






Date of measurement: 10/3/2022


A. Experimental conditions.

<u>Area Scan</u>	dx=15mm dy=15mm, h= 5.00 mm		
<u>ZoomScan</u>	5x5x7,dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm		
<u>Phantom</u>	Left head		
Device Position	<u>Cheek</u>		
<u>Band</u>	LTE band 2		
<u>Channels</u>	<u>Middle</u>		
<u>Signal</u>	LTE (Crest factor: 1.0)		

B. SAR Measurement Results

Frequency (MHz)	1880.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	38.528633
Relative permittivity (imaginary part)	13.883346
Conductivity (S/m)	1.450038
Variation (%)	-4.080000





VOLUME SAR

Maximum location: X=-52.00, Y=-51.00


SAR Peak: 0.18 W/kg
SAR 10g (W/Kg) 0.080

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.080451
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.128405





0.00	4.00	9.00	14.00	19.00	24.00	29.00
0.1756	0.1315	0.0925	0.0640	0.0437	0.0383	0.0250
0.18-						
0.16-	$\overline{}$	+++		+ + + + +		
0.14-	\longrightarrow					
നു 0.12-						
¥ ≥ 0.10						
중 0.08-						
0.06-	$\overline{}$	++		+		
0.04-						
0.02-						
		12.5 17	.5 22.5 2	27.5 32.5	40.0	
Z (mm)						
	0. 18 - 0. 16 - 0. 14 - 0. 12 - 0. 10 - 0. 08 - 0. 06 - 0. 04 - 0. 02 - 0. 06 - 0. 02 - 0. 06 - 0. 02 - 0. 06 - 0. 02 - 0. 06 - 0. 02 - 0. 06 - 0. 02 - 0. 06 - 0. 02 - 0. 06 - 0. 02 - 0. 06 - 0. 02 - 0. 06 - 0. 02 - 0. 06 - 0. 02 - 0. 06 - 0. 06 - 0. 02 - 0. 06	0. 18 - 0. 16 - 0. 14 - 0. 12 - 0. 10 - 27 0. 08 - 0. 06 - 0. 04 - 0. 02 -	0. 18 - 0. 16 - 0. 14 - 0. 12 - 0. 10 - 27 0. 08 - 0. 06 - 0. 04 - 0. 02 -	0. 18 - 0. 16 - 0. 14 - 0. 12 - 0. 0. 10 - 0. 00 - 0.	0. 18 - 0. 16 - 0. 14 - 0. 12 - 0. 0. 10 - 0. 00 - 0.	0. 18 - 0. 16 - 0. 14 - 0. 12 - 0. 10 - 0. 10 - 0. 00








Date of measurement: 10/3/2022


A. Experimental conditions.

- 11 = 21 p 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			
Area Scan	dx=15mm dy=15mm, h= 5.00 mm		
<u>ZoomScan</u>	5x5x7,dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm		
<u>Phantom</u>	Validation plane		
Device Position	Body		
<u>Band</u>	LTE band 2		
<u>Channels</u>	<u>Middle</u>		
<u>Signal</u>	LTE (Crest factor: 1.0)		

B. SAR Measurement Results

tit moadardindin itodano	
Frequency (MHz)	1880.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	38.528633
Relative permittivity (imaginary part)	13.883346
Conductivity (S/m)	1.450038
Variation (%)	-1.910000







Maximum location: X=-11.00, Y=-11.00

SAR Peak: 0.63 W/kg

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.236080
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.410616












Date of measurement: 14/3/2022


A. Experimental conditions.

- 11 = 21 p 0 1 1 1 1 0	
Area Scan	<u>dx=15mm dy=15mm, h= 5.00 mm</u>
<u>ZoomScan</u>	5x5x7,dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm
<u>Phantom</u>	<u>Left head</u>
Device Position	<u>Cheek</u>
<u>Band</u>	LTE band 4
<u>Channels</u>	<u>Middle</u>
<u>Signal</u>	LTE (Crest factor: 1.0)

B. SAR Measurement Results

Frequency (MHz)	1732.500000
Relative permittivity (real part)	39.385113
Relative permittivity (imaginary part)	13.952116
Conductivity (S/m)	1.342891
Variation (%)	-4.430000





VOLUME SAR

Maximum location: X=-51.00, Y=-52.00


SAR Peak: 0.26 W/kg

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.125307		
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.188292		





Z (mm)	0.00	4.00	9.00	14.00	19.00	24.00	29.00
SAR (W/Kg)	0.2521	0.1975	0.1427	0.1045	0.0752	0.0565	0.0451
((9)	0.25- 0.20- 0.15- 88 0.10- 0.04-		12.5 17		27.5 32.5	40.0	
				Z (mm)			








Date of measurement: 14/3/2022


A. Experimental conditions.

7 ti =/tpo::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::			
Area Scan	dx=15mm dy=15mm, h= 5.00 mm		
<u>ZoomScan</u>	5x5x7,dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm		
<u>Phantom</u>	Validation plane		
Device Position	<u>Body</u>		
<u>Band</u>	LTE band 4		
<u>Channels</u>	<u>Middle</u>		
<u>Signal</u>	LTE (Crest factor: 1.0)		

B. SAR Measurement Results

The state of the s	
Frequency (MHz)	1732.500000
Relative permittivity (real part)	39.385113
Relative permittivity (imaginary part)	13.952116
Conductivity (S/m)	1.342891
Variation (%)	-0.930000







VOLUME SAR

Maximum location: X=-23.00, Y=3.00 SAR Peak: 0.81 W/kg

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.318419
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.522893












Date of measurement: 4/3/2022


A. Experimental conditions.

Area Scan	dx=15mm dy=15mm, h= 5.00 mm	
<u>ZoomScan</u>	5x5x7,dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm	
<u>Phantom</u>	<u>Left head</u>	
Device Position	<u>Cheek</u>	
<u>Band</u>	<u>LTE band 5</u>	
<u>Channels</u>	<u>Middle</u>	
Signal	LTE (Crest factor: 1.0)	

B. SAR Measurement Results

7 11 1 11 10 10 10 11 10 11 10 10 11 10 10		
Frequency (MHz)	836.500000	
Relative permittivity (real part)	42.391518	
Relative permittivity (imaginary part)	19.955225	
Conductivity (S/m)	0.927364	
Variation (%)	0.550000	





VOLUME SAR


Maximum location: X=-51.00, Y=-36.00 SAR Peak: 0.30 W/kg

SAR 10g (W/Kg) 0.179117 SAR 1g (W/Kg) 0.238555





Z (mm)	0.00	4.00	9.00	14.00	19.00	24.00	29.00
SAR (W/Kg)	0.2845	0.2383	0.1936	0.1548	0.1288	0.1033	0.0844
	0. 28 - 0. 25 -						
	. 0. 20 - (%/,kg) 20. 15 -						
	0. 10 - 0. 07 - 0		12.5 17	.5 22.5 2	27.5 32.5	40.0	
				Z (mm)			








Date of measurement: 4/3/2022


A. Experimental conditions.

Area Scan	dx=15mm dy=15mm, h= 5.00 mm	
<u>ZoomScan</u>	5x5x7,dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm	
<u>Phantom</u>	Validation plane	
<u>Device Position</u>	Body	
<u>Band</u>	LTE band 5	
<u>Channels</u>	<u>Middle</u>	
<u>Signal</u>	LTE (Crest factor: 1.0)	

B. SAR Measurement Results

7 11 11 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 1		
Frequency (MHz)	836.500000	
Relative permittivity (real part)	42.391518	
Relative permittivity (imaginary part)	19.955225	
Conductivity (S/m)	0.927364	
Variation (%)	-0.580000	






VOLUME SAR


Maximum location: X=-12.00, Y=-12.00

SAR Peak: 0.34 W/kg

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.125125
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.218057












Date of measurement: 3/3/2022


A. Experimental conditions.

- 11 = 21 p 0 1 1 1 1 0		
Area Scan	dx=12mm dy=12mm, h= 5.00 mm	
<u>ZoomScan</u>	7x7x7,dx=5mm dy=5mm dz=5mm	
<u>Phantom</u>	<u>Left head</u>	
Device Position	<u>Cheek</u>	
<u>Band</u>	LTE band 7	
<u>Channels</u>	<u>Middle</u>	
<u>Signal</u>	LTE (Crest factor: 1.0)	

B. SAR Measurement Results

Frequency (MHz)	2535.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	39.082504
Relative permittivity (imaginary part)	13.909350
Conductivity (S/m)	1.958900
Variation (%)	1.530000





VOLUME SAR

Maximum location: X=-48.00, Y=-48.00


SAR Peak: 0.14 W/kg

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.050954
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.086235





Z (mm) 0.00 4.00 9.00 14.00 19.00 24.00 29.00 0.0390 SAR 0.1396 0.0883 0.0510 0.0267 0.0181 0.0216 (W/Kg) 0.14 0.12-0.10-₩ 0.06-0.04-0.02-17.5 22.5 27.5 40.0 0.02.55.07.5 12.5 Z (mm)








Date of measurement: 3/3/2022


A. Experimental conditions.

Area Scan	dx=12mm dy=12mm, h= 5.00 mm	
<u>ZoomScan</u>	7x7x7,dx=5mm dy=5mm dz=5mm	
<u>Phantom</u>	Validation plane	
Device Position	Body	
<u>Band</u>	LTE band 7	
<u>Channels</u>	<u>Middle</u>	
<u>Signal</u>	LTE (Crest factor: 1.0)	

B. SAR Measurement Results

Frequency (MHz)	2535.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	39.082504
Relative permittivity (imaginary part)	13.909350
Conductivity (S/m)	1.958900
Variation (%)	-1.300000






VOLUME SAR


Maximum location: X=-5.00, Y=-12.00 SAR Peak: 0.73 W/kg

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.194774
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.418118














Date of measurement: 10/3/2022


A. Experimental conditions.

<u>Area Scan</u>	dx=15mm dy=15mm, h= 5.00 mm
<u>ZoomScan</u>	5x5x7,dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm
<u>Phantom</u>	<u>Left head</u>
Device Position	<u>Cheek</u>
<u>Band</u>	LTE band 25
<u>Channels</u>	<u>Middle</u>
<u>Signal</u>	LTE (Crest factor: 1.0)

B. SAR Measurement Results

Frequency (MHz)	1882.500000
Relative permittivity (real part)	38.528736
Relative permittivity (imaginary part)	13.912146
Conductivity (S/m)	1.454592
Variation (%)	-3.850000





VOLUME SAR

Maximum location: X=-52.00, Y=-51.00


SAR Peak: 0.18 W/kg

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.081120
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.128029





Z (mm)	0.00	4.00	9.00	14.00	19.00	24.00	29.00
SAR	0.1690	0.1311	0.0945	0.0650	0.0476	0.0339	0.0271
(W/Kg)							
	0.17-						
	0.14-						
	0.12- ≱ € 0.10-	+					
	≥ 0.10-						
	뚫 0.08-		$\overline{}$				
	0.06-		+		++++		
	0. 04 - 0. 02 -						
		02.55.07.5			27.5 32.5	40.0	
				Z (mm)			








Date of measurement: 10/3/2022


A. Experimental conditions.

- 11 = 21 p 0 1 1 1 1 0		
Area Scan	dx=15mm dy=15mm, h= 5.00 mm	
<u>ZoomScan</u>	5x5x7,dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm	
<u>Phantom</u>	Validation plane	
Device Position	Body	
<u>Band</u>	LTE band 25	
<u>Channels</u>	<u>Middle</u>	
<u>Signal</u>	LTE (Crest factor: 1.0)	

B. SAR Measurement Results

Alt Mododiciliont Resource	
Frequency (MHz)	1882.500000
Relative permittivity (real part)	38.528736
Relative permittivity (imaginary part)	13.912146
Conductivity (S/m)	1.454592
Variation (%)	-1.640000





VOLUME SAR

Maximum location: X=-10.00, Y=-11.00


SAR Peak: 0.63 W/kg

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.234073	
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.407463	





Z (mm)	0.00	4.00	9.00	14.00	19.00	24.00	29.00
SAR (W/Kg)	0.5886	0.4113	0.2543	0.1489	0.0915	0.0614	0.0430
	0.6- 0.5 0.4 0.3 0.3						
	0. 1 0. 0 - 0.	02.55.07.5	12.5 17.	5 22.5 2 Z (mm)	27.5 32.5	40.0	








Date of measurement: 4/3/2022


A. Experimental conditions.

<u> </u>	
Area Scan	<u>dx=15mm dy=15mm, h= 5.00 mm</u>
<u>ZoomScan</u>	5x5x7,dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm
<u>Phantom</u>	<u>Left head</u>
Device Position	<u>Cheek</u>
<u>Band</u>	LTE band 26A
<u>Channels</u>	<u>Middle</u>
Signal	LTE (Crest factor: 1.0)

B. SAR Measurement Results

Frequency (MHz)	819.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	42.658167
Relative permittivity (imaginary part)	19.939025
Conductivity (S/m)	0.907226
Variation (%)	1.920000





VOLUME SAR

Maximum location: X=-51.00, Y=-33.00


SAR Peak: 0.40 W/kg

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.247105
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.328816





Z (mm)	0.00	4.00	9.00	14.00	19.00	24.00	29.00
SAR (W/Ka)	0.4581	0.3347	0.2376	0.2169	0.1425	0.1375	0.0918
(W/Kg)	0. 46 - 0. 40 - 0. 35 - 0. 30 - 0. 25 - 0. 20 -						
	0. 15 - 0. 09 - 0			.5 22.5 2 Z (mm)	27.5 32.5	40.0	








Date of measurement: 4/3/2022


A. Experimental conditions.

<u>Area Scan</u>	dx=15mm dy=15mm, h= 5.00 mm
<u>ZoomScan</u>	5x5x7,dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm
<u>Phantom</u>	<u>Validation plane</u>
Device Position	Body
<u>Band</u>	LTE band 26A
<u>Channels</u>	<u>Middle</u>
<u>Signal</u>	LTE (Crest factor: 1.0)

B. SAR Measurement Results

Art Modern of the Art and Art			
Frequency (MHz)	819.000000		
Relative permittivity (real part)	42.658167		
Relative permittivity (imaginary part)	19.939025		
Conductivity (S/m)	0.907226		
Variation (%)	-0.320000		





VOLUME SAR


Maximum location: X=0.00, Y=23.00 SAR Peak: 0.38 W/kg

3	
SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.223860
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.301278





Z (mm) 0.00 4.00 9.00 14.00 19.00 24.00 29.00 0.3791 SAR 0.3025 0.2357 0.1850 0.1456 0.1141 0.0831 (W/Kg) 0.38 0.35-0.30 SAR (#/kg) 0.20 0.15 0.10-0.07-32.5 0.02.55.07.5 12.5 17.5 22.5 27.5 40.0 Z (mm)








Date of measurement: 4/3/2022


A. Experimental conditions.

<u>Area Scan</u>	dx=15mm dy=15mm, h= 5.00 mm
<u>ZoomScan</u>	5x5x7,dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm
<u>Phantom</u>	<u>Left head</u>
<u>Device Position</u>	<u>Cheek</u>
<u>Band</u>	LTE band 26B
<u>Channels</u>	<u>Middle</u>
<u>Signal</u>	LTE (Crest factor: 1.0)

B. SAR Measurement Results

WY MICHOGRAPHIC TYCOCATE			
Frequency (MHz)	836.500000		
Relative permittivity (real part)	42.404467		
Relative permittivity (imaginary part)	19.948525		
Conductivity (S/m)	0.927052		
Variation (%)	-0.660000		





VOLUME SAR

Maximum location: X=-51.00, Y=-35.00


SAR Peak: 0.28 W/kg

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.167017
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.221596





Z (mm) 0.00 4.00 9.00 14.00 19.00 24.00 29.00 SAR 0.2657 0.1813 0.1473 0.1227 0.0809 0.2221 0.0997 (W/Kg) 0.2660.225 0.2000.175 0.150 0.125 0.100 0.068-40.0 12.5 17.5 22.5 27.5 32.5 0.02.55.07.5 Z (mm)








Date of measurement: 4/3/2022


A. Experimental conditions.

<u>Area Scan</u>	dx=15mm dy=15mm, h= 5.00 mm
<u>ZoomScan</u>	5x5x7,dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm
<u>Phantom</u>	Validation plane
Device Position	Body
<u>Band</u>	LTE band 26B
<u>Channels</u>	<u>Middle</u>
<u>Signal</u>	LTE (Crest factor: 1.0)

B. SAR Measurement Results

The modern of the first transfer of the firs			
Frequency (MHz)	836.500000		
Relative permittivity (real part)	42.404467		
Relative permittivity (imaginary part)	19.948525		
Conductivity (S/m)	0.927052		
Variation (%)	0.520000		





Maximum location: X=-12.00, Y=-12.00


SAR Peak: 0.31 W/kg

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.110046
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.192377





Z (mm) 0.00 4.00 9.00 14.00 19.00 24.00 29.00 SAR 0.2936 0.1911 0.1128 0.0737 0.0491 0.0355 0.0266 (W/Kg) 0.29 0.25 0.20. NAR 0.15. 0.10. 0.10 0.05-0.02-12.5 40.0 0.02.55.07.5 17.5 22.5 27.5 Z (mm)







14. Appendix D. Calibration Certificate

Table of contents	
E Field Probe - SN 08/16 EPGO287	
835 MHz Dipole - SN 03/15 DIP 0G835-347	
1800 MHz Dipole - SN 03/15 DIP 1G800-349	
1900 MHz Dipole - SN 03/15 DIP 1G900-350	
2450 MHz Dipole - SN 03/15 DIP 2G450-352	
2600 MHz Dipole - SN 03/15 DIP 2G600-356	
5000-6000 MHz Dipole - SN 13/14 WGA 33	
Extended Calibration Certificate	





COMOSAR E-Field Probe Calibration Report

Ref: ACR.60.1.21.MVGB.A

SHENZHEN NTEK TESTING TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD.

BUILDING E, FENDA SCIENCE PARK, SANWEI COMMUNITY, XIXIANG STREET, BAO'AN DISTRICT, SHENZHEN GUANGDONG, CHINA MVG COMOSAR DOSIMETRIC E-FIELD PROBE

SERIAL NO.: SN 08/16 EPGO287

Calibrated at MVG

Z.I. de la pointe du diable Technopôle Brest Iroise – 295 avenue Alexis de Rochon 29280 PLOUZANE - FRANCE

Calibration date: 02/01/2022



Accreditations #2-6789 and #2-6814 Scope available on www.cofrac.fr

Summary:

This document presents the method and results from an accredited COMOSAR E-Field Probe calibration performed at MVG, using the CALIPROBE test bench, for use with a MVG COMOSAR system only. The test results covered by accreditation are traceable to the International System of Units (SI).









Ref: ACR.60.1.21.MVGB.A

	Name	Function	Date	Signature
Prepared by :	Jérôme Luc	Technical Manager	2/1/2022	JES
Checked by :	Jérôme Luc	Technical Manager	2/1/2022	J=3
Approved by :	Yann Toutain	Laboratory Director	2/1/2022	Gann Toutain

Mode d'emplos 2022.02.0 1 10:07:13 +01'00'

PHILIPS

	Customer Name
	SHENZHEN NTEK
Distribution:	TESTING
Distribution .	TECHNOLOGY
	CO., LTD.

Issue	Name	Date	Modifications
A	Jérôme Luc	2/1/2022	Initial release







Ref: ACR.60.1.21.MVGB.A

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	Devi	ce Under 1 est	
2	Prod	uct Description4	
	2.1	General Information	
3	Mea	surement Method4	
	3.1	Linearity	
	3.2	Sensitivity	
	3.3	Lower Detection Limit	
	3.4	Isotropy	
	3.1	Boundary Effect	4
4	Mea	surement Uncertainty6	
5	Calil	oration Measurement Results	
	5.1	Sensitivity in air	(
	5.2	Linearity	
	5.3	Sensitivity in liquid	
	5.4	Isotropy	
6	List	of Equipment10	







Ref: ACR.60.1.21.MVGB.A

1 DEVICE UNDER TEST

Device Under Test		
Device Type	COMOSAR DOSIMETRIC E FIELD PROBE	
Manufacturer	MVG	
Model	SSE2	
Serial Number	SN 08/16 EPGO287	
Product Condition (new / used)	Used	
Frequency Range of Probe	0.15 GHz-6GHz	
Resistance of Three Dipoles at Connector	Dipole 1: R1=0.211 MΩ	
	Dipole 2: R2=0.199 MΩ	
	Dipole 3: R3=0.199 MΩ	

2 PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

2.1 GENERAL INFORMATION

MVG's COMOSAR E field Probes are built in accordance to the IEEE 1528, FCC KDB865664 D01, CENELEC EN62209 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards.



Figure 1 – MVG COMOSAR Dosimetric E field Dipole

Probe Length	330 mm
Length of Individual Dipoles	2 mm
Maximum external diameter	8 mm
Probe Tip External Diameter	2.5 mm
Distance between dipoles / probe extremity	1 mm

3 MEASUREMENT METHOD

The IEEE 1528, FCC KDB865664 D01, CENELEC EN62209 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards provide recommended practices for the probe calibrations, including the performance characteristics of interest and methods by which to assess their affect. All calibrations / measurements performed meet the fore mentioned standards.

3.1 LINEARITY

The evaluation of the linearity was done in free space using the waveguide, performing a power sweep to cover the SAR range 0.01W/kg to 100W/kg.

Page: 4/10







Ref: ACR.60.1.21.MVGB.A

3.2 SENSITIVITY

The sensitivity factors of the three dipoles were determined using a two step calibration method (air and tissue simulating liquid) using waveguides as outlined in the standards.

3.3 LOWER DETECTION LIMIT

The lower detection limit was assessed using the same measurement set up as used for the linearity measurement. The required lower detection limit is 10 mW/kg.

3.4 ISOTROPY

The axial isotropy was evaluated by exposing the probe to a reference wave from a standard dipole with the dipole mounted under the flat phantom in the test configuration suggested for system validations and checks. The probe was rotated along its main axis from 0 to 360 degrees in 15-degree steps. The hemispherical isotropy is determined by inserting the probe in a thin plastic box filled with tissue-equivalent liquid, with the plastic box illuminated with the fields from a half wave dipole. The dipole is rotated about its axis $(0^{\circ}-180^{\circ})$ in 15° increments. At each step the probe is rotated about its axis $(0^{\circ}-360^{\circ})$.

3.1 BOUNDARY EFFECT

The boundary effect is defined as the deviation between the SAR measured data and the expected exponential decay in the liquid when the probe is oriented normal to the interface. To evaluate this effect, the liquid filled flat phantom is exposed to fields from either a reference dipole or waveguide. With the probe normal to the phantom surface, the peak spatial average SAR is measured and compared to the analytical value at the surface.

The boundary effect uncertainty can be estimated according to the following uncertainty approximation formula based on linear and exponential extrapolations between the surface and $d_{\rm be}$ + $d_{\rm step}$ along lines that are approximately normal to the surface:

$$\mathrm{SAR}_{\mathrm{uncertainty}} \left[\%\right] = \delta \mathrm{SAR}_{\mathrm{be}} \, \frac{\left(d_{\mathrm{be}} + d_{\mathrm{step}}\right)^2}{2d_{\mathrm{step}}} \frac{\left(e^{-d_{\mathrm{be}}/(\delta R)}\right)}{\delta/2} \quad \text{for } \left(d_{\mathrm{be}} + d_{\mathrm{step}}\right) < 10 \; \mathrm{mm}$$

where

SAR_{uncertainty} is the uncertainty in percent of the probe boundary effect

dbe is the distance between the surface and the closest zoom-scan measurement

point, in millimetre

 $\Delta_{ ext{step}}$ is the separation distance between the first and second measurement points that

are closest to the phantom surface, in millimetre, assuming the boundary effect

at the second location is negligible

 δ is the minimum penetration depth in millimetres of the head tissue-equivalent

liquids defined in this standard, i.e., $\delta \approx 14$ mm at 3 GHz;

△SAR_{be} in percent of SAR is the deviation between the measured SAR value, at the

distance d_{be} from the boundary, and the analytical SAR value.









Ref: ACR.60.1.21.MVGB.A

The measured worst case boundary effect SARuncertainty[%] for scanning distances larger than 4mm is 1.0% Limit ,2%).

4 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

The guidelines outlined in the IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C, CENELEC EN50361 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards were followed to generate the measurement uncertainty associated with an E-field probe calibration using the waveguide technique. All uncertainties listed below represent an expanded uncertainty expressed at approximately the 95% confidence level using a coverage factor of k=2, traceable to the Internationally Accepted Guides to Measurement Uncertainty.

Uncertainty analysis of the probe calibration in waveguide					
ERROR SOURCES	Uncertainty value (%)	Probability Distribution	Divisor	ci	Standard Uncertainty (%)
Expanded uncertainty 95 % confidence level k = 2					14 %

5 CALIBRATION MEASUREMENT RESULTS

Calibration Parameters	
Liquid Temperature	20 +/- 1 °C
Lab Temperature	20 +/- 1 °C
Lab Humidity	30-70 %

5.1 SENSITIVITY IN AIR

		Normz dipole
$1 (\mu V/(V/m)^2)$	$2 (\mu V/(V/m)^2)$	$3 (\mu V/(V/m)^2)$
0.72	0.66	0.77

DCP dipole 1	DCP dipole 2	DCP dipole 3
(mV)	(mV)	(mV)
107	110	110

Calibration curves ei=f(V) (i=1,2,3) allow to obtain E-field value using the formula:

$$E = \sqrt{E_1^2 + E_2^2 + E_3^2}$$

Page: 6/10






Report No.: STR220224005008E



COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.60.1.21.MVGB.A






Dipole 1
Dipole 2
Dipole 3

5.2 <u>LINEARITY</u>

Linearity



Linearity:+/-1.90% (+/-0.08dB)









COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.60.1.21.MVGB.A

SENSITIVITY IN LIQUID

Frequency (MHz +/-	<u>ConvF</u>
100MHz)	
750	1.49
835	1.50
900	1.61
1800	1.73
1900	1.91
2000	1.97
2300	1.92
2450	1.98
2600	1.87
3300	1.79
3500	1.85
3700	1.79
3900	2.07
4200	2.21
4600	2.25
4900	2.05
5200	1.80
5400	2.05
5600	2.16
5800	2.07
	(MHz +/- 100MHz) 750 835 900 1800 1900 2000 2300 2450 2600 3300 3500 3700 3900 4200 4600 4900 5200 5400

LOWER DETECTION LIMIT: 8mW/kg





Report No.: STR220224005008E




COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.60.1.21.MVGB.A

5.4 <u>ISOTROPY</u>

HL1800 MHz



Isotropy:+/-0.24% (+/-0.01dB)









COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.60.1.21.MVGB.A

6 LIST OF EQUIPMENT

Equipment Summary Sheet				
Equipment Manufacturer / Identification No.		Current Calibration Date	Next Calibration Date	
Flat Phantom	MVG	SN-20/09-SAM71	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
COMOSAR Test Bench	Version 3	NA	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
Network Analyzer	Rohde & Schwarz ZVM	100203	05/2019	05/2022
Network Analyzer – Calibration kit	Rohde & Schwarz ZV-Z235	101223	05/2019	05/2022
Multimeter	Keithley 2000	1160271	02/2020	02/2023
Signal Generator	Rohde & Schwarz SMB	106589	04/2019	04/2022
Amplifier	Aethercomm	SN 046	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.
Power Meter	NI-USB 5680	170100013	05/2019	05/2022
Directional Coupler	Narda 4216-20	01386	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.
Waveguide	Mega Industries	069Y7-158-13-712	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
Waveguide Transition	Mega Industries	069Y7-158-13-701	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
Waveguide Termination	Mega Industries	069Y7-158-13-701	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
Temperature / Humidity Sensor	Testo 184 H1	44220687	05/2020	05/2023





SAR Reference Dipole Calibration Report

Ref: ACR.60.3.21.MVGB.A

SHENZHEN NTEK TESTING TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD.

BUILDING E, FENDA SCIENCE PARK, SANWEI COMMUNITY, XIXIANG STREET, BAO'AN DISTRICT, SHENZHEN GUANGDONG, CHINA MVG COMOSAR REFERENCE DIPOLE

> FREQUENCY: 835 MHZ SERIAL NO.: SN 03/15 DIP0G835-347

Calibrated at MVG

Z.I. de la pointe du diable Technopôle Brest Iroise – 295 avenue Alexis de Rochon 29280 PLOUZANE - FRANCE

Calibration date: 03/01/2021



Accreditations #2-6789 and #2-6814 Scope available on www.cofrac.fr

Summary:

This document presents the method and results from an accredited SAR reference dipole calibration performed at MVG, using the COMOSAR test bench. The test results covered by accreditation are traceable to the International System of Units (SI).









Ref: ACR.60.3.21.MVGB.A

	Name	Function	Date	Signature
Prepared by:	Jérôme Luc	Technical Manager	3/1/2021	JES
Checked by :	Jérôme Luc	Technical Manager	3/1/2021	JES
Approved by :	Yann Toutain	Laboratory Director	3/1/2021	Gann Toutain

Mode d'erroid 2021.03.0 1 13:09:12 +01'00'

	Customer Name
Distribution :	SHENZHEN NTEK
	TESTING
	TECHNOLOGY
	CO., LTD.

Issue	Name	Date	Modifications
A	Jérôme Luc	3/1/2021	Initial release







Ref: ACR.60.3.21.MVGB.A

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	Introduction		
2	Dev	rice Under Test	
3	Pro	duct Description	
	3.1	General Information	4
4	Mea	asurement Method5	
	4.1	Return Loss Requirements	5
	4.2	Mechanical Requirements	5
5	Mea	asurement Uncertainty5	
	5.1	Return Loss	5
	5.2	Dimension Measurement	5
	5.3	Validation Measurement	5
6	Cali	ibration Measurement Results 6	
	6.1	Return Loss and Impedance	6
	6.2	Mechanical Dimensions	6
7	Val	idation measurement	
	7.1	Measurement Condition	7
	7.2	Head Liquid Measurement	7
	7.3	Measurement Result	
8	List	of Equipment	







Ref: ACR.60.3.21.MVGB.A

1 INTRODUCTION

This document contains a summary of the requirements set forth by the IEEE 1528, FCC KDBs and CEI/IEC 62209 standards for reference dipoles used for SAR measurement system validations and the measurements that were performed to verify that the product complies with the fore mentioned standards.

2 DEVICE UNDER TEST

Device Under Test		
Device Type	COMOSAR 835 MHz REFERENCE DIPOLE	
Manufacturer	MVG	
Model	SID835	
Serial Number	SN 03/15 DIP0G835-347	
Product Condition (new / used)	Used	

3 PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

3.1 GENERAL INFORMATION

MVG's COMOSAR Validation Dipoles are built in accordance to the IEEE 1528, FCC KDBs and CEI/IEC 62209 standards. The product is designed for use with the COMOSAR test bench only.



Figure 1 - MVG COMOSAR Validation Dipole









Ref: ACR.60.3.21.MVGB.A

4 MEASUREMENT METHOD

The IEEE 1528, FCC KDBs and CEI/IEC 62209 standards provide requirements for reference dipoles used for system validation measurements. The following measurements were performed to verify that the product complies with the fore mentioned standards.

4.1 RETURN LOSS REQUIREMENTS

The dipole used for SAR system validation measurements and checks must have a return loss of -20 dB or better. The return loss measurement shall be performed against a liquid filled flat phantom, with the phantom constructed as outlined in the fore mentioned standards. A direct method is used with a network analyser and its calibration kit, both with a valid ISO17025 calibration.

4.2 MECHANICAL REQUIREMENTS

The IEEE Std. 1528 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards specify the mechanical components and dimensions of the validation dipoles, with the dimension's frequency and phantom shell thickness dependent. The COMOSAR test bench employs a 2 mm phantom shell thickness therefore the dipoles sold for use with the COMOSAR test bench comply with the requirements set forth for a 2 mm phantom shell thickness. A direct method is used with a ISO17025 calibrated caliper.

5 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

All uncertainties listed below represent an expanded uncertainty expressed at approximately the 95% confidence level using a coverage factor of k=2, traceable to the Internationally Accepted Guides to Measurement Uncertainty.

5.1 RETURN LOSS

The following uncertainties apply to the return loss measurement:

Frequency band	Expanded Uncertainty on Return Loss	
400-6000MHz	0.08 LIN	

5.2 DIMENSION MEASUREMENT

The following uncertainties apply to the dimension measurements:

Length (mm)	Expanded Uncertainty on Length	
0 - 300	0.20 mm	
300 - 450	0.44 mm	

5.3 VALIDATION MEASUREMENT


The guidelines outlined in the IEEE 1528, FCC KDBs, CENELEC EN50361 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards were followed to generate the measurement uncertainty for validation measurements.

Scan Volume	Expanded Uncertainty
-------------	----------------------

Page: 5/10










Ref: ACR.60.3.21.MVGB.A

1 g	19 % (SAR)
10 g	19 % (SAR)

6 CALIBRATION MEASUREMENT RESULTS

6.1 RETURN LOSS AND IMPEDANCE



Frequency (MHz)	Return Loss (dB)	Requirement (dB)	Impedance
835	-25.44	-20	54.4 Ω - 2.9 jΩ

6.2 MECHANICAL DIMENSIONS

Frequency MHz	Ln	nm	h m	m	d n	nm
	required	measured	required	measured	required	measured
300	420.0 ±1 %.		250.0 ±1 %.		6.35 ±1 %.	
450	290.0 ±1 %.		166.7 ±1 %.		6.35 ±1 %.	
750	176.0 ±1 %.		100.0 ±1 %.		6.35 ±1 %.	
835	161.0 ±1 %.	-	89.8 ±1 %.	-	3.6 ±1 %.	-
900	149.0 ±1 %.		83.3 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1450	89.1 ±1 %.		51.7 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1500	80.5 ±1 %.		50.0 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1640	79.0 ±1 %.		45.7 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1750	75.2 ±1 %.		42.9 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1800	72.0 ±1 %.		41.7 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1900	68.0 ±1 %.		39.5 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1950	66.3 ±1 %.		38.5 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
2000	64.5 ±1 %.		37.5 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
2100	61.0 ±1 %.		35.7 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
2300	55.5 ±1 %.		32.6 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
2450	51.5 ±1 %.		30.4 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	

Page: 6/10









Ref: ACR.60.3.21.MVGB.A

			-		
2600	48.5 ±1 %.	28.8 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
3000	41.5 ±1 %.	25.0 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
3500	37.0±1 %.	26.4 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
3700	34.7±1 %.	26.4 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	

7 VALIDATION MEASUREMENT

The IEEE Std. 1528, FCC KDBs and CEI/IEC 62209 standards state that the system validation measurements must be performed using a reference dipole meeting the fore mentioned return loss and mechanical dimension requirements. The validation measurement must be performed against a liquid filled flat phantom, with the phantom constructed as outlined in the fore mentioned standards. Per the standards, the dipole shall be positioned below the bottom of the phantom, with the dipole length centered and parallel to the longest dimension of the flat phantom, with the top surface of the dipole at the described distance from the bottom surface of the phantom.

7.1 MEASUREMENT CONDITION

Software	OPENSAR V5
Phantom	SN 13/09 SAM68
Probe	SN 41/18 EPGO333
Liquid	Head Liquid Values: eps': 40.6 sigma: 0.89
Distance between dipole center and liquid	15.0 mm
Area scan resolution	dx=8mm/dy=8mm
Zoon Scan Resolution	dx=8mm/dy=8mm/dz=5mm
Frequency	835835 MHz
Input power	20 dBm
Liquid Temperature	20 +/- 1 °C
Lab Temperature	20 +/- 1 °C
Lab Humidity	30-70 %

7.2 HEAD LIQUID MEASUREMENT

Frequency MHz	Relative per	Relative permittivity (ε,′)		ity (σ) S/m
	required	measured	required	measured
300	45.3 ±10 %		0.87 ±10 %	
450	43.5 ±10 %		0.87 ±10 %	
750	41.9 ±10 %		0.89 ±10 %	
835	41.5 ±10 %	40.6	0.90 ±10 %	0.89
900	41.5 ±10 %		0.97 ±10 %	
1450	40.5 ±10 %		1.20 ±10 %	
1500	40.4 ±10 %		1.23 ±10 %	
1640	40.2 ±10 %		1.31 ±10 %	
1750	40.1 ±10 %		1.37 ±10 %	
1800	40.0 ±10 %		1.40 ±10 %	
1900	40.0 ±10 %		1.40 ±10 %	
1950	40.0 ±10 %		1.40 ±10 %	
2000	40.0 ±10 %		1.40 ±10 %	

Page: 7/10









Ref: ACR.60.3.21.MVGB.A

2100	39.8 ±10 %	1.49 ±10 %	
2300	39.5 ±10 %	1.67 ±10 %	
2450	39.2 ±10 %	1.80 ±10 %	
2600	39.0 ±10 %	1.96 ±10 %	
3000	38.5 ±10 %	2.40 ±10 %	
3500	37.9 ±10 %	2.91 ±10 %	

7.3 MEASUREMENT RESULT

The IEEE Std. 1528 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards state that the system validation measurements should produce the SAR values shown below (for phantom thickness of 2 mm), within the uncertainty for the system validation. All SAR values are normalized to 1 W forward power. In bracket, the measured SAR is given with the used input power.

Frequency MHz	1 g SAR (W/kg/W)		10 g SAR	(W/kg/W)
	required	measured	required	measured
300	2.85		1.94	
450	4.58		3.06	
750	8.49		5.55	
835	9.56	9.84 (0.98)	6.22	6.22 (0.62)
900	10.9		6.99	
1450	29		16	
1500	30.5		16.8	
1640	34.2		18.4	
1750	36.4		19.3	
1800	38.4		20.1	
1900	39.7		20.5	
1950	40.5		20.9	
2000	41.1		21.1	
2100	43.6		21.9	
2300	48.7		23.3	
2450	52.4		24	
2600	55.3		24.6	
3000	63.8		25.7	
3500	67.1		25	






Report No.: STR220224005008E




SAR REFERENCE DIPOLE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.60.3.21.MVGB.A











Ref: ACR.60.3.21.MVGB.A

8 LIST OF EQUIPMENT

	Equipment Summary Sheet				
Equipment Description	Manufacturer / Model	Identification No.	Current Calibration Date	Next Calibration Date	
SAM Phantom	MVG	SN-13/09-SAM68		Validated. No cal required.	
COMOSAR Test Bench	Version 3	NA		Validated. No cal required.	
Network Analyzer	Rohde & Schwarz ZVM	100203	05/2019	05/2022	
Network Analyzer – Calibration kit	Rohde & Schwarz ZV-Z235	101223	05/2019	05/2022	
Calipers	Mitutoyo	SN 0009732	10/2019	10/2022	
Reference Probe	MVG	EPGO333 SN 41/18	05/2020	05/2021	
Multimeter	Keithley 2000	1160271	02/2020	02/2023	
Signal Generator	Rohde & Schwarz SMB	106589	04/2019	04/2022	
Amplifier	Aethercomm	SN 046	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	
Power Meter	NI-USB 5680	170100013	05/2019	05/2022	
Directional Coupler	Narda 4216-20	01386	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	
Temperature / Humidity Sensor	Testo 184 H1	44220687	05/2020	05/2023	





SAR Reference Dipole Calibration Report

Ref: ACR.60.5.21.MVGB.A

SHENZHEN NTEK TESTING TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD.

BUILDING E, FENDA SCIENCE PARK, SANWEI COMMUNITY, XIXIANG STREET, BAO'AN DISTRICT, SHENZHEN GUANGDONG, CHINA MVG COMOSAR REFERENCE DIPOLE

FREQUENCY: 1800 MHZ

SERIAL NO.: SN 03/15 DIP1G800-349

Calibrated at MVG

Z.I. de la pointe du diable Technopôle Brest Iroise – 295 avenue Alexis de Rochon 29280 PLOUZANE - FRANCE

Calibration date: 03/01/2021



Accreditations #2-6789 and #2-6814 Scope available on www.cofrac.fr

Summary:

This document presents the method and results from an accredited SAR reference dipole calibration performed at MVG, using the COMOSAR test bench. The test results covered by accreditation are traceable to the International System of Units (SI).









Ref: ACR.60.5.21.MVGB.A

	Name	Function	Date	Signature
Prepared by :	Jérôme Luc	Technical Manager	3/1/2021	JES
Checked by :	Jérôme Luc	Technical Manager	3/1/2021	JES
Approved by :	Yann Toutain	Laboratory Director	3/1/2021	Gann Toutain

2021.03.0 1 13:10:48 +01'00'

Customer Name SHENZHEN NTEK **TESTING** Distribution: TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD.

Issue	Name	Date	Modifications
A	Jérôme Luc	3/1/2021	Initial release







Ref: ACR.60.5.21.MVGB.A

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	Intro	oduction4	
2	Dev	ice Under Test	
3	Prod	luct Description	
	3.1	General Information	4
4		surement Method5	
	4.1	Return Loss Requirements	5
	4.2	Mechanical Requirements	5
5	Mea	surement Uncertainty5	
	5.1	Return Loss	5
	5.2	Dimension Measurement	5
	5.3	Validation Measurement	5
6	Cali	bration Measurement Results	
	6.1	Return Loss and Impedance	6
	6.2	Mechanical Dimensions	6
7	Vali	dation measurement	
	7.1	Measurement Condition	7
	7.2	Head Liquid Measurement	
	7.3	Measurement Result	8
8	List	of Equipment	







Ref: ACR.60.5.21.MVGB.A

1 INTRODUCTION

This document contains a summary of the requirements set forth by the IEEE 1528, FCC KDBs and CEI/IEC 62209 standards for reference dipoles used for SAR measurement system validations and the measurements that were performed to verify that the product complies with the fore mentioned standards.

2 DEVICE UNDER TEST

Device Under Test			
Device Type	COMOSAR 1800 MHz REFERENCE DIPOLE		
Manufacturer	MVG		
Model	SID1800		
Serial Number	SN 03/15 DIP1G800-349		
Product Condition (new / used)	Used		

3 PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

3.1 GENERAL INFORMATION

MVG's COMOSAR Validation Dipoles are built in accordance to the IEEE 1528, FCC KDBs and CEI/IEC 62209 standards. The product is designed for use with the COMOSAR test bench only.



Figure 1 - MVG COMOSAR Validation Dipole









Ref: ACR.60.5.21.MVGB.A

4 MEASUREMENT METHOD

The IEEE 1528, FCC KDBs and CEI/IEC 62209 standards provide requirements for reference dipoles used for system validation measurements. The following measurements were performed to verify that the product complies with the fore mentioned standards.

4.1 <u>RETURN LOSS REQUIREMENTS</u>

The dipole used for SAR system validation measurements and checks must have a return loss of -20 dB or better. The return loss measurement shall be performed against a liquid filled flat phantom, with the phantom constructed as outlined in the fore mentioned standards. A direct method is used with a network analyser and its calibration kit, both with a valid ISO17025 calibration.

4.2 MECHANICAL REQUIREMENTS

The IEEE Std. 1528 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards specify the mechanical components and dimensions of the validation dipoles, with the dimension's frequency and phantom shell thickness dependent. The COMOSAR test bench employs a 2 mm phantom shell thickness therefore the dipoles sold for use with the COMOSAR test bench comply with the requirements set forth for a 2 mm phantom shell thickness. A direct method is used with a ISO17025 calibrated caliper.

5 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

All uncertainties listed below represent an expanded uncertainty expressed at approximately the 95% confidence level using a coverage factor of k=2, traceable to the Internationally Accepted Guides to Measurement Uncertainty.

5.1 RETURN LOSS

The following uncertainties apply to the return loss measurement:

Frequency band	Expanded Uncertainty on Return Loss
400-6000MHz	0.08 LIN

5.2 DIMENSION MEASUREMENT

The following uncertainties apply to the dimension measurements:

Length (mm)	Expanded Uncertainty on Length
0 - 300	0.20 mm
300 - 450	0.44 mm

5.3 VALIDATION MEASUREMENT

The guidelines outlined in the IEEE 1528, FCC KDBs, CENELEC EN50361 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards were followed to generate the measurement uncertainty for validation measurements.

Scan Volume Expanded Uncertainty

Page: 5/10










Ref: ACR.60.5.21.MVGB.A

1 g	19 % (SAR)
10 g	19 % (SAR)

6 CALIBRATION MEASUREMENT RESULTS

6.1 RETURN LOSS AND IMPEDANCE



Frequency (MHz)	Return Loss (dB)	Requirement (dB)	Impedance
1800	-28.85	-20	$47.9 \Omega + 2.9 i\Omega$

6.2 MECHANICAL DIMENSIONS

Frequency MHz	Lm	nm	h m	h mm		nm
	required	measured	required	measured	required	measured
300	420.0 ±1 %.		250.0 ±1 %.		6.35 ±1 %.	
450	290.0 ±1 %.		166.7 ±1 %.		6.35 ±1 %.	
750	176.0 ±1 %.		100.0 ±1 %.		6.35 ±1 %.	
835	161.0 ±1 %.		89.8 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
900	149.0 ±1 %.		83.3 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1450	89.1 ±1 %.		51.7 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1500	80.5 ±1 %.		50.0 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1640	79.0 ±1 %.		45.7 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1750	75.2 ±1 %.		42.9 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1800	72.0 ±1 %.	-	41.7 ±1 %.	-	3.6 ±1 %.	-
1900	68.0 ±1 %.		39.5 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1950	66.3 ±1 %.		38.5 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
2000	64.5 ±1 %.		37.5 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
2100	61.0 ±1 %.		35.7 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
2300	55.5 ±1 %.		32.6 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
2450	51.5 ±1 %.		30.4 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	

Page: 6/10









Ref: ACR.60.5.21.MVGB.A

2600	48.5 ±1 %.	28.8 ±1 %.	3.6 ±1 %.	
3000	41.5 ±1 %.	25.0 ±1 %.	3.6 ±1 %.	
3500	37.0±1 %.	26.4 ±1 %.	3.6 ±1 %.	
3700	34.7±1 %.	26.4 ±1 %.	3.6 ±1 %.	

7 VALIDATION MEASUREMENT

The IEEE Std. 1528, FCC KDBs and CEI/IEC 62209 standards state that the system validation measurements must be performed using a reference dipole meeting the fore mentioned return loss and mechanical dimension requirements. The validation measurement must be performed against a liquid filled flat phantom, with the phantom constructed as outlined in the fore mentioned standards. Per the standards, the dipole shall be positioned below the bottom of the phantom, with the dipole length centered and parallel to the longest dimension of the flat phantom, with the top surface of the dipole at the described distance from the bottom surface of the phantom.

7.1 MEASUREMENT CONDITION

Software	OPENSAR V5
Phantom	SN 13/09 SAM68
Probe	SN 41/18 EPGO333
Liquid	Head Liquid Values: eps': 43.7 sigma: 1.34
Distance between dipole center and liquid	10.0 mm
Area scan resolution	dx=8mm/dy=8mm
Zoon Scan Resolution	dx=8mm/dy=8mm/dz=5mm
Frequency	18001800 MHz
Input power	20 dBm
Liquid Temperature	20 +/- 1 °C
Lab Temperature	20 +/- 1 °C
Lab Humidity	30-70 %

7.2 HEAD LIQUID MEASUREMENT

Frequency MHz	Relative permittivity (ε,΄)		Conductiv	ity (σ) S/m
	required	measured	required	measured
300	45.3 ±10 %		0.87 ±10 %	
450	43.5 ±10 %		0.87 ±10 %	
750	41.9 ±10 %		0.89 ±10 %	
835	41.5 ±10 %		0.90 ±10 %	
900	41.5 ±10 %		0.97 ±10 %	
1450	40.5 ±10 %		1.20 ±10 %	
1500	40.4 ±10 %		1.23 ±10 %	
1640	40.2 ±10 %		1.31 ±10 %	
1750	40.1 ±10 %		1.37 ±10 %	
1800	40.0 ±10 %	43.7	1.40 ±10 %	1.34
1900	40.0 ±10 %		1.40 ±10 %	
1950	40.0 ±10 %		1.40 ±10 %	
2000	40.0 ±10 %		1.40 ±10 %	

Page: 7/10









Ref: ACR.60.5.21.MVGB.A

2100	39.8 ±10 %	1.49 ±10 %	
2300	39.5 ±10 %	1.67 ±10 %	
2450	39.2 ±10 %	1.80 ±10 %	
2600	39.0 ±10 %	1.96 ±10 %	
3000	38.5 ±10 %	2.40 ±10 %	
3500	37.9 ±10 %	2.91 ±10 %	

7.3 MEASUREMENT RESULT

The IEEE Std. 1528 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards state that the system validation measurements should produce the SAR values shown below (for phantom thickness of 2 mm), within the uncertainty for the system validation. All SAR values are normalized to 1 W forward power. In bracket, the measured SAR is given with the used input power.

Frequency MHz	1 g SAR (W/kg/W)		10 g SAR	(W/kg/W)
	required	measured	required	measured
300	2.85		1.94	
450	4.58		3.06	
750	8.49		5.55	
835	9.56		6.22	
900	10.9		6.99	
1450	29		16	
1500	30.5		16.8	
1640	34.2		18.4	
1750	36.4		19.3	
1800	38.4	37.96 (3.80)	20.1	19.81 (1.98)
1900	39.7		20.5	
1950	40.5		20.9	
2000	41.1		21.1	
2100	43.6		21.9	
2300	48.7		23.3	
2450	52.4		24	
2600	55.3		24.6	
3000	63.8		25.7	
3500	67.1		25	






Report No.: STR220224005008E



SAR REFERENCE DIPOLE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.60.5.21.MVGB.A











Ref: ACR.60.5.21.MVGB.A

8 LIST OF EQUIPMENT

Equipment Summary Sheet				
Equipment Description	Manufacturer / Model	Identification No.	Current Calibration Date	Next Calibration Date
SAM Phantom	MVG	SN-13/09-SAM68	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
COMOSAR Test Bench	Version 3	NA	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
Network Analyzer	Rohde & Schwarz ZVM	100203	05/2019	05/2022
Network Analyzer – Calibration kit	Rohde & Schwarz ZV-Z235	101223	05/2019	05/2022
Calipers	Mitutoyo	SN 0009732	10/2019	10/2022
Reference Probe	MVG	EPGO333 SN 41/18	05/2020	05/2021
Multimeter	Keithley 2000	1160271	02/2020	02/2023
Signal Generator	Rohde & Schwarz SMB	106589	04/2019	04/2022
Amplifier	Aethercomm	SN 046	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.
Power Meter	NI-USB 5680	170100013	05/2019	05/2022
Directional Coupler	Narda 4216-20	01386	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.
Temperature / Humidity Sensor	Testo 184 H1	44220687	05/2020	05/2023