


PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.
6660 – B Dobbin Road • Columbia, MD 21045 • USA
Telephone 410.290.6652 / Fax 410.290.6654
<http://www.pctestlab.com> (email: randy@pctestlab.com)
CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE (SAR EVALUATION)

**APPLICANT NAME & ADDRESS:**

Symbol Technologies, Inc.
One Symbol Plaza MS B5
Holtsville, NY 11742-1300

DATE & LOCATION OF TESTING:

Dates of Tests: July 18-19 & August 3-10, 2005
Dates of Additional Tests: November 14-15, 2005
Test Report S/N: 0508160575-R1
Test Site: PCTEST Lab, Columbia MD

FCC ID:**H9PMC9094****APPLICANT:****SYMBOL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.****EUT Type:****Handheld Terminal****Tx/Rx Frequency:**

2402 – 2480 MHz / 2412 – 2462 MHz (CCK/OFDM)
5180 – 5320 MHz / 5745 – 5825 MHz (OFDM)
824.2 – 848.8 MHz / 1850.2 – 1909.8 MHz (GSM 850/1900)

Max. RF Output Power:

19.37 / 19.32 dBm Peak Conducted (2.4 GHz CCK/OFDM)
18.46 dBm Peak Conducted (5.8 GHz OFDM)
18.98 dBm Peak Conducted (5.2 GHz OFDM)
33.00 dBm Peak Conducted (GSM 850MHz)
30.00 dBm Peak Conducted (GSM 1900MHz)

Max. SAR Measurement:

0.082 W/kg 802.11b Body SAR; 0.063 W/kg 802.11g Body SAR;
0.146 W/kg 802.11a (5.3MHz) Body SAR;
0.147 W/kg 802.11a (5.8MHz) Body SAR;
0.382 W/kg GSM (850MHz) Body SAR; 0.417 GSM (1900MHz) Body SAR

Trade Name/Model(s):**MC9094-KKCHJEHA6WW/-SKCHJAH6WW****FCC Classification(s):**

Digital Transmission System (DTS)
Unlicensed National Information Infrastructure (NII)
Licensed Non-Broadcast Transmitter (TNB)

FCC Rule Part(s):**§2.1093; FCC/OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C [July 2001]****Application Type:****Certification****Test Device Serial No.:****identical prototype [S/N: #ALP82778, ALP83162]****Report Revision(s):****Data added to support the alternate GSM Antenna**

This wireless portable device has been shown to be capable of compliance for localized specific absorption rate (SAR) for uncontrolled environment/general population exposure limits specified in ANSI/IEEE Std. C95.1-1992 and had been tested in accordance with the measurement procedures specified in FCC/OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C (2001) and IEEE Std. 1528 - 2003. The WLAN tested for this filing has previously been certified under Symbol FCC ID: H9P2121160. The unit comes in two model types. Each type has its own body worn accessory. All were evaluated for SAR. S/N: ALP82778 was evaluated first and engineering judgments were from this unit with respect to channel and data rate worse cases.

I attest to the accuracy of data. All measurements reported herein were performed by me or were made under my supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I assume full responsibility for the completeness of these measurements and vouch for the qualifications of all persons taking them.

PCTEST certifies that no party to this application has been denied the FCC benefits pursuant to Section 5301 of the Anti-Drug Abuse Act of 1988, 21 U.S.C. 862.

Alfred Cirwithian
Vice President Engineering



Model:
SKCHJAH6WW

Model:
KKCHJEHA6WW

PCTEST™ SAR REPORT		FCC CERTIFICATION			Reviewed by: Quality Manager
SAR Filename: 0508160575-R1	Test Dates: July 18-19 * Aug. 3-10, 2005	Add. Test Dates: Nov. 14-15, 2005	EUT Type: Handheld Terminal	FCC ID: H9PMC9094	Page 1 of 34

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION / SAR DEFINITION	3
2. SAR MEASUREMENT SETUP	4
3. DASY4 E-FIELD PROBE SYSTEM	5
4. PROBE CALIBRATION PROCESS	6
5. PHANTOM & EQUIVALENT TISSUES	7
6. TEST SYSTEM SPECIFICATIONS	8
7. DOSIMETRIC ASSESSMENT & PHANTOM SPECS	9
8. DEFINITION OF REFERENCE POINTS	10
9. TEST CONFIGURATION POSITIONS	11
10. ANSI/IEEE C95.1 - 1992 RF EXPOSURE LIMITS	12
11. MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTIES 5 GHz Band	13-14
12. SAR TEST DATA SUMMARY	15
13. SYSTEM VERIFICATION	16-16
14.&15. SAR DATA SUMMARY	18-31
16. SAR TEST EQUIPMENT	32
17. CONCLUSION	33
18. REFERENCES	34

PCTEST™ SAR REPORT	FCC CERTIFICATION				Reviewed by: Quality Manager
SAR Filename: 0508160575-R1	Test Dates: July 18-19 * Aug. 3-10, 2005	Add. Test Dates: Nov. 14-15, 2005	EUT Type: Handheld Terminal	FCC ID: H9PMC9094	Page 2 of 34

1. INTRODUCTION / SAR DEFINITION

The FCC has adopted the guidelines for evaluating the environmental effects of radiofrequency radiation in ET Docket 93-62 on Aug. 6, 1996 to protect the public and workers from the potential hazards of RF emissions due to FCC-regulated portable devices.[1]

The safety limits used for the environmental evaluation measurements are based on the criteria published by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for localized specific absorption rate (SAR) in *IEEE/ANSI C95.1-1992 Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz*. (c) 1992 by the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., New York, New York 10017.[2] The measurement procedure described in *IEEE/ANSI C95.3-1992 Recommended Practice for the Measurement of Potentially Hazardous Electromagnetic Fields - RF and Microwave*[3] is used for guidance in measuring SAR due to the RF radiation exposure from the Equipment Under Test (EUT). These criteria for SAR evaluation are similar to those recommended by the National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements (NCRP) in *Biological Effects and Exposure Criteria for Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields*, NCRP Report No. 86 (c) NCRP, 1986, Bethesda, MD 20814.[6] SAR is a measure of the rate of energy absorption due to exposure to an RF transmitting source. SAR values have been related to threshold levels for potential biological hazards.

SAR Definition

Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) is defined as the time derivative (rate) of the incremental energy (dU) absorbed by (dissipated in) an incremental mass (dm) contained in a volume element (dV) of a given density (ρ). It is also defined as the rate of RF energy absorption per unit mass at a point in an absorbing body (see Fig. 1.1).

$$SAR = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{dU}{dm} \right) = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{dU}{\rho dV} \right)$$

Figure 1.1
SAR Mathematical Equation

SAR is expressed in units of Watts per Kilogram (W/kg).

$$SAR = \sigma E^2 / \rho$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma &= \text{conductivity of the tissue-simulant material (S/m)} \\ \rho &= \text{mass density of the tissue-simulant material (kg/m}^3\text{)} \\ E &= \text{Total RMS electric field strength (V/m)} \end{aligned}$$

NOTE: The primary factors that control rate of energy absorption were found to be the wavelength of the incident field in relations to the dimensions and geometry of the irradiated organism, the orientation of the organism in relation to the polarity of field vectors, the presence of reflecting surfaces, and whether conductive contact is made by the organism with a ground plane.[6]

PCTEST™ SAR REPORT	FCC CERTIFICATION			symbol	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
SAR Filename: 0508160575-R1	Test Dates: July 18-19 * Aug. 3-10, 2005	Add. Test Dates: Nov. 14-15, 2005	EUT Type: Handheld Terminal	FCC ID: H9PMC9094	Page 3 of 34

2. SAR MEASUREMENT SETUP

Robotic System

Measurements are performed using the DASY4 automated dosimetric assessment system. The DASY4 is made by Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG) in Zurich, Switzerland and consists of high precision robotics system (Staubli), robot controller, Pentium III computer, near-field probe, probe alignment sensor, and the generic twin phantom containing the brain equivalent material. The robot is a six-axis industrial robot performing precise movements to position the probe to the location (points) of maximum electromagnetic field (EMF) (see Fig. 2.1).

System Hardware

A cell controller system contains the power supply, robot controller, teach pendant (Joystick), and a remote control used to drive the robot motors. The PC consists of the Gateway Pentium 4 2.53 GHz computer with Windows XP system and SAR Measurement Software DASY4, A/D interface card, monitor, mouse, and keyboard. The Staubli Robot is connected to the cell controller to allow software manipulation of the robot. A data acquisition electronic (DAE) circuit that performs the signal amplification, signal multiplexing, AD-conversion, offset measurements, mechanical surface detection, collision detection, etc. is connected to the Electro-optical coupler (EOC). The EOC performs the conversion from the optical into digital electric signal of the DAE and transfers data to the PC plug-in card.




Figure 2.1 SAR Measurement System Setup

System Electronics

The DAE3 consists of a highly sensitive electrometer-grade preamplifier with auto-zeroing, a channel and gain-switching multiplexer, a fast 16 bit AD-converter and a command decoder and control logic unit. Transmission to the PC-card is accomplished through an optical downlink for data and status information and an optical uplink for commands and clock lines. The mechanical probe mounting device includes two different sensor systems for frontal and sidewise probe contacts. They are also used for mechanical surface detection and probe collision detection. The robot uses its own controller with a built in VME-bus computer. The system is described in detail in [7].

PCTEST™ SAR REPORT	FCC CERTIFICATION			symbol	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
SAR Filename: 0508160575-R1	Test Dates: July 18-19 * Aug. 3-10, 2005	Add. Test Dates: Nov. 14-15, 2005	EUT Type: Handheld Terminal	FCC ID: H9PMC9094	Page 4 of 34

3. DASY4 E-FIELD PROBE SYSTEM

Probe Measurement System



Figure 3.1 DAE System

The SAR measurements were conducted with the dosimetric probe ET3DV6, designed in the classical triangular configuration [7] (see Fig. 3.2) and optimized for dosimetric evaluation. The probe is constructed using the thick film technique; with printed resistive lines on ceramic substrates. The probe is equipped with an optical multifiber line ending at the front of the probe tip (see Fig. 3.3). It is connected to the EOC box on the robot arm and provides an automatic detection of the phantom surface. Half of the fibers are connected to a pulsed infrared transmitter, the other half to a synchronized receiver. As the probe approaches the surface, the reflection from the surface produces a coupling from the transmitting to the receiving fibers. This reflection increases first during the approach, reaches maximum and then decreases. If the probe is flatly touching the surface, the coupling is zero. The distance of the coupling maximum to the surface is independent of the surface reflectivity and largely independent of the surface to probe angle. The DASY4 software reads the reflection during a software approach and looks for the maximum using a 2nd order fitting (see Fig.3.1). The approach is stopped at reaching the maximum.

Probe Specifications

Calibration:	In air from 10 MHz to 6 GHz In brain and muscle simulating tissue at Frequencies of 150 MHz, 450 MHz, 835 MHz, 900 MHz, 1900MHz, 2450MHz, 5300MHz, & 5800MHz
Frequency:	10 MHz to > 6 GHz; Linearity: ± 0.2 dB (30 MHz to 6 GHz)
Directivity:	± 0.2 dB in HSL (rotation around probe axis) ± 0.4 dB in HSL (rotation normal probe axis)
Dynamic:	5 :W/g to > 100 mW/g;
Range:	Linearity: ± 0.2 dB
Dimensions:	Overall length: 330 mm Tip length: 16 mm Body diameter: 12 mm Tip diameter: 3 mm Distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 2 mm
Application:	General dosimetry up to 6 GHz Compliance tests of mobile phones Fast automatic scanning in arbitrary phantoms




Figure 3.1 Triangular Probe Configuration



Figure 3.2 Probe Thick-Film Technique

PCTEST™ SAR REPORT	FCC CERTIFICATION				Reviewed by: Quality Manager
SAR Filename: 0508160575-R1	Test Dates: July 18-19 * Aug. 3-10, 2005	Add. Test Dates: Nov. 14-15, 2005	EUT Type: Handheld Terminal	FCC ID: H9PMC9094	Page 5 of 34

4. PROBE CALIBRATION PROCESS

Dosimetric Assessment Procedure

Each probe is calibrated according to a dosimetric assessment procedure described in [8] with accuracy better than +/- 10%. The spherical isotropy was evaluated with the procedure described in [9] and found to be better than +/-0.25dB. The sensitivity parameters (NormX, NormY, NormZ), the diode compression parameter (DCP) and the conversion factor (ConvF) of the probe is tested.

Free Space Assessment

The free space E-field from amplified probe outputs is determined in a test chamber. This is performed in a TEM cell for frequencies below 1 GHz (see Fig. 4.1), and in a waveguide above 1 GHz for free space. For the free space calibration, the probe is placed in the volumetric center of the cavity at the proper orientation with the field. The probe is then rotated 360 degrees.

Temperature Assessment *

E-field temperature correlation calibration is performed in a flat phantom filled with the appropriate simulated brain tissue. The measured free space E-field in the medium correlates to temperature rise in a dielectric medium. For temperature correlation calibration a RF transparent thermistor-based temperature probe is used in conjunction with the E-field probe (see Fig. 4.2).

$$SAR = C \frac{\Delta T}{\Delta t}$$

where:

Δt = exposure time (30 seconds),

C = heat capacity of tissue (brain or muscle),

ΔT = temperature increase due to RF exposure.

SAR is proportional to $\Delta T / \Delta t$, the initial rate of tissue heating, before thermal diffusion takes place. Now it's possible to quantify the electric field in the simulated tissue by equating the thermally derived SAR to the E- field;

$$SAR = \frac{|E|^2 \cdot \sigma}{\rho}$$

where:

σ = simulated tissue conductivity,

ρ = Tissue density (1.25 g/cm³ for brain tissue)




Figure 4.1 E-Field and Temperature measurements at 900MHz [7]




Figure 4.2 E-Field and temperature measurements at 1.9GHz [7]

*NOTE: The temperature calibration was not performed by PCTEST. For information use only.

PCTEST™ SAR REPORT	FCC CERTIFICATION		symbol	Reviewed by: Quality Manager	
SAR Filename: 0508160575-R1	Test Dates: July 18-19 * Aug. 3-10, 2005	Add. Test Dates: Nov. 14-15, 2005	EUT Type: Handheld Terminal	FCC ID: H9PMC9094	Page 6 of 34

5. PHANTOM & EQUIVALENT TISSUES

SAM Phantom




Figure 5.1 SAM Twin Phantom

The SAM Twin Phantom V4.0 is constructed of a fiberglass shell integrated in a wooden table. The shape of the shell is based on data from an anatomical study designed to determine the maximum exposure in at least 90% of all users [11][12]. It enables the dosimetric evaluation of left and right hand phone usage as well as body mounted usage at the flat phantom region. A cover prevents the evaporation of the liquid. Reference markings on the Phantom allow the complete setup of all predefined phantom positions and measurement grids by manually teaching three points in the robot. (see Fig. 5.1)

Brain & Muscle Simulating Mixture Characterization




Figure 5.2 Simulated Tissue

The brain and muscle mixtures consist of a viscous gel using hydroxethylcellulose (HEC) gelling agent and saline solution (see Table 6.1). Preservation with a bactericide is added and visual inspection is made to make sure air bubbles are not trapped during the mixing process. The mixture is calibrated to obtain proper dielectric constant (permittivity) and conductivity of the desired tissue. The head tissue dielectric parameters recommended by the IEEE SCC-34/SC-2 have been incorporated in the following table. Other head and body tissue parameters that have not been specified in P1528 are derived from the IEEE dielectric parameters computed from the 4-Cole-Cole equations. The mixture characterizations used for the brain and muscle tissue simulating liquids are according to the data by C. Gabriel and G. Hartsgrove [13]. (see Fig. 5.2)

Table 5.1 Composition of the Brain & Muscle Tissue Equivalent Matter

INGREDIENTS		SIMULATING TISSUE			
		2450MHz Brain	2450MHz Muscle	5800MHz Brain	5800MHz Muscle
Mixture Percentage					
WATER		62.70	73.2	Propriety Recipe	Propriety Recipe
DGBE		0.000	26.7	Propriety Recipe	Propriety Recipe
SUGAR		0.000	0.000	Propriety Recipe	Propriety Recipe
SALT		0.5	0.04	Propriety Recipe	Propriety Recipe
BACTERIACIDE		0.000	0.000	Propriety Recipe	Propriety Recipe
HEC		0.000	0.000	Propriety Recipe	Propriety Recipe
Dielectric Constant	Target	40.3	52.7	35.84	48.2
Conductivity (S/m)	Target	1.88	1.95	5.28	6.000

Device Holder for Transmitters



Figure 5.2 Mounting Device

In combination with the SAM Twin Phantom V4.0, the Mounting Device (see Fig. 5.2) enables the rotation of the mounted transmitter in spherical coordinates whereby the rotation point is the ear opening. The devices can be easily, accurately, and repeatably be positioned according to the FCC specifications. The device holder can be locked at different phantom locations (left head, right head, flat phantom).

* Note: A simulating human hand is not used due to the complex anatomical and geometrical structure of the hand that may produce infinite number of configurations [12]. To produce the worst-case condition (the hand absorbs antenna output power), the hand is omitted during the tests.

PCTEST™ SAR REPORT	FCC CERTIFICATION				Reviewed by: Quality Manager
SAR Filename: 0508160575-R1	Test Dates: July 18-19 * Aug. 3-10, 2005	Add. Test Dates: Nov. 14-15, 2005	EUT Type: Handheld Terminal	FCC ID: H9PMC9094	Page 7 of 34

6. TEST SYSTEM SPECIFICATIONS

Automated Test System Specifications

Positioner

Robot: Stäubli Unimation Corp. Robot Model: RX60L
Repeatability: 0.02 mm
No. of axis: 6

Data Acquisition Electronic (DAE) System

Cell Controller

Processor: Pentium 4
Clock Speed: 2.53 GHz
Operating System: Windows XP Professional

Data Converter

Features: Signal Amplifier, multiplexer, A/D converter, & control logic
Software: DASY4 software
Connecting Lines: Optical downlink for data and status info.
 Optical uplink for commands and clock



Figure 6.1 DASY4 Test System

PC Interface Card

Function: 24 bit (64 MHz) DSP for real time processing
 Link to DAE3
 16 bit A/D converter for surface detection system
 serial link to robot
 direct emergency stop output for robot

E-Field Probes

Model: EX3DV4 S/N: 3550
Construction: Triangular core
Frequency: 10 MHz to 6 GHz
Linearity: ± 0.2 dB (30 MHz to 6 GHz)

Phantom

Phantom: SAM Twin Phantom (V4.0)
Shell Material: VIVAC Composite
Thickness: 2.0 ± 0.2 mm

PCTEST™ SAR REPORT	FCC CERTIFICATION				Reviewed by: Quality Manager
SAR Filename: 0508160575-R1	Test Dates: July 18-19 * Aug. 3-10, 2005	Add. Test Dates: Nov. 14-15, 2005	EUT Type: Handheld Terminal	FCC ID: H9PMC9094	Page 8 of 34

7. DOSIMETRIC ASSESSMENT & PHANTOM SPECS

Measurement Procedure

The evaluation was performed using the following procedure:

1. The SAR measurement was taken at a selected spatial reference point to monitor power variations during testing. This fixed location point was measured and used as a reference value.
2. The SAR distribution at the exposed side of the head was measured at a distance of 3.9mm from the inner surface of the shell. The area covered the entire dimension of the head and the horizontal grid spacing was 15mm x 15mm.
3. Based on the area scan data, the area of the maximum absorption was determined by spline interpolation. Around this point, a volume of 32mm x 32mm x 34mm (fine resolution volume scan, zoom scan) was assessed by measuring 7 x 7 x 7 points. On this basis of this data set, the spatial peak SAR value was evaluated with the following procedure (see Fig. 7.1):
 - a. The data at the surface was extrapolated, since the center of the dipoles is 2.7mm away from the tip of the probe and the distance between the surface and the lowest measuring point is 1.2mm. The extrapolation was based on a least square algorithm [15]. A polynomial of the fourth order was calculated through the points in z-axes. This polynomial was then used to evaluate the points between the surface and the probe tip.
 - b. The maximum interpolated value was searched with a straight-forward algorithm. Around this maximum the SAR values averaged over the spatial volumes (1g or 10g) were computed using the 3D-Spline interpolation algorithm. The 3D-spline is composed of three one-dimensional splines with the "Not a knot" condition (in x, y, and z directions) [15][16]. The volume was integrated with the trapezoidal algorithm. One thousand points (10 x 10 x 10) were interpolated to calculate the average.
 - c. All neighboring volumes were evaluated until no neighboring volume with a higher average value was found.
4. The SAR reference value, at the same location as procedure #1, was re-measured. If the value changed by more than 5%, the evaluation is repeated.




Figure 7.1 Sample SAR Area Scan

Deviation from measurement procedure - None

Specific Anthropomorphic Mannequin (SAM) Specifications

The phantom for handset SAR assessment testing is a low-loss dielectric shell, with shape and dimensions derived from the anthropometric data of the 90th percentile adult male head dimensions as tabulated by the US Army. The SAM Twin Phantom shell is bisected along the mid-sagittal plane into right and left halves (see Fig. 7.2). The perimeter sidewalls of each phantom halves are extended to allow filling with liquid to a depth that is sufficient to minimized reflections from the upper surface. The liquid depth is maintained at a minimum depth of 15cm to minimize reflections from the upper surface.



Figure 7.2 SAM Twin Phantom shell

PCTEST™ SAR REPORT	FCC CERTIFICATION				Reviewed by: Quality Manager
SAR Filename: 0508160575-R1	Test Dates: July 18-19 * Aug. 3-10, 2005	Add. Test Dates: Nov. 14-15, 2005	EUT Type: Handheld Terminal	FCC ID: H9PMC9094	Page 9 of 34

8. DEFINITION OF REFERENCE POINTS

EAR Reference Point

Figure 8.1 shows the front, back and side views of the SAM Twin Phantom. The point "M" is the reference point for the center of the mouth, "LE" is the left ear reference point (ERP), and "RE" is the right ERP. The ERPs are 15mm posterior to the entrance to the ear canal (EEC) along the B-M line (Back-Mouth), as shown in Figure 9.2. The plane passing through the two ear canals and M is defined as the Reference Plane. The line N-F (Neck-Front) is perpendicular to the reference plane and passing through the RE (or LE) is called the Reference Pivoting Line (see Figure 8.2). Line B-M is perpendicular to the N-F line. Both N-F and B-M lines are marked on the external phantom shell to facilitate handset positioning [5].




Figure 8.2 Close-up side view of ERPs



Figure 8.1 Front, back and side view of SAM Twin Phantom

Handset Reference Points

Two imaginary lines on the handset were established: the vertical centerline and the horizontal line. The test device was placed in a normal operating position with the "test device reference point" located along the "vertical centerline" on the front of the device aligned to the "ear reference point" (See Fig. 8.3). The "test device reference point" was then located at the same level as the center of the ear reference point. The test device was positioned so that the "vertical centerline" was bisecting the front surface of the handset at its top and bottom edges, positioning the "ear reference point" on the outer surface of the both the left and right head phantoms on the ear reference point.




Figure 8.3 Handset Vertical Center & Horizontal Line Reference Points

PCTEST™ SAR REPORT	FCC CERTIFICATION				Reviewed by: Quality Manager
SAR Filename: 0508160575-R1	Test Dates: July 18-19 * Aug. 3-10, 2005	Add. Test Dates: Nov. 14-15, 2005	EUT Type: Handheld Terminal	FCC ID: H9PMC9094	Page 10 of 34

9. TEST CONFIGURATION POSITIONS

Body Holster /Belt Clip Configurations

Body-worn operating configurations are tested with the belt-clips and holsters attached to the device and positioned against a flat phantom in a normal use configuration (see Figure 9.5). A device with a headset output is tested with a headset connected to the device. Body dielectric parameters are used.

Accessories for Body-worn operation configurations are divided into two categories: those that do not contain metallic components and those that do contain metallic components. When multiple accessories that do not contain metallic components are supplied with the device, the device is tested with only the accessory that dictates the closest spacing to the body. Then multiple accessories that contain metallic components are supplied with the device, the device is tested with each accessory that contains a unique metallic component. If multiple accessories share an identical metallic component (i.e. the same metallic belt-clip used with different holsters with no other metallic components) only the accessory that dictates the closest spacing to the body is tested.



Figure 9.5 Body Belt Clip & Holster Configurations

Body-worn accessories may not always be supplied or available as options for some devices intended to be authorized for body-worn use. In this case, a test configuration where a separation distance between the back of the device and the flat phantom is used. All test position spacings are documented.

Transmitters that are designed to operate in front of a person's face, as in push-to-talk configurations, are tested for SAR compliance with the front of the device positioned to face the flat phantom. For devices that are carried next to the body such as a shoulder, waist or chest-worn transmitters, SAR compliance is tested with the accessory(ies), including headsets and microphones, attached to the device and positioned against a flat phantom in a normal use configuration.

In all cases SAR measurements are performed to investigate the worst-case positioning. Worst-case positioning is then documented and used to perform Body SAR testing.

In order for users to be aware of the body-worn operating requirements for meeting RF exposure compliance, operating instructions and cautions statements are included in the user's manual.

PCTEST™ SAR REPORT	FCC CERTIFICATION				Reviewed by: Quality Manager
SAR Filename: 0508160575-R1	Test Dates: July 18-19 * Aug. 3-10, 2005	Add. Test Dates: Nov. 14-15, 2005	EUT Type: Handheld Terminal	FCC ID: H9PMC9094	Page 11 of 34

10. ANSI/IEEE C95.1 - 1992 RF EXPOSURE LIMITS

Uncontrolled Environment

UNCONTROLLED ENVIRONMENTS are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their exposure. The general population/uncontrolled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which the general public may be exposed or in which persons who are exposed as a consequence of their employment may not be made fully aware of the potential for exposure or cannot exercise control over their exposure. Members of the general public would come under this category when exposure is not employment-related; for example, in the case of a wireless transmitter that exposes persons in its vicinity.

Controlled Environment

CONTROLLED ENVIRONMENTS are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by persons who are aware of the potential for exposure, (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation). In general, occupational/controlled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which persons are exposed as a consequence of their employment, who have been made fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over their exposure. This exposure category is also applicable when the exposure is of a transient nature due to incidental passage through a location where the exposure levels may be higher than the general population/uncontrolled limits, but the exposed person is fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over his or her exposure by leaving the area or by some other appropriate means.

Table 10.1. Safety Limits for Partial Body Exposure [2]

	HUMAN EXPOSURE LIMITS	
	UNCONTROLLED ENVIRONMENT General Population (W/kg) or (mW/g)	CONTROLLED ENVIRONMENT General Population (W/kg) or (mW/g)
SPATIAL PEAK SAR ¹ Brain	1.60	8.00
SPATIAL AVERAGE SAR ² Whole Body	0.08	0.40
SPATIAL PEAK SAR ³ Hands, Feet, Ankles, Wrists	4.00	20.00

1 The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 1 gram of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube) and over the appropriate averaging time.

2 The Spatial Average value of the SAR averaged over the whole body.

3 The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 10 grams of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube) and over the appropriate averaging time.

PCTEST™ SAR REPORT	FCC CERTIFICATION			symbol	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
SAR Filename: 0508160575-R1	Test Dates: July 18-19 * Aug. 3-10, 2005	Add. Test Dates: Nov. 14-15, 2005	EUT Type: Handheld Terminal	FCC ID: H9PMC9094	Page 12 of 34

MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTIES 5 GHz Band

a	b	c	d	e= f(d,k)	f	g	h = cx _f /e	i = cx _g /e	k
Uncertainty Component	Sec.	Tol. (± %)	Prob. Dist.	Div.	c _i (1 - g)	c _i (10 - g)	1 - g u _i (± %)	10 - g u _i (± %)	v _i
Measurement System									
Probe Calibration	E1.1	4.8	N	1	1	1	8.3	8.3	∞
Axial Isotropy	E1.2	4.7	R	√3	0.7	0.7	1.9	1.9	∞
Hemishperical Isotropy	E1.2	9.6	R	√3	0.7	0.7	3.9	3.9	∞
Boundary Effect	E1.3	1.0	R	√3	1	1	0.6	0.6	∞
Linearity	E1.4	4.7	R	√3	1	1	2.7	2.7	∞
System Detection Limits	E1.5	1.0	R	√3	1	1	0.6	0.6	∞
Readout Electronics	E1.6	1.0	N	1	1	1	1.0	1.0	∞
Response Time	E1.7	0.8	R	√3	1	1	0.5	0.5	∞
Integration Time	E1.8	2.6	R	√3	1	1	1.5	1.5	∞
RF Ambient Conditions	E5.1	3.0	R	√3	1	1	1.7	1.7	∞
Probe Positioner Mechanical Tolerance	E5.2	0.4	R	√3	1	1	0.2	0.2	∞
Probe Positioning w/ respect to Phantom	E5.3	2.9	R	√3	1	1	1.7	1.7	∞
Extrapolation, Interpolation & Integration Algorithms for Max. SAR Evaluation	E4.2	1.0	R	√3	1	1	0.6	0.6	∞
Test Sample Related									
Test Sample Positioning	E3.2.1	2.9	N	1	1	1	2.9	2.9	145
Device Holder Uncertainty	E3.1.1	3.6	N	1	1	1	3.6	3.6	5
Output Power Variation - SAR drift measurement	5.6.2	5.0	R	√3	1	1	2.9	2.9	∞
Phantom & Tissue Parameters									
Phantom Uncertainty (Shape & Thickness tolerances)	E2.1	4.0	R	√3	1	1	2.3	2.3	∞
Liquid Conductivity - deviation from target values	E2.2	5.0	R	√3	0.64	0.43	1.8	1.2	∞
Liquid Conductivity - measurement uncertainty	E2.2	2.5	N	1	0.64	0.43	1.6	1.1	∞
Liquid Permittivity - deviation from target values	E2.2	5.0	R	√3	0.6	0.5	1.7	1.4	∞
Liquid Permittivity - measurement uncertainty	E2.2	2.5	N	1	0.6	0.5	1.5	1.2	∞
Combined Standard Uncertainty (k=1)			RSS				12.3	12.1	
Expanded Uncertainty (k=2) (95% CONFIDENCE LEVEL)							24.6	24.2	


The above measurement uncertainties are according to IEEE 1528-2003

PCTEST™ SAR REPORT	FCC CERTIFICATION				Reviewed by: Quality Manager
SAR Filename: 0508160575-R1	Test Dates: July 18-19 * Aug. 3-10, 2005	Add. Test Dates: Nov. 14-15, 2005	EUT Type: Handheld Terminal	FCC ID: H9PMC9094	Page 13 of 34

11. MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTIES 2.4 GHz Band

a	b	c	d	e= f(d,k)	f	g	h = cx _f /e	i = cx _g /e	k
Uncertainty Component	Sec.	Tol. (± %)	Prob. Dist.	Div.	c _i (1 - g)	c _i (10 - g)	1 - g u _i (± %)	10 - g u _i (± %)	v _i
Measurement System									
Probe Calibration	E1.1	4.8	N	1	1	1	4.8	4.8	∞
Axial Isotropy	E1.2	4.7	R	√3	0.7	0.7	1.9	1.9	∞
Hemishperical Isotropy	E1.2	9.6	R	√3	0.7	0.7	3.9	3.9	∞
Boundary Effect	E1.3	1.0	R	√3	1	1	0.6	0.6	∞
Linearity	E1.4	4.7	R	√3	1	1	2.7	2.7	∞
System Detection Limits	E1.5	1.0	R	√3	1	1	0.6	0.6	∞
Readout Electronics	E1.6	1.0	N	1	1	1	1.0	1.0	∞
Response Time	E1.7	0.8	R	√3	1	1	0.5	0.5	∞
Integration Time	E1.8	2.6	R	√3	1	1	1.5	1.5	∞
RF Ambient Conditions	E5.1	3.0	R	√3	1	1	1.7	1.7	∞
Probe Positioner Mechanical Tolerance	E5.2	0.4	R	√3	1	1	0.2	0.2	∞
Probe Positioning w/ respect to Phantom	E5.3	2.9	R	√3	1	1	1.7	1.7	∞
Extrapolation, Interpolation & Integration Algorithms for Max. SAR Evaluation	E4.2	1.0	R	√3	1	1	0.6	0.6	∞
Test Sample Related									
Test Sample Positioning	E3.2.1	2.9	N	1	1	1	2.9	2.9	145
Device Holder Uncertainty	E3.1.1	3.6	N	1	1	1	3.6	3.6	5
Output Power Variation - SAR drift measurement	5.6.2	5.0	R	√3	1	1	2.9	2.9	∞
Phantom & Tissue Parameters									
Phantom Uncertainty (Shape & Thickness tolerances)	E2.1	4.0	R	√3	1	1	2.3	2.3	∞
Liquid Conductivity - deviation from target values	E2.2	5.0	R	√3	0.64	0.43	1.8	1.2	∞
Liquid Conductivity - measurement uncertainty	E2.2	2.5	N	1	0.64	0.43	1.6	1.1	∞
Liquid Permittivity - deviation from target values	E2.2	5.0	R	√3	0.6	0.5	1.7	1.4	∞
Liquid Permittivity - measurement uncertainty	E2.2	2.5	N	1	0.6	0.5	1.5	1.2	∞
Combined Standard Uncertainty (k=1)			RSS				10.3	10.0	
Expanded Uncertainty (k=2) (95% CONFIDENCE LEVEL)							20.6	20.1	

The above measurement uncertainties are according to IEEE 1528-2003

PCTEST™ SAR REPORT		FCC CERTIFICATION			symbol	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
SAR Filename: 0508160575-R1	Test Dates: July 18-19 * Aug. 3-10, 2005	Add. Test Dates: Nov. 14-15, 2005	EUT Type: Handheld Terminal	FCC ID: H9PMC9094		Page 14 of 34


12. SAR TEST DATA SUMMARY

See Measurement Result Data Pages

For 802.11 modes the EUT was placed into continuous transmit mode using the manufacturer's software. Such test signals offer a consistent means for testing SAR and are recommended for evaluating SAR [4]. GSM modes were tested using Rhode & Schwarz CMU200/ Universal Radio Communication Tester.

Device Test Conditions

The EUT is powered through the internal battery. In order to verify that the device was tested at full power, conducted output power measurements were performed before and after each SAR measurement to confirm the maximum output power. If a power deviation of more than 5% occurred, the test was repeated.

PCTEST™ SAR REPORT		FCC CERTIFICATION	symbol	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
SAR Filename: 0508160575-R1	Test Dates: July 18-19 * Aug. 3-10, 2005	Add. Test Dates: Nov. 14-15, 2005	EUT Type: Handheld Terminal	FCC ID: H9PMC9094
Page 15 of 34				

13.1 SYSTEM VERIFICATION

Tissue Verification

Table 12.1.1 Simulated Tissue Verification [5]

MEASURED TISSUE PARAMETERS									
	07-18-2005	835MHz Brain		835MHz Muscle		1900MHz Brain		1900MHz Muscle	
Liquid Temperature (°C)	20.4	Target	Measured	Target	Measured	Target	Measured	Target	Measured
Dielectric Constant: ϵ		41.50	42.15	55.20	54.10	40.00	40.21	53.00	52.90
Conductivity: σ		0.900	0.90	0.970	0.98	1.40	1.42	1.52	1.58
MEASURED TISSUE PARAMETERS									
	08-03-2005	2450MHz Brain		2450MHz Muscle		5300MHz Brain		5300MHz Muscle	
Liquid Temperature (°C)	20.4	Target	Measured	Target	Measured	Target	Measured	Target	Measured
Dielectric Constant: ϵ		39.20	40.78	52.70	52.67	36.00	-	49.00	-
Conductivity: σ		1.800	1.83	1.950	1.98	1.800	-	5.300	-
MEASURED TISSUE PARAMETERS									
	08-10-2005	5300MHz Brain		5300MHz Muscle		5800MHz Brain		5800MHz Muscle	
Liquid Temperature (°C)	20.4	Target	Measured	Target	Measured	Target	Measured	Target	Measured
Dielectric Constant: ϵ		35.99	36.41	48.90	48.52	35.30	34.92	48.20	48.27
Conductivity: σ		4.88	4.63	5.42	5.37	5.27	5.46	6.00	5.96
MEASURED TISSUE PARAMETERS									
	11-14-2005	835MHz Brain		835MHz Muscle		1900MHz Brain		1900MHz Muscle	
Liquid Temperature (°C)	20.4	Target	Measured	Target	Measured	Target	Measured	Target	Measured
Dielectric Constant: ϵ		41.50	42.15	55.20	54.10	40.00	40.21	53.00	52.90
Conductivity: σ		0.900	0.90	0.970	0.98	1.40	1.42	1.52	1.58

PCTEST™ SAR REPORT	FCC CERTIFICATION				Reviewed by: Quality Manager
SAR Filename: 0508160575-R1	Test Dates: July 18-19 * Aug. 3-10, 2005	Add. Test Dates: Nov. 14-15, 2005	EUT Type: Handheld Terminal	FCC ID: H9PMC9094	Page 16 of 34

Test System Validation

Prior to assessment, the system is verified to the $\pm 10\%$ of the specifications at 835MHz, 1900MHz, 2450MHz, 5300MHz and 5800MHz by using the system validation kit(s). (Graphic Plots Attached)

Table 12.1.2 System Validation [5]

System Validation TARGET & MEASURED							
Date:	Amb. Temp (°C)	Liquid Temp(°C)	Input Power (W)	Tissue	Targeted SAR _{1g} (mW/g)	Measured SAR _{1g} (mW/g)	Deviation (%)
07/18/2005	23.4	20.6	0.250	835 MHz Brain	2.375	2.46	3.56
07/19/2005	23.5	20.8	0.100	1900 MHz Brain	3.970	4.16	4.78
08/03/2005	23.2	20.5	0.100	2450MHz Brain	5.240	5.39	2.86
08/04/2005	23.4	20.6				5.43	3.62
08/05/2005	23.5	20.6				5.45	4.00
08/08/2005	23.6	20.7	0.025	5200 MHz Brain	2.170	2.09	-3.68
08/09/2005	23.7	20.8				2.23	2.76
08/10/2005	23.5	20.6	0.025	5800MHz Brain	2.250	2.41	7.11
11/14/2005	23.5	21.3	0.250	835 MHz Brain	2.375	2.42	1.89
11/15/2005	23.4	21.7				2.36	-0.63
11/14/2005	23.6	21.1	0.100	1900 MHz Brain	3.970	4.02	1.25
11/15/2005	23.1	22.0				3.94	-0.75




Figure 12.1.3 Dipole Validation Test Setup

PCTEST™ SAR REPORT	FCC CERTIFICATION				Reviewed by: Quality Manager
SAR Filename: 0508160575-R1	Test Dates: July 18-19 * Aug. 3-10, 2005	Add. Test Dates: Nov. 14-15, 2005	EUT Type: Handheld Terminal	FCC ID: H9PMC9094	Page 17 of 34