

December 9, 2003

ATCB
6731 Whittier Ave.,
McLean, VA 22101

Attn: Dennis Ward
dward@AmericanTCB.com

Re: FCC ID: KBCIX260MPIA555BT
Reply to 12/7/03 comments, referenced by Item.

Item 1 The procedure referenced is in fact the tune up procedure. The word tune up was inadvertently left out.

Item 2 A new "*Exhibit 13 Request for action, Confidentiality, Agent Authorization TCB label letter Rev1.pdf*" is submitted to correct the mistake in the letter "Certification Action Requested" and replace the word "portable" with "mobile" as this application is intended for mobile use only in laptop.

Item 3 A new "*Exhibit 6 Original Complete LDK102042 WLAN Test Report.pdf*" is submitted. The individual excerpts previously submitted were from this report. I believe this complete report addresses the Part 15 report requirements in accordance with ANSI C63.4. The referenced FCC ID is physically and electrically identical as defined by Part 2.907 to the device installed in the IX260. The antenna conducted measurements made for the OEM contained in this original report should remain representative of this identical device when installed in the Model: IX260. New measurements were previously submitted in the supplemental test report to address the radiated emissions characteristics in the IX260 with a new antenna.

Item 4 A new "*Exhibit 6 MPI350 WLAN.pdf*" Test Report Rev1 is submitted with revisions to the report to replace the incorrect reference to Aircard 750 and replace with the correct Aircard 555. The test dates have been added to the report. The report table of contents has had a note added referring the reader to Exhibit 7 submitted with the original report. Exhibit 7 contains the Spectrum Technology, Inc., list of test equipment and the calibration dates as well as a block diagram of the radiated test setup and the EUT test setup photos.

Item 5 Celltech labs will respond directly to this item.

Item 6 On the second page of Exhibit 11 MPE we describe how we arrived at our worst case estimate of MPE. We did not estimate the MPE at multiple locations. The summed ratios of the power density for the three transmitters has reasonable margin below the limit so further projections were not made.

We believe that we have addressed all of the concerns detailed in your 12/7/03 comments. We would be glad to provide any further information you find necessary to assist in your review of this application for Certification.

Best Regards,
Rod Munro rmunro@spectrumti.com