

6) SAR report should contain a statement of compliance to 2.1093. This was not found.

Answer ☐ Please see a statement of compliance to 2.1093 on page 2 of the SAR report.

7) Plots should show an outline of the device showing placement of the device relative to the results.

Please review/correct.

per your instructions this will be done in the future. It is very difficult to "retrofit" plots with the outline of the device.

8) It is uncertain if the Z-axis plots are for the worse case positions. Please review/confirm.

Answer ☐ Yes, we have review and confirm that the Z-axis plots are for the worst case positions.

9) Test report should define if the sample is a production unit or identical prototype (47 CFR §2.908)?

Answer: This sample is a production unit.

10) Report does not appear to document the following information:

a) Descriptions of extrapolation procedures used to estimate SAR values adjacent to phantom

surface (unreachable due to probe case and boundary effects)

b) Descriptions of within-cube interpolation procedures to get 1 mm or 2 mm SAR grid

c) Description of averaging (integration) procedures to get 1-g SAR from final interpolated grid

Values below .2W/kg are barely above the noise floor of the system and, for this case, are ~15dB below the limit. Therefore the descriptions of extrapolations, interpolations and integration(s) are not truly significant to the final compliance of this specific project. Your comments remain valid. These changes will be incorporated into future SAR projects from Quietek.

11) Test dates should normally be on each plot. It can not be determined if all data was taken on the same day or not.

Yes. Quietek will mark all plots with proper dates in the future.

Answer:

12) What was the test location. Report does not report this.

Answer: We have put the test location on the page 3 of the SAR report.