

From: Eva Kao [eva_kao@cclab.com.tw]
Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2002 7:33 PM
To: Mike Kuo; Helen Zhao
Subject: Re: Senao International Co., Ltd., FCC ID:NI3-EP-236, AN02T2274

Hi Mike,

Answer 1: Please find the attached is resive test report part 1.

Best Regards,
Eva

----- Original Message -----

From: "Eva Kao" <eva_kao@cclab.com.tw>
To: "Mike Kuo" <MKUO@CCSEMC.com>; "Helen Zhao" <HZhao@CCSEMC.com>
Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2002 3:48 PM
Subject: Re: Senao International Co., Ltd., FCC ID:NI3-EP-236, AN02T2274

> Hi Mike,
>
> Answer 1: Please find the attached is antenna spec.
>
> Answer 2-3: Please find the attached is the resive user manual. About the
> question 2, the client indicate there isn't function of this device, so
they
> cancel it in the user manual.
>
> Answer 4: Please find the attached is revise FCC ID Label
>
> Answer 5: I didn't understand why we need to add the 15.241 (c) of FCC
rules
> on the FCC ID label?
>
> Answer 6: Please find the attached is internal antenna for your reference.
>
>
> Thanks for your help.
> Eva
>
>

> > -----Original Message-----

> > From: CERTADM
> > Sent: Thursday, October 24, 2002 6:12 PM
> > To: 'mkuo@ccsemc.com'
> > Subject: Senao International Co., Ltd., FCC ID:NI3-EP-236, AN02T2274
> >
> >
> > Notice_content
> > -----
> > Question #1: Please provide a separate cover letter to list all antennas
> > that are included in the 15.247 test report. In this cover letter,
please
> > list the antenna type, antenna gain, type of antenna connector, the
length
> > of supplied cable, cable lost and installation procedures to the end
user.

> >
> > Question #2: In the user manual, it lists optional accessories (remote
> > repeater). Such optional accessories did not mention in the test
report
> or
> > tested. Please explain.
> >
> > Question #3: Page 44 of user manual, FCC RF exposure warning statement
is
> > written in such way will confuse the user. Please provide proper RF
> > exposure warning statement and make sure to inform the user how to use
the
> > handset and base station to compliance RF exposure requirements. In
> > addition, inform the user the separation distance that end user should
> > maintain for various type of antennas.
> >
> > Question #4: The application is intended for base and handset under
single
> > FCC ID number. The proposed FCC ID label format only indicates the
label
> > will be located at base station. FCC ID label should be also placed on
> the
> > handset as well. Please provide revised FCC ID label format and
location.
> >
> > Question #5: The statement required under Section 15.241 (c) of FCC
> rules
> > shall be placed on the FCC ID label.
> >
> > Question #6: The specification sheet for internal antenna can not be
read.
> > Please provide a clear copy of file.
> >
> > 15.247 Portion of Test Report
> >
> > Question #7: Section 2.2 and Section 4. of test report, please provide
> > detail description on the " Engineering mode " or " Software " that were
> > used during the tests. What is the method has been employed to change
the
> > output power Vs channel ?
> >
> > Question #8 Section 5.2 EUT Setup: PC and External I/O cable are
mentioned
> > but such devices can not be located via Setup photos. Please explain.
> >
> > Question #9: Section 6.1 of test report, this device is frequency
hopping
> > spread spectrum but direct sequence technical requirement is mentioned.
> > Please make necessary correction.
> >
> > Question #10: Section 6.1 of test report, peak output power table: the
> cable
> > lost did not use to correct the power reading for base station with
> Internal
> > and External antenna port during peak power measurement, but cable lost
> did
> > consider during average power measurement. Please explain the

> differences.
> >
> > Question #11: Page 94 of data : The test condition for this data is when
> the
> > base is transmitting at Channel 1 (2401MHz) with receiving antenna at
> > vertical. As indicated from the spectrum plots, the fundamental
emission
> > can be not be seen on the spectrum plots. It appears the spurious
> emissions
> > are higher than the fundamental emissions. Please explain.
> > Note : please go over the all radiated emission spectrum plots, most of
> > spectrum plots are with same questions.
> >
> > Question #12: Radiated spurious emission test data for handset. It
> > indicates QP at the end of data. What is QP in relate to peak and
average
> > reading ?
> >
> > Question #13: Please tune the fundamental frequency for base station to
> low
> > and high channel and tested with highest gain antenna (12dBi gain) for
> > fundamental field strength, and report the highest radiated field
strength
> > at frequency 2390MHz (for low channel) and 2483.5MHz (for high
> channel).
> >
> > SAR portion :
> >
> > Question #14: The EUT description described in the SAR report is a
direct
> > sequence spread spectrum cordless phone. However, all 15.247 tests were
> > performed as frequency hopping device. Please explain.
> >
> > Question #15: Please provide update measurement uncertainty budget per
> > P1528.
> >
> > Question #16: Section 5.4 of SAR report. This device was measured in
> > accordance with OET 65 Supplement C. Please explain the nature of
> deviation
> > as described in the report.
> >
> > Best Regards
> >
> > Mike Kuo / TCB Certifier
> > The items indicated above must be submitted before processing can
continue
> > on the above referenced application. Failure to
> > provide the requested information within 60 days of the original e-mail
> date
> > may result in application dismissal and forfeiture of the filing fee.
> Also,
> > please note that partial responses increase processing time and should
not
> > be submitted. Any questions about the content of this correspondence
> should
> > be directed to the e-mail address listed below the name of the sender.
>

>
>
>
>
>
>