoring of the OTT VoIP codec bitrate during a call. Both high and low bitrate settings were evaluated in to determine the worst-case configuration.

3. Audio Level Settings

According to KDB 285076 D02, the average speech level of -20dBm0 shall be used for protocols not specifically listed in Table 7.1 of ANSI C63.19-2011 or the ANSI C63.19-2011 VoLTE interpretation[2]. The auxiliary VoIP unit allowed for monitoring the signal input level to ensure that the settings for speech input and full scale levels resulted in the -20dBm0 speech input level to the DUT for the OTT VoIP call.

Note: The green highlighted text is approved by FCC under the TCB PAG Re-Use Policy 388624 D01 IV. D. for T-Coil Testing for WI-FI calling and Google Duo.

II. DUT Configuration for OTT VoIP T-Coil Testing

1. Codec Configuration

An investigation was performed for each applicable data mode to determine the audio codec configuration to be used for testing. The effects of codec configuration were found to be independent of radio configuration; therefore, only one radio configuration for each applicable data mode was used for these investigations. The 75kbps codec setting was used for the audio codec on the auxiliary VoIP unit for OTT VoIP T-Coil testing. See below tables for comparisons between codec data rates on all applicable data modes:

Table 6-1
Codec Investigation – OTT VoIP (EDGE)

Codec Setting:	75kbps	6kbps	Orientation	Channel
ABM1 (dBA/m)	7.43	7.63	Axial	661
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-34.46	-35.67		
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass		
S+N/N (dB)	41.89	43.30		

[2] FCC Office of Engineering and Technology KDB, "285076 D02 T-Coil Testing for CMRS IP v03," September 13, 2017

Table 6-2
Codec Investigation – OTT VoIP (HSPA)

Codec Setting:	75kbps	6kbps	Orientation	Channel
ABM1 (dBA/m)	7.55	7.45	Axial	9400
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-44.49	-45.85		
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass		
S+N/N (dB)	52.04	53.30		

Table 6-3
Codec Investigation – OTT VoIP (LTE)

Codec Setting:	75kbps	6kbps	Orientation	Band / BW	Channel
ABM1 (dBA/m)	7.39	7.40	Axial	Band 66 20MHz	132322
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-39.49	-40.31			
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass			
S+N/N (dB)	46.88	47.71			

Table 6-4
Codec Investigation – OTT VoIP (WIFI)

Codec Setting:	75kbps	6kbps	Orientation	Band	Standard	Channel
ABM1 (dBA/m)	7.55	8.04	Axial	2.4GHz	IEEE 802.11b	6
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-37.82	-38.71				
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass				
S+N/N (dB)	45.37	46.75				

- Mute on; Backlight off; Max Volume; Max Contrast
- Radio Configurations can be found in Section 8.II.F



Figure 6-1
Audio Band Magnetic Curve Measurement Block Diagram

2. Radio Configuration for OTT VoIP (LTE)

An investigation was performed to determine the worst-case LTE FDD band to be used for OTT VoIP testing. LTE FDD Band 66 was used for the testing as the worst-case configuration for the handset. See below table for SNNR comparison between different LTE FDD bands:

Table 6-5
OTT VoIP (LTE FDD) SNNR by LTE Band

Band	Frequency [MHz]	Channel	Bandwidth [MHz]	Modulation	RB Size	RB Offset	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]
71	680.5	133297	20	16QAM	1	99	7.47	-38.55	46.02
12	707.5	23095	10	16QAM	1	49	7.34	-39.16	46.50
13	782.0	23230	10	16QAM	1	49	7.39	-40.60	47.99
26	831.5	26865	15	16QAM	1	74	7.32	-39.10	46.42
66	1745.0	132322	20	16QAM	1	99	7.36	-37.97	**45.33**
25	1882.5	26365	20	16QAM	1	99	7.32	-40.33	47.65

An investigation was performed to determine the worst-case LTE TDD band to be used for OTT VoIP testing. LTE TDD Band 41 (PC2) was used for the testing as the worst-case configuration for the handset. See below table for SNNR comparison between different LTE TDD bands:

Table 6-6
OTT VoIP (LTE TDD) SNNR by LTE Band

Band	Frequency [MHz]	Channel	Bandwidth [MHz]	Modulation	RB Size	RB Offset	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]
41 (PC3)	2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	99	7.44	-30.22	37.66
41 (PC2)	2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	99	7.56	-28.35	**35.91**

3. Radio Configuration for OTT VoIP (WIFI)

An investigation was performed on all applicable data rates and modulations to determine the radio configuration to be used for testing. See tables below for SNNR comparison between radio configurations in each IEEE 802.11 standard:

Table 6-7
IEEE 802.11b SNNR by Radio Configuration

Mode	Channel	Modulation	Data Rate [Mbps]	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]
IEEE 802.11b	6	DSSS	1	7.51	-38.61	46.12
IEEE 802.11b	6	DSSS	2	7.43	-38.51	45.94
IEEE 802.11b	6	CCK	5.5	7.42	-39.10	46.52
IEEE 802.11b	6	CCK	11	7.51	-37.79	**45.30**

Table 6-8
IEEE 802.11g SNNR by Radio Configuration

Mode	Channel	Modulation	Data Rate [Mbps]	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]
IEEE 802.11g	6	BPSK	6	7.49	-40.81	48.30
IEEE 802.11g	6	BPSK	9	7.46	-40.65	**48.11**
IEEE 802.11g	6	QPSK	12	7.52	-40.96	48.48
IEEE 802.11g	6	QPSK	18	7.48	-42.49	49.97
IEEE 802.11g	6	16QAM	24	7.47	-42.14	49.61
IEEE 802.11g	6	16QAM	36	7.47	-42.37	49.84
IEEE 802.11g	6	64QAM	48	7.50	-43.16	50.66
IEEE 802.11g	6	64QAM	54	7.48	-42.27	49.75

Table 6-9
IEEE 802.11n SNNR by Radio Configuration

Mode	Channel	Modulation	MCS Index	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]
IEEE 802.11n	6	BPSK	0	7.47	-41.03	**48.50**
IEEE 802.11n	6	QPSK	1	7.53	-41.75	49.28
IEEE 802.11n	6	QPSK	2	7.53	-42.69	50.22
IEEE 802.11n	6	16QAM	3	7.50	-42.29	49.79
IEEE 802.11n	6	16QAM	4	7.46	-43.07	50.53
IEEE 802.11n	6	64QAM	5	7.51	-41.78	49.29
IEEE 802.11n	6	64QAM	6	7.50	-42.88	50.38
IEEE 802.11n	6	64QAM	7	7.54	-42.62	50.16

7. FCC 3G MEASUREMENTS

I. UMTS Test Configurations

AMR at 12.2kbps, 13.6kbps SRB (thick, purple data curve) was used for the testing as the worst-case configuration for the handset. See below plot for ABM noise comparison between vocoder rates:



Figure 7-1
UMTS Audio Band Magnetic Noise

Table 7-1
Codec Investigation - UMTS

Codec Setting:	AMR 12.2kbps	AMR 7.95kbps	AMR 4.75kbps	Orientation	Channel
ABM1 (dBA/m)	1.33	1.31	1.05	Axial	9400
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-58.34	-58.85	-58.99		
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass	Pass		
S+N/N (dB)	59.67	60.16	60.04		

- Mute on; Backlight off; Max Volume; Max Contrast
- TPC="All 1s"



Figure 7-2
Audio Band Magnetic Curve Measurement Block Diagram

8. T-COIL TEST SUMMARY

Table 8-1
Consolidated Tabled Results

		Freq. Response Margin		Magnetic Intensity Verdict		FCC SNNR Verdict		Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating
C63.19 Section		8.3.2		8.3.1		8.3.4			
		Axial	Radial	Axial	Radial	Axial	Radial		
GSM	Cellular	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-2.57	T3
	PCS	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
EDGE (OTT VoIP)	Cellular	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-14.70	T4
	PCS	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
UMTS	Cellular	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-28.21	T4
	AWS	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
	PCS	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
HSPA (OTT VoIP)	Cellular	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-29.78	T4
	AWS	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
	PCS	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
LTE FDD	B71	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-14.53	T4
	B12	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
	B13	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
	B26	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
	B66	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
	B25	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
LTE FDD (OTT VoIP)	B66	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-17.00	T4
LTE TDD	B41 (PC3)	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-1.94	T3
	B41 (PC2)	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
LTE TDD (OTT VoIP)	B41 (PC2)	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-6.74	T3
WLAN (OTT VoIP)	IEEE 802.11b	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-23.84	T4
	IEEE 802.11g	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
	IEEE 802.11n	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		

I. Raw Handset Data

Table 8-2
Raw Data Results for GSM

Mode	Orientation	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
GSM850	Axial	128	1.96	-25.40	-64.24	2.00	27.36	20.00	-7.36	T3	2.0, 1.8
		190	2.02	-25.32		2.00	27.34	20.00	-7.34	T3	
		251	2.10	-26.40		2.00	28.50	20.00	-8.50	T3	
	Radial	128	-7.18	-30.14	-63.55	N/A	22.96	20.00	-2.96	T3	2.6, 2.6
		190	-7.26	-29.83			22.57	20.00	-2.57	T3	
		251	-7.42	-31.87			24.45	20.00	-4.45	T3	
GSM1900	Axial	512	1.95	-30.67	-64.24	2.00	32.62	20.00	-12.62	T4	2.0, 1.8
		661	2.11	-30.44		2.00	32.55	20.00	-12.55	T4	
		810	1.63	-30.20		2.00	31.83	20.00	-11.83	T4	
	Radial	512	-7.19	-36.45	-63.55	N/A	29.26	20.00	-9.26	T3	2.6, 2.6
		661	-7.55	-36.56			29.01	20.00	-9.01	T3	
		810	-7.32	-36.13			28.81	20.00	-8.81	T3	

Table 8-3
Raw Data Results for UMTS

Mode	Orientation	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
UMTS V	Axial	4132	1.03	-59.11	-64.24	2.00	60.14	20.00	-40.14	T4	2.0, 1.8
		4183	1.08	-57.82		2.00	58.90	20.00	-38.90	T4	
		4233	1.06	-58.29		2.00	59.35	20.00	-39.35	T4	
	Radial	4132	-7.67	-57.43	-63.55	N/A	49.76	20.00	-29.76	T4	2.6, 2.6
		4183	-7.72	-57.29			49.57	20.00	-29.57	T4	
		4233	-7.69	-55.99			48.30	20.00	-28.30	T4	
UMTS IV	Axial	1312	1.11	-59.18	-64.24	2.00	60.29	20.00	-40.29	T4	2.0, 1.8
		1412	1.08	-59.40		2.00	60.48	20.00	-40.48	T4	
		1513	1.09	-58.94		2.00	60.03	20.00	-40.03	T4	
	Radial	1312	-7.64	-57.14	-63.55	N/A	49.50	20.00	-29.50	T4	2.6, 2.6
		1412	-7.70	-57.43			49.73	20.00	-29.73	T4	
		1513	-7.69	-57.01			49.32	20.00	-29.32	T4	
UMTS II	Axial	9262	1.23	-58.76	-64.24	2.00	59.99	20.00	-39.99	T4	2.0, 1.8
		9400	1.13	-58.83		2.00	59.96	20.00	-39.96	T4	
		9538	1.02	-58.55		2.00	59.57	20.00	-39.57	T4	
	Radial	9262	-7.60	-55.81	-63.55	N/A	48.21	20.00	-28.21	T4	2.6, 2.6
		9400	-7.68	-57.23			49.55	20.00	-29.55	T4	
		9538	-7.75	-56.96			49.21	20.00	-29.21	T4	

Table 8-4
Raw Data Results for LTE B71

Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
LTE Band 71	Axial	20MHz	133297	8.02	-39.19	-64.24	2.00	47.21	20.00	-27.21	T4	2.0, 1.8
		15MHz	133397	8.12	-41.19		2.00	49.31	20.00	-29.31	T4	
		15MHz	133297	8.07	-38.50		2.00	46.57	20.00	-26.57	T4	
		15MHz	133197	7.96	-39.61		2.00	47.57	20.00	-27.57	T4	
		10MHz	133297	8.70	-39.41		2.00	48.11	20.00	-28.11	T4	
		5MHz	133297	8.58	-40.95		2.00	49.53	20.00	-29.53	T4	
	Radial	20MHz	133297	-0.04	-36.58	-63.55	N/A	36.54	20.00	-16.54	T4	2.6, 2.6
		15MHz	133397	-0.24	-40.06			39.82	20.00	-19.82	T4	
		15MHz	133297	-0.33	-34.86			34.53	20.00	-14.53	T4	
		15MHz	133197	-0.07	-37.88			37.81	20.00	-17.81	T4	
		10MHz	133297	-0.14	-35.73			35.59	20.00	-15.59	T4	
		5MHz	133297	-0.03	-38.87			38.84	20.00	-18.84	T4	

Table 8-5
Raw Data Results for LTE B12

Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
LTE Band 12	Axial	10MHz	23095	8.64	-40.69	-64.24	2.00	49.33	20.00	-29.33	T4	2.0, 1.8
		5MHz	23095	8.60	-41.75		2.00	50.35	20.00	-30.35	T4	
		3MHz	23095	7.88	-41.36		2.00	49.24	20.00	-29.24	T4	
		1.4MHz	23095	7.80	-40.86		2.00	48.66	20.00	-28.66	T4	
	Radial	10MHz	23095	-0.13	-36.64	-63.55	N/A	36.51	20.00	-16.51	T4	2.6, 2.6
		5MHz	23095	0.03	-37.82			37.85	20.00	-17.85	T4	
		3MHz	23095	0.01	-37.75			37.76	20.00	-17.76	T4	
		1.4MHz	23095	0.01	-39.33			39.34	20.00	-19.34	T4	

Table 8-6
Raw Data Results for LTE B13

Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
LTE Band 13	Axial	10MHz	23230	7.82	-41.65	-64.24	2.00	49.47	20.00	-29.47	T4	2.0, 1.8
		5MHz	23230	7.88	-39.51		2.00	47.39	20.00	-27.39	T4	
	Radial	10MHz	23230	-0.30	-40.97	-63.55	N/A	40.67	20.00	-20.67	T4	2.6, 2.6
		5MHz	23230	-0.06	-36.15			36.09	20.00	-16.09	T4	

Table 8-7
Raw Data Results for LTE B26

Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
LTE Band 26	Axial	15MHz	26865	7.78	-39.20	-64.24	2.00	46.98	20.00	-26.98	T4	2.0, 1.8
		10MHz	26865	7.67	-40.46		2.00	48.13	20.00	-28.13	T4	
		5MHz	26865	7.91	-41.20		2.00	49.11	20.00	-29.11	T4	
		3MHz	26865	7.79	-41.00		2.00	48.79	20.00	-28.79	T4	
		1.4MHz	26865	7.80	-41.70		2.00	49.50	20.00	-29.50	T4	
	Radial	15MHz	26865	-0.48	-36.06	-63.55	N/A	35.58	20.00	-15.58	T4	2.6, 2.6
		10MHz	26865	-0.35	-36.26			35.91	20.00	-15.91	T4	
		5MHz	26865	-0.28	-38.07			37.79	20.00	-17.79	T4	
		3MHz	26865	-0.06	-39.26			39.20	20.00	-19.20	T4	
		1.4MHz	26865	-0.24	-38.82			38.58	20.00	-18.58	T4	

Table 8-8
Raw Data Results for LTE B66

Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
LTE Band 66	Axial	20MHz	132322	8.20	-38.73	-64.24	2.00	46.93	20.00	-26.93	T4	2.0, 1.8
		15MHz	132322	8.40	-39.89		2.00	48.29	20.00	-28.29	T4	
		10MHz	132322	8.65	-40.66		2.00	49.31	20.00	-29.31	T4	
		5MHz	132322	8.72	-40.06		2.00	48.78	20.00	-28.78	T4	
		3MHz	132322	7.84	-40.49		2.00	48.33	20.00	-28.33	T4	
		1.4MHz	132322	7.65	-40.53		2.00	48.18	20.00	-28.18	T4	
	Radial	20MHz	132322	-0.17	-37.26	-63.55	N/A	37.09	20.00	-17.09	T4	2.6, 2.6
		15MHz	132322	0.09	-35.93			36.02	20.00	-16.02	T4	
		10MHz	132322	-0.17	-37.67			37.50	20.00	-17.50	T4	
		5MHz	132322	-0.11	-38.17			38.06	20.00	-18.06	T4	
		3MHz	132322	0.05	-37.30			37.35	20.00	-17.35	T4	
		1.4MHz	132322	-0.38	-38.73			38.35	20.00	-18.35	T4	

Table 8-9
Raw Data Results for LTE B25

Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
LTE Band 25	Axial	20MHz	26365	8.26	-41.51	-64.24	2.00	49.77	20.00	-29.77	T4	2.0, 1.8
		15MHz	26365	8.38	-42.17		2.00	50.55	20.00	-30.55	T4	
		10MHz	26365	8.25	-42.20		2.00	50.45	20.00	-30.45	T4	
		5MHz	26365	8.63	-41.67		2.00	50.30	20.00	-30.30	T4	
		3MHz	26365	8.63	-40.36		2.00	48.99	20.00	-28.99	T4	
		1.4MHz	26365	7.99	-41.30		2.00	49.29	20.00	-29.29	T4	
	Radial	20MHz	26365	-0.01	-38.67	-63.55	N/A	38.66	20.00	-18.66	T4	2.6, 2.6
		15MHz	26365	0.00	-39.08			39.08	20.00	-19.08	T4	
		10MHz	26365	-0.02	-37.86			37.84	20.00	-17.84	T4	
		5MHz	26365	-0.06	-38.36			38.30	20.00	-18.30	T4	
		3MHz	26365	-0.08	-36.79			36.71	20.00	-16.71	T4	
		1.4MHz	26365	-0.05	-38.46			38.41	20.00	-18.41	T4	

Table 8-10
Raw Data Results for LTE B41 Power Class 3

Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
LTE Band 41	Axial	20MHz	40620	8.07	-29.52	-64.24	2.00	37.59	20.00	-17.59	T4	2.0, 1.8
		15MHz	40620	7.93	-29.50		2.00	37.43	20.00	-17.43	T4	
		10MHz	40620	8.36	-29.18		2.00	37.54	20.00	-17.54	T4	
		5MHz	40620	8.38	-29.53		2.00	37.91	20.00	-17.91	T4	
	Radial	20MHz	40620	-0.09	-25.09	-63.55	N/A	25.00	20.00	-5.00	T3	2.6, 2.6
		15MHz	40620	-0.42	-24.64			24.22	20.00	-4.22	T3	
		10MHz	40620	0.19	-24.51			24.70	20.00	-4.70	T3	
		5MHz	40620	0.21	-25.39			25.60	20.00	-5.60	T3	

Table 8-11
Raw Data Results for LTE B41 Power Class 2

Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
LTE Band 41	Axial	20MHz	40620	8.57	-27.44	-64.24	2.00	36.01	20.00	-16.01	T4	2.0, 1.8
		15MHz	40620	8.12	-27.67		2.00	35.79	20.00	-15.79	T4	
		10MHz	40620	7.88	-28.04		2.00	35.92	20.00	-15.92	T4	
		5MHz	41490	8.13	-29.58		2.00	37.71	20.00	-17.71	T4	
		5MHz	41055	7.99	-28.44		2.00	36.43	20.00	-16.43	T4	
		5MHz	40620	7.90	-27.32		2.00	35.22	20.00	-15.22	T4	
		5MHz	40185	7.69	-28.53		2.00	36.22	20.00	-16.22	T4	
		5MHz	39750	7.80	-27.27		2.00	35.07	20.00	-15.07	T4	
	Radial	20MHz	40620	-0.05	-22.48	-63.55	N/A	22.43	20.00	-2.43	T3	2.6, 2.6
		15MHz	41490	0.00	-23.45			23.45	20.00	-3.45	T3	
		15MHz	41055	-0.12	-24.38			24.26	20.00	-4.26	T3	
		15MHz	40620	-0.05	-21.99			21.94	20.00	-1.94	T3	
		15MHz	40185	-0.11	-23.00			22.89	20.00	-2.89	T3	
		15MHz	39750	-0.02	-21.99			21.97	20.00	-1.97	T3	
		10MHz	40620	0.05	-22.01			22.06	20.00	-2.06	T3	
		5MHz	40620	-0.12	-22.86			22.74	20.00	-2.74	T3	

Table 8-12
Raw Data Results for EDGE (OTT VoIP)

Mode	Orientation	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
EDGE850	Axial	190	7.28	-31.24	-64.24	1.35	38.52	20.00	-18.52	T4	2.0, 1.8
	Radial	190	-1.54	-36.24	-63.55	N/A	34.70	20.00	-14.70	T4	2.6, 2.6
EDGE1900	Axial	661	7.10	-34.64	-64.24	1.11	41.74	20.00	-21.74	T4	2.0, 1.8
	Radial	661	-1.45	-41.21	-63.55	N/A	39.76	20.00	-19.76	T4	2.6, 2.6

Table 8-13
Raw Data Results for HSPA (OTT VoIP)

Mode	Orientation	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
HSPA V	Axial	4183	7.11	-43.31	-64.24	1.49	50.42	**20.00**	-30.42	**T4**	2.0, 1.8
	Radial	4183	-1.63	-52.76	-63.55	N/A	51.13	**20.00**	-31.13	**T4**	2.6, 2.6
HSPA IV	Axial	1412	7.41	-45.99	-64.24	1.43	53.40	**20.00**	-33.40	**T4**	2.0, 1.8
	Radial	1412	-1.69	-51.47	-63.55	N/A	49.78	**20.00**	-29.78	**T4**	2.6, 2.6
HSPA II	Axial	9400	7.14	-44.82	-64.24	1.41	51.96	**20.00**	-31.96	**T4**	2.0, 1.8
	Radial	9400	-1.60	-52.48	-63.55	N/A	50.88	**20.00**	-30.88	**T4**	2.6, 2.6

Table 8-14
Raw Data Results for LTE B66 (OTT VoIP)

Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
LTE Band 66	Axial	20MHz	132572	7.58	-40.62	-64.70	1.29	48.20	**20.00**	-28.20	**T4**	2.0, 1.8
		20MHz	132322	7.49	-38.28		1.33	45.77	**20.00**	-25.77	**T4**	
		20MHz	132072	7.64	-40.37		1.31	48.01	**20.00**	-28.01	**T4**	
		15MHz	132322	7.63	-39.44		1.29	47.07	**20.00**	-27.07	**T4**	
		10MHz	132322	7.66	-40.15		1.31	47.81	**20.00**	-27.81	**T4**	
		5MHz	132322	7.70	-40.05		1.29	47.75	**20.00**	-27.75	**T4**	
		3MHz	132322	7.64	-39.72		1.31	47.36	**20.00**	-27.36	**T4**	
		1.4MHz	132322	7.68	-40.28		1.30	47.96	**20.00**	-27.96	**T4**	
	Radial	20MHz	132322	-1.43	-41.69	-63.93	N/A	40.26	**20.00**	-20.26	**T4**	2.6, 2.6
		15MHz	132322	-1.45	-39.57			38.12	**20.00**	-18.12	**T4**	
		10MHz	132622	-1.48	-42.11			40.63	**20.00**	-20.63	**T4**	
		10MHz	132322	-1.57	-38.57			37.00	**20.00**	-17.00	**T4**	
		10MHz	132022	-1.52	-40.07			38.55	**20.00**	-18.55	**T4**	
		5MHz	132322	-1.47	-39.49			38.02	**20.00**	-18.02	**T4**	
		3MHz	132322	-1.44	-39.38			37.94	**20.00**	-17.94	**T4**	
		1.4MHz	132322	-1.44	-40.24			38.80	**20.00**	-18.80	**T4**	

Table 8-15
Raw Data Results for LTE B41 Power Class 2 (OTT VoIP)

Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
LTE Band 41	Axial	20MHz	40620	7.52	-28.52	-64.24	1.31	36.04	**20.00**	-16.04	**T4**	2.0, 1.8
		15MHz	40620	7.47	-28.51		1.30	35.98	**20.00**	-15.98	**T4**	
		10MHz	40620	7.49	-28.47		1.31	35.96	**20.00**	-15.96	**T4**	
		5MHz	41490	7.40	-30.08		1.30	37.48	**20.00**	-17.48	**T4**	
		5MHz	41055	7.47	-29.30		1.28	36.77	**20.00**	-16.77	**T4**	
		5MHz	40620	7.50	-28.12		1.27	35.62	**20.00**	-15.62	**T4**	
		5MHz	40185	7.44	-28.86		1.30	36.30	**20.00**	-16.30	**T4**	
		5MHz	39750	7.29	-27.92		1.25	35.21	**20.00**	-15.21	**T4**	
	Radial	20MHz	40620	-6.74	-33.79	-63.55	N/A	27.05	**20.00**	-7.05	**T3**	2.8, 0.2
		15MHz	41490	-6.82	-34.75			27.93	**20.00**	-7.93	**T3**	
		15MHz	41055	-6.82	-34.91			28.09	**20.00**	-8.09	**T3**	
		15MHz	40620	-6.72	-33.46			26.74	**20.00**	-6.74	**T3**	
		15MHz	40185	-6.86	-34.34			27.48	**20.00**	-7.48	**T3**	
		15MHz	39750	-6.82	-33.81			26.99	**20.00**	-6.99	**T3**	
		10MHz	40620	-6.70	-33.62			26.92	**20.00**	-6.92	**T3**	
		5MHz	40620	-6.79	-33.95			27.16	**20.00**	-7.16	**T3**	

Table 8-16
Raw Data Results for 2.4GHz WIFI (OTT VoIP)

Mode	Orientation	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
IEEE 802.11b	Axial	1	7.42	-38.47	-64.24	1.32	45.89	20.00	-25.89	T4	2.0, 1.8
		6	7.39	-37.67		1.33	45.06	20.00	-25.06	T4	
		11	7.50	-37.09		1.31	44.59	20.00	-24.59	T4	
	Radial	1	-1.60	-47.03	-63.55	N/A	45.43	20.00	-25.43	T4	2.6, 2.6
		6	-1.67	-45.51			43.84	20.00	-23.84	T4	
		11	-1.57	-47.84			46.27	20.00	-26.27	T4	
IEEE 802.11g	Axial	6	7.41	-40.95	-64.24	1.30	48.36	20.00	-28.36	T4	2.0, 1.8
	Radial	6	-1.94	-50.31	-63.55	N/A	48.37	20.00	-28.37	T4	2.6, 2.6
IEEE 802.11n	Axial	6	7.43	-41.14	-64.24	1.32	48.57	20.00	-28.57	T4	2.0, 1.8
	Radial	6	-1.69	-50.94	-63.55	N/A	49.25	20.00	-29.25	T4	2.6, 2.6

II. Test Notes

A. General

1. Phone Condition: Mute on; Backlight off; Max Volume; Max Contrast
2. 'Radial' orientation refers to radial transverse.
3. Hearing Aid Mode (**Phone→Settings→Accessibility→Hearing aids**) was set to ON for Frequency Response compliance
4. Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice
5. Bluetooth and WIFI were disabled while testing 2G/3G/4G modes.
6. Licensed data modes and Bluetooth were disabled while testing WIFI modes.
7. The Margin from FCC limit column indicates a margin from the FCC limit for compliance (T3).

B. GSM

1. Power Configuration: GSM850: PCL=5, GSM1900: PCL=0;
2. Vocoder Configuration: EFR (GSM);

C. UMTS

1. Power Configuration: TPC= "All 1s";
2. Vocoder Configuration: AMR 12.2 kbps (UMTS);

D. LTE FDD

1. Power Configuration: TPC = "Max Power"
2. Radio Configuration: 16QAM, 1RB, 99%RB offset
3. Vocoder Configuration: WB AMR 6.60kbps
4. The worst-case band and bandwidth combination for each probe orientation is additionally tested on the low and high channels for those combinations. LTE Band 71 at 15MHz is the worst-case for the Axial probe orientation. LTE Band 71 at 15MHz bandwidth is the worst-case for the Radial probe orientation.

E. LTE TDD

1. Power Configuration: TPC = “Max Power”
2. Radio Configuration: 16QAM, 1RB, 99%RB offset
3. Power Class 3 Uplink-Downlink configuration: 0
4. Power Class 2 Uplink-Downlink configuration: 1
5. Vocoder Configuration: WB AMR 6.60kbps
6. The worst-case band and bandwidth combination for each probe orientation is additionally tested on the low, low-mid, high-mid, and high channels for those combinations. LTE Band 41 (Power Class 2) at 5MHz is the worst-case for the Axial probe orientation. LTE Band 41 (Power Class 2) at 15MHz is the worst-case for the Radial probe orientation.

F. OTT VoIP

1. Vocoder Configuration: 75kbps
2. EDGE Configuration
    a. MCS Index: 7
    b. Number of TX slots: 2
3. HSPA Configuration:
    a. Release: 6
    b. 3GPP 34.121 Subtest 1
4. LTE FDD Configuration:
    a. Power Configuration: TPC = “Max Power”
    b. Radio Configuration: 16QAM, 1RB, 99%RB offset
    c. LTE Band 66 was the worst-case band from Table 6-5 and was used to test both Axial and Radial probe orientations.
    d. The worst-case band and bandwidth combination for each probe orientation is additionally tested on the low and high channels for those combinations. LTE Band 66 at 20MHz is the worst-case for the Axial probe orientation. LTE Band 66 at 10MHz bandwidth is the worst-case for the Radial probe orientation.
5. LTE TDD Configuration:
    a. Power Configuration: TPC = “Max Power”
    b. Radio Configuration: 16QAM, 1RB, 99%RB offset
    c. Power Class 2 Uplink-Downlink configuration: 1
    d. LTE Band 41 (Power Class 2) was the worst-case band from Table 6-6 and was used to test both Axial and Radial probe orientations.
    e. The worst-case band and bandwidth combination for each probe orientation is additionally tested on the low, low-mid, high-mid, and high channels for those combinations. LTE Band 41 (Power Class 2) at 5MHz is the worst-case for the Axial probe orientation. LTE Band 41 (Power Class 2) at 15MHz is the worst-case for the Radial probe orientation.
6. WIFI Configuration:
    a. Radio Configuration
        i. IEEE 802.11b: CCK, 11Mbps
        ii. IEEE 802.11g: BPSK, 9Mbps
        iii. IEEE 802.11n: BPSK, MCS 0
    b. The worst-case standard for 2.4GHz WIFI in each probe orientation is additionally tested on the low and high channels. IEEE 802.11b is the worst-case for both the Axial and Radial probe orientations.

III. 1 kHz Vocoder Application Check







This model was verified to be within the linear region for ABM1 measurements at -16 dBm0 for GSM, UMTS, and VoLTE over IMS. This measurement was taken in the axial configuration above the maximum location.



This model was verified to be within the linear region for ABM1 measurements at -20 dBm0 for OTT VoIP. This measurement was taken in the axial configuration above the maximum location.

IV. T-Coil Validation Test Results

Table 8-17
Helmholtz Coil Validation Table of Results – 10/5/2020

Item	Target	Result	Verdict
Axial			
Magnetic Intensity, -10 dBA/m	*-10 ± 0.5 dB*	-10.229	**PASS**
Environmental Noise	< -58 dBA/m	-64.24	**PASS**
Frequency Response, from limits	*> 0 dB*	0.70	**PASS**
Radial			
Magnetic Intensity, -10 dBA/m	*-10 ± 0.5 dB*	-10.296	**PASS**
Environmental Noise	< -58 dBA/m	-63.55	**PASS**
Frequency Response, from limits	*> 0 dB*	0.70	**PASS**

Table 8-18
Helmholtz Coil Validation Table of Results – 10/12/2020

Item	Target	Result	Verdict
Axial			
Magnetic Intensity, -10 dBA/m	*-10 ± 0.5 dB*	-10.237	**PASS**
Environmental Noise	< -58 dBA/m	-64.70	**PASS**
Frequency Response, from limits	*> 0 dB*	0.70	**PASS**
Radial			
Magnetic Intensity, -10 dBA/m	*-10 ± 0.5 dB*	-10.390	**PASS**
Environmental Noise	< -58 dBA/m	-63.93	**PASS**
Frequency Response, from limits	*> 0 dB*	0.70	**PASS**

V. ABM1 Magnetic Field Distribution Scan Overlays



Axial   Radial (Transverse)

Figure 8-1
T-Coil Scan Overlay Magnetic Field Distributions

Notes:

1. Final measurement locations are indicated by a cursor on the contour plots. The blue cursor indicates the Radial test location for Radial LTE TDD Band 41 Power Class 2
2. See Test Setup Photographs for actual WD overlay.

9. MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

Table 9-1
Uncertainty Estimation Table

Contribution	Data +/- %	Data +/- dB	Data Type	Probability distribution	Divisor	Standard uncertainty	Standard Uncertainty (dB)
ABM Noise	7.0%	0.29	Std. Dev.	Normal k=1	1.00	7.0%	
RF Reflections	4.7%	0.20	Specification	Rectangular	1.73	2.7%	
Reference Signal Level	12.2%	0.50	Specification	Rectangular	1.73	7.0%	
Positioning Accuracy	10.0%	0.41	Uncertainty	Rectangular	1.73	5.8%	
Probe Coil Sensitivity	12.2%	0.50	Specification	Rectangular	1.73	7.0%	
Probe Linearity	2.4%	0.10	Std. Dev.	Normal k=1	1.00	2.4%	
Cable Loss	2.8%	0.12	Specification	Rectangular	1.73	1.6%	
Frequency Analyzer	5.0%	0.21	Specification	Rectangular	1.73	2.9%	
System Repeatability	5.0%	0.21	Std. Dev.	Normal k=1	1.00	5.0%	
WD Repeatability	9.0%	0.37	Std. Dev.	Normal k=1	1.00	9.0%	
Positioner Accuracy	1.0%	0.04	Specification	Rectangular	1.73	0.6%	
Combined standard uncertainty, uc (k=1)						17.7%	0.71
Expanded uncertainty (k=2), 95% confidence level						35.3%	1.31

Notes:
1. Test equipments are calibrated according to techniques outlined in NIS81, NIS3003 and NIST Tech Note 1297.
2. All equipments have traceability according to NIST. Measurement Uncertainties are defined in further detail in NIS 81 and NIST Tech Note 1297 and UKAS M3003.

Measurement uncertainty reflects the quality and accuracy of a measured result as compared to the true value. Such statements are generally required when stating results of measurements so that it is clear to the intended audience that the results may differ when reproduced by different facilities. Measurement results vary due to the measurement uncertainty of the instrumentation, measurement technique, and test engineer. Most uncertainties are calculated using the tolerances of the instrumentation used in the measurement, the measurement setup variability, and the technique used in performing the test. While not generally included, the variability of the equipment under test also figures into the overall measurement uncertainty. Another component of the overall uncertainty is based on the variability of repeated measurements (so-called Type A uncertainty). This may mean that the Hearing Aid compatibility tests may have to be repeated by taking down the test setup and resetting it up so that there are a statistically significant number of repeat measurements to identify the measurement uncertainty. By combining the repeat measurement results with that of the instrumentation chain using the technique contained in NIS 81 and NIS 3003, the overall measurement uncertainty was estimated.

10. EQUIPMENT LIST

Table 10-1
Equipment List

Manufacturer	Model	Description	Cal Date	Cal Interval	Cal Due	Serial Number
Control Company	4040	Temperature / Humidity Monitor	6/29/2019	Biennial	6/29/2021	192291470
Dell	Latitude E6540	SoundCheck Acoustic Analyzer Laptop	4/24/2019	Biennial	4/24/2021	7BFNM32
RME	Fireface UC	Soundcheck Acoustic Analyzer External Audio Interface	4/24/2019	Biennial	4/24/2021	23528889
Listen	SoundConnect	Microphone Power Supply	4/22/2019	Biennial	4/22/2021	PS2612
Rohde & Schwarz	CMW500	Wideband Radio Communication Tester	2/4/2020	Annual	2/4/2021	162125
Rohde & Schwarz	CMW500	Radio Communication Tester	5/21/2020	Annual	5/21/2021	128635
Seekonk	NC-100	Torque Wrench (8" lb)	8/4/2020	Biennial	8/4/2022	N/A
TEM		HAC System Controller with Software	N/A		N/A	N/A
TEM		HAC Positioner	N/A		N/A	N/A
TEM	Helmholtz Coil	Helmholtz Coil	5/20/2019	Biennial	5/20/2021	925
TEM	Axial T-Coil Probe	Axial T-Coil Probe	5/17/2019	Biennial	5/17/2021	TEM-1124
TEM	Radial T-Coil Probe	Radial T-Coil Probe	5/17/2019	Biennial	5/17/2021	TEM-1130

11. TEST DATA

10/5/2020

PCTEST Hearing-Aid Compatibility Facility

DUT: HH Coil – SN: 925

Type: HH Coil
Serial: 925

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

Equipment:

- Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1124; Calibrated: 05/17/2019
- Helmholtz Coil – SN: 925; Calibrated: 05/20/2019

Noise Spectrum



Frequency Response



Results

Verification 1kHz Intensity	-10.229	dB	✔	Max/Min	-9.5/-10.5
Verification ABM2	-64.24	dB	✔	Maximum	-58.0
Frequency Response Margin	700m	dB	✔	Tolerance curves	Aligned Data

PCTEST 2020

10/12/2020

PCTEST Hearing-Aid Compatibility Facility

DUT: HH Coil – SN: 925

Type: HH Coil
Serial: 925

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

Equipment:

- Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1124; Calibrated: 05/17/2019
- Helmholtz Coil – SN: 925; Calibrated: 05/20/2019

Noise Spectrum



Frequency Response



Results

Verification 1kHz Intensity	-10.237 dB	✔	Max/Min	-9.5/-10.5
Verification ABM2	-64.7 dB	✔	Maximum	-58.0
Frequency Response Margin	700m dB	✔	Tolerance curves	Aligned Data

PCTEST 2020

10/5/2020

PCTEST Hearing-Aid Compatibility Facility

DUT: HH Coil – SN: 925

Type: HH Coil
Serial: 925

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

Equipment:

- Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1130; Calibrated: 05/17/2019
- Helmholtz Coil – SN: 925; Calibrated: 05/20/2019

Noise Spectrum



Frequency Response



Results

Verification 1kHz Intensity	-10.296 dB	✔	Max/Min	-9.5/-10.5
Verification ABM2	-63.55 dB	✔	Maximum	-58.0
Frequency Response Margin	700m dB	✔	Tolerance curves	Aligned Data

PCTEST 2020

10/12/2020

PCTEST Hearing-Aid Compatibility Facility

DUT: HH Coil – SN: 925

Type: HH Coil
Serial: 925

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

Equipment:

- Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1130; Calibrated: 05/17/2019
- Helmholtz Coil – SN: 925; Calibrated: 05/20/2019

Noise Spectrum



Frequency Response



Results

Verification 1kHz Intensity	-10.39 dB	✔	Max/Min	-9.5/-10.5
Verification ABM2	-63.93 dB	✔	Maximum	-58.0
Frequency Response Margin	700m dB	✔	Tolerance curves	Aligned Data

PCTEST 2020

PCTEST Hearing-Aid Compatibility Facility

DUT: ZNFK200TM

Type: Portable Handset
Serial: 18878

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

Equipment:

- Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1124; Calibrated: 5/17/2019

Test Configuration:

- Mode: GSM 850
- Channel: 190
- Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice

Noise Spectrum



Frequency Response



Results

ABM1	2.02 dB	✔	Minimum	-18.0
ABM2	-25.32 dB	✔	Maximum	0
SNNR	27.34 dB	✔	Minimum	20
Aligned Response - P.50	2 dB	✔	Tolerance curves	Aligned Data

PCTEST 2020

PCTEST Proud to be part of element

PCTEST Hearing-Aid Compatibility Facility

DUT: ZNFK200TM

Type: Portable Handset
Serial: 18878

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

Equipment:

- Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1124; Calibrated: 5/17/2019

Test Configuration:

- Mode: GSM 1900
- Channel: 810
- Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice

Noise Spectrum



Frequency Response



Results

ABM1	1.63 dB	Minimum	-18.0
ABM2	-30.21 dB	Maximum	0
SNNR	31.83 dB	Minimum	20
Aligned Response - P.50	2 dB	Tolerance curves	Aligned Data

PCTEST 2020

10/6/2020

PCTEST Hearing-Aid Compatibility Facility

DUT: ZNFK200TM

Type: Portable Handset
Serial: 18878

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

Equipment:

- Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1124; Calibrated: 5/17/2019

Test Configuration:

- Mode: UMTS Band V
- Channel: 4183
- Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice

Noise Spectrum



Frequency Response



Results

ABM1	1.08 dB	✔	Minimum	-18.0
ABM2	-57.82 dB	✔	Maximum	0.0
SNNR	58.9 dB	✔	Minimum	20.0
Aligned Response - P.50	2 dB	✔	Tolerance curves	Aligned Data

PCTEST 2020

10/6/2020

PCTEST Hearing-Aid Compatibility Facility

DUT: ZNFK200TM

Type: Portable Handset
Serial: 18878

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

Equipment:

- Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1124; Calibrated: 5/17/2019

Test Configuration:

- Mode: UMTS Band IV
- Channel: 1513
- Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice

Noise Spectrum



Frequency Response



Results

ABM1	1.09 dB	✔	Minimum	-18.0
ABM2	-58.95 dB	✔	Maximum	0.0
SNNR	60.03 dB	✔	Minimum	20.0
Aligned Response - P.50	2 dB	✔	Tolerance curves	Aligned Data

PCTEST 2020

PCTEST Hearing-Aid Compatibility Facility

DUT: ZNFK200TM
Type: Portable Handset
Serial: 18878

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

Equipment:
- Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1124; Calibrated: 5/17/2019

Test Configuration:
- Mode: UMTS Band II
- Channel: 9538
- Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice

Noise Spectrum



Frequency Response



Results

ABM1	1.02 dB	✔	Minimum	-18.0
ABM2	-58.54 dB	✔	Maximum	0.0
SNNR	59.57 dB	✔	Minimum	20.0
Aligned Response - P.50	2 dB	✔	Tolerance curves	Aligned Data

PCTEST 2020

PCTEST Hearing-Aid Compatibility Facility

DUT: ZNFK200TM

Type: Portable Handset
Serial: 18878

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

Equipment:
- Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1124; Calibrated: 5/17/2019

Test Configuration:
- Mode: LTE FDD Band 71
- Bandwidth: 15MHz
- Channel: 133297
- Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice





Results

ABM1	8.07 dB	✔	Minimum	-18.0
ABM2	-38.5 dB	✔	Maximum	0.0
SNNR	46.57 dB	✔	Minimum	20.0
Aligned Response - P.50	2 dB	✔	Tolerance curves	Aligned Data

PCTEST 2020

PCTEST Hearing-Aid Compatibility Facility

DUT: ZNFK200TM

Type: Portable Handset
Serial: 18878

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

Equipment:

- Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1124; Calibrated: 5/17/2019

Test Configuration:

- Mode: LTE TDD Band 41 (Power Class 2)
- Bandwidth: 5MHz
- Channel: 39750
- Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice

Noise Spectrum



Frequency Response



Results

ABM1	7.8 dB	✔	Minimum	-18.0
ABM2	-27.27 dB	✔	Maximum	0.0
SNNR	35.07 dB	✔	Minimum	20.0
Aligned Response - P.50	2 dB	✔	Tolerance curves	Aligned Data

PCTEST 2020

PCTEST Hearing-Aid Compatibility Facility

DUT: ZNFK200TM

Type: Portable Handset
Serial: 18878

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

Equipment:

- Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1124; Calibrated: 5/17/2019

Test Configuration:

- VoIP Application: Google Duo
- Mode: LTE TDD Band 41 (Power Class 2)
- Bandwidth: 5MHz
- Channel: 39750
- Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice

Noise Spectrum



Frequency Response



Results

ABM1	7.29	dB	✔	Minimum	-18.0
ABM2	-27.92	dB	✔	Maximum	0.0
SNNR	35.21	dB	✔	Minimum	20.0
Aligned Response - P.50	1.25	dB	✔	Tolerance curves	Aligned Data

PCTEST 2020

10/8/2020

PCTEST Hearing-Aid Compatibility Facility

DUT: ZNFK200TM

Type: Portable Handset
Serial: 18878

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

Equipment:

- Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1130; Calibrated: 5/17/2019

Test Configuration:

- Mode: GSM 850
- Channel: 190

Noise Spectrum



Results

ABM1	-7.26 dB	✓	Minimum	-18.0
ABM2	-29.83 dB	✓	Maximum	0.0
SNNR	22.57 dB	✓	Minimum	20.0

PCTEST 2020

10/8/2020

PCTEST Hearing-Aid Compatibility Facility

DUT: ZNFK200TM

Type: Portable Handset
Serial: 18878

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

Equipment:

- Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1130; Calibrated: 5/17/2019

Test Configuration:

- Mode: GSM 1900
- Channel: 810

Noise Spectrum



Results

ABM1	-7.32 dB	✔	Minimum	-18.0
ABM2	-36.13 dB	✔	Maximum	0.0
SNNR	28.81 dB	✔	Minimum	20.0

PCTEST 2020

PCTEST Hearing-Aid Compatibility Facility

DUT: ZNFK200TM

Type: Portable Handset
Serial: 18878

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

Equipment:
- Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1130; Calibrated: 5/17/2019

Test Configuration:
- Mode: UMTS Band V
- Channel: 4233

Noise Spectrum



Results

ABM1	-7.69 dB	✔	Minimum	-18.0
ABM2	-55.99 dB	✔	Maximum	0.0
SNNR	48.3 dB	✔	Minimum	20.0

PCTEST 2020

10/8/2020

PCTEST Hearing-Aid Compatibility Facility

DUT: ZNFK200TM

Type: Portable Handset
Serial: 18878

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

Equipment:

- Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1130; Calibrated: 5/17/2019

Test Configuration:

- Mode: UMTS Band IV
- Channel: 1513

Noise Spectrum



Results

ABM1	-7.69 dB	✔	Minimum	-18.0
ABM2	-57.01 dB	✔	Maximum	0.0
SNNR	49.32 dB	✔	Minimum	20.0

PCTEST 2020

PCTEST Hearing-Aid Compatibility Facility

DUT: ZNFK200TM

Type: Portable Handset
Serial: 18878

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

Equipment:

- Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1130; Calibrated: 5/17/2019

Test Configuration:

- Mode: UMTS Band II
- Channel: 9262

Noise Spectrum



Results

ABM1	-7.6	dB	✔	Minimum	-18.0
ABM2	-55.81	dB	✔	Maximum	0.0
SNNR	48.21	dB	✔	Minimum	20.0

PCTEST 2020

10/9/2020

PCTEST Hearing-Aid Compatibility Facility

DUT: ZNFK200TM

Type: Portable Handset
Serial: 18878

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

Equipment:

- Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1130; Calibrated: 5/17/2019

Test Configuration:

- Mode: LTE FDD Band 71
- Bandwidth: 15MHz
- Channel: 133297

Noise Spectrum



Results

ABM1	-330m dB	✔	Minimum	-18.0
ABM2	-34.87 dB	✔	Maximum	0.0
SNNR	34.53 dB	✔	Minimum	20.0

PCTEST 2020

10/9/2020

PCTEST Hearing-Aid Compatibility Facility

DUT: ZNFK200TM

Type: Portable Handset
Serial: 18878

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

Equipment:

- Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1130; Calibrated: 5/17/2019

Test Configuration:

- Mode: LTE TDD Band 41 (Power Class 2)
- Bandwidth: 15MHz
- Channel: 40620

Noise Spectrum



Results

ABM1	-50m dB	✔	Minimum	-18.0
ABM2	-21.99 dB	✔	Maximum	0.0
SNNR	21.94 dB	✔	Minimum	20.0

PCTEST 2020

PCTEST Hearing-Aid Compatibility Facility

DUT: ZNFK200TM

Type: Portable Handset
Serial: 18878

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

Equipment:

- Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1130; Calibrated: 5/17/2019

Test Configuration:

- VoIP Application: Google Duo
- Mode: LTE TDD Band 41 (Power Class 2)
- Bandwidth: 15MHz
- Channel: 40620

Noise Spectrum



Results

ABM1	-6.72 dB	✓	Minimum	-18.0
ABM2	-33.46 dB	✓	Maximum	0.0
SNNR	26.74 dB	✓	Minimum	20.0

PCTEST 2020

12. CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES

West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Inc.

Certificate of Calibration

for

AXIAL T COIL PROBE

Manufactured by:	TEM CONSULTING
Model No:	AXIAL T COIL PROBE
Serial No:	TEM-1124
Calibration Recall No:	29973

Submitted By:

Customer:	ANDREW HARWELL
Company:	PCTEST ENGINEERING LAB
Address:	6660-B DOBBIN ROAD
	COLUMBIA MD 21045

The subject instrument was calibrated to the indicated specification using standards traceable to the National Institute of Standards and Technology or to accepted values of natural physical constants. This document certifies that the instrument met the following specification upon its return to the submitter.

West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Procedure No. AXIAL T C TEM C

√AA 6/4/2019

Upon receipt for Calibration, the instrument was found to be:

Within (X)

tolerance of the indicated specification. See attached Report of Calibration.
The information supplied relates to the calibrated item listed above.
West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories' calibration control system meets the requirements, ISO 10012-1 MIL-STD-45662A, ANSI/NCSL Z540-1, IEC Guide 25, ISO 9001:2015 and ISO 17025.

Note: With this Certificate, Report of Calibration is included.

Approved by:

James Zhu
Quality Manager
ISO/IEC 17025:2005

Calibration Date: 17-May-19

Certificate No: 29973 -1

QA Doc. #1051 Rev. 2.0 10/1/01

Certificate Page 1 of 1

West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories, Inc.
uncompromised calibration
1575 State Route 96, Victor, NY 14564, U.S.A.

A2LA ACCREDITED
Calibration Lab. Cert. # 1533.01

West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories, Inc.
uncompromised calibration
1575 State Route 96, Victor NY 14564

ISO/IEC 17025: 2005
ACCREDITED
Calibration Lab. Cert. # 1533.01

REPORT OF CALIBRATION

for

TEM Consulting LP Axial T Coil Probe | Model No.: Axial T Coil Probe | Serial No.: TEM-1124
Company: PCTest Engineering Labs | I. D. No.: XXXX

Calibration results:

Probe Sensitivity measured with Helmholtz Coil

Helmholtz Coil;

the number of turns on each coil;	10	No.
the radius of each coil, in meters;	0.204	m
the current in the coils, in amperes.;	0.09	A
Helmholtz Coil Constant;	7.09	A/m/V
Helmholtz Coil magnetic field;	5.96	A/m
Probe Sensitivity at	1000	Hz.
was	-60.41	dBV/A/m
	0.954	mV/A/m
Probe resistance	903	Ohms

Before & after data same: ...X...

Laboratory Environment:

Ambient Temperature:	20.7	°C
Ambient Humidity:	42.7	% RH
Ambient Pressure:	98.256	kPa
Calibration Date:	17-May-2019	
Calibration Due:	17-May-2020	
Report Number:	29973 -1	
Control Number:	29973	

The above listed instrument meets or exceeds the tested manufacturer's specifications.

This Calibration is traceable through NIST test numbers: 683/290345-18

The expanded uncertainty of calibration: 0.30dB at 95% confidence level with a coverage factor of k=2.

Graph represents Probes Frequency Response.



The above listed instrument was checked using calibration procedure documented in West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Inc. procedure : **Rev. 7.0 Jan. 24, 2014 Doc. # 1038 HCATEMC**

Calibration was performed by West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Inc. under Operating Procedures intended to implement the requirements of ISO10012-1, IEC Guide 25, ANSI/NCSL Z540-1, (MIL-STD-45662A) and ISO 17025

Cal. Date: 17-May-2019

Measurements performed by: ....

James Zhu

Calibrated on WCCL system type 9700

Rev. 7.0 Jan. 24, 2014 Doc. # 1038 HCATEMC

Page 1 of 2

West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Inc.

1575 State Route 96, Victor NY 14564
Tel. (585) 586-3900 FAX (585) 586-4327

Calibration Data Record

for

TEM Consulting LP Axial T Coil Probe   Model No.: Axial T Coil Probe   Serial No.: TEM-1124
Company: PCTest Engineering Labs

Test	Function		Tolerance		Measured values Before	Out	Remarks
1.0	Probe Sensitivity at	1000 Hz.		dBV/A/m	-60.41		
				dB			
2.0	Probe Level Linearity			6	6.10		
			Ref. (0 dB)	0	0.00		
				-6	-6.00		
				-12	-12.00		
				Hz			
3.0	Probe Frequency Response			100	-19.9		
				126	-17.9		
				158	-16.0		
				200	-14.0		
				251	-12.0		
				316	-10.0		
				398	-8.0		
				501	-6.0		
				631	-3.9		
				794	-2.0		
			Ref. (0 dB)	1000	0.0		
				1259	2.0		
				1585	4.0		
				1995	5.9		
				2512	7.9		
				3162	9.9		
				3981	11.9		
				5012	13.9		
				6310	15.9		
				7943	18.0		
				10000	20.2		

Instruments used for calibration:			Date of Cal.	Traceablity No.	Due Date
HP	34401A	S/N US360641	25-Jul-2018	,1010733	26-Jul-2019
HP	34401A	S/N US361024	25-Jul-2018	,1010733	26-Jul-2019
HP	33120A	S/N US360437	25-Jul-2018	,1010733	26-Jul-2019
B&K	2133	S/N 1583254	25-Jul-2018	683/290345-18	26-Jul-2019

Cal. Date: 17-May-2019   Tested by: James Zhu

Calibrated on WCCL system type 9700

Rev. 7.0 Jan. 24, 2014 Doc. # 1038 HCATEMC

Page 2 of 2

West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Inc.

Certificate of Calibration

for

RADIAL T COIL PROBE

Manufactured by:	TEM CONSULTING
Model No:	RADIAL T COIL PROBE
Serial No:	TEM-1130
Calibration Recall No:	29973

Submitted By:

Customer:	ANDREW HARWELL
Company:	PCTEST ENGINEERING LAB
Address:	6660-B DOBBIN ROAD
	COLUMBIA MD 21045

The subject instrument was calibrated to the indicated specification using standards traceable to the National Institute of Standards and Technology or to accepted values of natural physical constants. This document certifies that the instrument met the following specification upon its return to the submitter.

West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Procedure No. RADIAL T TEM C

aH 6/4/2019

Upon receipt for Calibration, the instrument was found to be:

Within (X)

tolerance of the indicated specification. See attached Report of Calibration.
The information supplied relates to the calibrated item listed above.
West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories' calibration control system meets the requirements, ISO 10012-1 MIL-STD-45662A, ANSI/NCSL Z540-1, IEC Guide 25, ISO 9001:2015 and ISO 17025.

Note: With this Certificate, Report of Calibration is Included.

Approved by:

Calibration Date: 17-May-19

James Zhu
Quality Manager
ISO/IEC 17025:2005

Certificate No: 29973 -2

QA Doc. #1051 Rev. 2.0 10/1/01

Certificate Page 1 of 1

West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories, Inc.
uncompromised calibration
1575 State Route 96, Victor, NY 14564, U.S.A.

ACCREDITED
Calibration Lab. Cert. # 1533.01

West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories, Inc.
uncompromised calibration
1575 State Route 96, Victor NY 14564

ISO/IEC 17025: 2005
ACCREDITED
Calibration Lab. Cert. # 1533.01

REPORT OF CALIBRATION

for

TEM Consulting LP Radial T Coil Probe **Model No.: Radial T Coil Probe** **Serial No.: TEM-1130**
Company: PCTest Engineering Labs **I. D. No.: XXXX**

Calibration results:

Probe Sensitivity measured with Helmholtz Coil

Helmholtz Coil;			**Before & after data same:**	**...X...**	
the number of turns on each coil;	10	No.			
the radius of each coil, in meters;	0.204	m	Laboratory Environment:		
the current in the coils, in amperes.;	0.08	A	Ambient Temperature:	20.7	°C
Helmholtz Coil Constant;	7.09	A/m/V	Ambient Humidity:	42.7	% RH
Helmholtz Coil magnetic field;	5.94	A/m	Ambient Pressure:	98.256	kPa
			Calibration Date:	17-May-2019	
Probe Sensitivity at	1000	Hz.	Calibration Due:	17-May-2020	
was	-60.37	dBV/A/m	Report Number:	29973 -2	
	0.958	mV/A/m	Control Number:	29973	
Probe resistance	895	Ohms			

The above listed instrument meets or exceeds the tested manufacturer's specifications.

This Calibration is traceable through NIST test numbers: 683/290345-18

The expanded uncertainty of calibration: 0.30dB at 95% confidence level with a coverage factor of k=2.

Graph represents Probes Frequency Response.



The above listed instrument was checked using calibration procedure documented in West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Inc. procedure : **Rev. 7.0 Jan. 24, 2014 Doc. # 1038 HCRTEMC**

Calibration was performed by West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Inc. under Operating Procedures intended to implement the requirements of ISO10012-1, IEC Guide 25, ANSI/NCSL Z540-1, (MIL-STD-45662A) and ISO 17025

Cal. Date: 17-May-2019 Measurements performed by: ....

Calibrated on WCCL system type 9700 **James Zhu**

 Rev. 7.0 Jan. 24, 2014 Doc. # 1038 HCRTEMC

Page 1 of 2

West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Inc.

1575 State Route 96, Victor NY 14564
Tel. (585) 586-3900 FAX (585) 586-4327

Calibration Data Record

for

TEM Consulting LP Radial T Coil Probe Model No.: Radial T Coil Probe Serial No.: TEM-1130
Company: PCTest Engineering Labs

Test	Function		Tolerance	Measured values Before	Out	Remarks
1.0	Probe Sensitivity at	1000 Hz.	dBV/A/m	-60.37		
			dB			
2.0	Probe Level Linearity		6	6.00		
		Ref. (0 dB)	0	0.00		
			-6	-6.10		
			-12	-12.10		
			Hz			
3.0	Probe Frequency Response		100	-20.0		
			126	-17.9		
			158	-16.0		
			200	-14.0		
			251	-12.0		
			316	-10.0		
			398	-8.0		
			501	-6.0		
			631	-4.0		
			794	-2.0		
		Ref. (0 dB)	1000	0.0		
			1259	1.9		
			1585	3.9		
			1995	5.9		
			2512	7.9		
			3162	9.9		
			3981	11.9		
			5012	13.9		
			6310	15.9		
			7943	18.0		
			10000	20.1		

Instruments used for calibration:			Date of Cal.	Traceability No.	Due Date
HP	34401A	S/N US360641	25-Jul-2018	,1010733	26-Jul-2019
HP	34401A	S/N US361024	25-Jul-2018	,1010733	26-Jul-2019
HP	33120A	S/N US360437	25-Jul-2018	,1010733	26-Jul-2019
B&K	2133	S/N 1583254	25-Jul-2018	683/290345-18	26-Jul-2019

Cal. Date: 17-May-2019 Tested by: James Zhu

Calibrated on WCCL system type 9700

Rev. 7.0 Jan. 24, 2014 Doc. # 1038 HCRTEMC

13. CONCLUSION

The measurements indicate that the wireless communications device complies with the HAC limits specified in accordance with the ANSI C63.19 Standard and FCC WT Docket No. 01-309 RM-8658. Precise laboratory measures were taken to assure repeatability of the tests. The tested device complies with the requirements in respect to all parameters specific to the test. The test results and statements relate only to the item(s) tested.

The measurement system and techniques presented in this evaluation are proposed in the ANSI standard as a means of best approximating wireless device compatibility with a hearing-aid. The literature is under continual re-construction.

14. REFERENCES

1. ANSI C63.19-2011, American National Standard for Methods of Measurement of Compatibility between Wireless communication devices and Hearing Aids.", New York, NY, IEEE, May 2011

2. FCC Office of Engineering and Technology KDB, "285076 D01 HAC Guidance v05," September 13, 2017

3. FCC Office of Engineering and Technology KDB, "285076 D02 T-Coil Testing for CMRS IP v03," September 13, 2017

4. FCC Public Notice DA 06-1215, *Wireless Telecommunications Bureau and Office of Engineering and Technology Clarify Use of Revised Wireless Phone Hearing Aid Compatibility Standard*, June 6, 2006

5. FCC 3G Review Guidance, Laboratory Division OET FCC, May/June 2006

6. Berger, H. S., "Compatibility Between Hearing Aids and Wireless Devices," Electronic Industries Forum, Boston, MA, May, 1997

7. Berger, H. S., "Hearing Aid and Cellular Phone Compatibility: Working Toward Solutions," Wireless Telephones and Hearing Aids: New Challenges for Audiology, Gallaudet University, Washington, D.C., May, 1997 (To be reprinted in the American Journal of Audiology).

8. Berger, H. S., "Hearing Aid Compatibility with Wireless Communications Devices, " IEEE International Symposium on Electromagnetic Compatibility, Austin, TX, August, 1997.

9. Bronaugh, E. L., "Simplifying EMI Immunity (Susceptibility) Tests in TEM Cells," in the 1990 IEEE International Symposium on Electromagnetic Compatibility Symposium Record, Washington, D.C., August 1990, pp. 488-491

10. Byme, D. and Dillon, H., The National Acoustics Laboratory (NAL) New Procedure for Selecting the Gain and Frequency Response of a Hearing Aid, Ear and Hearing 7:257-265, 1986.

11. Crawford, M. L., "Measurement of Electromagnetic Radiation from Electronic Equipment using TEM Transmission Cells, " U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards, NBSIR 73-306, Feb. 1973.

12. Crawford, M. L., and Workman, J. L., "Using a TEM Cell for EMC Measurements of Electronic Equipment," U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards. Technical Note 1013, July 1981.

13. EHIMA GSM Project, Development phase, Project Report (1st part) Revision A. Technical-Audiological Laboratory and Telecom Denmark, October 1993.

14. EHIMA GSM Project, Development phase, Part II Project Report. Technical-Audiological Laboratory and Telecom Denmark, June 1994.

15. EHIMA GSM Project Final Report, Hearing Aids and GSM Mobile Telephones: Interference Problems, Methods of Measurement and Levels of Immunity. Technical-Audiological Laboratory and Telecom Denmark, 1995.

16. HAMPIS Report, Comparison of Mobile phone electromagnetic near field with an upscaled electromagnetic far field, using hearing aid as reference, 21 October 1999.

17. Hearing Aids/GSM, Report from OTWIDAM, Technical-Audiological Laboratory and Telecom Denmark, April 1993.

18. IEEE 100, The Authoritative Dictionary of IEEE Standards Terms, Seventh Edition.

19. Joyner, K. H, et. al., Interference to Hearing Aids by the New Digital Mobile Telephone System, Global System for Mobile (GSM) Communication Standard, National Acoustic Laboratory, Australian Hearing Series, Sydney 1993.

20. Joyner, K. H., et. al., Interference to Hearing Aids by the Digital Mobile Telephone System, Global System for Mobile Communications (GSM), NAL Report #131, National Acoustic Laboratory, Australian Hearing Series, Sydney, 1995.

21. Kecker, W. T., Crawford, M. L., and Wilson, W. A., "Contruction of a Transverse Electromagnetic Cell", U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards, Technical Note 1011, Nov. 1978.

22. Konigstein, D., and Hansen, D., "A New Family of TEM Cells with enlarged bandwidth and Optimized working Volume," in the Proceedings of the 7th International Symposium on EMC, Zurich, Switzerland, March 1987; 50:9, pp. 127-132.

23. Kuk, F., and Hjorstgaard, N. K., “Factors affecting interference from digital cellular telephones,” Hearing Journal, 1997; 50:9, pp 32-34.

24. Ma, M. A., and Kanda, M., ”Electromagnetic Compatibility and Interference Metrology,” U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards, Technical Note 1099, July 1986, pp. 17-43.

25. Ma, M. A., Sreenivashiah, I. , and Chang, D. C., “A Method of Determining the Emission and Susceptibility Levels of Electrically Small Objects Using a TEM Cell,” U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards, Technial Note 1040, July 1981.

26. McCandless, G. A., and Lyregaard, P. E., Prescription of Gain/Output (POGO) for Hearing Aids, Hearing Instruments 1:16-21, 1983

27. Skopec, M., “Hearing Aid Electromagnetic Interference from Digital Wireless Telephones, “IEEE Transactions on Rehabilitation Engineering, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 235-239, June 1998.

28. Technical Report, GSM 05.90, GSM EMC Considerations, European Telecommunications Standards Institute, January 1993.

29. Victorian, T. A., “Digital Cellular Telephone Interference and Hearing Aid Compatibility—an Update,” Hearing Journal 1998; 51:10, pp. 53-60

30. Wong, G. S. K., and Embleton, T. F. W., eds., AIP Handbook of Condenser Microphones: Theory, Calibration and Measurements, AIP Press.