

Test Laboratory: CCIS

Date/Time: 08.28.2020 16:01:29

DUT: Mobile Phone; Type: LD7; Serial: 2#

Communication System: UID 0, UMTS-FDD(WCDMA) (0); Frequency: 1712.4 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 1712.4$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.373$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 40.327$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Left Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 – SN3924; ConvF(8.4, 8.4, 8.4); Calibrated: 08.30.2019;
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1373; Calibrated: 07.27.2020
- Phantom: SAM 5.0; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:1765
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

WCDMA 1700 Left Tilted/Low Channel/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:

Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 16.40 V/m; Power Drift = -0.06 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.57 W/kg


SAR(1 g) = 0.776 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.376 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.02 W/kg

WCDMA 1700 Left Tilted/Low Channel/Area Scan (51x71x1): Interpolated grid:

dx=1.500 mm, dy=1.500 mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.638 W/kg



Test Laboratory: CCIS

Date/Time: 08.28.2020 12:52:53

DUT: Mobile Phone; Type: LD7; Serial: 2#

Communication System: UID 0, UMTS-FDD(WCDMA) (0); Frequency: 1852.4 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 1852.4$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.413$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 39.752$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Left Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 – SN3924; ConvF(8.17, 8.17, 8.17); Calibrated: 08.30.2019;
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1373; Calibrated: 07.27.2020
- Phantom: SAM 5.0; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:1765
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

WCDMA 1900 Left Tilted/Low Channel/Area Scan (51x71x1): Interpolated grid: $dx=1.500$ mm, $dy=1.500$ mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.951 W/kg

WCDMA 1900 Left Tilted/Low Channel/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:


Measurement grid: $dx=8$ mm, $dy=8$ mm, $dz=5$ mm

Reference Value = 22.08 V/m; Power Drift = 0.05 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 2.40 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 1.18 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.569 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.59 W/kg



Test Laboratory: CCIS

Date/Time: 08.28.2020 22:17:52

DUT: Mobile Phone; Type: LD7; Serial: 2#

Communication System: UID 0, LTE-Fdd(USA) 1RB QPSK (0); Frequency: 1860 MHz;

Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: $f = 1860 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 1.417 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 39.713$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Left Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 – SN3924; ConvF(8.17, 8.17, 8.17); Calibrated: 08.30.2019;
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1373; Calibrated: 07.27.2020
- Phantom: SAM 5.0; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:1765
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

LTE Band 2 1RB(20MHz) Left Tilted/Low Channel/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube

0: Measurement grid: $dx=8\text{mm}$, $dy=8\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 18.34 V/m; Power Drift = 0.01 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.90 W/kg


SAR(1 g) = 0.938 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.455 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.21 W/kg

LTE Band 2 1RB(20MHz) Left Tilted/Low Channel/Area Scan (51x71x1):

Interpolated grid: $dx=1.500 \text{ mm}$, $dy=1.500 \text{ mm}$

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.886 W/kg



Test Laboratory: CCIS

Date/Time: 08.29.2020 06:08:51

DUT: Mobile Phone; Type: LD7; Serial: 2#

Communication System: UID 0, LTE-Fdd(USA) 1RB QPSK (0); Frequency: 1745 MHz;

Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: $f = 1745 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 1.385 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 40.201$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Left Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 – SN3924; ConvF(8.4, 8.4, 8.4); Calibrated: 08.30.2019;
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1373; Calibrated: 07.27.2020
- Phantom: SAM 5.0; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:1765
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

LTE Band 4 1RB(20MHz) Left Tilted/High Channel/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube**0:** Measurement grid: $dx=8\text{mm}$, $dy=8\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 17.91 V/m; Power Drift = 0.04 dB


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 2.05 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 1.01 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.487 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.31 W/kg

LTE Band 4 1RB(20MHz) Left Tilted/High Channel/Area Scan (51x71x1):Interpolated grid: $dx=1.500 \text{ mm}$, $dy=1.500 \text{ mm}$

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 1.05 W/kg



Test Laboratory: CCIS

Date/Time: 08.27.2020 12:49:43

DUT: Mobile Phone; Type: LD7; Serial: 2#

Communication System: UID 0, LTE-Fdd(USA) 1RB QPSK (0); Frequency: 2535 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: $f = 2535 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 1.911 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 38.357$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Left Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3924; ConvF(7.54, 7.54, 7.54); Calibrated: 08.30.2019;
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1373; Calibrated: 07.27.2020
- Phantom: SAM 5.0; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:1765
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

LTE Band 7 1RB(20MHz) Left Tilted/Middle Channel/Area Scan (51x71x1):

Interpolated grid: $dx=1.200 \text{ mm}$, $dy=1.200 \text{ mm}$

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.550 W/kg

LTE Band 7 1RB(20MHz) Left Tilted/Middle Channel/Zoom Scan


(5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=5\text{mm}$, $dy=5\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 11.85 V/m ; Power Drift = 0.05 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.47 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.581 W/kg ; SAR(10 g) = 0.248 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.798 W/kg



Test Laboratory: CCIS

Date/Time: 08.25.2020 21:49:35

DUT: Mobile Phone; Type: LD7; Serial: 2#

Communication System: UID 0, LTE-Fdd(USA) 1RB QPSK (0); Frequency: 829 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 829 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 0.907 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 41.906$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Left Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3924; ConvF(9.67, 9.67, 9.67); Calibrated: 08.30.2019;
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1373; Calibrated: 07.27.2020
- Phantom: SAM 5.0; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:1765
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

LTE Band 5 1RB(10MHz) Left Tilted/Low Channel/Area Scan (51x71x1):

Interpolated grid: $dx=1.500 \text{ mm}$, $dy=1.500 \text{ mm}$

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.398 W/kg

LTE Band 5 1RB(10MHz) Left Tilted/Low Channel/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube


0: Measurement grid: $dx=8\text{mm}$, $dy=8\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 16.33 V/m ; Power Drift = 0.06 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.04 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.431 W/kg ; SAR(10 g) = 0.218 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.581 W/kg



Test Laboratory: CCIS

Date/Time: 08.27.2020 19:41:09

DUT: Mobile Phone; Type: LD7; Serial: 2#

Communication System: UID 0, LTE-TDD(USA) 20MHz 1RB QPSK (0); Frequency: 2580 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1.59956

Medium parameters used: $f = 2580$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.971$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 38.342$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Left Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 – SN3924; ConvF(7.3, 7.3, 7.3); Calibrated: 08.30.2019;
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1373; Calibrated: 07.27.2020
- Phantom: SAM 5.0; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:1765
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

LTE Band 38 1RB(20MHz) Left Tilted/Low Channel/Zoom Scan

(5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 6.726 V/m; Power Drift = 0.05 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.572 W/kg


SAR(1 g) = 0.219 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.089 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.311 W/kg

LTE Band 38 1RB(20MHz) Left Tilted/Low Channel/Area Scan (51x71x1):

Interpolated grid: dx=1.200 mm, dy=1.200 mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.170 W/kg



Test Laboratory: CCIS

Date/Time: 08.27.2020 21:45:19

DUT: Mobile Phone; Type: LD7; Serial: 2#

Communication System: UID 0, LTE-TDD(USA) 20MHz 1RB QPSK (0); Frequency: 2645 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1.59956

Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 2645$ MHz; $\sigma = 2.011$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 37.885$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Left Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 – SN3924; ConvF(7.3, 7.3, 7.3); Calibrated: 08.30.2019;
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1373; Calibrated: 07.27.2020
- Phantom: SAM 5.0; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:1765
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

LTE Band 41 1RB(20MHz) Left Tilted/High Channel/Zoom Scan

(5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 5.873 V/m; Power Drift = 0.22 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.639 W/kg


SAR(1 g) = 0.237 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.092 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.309 W/kg

LTE Band 41 1RB(20MHz) Left Tilted/High Channel/Area Scan (51x71x1):

Interpolated grid: dx=1.200 mm, dy=1.200 mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.163 W/kg



Test Laboratory: CCIS

Date/Time: 08.28.2020 23:34:23

DUT: Mobile Phone; Type: LD7; Serial: 2#

Communication System: UID 0, LTE-FDD(USA) 20MHz 50%RB QPSK (0); Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: $f = 1880 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 1.421 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 39.644$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Left Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 – SN3924; ConvF(8.17, 8.17, 8.17); Calibrated: 08.30.2019;
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1373; Calibrated: 07.27.2020
- Phantom: SAM 5.0; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:1765
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

LTE Band 2 50%RB(20MHz) Left Tilted/Middle Channel/Area Scan

(51x71x1): Interpolated grid: $dx=1.500 \text{ mm}$, $dy=1.500 \text{ mm}$

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.756 W/kg

LTE Band 2 50%RB(20MHz) Left Tilted/Middle Channel/Zoom Scan


(5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=8\text{mm}$, $dy=8\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 17.74 V/m; Power Drift = 0.26 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.69 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.823 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.394 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.11 W/kg



Test Laboratory: CCIS

Date/Time: 08.29.2020 07:13:12

DUT: Mobile Phone; Type: LD7; Serial: 2#

Communication System: UID 0, LTE-FDD(USA) 20MHz 50%RB QPSK (0); Frequency: 1732.5 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 1732.5$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.38$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 40.244$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Left Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 – SN3924; ConvF(8.4, 8.4, 8.4); Calibrated: 08.30.2019;
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1373; Calibrated: 07.27.2020
- Phantom: SAM 5.0; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:1765
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

LTE Band 4 50%RB(20MHz) Left Tilted/Middle Channel/Area Scan

(51x71x1): Interpolated grid: dx=1.500 mm, dy=1.500 mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.714 W/kg

LTE Band 4 50%RB(20MHz) Left Tilted/Middle Channel/Zoom Scan


(5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 18.36 V/m; Power Drift = 0.04 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.74 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.856 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.414 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.12 W/kg



0 dB = 1.12 W/kg = 0.49 dBW/kg

Test Laboratory: CCIS

Date/Time: 08.27.2020 13:46:17

DUT: Mobile Phone; Type: LD7; Serial: 2#

Communication System: UID 0, LTE-FDD(USA) 20MHz 50%RB QPSK (0); Frequency: 2535 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 2535$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.911$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 38.357$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Left Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3924; ConvF(7.54, 7.54, 7.54); Calibrated: 08.30.2019;
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1373; Calibrated: 07.27.2020
- Phantom: SAM 5.0; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:1765
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

LTE Band 7 50%RB(20MHz) Left Tilted/Middle Channel/Area Scan

(51x71x1): Interpolated grid: $dx=1.200$ mm, $dy=1.200$ mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.480 W/kg

LTE Band 7 50%RB(20MHz) Left Tilted/Middle Channel/Zoom Scan


(5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=5$ mm, $dy=5$ mm, $dz=5$ mm

Reference Value = 10.99 V/m; Power Drift = 0.28 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.58 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.618 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.261 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.851 W/kg



Test Laboratory: CCIS

Date/Time: 08.25.2020 22:52:54

DUT: Mobile Phone; Type: LD7; Serial: 2#

Communication System: UID 0, LTE-Fdd(USA) 1RB QPSK (0); Frequency: 829 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 829$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.907$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 41.906$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Left Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3924; ConvF(9.67, 9.67, 9.67); Calibrated: 08.30.2019;
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1373; Calibrated: 07.27.2020
- Phantom: SAM 5.0; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:1765
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

LTE Band 5 50%RB(10MHz) Left Tilted/Low Channel/Area Scan (51x71x1):

Interpolated grid: $dx=1.500$ mm, $dy=1.500$ mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.481 W/kg

LTE Band 5 50%RB(10MHz) Left Tilted/Low Channel/Zoom Scan


(5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=8$ mm, $dy=8$ mm, $dz=5$ mm

Reference Value = 18.16 V/m; Power Drift = 0.08 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.26 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.529 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.268 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.714 W/kg



Test Laboratory: CCIS

Date/Time: 08.27.2020 20:24:49

DUT: Mobile Phone; Type: LD7; Serial: 2#

Communication System: UID 0, LTE-TDD(USA) 20MHz 50%RB QPSK (0); Frequency: 2610 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1.59956

Medium parameters used: $f = 2610$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.989$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 38.037$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Left Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 – SN3924; ConvF(7.3, 7.3, 7.3); Calibrated: 08.30.2019;
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1373; Calibrated: 07.27.2020
- Phantom: SAM 5.0; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:1765
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

LTE Band 38 50%RB(20MHz) Left Tilted/High Channel/Area Scan (51x71x1):

Interpolated grid: dx=1.200 mm, dy=1.200 mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.160 W/kg

LTE Band 38 50%RB(20MHz) Left Tilted/High Channel/Zoom Scan


(5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 6.042 V/m; Power Drift = 0.18 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.564 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.214 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.086 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.288 W/kg



Test Laboratory: CCIS

Date/Time: 08.27.2020 22:56:45

DUT: Mobile Phone; Type: LD7; Serial: 2#

Communication System: UID 0, LTE-TDD(USA) 20MHz 50%RB QPSK (0); Frequency: 2645 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1.59956

Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 2645$ MHz; $\sigma = 2.011$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 37.885$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Left Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 – SN3924; ConvF(7.3, 7.3, 7.3); Calibrated: 08.30.2019;
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1373; Calibrated: 07.27.2020
- Phantom: SAM 5.0; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:1765
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

LTE Band 41 50%RB(20MHz) Left Tilted/High Channel/Area Scan (51x71x1):

Interpolated grid: $dx=1.200$ mm, $dy=1.200$ mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.147 W/kg

LTE Band 41 50%RB(20MHz) Left Tilted/High Channel/Zoom Scan


(5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=5$ mm, $dy=5$ mm, $dz=5$ mm

Reference Value = 5.523 V/m; Power Drift = 0.31 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.585 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.220 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.086 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.288 W/kg



0 dB = 0.288 W/kg = -5.41 dBW/kg

Test Laboratory: CCIS

Date/Time: 08.29.2020 00:02:39

DUT: Mobile Phone; Type: LD7; Serial: 2#

Communication System: UID 0, LTE-FDD (USA) 20MHz 100%RB QPSK (0); Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: $f = 1880 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 1.421 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 39.644$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Left Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 – SN3924; ConvF(8.17, 8.17, 8.17); Calibrated: 08.30.2019;
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1373; Calibrated: 07.27.2020
- Phantom: SAM 5.0; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:1765
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

LTE Band 2 100%RB(20MHz) Left Tilted/Middle Channel/Area Scan

(51x71x1): Interpolated grid: $dx=1.500 \text{ mm}$, $dy=1.500 \text{ mm}$

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.589 W/kg

LTE Band 2 100%RB(20MHz) Left Tilted/Middle Channel/Zoom Scan


(5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=8\text{mm}$, $dy=8\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 16.21 V/m; Power Drift = 0.10 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.35 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.653 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.313 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.877 W/kg



0 dB = 0.877 W/kg = -0.57 dBW/kg

Test Laboratory: CCIS

Date/Time: 08.29.2020 07:59:11

DUT: Mobile Phone; Type: LD7; Serial: 2#

Communication System: UID 0, LTE-FDD (USA) 20MHz 100%RB QPSK (0); Frequency: 1720 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: $f = 1720 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 1.376 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 40.302$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Left Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 – SN3924; ConvF(8.4, 8.4, 8.4); Calibrated: 08.30.2019;
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1373; Calibrated: 07.27.2020
- Phantom: SAM 5.0; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:1765
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

LTE Band 4 100%RB(20MHz) Left Tilted/Low Channel/Area Scan (51x71x1):

Interpolated grid: $dx=1.500 \text{ mm}$, $dy=1.500 \text{ mm}$

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.577 W/kg

LTE Band 4 100%RB(20MHz) Left Tilted/Low Channel/Zoom Scan


(5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=8\text{mm}$, $dy=8\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 16.69 V/m ; Power Drift = 0.07 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.43 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.705 W/kg ; SAR(10 g) = 0.340 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.925 W/kg



0 dB = 0.925 W/kg = -0.34 dBW/kg

Test Laboratory: CCIS

Date/Time: 08.27.2020 11:09:16

DUT: Mobile Phone; Type: LD7; Serial: 2#

Communication System: UID 0, IEEE 802.11b WiFi 2.4 GHz (DSSS, 1 Mbps) (0);

Frequency: 2437 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 2437$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.798$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 38.893$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Right Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3924; ConvF(7.54, 7.54, 7.54); Calibrated: 08.30.2019;
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1373; Calibrated: 07.27.2020
- Phantom: SAM 5.0; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:1765
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

WIFI Right Cheek/Middle Channel/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement

grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 4.551 V/m; Power Drift = 0.03 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.320 W/kg


SAR(1 g) = 0.156 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.073 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.208 W/kg

WIFI Right Cheek/Middle Channel/Area Scan (51x71x1): Interpolated grid:

dx=1.200 mm, dy=1.200 mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.182 W/kg



Test Laboratory: CCIS

Date/Time: 08.18.2020 10:13:45

DUT: Mobile Phone; Type: LD7; Serial: 2#

Communication System: UID 0, IEEE 802.11ac80 WiFi 5GHz (0); Frequency: 5210 MHz;

Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: $f = 5210 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 4.622 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 36.014$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3924; ConvF(5.48, 5.48, 5.48); Calibrated: 08.30.2019;
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1373; Calibrated: 07.27.2020
- Phantom: SAM 5.0; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:1765
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

5.2G WIFI Right Cheek/Middle Channel/Area Scan (51x71x1): Interpolated grid:

$dx=1.000 \text{ mm}$, $dy=1.000 \text{ mm}$

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.149 W/kg

5.2G WIFI Right Cheek/Middle Channel/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:


Measurement grid: $dx=4\text{mm}$, $dy=4\text{mm}$, $dz=2\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 0.9580 V/m ; Power Drift = -0.14 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.711 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.167 W/kg ; SAR(10 g) = 0.035 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.439 W/kg



Test Laboratory: CCIS

Date/Time: 08.18.2020 14:57:56

DUT: Mobile Phone; Type: LD7; Serial: 2#

Communication System: UID 0, IEEE 802.11a WiFi 5GHz (0); Frequency: 5785 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 5825$ MHz; $\sigma = 5.324$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 34.426$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Right Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3924; ConvF(4.98, 4.98, 4.98); Calibrated: 08.30.2019;
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1373; Calibrated: 07.27.2020
- Phantom: SAM 5.0; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:1765
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

5.8G WIFI Right Cheek/High Channel/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:

Measurement grid: dx=4mm, dy=4mm, dz=2mm

Reference Value = 1.325 V/m; Power Drift = -0.13 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.834 W/kg


SAR(1 g) = 0.128 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.032 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.361 W/kg

5.8G WIFI Right Cheek/High Channel/Area Scan (51x71x1): Interpolated grid:

dx=1.000 mm, dy=1.000 mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.191 W/kg



Test Laboratory: CCIS

Date/Time: 08.25.2020 17:49:44

DUT: Mobile Phone; Type: LD7; Serial: 2#

Communication System: UID 0, GSM (0); Frequency: 824.2 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.30042
Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 824.2$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.902$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 41.978$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³
Phantom section: Left Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3924; ConvF(9.67, 9.67, 9.67); Calibrated: 08.30.2019;
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1373; Calibrated: 07.27.2020
- Phantom: SAM 5.0; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:1765
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

GSM 850 Body Back/Low Channel/Area Scan (51x71x1): Interpolated grid:

$dx=1.500$ mm, $dy=1.500$ mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.308 W/kg

GSM 850 Body Back/Low Channel/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement


grid: $dx=8$ mm, $dy=8$ mm, $dz=5$ mm

Reference Value = 12.68 V/m; Power Drift = 0.09 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.419 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.243 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.154 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.284 W/kg



Test Laboratory: CCIS

Date/Time: 08.28.2020 18:12:51

DUT: Mobile Phone; Type: LD7; Serial: 2#

Communication System: UID 0, GSM (0); Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.30042
Medium parameters used: $f = 1880 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 1.421 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 39.644$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$
Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 – SN3924; ConvF(8.17, 8.17, 8.17); Calibrated: 08.30.2019;
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1373; Calibrated: 07.27.2020
- Phantom: SAM 5.0; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:1765
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

GSM 1900 Body Back/Middle Channel/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=8\text{mm}$, $dy=8\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 6.183 V/m; Power Drift = 0.11 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.528 W/kg


SAR(1 g) = 0.294 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.156 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.347 W/kg

GSM 1900 Body Back/Middle Channel/Area Scan (51x71x1): Interpolated grid:

$dx=1.500 \text{ mm}$, $dy=1.500 \text{ mm}$

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.325 W/kg



Test Laboratory: CCIS

Date/Time: 08.25.2020 19:24:00

DUT: Mobile Phone; Type: LD7; Serial: 2#

Communication System: UID 0, UMTS-FDD(WCDMA) (0); Frequency: 826.4 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 826.4$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.903$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 41.945$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Left Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3924; ConvF(9.67, 9.67, 9.67); Calibrated: 08.30.2019;
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1373; Calibrated: 07.27.2020
- Phantom: SAM 5.0; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:1765
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

WCDMA 850 Body Back/Low Channel/Area Scan (51x71x1): Interpolated grid:
dx=1.500 mm, dy=1.500 mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.242 W/kg

WCDMA 850 Body Back/Low Channel/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:


Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 9.140 V/m; Power Drift = 0.10 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.324 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.189 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.119 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.220 W/kg



Test Laboratory: CCIS

Date/Time: 08.28.2020 14:46:06

DUT: Mobile Phone; Type: LD7; Serial: 2#

Communication System: UID 0, UMTS-FDD(WCDMA) (0); Frequency: 1712.4 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 1712.4$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.373$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 40.327$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 – SN3924; ConvF(8.4, 8.4, 8.4); Calibrated: 08.30.2019;
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1373; Calibrated: 07.27.2020
- Phantom: SAM 5.0; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:1765
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

WCDMA 1700 Body Back/Low Channel/Area Scan (51x71x1): Interpolated grid:
 $dx=1.500$ mm, $dy=1.500$ mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.440 W/kg

WCDMA 1700 Body Back/Low Channel/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:


Measurement grid: $dx=8$ mm, $dy=8$ mm, $dz=5$ mm

Reference Value = 6.690 V/m; Power Drift = 0.09 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.642 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.361 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.192 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.412 W/kg



Test Laboratory: CCIS

Date/Time: 08.28.2020 13:39:29

DUT: Mobile Phone; Type: LD7; Serial: 2#

Communication System: UID 0, UMTS-FDD(WCDMA) (0); Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 1880$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.421$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 39.644$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 – SN3924; ConvF(8.17, 8.17, 8.17); Calibrated: 08.30.2019;
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1373; Calibrated: 07.27.2020
- Phantom: SAM 5.0; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:1765
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

WCDMA 1900 Body Back/Middle Channel/Area Scan (51x71x1): Interpolated grid: $dx=1.500$ mm, $dy=1.500$ mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 1.06 W/kg

WCDMA 1900 Body Back/Middle Channel/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:


Measurement grid: $dx=8$ mm, $dy=8$ mm, $dz=5$ mm

Reference Value = 7.981 V/m; Power Drift = 0.18 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.37 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.728 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.372 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.861 W/kg



Test Laboratory: CCIS

Date/Time: 08.29.2020 00:43:04

DUT: Mobile Phone; Type: LD7; Serial: 2#

Communication System: UID 0, LTE-Fdd(USA) 1RB QPSK (0); Frequency: 1880 MHz;

Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: $f = 1880$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.421$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 39.644$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 – SN3924; ConvF(8.17, 8.17, 8.17); Calibrated: 08.30.2019;
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1373; Calibrated: 07.27.2020
- Phantom: SAM 5.0; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:1765
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

LTE Band 2 1RB(20MHz) Body Back/Middle Channel/Area Scan (51x71x1):

Interpolated grid: $dx=1.500$ mm, $dy=1.500$ mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.429 W/kg

LTE Band 2 1RB(20MHz) Body Back/Middle Channel/Zoom Scan


(5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=8$ mm, $dy=8$ mm, $dz=5$ mm

Reference Value = 8.070 V/m; Power Drift = 0.08 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.709 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.389 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.208 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.475 W/kg



0 dB = 0.475 W/kg = -3.23 dBW/kg

Test Laboratory: CCIS

Date/Time: 08.29.2020 02:55:27

DUT: Mobile Phone; Type: LD7; Serial: 2#

Communication System: UID 0, LTE-Fdd(USA) 1RB QPSK (0); Frequency: 1732.5 MHz;

Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 1732.5$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.38$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 40.244$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 – SN3924; ConvF(8.4, 8.4, 8.4); Calibrated: 08.30.2019;
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1373; Calibrated: 07.27.2020
- Phantom: SAM 5.0; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:1765
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

LTE Band 4 1RB(20MHz) Body Back/Middle Channel/Area Scan (51x71x1):

Interpolated grid: $dx=1.500$ mm, $dy=1.500$ mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.471 W/kg

LTE Band 4 1RB(20MHz) Body Back/Middle Channel/Zoom Scan


(5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=8$ mm, $dy=8$ mm, $dz=5$ mm

Reference Value = 7.163 V/m; Power Drift = 0.14 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.700 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.393 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.209 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.476 W/kg



Test Laboratory: CCIS

Date/Time: 08.27.2020 14:47:53

DUT: Mobile Phone; Type:LD7; Serial: 2#

Communication System: UID 0, LTE-Fdd(USA) 1RB QPSK (0); Frequency: 2535 MHz;

Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: $f = 2535 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 1.911 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 38.357$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Left Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3924; ConvF(7.54, 7.54, 7.54); Calibrated: 08.30.2019;
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1373; Calibrated: 07.27.2020
- Phantom: SAM 5.0; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:1765
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

LTE Band 7 1RB(20MHz) Body Back/Middle Channel/Zoom Scan

(5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=5\text{mm}$, $dy=5\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 2.759 V/m; Power Drift = 0.01 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.775 W/kg


SAR(1 g) = 0.356 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.164 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.464 W/kg

LTE Band 7 1RB(20MHz) Body Back/Middle Channel/Area Scan (51x71x1):

Interpolated grid: $dx=1.200 \text{ mm}$, $dy=1.200 \text{ mm}$

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.508 W/kg



0 dB = 0.508 W/kg = -2.94 dBW/kg

Test Laboratory: CCIS

Date/Time: 08.26.2020 23:58:20

DUT: Mobile Phone; Type: LD7; Serial: 2#

Communication System: UID 0, LTE-Fdd(USA) 1RB QPSK (0); Frequency: 829 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 829 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 0.907 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 41.906$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3924; ConvF(9.67, 9.67, 9.67); Calibrated: 08.30.2019;
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1373; Calibrated: 07.27.2020
- Phantom: SAM 5.0; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:1765
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

LTE Band 5 1RB(10MHz) Body Back/Low Channel/Area Scan (51x71x1):

Interpolated grid: $dx=1.500 \text{ mm}$, $dy=1.500 \text{ mm}$

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.304 W/kg

LTE Band 5 1RB(10MHz) Body Back/Low Channel/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube


0: Measurement grid: $dx=8\text{mm}$, $dy=8\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 13.75 V/m ; Power Drift = 0.08 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.438 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.255 W/kg ; SAR(10 g) = 0.158 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.298 W/kg



Test Laboratory: CCIS

Date/Time: 08.27.2020 16:59:27

DUT: Mobile Phone; Type: LD7; Serial: 2#

Communication System: UID 0, LTE-TDD(USA) 20MHz 1RB QPSK (0); Frequency: 2580 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1.59956

Medium parameters used: $f = 2580$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.971$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 38.342$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 – SN3924; ConvF(7.3, 7.3, 7.3); Calibrated: 08.30.2019;
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1373; Calibrated: 07.27.2020
- Phantom: SAM 5.0; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:1765
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

LTE Band 38 1RB(20MHz) Body Back/Low Channel/Area Scan (51x71x1):

Interpolated grid: dx=1.200 mm, dy=1.200 mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.189 W/kg

LTE Band 38 1RB(20MHz) Body Back/Low Channel/Zoom Scan


(5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 1.348 V/m; Power Drift = 0.15 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.306 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.139 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.062 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.181 W/kg



0 dB = 0.181 W/kg = -7.42 dBW/kg

Test Laboratory: CCIS

Date/Time: 08.27.2020 23:39:09

DUT: Mobile Phone; Type: LD7; Serial: 2#

Communication System: UID 0, LTE-TDD(USA) 20MHz 1RB QPSK (0); Frequency: 2645 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1.59956

Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 2645$ MHz; $\sigma = 2.011$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 37.885$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 – SN3924; ConvF(7.3, 7.3, 7.3); Calibrated: 08.30.2019;
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1373; Calibrated: 07.27.2020
- Phantom: SAM 5.0; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:1765
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

LTE Band 41 1RB(20MHz) Body Back/High Channel/Area Scan (51x71x1):

Interpolated grid: $dx=1.200$ mm, $dy=1.200$ mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.253 W/kg

LTE Band 41 1RB(20MHz) Body Back/High Channel/Zoom Scan


(5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=5$ mm, $dy=5$ mm, $dz=5$ mm

Reference Value = 1.816 V/m; Power Drift = 0.03 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.398 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.174 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.074 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.218 W/kg



0 dB = 0.218 W/kg = -6.62 dBW/kg

Test Laboratory: CCIS

Date/Time: 08.29.2020 01:55:46

DUT: Mobile Phone; Type: LD7; Serial: 2#

Communication System: UID 0, LTE-FDD(USA) 20MHz 50%RB QPSK (0); Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: $f = 1880 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 1.421 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 39.644$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 – SN3924; ConvF(8.17, 8.17, 8.17); Calibrated: 08.30.2019;
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1373; Calibrated: 07.27.2020
- Phantom: SAM 5.0; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:1765
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

LTE Band 2 50%RB(20MHz) Body Back/Middle Channel/Area Scan

(51x71x1): Interpolated grid: $dx=1.500 \text{ mm}$, $dy=1.500 \text{ mm}$

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.428 W/kg

LTE Band 2 50%RB(20MHz) Body Back/Middle Channel/Zoom Scan


(5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=8\text{mm}$, $dy=8\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 8.033 V/m; Power Drift = 0.05 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.705 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.387 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.206 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.471 W/kg



Test Laboratory: CCIS

Date/Time: 08.30.2020 04:14:14

DUT: Mobile Phone; Type: LD7; Serial: 2#

Communication System: UID 0, LTE-FDD(USA) 20MHz 50%RB QPSK (0); Frequency: 1732.5 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 1732.5$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.38$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 40.244$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 – SN3924; ConvF(8.4, 8.4, 8.4); Calibrated: 08.30.2019;
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1373; Calibrated: 07.27.2020
- Phantom: SAM 5.0; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:1765
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

LTE Band 4 50%RB(20MHz) Body Back/Middle Channel/Area Scan

(51x71x1): Interpolated grid: $dx=1.500$ mm, $dy=1.500$ mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.465 W/kg

LTE Band 4 50%RB(20MHz) Body Back/Middle Channel/Zoom Scan


(5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=8$ mm, $dy=8$ mm, $dz=5$ mm

Reference Value = 7.099 V/m; Power Drift = 0.10 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.695 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.389 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.207 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.470 W/kg



Test Laboratory: CCIS

Date/Time: 08.27.2020 15:56:09

DUT: Mobile Phone; Type:LD7; Serial: 2#

Communication System: UID 0, LTE-FDD(USA) 20MHz 50%RB QPSK (0); Frequency: 2535 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 2535$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.911$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 38.357$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3924; ConvF(7.54, 7.54, 7.54); Calibrated: 08.30.2019;
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1373; Calibrated: 07.27.2020
- Phantom: SAM 5.0; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:1765
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

LTE Band 7 50%RB(20MHz) Body Back/Middle Channel/Zoom Scan

(5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 3.584 V/m; Power Drift = 0.18 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.812 W/kg


SAR(1 g) = 0.373 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.172 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.485 W/kg

LTE Band 7 50%RB(20MHz) Body Back/Middle Channel/Area Scan

(51x71x1): Interpolated grid: dx=1.200 mm, dy=1.200 mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.496 W/kg



0 dB = 0.496 W/kg = -3.05 dBW/kg

Test Laboratory: CCIS

Date/Time: 08.25.2020 00:45:39

DUT: Mobile Phone; Type: LD7; Serial: 2#

Communication System: UID 0, LTE-FDD (USA) 10MHz 50%RB QPSK (0); Frequency: 829 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 829 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 0.907 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 41.906$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3924; ConvF(9.67, 9.67, 9.67); Calibrated: 08.30.2019;
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1373; Calibrated: 07.27.2020
- Phantom: SAM 5.0; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:1765
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

LTE Band 5 50%RB(10MHz) Body Back/Low Channel/Area Scan (51x71x1):

Interpolated grid: $dx=1.500 \text{ mm}$, $dy=1.500 \text{ mm}$

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.300 W/kg

LTE Band 5 50%RB(10MHz) Body Back/Low Channel/Zoom Scan


(5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=8\text{mm}$, $dy=8\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 13.27 V/m ; Power Drift = 0.00 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.433 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.252 W/kg ; SAR(10 g) = 0.156 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.290 W/kg



Test Laboratory: CCIS

Date/Time: 08.27.2020 18:14:38

DUT: Mobile Phone; Type: LD7; Serial: 2#

Communication System: UID 0, LTE-TDD(USA) 20MHz 50%RB QPSK (0); Frequency: 2610 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1.59956

Medium parameters used: $f = 2610$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.989$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 38.037$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 – SN3924; ConvF(7.3, 7.3, 7.3); Calibrated: 08.30.2019;
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1373; Calibrated: 07.27.2020
- Phantom: SAM 5.0; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:1765
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

LTE Band 38 50%RB(20MHz) Body Back/High Channel/Area Scan (51x71x1):

Interpolated grid: dx=1.200 mm, dy=1.200 mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.171 W/kg

LTE Band 38 50%RB(20MHz) Body Back/High Channel/Zoom Scan


(5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 1.450 V/m; Power Drift = 0.04 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.420 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.183 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.079 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.249 W/kg



Test Laboratory: CCIS

Date/Time: 08.29.2020 01:12:15

DUT: Mobile Phone; Type: LD7; Serial: 2#

Communication System: UID 0, LTE-TDD(USA) 20MHz 50%RB QPSK (0); Frequency: 2645 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1.59956

Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 2645$ MHz; $\sigma = 2.011$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 37.885$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 – SN3924; ConvF(7.3, 7.3, 7.3); Calibrated: 08.30.2019;
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1373; Calibrated: 07.27.2020
- Phantom: SAM 5.0; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:1765
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

LTE Band 41 50%RB(20MHz) Body Back/High Channel/Area Scan (51x71x1):

Interpolated grid: $dx=1.200$ mm, $dy=1.200$ mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.197 W/kg

LTE Band 41 50%RB(20MHz) Body Back/High Channel/Zoom Scan


(5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=5$ mm, $dy=5$ mm, $dz=5$ mm

Reference Value = 1.673 V/m; Power Drift = 0.23 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.331 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.145 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.062 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.187 W/kg



0 dB = 0.187 W/kg = -7.28 dBW/kg

Test Laboratory: CCIS

Date/Time: 08.27.2020 09:49:47

DUT: Mobile Phone; Type: LD7; Serial: 2#

Communication System: UID 0, IEEE 802.11b WiFi 2.4 GHz (DSSS, 1 Mbps) (0);

Frequency: 2437 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 2437$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.798$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 38.893$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3924; ConvF(7.54, 7.54, 7.54); Calibrated: 08.30.2019;
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1373; Calibrated: 07.27.2020
- Phantom: SAM 5.0; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:1765
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

WIFI Body Back/Middle Channel/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid:

dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 1.826 V/m; Power Drift = 0.32 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.210 W/kg


SAR(1 g) = 0.089 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.040 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.120 W/kg

WIFI Body Back/Middle Channel/Area Scan (51x71x1): Interpolated grid:

dx=1.200 mm, dy=1.200 mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.134 W/kg



Test Laboratory: CCIS

Date/Time: 08.18.2020 11:51:15

DUT: Mobile Phone; Type: LD7; Serial: 2#

Communication System: UID 0, IEEE 802.11ac80 WiFi 5GHz (0); Frequency: 5210 MHz;

Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: $f = 5210 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 4.622 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 36.014$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3924; ConvF(5.48, 5.48, 5.48); Calibrated: 08.30.2019;
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1373; Calibrated: 07.27.2020
- Phantom: SAM 5.0; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:1765
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

5.2G WIFI Body Back/Middle Channel/Area Scan (51x71x1): Interpolated grid:

$dx=1.000 \text{ mm}$, $dy=1.000 \text{ mm}$

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.406 W/kg

5.2G WIFI Body Back/Middle Channel/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:


Measurement grid: $dx=4\text{mm}$, $dy=4\text{mm}$, $dz=2\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 0.1330 V/m ; Power Drift = -0.02 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.503 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.145 W/kg ; SAR(10 g) = 0.047 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.343 W/kg



Test Laboratory: CCIS

Date/Time: 08.18.2020 13:22:11

DUT: Mobile Phone; Type: LD7; Serial: 2#

Communication System: UID 0, IEEE 802.11a WiFi 5GHz (0); Frequency: 5825 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 5825$ MHz; $\sigma = 5.324$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 34.426$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3924; ConvF(4.98, 4.98, 4.98); Calibrated: 08.30.2019;
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1373; Calibrated: 07.27.2020
- Phantom: SAM 5.0; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:1765
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

5.8G WIFI Body Back/High Channel/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement

grid: dx=4mm, dy=4mm, dz=2mm

Reference Value = 0 V/m; Power Drift = 0.00 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.413 W/kg


SAR(1 g) = 0.134 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.045 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.335 W/kg

5.8G WIFI Body Back/High Channel/Area Scan (51x71x1): Interpolated grid:

dx=1.000 mm, dy=1.000 mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.310 W/kg



0 dB = 0.310 W/kg = -5.09 dBW/kg

Test Laboratory: CCIS

Date/Time: 08.25.2020 18:07:40

DUT: Mobile Phone; Type: LD7; Serial: 2#

Communication System: UID 0, GPRS(2 Slots) (0); Frequency: 836.6 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:4.10015

Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 836.6$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.913$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 41.819$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3924; ConvF(9.67, 9.67, 9.67); Calibrated: 08.30.2019;
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1373; Calibrated: 07.27.2020
- Phantom: SAM 5.0; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:1765
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

GPRS 850 2Slots Body Back/Middle Channel/Area Scan (51x71x1): Interpolated grid: dx=1.500 mm, dy=1.500 mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.448 W/kg

GPRS 850 2Slots Body Back/Middle Channel/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:


Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 15.42 V/m; Power Drift = -0.03 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.600 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.349 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.221 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.407 W/kg



Test Laboratory: CCIS

Date/Time: 08.28.2020 19:09:16

DUT: Mobile Phone; Type: LD7; Serial: 2#

Communication System: UID 0, GPRS(2 Slots) (0); Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:4.10015

Medium parameters used: $f = 1880 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 1.421 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 39.644$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 – SN3924; ConvF(8.17, 8.17, 8.17); Calibrated: 08.30.2019;
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1373; Calibrated: 07.27.2020
- Phantom: SAM 5.0; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:1765
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

GPRS 1900 2Slots Body Top/Middle Channel/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:

Measurement grid: $dx=8\text{mm}$, $dy=8\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 18.36 V/m; Power Drift = -0.28 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.922 W/kg


SAR(1 g) = 0.502 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.256 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.621 W/kg

GPRS 1900 2Slots Body Top/Middle Channel/Area Scan (41x51x1): Interpolated

grid: $dx=1.500 \text{ mm}$, $dy=1.500 \text{ mm}$

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.579 W/kg



Test Laboratory: CCIS

Date/Time: 08.28.2020 15:01:52

DUT: Mobile Phone; Type: LD7; Serial: 2#

Communication System: UID 0, UMTS-FDD(WCDMA) (0); Frequency: 1712.4 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 1712.4$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.373$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 40.327$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 – SN3924; ConvF(8.4, 8.4, 8.4); Calibrated: 08.30.2019;
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1373; Calibrated: 07.27.2020
- Phantom: SAM 5.0; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:1765
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

WCDMA 1700 Body Top/Low Channel/Area Scan (41x51x1): Interpolated grid:

$dx=1.500$ mm, $dy=1.500$ mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.489 W/kg

WCDMA 1700 Body Top/Low Channel/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:


Measurement grid: $dx=8$ mm, $dy=8$ mm, $dz=5$ mm

Reference Value = 15.93 V/m; Power Drift = 0.07 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.809 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.421 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.206 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.539 W/kg



Test Laboratory: CCIS

Date/Time: 08.28.2020 13:54:15

DUT: Mobile Phone; Type: LD7; Serial: 2#

Communication System: UID 0, UMTS-FDD(WCDMA) (0); Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 1880$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.421$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 39.644$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 – SN3924; ConvF(8.17, 8.17, 8.17); Calibrated: 08.30.2019;
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1373; Calibrated: 07.27.2020
- Phantom: SAM 5.0; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:1765
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

WCDMA 1900 Body Top/Middle Channel/Area Scan (41x51x1): Interpolated grid: $dx=1.500$ mm, $dy=1.500$ mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.912 W/kg

WCDMA 1900 Body Top/Middle Channel/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:


Measurement grid: $dx=8$ mm, $dy=8$ mm, $dz=5$ mm

Reference Value = 23.48 V/m; Power Drift = 0.09 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.41 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.742 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.370 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.945 W/kg



Test Laboratory: CCIS

Date/Time: 08.29.2020 01:16:28

DUT: Mobile Phone; Type: LD7; Serial: 2#

Communication System: UID 0, LTE-Fdd(USA) 1RB QPSK (0); Frequency: 1880 MHz;

Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: $f = 1880 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 1.421 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 39.644$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 – SN3924; ConvF(8.17, 8.17, 8.17); Calibrated: 08.30.2019;
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1373; Calibrated: 07.27.2020
- Phantom: SAM 5.0; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:1765
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

LTE Band 2 1RB(20MHz) Body Top/Middle Channel/Area Scan (41x51x1):

Interpolated grid: $dx=1.500 \text{ mm}$, $dy=1.500 \text{ mm}$

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.770 W/kg

LTE Band 2 1RB(20MHz) Body Top/Middle Channel/Zoom Scan


(5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=8\text{mm}$, $dy=8\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 21.71 V/m ; Power Drift = 0.00 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.15 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.593 W/kg ; SAR(10 g) = 0.291 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.751 W/kg



0 dB = 0.751 W/kg = -1.24 dBW/kg

Test Laboratory: CCIS

Date/Time: 08.29.2020 03:11:20

DUT: Mobile Phone; Type: LD7; Serial: 2#

Communication System: UID 0, LTE-Fdd(USA) 1RB QPSK (0); Frequency: 1732.5 MHz;

Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 1732.5$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.38$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 40.244$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 – SN3924; ConvF(8.4, 8.4, 8.4); Calibrated: 08.30.2019;
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1373; Calibrated: 07.27.2020
- Phantom: SAM 5.0; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:1765
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

LTE Band 4 1RB(20MHz) Body Top/Middle Channel/Area Scan (41x51x1):

Interpolated grid: $dx=1.500$ mm, $dy=1.500$ mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.619 W/kg

LTE Band 4 1RB(20MHz) Body Top/Middle Channel/Zoom Scan


(5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=8$ mm, $dy=8$ mm, $dz=5$ mm

Reference Value = 18.61 V/m; Power Drift = 0.03 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.939 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.495 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.243 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.626 W/kg



0 dB = 0.626 W/kg = -2.03 dBW/kg

Test Laboratory: CCIS

Date/Time: 08.27.2020 17:35:14

DUT: Mobile Phone; Type: LD7; Serial: 2#

Communication System: UID 0, LTE-TDD(USA) 20MHz 1RB QPSK (0); Frequency: 2580 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1.59956

Medium parameters used: $f = 2580 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 1.971 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 38.342$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 – SN3924; ConvF(7.3, 7.3, 7.3); Calibrated: 08.30.2019;
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1373; Calibrated: 07.27.2020
- Phantom: SAM 5.0; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:1765
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

LTE Band 38 1RB(20MHz) Body Top/Low Channel/Area Scan (41x51x1):

Interpolated grid: $dx=1.200 \text{ mm}$, $dy=1.200 \text{ mm}$

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.208 W/kg

LTE Band 38 1RB(20MHz) Body Top/Low Channel/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube


0: Measurement grid: $dx=5\text{mm}$, $dy=5\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 8.785 V/m ; Power Drift = -0.25 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.455 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.185 W/kg ; SAR(10 g) = 0.078 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.220 W/kg



Test Laboratory: CCIS

Date/Time: 08.28.2020 00:08:31

DUT: Mobile Phone; Type: LD7; Serial: 2#

Communication System: UID 0, LTE-TDD(USA) 20MHz 1RB QPSK (0); Frequency: 2645 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1.59956

Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 2645$ MHz; $\sigma = 2.045$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 37.805$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 – SN3924; ConvF(7.3, 7.3, 7.3); Calibrated: 08.30.2019;
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1373; Calibrated: 07.27.2020
- Phantom: SAM 5.0; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:1765
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

LTE Band 41 1RB(20MHz) Body Top/High Channel/Area Scan (41x51x1):

Interpolated grid: $dx=1.200$ mm, $dy=1.200$ mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.168 W/kg

LTE Band 41 1RB(20MHz) Body Top/High Channel/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube


0: Measurement grid: $dx=5$ mm, $dy=5$ mm, $dz=5$ mm

Reference Value = 7.310 V/m; Power Drift = -0.20 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.447 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.183 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.076 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.219 W/kg



Test Laboratory: CCIS

Date/Time: 08.29.2020 01:30:25

DUT: Mobile Phone; Type: LD7; Serial: 2#

Communication System: UID 0, LTE-FDD(USA) 20MHz 50%RB QPSK (0); Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: $f = 1880 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 1.421 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 39.644$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 – SN3924; ConvF(8.17, 8.17, 8.17); Calibrated: 08.30.2019;
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1373; Calibrated: 07.27.2020
- Phantom: SAM 5.0; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:1765
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

LTE Band 2 50%RB(20MHz) Body Top/Middle Channel/Area Scan (41x51x1):

Interpolated grid: $dx=1.500 \text{ mm}$, $dy=1.500 \text{ mm}$

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.769 W/kg

LTE Band 2 50%RB(20MHz) Body Top/Middle Channel/Zoom Scan


(5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=8\text{mm}$, $dy=8\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 21.62 V/m ; Power Drift = -0.00 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.15 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.592 W/kg ; SAR(10 g) = 0.290 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.751 W/kg



0 dB = 0.751 W/kg = -1.24 dBW/kg

Test Laboratory: CCIS

Date/Time: 08.30.2020 03:55:33

DUT: Mobile Phone; Type: LD7; Serial: 2#

Communication System: UID 0, LTE-FDD(USA) 20MHz 50%RB QPSK (0); Frequency: 1732.5 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 1732.5$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.38$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 40.244$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 – SN3924; ConvF(8.4, 8.4, 8.4); Calibrated: 08.30.2019;
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1373; Calibrated: 07.27.2020
- Phantom: SAM 5.0; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:1765
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

LTE Band 4 50%RB(20MHz) Body Top/Middle Channel/Area Scan (41x51x1):

Interpolated grid: $dx=1.500$ mm, $dy=1.500$ mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.581 W/kg

LTE Band 4 50%RB(20MHz) Body Top/Middle Channel/Zoom Scan


(5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=8$ mm, $dy=8$ mm, $dz=5$ mm

Reference Value = 17.95 V/m; Power Drift = 0.00 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.885 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.463 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.227 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.589 W/kg



Test Laboratory: CCIS

Date/Time: 08.27.2020 17:50:13

DUT: Mobile Phone; Type: LD7; Serial: 2#

Communication System: UID 0, LTE-TDD(USA) 20MHz 50%RB QPSK (0); Frequency: 2610 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1.59956

Medium parameters used: $f = 2610$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.989$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 38.037$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 – SN3924; ConvF(7.3, 7.3, 7.3); Calibrated: 08.30.2019;
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1373; Calibrated: 07.27.2020
- Phantom: SAM 5.0; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:1765
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

LTE Band 38 50%RB(20MHz) Body Top/High Channel/Area Scan (41x51x1):

Interpolated grid: dx=1.200 mm, dy=1.200 mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.196 W/kg

LTE Band 38 50%RB(20MHz) Body Top/High Channel/Zoom Scan


(5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 8.221 V/m; Power Drift = -0.26 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.434 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.196 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.086 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.261W/kg



Test Laboratory: CCIS

Date/Time: 08.28.2020 00:53:52

DUT: Mobile Phone; Type: LD7; Serial: 2#

Communication System: UID 0, LTE-TDD(USA) 20MHz 50%RB QPSK (0); Frequency: 2645 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1.59956

Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 2645$ MHz; $\sigma = 2.011$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 37.885$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 – SN3924; ConvF(7.3, 7.3, 7.3); Calibrated: 08.30.2019;
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1373; Calibrated: 07.27.2020
- Phantom: SAM 5.0; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:1765
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

LTE Band 41 50%RB(20MHz) Body Top/High Channel/Area Scan (41x51x1):

Interpolated grid: dx=1.200 mm, dy=1.200 mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.154 W/kg

LTE Band 41 50%RB(20MHz) Body Top/High Channel/Zoom Scan


(5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 7.098 V/m; Power Drift = -0.37 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.414 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.172 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.070 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.214 W/kg



Appendix C: Plots of DUT Holder Perturbation Evaluation Test Data

Test Laboratory: CCIS

Date/Time: 08.28.2020 19:58:02

DUT: Mobile Phone; Type: LD7; Serial: 2#

Communication System: UID 0, UMTS-FDD(WCDMA) (0); Frequency: 1852.4 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 1852.4$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.413$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 39.752$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Left Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 – SN3924; ConvF(8.17, 8.17, 8.17); Calibrated: 08.30.2019;
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1373; Calibrated: 07.27.2020
- Phantom: SAM 5.0; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:1765
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

WCDMA 1900 Body Front With Holder/Low Channel/Area Scan (61x101x1):

Interpolated grid: dx=1.500 mm, dy=1.500 mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 1.76 W/kg

WCDMA 1900 Body Front With Holder/Low Channel/Zoom Scan


(5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 1.088 V/m; Power Drift = 0.03 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 4.09 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 1.75 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.768 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.09 W/kg



0 dB = 2.09 W/kg = 3.20 dBW/kg

Test Laboratory: CCIS

Date/Time: 08.28.2020 20:17:21

DUT: Mobile Phone; Type: LD7; Serial: 2#

Communication System: UID 0, UMTS-FDD(WCDMA) (0); Frequency: 1852.4 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 1852.4$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.413$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 39.752$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Left Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 – SN3924; ConvF(8.17, 8.17, 8.17); Calibrated: 08.30.2019;
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1373; Calibrated: 07.27.2020
- Phantom: SAM 5.0; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:1765
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

WCDMA 1900 Body Front Without Holder/Low Channel/Area Scan

(61x101x1): Interpolated grid: $dx=1.500$ mm, $dy=1.500$ mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 2.12 W/kg

WCDMA 1900 Body Front Without Holder/Low Channel/Zoom Scan


(5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=8$ mm, $dy=8$ mm, $dz=5$ mm

Reference Value = 1.479 V/m; Power Drift = 0.34 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.85 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 1.71 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.772 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.28 W/kg



Appendix D: System Calibration Certificate

Calibration information for E-field probes



In Collaboration with
s p e a g
CALIBRATION LABORATORY



中国认可
国际互认
校准
CALIBRATION
CNAS L0570

Add: No.51 Xueyuan Road, Haidian District, Beijing, 100191, China
Tel: +86-10-62304633-2512 Fax: +86-10-62304633-2504
E-mail: ctll@chinattl.com [Http://www.chinattl.cn](http://www.chinattl.cn)

Client

CCIS

Certificate No: Z19-60260

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object EX3DV4 - SN:3924

Calibration Procedure(s)
FF-Z11-004-01
Calibration Procedures for Dosimetric E-field Probes

Calibration date: August 30, 2019

This calibration Certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements(SI). The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature(22±3)°C and humidity<70%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID #	Cal Date(Calibrated by, Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power Meter NRP2	101919	18-Jun-19 (CTTL, No.J19X05125)	Jun-20
Power sensor NRP-Z91	101547	18-Jun-19 (CTTL, No.J19X05125)	Jun-20
Power sensor NRP-Z91	101548	18-Jun-19 (CTTL, No.J19X05125)	Jun-20
Reference10dBAAttenuator	18N50W-10dB	09-Feb-18(CTTL, No.J18X01133)	Feb-20
Reference20dBAAttenuator	18N50W-20dB	09-Feb-18(CTTL, No.J18X01132)	Feb-20
Reference Probe EX3DV4	SN 7307	24-May-19(SPEAG,No.EX3-7307_May19)	May-20
DAE4	SN 1331	06-Feb-19(SPEAG, No.DAE4-1331_Feb19)	Feb -20
DAE4	SN 917	07-Dec-18(SPEAG, No.DAE4-917_Dec18)	Dec -19
Secondary Standards	ID #	Cal Date(Calibrated by, Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
SignalGeneratorMG3700A	6201052605	18-Jun-19 (CTTL, No.J19X05127)	Jun-20
Network Analyzer E5071C	MY46110673	24-Jan-19 (CTTL, No.J19X00547)	Jan -20

	Name	Function	Signature
Calibrated by:	Yu Zongying	SAR Test Engineer	
Reviewed by:	Lin Hao	SAR Test Engineer	
Approved by:	Qi Dianyuan	SAR Project Leader	

Issued: August 31, 2019

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.

Certificate No: Z19-60260

Page 1 of 11



Add: No.51 Xueyuan Road, Haidian District, Beijing, 100191, China
 Tel: +86-10-62304633-2512 Fax: +86-10-62304633-2504
 E-mail: cttl@chinattl.com [Http://www.chinattl.cn](http://www.chinattl.cn)

Glossary:

TSL	tissue simulating liquid
NORM _{x,y,z}	sensitivity in free space
ConvF	sensitivity in TSL / NORM _{x,y,z}
DCP	diode compression point
CF	crest factor (1/duty_cycle) of the RF signal
A,B,C,D	modulation dependent linearization parameters
Polarization Φ	Φ rotation around probe axis
Polarization θ	θ rotation around an axis that is in the plane normal to probe axis (at measurement center), i $\theta=0$ is normal to probe axis

Connector Angle information used in DASY system to align probe sensor X to the robot coordinate system

Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

- IEEE Std 1528-2013, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", June 2013
- IEC 62209-1, "Measurement procedure for the assessment of Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) from hand-held and body-mounted devices used next to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 6 GHz)", July 2016
- IEC 62209-2, "Procedure to determine the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for wireless communication devices used in close proximity to the human body (frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz)", March 2010
- KDB 865664, "SAR Measurement Requirements for 100 MHz to 6 GHz"

Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- NORM_{x,y,z}:** Assessed for E-field polarization $\theta=0$ ($f \leq 900\text{MHz}$ in TEM-cell; $f > 1800\text{MHz}$: waveguide). NORM_{x,y,z} are only intermediate values, i.e., the uncertainties of NORM_{x,y,z} does not effect the E^2 -field uncertainty inside TSL (see below ConvF).
- NORM(f)_{x,y,z} = NORM_{x,y,z} * frequency_response** (see Frequency Response Chart). This linearization is implemented in DASY4 software versions later than 4.2. The uncertainty of the frequency response is included in the stated uncertainty of ConvF.
- DCPx,y,z:** DCP are numerical linearization parameters assessed based on the data of power sweep (no uncertainty required). DCP does not depend on frequency nor media.
- PAR:** PAR is the Peak to Average Ratio that is not calibrated but determined based on the signal characteristics.
- A_{x,y,z}; B_{x,y,z}; C_{x,y,z}; VR_{x,y,z}:** A,B,C are numerical linearization parameters assessed based on the data of power sweep for specific modulation signal. The parameters do not depend on frequency nor media. VR is the maximum calibration range expressed in RMS voltage across the diode.
- ConvF and Boundary Effect Parameters:** Assessed in flat phantom using E-field (or Temperature Transfer Standard for $f \leq 800\text{MHz}$) and inside waveguide using analytical field distributions based on power measurements for $f > 800\text{MHz}$. The same setups are used for assessment of the parameters applied for boundary compensation (alpha, depth) of which typical uncertainty valued are given. These parameters are used in DASY4 software to improve probe accuracy close to the boundary. The sensitivity in TSL corresponds to NORM_{x,y,z} * ConvF whereby the uncertainty corresponds to that given for ConvF. A frequency dependent ConvF is used in DASY version 4.4 and higher which allows extending the validity from $\pm 50\text{MHz}$ to $\pm 100\text{MHz}$.
- Spherical isotropy (3D deviation from isotropy):** in a field of low gradients realized using a flat phantom exposed by a patch antenna.
- Sensor Offset:** The sensor offset corresponds to the offset of virtual measurement center from the probe tip (on probe axis). No tolerance required.
- Connector Angle:** The angle is assessed using the information gained by determining the NORM_x (no uncertainty required).



Add: No.51 Xueyuan Road, Haidian District, Beijing, 100191, China
Tel: +86-10-62304633-2512 Fax: +86-10-62304633-2504
E-mail: cttl@chinattl.com [Http://www.chinattl.cn](http://www.chinattl.cn)

Probe EX3DV4

SN: 3924

Calibrated: August 30, 2019

Calibrated for DASY/EASY Systems

(Note: non-compatible with DASY2 system!)



Add: No.51 Xueyuan Road, Haidian District, Beijing, 100191, China
 Tel: +86-10-62304633-2512 Fax: +86-10-62304633-2504
 E-mail: cttl@chinattl.com [Http://www.chinattl.cn](http://www.chinattl.cn)

DASY/EASY – Parameters of Probe: EX3DV4 – SN: 3924

Basic Calibration Parameters

	Sensor X	Sensor Y	Sensor Z	Unc (k=2)
Norm($\mu V/(V/m)^2$) ^A	0.50	0.42	0.67	±10.0%
DCP(mV) ^B	101.3	100.5	100.2	

Modulation Calibration Parameters

UID	Communication System Name		A dB	B dB/μV	C	D dB	VR mV	Unc ^E (k=2)
0	CW	X	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.00	181.3	±2.3%
		Y	0.0	0.0	1.0		161.5	
		Z	0.0	0.0	1.0		206.8	

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of Measurement multiplied by the coverage factor k=2, which for a normal distribution Corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

^A The uncertainties of Norm X, Y, Z do not affect the E²-field uncertainty inside TSL (see Page 5 and Page 6).

^B Numerical linearization parameter: uncertainty not required.

^E Uncertainty is determined using the max. deviation from linear response applying rectangular distribution and is expressed for the square of the field value.



Add: No.51 Xueyuan Road, Haidian District, Beijing, 100191, China
 Tel: +86-10-62304633-2512 Fax: +86-10-62304633-2504
 E-mail: cttl@chinattl.com [Http://www.chinattl.cn](http://www.chinattl.cn)

DASY/EASY – Parameters of Probe: EX3DV4 – SN: 3924

Calibration Parameter Determined in Head Tissue Simulating Media

f [MHz] ^C	Relative Permittivity ^F	Conductivity (S/m) ^F	ConvF X	ConvF Y	ConvF Z	Alpha ^G	Depth ^G (mm)	Unct. (k=2)
750	41.9	0.89	10.07	10.07	10.07	0.40	0.80	±12.1%
835	41.5	0.90	9.67	9.67	9.67	0.16	1.34	±12.1%
900	41.5	0.97	9.69	9.69	9.69	0.20	1.20	±12.1%
1750	40.1	1.37	8.40	8.40	8.40	0.22	1.07	±12.1%
1900	40.0	1.40	8.17	8.17	8.17	0.28	0.97	±12.1%
2300	39.5	1.67	7.85	7.85	7.85	0.46	0.76	±12.1%
2450	39.2	1.80	7.54	7.54	7.54	0.51	0.75	±12.1%
2600	39.0	1.96	7.30	7.30	7.30	0.60	0.69	±12.1%
5250	35.9	4.71	5.48	5.48	5.48	0.40	1.40	±13.3%
5600	35.5	5.07	4.86	4.86	4.86	0.40	1.40	±13.3%
5750	35.4	5.22	4.98	4.98	4.98	0.45	1.40	±13.3%

^C Frequency validity above 300 MHz of ±100MHz only applies for DASY v4.4 and higher (Page 2), else it is restricted to ±50MHz. The uncertainty is the RSS of ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band. Frequency validity below 300 MHz is ± 10, 25, 40, 50 and 70 MHz for ConvF assessments at 30, 64, 128, 150 and 220 MHz respectively. Above 5 GHz frequency validity can be extended to ± 110 MHz.

^F At frequency below 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters (ϵ and σ) can be relaxed to ±10% if liquid compensation formula is applied to measured SAR values. At frequencies above 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters (ϵ and σ) is restricted to ±5%. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty for indicated target tissue parameters.

^G Alpha/Depth are determined during calibration. SPEAG warrants that the remaining deviation due to the boundary effect after compensation is always less than ± 1% for frequencies below 3 GHz and below ± 2% for the frequencies between 3-6 GHz at any distance larger than half the probe tip diameter from the boundary.



Add: No.51 Xueyuan Road, Haidian District, Beijing, 100191, China
Tel: +86-10-62304633-2512 Fax: +86-10-62304633-2504
E-mail: cttl@chinattl.com <http://www.chinattl.cn>

DASY/EASY – Parameters of Probe: EX3DV4 – SN: 3924

Calibration Parameter Determined in Body Tissue Simulating Media

f [MHz] ^C	Relative Permittivity ^F	Conductivity (S/m) ^F	ConvF X	ConvF Y	ConvF Z	Alpha ^G	Depth ^G (mm)	Unct. (k=2)
750	55.5	0.96	10.07	10.07	10.07	0.17	1.40	± 12.1%
835	55.2	0.97	9.72	9.72	9.72	0.19	1.34	± 12.1%
900	55.0	1.05	9.75	9.75	9.75	0.24	1.14	± 12.1%
1750	53.4	1.49	8.12	8.12	8.12	0.23	1.06	± 12.1%
1900	53.3	1.52	7.83	7.83	7.83	0.23	1.08	± 12.1%
2300	52.9	1.81	7.66	7.66	7.66	0.49	0.88	± 12.1%
2450	52.7	1.95	7.51	7.51	7.51	0.56	0.80	± 12.1%
2600	52.5	2.16	7.26	7.26	7.26	0.64	0.71	± 12.1%
5250	48.9	5.36	4.90	4.90	4.90	0.40	1.70	± 13.3%
5600	48.5	5.77	4.28	4.28	4.28	0.50	1.30	± 13.3%
5750	48.3	5.94	4.32	4.32	4.32	0.55	1.50	± 13.3%

^C Frequency validity above 300 MHz of ±100MHz only applies for DASY v4.4 and higher (Page 2), else it is restricted to ±50MHz. The uncertainty is the RSS of ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band. Frequency validity below 300 MHz is ± 10, 25, 40, 50 and 70 MHz for ConvF assessments at 30, 64, 128, 150 and 220 MHz respectively. Above 5 GHz frequency validity can be extended to ± 110 MHz.


^F At frequency below 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters (ϵ and σ) can be relaxed to ±10% if liquid compensation formula is applied to measured SAR values. At frequencies above 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters (ϵ and σ) is restricted to ±5%. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty for indicated target tissue parameters.

^G Alpha/Depth are determined during calibration. SPEAG warrants that the remaining deviation due to the boundary effect after compensation is always less than ± 1% for frequencies below 3 GHz and below ± 2% for the frequencies between 3-6 GHz at any distance larger than half the probe tip diameter from the boundary.



Add: No.51 Xueyuan Road, Haidian District, Beijing, 100191, China
Tel: +86-10-62304633-2512 Fax: +86-10-62304633-2504
E-mail: cttl@chinattl.com <http://www.chinattl.cn>

Frequency Response of E-Field (TEM-Cell: ifi110 EXX, Waveguide: R22)



Uncertainty of Frequency Response of E-field: $\pm 7.4\%$ ($k=2$)






Add: No.51 Xueyuan Road, Haidian District, Beijing, 100191, China
 Tel: +86-10-62304633-2512 Fax: +86-10-62304633-2504
 E-mail: cttl@chinattl.com [Http://www.chinattl.cn](http://www.chinattl.cn)

Receiving Pattern (Φ), $\theta=0^\circ$

f=600 MHz, TEM



f=1800 MHz, R22





Add: No.51 Xueyuan Road, Haidian District, Beijing, 100191, China
Tel: +86-10-62304633-2512 Fax: +86-10-62304633-2504
E-mail: cttl@chinatl.com [Http://www.chinatl.cn](http://www.chinatl.cn)

Dynamic Range f(SAR_{head}) (TEM cell, f = 900 MHz)



Uncertainty of Linearity Assessment: ±0.9% (k=2)

Certificate No: Z19-60260

Page 9 of 11





Add: No.51 Xueyuan Road, Haidian District, Beijing, 100191, China
Tel: +86-10-62304633-2512 Fax: +86-10-62304633-2504
E-mail: cttl@chinattl.com [Http://www.chinattl.cn](http://www.chinattl.cn)


Conversion Factor Assessment

f=750 MHz, WGLS R9(H_convF)

f=1750 MHz, WGLS R22(H_convF)



Deviation from Isotropy in Liquid





Add: No.51 Xueyuan Road, Haidian District, Beijing, 100191, China
 Tel: +86-10-62304633-2512 Fax: +86-10-62304633-2504
 E-mail: cttl@chinattl.com [Http://www.chinattl.cn](http://www.chinattl.cn)

DASY/EASY – Parameters of Probe: EX3DV4 – SN: 3924

Other Probe Parameters

Sensor Arrangement	Triangular
Connector Angle (°)	159.7
Mechanical Surface Detection Mode	enabled
Optical Surface Detection Mode	disable
Probe Overall Length	337mm
Probe Body Diameter	10mm
Tip Length	9mm
Tip Diameter	2.5mm
Probe Tip to Sensor X Calibration Point	1mm
Probe Tip to Sensor Y Calibration Point	1mm
Probe Tip to Sensor Z Calibration Point	1mm
Recommended Measurement Distance from Surface	1.4mm

Calibration information for Dipole



In Collaboration with
s p e a g
CALIBRATION LABORATORY



中国认可
国际互认
校准
CALIBRATION
CNAS L0570

Add: No.51 Xueyuan Road, Haidian District, Beijing, 100191, China
Tel: +86-10-62304633-2079 Fax: +86-10-62304633-2504
E-mail: cttl@chinattl.com http://www.chinattl.cn

Client

CCIS

Certificate No: Z19-60175

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object D835V2 - SN: 4d154

Calibration Procedure(s) FF-Z11-003-01
Calibration Procedures for dipole validation kits

Calibration date: June 11, 2019

This calibration Certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements(SI). The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature(22±3)℃ and humidity<70%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID #	Cal Date(Calibrated by, Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power Meter NRP2	106277	20-Aug-18 (CTTL, No.J18X06862)	Aug-19
Power sensor NRP8S	104291	20-Aug-18 (CTTL, No.J18X06862)	Aug-19
Reference Probe EX3DV4	SN 7514	27-Aug-18(SPEAG,No.EX3-7514_Aug18)	Aug-19
DAE4	SN 1556	20-Aug-18(SPEAG,No.DAE4-1556_Aug18)	Aug-19
Secondary Standards	ID #	Cal Date(Calibrated by, Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Signal Generator E4438C	MY49071430	23-Jan-19 (CTTL, No.J19X00336)	Jan-20
NetworkAnalyzer E5071C	MY46110673	24-Jan-19 (CTTL, No.J19X00547)	Jan-20

	Name	Function	Signature
Calibrated by:	Zhao Jing	SAR Test Engineer	

Reviewed by:	Lin Hao	SAR Test Engineer	
--------------	---------	-------------------	--

Approved by:	Qi Dianyuan	SAR Project Leader	
--------------	-------------	--------------------	--

Issued: June 14, 2019

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.

Certificate No: Z19-60175

Page 1 of 8



In Collaboration with
s p e a g
CALIBRATION LABORATORY

Add: No.51 Xueyuan Road, Haidian District, Beijing, 100191, China
Tel: +86-10-62304633-2079 Fax: +86-10-62304633-2504
E-mail: ettl@chinattl.com <http://www.chinattl.cn>

Glossary:

TSL	tissue simulating liquid
ConvF	sensitivity in TSL / NORM _{x,y,z}
N/A	not applicable or not measured

Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

- IEEE Std 1528-2013, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", June 2013
- IEC 62209-1, "Measurement procedure for assessment of specific absorption rate of human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices- Part 1: Device used next to the ear (Frequency range of 300MHz to 6GHz)", July 2016
- IEC 62209-2, "Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) For wireless communication devices used in close proximity to the human body (frequency range of 30MHz to 6GHz)", March 2010
- KDB865664, SAR Measurement Requirements for 100 MHz to 6 GHz

Additional Documentation:

- DASY4/5 System Handbook

Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- Measurement Conditions:** Further details are available from the Validation Report at the end of the certificate. All figures stated in the certificate are valid at the frequency indicated.
- Antenna Parameters with TSL:** The dipole is mounted with the spacer to position its feed point exactly below the center marking of the flat phantom section, with the arms oriented parallel to the body axis.
- Feed Point Impedance and Return Loss:** These parameters are measured with the dipole positioned under the liquid filled phantom. The impedance stated is transformed from the measurement at the SMA connector to the feed point. The Return Loss ensures low reflected power. No uncertainty required.
- Electrical Delay:** One-way delay between the SMA connector and the antenna feed point. No uncertainty required.
- SAR measured:** SAR measured at the stated antenna input power.
- SAR normalized:** SAR as measured, normalized to an input power of 1 W at the antenna connector.
- SAR for nominal TSL parameters:** The measured TSL parameters are used to calculate the nominal SAR result.

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of Measurement multiplied by the coverage factor $k=2$, which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.



In Collaboration with
s p e a g
CALIBRATION LABORATORY

Add: No.51 Xueyuan Road, Haidian District, Beijing, 100191, China
Tel: +86-10-62304633-2079 Fax: +86-10-62304633-2504
E-mail: cttl@chinattl.com http://www.chinattl.cn

Measurement Conditions

DASY system configuration, as far as not given on page 1.

DASY Version	DASY52	52.10.2.1504
Extrapolation	Advanced Extrapolation	
Phantom	Triple Flat Phantom 5.1C	
Distance Dipole Center - TSL	15 mm	with Spacer
Zoom Scan Resolution	dx, dy, dz = 5 mm	
Frequency	835 MHz $\pm$ 1 MHz	

Head TSL parameters

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Head TSL parameters	22.0 °C	41.5	0.90 mho/m
Measured Head TSL parameters	(22.0 $\pm$ 0.2) °C	41.1 $\pm$ 6 %	0.89 mho/m $\pm$ 6 %
Head TSL temperature change during test	<1.0 °C	----	----

SAR result with Head TSL

SAR averaged over 1 cm^3 (1 g) of Head TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	2.35 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	9.49 W/kg $\pm$ 18.8 % (k=2)
SAR averaged over 10 cm^3 (10 g) of Head TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	1.57 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	6.33 W/kg $\pm$ 18.7 % (k=2)

Body TSL parameters

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Body TSL parameters	22.0 °C	55.2	0.97 mho/m
Measured Body TSL parameters	(22.0 $\pm$ 0.2) °C	55.0 $\pm$ 6 %	0.97 mho/m $\pm$ 6 %
Body TSL temperature change during test	<1.0 °C	----	----

SAR result with Body TSL

SAR averaged over 1 cm^3 (1 g) of Body TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	2.40 W/kg
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	9.57 W /kg $\pm$ 18.8 % (k=2)
SAR averaged over 10 cm^3 (10 g) of Body TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	1.58 W/kg
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	6.31 W/kg $\pm$ 18.7 % (k=2)



In Collaboration with
s p e a g
CALIBRATION LABORATORY

Add: No.51 Xueyuan Road, Haidian District, Beijing, 100191, China
Tel: +86-10-62304633-2079 Fax: +86-10-62304633-2504
E-mail: cttl@chinattl.com http://www.chinattl.cn

Appendix (Additional assessments outside the scope of CNAS L0570)

Antenna Parameters with Head TSL

Impedance, transformed to feed point	51.9Ω- 3.09jΩ
Return Loss	- 29.0dB

Antenna Parameters with Body TSL

Impedance, transformed to feed point	47.3Ω- 4.87jΩ
Return Loss	- 24.9dB

General Antenna Parameters and Design

Electrical Delay (one direction)	1.277 ns
----------------------------------	----------

After long term use with 100W radiated power, only a slight warming of the dipole near the feedpoint can be measured.

The dipole is made of standard semirigid coaxial cable. The center conductor of the feeding line is directly connected to the second arm of the dipole. The antenna is therefore short-circuited for DC-signals. On some of the dipoles, small end caps are added to the dipole arms in order to improve matching when loaded according to the position as explained in the "Measurement Conditions" paragraph. The SAR data are not affected by this change. The overall dipole length is still according to the Standard.
No excessive force must be applied to the dipole arms, because they might bend or the soldered connections near the feedpoint may be damaged.

Additional EUT Data

Manufactured by	SPEAG
-----------------	-------



In Collaboration with
s p e a g
CALIBRATION LABORATORY

Add: No.51 Xueyuan Road, Haidian District, Beijing, 100191, China
Tel: +86-10-62304633-2079 Fax: +86-10-62304633-2504
E-mail: cttl@chinattl.com http://www.chinattl.cn

DASY5 Validation Report for Head TSL

Date: 06.11.2019

Test Laboratory: CTTL, Beijing, China

DUT: Dipole 835 MHz; Type: D835V2; Serial: D835V2 - SN: 4d154

Communication System: UID 0, CW; Frequency: 835 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: $f = 835 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 0.886 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 41.12$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Right Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN7514; ConvF(9.09, 9.09, 9.09) @ 835 MHz; Calibrated: 8/27/2018
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1556; Calibrated: 8/20/2018
- Phantom: MFP_V5.1C ; Type: QD 000 P51CA; Serial: 1062
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (2); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.12 (7470)


Dipole Calibration/Zoom Scan (7x7x7) (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=5\text{mm}$, $dy=5\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 58.27 V/m; Power Drift = 0.01 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.45 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 2.35 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 1.57 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 3.09 W/kg






In Collaboration with
s p e a g
CALIBRATION LABORATORY

Add: No.51 Xueyuan Road, Haidian District, Beijing, 100191, China
Tel: +86-10-62304633-2079 Fax: +86-10-62304633-2504
E-mail: cttl@chinattl.com http://www.chinattl.cn

Impedance Measurement Plot for Head TSL





In Collaboration with
s p e a g
CALIBRATION LABORATORY

Add: No.51 Xueyuan Road, Haidian District, Beijing, 100191, China
Tel: +86-10-62304633-2079 Fax: +86-10-62304633-2504
E-mail: cttl@chinattl.com http://www.chinattl.cn

DASY5 Validation Report for Body TSL

Date: 06.11.2019

Test Laboratory: CTTL, Beijing, China

DUT: Dipole 835 MHz; Type: D835V2; Serial: D835V2 - SN: 4d154

Communication System: UID 0, CW; Frequency: 835 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: $f = 835$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.973$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 55$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Center Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN7514; ConvF(9.47, 9.47, 9.47) @ 835 MHz; Calibrated: 8/27/2018
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1556; Calibrated: 8/20/2018
- Phantom: MFP_V5.1C ; Type: QD 000 P51CA; Serial: 1062
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (2); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.12 (7470)


Dipole Calibration/Zoom Scan (7x7x7) (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 53.93 V/m; Power Drift = -0.01 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.67 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 2.4 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 1.58 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 3.23 W/kg






In Collaboration with
s p e a g
CALIBRATION LABORATORY

Add: No.51 Xueyuan Road, Haidian District, Beijing, 100191, China
Tel: +86-10-62304633-2079 Fax: +86-10-62304633-2504
E-mail: cttl@chinattl.com http://www.chinattl.cn

Impedance Measurement Plot for Body TSL





SAR Reference Dipole Calibration Report

Ref : ACR.93.5.17.SATU.A

WALTEK SERVICES(SHENZHEN) CO.,LTD
1/F., FUKANGTAI BUILDING, WEST BAIMA ROAD,
SONGGANG STREET
BAOAN DISTRICT, SHENZHEN GUANGDONG
518105, CHINA
MVG COMOSAR REFERENCE DIPOLE
FREQUENCY: 1800 MHZ
SERIAL NO.: SN 09/15 DIP 1G800-360

Calibrated at MVG US
2105 Barrett Park Dr. - Kennesaw, GA 30144



Calibration Date: 02/28/2018

Summary:

This document presents the method and results from an accredited SAR reference dipole calibration performed in MVG USA using the COMOSAR test bench. All calibration results are traceable to national metrology institutions.



SAR REFERENCE DIPOLE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.93.5.17.SATU.A

	Name	Function	Date	Signature
Prepared by :	Jérôme LUC	Product Manager	3/13/2018	<i>JS</i>
Checked by :	Jérôme LUC	Product Manager	3/13/2018	<i>JS</i>
Approved by :	Kim RUTKOWSKI	Quality Manager	3/13/2018	<i>Kim Rutkowski</i>

	Customer Name
Distribution :	Waltek Services (Shenzhen)Co., Ltd

Issue	Date	Modifications
A	3/13/2018	Initial release

Page: 2/11

*This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of MVG.
The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of MVG.*



SAR REFERENCE DIPOLE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.93.5.17.SATU.A

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	Introduction.....	4
2	Device Under Test	4
3	Product Description	4
3.1	General Information	4
4	Measurement Method	5
4.1	Return Loss Requirements	5
4.2	Mechanical Requirements	5
5	Measurement Uncertainty.....	5
5.1	Return Loss	5
5.2	Dimension Measurement	5
5.3	Validation Measurement	5
6	Calibration Measurement Results.....	6
6.1	Return Loss and Impedance In Head Liquid	6
6.2	Return Loss and Impedance In Body Liquid	6
6.3	Mechanical Dimensions	6
7	Validation measurement	7
7.1	Head Liquid Measurement	7
7.2	SAR Measurement Result With Head Liquid	8
7.3	Body Liquid Measurement	9
7.4	SAR Measurement Result With Body Liquid	10
8	List of Equipment	11

Page: 3/11

*This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of MVG.
The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of MVG.*



1 INTRODUCTION

This document contains a summary of the requirements set forth by the IEEE 1528, FCC KDBs and CEI/IEC 62209 standards for reference dipoles used for SAR measurement system validations and the measurements that were performed to verify that the product complies with the fore mentioned standards.

2 DEVICE UNDER TEST

Device Under Test	
Device Type	COMOSAR 1800 MHz REFERENCE DIPOLE
Manufacturer	MVG
Model	SID1800
Serial Number	SN 09/15 DIP 1G800-360
Product Condition (new / used)	New

A yearly calibration interval is recommended.

3 PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

3.1 GENERAL INFORMATION

MVG's COMOSAR Validation Dipoles are built in accordance to the IEEE 1528, FCC KDBs and CEI/IEC 62209 standards. The product is designed for use with the COMOSAR test bench only.



Figure 1 – MVG COMOSAR Validation Dipole

Page: 4/11

*This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of MVG.
The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of MVG.*



4 MEASUREMENT METHOD

The IEEE 1528, FCC KDBs and CEI/IEC 62209 standards provide requirements for reference dipoles used for system validation measurements. The following measurements were performed to verify that the product complies with the fore mentioned standards.

4.1 RETURN LOSS REQUIREMENTS

The dipole used for SAR system validation measurements and checks must have a return loss of -20 dB or better. The return loss measurement shall be performed against a liquid filled flat phantom, with the phantom constructed as outlined in the fore mentioned standards.

4.2 MECHANICAL REQUIREMENTS

The IEEE Std. 1528 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards specify the mechanical components and dimensions of the validation dipoles, with the dimensions frequency and phantom shell thickness dependent. The COMOSAR test bench employs a 2 mm phantom shell thickness therefore the dipoles sold for use with the COMOSAR test bench comply with the requirements set forth for a 2 mm phantom shell thickness.

5 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

All uncertainties listed below represent an expanded uncertainty expressed at approximately the 95% confidence level using a coverage factor of $k=2$, traceable to the Internationally Accepted Guides to Measurement Uncertainty.

5.1 RETURN LOSS

The following uncertainties apply to the return loss measurement:

Frequency band	Expanded Uncertainty on Return Loss
400-6000MHz	0.1 dB

5.2 DIMENSION MEASUREMENT

The following uncertainties apply to the dimension measurements:

Length (mm)	Expanded Uncertainty on Length
3 - 300	0.05 mm

5.3 VALIDATION MEASUREMENT

The guidelines outlined in the IEEE 1528, FCC KDBs, CENELEC EN50361 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards were followed to generate the measurement uncertainty for validation measurements.

Scan Volume	Expanded Uncertainty
1 g	20.3 %

Page: 5/11

*This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of MVG.
The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of MVG.*



SAR REFERENCE DIPOLE CALIBRATION REPORT


Ref: ACR.93.5.17.SATU.A

10 g

20.1 %


6 CALIBRATION MEASUREMENT RESULTS

6.1 RETURN LOSS AND IMPEDANCE IN HEAD LIQUID



Frequency (MHz)	Return Loss (dB)	Requirement (dB)	Impedance
1800	-25.49	-20	$45.4 \Omega + 2.6 j\Omega$

6.2 RETURN LOSS AND IMPEDANCE IN BODY LIQUID



Frequency (MHz)	Return Loss (dB)	Requirement (dB)	Impedance
1800	-26.35	-20	$45.4 \Omega - 1.5 j\Omega$

6.3 MECHANICAL DIMENSIONS

Frequency MHz	L mm		h mm		d mm	
	required	measured	required	measured	required	measured
300	420.0 $\pm$ 1 %		250.0 $\pm$ 1 %		6.35 $\pm$ 1 %	

Page: 6/11

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of MVG.
The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of MVG.



SAR REFERENCE DIPOLE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.93.5.17.SATU.A

450	290.0 ±1 %		166.7 ±1 %		6.35 ±1 %	
750	176.0 ±1 %		100.0 ±1 %		6.35 ±1 %	
835	161.0 ±1 %		89.8 ±1 %		3.6 ±1 %	
900	149.0 ±1 %		83.3 ±1 %		3.6 ±1 %	
1450	89.1 ±1 %		51.7 ±1 %		3.6 ±1 %	
1500	80.5 ±1 %		50.0 ±1 %		3.6 ±1 %	
1640	79.0 ±1 %		45.7 ±1 %		3.6 ±1 %	
1750	75.2 ±1 %		42.9 ±1 %		3.6 ±1 %	
1800	72.0 ±1 %	PASS	41.7 ±1 %	PASS	3.6 ±1 %	PASS
1900	68.0 ±1 %		39.5 ±1 %		3.6 ±1 %	
1950	66.3 ±1 %		38.5 ±1 %		3.6 ±1 %	
2000	64.5 ±1 %		37.5 ±1 %		3.6 ±1 %	
2100	61.0 ±1 %		35.7 ±1 %		3.6 ±1 %	
2300	55.5 ±1 %		32.6 ±1 %		3.6 ±1 %	
2450	51.5 ±1 %		30.4 ±1 %		3.6 ±1 %	
2600	48.5 ±1 %		28.8 ±1 %		3.6 ±1 %	
3000	41.5 ±1 %		25.0 ±1 %		3.6 ±1 %	
3500	37.0 ±1 %		26.4 ±1 %		3.6 ±1 %	
3700	34.7 ±1 %		26.4 ±1 %		3.6 ±1 %	

7 VALIDATION MEASUREMENT

The IEEE Std. 1528, FCC KDBs and CEI/IEC 62209 standards state that the system validation measurements must be performed using a reference dipole meeting the fore mentioned return loss and mechanical dimension requirements. The validation measurement must be performed against a liquid filled flat phantom, with the phantom constructed as outlined in the fore mentioned standards. Per the standards, the dipole shall be positioned below the bottom of the phantom, with the dipole length centered and parallel to the longest dimension of the flat phantom, with the top surface of the dipole at the described distance from the bottom surface of the phantom.

7.1 HEAD LIQUID MEASUREMENT

Frequency MHz	Relative permittivity (ϵ_r)		Conductivity (σ) S/m	
	required	measured	required	measured
300	45.3 ±5 %		0.87 ±5 %	
450	43.5 ±5 %		0.87 ±5 %	
750	41.9 ±5 %		0.89 ±5 %	
835	41.5 ±5 %		0.90 ±5 %	
900	41.5 ±5 %		0.97 ±5 %	
1450	40.5 ±5 %		1.20 ±5 %	
1500	40.4 ±5 %		1.23 ±5 %	
1640	40.2 ±5 %		1.31 ±5 %	
1750	40.1 ±5 %		1.37 ±5 %	

Page: 7/11

*This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of MVG.
The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of MVG.*



SAR REFERENCE DIPOLE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.93.5.17.SATU.A

1800	40.0 ±5 %	PASS	1.40 ±5 %	PASS
1900	40.0 ±5 %		1.40 ±5 %	
1950	40.0 ±5 %		1.40 ±5 %	
2000	40.0 ±5 %		1.40 ±5 %	
2100	39.8 ±5 %		1.49 ±5 %	
2300	39.5 ±5 %		1.67 ±5 %	
2450	39.2 ±5 %		1.80 ±5 %	
2600	39.0 ±5 %		1.96 ±5 %	
3000	38.5 ±5 %		2.40 ±5 %	
3500	37.9 ±5 %		2.91 ±5 %	

7.2 SAR MEASUREMENT RESULT WITH HEAD LIQUID

The IEEE Std. 1528 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards state that the system validation measurements should produce the SAR values shown below (for phantom thickness of 2 mm), within the uncertainty for the system validation. All SAR values are normalized to 1 W forward power. In bracket, the measured SAR is given with the used input power.

Software	OPENSAR V4
Phantom	SN 20/09 SAM71
Probe	SN 18/11 EPG122
Liquid	Head Liquid Values: eps' : 41.7 sigma : 1.46
Distance between dipole center and liquid	10.0 mm
Area scan resolution	dx=8mm/dy=8mm
Zoon Scan Resolution	dx=8mm/dy=8mm/dz=5mm
Frequency	1800 MHz
Input power	20 dBm
Liquid Temperature	21 °C
Lab Temperature	21 °C
Lab Humidity	45 %

Frequency MHz	1 g SAR (W/kg/W)		10 g SAR (W/kg/W)	
	required	measured	required	measured
300	2.85		1.94	
450	4.58		3.06	
750	8.49		5.55	
835	9.56		6.22	
900	10.9		6.99	
1450	29		16	
1500	30.5		16.8	
1640	34.2		18.4	
1750	36.4		19.3	
1800	38.4	38.76 (3.88)	20.1	20.29 (2.03)

Page: 8/11


*This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of MVG.
The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of MVG.*



SAR REFERENCE DIPOLE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.93.5.17.SATU.A

1900	39.7		20.5	
1950	40.5		20.9	
2000	41.1		21.1	
2100	43.6		21.9	
2300	48.7		23.3	
2450	52.4		24	
2600	55.3		24.6	
3000	63.8		25.7	
3500	67.1		25	
3700	67.4		24.2	



7.3 BODY LIQUID MEASUREMENT

Frequency MHz	Relative permittivity (ϵ_r)		Conductivity (σ) S/m	
	required	measured	required	measured
150	61.9 $\pm$ 5 %		0.80 $\pm$ 5 %	
300	58.2 $\pm$ 5 %		0.92 $\pm$ 5 %	
450	56.7 $\pm$ 5 %		0.94 $\pm$ 5 %	
750	55.5 $\pm$ 5 %		0.96 $\pm$ 5 %	
835	55.2 $\pm$ 5 %		0.97 $\pm$ 5 %	
900	55.0 $\pm$ 5 %		1.05 $\pm$ 5 %	
915	55.0 $\pm$ 5 %		1.06 $\pm$ 5 %	
1450	54.0 $\pm$ 5 %		1.30 $\pm$ 5 %	
1610	53.8 $\pm$ 5 %		1.40 $\pm$ 5 %	
1800	53.3 $\pm$ 5 %	PASS	1.52 $\pm$ 5 %	PASS
1900	53.3 $\pm$ 5 %		1.52 $\pm$ 5 %	
2000	53.3 $\pm$ 5 %		1.52 $\pm$ 5 %	
2100	53.2 $\pm$ 5 %		1.62 $\pm$ 5 %	

Page: 9/11

*This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of MVG.
The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of MVG.*



SAR REFERENCE DIPOLE CALIBRATION REPORT


Ref: ACR.93.5.17.SATU.A

2300	52.9 ±5 %		1.81 ±5 %	
2450	52.7 ±5 %		1.95 ±5 %	
2600	52.5 ±5 %		2.16 ±5 %	
3000	52.0 ±5 %		2.73 ±5 %	
3500	51.3 ±5 %		3.31 ±5 %	
3700	51.0 ±5 %		3.55 ±5 %	
5200	49.0 ±10 %		5.30 ±10 %	
5300	48.9 ±10 %		5.42 ±10 %	
5400	48.7 ±10 %		5.53 ±10 %	
5500	48.6 ±10 %		5.65 ±10 %	
5600	48.5 ±10 %		5.77 ±10 %	
5800	48.2 ±10 %		6.00 ±10 %	

7.4 SAR MEASUREMENT RESULT WITH BODY LIQUID

Software	OPENSAR V4
Phantom	SN 20/09 SAM71
Probe	SN 18/11 EPG122
Liquid	Body Liquid Values: eps' : 53.9 sigma : 1.46
Distance between dipole center and liquid	10.0 mm
Area scan resolution	dx=8mm/dy=8mm
Zoon Scan Resolution	dx=8mm/dy=8mm/dz=5mm
Frequency	1800 MHz
Input power	20 dBm
Liquid Temperature	21 °C
Lab Temperature	21 °C
Lab Humidity	45 %

Frequency MHz	1 g SAR (W/kg/W)	10 g SAR (W/kg/W)
	measured	measured
1800	38.90 (3.89)	20.84 (2.08)



Page: 10/11

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of MVG.
The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of MVG.



SAR REFERENCE DIPOLE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.93.5.17.SATU.A

8 LIST OF EQUIPMENT

Equipment Summary Sheet				
Equipment Description	Manufacturer / Model	Identification No.	Current Calibration Date	Next Calibration Date
SAM Phantom	MVG	SN-20/09-SAM71	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
COMOSAR Test Bench	Version 3	NA	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
Network Analyzer	Rhode & Schwarz ZVA	SN100132	02/2016	02/2019
Calipers	Carrera	CALIPER-01	01/2017	01/2020
Reference Probe	MVG	EPG122 SN 18/11	10/2017	10/2018
Multimeter	Keithley 2000	1188656	01/2017	01/2020
Signal Generator	Agilent E4438C	MY49070581	01/2017	01/2020
Amplifier	Aethercomm	SN 046	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.
Power Meter	HP E4418A	US38261498	01/2017	01/2020
Power Sensor	HP ECP-E26A	US37181460	01/2017	01/2020
Directional Coupler	Narda 4216-20	01386	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.
Temperature and Humidity Sensor	Control Company	150798832	10/2017	10/2019

Page: 11/11

*This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of MVG.
The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of MVG.*



In Collaboration with
s p e a g
CALIBRATION LABORATORY



中国认可
国际互认
校准
CALIBRATION
CNAS L0570

Add: No.51 Xueyuan Road, Haidian District, Beijing, 100191, China
Tel: +86-10-62304633-2079 Fax: +86-10-62304633-2504
E-mail: cttl@chinattl.com http://www.chinattl.cn

Client

CCIS

Certificate No: Z19-60176

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object D1900V2 - SN: 5d175

Calibration Procedure(s) FF-Z11-003-01
Calibration Procedures for dipole validation kits

Calibration date: June 11, 2019

This calibration Certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements(SI). The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature(22±3)°C and humidity<70%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID #	Cal Date(Calibrated by, Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power Meter NRP2	106277	20-Aug-18 (CTTL, No.J18X06862)	Aug-19
Power sensor NRP8S	104291	20-Aug-18 (CTTL, No.J18X06862)	Aug-19
Reference Probe EX3DV4	SN 7514	27-Aug-18(SPEAG,No.EX3-7514_Aug18)	Aug-19
DAE4	SN 1556	20-Aug-18(SPEAG,No.DAE4-1556_Aug18)	Aug-19
Secondary Standards	ID #	Cal Date(Calibrated by, Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Signal Generator E4438C	MY49071430	23-Jan-19 (CTTL, No.J19X00336)	Jan-20
NetworkAnalyzer E5071C	MY46110673	24-Jan-19 (CTTL, No.J19X00547)	Jan-20

	Name	Function	Signature
Calibrated by:	Zhao Jing	SAR Test Engineer	
Reviewed by:	Lin Hao	SAR Test Engineer	
Approved by:	Qi Dianyuan	SAR Project Leader	

Issued: June 14, 2019

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.

Certificate No: Z19-60176

Page 1 of 8



In Collaboration with
s p e a g
CALIBRATION LABORATORY

Add: No.51 Xueyuan Road, Haidian District, Beijing, 100191, China
Tel: +86-10-62304633-2079 Fax: +86-10-62304633-2504
E-mail: cttl@chinattl.com http://www.chinattl.cn

lossary:

TSL	tissue simulating liquid
ConvF	sensitivity in TSL / NORM _{x,y,z}
N/A	not applicable or not measured

Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

- IEEE Std 1528-2013, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", June 2013
- IEC 62209-1, "Measurement procedure for assessment of specific absorption rate of human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices- Part 1: Device used next to the ear (Frequency range of 300MHz to 6GHz)", July 2016
- IEC 62209-2, "Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) For wireless communication devices used in close proximity to the human body (frequency range of 30MHz to 6GHz)", March 2010
- KDB865664, SAR Measurement Requirements for 100 MHz to 6 GHz

Additional Documentation:

- DASY4/5 System Handbook

Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- Measurement Conditions:** Further details are available from the Validation Report at the end of the certificate. All figures stated in the certificate are valid at the frequency indicated.
- Antenna Parameters with TSL:** The dipole is mounted with the spacer to position its feed point exactly below the center marking of the flat phantom section, with the arms oriented parallel to the body axis.
- Feed Point Impedance and Return Loss:** These parameters are measured with the dipole positioned under the liquid filled phantom. The impedance stated is transformed from the measurement at the SMA connector to the feed point. The Return Loss ensures low reflected power. No uncertainty required.
- Electrical Delay:** One-way delay between the SMA connector and the antenna feed point. No uncertainty required.
- SAR measured:** SAR measured at the stated antenna input power.
- SAR normalized:** SAR as measured, normalized to an input power of 1 W at the antenna connector.
- SAR for nominal TSL parameters:** The measured TSL parameters are used to calculate the nominal SAR result.

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of Measurement multiplied by the coverage factor $k=2$, which for a normal distribution Corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.



In Collaboration with
s p e a g
CALIBRATION LABORATORY

Add: No.51 Xueyuan Road, Haidian District, Beijing, 100191, China
Tel: +86-10-62304633-2079 Fax: +86-10-62304633-2504
E-mail: cttl@chinattl.com http://www.chinattl.cn

Measurement Conditions

DASY system configuration, as far as not given on page 1.

DASY Version	DASY52	52.10.2.1504
Extrapolation	Advanced Extrapolation	
Phantom	Triple Flat Phantom 5.1C	
Distance Dipole Center - TSL	10 mm	with Spacer
Zoom Scan Resolution	dx, dy, dz = 5 mm	
Frequency	1900 MHz $\pm$ 1 MHz	

Head TSL parameters

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Head TSL parameters	22.0 °C	40.0	1.40 mho/m
Measured Head TSL parameters	(22.0 $\pm$ 0.2) °C	40.2 $\pm$ 6 %	1.39 mho/m $\pm$ 6 %
Head TSL temperature change during test	<1.0 °C	----	----

SAR result with Head TSL

SAR averaged over 1 cm^3 (1 g) of Head TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	9.79 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	39.4 W/kg $\pm$ 18.8 % (k=2)
SAR averaged over 10 cm^3 (10 g) of Head TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	5.07 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	20.4 W/kg $\pm$ 18.7 % (k=2)

Body TSL parameters

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Body TSL parameters	22.0 °C	53.3	1.52 mho/m
Measured Body TSL parameters	(22.0 $\pm$ 0.2) °C	52.2 $\pm$ 6 %	1.50 mho/m $\pm$ 6 %
Body TSL temperature change during test	<1.0 °C	----	----

SAR result with Body TSL

SAR averaged over 1 cm^3 (1 g) of Body TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	10.1 W/kg
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	40.5 W/kg $\pm$ 18.8 % (k=2)
SAR averaged over 10 cm^3 (10 g) of Body TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	5.23 W/kg
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	21.0 W/kg $\pm$ 18.7 % (k=2)

Certificate No: Z19-60176

Page 3 of 8



In Collaboration with
s p e a g
CALIBRATION LABORATORY

Add: No.51 Xueyuan Road, Haidian District, Beijing, 100191, China
Tel: +86-10-62304633-2079 Fax: +86-10-62304633-2504
E-mail: cttl@chinattl.com http://www.chinattl.cn

Appendix (Additional assessments outside the scope of CNAS L0570)

Antenna Parameters with Head TSL

Impedance, transformed to feed point	51.7Ω+ 5.93jΩ
Return Loss	- 24.3dB

Antenna Parameters with Body TSL

Impedance, transformed to feed point	47.8Ω+ 5.24jΩ
Return Loss	- 24.7dB

General Antenna Parameters and Design

Electrical Delay (one direction)	1.064 ns
----------------------------------	----------

After long term use with 100W radiated power, only a slight warming of the dipole near the feedpoint can be measured.

The dipole is made of standard semirigid coaxial cable. The center conductor of the feeding line is directly connected to the second arm of the dipole. The antenna is therefore short-circuited for DC-signals. On some of the dipoles, small end caps are added to the dipole arms in order to improve matching when loaded according to the position as explained in the "Measurement Conditions" paragraph. The SAR data are not affected by this change. The overall dipole length is still according to the Standard.
No excessive force must be applied to the dipole arms, because they might bend or the soldered connections near the feedpoint may be damaged.

Additional EUT Data

Manufactured by	SPEAG
-----------------	-------



In Collaboration with
s p e a g
CALIBRATION LABORATORY

Add: No.51 Xueyuan Road, Haidian District, Beijing, 100191, China
Tel: +86-10-62304633-2079 Fax: +86-10-62304633-2504
E-mail: cttl@chinattl.com http://www.chinattl.cn

DASY5 Validation Report for Head TSL

Date: 06.10.2019

Test Laboratory: CTTL, Beijing, China

DUT: Dipole 1900 MHz; Type: D1900V2; Serial: D1900V2 - SN: 5d175

Communication System: UID 0, CW; Frequency: 1900 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: $f = 1900$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.387$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 40.2$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Center Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN7514; ConvF(7.73, 7.73, 7.73) @ 1900 MHz; Calibrated: 8/27/2018
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1556; Calibrated: 8/20/2018
- Phantom: MFP_V5.1C ; Type: QD 000 P51CA; Serial: 1062
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (2); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.12 (7470)

System Performance Check/Zoom Scan (7x7x7) (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid:


$dx=5$ mm, $dy=5$ mm, $dz=5$ mm

Reference Value = 98.94 V/m; Power Drift = 0.04 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 18.9 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 9.79 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 5.07 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 15.6 W/kg






In Collaboration with
s p e a g
CALIBRATION LABORATORY

Add: No.51 Xueyuan Road, Haidian District, Beijing, 100191, China
Tel: +86-10-62304633-2079 Fax: +86-10-62304633-2504
E-mail: cttl@chinattl.com http://www.chinattl.cn

Impedance Measurement Plot for Head TSL





In Collaboration with
s p e a g
CALIBRATION LABORATORY

Add: No.51 Xueyuan Road, Haidian District, Beijing, 100191, China
Tel: +86-10-62304633-2079 Fax: +86-10-62304633-2504
E-mail: cttl@chinattl.com http://www.chinattl.cn

DASY5 Validation Report for Body TSL

Date: 06.11.2019

Test Laboratory: CTTL, Beijing, China

DUT: Dipole 1900 MHz; Type: D1900V2; Serial: D1900V2 - SN: 5d175

Communication System: UID 0, CW; Frequency: 1900 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: $f = 1900$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.499$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 52.18$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Right Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN7514; ConvF(7.53, 7.53, 7.53) @ 1900 MHz; Calibrated: 8/27/2018
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1556; Calibrated: 8/20/2018
- Phantom: MFP_V5.1C ; Type: QD 000 P51CA; Serial: 1062
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (2); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.12 (7470)

System Performance Check/Zoom Scan (7x7x7) (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid:


$dx=5$ mm, $dy=5$ mm, $dz=5$ mm

Reference Value = 88.67 V/m; Power Drift = 0.01 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 18.9 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 10.1 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 5.23 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 15.6 W/kg




0 dB = 15.6 W/kg = 11.93 dBW/kg



In Collaboration with
s p e a g
CALIBRATION LABORATORY

Add: No.51 Xueyuan Road, Haidian District, Beijing, 100191, China
Tel: +86-10-62304633-2079 Fax: +86-10-62304633-2504
E-mail: cttl@chinattl.com http://www.chinattl.cn

Impedance Measurement Plot for Body TSL





In Collaboration with
s p e a g
CALIBRATION LABORATORY



中国认可
国际互认
校准
CALIBRATION
CNAS L0570

Add: No.51 Xueyuan Road, Haidian District, Beijing, 100191, China
Tel: +86-10-62304633-2079 Fax: +86-10-62304633-2504
E-mail: cttl@chinattl.com http://www.chinattl.cn

Client

CCIS

Certificate No: Z19-60177

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object D2450V2 - SN: 910

Calibration Procedure(s) FF-Z11-003-01
Calibration Procedures for dipole validation kits

Calibration date: June 10, 2019

This calibration Certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements(SI). The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature(22±3)℃ and humidity<70%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID #	Cal Date(Calibrated by, Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power Meter NRP2	106277	20-Aug-18 (CTTL, No.J18X06862)	Aug-19
Power sensor NRP8S	104291	20-Aug-18 (CTTL, No.J18X06862)	Aug-19
Reference Probe EX3DV4	SN 7514	27-Aug-18(SPEAG,No.EX3-7514_Aug18)	Aug-19
DAE4	SN 1556	20-Aug-18(SPEAG,No.DAE4-1556_Aug18)	Aug-19
Secondary Standards	ID #	Cal Date(Calibrated by, Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Signal Generator E4438C	MY49071430	23-Jan-19 (CTTL, No.J19X00336)	Jan-20
NetworkAnalyzer E5071C	MY46110673	24-Jan-19 (CTTL, No.J19X00547)	Jan-20

	Name	Function	Signature
Calibrated by:	Zhao Jing	SAR Test Engineer	
Reviewed by:	Lin Hao	SAR Test Engineer	
Approved by:	Qi Dianyuan	SAR Project Leader	

Issued: June 14, 2019

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.

Certificate No: Z19-60177

Page 1 of 8



In Collaboration with
s p e a g
CALIBRATION LABORATORY

Add: No.51 Xueyuan Road, Haidian District, Beijing, 100191, China
Tel: +86-10-62304633-2079 Fax: +86-10-62304633-2504
E-mail: cttl@chinattl.com http://www.chinattl.cn

Glossary:

TSL	tissue simulating liquid
ConvF	sensitivity in TSL / NORM _{x,y,z}
N/A	not applicable or not measured

Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

- IEEE Std 1528-2013, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", June 2013
- IEC 62209-1, "Measurement procedure for assessment of specific absorption rate of human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices- Part 1: Device used next to the ear (Frequency range of 300MHz to 6GHz)", July 2016
- IEC 62209-2, "Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) For wireless communication devices used in close proximity to the human body (frequency range of 30MHz to 6GHz)", March 2010
- KDB865664, SAR Measurement Requirements for 100 MHz to 6 GHz

Additional Documentation:

- DASY4/5 System Handbook

Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- Measurement Conditions:** Further details are available from the Validation Report at the end of the certificate. All figures stated in the certificate are valid at the frequency indicated.
- Antenna Parameters with TSL:** The dipole is mounted with the spacer to position its feed point exactly below the center marking of the flat phantom section, with the arms oriented parallel to the body axis.
- Feed Point Impedance and Return Loss:** These parameters are measured with the dipole positioned under the liquid filled phantom. The impedance stated is transformed from the measurement at the SMA connector to the feed point. The Return Loss ensures low reflected power. No uncertainty required.
- Electrical Delay:** One-way delay between the SMA connector and the antenna feed point. No uncertainty required.
- SAR measured:** SAR measured at the stated antenna input power.
- SAR normalized:** SAR as measured, normalized to an input power of 1 W at the antenna connector.
- SAR for nominal TSL parameters:** The measured TSL parameters are used to calculate the nominal SAR result.

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of Measurement multiplied by the coverage factor $k=2$, which for a normal distribution Corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.



In Collaboration with
s p e a g
CALIBRATION LABORATORY

Add: No.51 Xueyuan Road, Haidian District, Beijing, 100191, China
Tel: +86-10-62304633-2079 Fax: +86-10-62304633-2504
E-mail: cttl@chinattl.com http://www.chinattl.cn

Measurement Conditions

DASY system configuration, as far as not given on page 1.

DASY Version	DASY52	52.10.2.1495
Extrapolation	Advanced Extrapolation	
Phantom	Triple Flat Phantom 5.1C	
Distance Dipole Center - TSL	10 mm	with Spacer
Zoom Scan Resolution	dx, dy, dz = 5 mm	
Frequency	2450 MHz $\pm$ 1 MHz	

Head TSL parameters

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Head TSL parameters	22.0 °C	39.2	1.80 mho/m
Measured Head TSL parameters	(22.0 $\pm$ 0.2) °C	39.8 $\pm$ 6 %	1.83 mho/m $\pm$ 6 %
Head TSL temperature change during test	<1.0 °C	----	----

SAR result with Head TSL

SAR averaged over 1 cm ³ (1 g) of Head TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	13.2 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	52.6 W/kg $\pm$ 18.8 % (k=2)
SAR averaged over 10 cm ³ (10 g) of Head TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	6.11 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	24.4 W/kg $\pm$ 18.7 % (k=2)

Body TSL parameters

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Body TSL parameters	22.0 °C	52.7	1.95 mho/m
Measured Body TSL parameters	(22.0 $\pm$ 0.2) °C	52.1 $\pm$ 6 %	1.96 mho/m $\pm$ 6 %
Body TSL temperature change during test	<1.0 °C	----	----

SAR result with Body TSL

SAR averaged over 1 cm ³ (1 g) of Body TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	12.8 W/kg
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	50.9 W/kg $\pm$ 18.8 % (k=2)
SAR averaged over 10 cm ³ (10 g) of Body TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	5.94 W/kg
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	23.7 W/kg $\pm$ 18.7 % (k=2)



In Collaboration with
s p e a g
CALIBRATION LABORATORY

Add: No.51 Xueyuan Road, Haidian District, Beijing, 100191, China
Tel: +86-10-62304633-2079 Fax: +86-10-62304633-2504
E-mail: cttl@chinattl.com http://www.chinattl.cn

Appendix (Additional assessments outside the scope of CNAS L0570)

Antenna Parameters with Head TSL

Impedance, transformed to feed point	54.1Ω+ 2.51 jΩ
Return Loss	- 26.8dB

Antenna Parameters with Body TSL

Impedance, transformed to feed point	52.3Ω+ 3.40 jΩ
Return Loss	- 27.9dB

General Antenna Parameters and Design

Electrical Delay (one direction)	1.020 ns
----------------------------------	----------

After long term use with 100W radiated power, only a slight warming of the dipole near the feedpoint can be measured.

The dipole is made of standard semirigid coaxial cable. The center conductor of the feeding line is directly connected to the second arm of the dipole. The antenna is therefore short-circuited for DC-signals. On some of the dipoles, small end caps are added to the dipole arms in order to improve matching when loaded according to the position as explained in the "Measurement Conditions" paragraph. The SAR data are not affected by this change. The overall dipole length is still according to the Standard.
No excessive force must be applied to the dipole arms, because they might bend or the soldered connections near the feedpoint may be damaged.

Additional EUT Data

Manufactured by	SPEAG
-----------------	-------



In Collaboration with
s p e a g
CALIBRATION LABORATORY

Add: No.51 Xueyuan Road, Haidian District, Beijing, 100191, China
Tel: +86-10-62304633-2079 Fax: +86-10-62304633-2504
E-mail: cttl@chinattl.com http://www.chinattl.cn

DASY5 Validation Report for Head TSL

Date: 06.10.2019

Test Laboratory: CTTL, Beijing, China

DUT: Dipole 2450 MHz; Type: D2450V2; Serial: D2450V2 - SN: 910

Communication System: UID 0, CW; Frequency: 2450 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: $f = 2450$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.825$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 39.75$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Right Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN7514; ConvF(6.95, 6.95, 6.95) @ 2450 MHz; Calibrated: 8/27/2018
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1556; Calibrated: 8/20/2018
- Phantom: MFP_V5.1C; Type: QD 000 P51CA; Serial: 1062
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (2); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.12 (7450)


Dipole Calibration/Zoom Scan (7x7x7) (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 97.66 V/m; Power Drift = 0.02 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 27.4 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 13.2 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 6.11 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 22.3 W/kg






In Collaboration with
s p e a g
CALIBRATION LABORATORY

Add: No.51 Xueyuan Road, Haidian District, Beijing, 100191, China
Tel: +86-10-62304633-2079 Fax: +86-10-62304633-2504
E-mail: cttl@chinattl.com http://www.chinattl.cn

Impedance Measurement Plot for Head TSL





In Collaboration with
s p e a g
CALIBRATION LABORATORY

Add: No.51 Xueyuan Road, Haidian District, Beijing, 100191, China
Tel: +86-10-62304633-2079 Fax: +86-10-62304633-2504
E-mail: cttl@chinattl.com http://www.chinattl.cn

DASY5 Validation Report for Body TSL

Date: 06.10.2019

Test Laboratory: CTTT, Beijing, China

DUT: Dipole 2450 MHz; Type: D2450V2; Serial: D2450V2 - SN: 910

Communication System: UID 0, CW; Frequency: 2450 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: $f = 2450$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.962$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 52.06$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Center Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN7514; ConvF(7.13, 7.13, 7.13) @ 2450 MHz; Calibrated: 8/27/2018
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1556; Calibrated: 8/20/2018
- Phantom: MFP_V5.1C ; Type: QD 000 P51CA; Serial: 1062
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (2); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.12 (7450)


Dipole Calibration/Zoom Scan (7x7x7) (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 89.63 V/m; Power Drift = -0.02 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 26.4 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 12.8 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 5.94 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 21.3 W/kg






In Collaboration with
s p e a g
CALIBRATION LABORATORY

Add: No.51 Xueyuan Road, Haidian District, Beijing, 100191, China
Tel: +86-10-62304633-2079 Fax: +86-10-62304633-2504
E-mail: cttl@chinattl.com http://www.chinattl.cn

Impedance Measurement Plot for Body TSL





In Collaboration with
s p e a g
CALIBRATION LABORATORY



中国认可
国际互认
校准
CALIBRATION
CNAS L0570

Add: No.51 Xueyuan Road, Haidian District, Beijing, 100191, China
Tel: +86-10-62304633-2079 Fax: +86-10-62304633-2504
E-mail: cttl@chinattl.com http://www.chinattl.cn

Client **CCIS**

Certificate No: **Z18-60466**

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object **D2600V2 - SN: 1114**

Calibration Procedure(s) **FF-Z11-003-01**
Calibration Procedures for dipole validation kits

Calibration date: **November 5, 2018**

This calibration Certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements(SI). The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature(22±3)°C and humidity<70%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID #	Cal Date(Calibrated by, Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power Meter NRVD	102196	07-Mar-18 (CTTL, No.J18X01510)	Mar-19
Power sensor NRV-Z5	100596	07-Mar-18 (CTTL, No.J18X01510)	Mar-19
Reference Probe EX3DV4	SN 7514	27-Aug-18(SPEAG,No.EX3-7514_Aug18)	Aug-19
DAE4	SN 1555	20-Aug-18(SPEAG,No.DAE4-1555_Aug18)	Aug-19
Secondary Standards	ID #	Cal Date(Calibrated by, Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Signal Generator E4438C	MY49071430	23-Jan-18 (CTTL, No.J18X00560)	Jan-19
Network Analyzer E5071C	MY46110673	24-Jan-18 (CTTL, No.J18X00561)	Jan-19

	Name	Function	Signature
Calibrated by:	Zhao Jing	SAR Test Engineer	
Reviewed by:	Lin Hao	SAR Test Engineer	
Approved by:	Qi Dianyuan	SAR Project Leader	

Issued: November 7, 2018

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.

Certificate No: Z18-60466

Page 1 of 8



In Collaboration with
s p e a g
CALIBRATION LABORATORY

Add: No.51 Xueyuan Road, Haidian District, Beijing, 100191, China
Tel: +86-10-62304633-2079 Fax: +86-10-62304633-2504
E-mail: cttl@chinattl.com http://www.chinattl.cn

Glossary:

TSL	tissue simulating liquid
ConvF	sensitivity in TSL / NORM _{x,y,z}
N/A	not applicable or not measured

Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

- IEEE Std 1528-2013, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", June 2013
- IEC 62209-1, "Measurement procedure for assessment of specific absorption rate of human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices- Part 1: Device used next to the ear (Frequency range of 300MHz to 6GHz)", July 2016
- IEC 62209-2, "Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) For wireless communication devices used in close proximity to the human body (frequency range of 30MHz to 6GHz)", March 2010
- KDB865664, SAR Measurement Requirements for 100 MHz to 6 GHz

Additional Documentation:

- DASY4/5 System Handbook

Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- Measurement Conditions:** Further details are available from the Validation Report at the end of the certificate. All figures stated in the certificate are valid at the frequency indicated.
- Antenna Parameters with TSL:** The dipole is mounted with the spacer to position its feed point exactly below the center marking of the flat phantom section, with the arms oriented parallel to the body axis.
- Feed Point Impedance and Return Loss:** These parameters are measured with the dipole positioned under the liquid filled phantom. The impedance stated is transformed from the measurement at the SMA connector to the feed point. The Return Loss ensures low reflected power. No uncertainty required.
- Electrical Delay:** One-way delay between the SMA connector and the antenna feed point. No uncertainty required.
- SAR measured:** SAR measured at the stated antenna input power.
- SAR normalized:** SAR as measured, normalized to an input power of 1 W at the antenna connector.
- SAR for nominal TSL parameters:** The measured TSL parameters are used to calculate the nominal SAR result.

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of Measurement multiplied by the coverage factor $k=2$, which for a normal distribution Corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.



In Collaboration with
s p e a g
CALIBRATION LABORATORY

Add: No.51 Xueyuan Road, Haidian District, Beijing, 100191, China
Tel: +86-10-62304633-2079 Fax: +86-10-62304633-2504
E-mail: cttl@chinattl.com http://www.chinattl.cn

Measurement Conditions

DASY system configuration, as far as not given on page 1.

DASY Version	DASY52	52.10.2.1495
Extrapolation	Advanced Extrapolation	
Phantom	Triple Flat Phantom 5.1C	
Distance Dipole Center - TSL	10 mm	with Spacer
Zoom Scan Resolution	dx, dy, dz = 5 mm	
Frequency	2600 MHz $\pm$ 1 MHz	

Head TSL parameters

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Head TSL parameters	22.0 °C	39.0	1.96 mho/m
Measured Head TSL parameters	(22.0 $\pm$ 0.2) °C	39.4 $\pm$ 6 %	1.94 mho/m $\pm$ 6 %
Head TSL temperature change during test	<1.0 °C	---	---

SAR result with Head TSL

SAR averaged over 1 cm^3 (1 g) of Head TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	14.0 mW / g
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	56.3 mW /g $\pm$ 18.8 % (k=2)
SAR averaged over 10 cm^3 (10 g) of Head TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	6.24 mW / g
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	25.1 mW /g $\pm$ 18.7 % (k=2)

Body TSL parameters

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Body TSL parameters	22.0 °C	52.5	2.16 mho/m
Measured Body TSL parameters	(22.0 $\pm$ 0.2) °C	52.7 $\pm$ 6 %	2.21 mho/m $\pm$ 6 %
Body TSL temperature change during test	<1.0 °C	---	---

SAR result with Body TSL

SAR averaged over 1 cm^3 (1 g) of Body TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	13.4 mW / g
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	53.1 mW /g $\pm$ 18.8 % (k=2)
SAR averaged over 10 cm^3 (10 g) of Body TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	5.92 mW / g
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	23.6 mW /g $\pm$ 18.7 % (k=2)



In Collaboration with
s p e a g
CALIBRATION LABORATORY

Add: No.51 Xueyuan Road, Haidian District, Beijing, 100191, China
Tel: +86-10-62304633-2079 Fax: +86-10-62304633-2504
E-mail: cttl@chinattl.com http://www.chinattl.cn

DASY5 Validation Report for Head TSL

Date: 11.05.2018

Test Laboratory: CTTL, Beijing, China

DUT: Dipole 2600 MHz; Type: D2600V2; Serial: D2600V2 - SN: 1114

Communication System: UID 0, CW; Frequency: 2600 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: $f = 2600$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.944$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 39.41$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Center Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN7514; ConvF(6.92, 6.92, 6.92) @ 2600 MHz; Calibrated: 8/27/2018
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1555; Calibrated: 8/20/2018
- Phantom: MFP_V5.1C ; Type: QD 000 P51CA; Serial: 1062
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (2); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.12 (7450)


Dipole Calibration/Zoom Scan (7x7x7) (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 107.5 V/m; Power Drift = -0.02 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 30.7 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 14 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 6.24 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 24.3 W/kg






In Collaboration with
s p e a g
CALIBRATION LABORATORY

Add: No.51 Xueyuan Road, Haidian District, Beijing, 100191, China
Tel: +86-10-62304633-2079 Fax: +86-10-62304633-2504
E-mail: cttl@chinattl.com http://www.chinattl.cn

Impedance Measurement Plot for Head TSL





In Collaboration with
s p e a g
CALIBRATION LABORATORY

Add: No.51 Xueyuan Road, Haidian District, Beijing, 100191, China
Tel: +86-10-62304633-2079 Fax: +86-10-62304633-2504
E-mail: cttl@chinattl.com http://www.chinattl.cn

DASY5 Validation Report for Body TSL

Date: 11.01.2018

Test Laboratory: CTTL, Beijing, China

DUT: Dipole 2600 MHz; Type: D2600V2; Serial: D2600V2 - SN: 1114

Communication System: UID 0, CW; Frequency: 2600 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: $f = 2600$ MHz; $\sigma = 2.206$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 52.65$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Right Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN7514; ConvF(7.06, 7.06, 7.06) @ 2600 MHz; Calibrated: 8/27/2018
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1555; Calibrated: 8/20/2018
- Phantom: MFP_V5.1C ; Type: QD 000 P51CA; Serial: 1062
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (2); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.12 (7450)


Dipole Calibration/Zoom Scan (7x7x7) (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 98.22 V/m; Power Drift = 0.08 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 29.3 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 13.4 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 5.92 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 23.0 W/kg






In Collaboration with
s p e a g
CALIBRATION LABORATORY

Add: No.51 Xueyuan Road, Haidian District, Beijing, 100191, China
Tel: +86-10-62304633-2079 Fax: +86-10-62304633-2504
E-mail: cttl@chinattl.com http://www.chinattl.cn

Impedance Measurement Plot for Body TSL



Dipole Impedance and Return Loss calibration Report

Object: D2600V2 - SN: 1116

Calibration Date: November 07, 2019

Calibration reference: IEEE Std 1528:2013, IEC 62209-1:2016, FCC KDB 865664 D01

Calibrated By: Janet Wei (Janet Wei, SAR project engineer)


Reviewed By: Winner Zhang (Winner Zhang, Technical manager)

Environment of Test Site


Temperature:	18 ~ 25°C
Humidity:	50~60% RH
Atmospheric Pressure:	1011 mbar

Test Data

Measurement Plot for Head TSL In 2019



Measurement Plot for Body TSL In 2019



Comparison with Original report

Items	TTL	Calibrated By CCIS In 2019	Deviation	Limit
Impedence for Head TSL	49.44Ω-5.08jΩ	49.78Ω-5.11jΩ	0.34Ω-0.03jΩ	±5Ω
Return Loss for Head TSL	-25.78dB	-25.81dB	-0.12%	±20%(No less than 20 dB)
Impedence for Body TSL	46.72Ω-5.55jΩ	45.29Ω-3.29jΩ	-1.43Ω+2.26jΩ	±5Ω
Return Loss for Body TSL	-23.54dB	-24.14dB	-2.55%	±20%(No less than 20 dB)

Result

Compliance

Shenzhen Zhongjian Nanfang Testing Co., Ltd.

No.110~116, Building B, Jinyuan Business Building, Xixiang Road,
Bao'an District, Shenzhen, Guangdong, China

Telephone: +86 (0) 755 23118282 Fax: +86 (0) 755 23116366, E-mail: info@ccis-cb.com

Project No.: CCISE2008036

**Calibration Laboratory of
Schmid & Partner
Engineering AG**
Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland



S Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst
C Service suisse d'étalonnage
S Servizio svizzero di taratura
S Swiss Calibration Service

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)
The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: SCS 0108

Client **CCIS**

Certificate No: D5GHzV2-1182_Feb18

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object **D5GHzV2 - SN:1182**

Calibration procedure(s) **QA CAL-22.v2
Calibration procedure for dipole validation kits between 3-6 GHz**

Calibration date: **February 21, 2018**

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI).
The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature $(22 \pm 3)^{\circ}\text{C}$ and humidity $< 70\%$.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID #	Cal Date (Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter NRP	SN: 104778	04-Apr-17 (No. 217-02521/02522)	Apr-18
Power sensor NRP-Z91	SN: 103244	04-Apr-17 (No. 217-02521)	Apr-18
Power sensor NRP-Z91	SN: 103245	04-Apr-17 (No. 217-02522)	Apr-18
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: 5058 (20k)	07-Apr-17 (No. 217-02528)	Apr-18
Type-N mismatch combination	SN: 5047.2 / 06327	07-Apr-17 (No. 217-02529)	Apr-18
Reference Probe EX3DV4	SN: 3503	30-Dec-17 (No. EX3-3503_Dec17)	Dec-18
DAE4	SN: 601	26-Oct-17 (No. DAE4-601_Oct17)	Oct-18
Secondary Standards	ID #	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
Power meter EPM-442A	SN: GB37480704	07-Oct-15 (in house check Oct-16)	In house check: Oct-18
Power sensor HP 8461A	SN: US37292783	07-Oct-15 (in house check Oct-16)	In house check: Oct-18
Power sensor HP 8461A	SN: MY41092317	07-Oct-15 (in house check Oct-16)	In house check: Oct-18
RF generator R&S SMT-06	SN: 100972	15-Jun-15 (in house check Oct-16)	In house check: Oct-18
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	SN: US37390585	18-Oct-01 (in house check Oct-17)	In house check: Oct-18

	Name	Function	Signature
Calibrated by:	Jeton Kastrati	Laboratory Technician	
Approved by:	Katja Pokovic	Technical Manager	

Issued: February 21, 2018

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.

Certificate No: D5GHzV2-1273_Feb18

Page 1 of 16

**Calibration Laboratory of
Schmid & Partner
Engineering AG**
Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland



S Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst
C Service suisse d'étalonnage
S Servizio svizzero di taratura
S Swiss Calibration Service

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)
The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: **SCS 0108**

Glossary:

TSL	tissue simulating liquid
ConvF	sensitivity in TSL / NORM x,y,z
N/A	not applicable or not measured

Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

- IEEE Std 1528-2013, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", June 2013
- IEC 62209-1, "Measurement procedure for the assessment of Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) from hand-held and body-mounted devices used next to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 6 GHz)", July 2016
- IEC 62209-2, "Procedure to determine the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for wireless communication devices used in close proximity to the human body (frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz)", March 2010
- KDB 865664, "SAR Measurement Requirements for 100 MHz to 6 GHz"

Additional Documentation:

- DASY4/5 System Handbook

Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- Measurement Conditions:** Further details are available from the Validation Report at the end of the certificate. All figures stated in the certificate are valid at the frequency indicated.
- Antenna Parameters with TSL:** The dipole is mounted with the spacer to position its feed point exactly below the center marking of the flat phantom section, with the arms oriented parallel to the body axis.
- Feed Point Impedance and Return Loss:** These parameters are measured with the dipole positioned under the liquid filled phantom. The impedance stated is transformed from the measurement at the SMA connector to the feed point. The Return Loss ensures low reflected power. No uncertainty required.
- Electrical Delay:** One-way delay between the SMA connector and the antenna feed point. No uncertainty required.
- SAR measured:** SAR measured at the stated antenna input power.
- SAR normalized:** SAR as measured, normalized to an input power of 1 W at the antenna connector.
- SAR for nominal TSL parameters:** The measured TSL parameters are used to calculate the nominal SAR result.

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor $k=2$, which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

Measurement Conditions

DASY system configuration, as far as not given on page 1.

DASY Version	DASY5	V52.10.0
Extrapolation	Advanced Extrapolation	
Phantom	Modular Flat Phantom V5.0	
Distance Dipole Center - TSL	10 mm	with Spacer
Zoom Scan Resolution	dx, dy = 4.0 mm, dz = 1.4 mm	Graded Ratio = 1.4 (Z direction)
Frequency	5200 MHz $\pm$ 1 MHz 5300 MHz $\pm$ 1 MHz 5500 MHz $\pm$ 1 MHz 5600 MHz $\pm$ 1 MHz 5800 MHz $\pm$ 1 MHz	

Head TSL parameters at 5200 MHz

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Head TSL parameters	22.0 °C	36.0	4.66 mho/m
Measured Head TSL parameters	(22.0 $\pm$ 0.2) °C	36.4 $\pm$ 6 %	4.53 mho/m $\pm$ 6 %
Head TSL temperature change during test	< 0.5 °C	----	----

SAR result with Head TSL at 5200 MHz

SAR averaged over 1 cm ³ (1 g) of Head TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	100 mW input power	7.98 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	79.9 W/kg $\pm$ 19.9 % (k=2)

SAR averaged over 10 cm ³ (10 g) of Head TSL	condition	
SAR measured	100 mW input power	2.28 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	22.8 W/kg $\pm$ 19.5 % (k=2)

Head TSL parameters at 5300 MHz

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Head TSL parameters	22.0 °C	35.9	4.76 mho/m
Measured Head TSL parameters	(22.0 ± 0.2) °C	36.3 ± 6 %	4.64 mho/m ± 6 %
Head TSL temperature change during test	< 0.5 °C	----	----

SAR result with Head TSL at 5300 MHz

SAR averaged over 1 cm ³ (1 g) of Head TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	100 mW input power	8.13 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	81.4 W / kg ± 19.9 % (k=2)

SAR averaged over 10 cm ³ (10 g) of Head TSL	condition	
SAR measured	100 mW input power	2.34 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	23.4 W/kg ± 19.5 % (k=2)

Head TSL parameters at 5500 MHz

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Head TSL parameters	22.0 °C	35.6	4.96 mho/m
Measured Head TSL parameters	(22.0 ± 0.2) °C	36.0 ± 6 %	4.84 mho/m ± 6 %
Head TSL temperature change during test	< 0.5 °C	----	----

SAR result with Head TSL at 5500 MHz

SAR averaged over 1 cm ³ (1 g) of Head TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	100 mW input power	8.59 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	86.0 W/kg ± 19.9 % (k=2)

SAR averaged over 10 cm ³ (10 g) of Head TSL	condition	
SAR measured	100 mW input power	2.44 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	24.4 W/kg ± 19.5 % (k=2)

Head TSL parameters at 5600 MHz

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Head TSL parameters	22.0 °C	35.5	5.07 mho/m
Measured Head TSL parameters	(22.0 ± 0.2) °C	35.8 ± 6 %	4.95 mho/m ± 6 %
Head TSL temperature change during test	< 0.5 °C	----	----

SAR result with Head TSL at 5600 MHz

SAR averaged over 1 cm ³ (1 g) of Head TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	100 mW input power	8.39 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	83.9 W/kg ± 19.9 % (k=2)

SAR averaged over 10 cm ³ (10 g) of Head TSL	condition	
SAR measured	100 mW input power	2.40 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	24.0 W/kg ± 19.5 % (k=2)

Head TSL parameters at 5800 MHz

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Head TSL parameters	22.0 °C	35.3	5.27 mho/m
Measured Head TSL parameters	(22.0 ± 0.2) °C	35.5 ± 6 %	5.16 mho/m ± 6 %
Head TSL temperature change during test	< 0.5 °C	----	----

SAR result with Head TSL at 5800 MHz

SAR averaged over 1 cm ³ (1 g) of Head TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	100 mW input power	7.94 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	79.4 W/kg ± 19.9 % (k=2)

SAR averaged over 10 cm ³ (10 g) of Head TSL	condition	
SAR measured	100 mW input power	2.25 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	22.5 W/kg ± 19.5 % (k=2)

Body TSL parameters at 5200 MHz

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Body TSL parameters	22.0 °C	49.0	5.30 mho/m
Measured Body TSL parameters	(22.0 ± 0.2) °C	47.5 ± 6 %	5.41 mho/m ± 6 %
Body TSL temperature change during test	< 0.5 °C	----	----

SAR result with Body TSL at 5200 MHz

SAR averaged over 1 cm ³ (1 g) of Body TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	100 mW input power	7.40 W/kg
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	73.6 W/kg ± 19.9 % (k=2)

SAR averaged over 10 cm ³ (10 g) of Body TSL	condition	
SAR measured	100 mW input power	2.05 W/kg
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	20.4 W/kg ± 19.5 % (k=2)

Body TSL parameters at 5300 MHz

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Body TSL parameters	22.0 °C	48.9	5.42 mho/m
Measured Body TSL parameters	(22.0 ± 0.2) °C	47.3 ± 6 %	5.54 mho/m ± 6 %
Body TSL temperature change during test	< 0.5 °C	----	----

SAR result with Body TSL at 5300 MHz

SAR averaged over 1 cm ³ (1 g) of Body TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	100 mW input power	7.61 W/kg
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	75.6 W/kg ± 19.9 % (k=2)

SAR averaged over 10 cm ³ (10 g) of Body TSL	condition	
SAR measured	100 mW input power	2.13 W/kg
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	21.1 W/kg ± 19.5 % (k=2)

Body TSL parameters at 5500 MHz

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Body TSL parameters	22.0 °C	48.6	5.65 mho/m
Measured Body TSL parameters	(22.0 ± 0.2) °C	47.0 ± 6 %	5.80 mho/m ± 6 %
Body TSL temperature change during test	< 0.5 °C	----	----

SAR result with Body TSL at 5500 MHz

SAR averaged over 1 cm ³ (1 g) of Body TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	100 mW input power	8.08 W/kg
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	80.3 W/kg ± 19.9 % (k=2)

SAR averaged over 10 cm ³ (10 g) of Body TSL	condition	
SAR measured	100 mW input power	2.23 W/kg
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	22.1 W/kg ± 19.5 % (k=2)

Body TSL parameters at 5600 MHz

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Body TSL parameters	22.0 °C	48.5	5.77 mho/m
Measured Body TSL parameters	(22.0 ± 0.2) °C	46.8 ± 6 %	5.95 mho/m ± 6 %
Body TSL temperature change during test	< 0.5 °C	----	----

SAR result with Body TSL at 5600 MHz

SAR averaged over 1 cm ³ (1 g) of Body TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	100 mW input power	7.99 W/kg
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	79.4 W/kg ± 19.9 % (k=2)

SAR averaged over 10 cm ³ (10 g) of Body TSL	condition	
SAR measured	100 mW input power	2.23 W/kg
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	22.1 W/kg ± 19.5 % (k=2)

Body TSL parameters at 5800 MHz

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Body TSL parameters	22.0 °C	48.2	6.00 mho/m
Measured Body TSL parameters	(22.0 ± 0.2) °C	46.4 ± 6 %	6.23 mho/m ± 6 %
Body TSL temperature change during test	< 0.5 °C	----	----

SAR result with Body TSL at 5800 MHz

SAR averaged over 1 cm ³ (1 g) of Body TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	100 mW input power	7.70 W/kg
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	76.5 W/kg ± 19.9 % (k=2)

SAR averaged over 10 cm ³ (10 g) of Body TSL	condition	
SAR measured	100 mW input power	2.13 W/kg
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	21.1 W/kg ± 19.5 % (k=2)

Appendix (Additional assessments outside the scope of SCS 0108)**Antenna Parameters with Head TSL at 5200 MHz**

Impedance, transformed to feed point	47.8 Ω - 3.3 j Ω
Return Loss	- 27.9 dB

Antenna Parameters with Head TSL at 5300 MHz

Impedance, transformed to feed point	48.3 Ω + 0.8 j Ω
Return Loss	- 34.3 dB

Antenna Parameters with Head TSL at 5500 MHz

Impedance, transformed to feed point	47.8 Ω + 1.6 j Ω
Return Loss	- 31.2 dB

Antenna Parameters with Head TSL at 5600 MHz

Impedance, transformed to feed point	53.2 Ω + 2.1 j Ω
Return Loss	- 28.7 dB

Antenna Parameters with Head TSL at 5800 MHz

Impedance, transformed to feed point	52.5 Ω + 3.4 j Ω
Return Loss	- 27.8 dB

Antenna Parameters with Body TSL at 5200 MHz

Impedance, transformed to feed point	47.8 Ω - 3.1 j Ω
Return Loss	- 28.3 dB

Antenna Parameters with Body TSL at 5300 MHz

Impedance, transformed to feed point	48.4 Ω + 2.3 j Ω
Return Loss	- 30.8 dB

Antenna Parameters with Body TSL at 5500 MHz

Impedance, transformed to feed point	48.4 Ω + 2.0 j Ω
Return Loss	- 31.6 dB

Antenna Parameters with Body TSL at 5600 MHz

Impedance, transformed to feed point	$52.7 \Omega + 4.2 j\Omega$
Return Loss	- 26.3 dB

Antenna Parameters with Body TSL at 5800 MHz

Impedance, transformed to feed point	$52.4 \Omega + 5.2 j\Omega$
Return Loss	- 25.1 dB

General Antenna Parameters and Design

Electrical Delay (one direction)	1.195 ns
----------------------------------	----------

After long term use with 100W radiated power, only a slight warming of the dipole near the feedpoint can be measured.

The dipole is made of standard semirigid coaxial cable. The center conductor of the feeding line is directly connected to the second arm of the dipole. The antenna is therefore short-circuited for DC-signals. On some of the dipoles, small end caps are added to the dipole arms in order to improve matching when loaded according to the position as explained in the "Measurement Conditions" paragraph. The SAR data are not affected by this change. The overall dipole length is still according to the Standard.

No excessive force must be applied to the dipole arms, because they might bend or the soldered connections near the feedpoint may be damaged.

Additional EUT Data

Manufactured by	SPEAG
Manufactured on	July 19, 2017

DASY5 Validation Report for Head TSL

Date: 21.02.2018

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

DUT: Dipole D5GHzV2; Type: D5GHzV2; Serial: D5GHzV2 - SN:1273

Communication System: UID 0 - CW; Frequency: 5200 MHz, Frequency: 5300 MHz, Frequency: 5500 MHz, Frequency: 5600 MHz, Frequency: 5800 MHz

Medium parameters used: $f = 5200$ MHz; $\sigma = 4.53$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 36.4$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³,Medium parameters used: $f = 5300$ MHz; $\sigma = 4.64$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 36.3$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³,Medium parameters used: $f = 5500$ MHz; $\sigma = 4.84$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 36$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³,Medium parameters used: $f = 5600$ MHz; $\sigma = 4.95$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 35.8$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³,Medium parameters used: $f = 5800$ MHz; $\sigma = 5.16$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 35.5$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2011)

DASY52 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3503; ConvF(5.75, 5.75, 5.75); Calibrated: 30.12.2017, ConvF(5.5, 5.5, 5.5); Calibrated: 30.12.2017, ConvF(5.2, 5.2, 5.2); Calibrated: 30.12.2017, ConvF(5.05, 5.05, 5.05); Calibrated: 30.12.2017, ConvF(4.96, 4.96, 4.96); Calibrated: 30.12.2017;
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn601; Calibrated: 26.10.2017
- Phantom: Flat Phantom 5.0 (front); Type: QD 000 P50 AA; Serial: 1001
- DASY52 52.10.0(1446); SEMCAD X 14.6.10(7417)

Dipole Calibration for Head Tissue/Pin=100mW, dist=10mm, f=5200 MHz/Zoom Scan, dist=1.4mm (8x8x7)/Cube 0:

Measurement grid: dx=4mm, dy=4mm, dz=1.4mm

Reference Value = 70.07 V/m; Power Drift = -0.06 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 28.9 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 7.98 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 2.28 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 18.1 W/kg

Dipole Calibration for Head Tissue/Pin=100mW, dist=10mm, f=5300 MHz/Zoom Scan, dist=1.4mm (8x8x7)/Cube 0:

Measurement grid: dx=4mm, dy=4mm, dz=1.4mm

Reference Value = 70.58 V/m; Power Drift = -0.03 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 29.8 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 8.13 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 2.34 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 18.7 W/kg

Dipole Calibration for Head Tissue/Pin=100mW, dist=10mm, f=5500 MHz/Zoom Scan, dist=1.4mm (8x8x7)/Cube 0:

Measurement grid: dx=4mm, dy=4mm, dz=1.4mm

Reference Value = 71.24 V/m; Power Drift = -0.05 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 33.7 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 8.59 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 2.44 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 20.1 W/kg

Dipole Calibration for Head Tissue/Pin=100mW, dist=10mm, f=5600 MHz/Zoom Scan,**dist=1.4mm (8x8x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=4mm, dy=4mm, dz=1.4mm

Reference Value = 70.41 V/m; Power Drift = -0.03 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 32.5 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 8.39 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 2.4 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 19.8 W/kg

Dipole Calibration for Head Tissue/Pin=100mW, dist=10mm, f=5800 MHz/Zoom Scan,**dist=1.4mm (8x8x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=4mm, dy=4mm, dz=1.4mm

Reference Value = 68.42 V/m; Power Drift = -0.04 dB


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 31.5 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 7.94 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 2.25 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 18.8 W/kg



Impedance Measurement Plot for Head TSL



DASY5 Validation Report for Body TSL

Date: 20.02.2018

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

DUT: Dipole D5GHzV2; Type: D5GHzV2; Serial: D5GHzV2 - SN:1273

Communication System: UID 0 - CW; Frequency: 5200 MHz, Frequency: 5300 MHz, Frequency: 5500 MHz, Frequency: 5600 MHz, Frequency: 5800 MHz

Medium parameters used: $f = 5200$ MHz; $\sigma = 5.41$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 47.5$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³,Medium parameters used: $f = 5300$ MHz; $\sigma = 5.54$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 47.3$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³,Medium parameters used: $f = 5500$ MHz; $\sigma = 5.8$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 47$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³,Medium parameters used: $f = 5600$ MHz; $\sigma = 5.95$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 46.8$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³,Medium parameters used: $f = 5800$ MHz; $\sigma = 6.23$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 46.4$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2011)

DASY52 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3503; ConvF(5.35, 5.35, 5.35); Calibrated: 30.12.2017, ConvF(5.15, 5.15, 5.15); Calibrated: 30.12.2017, ConvF(4.7, 4.7, 4.7); Calibrated: 30.12.2017, ConvF(4.65, 4.65, 4.65); Calibrated: 30.12.2017, ConvF(4.53, 4.53, 4.53); Calibrated: 30.12.2017;
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn601; Calibrated: 26.10.2017
- Phantom: Flat Phantom 5.0 (back); Type: QD 000 P50 AA; Serial: 1002
- DASY52 52.10.0(1446); SEMCAD X 14.6.10(7417)

Dipole Calibration for Body Tissue/Pin=100mW, dist=10mm, f=5200 MHz/Zoom Scan,**dist=1.4mm (8x8x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=4mm, dy=4mm, dz=1.4mm

Reference Value = 65.97 V/m; Power Drift = -0.03 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 28.0 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 7.4 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 2.05 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 17.2 W/kg

Dipole Calibration for Body Tissue/Pin=100mW, dist=10mm, f=5300 MHz/Zoom Scan,**dist=1.4mm (8x8x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=4mm, dy=4mm, dz=1.4mm

Reference Value = 65.85 V/m; Power Drift = -0.02 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 29.8 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 7.61 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 2.13 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 17.9 W/kg

Dipole Calibration for Body Tissue/Pin=100mW, dist=10mm, f=5500 MHz/Zoom Scan,**dist=1.4mm (8x8x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=4mm, dy=4mm, dz=1.4mm

Reference Value = 67.13 V/m; Power Drift = -0.06 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 33.5 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 8.08 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 2.23 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 19.5 W/kg

Dipole Calibration for Body Tissue/Pin=100mW, dist=10mm, f=5600 MHz/Zoom Scan,**dist=1.4mm (8x8x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=4mm, dy=4mm, dz=1.4mm

Reference Value = 65.86 V/m; Power Drift = -0.06 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 33.7 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 7.99 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 2.23 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 19.2 W/kg


Dipole Calibration for Body Tissue/Pin=100mW, dist=10mm, f=5800 MHz/Zoom Scan,**dist=1.4mm (8x8x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=4mm, dy=4mm, dz=1.4mm

Reference Value = 64.37 V/m; Power Drift = -0.05 dB


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 33.6 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 7.7 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 2.13 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 18.9 W/kg



Impedance Measurement Plot for Body TSL



Calibration information for DAE



In Collaboration with
s p e a g
CALIBRATION LABORATORY



中国认可
国际互认
校准
CALIBRATION
CNAS L0570

Add: No.51 Xueyuan Road, Haidian District, Beijing, 100191, China
Tel: +86-10-62304633-2512 Fax: +86-10-62304633-2504
E-mail: cttl@chinatitl.com [Http://www.chinatitl.cn](http://www.chinatitl.cn)

Client : CCIS

Certificate No: Z20-60270

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object DAE4 - SN: 1373

Calibration Procedure(s) FF-Z11-002-01
Calibration Procedure for the Data Acquisition Electronics (DAEx)

Calibration date: July 27, 2020

This calibration Certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements(SI). The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature(22±3)°C and humidity<70%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID #	Cal Date(Calibrated by, Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Process Calibrator 753	1971018	16-Jun-20 (CTTL, No.J20X04342)	Jun-21

	Name	Function	Signature
Calibrated by:	Yu Zongying	SAR Test Engineer	
Reviewed by:	Lin Hao	SAR Test Engineer	
Approved by:	Qi Dianyuan	SAR Project Leader	

Issued: July 29, 2020

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.

Certificate No: Z20-60270

Page 1 of 3



Add: No.51 Xueyuan Road, Haidian District, Beijing, 100191, China
 Tel: +86-10-62304633-2512 Fax: +86-10-62304633-2504
 E-mail: cttl@chinattl.com Http://www.chinattl.cn

Glossary:

DAE data acquisition electronics
 Connector angle information used in DASY system to align probe sensor X to the robot coordinate system.

Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- *DC Voltage Measurement:* Calibration Factor assessed for use in DASY system by comparison with a calibrated instrument traceable to national standards. The figure given corresponds to the full scale range of the voltmeter in the respective range.
- *Connector angle:* The angle of the connector is assessed measuring the angle mechanically by a tool inserted. Uncertainty is not required.
- The report provide only calibration results for DAE, it does not contain other performance test results.



Add: No.51 Xueyuan Road, Haidian District, Beijing, 100191, China
 Tel: +86-10-62304633-2512 Fax: +86-10-62304633-2504
 E-mail: cttl@chinattl.com Http://www.chinattl.cn

DC Voltage Measurement

A/D - Converter Resolution nominal

High Range: 1LSB = 6.1μV, full range = -100...+300 mV

Low Range: 1LSB = 61nV, full range = -1.....+3mV

DASY measurement parameters: Auto Zero Time: 3 sec; Measuring time: 3 sec

Calibration Factors	X	Y	Z
High Range	403.934 ± 0.15% (k=2)	403.899 ± 0.15% (k=2)	404.192 ± 0.15% (k=2)
Low Range	3.98735 ± 0.7% (k=2)	4.00822 ± 0.7% (k=2)	4.01196 ± 0.7% (k=2)

Connector Angle

Connector Angle to be used in DASY system	346.5° ± 1°
---	-------------

-----End of Report-----