

Kwikbit, Inc.

M4-2000

FCC 2.1091:2017

Wideband UNII Radio

Report # MAX40004.2







NVLAP Lab Code: 200630

This report must not be used to claim product certification, approval, or endorsement by NVLAP, NIST, or any agency of the federal government of the United States of America. This Report shall not be reproduced, except in full without written approval of the laboratory.

EAR-Controlled Data - This document contains technical data whose export and reexport/retransfer is subject to control by the U.S. Department of Commerce under the Export Administration Act and the Export Administration Regulations. The Department of Commerce's prior written approval may be required for the export or reexport/retransfer of such technical data to any foreign person, foreign entity or foreign organization whether in the United States or abroad.

More: https://www.bis.doc.gov/index.php/forms-documents/regulations-docs/14-commerce-country-chart/fileT

### **CERTIFICATE OF EVALUATION**



Last Date of Evaluation: Wednesday, December 20, 2017 Kwikbit, Inc. Model: M4-2000

### RF Exposure Evaluation

### **Standards**

Specification	Method
FCC 2.1091:2017	FCC 447498 D01 General RF Exposure Guidance v06

### Results

Method Clause	Description	Applied	Results	Comments
7.1	Maximum Permissible Exposure	Yes	Pass	The RF Exposure limits are met with a 43cm separation distance between the device and the user. The client indicates the minimum separation distance of 51cm will be used with the device and the user.

### **Deviations From Evaluation Standards**

None

Approved By:

Donald Facteau, Systems Architect

This report must not be used to claim product certification, approval, or endorsement by NVLAP, NIST, or any agency of the federal government of the United States of America.

Product compliance is the responsibility of the client, therefore the evaluation and equipment modes of operation represented in this report were agreed upon by the client, prior to evaluating. This Report may only be duplicated in its entirety. The results of this evaluation pertain only to the sample(s) evaluated. The specific description is noted in each of the individual sections of the evaluation report supporting this certificate of evaluation. This report reflects only those evaluations from the referenced standards shown in the certificate of evaluation. It does not include inspection or verification of labels, identification, marking or user information.

## **RF Exposure Condition**



The following RF Exposure conditions were used for the assessment documented in this report:				
Intended Use	Fixed			
Location on Body (if applicable)	NA			
How is the Device Used	A Non-Line-of-Sight (NLoS), wireless, point-to-point			
	backhaul solution designed and optimized to serve street-			
	level small cells.			
Radios Contained in the Same Host Device	802.11a			
Simultaneous Transmitting Radios	None			
Body Worn Accessories	N/A			
Environment	General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure			

## **REVISION HISTORY**



Revision Number	Description	Date	Page Number
00	None		

## ACCREDITATIONS AND AUTHORIZATIONS



### **United States**

FCC - Designated by the FCC as a Telecommunications Certification Body (TCB). Certification chambers, Open Area Test Sites, and conducted measurement facilities are listed with the FCC.

**A2LA** - Accredited by A2LA to ISO / IEC 17065 as a product certifier. This allows Element to certify transmitters to FCC and IC specifications.

NVLAP - Each laboratory is accredited by NVLAP to ISO 17025

### Canada

**ISED** - Recognized by Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada as a Certification Body (CB). Certification chambers and Open Area Test Sites are filed with ISED.

### **European Union**

European Commission - Within Element, we have a EU Notified Body validated for the EMCD and RED Directives.

### Australia/New Zealand

ACMA - Recognized by ACMA as a CAB for the acceptance of test data.

### Korea

MSIP / RRA - Recognized by KCC's RRA as a CAB for the acceptance of test data.

### Japan

VCCI - Associate Member of the VCCI. Conducted and radiated measurement facilities are registered.

### **Taiwan**

**BSMI** – Recognized by BSMI as a CAB for the acceptance of test data.

NCC - Recognized by NCC as a CAB for the acceptance of test data.

### **Singapore**

IDA - Recognized by IDA as a CAB for the acceptance of test data.

### Israel

**MOC** – Recognized by MOC as a CAB for the acceptance of test data.

### **Hong Kong**

**OFCA** – Recognized by OFCA as a CAB for the acceptance of test data.

### **Vietnam**

**MIC** – Recognized by MIC as a CAB for the acceptance of test data.

### SCOPE

For details on the Scopes of our Accreditations, please visit:

http://portlandcustomer.element.com/ts/scope/scope.htm http://gsi.nist.gov/global/docs/cabs/designations.html


## **FACILITIES**







<b>California</b> Labs OC01-17 41 Tesla Irvine, CA 92618 (949) 861-8918	Labs OC01-17         Labs MN01-10         Labs NY01-04         Labs EV0           41 Tesla         9349 W Broadway Ave.         4939 Jordan Rd.         6775 NE Evergreer           Irvine, CA 92618         Brooklyn Park, MN 55445         Elbridge, NY 13060         Hillsboro, OR		Oregon Labs EV01-12 6775 NE Evergreen Pkwy #400 Hillsboro, OR 97124 (503) 844-4066	<b>Texas</b> Labs TX01-09 3801 E Plano Pkwy Plano, TX 75074 (469) 304-5255	<b>Washington</b> Labs NC01-05 19201 120 <sup>th</sup> Ave NE Bothell, WA 98011 (425)984-6600			
NVLAP								
NVLAP Lab Code: 200676-0	NVLAP Lab Code: 200881-0	NVLAP Lab Code: 200761-0	NVLAP Lab Code: 200630-0	NVLAP Lab Code:201049-0	NVLAP Lab Code: 200629-0			
Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada								
2834B-1, 2834B-3	2834E-1, 2834E-3	N/A	2834D-1, 2834D-2	2834G-1	2834F-1			
BSMI								
SL2-IN-E-1154R	SL2-IN-E-1152R	N/A	SL2-IN-E-1017	SL2-IN-E-1158R	SL2-IN-E-1153R			
VCCI								
A-0029	A-0109	N/A	A-0108	A-0201	A-0110			
Recognized Phase I CAB for ACMA, BSMI, IDA, KCC/RRA, MIC, MOC, NCC, OFCA								
US0158	US0175	N/A	US0017	US0191	US0157			



### PRODUCT DESCRIPTION



### **Client and Equipment Under Evaluation Information**

Company Name:	Kwikbit, Inc.
Address:	7801 E Bush Lake Rd Suite 300
City, State, Zip:	Minneapolis, MN 55439
Evaluation Requested By:	Tim Blom
Model:	M4-2000
Date of Evaluation:	Wednesday, December 20, 2017

### Information Provided by the Party Requesting the Evaluation

### **Functional Description of the Equipment:**

The M4-2000 is a Non-Line-of-Sight (NLoS), wireless, point-to-point backhaul solution designed and optimized to serve street-level small cells. The M4-2000 contains two radio modules with two RF channels each (vertical and horizontal polarization). Each radio channel can operate up to 24 dBm of RF power. The product contains an integrated dual polarization patch antenna with ~20 dBi of gain before cable and splitter losses. Power is supplied to the device thru a power over Ethernet (PoE) midspan. Each radio must operate on a different, non-overlapping channel within the same Channel Bandwidth scheme.

### Objective:

To demonstrate compliance with FCC requirements for RF exposure for 2.1091 mobile/fixed devices

# MAXIMUM PERMISSIBLE EXPOSURE (MPE)



#### **OVERVIEW**

Human exposure to RF emissions from mobile devices (47 CFR §2.1091) may be evaluated based on the MPE limits adopted by the FCC for electric and magnetic field strength and/or power density, as appropriate, since exposures are assumed to occur at distances of 20 cm or more from persons. ANSI C95.1:2005 + Amd 1:2010 specifies a minimum separation distance of 20 cm for performing reliable field measurements to determine adherence to MPE limits. If the minimum separation distance between a transmitter and nearby persons is more than 20 cm under normal operating conditions, compliance with MPE limits may be determined at such distance from the transmitter. When applicable, operation instructions and prominent warning labels may be used to alert the exposed persons to maintain a specified distance from the transmitter or to limit their exposure durations and usage conditions to ensure compliance. If the use of warning labels on a transmitter is not effective or desirable, the alternative of performing SAR evaluation with the device at its closest range to persons under normal operating conditions may be used. The field strength and power density limits adopted by the FCC are based on whole-body averaged exposure and the assumption of RF field levels relate most accurately to estimating whole-body averaged SAR. This means some local values of exposures exceeding the stated field strength and power density limits may not necessarily imply non-compliance if the spatial average of spatially averaged RF fields over the exposed portions of a person's body does not exceed the limits.

### **COMPLIANCE WITH FCC 2.1091**

"Mobile devices that operate in the Cellular Radiotelephone Service, the Personal Communications Services, the Satellite Communications Services, the General Wireless Communications Service, the Wireless Communications Service, the Maritime Services and the Specialized Mobile Radio Service authorized under subpart H of part 22 of this chapter, parts 24, 25, 26 and 27 of this chapter, part 80 of this chapter (ship earth stations devices only) and part 90 of this chapter are subject to routine environmental evaluation for RF exposure prior to equipment authorization or use if they operate at frequencies of 1.5 GHz or below and their effective radiated power (ERP) is 1.5 watts or more, or if they operate at frequencies above 1.5 GHz and their ERP is 3 watts or more. Unlicensed personal communications service devices, unlicensed millimeter wave devices and unlicensed NII devices authorized under §§15.253, 15.255, and 15.257, and subparts D and E of part 15 of this chapter are also subject to routine environmental evaluation for RF exposure prior to equipment authorization or use if their ERP is 3 watts or more or if they meet the definition of a portable device as specified in §2.1093(b) requiring evaluation under the provisions of that section. All other mobile and unlicensed transmitting devices are categorically excluded from routine environmental evaluation for RF exposure prior to equipment authorization or use, except as specified in §§1.1307(c) and 1.1307(d) of this chapter. Applications for equipment authorization of mobile and unlicensed transmitting devices subject to routine environmental evaluation must contain a statement confirming compliance with the limits specified in paragraph (d) of this section as part of their application."

The device will only be used with a separation distance between the antenna and the body of the user or nearby persons as shown in the table below and can therefore be considered a mobile transmitter per 47 CFR 2.1091(b).

### COMPLIANCE WITH FCC KDB 447498 D01 General RF Exposure Guidance v06

"KDB 447498 D01 General RF Exposure Guidance v06" provides the procedures, requirements, and authorization policies for mobile and portable devices.

Devices operating in standalone mobile device exposure conditions may contain a single transmitter or multiple transmitters that do not transmit simultaneously are covered in section 7.1.

Devices containing multiple transmitters capable of simultaneous transmissions are covered in section 7.2.

# MAXIMUM PERMISSIBLE EXPOSURE (MPE)



9/10

#### LIMITS

Limits for General Population /Uncontrolled Exposure: 47 CFR 1.1310

Frequency Range	Electric Field Strength	Magnetic Field Strength	Power Density	Averaging Time
(MHz)	(V/m)	(A/m)	(mW/cm²)	(minutes)
0.3 - 1.34	614	1.63	*(100)	30
1.34 - 30	824/f	2.19/f	*(180/f <sup>2</sup> )	30
30 - 300	27.5	0.073	0.2	30
300 - 1500			f/1500	30
1500 - 100000			1	30

#### f = frequency in MHz

### **ASSESSMENT**

The exposure level for the radio is evaluated at a 20 cm distance from the radio's transmitting antenna using the general equation:

$$S = \frac{P * G}{4 * \pi * R^{2}}$$

Where:  $S = power density (mW/cm^2)$ 

P = power input to the antenna (mW)

G = numeric power gain relative to an isotropic radiator

R = distance to the center of the radiation of the antenna (20 cm = limit for MPE estimates)

P\*G = EIRP

Solving for S, the maximum power density 20 cm from the transmitting antenna is determined. This level is then compared to the applicable limit for the transmit frequency. If limits were not met at the 20 cm boundary the evaluation distance is increased until the limit is met as shown in the table below.

The standalone MPE are summarized in the table on the following page. The information was obtained from the MAX40004 test report, Test Plan, Operational Description, and Antenna Exhibit. The worst case output power modes available following the operational plan are as follows:

### 5.2 GHz Band:

Radio 1, 5240 MHz, 20 MHz, 4-QAM = 14464.4 mW EIRP

Radio 2, 5200 MHz, 20 MHz, 4-QAM = 2764.5 mW EIRP

SUM = 18228.9 mW (42.6 dBm) EIRP

#### 5.8 GHz Band:

Radio 1, 5735 MHz, 10 MHz BW, 4-QAM = 15865.7 mW EIRP

Radio 2, 5795 MHz, 10 MHz BW, 4-QAM = 14275.5 mW EIRP

SUM = 30141.2 mW (44.8 dBm) EIRP

<sup>\* =</sup> Plane-wave equivalent power density

# MAXIMUM PERMISSIBLE EXPOSURE (MPE)



Radio	Transmit Frequency (MHz)	Measured Radiated Output Power	Duty Cycle	Minimum Separation Distance (cm)	Power Density (mW/cm²)	Limit (mW/cm²)	Compliant
802.11a: 5.8 GHz	R1-5735, R2-5795	44.8 dBm EIRP	0.75	43	0.975	1.0	Yes
802.11a: 5.2 GHz	R1-5240, R2-5200	42.6 dBm EIRP	0.75	33	0.997	1.0	Yes

The information in the table above was obtained from:

MAX40004 test report, Test Plan, Antenna Exhibit