

# Partial Test Report

*Concerning:*

## Spurious Emissions

*According:*  
**FCC Part 24, 27**  
**RSS-130 Issue 1, RSS-132 Issue 3, RSS-133 Issue 6, RSS-139 Issue 3**

**EUT Name: Ranger 4.4**  
**Model No.: R44-V11**

*Prepared for:*

Trapeze Software Group, Inc.  
5265 Rockwell Drive NE, Cedar Rapids  
Iowa 52402, U.S.A.

*Prepared by:*

TUV Rheinland of North America, Inc.  
1279 Quarry Lane  
Pleasanton, CA 94566  
Tel: (925) 249-9123  
Fax: (925) 249-9124  
<http://www.tuv.com/>

*Report/Issue Date:* September 14, 2017  
*Report Number:* 31762446.002  
*Revision Number:* 0  
*Project Number:* 0000149346

## Revisions

Revision No.	Date MM/DD/YYYY	Reason for Change	Author
0	September 14, 2017	Original Document	BMJ

Note: Latest revision report will replace all previous reports.

# Statement of Compliance

*Manufacturer:* Trapeze Software Group, Inc.  
5265 Rockwell Drive NE, Cedar Rapids  
Iowa 52402, U.S.A.  
*Name of Equipment:* Ranger 4.4  
*Model No.* R44-V11  
*Type of Equipment:* Intentional Radiator  
*Test Dates:* July 17, 2017 to August 22, 2017

*Test Specifications:*

CFR 47 Part 22, 24, 27

RSS-130 Issue 1, RSS-132 Issue 3, RSS-133 Issue 6, RSS-139 Issue 3

*Test Methods:*

ANSI C63.26

The electromagnetic compatibility test and documented data described in this report has been performed and recorded by TUV Rheinland, in accordance with the standards and procedures listed herein. As the responsible authorized agent of the EMC laboratory, I hereby declare that the equipment described above has been shown to be compliant with the EMC requirements of the stated regulations and standards based on these results. If any special accessories and/or modifications were required for compliance, they are listed in the Executive Summary of this report.

This report must not be used to claim product endorsement by A2LA or any agency of the U.S. Government. This report contains data that are not covered by A2LA accreditation. This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written authorization of TUV Rheinland of North America.

Bernd Jungbluth      September 14, 2017

Report written      Date

Douglas Antioco      September 14, 2017

Test Engineer      Date

Arndt Stoecker      September 14, 2017

Operations Manager      Date



**Testing Cert #3331.02**

**US1131**

## Table of Contents

<b>1</b>	<b><i>Executive Summary</i></b> .....	<b>6</b>
1.1	Scope.....	6
1.2	Purpose .....	6
1.3	Summary of Test Results .....	7
1.4	Special Accessories .....	7
1.5	Equipment Modifications.....	7
<b>2</b>	<b><i>Laboratory Information</i></b> .....	<b>8</b>
2.1	Accreditations & Endorsements .....	8
2.2	Test Facilities .....	9
2.3	Measurement Uncertainty.....	10
2.4	Calibration Traceability.....	11
<b>3</b>	<b><i>Product Information</i></b> .....	<b>12</b>
3.1	Introduction.....	12
3.2	Customer.....	12
3.3	Product Description.....	12
3.4	Equipment Under Test (EUT) .....	13
3.5	Test Equipment Configuration .....	13
3.6	Operating Mode .....	14
<b>4</b>	<b><i>Emissions</i></b> .....	<b>15</b>
4.1	Transmitter Unwanted Emissions.....	15
<b>5</b>	<b><i>Test Equipment List</i></b> .....	<b>28</b>
5.1	Equipment List .....	28

## Index of Tables

<b>Table 1:</b> Summary of Test Results .....	7
<b>Table 2:</b> Summary of Uncertainties .....	11
<b>Table 3:</b> Customer Information .....	12
<b>Table 4:</b> EUT Specifications .....	13
<b>Table 5:</b> Description of Sample used for Testing .....	13
<b>Table 6:</b> Final Test Mode .....	14
<b>Table 7:</b> Equipment List.....	28

# **1 Executive Summary**

## **1.1 Scope**

This report is intended to document the status of conformance with the requirements of the FCC based on the results of testing performed on July 17, 2017 through June 6, 2017 the Ranger 4.4 Model R44-V11 manufactured by Trapeze Software Group, Inc.. This report only applies to the specific samples tested under the stated test conditions. It is the responsibility of the manufacturer to assure that additional production units of this model are manufactured with identical or EMI equivalent electrical and mechanical components. This report is further intended to document changes and modifications to the EUT throughout its life cycle. All documentation will be included as a supplement.

## **1.2 Purpose**

Testing was performed to evaluate the EMC performance of the EUT in accordance with the applicable requirements, procedures, and criteria defined in the application of regulations and application of standards listed in this report.

### 1.3 Summary of Test Results

**Table 1:** Summary of Test Results

Test	FCC Rule	ISED Rule	Test Method	Result <sup>1</sup>
Conducted RF Output Power	§2.1046	RSS-GEN, 6.12	ANSI C63.26, section 5.2	<b>Not Tested</b>
Equivalent (Isotropic) Radiated Power	§24.232 §27.50	RSS-130, 4.4	ANSI C63.26, section 5.2	<b>Not Tested</b>
Peak-to-average ratio	§24.232 §27.50	RSS-130, 4.4	ANSI C63.26, section 5.2	<b>Not Tested</b>
Occupied Bandwidth	§2.1049	RSS-GEN, 6.6	ANSI C63.26, section 5.4	<b>Not Tested</b>
Spurious Emissions at antenna terminals	§2.1051 §24.238 §27.53	RSS-GEN, 6.13	ANSI C63.26, section 5.7	<b>Not Tested</b>
Band Edge	§2.1051 §24.238 §27.53	RSS-GEN, 6.13	ANSI C63.26, section 5.7	<b>Not Tested</b>
Transmitter Unwanted Emissions	§2.1053 §24.238 §27.53	RSS-GEN, 6.13 RSS-130, 4.6	ANSI C63.26, section 5.5	<b>Complied</b>
Frequency Stability	§2.1055 §24.235 §27.54	RSS-GEN, 6.11 RSS-130, 4.3 RSS-133,	ANSI C63.26, section 5.6	<b>Not Tested</b>

### 1.4 Special Accessories

No special accessories were necessary in order to achieve compliance.

### 1.5 Equipment Modifications

None.

## 2 Laboratory Information

### 2.1 Accreditations & Endorsements

#### 2.1.1 US Federal Communications Commission



TUV Rheinland of North America at 1279 Quarry Lane, Ste. A., Pleasanton, CA 94566, is accredited by the commission for performing testing services for the general public on a fee basis. These laboratory test facilities have been fully described in reports submitted to and accepted by the FCC. The laboratory scope of accreditation includes: Title 47 CFR Parts 15, 18, and 90. The accreditation is updated every 3 years.

#### 2.1.2 NIST / A2LA



TUV Rheinland of North America is accredited by the National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Program, which is administered under the auspices of the National Institute of Standards and Technology. The laboratory has been assessed and accredited in accordance with ISO Guide 17025:1999 and ISO 9002 (Lab Code US5254). The scope of laboratory accreditation includes emission and immunity testing. The accreditation is updated annually.

#### 2.1.3 Canada – Industry Canada



TUV Rheinland of North America at the 1279 Quarry Ln, Pleasanton, CA 94566 address is accredited by Industry Canada for performing testing services for the general public on a fee basis. This laboratory test facilities have been fully described in reports submitted to and accepted by Industry Canada (File Number 2932M). This reference number is the indication to the Industry Canada Certification Officers that the site meets the requirements of RSS 212, Issue 1 (Provisional). The accreditation is updated every 3 years.

#### 2.1.4 Japan – VCCI



The Voluntary Control Council for Interference by Information Technology Equipment (VCCI) is a group that consists of Information Technology Equipment (ITE) manufacturers and EMC test laboratories. The purpose of the Council is to take voluntary control measures against electromagnetic interference from Information Technology Equipment, and thereby contribute to the development of a socially beneficial and responsible state of affairs in the realm of Information Technology Equipment in Japan. TUV Rheinland of North America at 1279 Quarry Ln, Pleasanton, CA 94566 has been assessed and approved in accordance with the Regulations for Voluntary Control Measures.

VCCI Registration No. for Pleasanton: A-0031

#### 2.1.5 Acceptance by Mutual Recognition Arrangement



The United States has an established agreement with specific countries under the Asia Pacific Laboratory Accreditation Corporation (APLAC) Mutual Recognition Arrangement. Under this agreement, all TUV Rheinland at 1279 Quarry Ln, Pleasanton, CA 94566 test results and test reports within the scope of the laboratory NIST / A2LA accreditation will be accepted by each member country.

---

## **2.2 Test Facilities**

Testing was done at 1279 Quarry Lane, Ste. A, Pleasanton, California 94566, USA.

### **2.2.1 Emission Test Facility**

The Semi-Anechoic chamber and AC Line Conducted measurement facility used to collect the radiated and conducted data has been constructed in accordance with ANSI C63.7:1992. The site has been measured in accordance with and verified to comply with the theoretical normalized site attenuation requirements of ANSI C63.4-2009, at a test distance of 3 and 5 meters. The site is listed with the FCC and accredited by A2LA (Lab Code US5254). A report detailing this site can be obtained from TUV Rheinland of North America.

## 2.3 Measurement Uncertainty

Two types of measurement uncertainty are expressed in this report, per *ISO Guide To The Expression Of Uncertainty In Measurement*, 1<sup>st</sup> Edition, 1995.

*The Combined Standard Uncertainty* is the standard uncertainty of the result of a measurement when that result is obtained from the values of a number of other quantities; it is equal to the positive square root of the sum of the variances or co-variances of these other quantities, weighted according to how the measurement result varies with changes in these quantities. The term *standard uncertainty* is the result of a measurement expressed as a standard deviation.

*The Expanded Uncertainty* defines an interval about the result of a measurement that may be expected to encompass a large fraction of the distribution of values that could reasonably be attributed to the measurand. The fraction may be viewed as the coverage probability or level of confidence of the interval.

### 2.3.1 Sample Calculation – radiated & conducted emissions

The field strength is calculated by subtracting the Amplifier Gain and adding the Cable Loss and Antenna Correction Factor to the measured reading. The basic equation is as follows:

$$\text{Field Strength (dB}\mu\text{V/m)} = \text{RAW} - \text{AMP} + \text{CBL} + \text{ACF}$$

Where: RAW = Measured level before correction (dB $\mu$ V)

AMP = Amplifier Gain (dB)

CBL = Cable Loss (dB)

ACF = Antenna Correction Factor (dB/m)

$$\mu\text{V/m} = 10^{\frac{\text{dB}\mu\text{V/m}}{20}}$$

**Sample radiated emissions calculation @ 30 MHz**

**Measurement + Antenna Factor – Amplifier Gain + Cable Loss = Radiated Emissions (dB $\mu$ V/m)**

$$25 \text{ dB}\mu\text{V/m} + 17.5 \text{ dB} - 20 \text{ dB} + 1.0 \text{ dB} = 23.5 \text{ dB}\mu\text{V/m}$$

### 2.3.2 Measurement Uncertainties

**Table 2:** Summary of Uncertainties

	$U_{lab}$	$U_{cispr}$
<b>Radiated Disturbance</b>		
30 MHz– 25,000 MHz	3.2 dB	5.2 dB
<b>Conducted Disturbance @ Mains Terminals</b>		
150 kHz – 30 MHz	2.4 dB	3.6 dB
<b>Disturbance Power</b>		
30 MHz– 300 MHz	3.92 dB	4.5 dB

**Note:**  $U_{lab}$  is the calculated Combined Standard Uncertainty  
 $U_{cispr}$  is the measurement uncertainty requirement per CISPR 16.

### Measurement Uncertainty – Radio Testing

The estimated combined standard uncertainty for frequency error measurements is $\pm 3.88$ Hz
The estimated combined standard uncertainty for carrier power measurements is $\pm 1.59$ dB.
The estimated combined standard uncertainty for adjacent channel power measurements is $\pm 1.47$ dB.
The estimated combined standard uncertainty for modulation frequency response measurements is $\pm 0.46$ dB.
The estimated combined standard uncertainty for transmitter conducted emission measurements is $\pm 4.01$ dB

The expanded uncertainty at a level of 95% confidence is obtained by multiplying the combined standard uncertainty by a coverage factor of 2. Compliance criteria are not based on measurement uncertainty.

## 2.4 Calibration Traceability

All measurement instrumentation is traceable to the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST). Measurement method complies with ANSI/NC SL Z540-1-1994 and ISO Guide 17025:2005.

---

## 3 Product Information

### 3.1 Introduction

This section provides a description of the Equipment Under Test (EUT), configurations, operating conditions. It is an overview of information provided by the manufacturer so that the test laboratory may perform the requested testing.

### 3.2 Customer

**Table 3:** Customer Information

<b>Company Name</b>	Trapeze Software Group, Inc.
<b>Address</b>	5265 Rockwell Drive NE
<b>City, State, Zip</b>	Cedar Rapids, Iowa 52402
<b>Country</b>	USA

### 3.3 Product Description

Rugged and Compact Vehicular Computer.

### 3.4 Equipment Under Test (EUT)

**Table 4:** EUT Specifications

EUT Specification	
Voltage Input	12VDC
Number of Antenna Feeds:	Transmit: 1 Receive: 2
Hardware Version	4.4
RF Software Version	1.04
Cellular Transmit Frequency Band	LTE Band 2: 1850.7 – 1909.3 MHz LTE Band 4: 1710.7 – 1754.3 MHz LTE Band 13: 779.5 – 784.5 MHz
Cellular Max. Rated Power Output	LTE: 24 dBm
Cellular Power Setting @ Operating Channel	LTE: Active transmit power control setup max power
Cellular Antenna Type	Formed metal wideband antenna
Cellular Modulation Type	LTE: QPSK, 16QAM
Type of Equipment	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Table Top <input type="checkbox"/> Wall-mount <input type="checkbox"/> Floor standing cabinet <input type="checkbox"/> Other:

**Table 5:** Description of Sample used for Testing

Device	Serial Number/IMEI	Configuration	Used For
R44-V11	356610070009648	Radiated Sample	Radiated Emissions

### 3.5 Test Equipment Configuration

The EUT was tested as called for in the test standard and was configured and operated in a manner consistent with its intended use. The EUT was connected to the declared rated power and allowed to reach intended operating conditions. The placement of the EUT system components was guided by the test standard and selected to represent typical installation conditions.

In the case of a EUT that can operate in more than one configuration, preliminary testing was performed to determine the configuration that produced maximum radiation.

The final configuration was selected to produce the worst case radiation for emissions testing.

---

### 3.6 Operating Mode

In the case of a EUT that can operate in more than one state, preliminary testing was performed to determine the operating mode that produced maximum radiation.

The final operating mode was selected to produce the worst case radiation for emissions testing.

**Table 6:** Final Test Mode

Test	Operating Mode
Transmitter Unwanted Emissions	LTE: 10MHz Bandwidth, Maxpower. 1 RB on position 0 for Low Channel, position 25 for Mid Channel, and position 49 for High Channel. QPSK Modulation.

---

## 4 Emissions

Testing was performed in accordance with FCC rules and ISED. These test methods are listed under the laboratory's A2LA Scope of Accreditation. This test measures the levels emanating from the EUT, thus evaluating the potential for the EUT to cause radio frequency interference to other electronic devices. Procedures described in TIA-603-D and ANSI C63.26 were used.

### 4.1 Transmitter Unwanted Emissions

*Transmitter spurious emissions are emissions outside the frequency range of the equipment when the equipment is in transmitting mode; per requirement of CFR47 15.205, 15.209, 15.247(d), RSS-Gen Sect. 8.9.*

#### 4.1.1 Test Methodology

##### 4.1.1.1 Preliminary Test

A test program that controls instrumentation and data logging was used to automate the preliminary RF emissions test procedure. The frequency range of interest was divided into sub-ranges. For each sub-range peak emission data was recorded and plotted while the turntable was rotated 360° in 90° steps and the measurement antenna was rotated in horizontal and vertical antenna polarization.

Preliminary emission profile testing was performed inside a semi-anechoic chamber. The EUT was placed on a non-conductive table 80 cm above the floor for emissions less than 1 GHz and 150cm above the floor for emissions greater than 1 GHz. The EUT was positioned as shown in the setup photographs. The measurement antenna was placed at a distance of 3m.


##### 4.1.1.2 Final Test

Final testing was performed on an NSA compliant test site.

For each frequency measured, the peak emission was maximized by manipulating the receiving antenna from 1 to 4 meters above the ground plane and placing it at the position that produced the maximum signal strength reading. The turntable was then rotated through 360° while observing the peak signal and placing the EUT at the position that produced maximum radiation. Emissions within 6 dB of the limit were measured.

The final scans were performed on the worst EUT axis for three operating channels in the operating mode with the highest power.

#### 4.1.1.3 Test Setup



#### 4.1.1.4 Deviations

None.

#### 4.1.2 Transmitter Spurious Emission Limit

The power of any emission outside of the authorized operating frequency ranges must be attenuated below the transmitting power (P) by a factor of at least  $43 + 10 \log(P)$  dB where P is in watts. The limit is -13 dBm for any power.

#### 4.1.3 Test Results

The final measurement data was taken under the worst case operating modes, configurations, and cable positions. It also reflects the results including any modifications and/or special accessories listed in section 1

As originally tested, the EUT was found to be compliant to the requirements of the test standard(s).

### Radiated Emissions – LTE Band 2

EUT Name	Ranger 4.4	Date	07/17/2017-08/22/2017
EUT Model	R44-V11	Temp / Hum in	23°C / 38%rh
EUT Serial	356610070009648	Temp / Hum out	N/A
EUT Config.	Vertical	Voltage Input	12VDC
Standard	CFR 47 Part 24.238		
Distance Used	3m	Performed by	Douglas Antioco

Freq.	Level	Detector	RBW	Ant Pol.	Ant Height	Azimuth	Limit	Margin	Result
MHz	dBm		kHz	H/V	cm	deg	dBm	dB	
Transmit Channel 18700 (1860 MHz)									
No Emissions within 6 dB of the limit were found.									Pass
Transmit Channel 18900 (1880 MHz)									
No Emissions within 6 dB of the limit were found.									Pass
Transmit Channel 19100 (1900 MHz)									
No Emissions within 6 dB of the limit were found.									Pass
Spec Margin = Level - Limit									
Combined Standard Uncertainty $u_c(y) = \pm 3.2$ dB Expanded Uncertainty $U = k u_c(y)$ $k = 2$ for 95% confidence									

### Radiated Emissions – LTE Band 4

EUT Name	Ranger 4.4	Date	07/17/2017-08/22/2017
EUT Model	R44-V11	Temp / Hum in	23°C / 38%rh
EUT Serial	356610070009648	Temp / Hum out	N/A
EUT Config.	Vertical	Voltage Input	12VDC
Standard	CFR 47 Part 27.53		
Distance Used	3m	Performed by	Douglas Antioco

Freq.	Level	Detector	RBW	Ant Pol.	Ant Height	Azimuth	Limit	Margin	Result
MHz	dBm		kHz	H/V	cm	deg	dBm	dB	
Transmit Channel 20050 (1720 MHz)									
No Emissions within 6 dB of the limit were found.									Pass
Transmit Channel 20175 (1732.5 MHz)									
No Emissions within 6 dB of the limit were found.									Pass
Transmit Channel 20300 (1745 MHz)									
No Emissions within 6 dB of the limit were found.									Pass
Spec Margin = Level - Limit									
Combined Standard Uncertainty $u_c(y) = \pm 3.2$ dB Expanded Uncertainty $U = k u_c(y)$ $k = 2$ for 95% confidence									

### Radiated Emissions – LTE Band 13


<b>EUT Name</b>	Ranger 4.4	<b>Date</b>	07/17/2017-08/22/2017
<b>EUT Model</b>	R44-V11	<b>Temp / Hum in</b>	23°C / 38%rh
<b>EUT Serial</b>	356610070009648	<b>Temp / Hum out</b>	N/A
<b>EUT Config.</b>	Vertical	<b>Voltage Input</b>	12VDC
<b>Standard</b>	CFR 47 Part 27.53		
<b>Distance Used</b>	3m	<b>Performed by</b>	Douglas Antioco

Freq.	Level	Detector	RBW	Ant Pol.	Ant Height	Azimuth	Limit	Margin	Result
MHz	dBm		kHz	H/V	cm	deg	dBm	dB	
Transmit Channel 23060 (704 MHz)									
No Emissions within 6 dB of the limit were found.									Pass
Transmit Channel 23095 (707.5 MHz)									
No Emissions within 6 dB of the limit were found.									Pass
Transmit Channel 23130 (711 MHz)									
No Emissions within 6 dB of the limit were found.									Pass
Spec Margin = Level - Limit									
Combined Standard Uncertainty $u_c(y) = \pm 3.2$ dB Expanded Uncertainty $U = k u_c(y)$ $k = 2$ for 95% confidence									

## Radiated Emissions – LTE Band 2 Worst Case Plots


EUT Name	Ranger 4.4	Date	07/17/2017-08/22/2017
EUT Model	R44-V11	Temp / Hum in	23°C / 38%rh
EUT Serial	356610070009648	Temp / Hum out	N/A
EUT Config.	Vertical	Voltage Input	12VDC
Standard	CFR 47 Part 24.238		
Dist/Ant Used	3m	Performed by	Douglas Antioco

### 9 KHz – 30 MHz for Channel 18900



Preview Result 1-PK+    Critical\_Freqs PK+    FCC Licensed    Final\_Result PK+

### 30 MHz – 1 GHz for Channel 19150




Preview Result 1-PK+    Critical\_Freqs PK+    FCC Licensed    Final\_Result PK+

## Radiated Emissions – LTE Band 2 Worst Case Plots


EUT Name	Ranger 4.4	Date	07/17/2017-08/22/2017
EUT Model	R44-V11	Temp / Hum in	23°C / 38%rh
EUT Serial	356610070009648	Temp / Hum out	N/A
EUT Config.	Vertical	Voltage Input	12VDC
Standard	CFR 47 Part 24.238		
Dist/Ant Used	3m	Performed by	Douglas Antioco

### 1 GHz – 3.5 GHz for Channel 18650



Peak above the limit is the uplink carrier frequency.


### 3.5 GHz – 18 GHz for Channel 18650



## Radiated Emissions – LTE Band 2 Worst Case Plots

EUT Name	Ranger 4.4	Date	07/17/2017-08/22/2017
EUT Model	R44-V11	Temp / Hum in	23°C / 38%rh
EUT Serial	356610070009648	Temp / Hum out	N/A
EUT Config.	Vertical	Voltage Input	12VDC
Standard	CFR 47 Part 24.238		
Dist/Ant Used	3m	Performed by	Douglas Antioco

### 18 GHz – 20 GHz for Channel 18650




— Preview Result 1-PK+    \* Critical\_Freqs PK+    — FCC Licensed    ◆ Final\_Result PK+


## Radiated Emissions – LTE Band 4 Worst Case Plots

EUT Name	Ranger 4.4	Date	07/17/2017-08/22/2017
EUT Model	R44-V11	Temp / Hum in	23°C / 38%rh
EUT Serial	356610070009648	Temp / Hum out	N/A
EUT Config.	Vertical	Voltage Input	12VDC
Standard	CFR 47 Part 27.53		
Dist/Ant Used	3m	Performed by	Douglas Antioco

### 9 KHz – 30 MHz for Channel 20175




### 30 MHz – 1 GHz for Channel 20000



## Radiated Emissions – LTE Band 4 Worst Case Plots


<b>EUT Name</b>	Ranger 4.4	<b>Date</b>	07/17/2017-08/22/2017
<b>EUT Model</b>	R44-V11	<b>Temp / Hum in</b>	23°C / 38%rh
<b>EUT Serial</b>	356610070009648	<b>Temp / Hum out</b>	N/A
<b>EUT Config.</b>	Vertical	<b>Voltage Input</b>	12VDC
<b>Standard</b>	CFR 47 Part 27.53		
<b>Dist/Ant Used</b>	3m	<b>Performed by</b>	Douglas Antioco

### 1 GHz – 3 GHz for Channel 20175



Peak above the limit is the uplink carrier frequency.


### 3 GHz – 7 GHz for Channel 20175



## Radiated Emissions – LTE Band 4 Worst Case Plots

EUT Name	Ranger 4.4	Date	07/17/2017-08/22/2017
EUT Model	R44-V11	Temp / Hum in	23°C / 38%rh
EUT Serial	356610070009648	Temp / Hum out	N/A
EUT Config.	Vertical	Voltage Input	12VDC
Standard	CFR 47 Part 27.53		
Dist/Ant Used	3m	Performed by	Douglas Antioco


### 7 GHz – 18 GHz for Channel 20350




## Radiated Emissions – LTE Band 13 Worst Case Plots

EUT Name	Ranger 4.4	Date	07/17/2017-08/22/2017
EUT Model	R44-V11	Temp / Hum in	23°C / 38%rh
EUT Serial	356610070009648	Temp / Hum out	N/A
EUT Config.	Vertical	Voltage Input	12VDC
Standard	CFR 47 Part 27.53		
Dist/Ant Used	3m	Performed by	Douglas Antioco

### 9 KHz – 30 MHz for Channel 23230



### 30 MHz – 1 GHz for Channel 23230




Peak above the limit is the uplink carrier frequency.


## Radiated Emissions – LTE Band 13 Worst Case Plots

EUT Name	Ranger 4.4	Date	07/17/2017-08/22/2017
EUT Model	R44-V11	Temp / Hum in	23°C / 38%rh
EUT Serial	356610070009648	Temp / Hum out	N/A
EUT Config.	Vertical	Voltage Input	12VDC
Standard	CFR 47 Part 27.53		
Dist/Ant Used	3m	Performed by	Douglas Antioco

### 1 GHz – 3.5 GHz for Channel 23230



### 3.5 GHz – 8 GHz for Channel 23230



## 5 Test Equipment List

### 5.1 Equipment List

Table 7: Equipment List

Equipment	Manufacturer	Model #	Serial/Inst #	Last Cal mm/dd/yyyy	Next Cal mm/dd/yyyy
Test Software	Rohde & Schwarz	EMC32 v.10.20.01	N/A	N/A	
Signalling antenna	Commscope	CELLMAX-D-CPUSE	L011504152918	N/A	
Maturo Control Unit	Maturo	SCU	246/20571216	N/A	
Maturo EUT Positioner	Maturo	TD1.5-10kg	087/20571216	N/A	
1 GHz High Pass Filter	K & L Microwave, Inc	61H30-1000/T1000-0/0	SN1	N/A (See Note)	
2.1 GHz High Pass Filter	Daden Associates	MH2100-8SS	M344-26	N/A (See Note)	
3.5 GHz High Pass Filter	Hewlett Packard	84300-80038	820004	N/A (See Note)	
DC Block	Mini-Circuits	UNAT-1+	VUU83701027	N/A (See Note)	
Amplifier	HP	8449B	3008A01014	01/12/2017	01/12/2018
Active Loop Antenna	EMCO	6502	00062531	05/17/2017	05/17/2019
Bilog Antenna	Sunol Sciences	JB3	A061907	08/04/2016	08/04/2018
Horn Antenna (1-18GHz)	EMCO	3115	9710-5301	10/08/2015	10/08/2017
Horn Antenna (18-26GHz)	Com-Power	AHA-840	105005	05/26/2017	05/26/2019
EMI Receiver	Rohde & Schwarz	ESIB40	100180	01/12/2017	01/12/2018
Base Station Simulator	Rohde & Schwarz	CMW500	163510	06/29/2017	06/29/2018
Thermometer	VWR	61161-378	160702310	08/15/2015	08/15/2018

Note: Equipment is characterized before use.