



**MOTOROLA**

**Date:** September 18, 2003

**Subject:** Request for additional information regarding FCC ID: QDJ-0308LUG001

**Reference:**

Application Received:	9/8/2003
Correspondence Reference Number:	230917A.QDJ
Confirmation Number:	TC3230
Date of Original Email:	9/17/2003

**Prepared by:**

Andrew Bachler, Principal Staff Engineer  
Motorola Personal Communications Sector  
Libertyville, Illinois

1. What analyzer settings (i.e., RBW, VBW, detector function) were used to make spurious conducted emission measurements?

**Response:** Please refer to Instrument Settings in the revised test report.

2. What analyzer settings (i.e., RBW, VBW, detector function) were used to make spurious radiated emission measurements?

**Response:** Please refer to Instrument Settings in the revised test report.

3. Please correct the 2 typos on p. 4 of the SAR report with the Cal Due Date for the Network Analyzer.

**Response:** Please refer to the supplemental SAR report.

4. It appears that different tissue parameter values are used in the validation tests and in the SAR tests taken the same day. Please explain.

**Response:** Please refer to the supplemental SAR report.

5. The z-axis plot for the dipole validation test taken on 9/2/03 appears to be for 10-g SAR. Please submit the 1-g z-axis plot.

**Response:** Please refer to the supplemental SAR report.