SAR Compliance Test Report

Testing Lab: BlackBerry RTS **Applicant:** BlackBerry Limited

 440 Phillip Street
 295 Phillip Street

 Waterloo, Ontario
 Waterloo, Ontario

 Canada N2L 5R9
 Canada N2L 3W8

 Phone: 519-888-7465
 Phone: 519-888-7465

 Fax: 519-746-0189
 Fax: 519-888-6906

Web site: www.BlackBerry.com

Statement of Compliance:

BlackBerry RTS declares under its sole responsibility that the product to which this declaration relates, is in conformity with the appropriate RF exposure standards, recommendations and guidelines. It also declares that the product was tested in accordance with the appropriate measurement standards, guidelines and

recommended practices.

Device Category: This BlackBerry® Smartphone is a portable device, designed to be used in direct

contact with the user's head, hand and to be carried in approved accessories when

carried on the user's body.

RF Exposure Environment: This device has been shown to be in compliance for localized specific absorption rate (SAR) for uncontrolled environment/general population exposure limits specified in, FCC 96-326, IEEE Std. C95.1-2005, Health Canada's Safety Code 6, as reproduced in RSS-102 issue 4-2010 and has been tested in accordance with the measurement procedures specified in latest FCC OET KDB Procedures, ANSI/IEEE Std. C95.3-2002, IEEE 1528-2003, IEC 62209-1-2005, IEC 62209 - 2-2010 and Health

Canada's Safety Code 6.

Andrew Becker
SAR & HAC Compliance Specialist
(Author of the Test Report)

Daoud Attayi
Compliance Systems Analyst II
SAR & HAC Compliance Lead
(Verification and responsible of the Test Report)

Masud S. Attayi Manager, Regulatory Compliance (Approval for the Test Report)

RTS is accredited according to EN ISO/IEC 17025 by:



592

		ance Test Report for the BlackBerry® Model RFR101LW Rev 3		Page 2(73)	
Author Data	Dates of Test		Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC
Andrew Becker	Mar 04 – May 30, 2013		RTS-6036-1305-06B Rev 3	L6ARFR100LW	2503A-RFR100LW
	Mar 31 –	Apr 01, 2014			

Note: According to the hardware similarity document, BlackBerry model: RFR101LW has a same design/PCB as RFS121LW, except RFR101LW also supports LTE Bands 2,4,5,17 and UMTS Band IV. Due to this similarity, only SAR measurement spot checks were performed on the worst case band and full testing on the new band.

Revision History					
Rev. Number	Date	Changes			
Initial	Jun 14, 2013				
Rev 2	Jul 23, 2013	Revised Table 3.2-1 and deleted Table 3.2.2-3.3-4			
Rev 3	May 01, 2014	Added measured conducted power data for Wi-Fi Direct/GO mode: 1. Table 1.8.1-3 changed to1.8.1-3a on page 12 2. Table 1.8.1-3b added on page 13 Updated equipment list to include those used for Wi-Fi Direct testing: 3. Table 2.1.1-1 changed to 2.1.1-1a on page 36 4. Table 2.1.1-1b added on page 37			



 $SAR\ Compliance\ Test\ Report\ for\ the\ BlackBerry {\tt \$}$ Smartphone Model RFR101LW Rev 3

Page 3(73)

Author Data

Andrew Becker

Mar 04 – May 30, 2013 Mar 31 – Apr 01, 2014

Test Report No RTS-6036-1305-06B Rev 3

FCC ID: L6ARFR100LW

2503A-RFR100LW

Contents

CO	IIIC.	1115		
1.0		OPER	RATING CONFIGURATIONS AND TEST CONDITIONS	5
	1.1		TURE OF DEVICE	
	1.2		FENNA DESCRIPTION	
	1.3		/ICE DESCRIPTION	
	1.4	BOD	DY WORN ACCESSORIES (HOLSTERS)	9
	1.5		ADSET	
	1.6		TERY	
	1.7		DCEDURE USED TO ESTABLISH TEST SIGNAL	
	1.8		SHLIGHTS OF THE FCC OET SAR MEASUREMENT REQUIREMENTS	
		1.8.1	SAR MEASUREMENT PROCEDURES FOR 802.11 A/B/G/N AS PER KDB 248227 D01 V01R02 AN	
			SAR MEASUREMENTS 100 MHZ TO 6 GHZ AS PER KDB 865664 D0 V01	
			SAR MEASUREMENT REQUIREMENTS FOR BLUETOOTH	. 14
		1.8.3	SAR EVALUATION PROCEDURES FOR PORTABLE DEVICES WITH WIRELESS ROUTER	
		404	CAPABILITIES AS PER KDB 941225 D06 V01	. 14
		1.8.4	SAR EVALUATION PROCEDURES FOR GSM/(E)GPRS DUAL TRANSFER MODE AS PER KDB 941225 D04 V01 AND SAR TEST REDUCTION PROCEDURES GSM GPRS EDGE AS PER DD	D
			941225 D03 V01 941225 D03 V01	
		105		. 10
		1.8.5	SAR MEASUREMENT PROCEDURES FOR 3G DEVICES	
			SAR EVALUATION PROCEDURES FOR LTE AS PER KDB 941225 D05 V02	
	1.9	-	NERAL SAR TEST REDUCTION AND EXCLUSION PROCEDURE AS PER KDB 447498 D01 V05 AN	
	1.5		SAR HANDSETS MULTI XMITER AND ANT PROCEDURE AS PER 648474 D04 V01	
		1.9.1		
	2.1		R MEASUREMENT SYSTEM	
		2.1.1	EQUIPMENT LIST	
	2.2	DES	SCRIPTION OF THE TEST SETUP	. 37
		2.2.1	DEVICE AND BASE STATION SIMULATOR SETUP	. 37
		2.2.2	DASY SETUP	. 37
3.0		ELEC	TRIC FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION	37
	3.1	PRC	DBE SPECIFICATIONS	. 37
	3.2		DBE CALIBRATION AND MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY	
4.0		SAR	MEASUREMENT SYSTEM VERIFICATION	39
	4.1	SYS	STEM ACCURACY VERIFICATION FOR HEAD ADJACENT USE	39
5.0			NTOM DESCRIPTION	
			UE DIELECTRIC PROPERTIES	
6.0				
	6.1	6.1.1	MPOSITION OF TISSUE SIMULANTEQUIPMENT	
	6.0		EQUIPMENT	
	6.2	6.2.2		. 43
		6.2.3		
7.0			SAFETY LIMITS	
8.0			CE POSITIONING	
	8.1		/ICE HOLDER FOR SAM TWIN PHANTOMSCRIPTION OF THE TEST POSITIONING	
	8.2			
		8.2.1 8.2.2	TEST POSITIONS OF DEVICE RELATIVE TO HEAD	
			LIMB/HAND CONFIGURATION	
9.0			LEVEL EVALUATION	
9.0				
	9.1 9.2		XIMUM SEARCH	
	9.2		JNDARY CORRECTION	
	9.3		NK SEARCH FOR 1G AND 10G CUBE AVERAGED SAR	
10			ASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY	
10.0				
11.0	-		T RESULTS	
	11.		AR MEASUREMENT RESULTS AT HIGHEST POWER MEASURED AGAINST THE HEAD	. 57
	11.	_	AR MEASUREMENT RESULTS AT HIGHEST POWER MEASURED AGAINST THE BODY USING	e c
40	^		ACCESSORIES	
12 ()		REFERENCES	72

		SAR Complia	ance Test Report for the BlackBerry® Model RFR101LW Rev 3		Page 4(73)
Author Data	Dates of Test		Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC
Andrew Becker	Mar 04 –	May 30, 2013	RTS-6036-1305-06B Rev 3	L6ARFR100LW	2503A-RFR100LW
	Mar 31 –	Apr 01, 2014			

APPENDIX A: SAR DISTRIBUTION COMPARISON FOR ACCURACY VERIFICATION

APPENDIX B: SAR DISTRIBUTION PLOTS - HEAD CONFIGURATION

APPENDIX C1: SAR DISTRIBUTION PLOTS - BODY-WORN CONFIGURATION

APPENDIX C2: SAR DISTRIBUTION PLOTS - HOT SPOT

APPENDIX D: PROBE & DIPOLE CALIBRATION DATA

APPENDIX E: PHOTOGRAPHS

RISPVRDIIV		ance Test Report for the BlackBerry® Model RFR101LW Rev 3		Page 5(73)	
Author Data	Dates of Test		Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC
Andrew Becker	Mar 04 – May 30, 2013		RTS-6036-1305-06B Rev 3	L6ARFR100LW	2503A-RFR100LW
	Mar 31 –	Apr 01, 2014			

1.0 OPERATING CONFIGURATIONS AND TEST CONDITIONS

1.1 Picture of Device

Please refer to Appendix E.

Figure 1.1-1 BlackBerry Smartphone

1.2 Antenna description

Type	Internal fixed antenna
Location	Please refer to Figure 1.9-1
Configuration	Internal fixed antenna

Table 1.2-1 Antenna description

1.3 Device description

Device Model	RFS121LW						
FCC ID	L6ARFS120LW						
	Radiated: 2AB02A54 (Rev1), 2AB02A49 (Rev1), 2AB04D29 (Rev2),						
	2FFF9A72 (Rev3)						
	Conducted: 2AB02A62 (Rev1), 2AB02A6B (Rev1), 2AB04D16 (Rev2),						
PIN	2FFF9A91 (Rev3)	. , , ,	, ,,	, , ,			
Hardware Rev		ev2-906-00/01, Rev3-	x09-01/02				
Software Version	127.0.1.4081, 10.1.0	0.1666					
Prototype or Production Unit	Production						
	1-slot	1-slot 2-slots 3-slots 4-slots					
	GSM 850	EDGE/GPRS	EDGE/GPRS	EDGE/GPRS			
Mode(s) of Operation	GSM 1900	850/1900	850/1900	850/1900			
Nominal Maximum conducted	33.5	31.5	30.5	28.0			
RF Output Power (dBm)	29.0	28.0	25.0	24.5			
Tolerance in Power Setting on	± 0.5	± 0.5	± 0.5	± 0.5			
centre channel (dB)	± 0.3	± 0.3	± 0.3	± 0.5			
Duty Cycle	1:8	2:8	3:8	4:8			
Transmitting Frequency	824.2 - 848.8	824.2 - 848.8	824.2 - 848.8	824.2 - 848.8			
Range (MHz)	1850.2 - 1909.8	1850.2 - 1909.8	1850.2 - 1909.8	1850.2 – 1909.8			
Mode(s) of Operation	802.11b	802.11g	802.11n	Bluetooth			
Nominal Maximum conducted	16.5	15.5	12.5	10.5			
RF Output Power (dBm)	16.5 15.5 12.5						
Tolerance in Power Setting on	± 0.5 ± 0.5 ± 0.5 N/A						
centre channel (dB)	± 0.3	± 0.3	± 0.3	1 V /A			
Duty Cycle	1:1	1:1	1:1	N/A			
Transmitting Frequency	2412-2462	2412-2462	2412-2462	2402-2483			

RISPVRDIN		ance Test Report for the BlackBerry® Model RFR101LW Rev 3		Page 6(73)	
Author Data	Dates of Test		Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC
Andrew Becker	Mar 04 – May 30, 2013		RTS-6036-1305-06B Rev 3	L6ARFR100LW	2503A-RFR100LW
	Mar 31 –	Apr 01, 2014			

Range (MHz)				
Mode(s) of Operation	HSPA ⁺ / WCDMA / UMTS FDD V (850)	HSPA ⁺ / WCDMA / UMTS FDD II (1900)	NFC	
Nominal Maximum conducted RF Output Power (dBm)	23.5	22.5	N/A	
Tolerance in Power Setting on centre channel (dB)	± 0.5	± 0.5	N/A	
Duty Cycle	1:1	1:1	N/A	
Transmitting Frequency Range (MHz)	824.6 – 846.6	1852.4 – 1907.6	13.56	

Table 1.3-1 Test device characterization for U.S. wireless operating modes/bands for model RFS121LW

Note 1: The BlackBerry model: RFS121LW also supports GSM/GPRS/EDGE 900/1800 MHz, UMTS band I/VIII, and LTE 3/7/8/20, that are not operational in North America, therefore no data is presented in this report for those bands.

Note 2: SAR measurements on NFC haven't been conducted, since it is very low power and frequency magnetic field transceiver. SAR probes measure higher frequency/power electric field.

Davies Madel	DED101LW						
Device Model	RFR101LW						
FCC ID	L6ARFR100LW						
	Radiated: 2AB035D	00(Rev1), 2AB035B7	(Rev1), 2FFFB6AF (Rev2)			
PIN	Conducted: 2AB035	5BB (Rev1), 2AB035	B8 (Rev1)				
Hardware Rev	Rev1-906-00/01, Re	ev2-x06-01/05					
Software Version	127.0.1.3901/4081,	10.1.0.1411					
Prototype or Production Unit	Production						
	1-slot	2-slots	3-slots	4-slots			
	GSM 850	EDGE/GPRS	EDGE/GPRS	EDGE/GPRS			
Mode(s) of Operation	GSM 1900	850/1900	850/1900				
Nominal Maximum conducted	33.5	31.5	30.5	28.0			
RF Output Power (dBm)	29.0	28.0	25.0	24.5			
Tolerance in Power Setting on	± 0.5	± 0.5	± 0.5	± 0.5			
centre channel (dB)	± 0.5	± 0.5	± 0.5	± 0.5			
Duty Cycle	1:8	2:8	3:8	4:8			
Transmitting Frequency	824.2 - 848.8	824.2 - 848.8	824.2 - 848.8	824.2 - 848.8			
Range (MHz)	1850.2 - 1909.8	1850.2 - 1909.8	1850.2 - 1909.8	1850.2 – 1909.8			
Mode(s) of Operation	802.11b 802.11g 802.11n Blueto						
Nominal Maximum conducted	16.5	15.5	12.5	10.5			
RF Output Power (dBm)	16.5 15.5 12.5 10.5						
Tolerance in Power Setting on	± 0.5	± 0.5	± 0.5	N/A			
centre channel (dB)	± 0.3	± 0.3	± 0.3	IN/A			
Duty Cycle	1:1	1:1	1:1	N/A			

		SAR Complia	ance Test Report for the BlackBerry® Model RFR101LW Rev 3		Page 7(73)
Author Data	Dates of Test		Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC
Andrew Becker	Mar 04 – May 30, 2013		RTS-6036-1305-06B Rev 3	L6ARFR100LW	2503A-RFR100LW
	Mar 31 –	Apr 01, 2014			

Transmitting Frequency Range (MHz)	2412-2462	2412-2462	2412-2462	2402-2483
Mode(s) of Operation	HSPA ⁺ / WCDMA / UMTS FDD V (850)	WCDMA / UMTS FDD IV (1700)	HSPA ⁺ / WCDMA / UMTS FDD II (1900)	NFC
Nominal Maximum conducted RF Output Power (dBm)	23.5	23.0	22.5	N/A
Tolerance in Power Setting on centre channel (dB)	± 0.5	± 0.5	± 0.5	N/A
Duty Cycle	1:1	1:1	1:1	N/A
Transmitting Frequency Range (MHz)	824.6 – 846.6	1712.4-1752.6	1852.4 – 1907.6	13.56

Table 1.3-2 Test device characterization for U.S. wireless operating modes/bands for model RFR101LW

Note 1: The BlackBerry model: RFR101LW also supports GSM/GPRS/EDGE 900/1800 MHz and UMTS band I that are not operational in North America. Therefore, no data is presented in this report for those bands.

Note 2: SAR measurements on NFC haven't been conducted, since it is very low power and frequency magnetic field transceiver. SAR probes measure higher frequency/power electric field.

Davica Model	Device Model RFR101LW					
FCC ID		L6ARFR100	ſ W			
FCC ID				B7(Rev1), 2FFFB6AF (Rev2)		
nn.			* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	V2)	
PIN						
Hardware Rev			/01, Rev2-x06-01/05			
Software Version		127.0.1.3901	/4081, 10.1.0.1411			
Prototype or Production U	J nit	Production				
		Band 17: 5 M	Hz, 10 MHz			
T	1	Band 5: 1.4 M	Hz, 3 MHz, 5 MHz, 10 MHz	Z		
Transmission channel ban	awiatn	Band 4: 1.4 MHz, 3 MHz, 5 MHz, 10 MHz, 15MHz, 20MHz				
		Band 2: 1.4 MHz, 3 MHz, 5 MHz, 10 MHz, 15MHz, 20MHz				
Transmission channel number and frequencies						
	LTE band 17			LTE band 5		
	Chan.		f (MHz)	Chan.	f (MHz)	
L	23780		709.0	20450	829.0	
M	23790		710.0	20525	836.5	
Н	23800		711.0	20600	844.0	
	LTE band	4 LTE band 2		·		
	Chan.		f (MHz)	Chan.	f (MHz)	
L	20050		1720.0	18700	1860.0	
M	M 20175			18900	1880.0	
Н	H 20300 1			19100	1900.0	
	•			•		
UE Category		Category 3				
Modulation supported in u	•	QPSK, 16QAN				
Description of LTE antenn	na	1 Tx/Rx Ant, Sharing with GSM/UMTS; 2 Rx Ant, one separate and one sharing with CDMA				

RISCVROMV			ance Test Report for the Blac Model RFR101LW Rev 3	Page 8 (73)	
Author Data	Dates of Test		Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC
Andrew Becker	Mar 04 – May 30, 2013		RTS-6036-1305-06B Rev 3	L6ARFR100LW	2503A-RFR100LW
	Mar 31 –	Apr 01, 2014			

	T					
LTE voice available/supported	No					
Hotspot with LTE+WiFi	Yes					
Hotspot with LTE+WiFi active with						
GSM/WCDMA voice	No					
LTE MPR permanently built-in by						
design	Yes					
LTE A-MPR	Disabled during SAR testing, by setting N	V value to NV_01 on the CMW500				
	Band 17: 22.89 dBm					
	Band 5: 23.45 dBm					
	Band 4: 22.79 dBm					
LTE maximum average power (dBm)	Band 2: 22.83 dBm					
		835 MHz GSM/UMTS				
	GSM/WCDMA/HSPA ⁺	1700 MHz UMTS				
Other non-LTE U.S. wireless		1900 MHz GSM/UMTS				
operating modes/bands	W:E: I DT	2.4 GHz Wi-Fi				
	WiFi and BT 2.4 GHz BT					
	Please refer to section 1.9: Highlights of the	FCC OET SAR Evaluation Considerations for Handsets				
Simultaneous Tx conditions	with Multiple Transmitters/ Antennas & GS	SM/GPRS/EDGE Procedure.				
Power reduction applied for SAR						
compliance	No					

Table 1.3-3 Test device characterization all U.S. wireless operating modes/bands

Note 2: As per 3GPP TS 36.521-1 V10.0.0 (2011-12):

"The channel numbers that designate carrier frequencies so close to the operating band edges that the carrier extends beyond the operating band edge shall not be used. This implies that the first 7, 15, 25, 50, 75 and 100 channel numbers at the lower operating band edge and the last 6, 14, 24, 49, 74 and 99 channel numbers at the upper operating band edge shall not be used for channel bandwidths of 1.4, 3, 5, 10, 15 and 20 MHz respectively."...5.4.4

RISCVROMV			ance Test Report for the Blac Model RFR101LW Rev 3	Page 9(73)	
Author Data	Dates of Test		Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC
Andrew Becker	Mar 04 – May 30, 2013		RTS-6036-1305-06B Rev 3	L6ARFR100LW	2503A-RFR100LW
	Mar 31 –	Apr 01, 2014			

1.4 Body worn accessories (holsters)

The device has been tested with the holsters listed below. The holster has been designed with the intended device orientation being with the LCD facing the belt clip only. Proper positioning is vital for protection of the LCD display, and to help maximize the battery life of the device. The device can also be placed in the holster with the backside facing the belt clip. Body SAR measurements were carried out with the worst-case configuration front LCD side and backside towards the belt clip.

Number	Holster Type	Part Number	Separation distance (mm)
1	Vertical Holster, Leather	HDW-50678-001	20
2	Vertical Holster, alt Leather	HDW-50677-001	20

Table 1.4-1 Body worn holster

Note: Holsters have identical design, except for different leather material being used.

Please refer to Appendix E.

Figure 1.4-1 Body-worn holster

1.5 Headset

The device was tested with and without the following headset model numbers.

- 1) HDW-24529-004
- 2) HDW-15766-005
- 3) HDW-44306-001

1.6 Battery

The device was tested with the following Lithium Ion Battery packs.

1) BAT-51585-00x

1.7 Procedure used to establish test signal

- The device was put into test mode for SAR measurements by placing a call from a Rohde & Schwarz CMU 200 or CMW 500 Communications Test Instrument. The power control level was set to command the device to transmit at full power at the specified frequency. Other parameters include: Channel type = full rate, discontinuous transmission off, frequency hopping off. For LTE specific bandwidths, number of resource blocks, and resource block offsets were set. In addition, LTE A-MPR was disabled.
- Software Tool was used to set WiFi to transmit at maximum power and duty cycle for each band, channel, and modulation.

RISPVRDIN			nnce Test Report for the Blac Model RFR101LW Rev 3	Page 10(73)	
Author Data	Dates of Test		Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC
Andrew Becker	Mar 04 – May 30, 2013		RTS-6036-1305-06B Rev 3	L6ARFR100LW	2503A-RFR100LW
	Mar 31 –	Apr 01, 2014			

1.8 Highlights of the FCC OET SAR Measurement Requirements

1.8.1 SAR Measurement Procedures for 802.11 a/b/g/n as per KDB 248227 D01 v01r02 and SAR Measurements 100 MHz to 6 GHz as per KDB 865664 D0 V01

- \bullet Repeat measurements when the measured SAR is ≥ 0.80 W/kg. If the measured SAR values are < 1.45 W/kg with $\leq 20\%$ variation, only one repeated measurement was performed to reaffirm that the results are not expected to have substantial variations. An additional repeated measurement is required only if the measured results are within 10% of the SAR limit and vary by more than 20%, which are often related to device and measurement setup difficulties.
- Maintained dielectric parameter uncertainty to \pm 5.0% of the target values, (although it is very challenging to control/maintain both permittivity and conductivity for 5-6 GHz for all test channels within \pm 5.0% of the target values, some conductivity values were measured slightly higher which resulted in more conservative SAR values.
- Liquid depth from SAM ERP or flat phantom was kept at 15 cm.
- Probe Requirement: Used SPEAG probe model ET3DV6/ES3DV3 for 2.45 GHz and EX3DV4 for 5-6 GHz SAR testing specs are outlined below:

ET3DV6/ES3DV3						
Probe tip to sensor center	2.7 mm / 2.0 mm					
Probe tip diameter is	6.8 mm / 4.0 mm					
Probe calibration uncertainty	< 15 % for f = 2.45 GHz					
Probe calibration range	± 100 MHz					
EX3D	V4					
Probe tip to sensor center	1.0 mm					
Probe tip diameter is	2.5 mm					
Probe calibration uncertainty	< 15 % for f = 2.45 to $< 6.0 GHz$					
Probe calibration range	± 100 MHz					

Table 1.8.1-1 Probe specification requirements

- Area scan resolution was maintained at 10mm (5-6 GHz)
- Area scan resolution was maintained at 12mm (2-3 GHz)
- Area scan resolution was maintained at 15mm (</= 2 GHz)
- System accuracy validation was conducted within \pm 100 MHz of device mid-band frequency and results were within \pm 10 % of the manufacturers target value for each band.
- Zoom Scan: The following settings were used for the validation and measurement.

ET3DV6/ES3DV3						
Closest Measurement Point to Phantom	4.0 mm					
Zoom Scan (x,y) Resolution	7.5 mm (\leq 2 GHz) or 5 mm (2-3 GHz)					
Zoom Scan (z) Resolution	5.0 mm					
Zoom Scan Volume	Minimum 30 x 30 x 30 mm ¹					
EX3	DV4					
Closest Measurement Point to Phantom	2.0 mm					
Zoom Scan (x,y) Resolution	4.0 mm (5-6 GHz)					
Zoom Scan (z) Resolution	2.0 mm (5-6 GHz)					

RISCVROM			nnce Test Report for the Blac Model RFR101LW Rev 3	Page 11(73)	
Author Data	Dates of Test		Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC
Andrew Becker	Mar 04 –	May 30, 2013	RTS-6036-1305-06B Rev 3	L6ARFR100LW	2503A-RFR100LW
	Mar 31 –	Apr 01, 2014			

Table 1.8.1-2 Zoom Scan requirement

Note 1: "Auto-extend zoom scan when maxima on boundary" is enabled, which can result in the zoom scan dimensions varying between 30x30x30 to 60x60x30 mm and 22x22x22 to 48x40x22 mm.

- Frequency Channel Configuration: 802.11 b/g modes are tested on the highest output power channel.
- 802.11a is tested for UNII operations on the highest output power channel of each sub band (low, mid, upper band I, and upper band II). If the highest output power channel has a SAR level that is not 3dB lower than the limit, then the low, mid, and high channels of each sub band must also be tested.
- For each frequency band, testing at higher rates and higher modulations is not required when the maximum average output power for each of these configurations is less than ¼ dB higher than those measured at the lowest data rate.
- SAR is not required for 802.11g/n channels when the maximum average output power is less than ¼ dB higher than that measured on the corresponding 802.11b channels.
- SAR test was conducted on each "default test channel" and each band with the worst case modulation and highest duty cycle, if the SAR level was within 3dB of the limit.
- Conducted power measurements:

RISPVRDIN			ance Test Report for the Blac Model RFR101LW Rev 3	Page 12(73)	
Author Data	Dates of Test		Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC
Andrew Becker	Mar 04 –	May 30, 2013	RTS-6036-1305-06B Rev 3	L6ARFR100LW	2503A-RFR100LW
	Mar 31 –	Apr 01, 2014			

802.11g @ 6Mbps

Cond.

802.11b @ 1Mbps

Cond.

802.11n @ 6.5 Mbps

Chan	Power (dBm)	Chan		Power (dBm)		Chan	(dBm)		d. Power dBm)
1	16.61	1		.41		1		12.84	
6	16.75	6	15.52			6		12.90	6
11	16.53	11	15	.14		11		12.65	5
13	15.82	13	14	.45		13		11.97	7
		802.11g					80)2.11b	
Data		Channel 6		Dat	a		Ch	nannel	6
Rate	Mod.	Cond. Pov	ver	Rat	te	Mod.	Co	ond.	Power
(Mbps)		(dBm)		(Mb _j	ps)		(d)	Bm)	
6	BPSK	15.52	15.52			BPSK		.75	
9	BPSK	15.43		2		DQPSK	16	.72	
12	QPSK	15.42		5.5		CCK	16	.70	
18	QPSK	15.46		11		CCK	16	.71	
24	16-QAM	15.47		22		CCK	16	.69	
36	16-QAM	15.48							
48	64-QAM	15.50							
54	64-QAM	15.49							
					80	2.11 n			
Data I	Rate (Mbps)	Mod.			Channel 6				
Data 1	vate (MDps)				Cond. Power (dBm)				
	6.5	MCS0	MCS0		12.96				
	13 MCS1			12.92					
19.5		MCS2	MCS2		12.93				
	26 MCS3				12	.90			
39		MCS4	MCS4		12.89				
52		MCS5			12.91				
	58.5	MCS6			12.92				
	65	MCS7			12.92				

Table 1.8.1-3a 802.11 b/g/n modulation type/data rate vs. conducted power

KISCVKOM			ance Test Report for the Blac Model RFR101LW Rev 3	kBerry®	Page 13(73)
Author Data	Dates of Test		Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC
Andrew Becker	Mar 04 – May 30, 2013		RTS-6036-1305-06B Rev 3	L6ARFR100LW	2503A-RFR100LW
	Mar 31 –	Apr 01, 2014			

80	2.11b @	1Mbps	802	2.11g	@ 6	Mbps		8	02.11n	@ 6.5 Mbps	
f (MHz)	Chan	Max. average conducted power (dBm)	f (MHz)	Cha	Max. average Chan conducted power (dBm)		f (MHz	Cha	Max. average conducted power (dBm)		
2412	1	9.9	2412	1		9	0.8	2412	1	9.8	
2437	6	9.3	2437	6)	9.2		2437	6	9.3	
2462	11	10.0	2462	1.	1	10.1 24		10.1 2462 11 10.1		10.1	
		802.11g						802	.11b		
Data		Cha	annel 11		D	ata Channel 11			annel 11		
Rate (Mbps)	Mod.		rage conduc er (dBm)	cted		ate (bps)	Mod	. N		rage conducted er (dBm)	
18	QPSK		10.2		5	5.5	CCK		10.1		
54	64-QAN	1	10.2	•		11	CCK	-		10.1	
				80	2.11	n					
Data I	Data Rate (Mbps) Mod.				Channel 11						
		,	Max. average conducted power				power (dBm)				
	26			MCS3 10.1							
	65		MCS7		10.2						

Table 1.8.1-3b 802.11 b/g/n modulation type/data rate vs. conducted power in Wi-Fi Direct/Go mode

RISPVRDIN			ance Test Report for the Blac Model RFR101LW Rev 3	kBerry®	Page 14(73)
Author Data	Dates of Test		Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC
Andrew Becker	w Becker Mar 04 – May 30, 2013		RTS-6036-1305-06B Rev 3	L6ARFR100LW	2503A-RFR100LW
	Mar 31 –	Apr 01, 2014			

1.8.2 SAR Measurement Requirements for Bluetooth

Channel	Freq (MHz)	Mode	Conducted Transmit Power (dBm)
0	2402	DH5	8.7
39	2441	DH5	10.5
78	2480	DH5	8.6

Table 1.8.2-1 Bluetooth peak conducted power measurements

1.8.3 SAR Evaluation Procedures for Portable Devices with Wireless Router Capabilities as per KDB 941225 D06 v01

Standalone personal wireless routers and handsets with hotspot mode capabilities must address hand-held and other near-body exposure conditions to show SAR compliance. The following procedures are applicable when the overall device length and width are ≥ 9 cm x 5 cm respectively. A test separation of 10 mm is required. SAR must be measured for all sides and surfaces with a transmitting antenna located within 25 mm from that surface or edge, for the data modes, wireless technologies and frequency bands supporting hotspot mode. The standalone SAR results in each device test orientation must be analyzed for the applicable hotspot mode simultaneous transmission configurations to determine SAR test exclusion and volume scan requirements.




Figure 1.8.3-1 Identification of all sides for SAR Testing

Note: According to FCC guidance, Hotspot SAR testing is not required on any edge that is more than 2.5cm from the transmitting antenna.

RISPVRDIN			ance Test Report for the Blac Model RFR101LW Rev 3	kBerry®	Page 15(73)
Author Data	Dates of Test		Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC
Andrew Becker	Mar 04 –	May 30, 2013	RTS-6036-1305-06B Rev 3	L6ARFR100LW	2503A-RFR100LW
	Mar 31 –	Apr 01, 2014			

Hotspot Sides for SAR Testing									
Mode	Front	Back	Тор	Bottom	Left	Right			
GSM 850	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes			
GSM 1900	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes			
WCDMA/HSPA 850	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes			
WCDMA/HSPA 1700	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes			
WCDMA/HSPA 1900	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes			
LTE Band 17	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes			
LTE Band 5	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes			
LTE Band 4	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes			
LTE Band 2	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Bluetooth 2.45GHz	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No			
802.11b 2.45GHz	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No			

Table 1.8.3-1 Identification of all sides for SAR Testing

1.8.4 SAR Evaluation Procedures for GSM/(E)GPRS Dual Transfer Mode as per KDB 941225 D04 v01 and SAR Test Reduction Procedures GSM GPRS EDGE as per DDB 941225 D03 vo1

- The device supports EGPRS/GPRS Multi-slot Class 12, DTM/GPRS Multi-slot Class11 and DTM/EGPRS Multi-slot Class10.
- CMU200 base station simulator with DTM software option CMU-K44 was used to set device in DTM (CS+PD) mode for testing. However, device could not be connected in DTM 4-slots uplink.
- \bullet For each slot addition in multi-slot modes (DTM, GPRS, EDGE), there is software power reduction of \sim 2 dB per slot.
- For head configurations, 1 slot CS, 2/3/4-slots (PD) and DTM (CS+PD) were evaluated.
- For body SAR configurations, 2/3/4-slots GPRS (PD) mode were tested.
- In EDGE/GPRS mode, GMSK Modulation was used using CS1-CS4 or MCSI-MCS4.
- 8-PSK modulation or MCS5-MCS9 code scheme were avoided since maximum burst avg . power was measured lower on those modulation schemes.
- Please refer to the conducted power measurements table below:

Mode	Freq. (MHz)	Max burst averaged conducted power (dBm) CS1	Max burst averaged conducted power (dBm) MCS1	Max burst averaged conducted power (dBm) MCS5
2-slots	824.2	31.5	N/A	N/A
GPRS	836.8	31.6	N/A	N/A
850 MHz	848.8	31.5	N/A	N/A
3-slots	824.2	30.5	N/A	N/A
GPRS	836.8	30.3	N/A	N/A
850 MHz	848.8	30.3	N/A	N/A
4-slots	824.2	28.1	N/A	N/A
GPRS	836.8	28.2	N/A	N/A
850 MHz	848.8	28.2	N/A	N/A
2-slots	824.2	31.4	31.5	27.5
EDGE	836.8	31.5	31.5	27.4

RISPVRDIN			ance Test Report for the Blac Model RFR101LW Rev 3	ekBerry®	Page 16(73)
Author Data	Dates of Test		Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC
Andrew Becker Mar 04 – May 30, 2013		RTS-6036-1305-06B Rev 3	L6ARFR100LW	2503A-RFR100LW	
	Mar 31 –	Apr 01, 2014			

850 MHz	848.8	31.5	31.5	27	7.4	
2-slots	824.2	31.8	31.7	31.7	27.5	
DTM	836.8	31.7	31.7	31.7	27.4	
850 MHz	848.8	31.7	31.7	31.6	27.4	
3-slots	824.2	30.5	30.5	25	5.7	
EDGE	836.8	30.3	30.3	25	5.7	
850 MHz	848.8	30.3	30.3	25	5.6	
3-slots	824.2	30.6	30.6	30.6	25.7	
DTM	836.8	30.6	30.6	30.6	25.7	
850 MHz	848.8	30.7	30.6	30.6	25.6	
4-slots	824.2	28.1	28.1	24	1.5	
EDGE	836.8	28.2	28.2	24	1.5	
850 MHz	848.8	28.2	28.2	24	1.5	
2-slots	1850.2	28.2	N/A	N.	/A	
GPRS	1880.0	28.3	N/A	N.	/A	
1900 MHz	1909.8	28.2	N/A		/A	
3-slots	1850.2	25.5	N/A		/A	
GPRS	1880.0	25.4	N/A	N.	/A	
1900 MHz	1909.8	25.4	N/A	N.	/A	
4-slots	1850.2	24.7	N/A		/A	
GPRS	1880.0	24.8	N/A	N.	/A	
1900 MHz	1909.8	24.5	N/A	N,	/A	
2-slots	1850.2	28.2	28.3	24	1.5	
EDGE	1880.0	28.3	28.2	24	1.4	
1900MHz	1909.8	28.2	28.2	24	1.4	
2-slots	1850.2	28.0	28.0	28.0	24.5	
DTM	1880.0	27.9	27.9	27.8	24.4	
1900MHz	1909.8	27.9	27.9	27.9	24.4	
3-slots	1850.2	25.5	25.5	23	3.3	
EDGE	1880.0	25.4	25.4	23	3.2	
1900MHz	1909.8	25.4	25.4	23	3.2	
3-slots	1850.2	25.3	25.3	25.3	23.3	
DTM 1900MHz	1880.0	25.3	25.3	25.2	23.2	
	1909.8	25.2	25.2	25.2	23.2	
4-slots	1850.2	24.8	24.8		2.3	
EDGE	1880.0	24.8	24.8		2.2	
1900MHz	1909.8	24.6	24.6		2.1	
Mode			req. IHz)	Max burst a conducted (dBn	power	
	1-slot	82	24.2	34.0)	
GS	SM (CS)	836.8		33.9		
85	60 MHz	84	48.8	33.7		
	1-slot	18	350.2	29.4	1	
GSM	(CS) 1900	1880.0		29.2		
	MHz			29.4		

Smartphone I			nnce Test Report for the Blac Model RFR101LW Rev 3	kBerry®	Page 17(73)
Author Data	Dates of Test		Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC
Andrew Becker	Mar 04 – May 30, 2013		RTS-6036-1305-06B Rev 3	L6ARFR100LW	2503A-RFR100LW
	Mar 31 –	Apr 01, 2014			

 ${\bf 1.8.4\text{-}1~GSM/EDGE/GPRS~channel~vs.~conducted~power}$

			ance Test Report for the Blac Model RFR101LW Rev 3	kBerry®	Page 18(73)
Author Data	Dates of Test		Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC
Andrew Becker	Mar 04 – May 30, 2013		RTS-6036-1305-06B Rev 3	L6ARFR100LW	2503A-RFR100LW
	Mar 31 –	Apr 01, 2014			

1.8.5 SAR Measurement Procedure for Fast SAR Scan as per KDB 447498

- Area scan based 1-g SAR estimation.
 - o Very specific implementation of fast SAR methods.
 - Reported in the 29th BEMS meeting in 2009.
 - Using the specific polynomial fit algorithm.
 - o Other implementations are not considered.
- When estimated 1-g SAR is ≤ 1.2 W/kg, zoom scan is not required according to the following:
 - o Zoom scan is not required for any other purposes.
 - o Peaks are distinctively identified in the area scan.
 - o No sharp gradients: SAR at 1 cm from peak $\geq 40\%$ of peak value.
 - o No measurement warnings or alerts for other measurement issues.
- 1-g SAR for estimated & zoom scan in the system verification (dipole) must be within 3% of each other to utilize Fast SAR.
- 1g Fast SAR values for dipole validation scans are generally more conservative than the standard SAR scans.
- Regardless of the SAR value, a zoom scan is required for the highest SAR configuration in each frequency band and wireless mode.
- Fast SAR Algorithm: The approach is based on the area scan using DASY5 system.

-=			ance Test Report for the Blac Model RFR101LW Rev 3	kBerry®	Page 19(73)
Author Data	Dates of Test		Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC
Andrew Becker	Mar 04 –	May 30, 2013	RTS-6036-1305-06B Rev 3	L6ARFR100LW	2503A-RFR100LW
	Mar 31 –	Apr 01, 2014			

1.8.6 SAR Measurement Procedures for 3G Devices

WCDMA Handsets

Output Power Verification

- Maximum output power is verified on the High, Middle and Low channels using 12.2 kbps RMC, 12.2 kbps AMR with a 3.4 kbps SRB (signal radio bearer) with TPC (transmit power control) set to all "1's" for WCDMA/HSPA or applying the required inner loop.
- For Release 6 HSPA/Release 7 HSDPA⁺, output power is measured according to requirements for HS-DPCCH Sub-test 1-4/1-5 and 3GPP TS 34.121.

Head SAR Measurements

SAR for head exposure configurations is measured using the 12.2 kbps RMC with TPC bits configured to all "1s". SAR in AMR configurations is not required when the maximum average output of each RF channel for 12.2 kbps AMR is less than ¼ dB higher than that measured in 12.2 kbps RMC. Otherwise, SAR is measured on the maximum output channel in 12.2 AMR with a 3.4 kbps SRB (signalling radio bearer) using the exposure configuration that results in the highest SAR for that RF channel in 12.2 RMC.

Body SAR Measurements

SAR for body exposure configurations is measured using the 12.2 kbps RMC with the TPC bits configured to all "1s". SAR for other spreading codes and multiple DPDCH_n, when supported by the DUT, are not required when the maximum average outputs of each RF channel, for each spreading code and DPDCH_n configuration, are less than $\frac{1}{4}$ dB higher than those measured in 12.2 RMC. Otherwise, SAR is measured on the maximum output channel with an applicable RMC configuration for the corresponding spreading code or DPDCH_n using the exposure configuration that results in the highest SAR with 12.2 RMC.

Handsets with HSPA

Body SAR is not required for handsets with HSPA/HSPA+ capabilities, when the maximum average output of each RF channel with HSPA active is less than ¼ dB higher than that measured in 12.2 kbps RMC without HSPA/HSPA+. Otherwise, SAR for HSPA is measured using FRC (fixed reference channel) in the body exposure configuration that results in the highest SAR for that RF channel in 12.2kbps RMC.

			ance Test Report for the Blac Model RFR101LW Rev 3	ekBerry®	Page 20 (73)
Author Data	Dates of Test		Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC
Andrew Becker	Mar 04 –	May 30, 2013	RTS-6036-1305-06B Rev 3	L6ARFR100LW	2503A-RFR100LW
	Mar 31 –	Apr 01, 2014			

	Band	I	FDD V (85	(0)	
	Channel	4132	4182	4233	
	Freq (MHz)	826.4	836.4	846.6	
37.1	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Max burst averaged			
Mode	Subtest		cted powe		
Rel99	12.2 kbps RMC	23.94	24.03	23.76	
Rel99	12.2 kbps, Voice, AMR, SRB 3.4 kbps	23.90	24.03	23.74	
Rel6 HSUPA	1	22.45	22.50	22.19	
Rel6 HSUPA	2	22.20	22.28	22.08	
Rel6 HSUPA	3	22.92	23.05	22.79	
Rel6 HSUPA	4	22.93	22.94	22.72	
Rel6 HSUPA	5	22.09	22.25	21.75	
Rel7 HSDPA+	1	22.71	22.70	22.50	
Rel7 HSDPA+	2	22.73	22.68	22.60	
Rel7 HSDPA+	3	22.53	22.76	22.58	
Rel7 HSDPA+	4	22.63	22.65	22.58	
	Band	FDD II (1900)			
	Channel	9262	9400	9538	
	Freq (MHz)	1852.4	1880.0	1907.6	
Mada	C-h4os4	Max	burst ave	raged	
Mode	Subtest	conduc	cted powe	er (dBm)	
Rel99	12.2 kbps RMC	22.60	22.40	22.47	
Rel99	12.2 kbps, Voice, AMR, SRB 3.4 kbps	22.50	22.41	22.42	
Rel6 HSUPA	1	21.08	20.97	21.04	
Rel6 HSUPA	2	21.67	21.33	21.46	
Rel6 HSUPA	3	21.62	21.36	21.42	
Rel6 HSUPA	4	21.59	21.39	21.44	
Rel6 HSUPA	5	20.72	20.66	20.75	
Rel7 HSDPA+	1	21.91	21.54	21.34	
Rel7 HSDPA+	2	21.88	21.55	21.32	
Rel7 HSDPA+	3	22.20	21.55	21.62	
Rel7 HSDPA+	4	21.88	21.97	21.33	
Hotsp	ot Mode On FDD II (1900				
Rel99	12.2 kbps RMC	20.55	20.70	20.56	
Rel99	12.2 kbps, Voice, AMR, SRB 3.4 kbps	20.58	20.64	20.53	

Table 1.8.6-1 WCDMA (Rel99) / HSPA/HSPA+ conducted power measurements

KI20/KO///			ance Test Report for the Blac Model RFR101LW Rev 3	kBerry®	Page 21 (73)
Author Data	Dates of Test		Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC
Andrew Becker	Mar 04 –	May 30, 2013	RTS-6036-1305-06B Rev 3	L6ARFR100LW	2503A-RFR100LW
	Mar 31 –	Apr 01, 2014			

	Band	FD	D IV (17	00)
	Channel	1312	1413	1513
	Freq (MHz)	1712.4	1732.6	1752.6
Mode	Subtest	Max	burst ave	raged
Mode	Subtest	conduct	ted power	r (dBm)
Rel99	12.2 kbps RMC	23.03	23.17	22.98
Rel99	12.2 kbps, Voice, AMR, SRB 3.4 kbps	23.03	23.11	22.92
Rel5 HSDPA	1	22.01	22.08	21.97
Rel5 HSDPA	2	21.56	21.70	21.50
Rel5 HSDPA	3	21.67	21.69	21.45
Rel5 HSDPA	4	20.42	20.51	20.72
Rel6 HSUPA	1	21.63	21.66	21.58
Rel6 HSUPA	2	21.45	21.46	21.34
Rel6 HSUPA	3	22.08	22.14	22.02
Rel6 HSUPA	4	22.00	22.07	21.92
Rel6 HSUPA	5	21.17	21.41	21.20
	Hotspot Mode	On		
Rel99	12.2 kbps RMC	19.96	20.12	19.88
Rel99	12.2 kbps, Voice, AMR, SRB 3.4 kbps	19.93	20.06	19.90

Table 1.8.6-2 WCDMA (Rel99) / HSPA/HSPA+ conducted power measurements

			ance Test Report for the Blac Model RFR101LW Rev 3	kBerry®	Page 22(73)
Author Data	Dates of Test		Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC
Andrew Becker	er Mar 04 – May 30, 2013		RTS-6036-1305-06B Rev 3	L6ARFR100LW	2503A-RFR100LW
	Mar 31 –	Apr 01, 2014			

1.8.7 SAR Evaluation Procedures for LTE as per KDB 941225 D05 v02

"1. QPSK with 1 RB allocation

Start with the largest channel bandwidth and measure SAR for QPSK with 1 RB allocation, using the RB offset and *required test channel* combination with the highest maximum output power for RB offsets at the upper edge, middle and lower edge of each *required test channel*. When the *reported* SAR is ≤ 0.8 W/kg, testing of the remaining RB offset configurations and *required test channels* is not required for 1 RB allocation; otherwise, SAR is required for the remaining *required test channels* and only for the RB offset configuration with the highest output power for that channel.6 When the *reported* SAR of a *required test channel* is > 1.45 W/kg, SAR is required for all three RB offset configurations for that *required test channel*.

2. OPSK with 50% RB allocation

The procedures required for 1 RB allocation in 1. are applied to measure the SAR for QPSK with 50% RB allocation.

3. QPSK with 100% RB allocation

For QPSK with 100% RB allocation, SAR is not required when the highest maximum output power for 100 % RB allocation is less than the highest maximum output power in 50% and 1 RB allocations and the highest *reported* SAR for 1 RB and 50% RB allocation in 1. and 2. are \leq 0.8 W/kg. Otherwise, SAR is measured for the highest output power channel and if the *reported* SAR is > 1.45 W/kg, the remaining *required test channels* must also be tested.

Higher order modulations

For each modulation besides QPSK; e.g., 16-QAM, 64-QAM, apply the QPSK procedures in sections 1. and 2.and 3. to determine the QAM configurations that may need SAR measurement.

For each configuration

identified as required for testing, SAR is required only when the highest maximum output power for the configuration in the higher order modulation is $> \frac{1}{2}$ dB higher than the same configuration in QPSK or when the *reported* SAR for the QPSK configuration is > 1.45 W/kg.

4. Other channel bandwidth standalone SAR test requirements

For the other channel bandwidths used by the device in a frequency band, apply all the procedures required for the largest channel bandwidth in section 5.2 to determine the channels and RB configurations that need SAR testing and only measure SAR when the highest maximum output power of a configuration requiring testing in the smaller channel bandwidth is > ½ dB higher than the equivalent channel configurations in the largest channel bandwidth configuration or the *reported* SAR of a configuration for the largest channel bandwidth is > 1.45 W/kg. The equivalent channel configuration for the RB allocation, RB offset and modulation etc. Is determined for the smaller channel bandwidth according to the same number of RB allocated in the largest channel bandwidth. For example, 50 RB in 10 MHz channel bandwidth does not apply to 5 MHz channel bandwidth; therefore, this cannot be tested in the smaller channel bandwidth. However, 50% RB allocation in 10 MHz channel bandwidth is equivalent to 100% RB allocation in 5 MHz channel bandwidth; therefore, these are the equivalent configurations to be compared to determine the specific channel and configuration in the smaller channel bandwidth that need SAR testing."

Smartphone I		ance Test Report for the Blac Model RFR101LW Rev 3	ekBerry®	Page 23(73)	
Author Data	Dates of Test		Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC
Andrew Becker	ndrew Becker Mar 04 – May 30, 2013		RTS-6036-1305-06B Rev 3	L6ARFR100LW	2503A-RFR100LW
	Mar 31 –	Apr 01, 2014			

- MPR has been implemented permanently by the manufacturer as per 3GPP TS36.101
- A-MPR was disabled for all SAR measurements.
- •LTE Head SAR was evaluated to cover third-party VoIP applications at full power.
- According to "3GPP TS 36.521-1 V10.0.0 (2011-12)":
 - •"The channel numbers that designate carrier frequencies so close to the operating band edges that the carrier extends beyond the operating band edge shall not be used. This implies that the first 7, 15, 25, 50, 75 and 100 channel numbers at the lower operating band edge and the last 6, 14, 24, 49, 74 and 99 channel numbers at the upper operating band edge shall not be used for channel bandwidths of 1.4, 3, 5, 10, 15 and 20 MHz respectively."...

Band	LTE Band 17							
Frequency (MHz)	Channel	BW	Modulation	RB Size	RB Offset	Maximum Avg. Power (dBm)		
			QPSK	1	0	22.89		
			QPSK	1	49	22.69		
			QPSK	25	0	21.66		
			QPSK	50	0	21.61		
709.0	23780	10 MHz	16QAM	1	0	21.69		
			16QAM	1	49	21.59		
			16QAM	16	0	20.85		
			16QAM	50	0	20.52		
			QPSK	1	0	22.80		
			QPSK	1	49	22.63		
			QPSK	25	0	21.76		
			QPSK	50	0	21.56		
710	23790	10 MHz	16QAM	1	0	21.48		
			16QAM	1	49	21.39		
			16QAM	16	0	20.85		
			16QAM	50	0	20.52		
			QPSK	1	0	22.68		
			QPSK	1	49	22.73		
			QPSK	25	0	21.72		
			QPSK	50	0	21.50		
711	23800	10 MHz	16QAM	1	0	22.30		
			16QAM	1	49	22.35		
			16QAM	16	0	20.94		
			16QAM	50	0	20.48		
			OPSK	1	0	22.80		
			QPSK	1	24	22.74		
			QPSK	10	15	21.74		
			QPSK	25	0	21.65		
709.0	23780	5 MHz	16QAM	1	0	21.85		
			16QAM	1	24	21.79		
			16QAM	8	17	21.68		
			16QAM	25	0	20.62		
			QPSK	1	0	23.05		
			QPSK	1	24	22.79		
		5 MH	QPSK	10	15	21.70		
		5 MHz	QPSK	25	0	21.59		
710	23790		16QAM	1	0	22.23		

			ance Test Report for the Blac Model RFR101LW Rev 3	ekBerry®	Page 24(73)
Author Data	Dates of Test		Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC
Andrew Becker Mar 04 – May 30, 2013		RTS-6036-1305-06B Rev 3	L6ARFR100LW	2503A-RFR100LW	
	Mar 31 –	Apr 01, 2014			

			16QAM	1	24	22.05
			16QAM	8	17	21.70
			16QAM	25	0	20.60
			QPSK	1	0	22.95
			QPSK	1	24	22.86
			QPSK	10	15	21.75
			QPSK	25	0	21.61
711	23800	5 MHz	16QAM	1	0	22.19
			16QAM	1	24	22.11
			16QAM	8	17	21.68
			16QAM	25	0	20.54

Table 1.8.7-1 LTE band 17 conducted RF output power (dBm)

Band	LTE Band 5							
Frequency (MHz)	Channel	BW	Modulation	RB Size	RB Offset	Maximum Avg. Power (dBm)		
			QPSK	1	0	23.33		
			QPSK	1	49	23.45		
			QPSK	25	0	22.14		
			QPSK	50	0	22.10		
829	20450	10 MHz	16QAM	1	0	22.82		
			16QAM	1	49	22.99		
			16QAM	30	20	21.22		
			16QAM	50	0	21.03		
			QPSK	1	0	23.20		
			QPSK	1	49	23.11		
			QPSK	25	0	22.23		
			QPSK	50	0	22.03		
836.5	20525	10 MHz	16QAM	1	0	22.09		
			16QAM	1	49	22.00		
			16QAM	30	20	21.04		
			16QAM	50	0	21.00		
			QPSK	1	0	23.25		
			QPSK	1	49	22.95		
			QPSK	25	0	21.96		
			QPSK	50	0	21.89		
844.0	20600	10 MHz	16QAM	1	0	21.90		
			16QAM	1	49	21.75		
			16QAM	30	20	21.00		
			16QAM	50	0	20.85		
			OPSK	1	0	23.27		
			OPSK	1	24	23.25		
			QPSK	15	0	22.21		
000	20.450		QPSK	25	0	22.07		
829	20450	5 MHz	16QAM	1	0	22.34		
			16QAM	1	24	22.42		
			16QAM	8	17	22.09		
			16QAM	25	0	21.03		
			QPSK	1	0	23.51		
			QPSK	1	24	23.48		
			QPSK	15	0	22.35		
		5 3 577	QPSK	25	0	22.20		
836.5	20525	5 MHz	16QAM	1	0	22.69		
			16QAM	1	24	22.65		
			16QAM	8	17	22.18		

Smartphone I			ance Test Report for the Blac Model RFR101LW Rev 3	ekBerry®	Page 25 (73)
Author Data	Dates of Test		Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC
Andrew Becker	Mar 04 –	May 30, 2013	RTS-6036-1305-06B Rev 3	L6ARFR100LW	2503A-RFR100LW
	Mar 31 –	Apr 01, 2014			

			16QAM	25	0	21.11	
			QPSK	1	0	22.99	
			QPSK	1	24	23.18	
			QPSK	15	0	22.00	
			QPSK	25	0	21.90	
844.0	20600	5 MHz	16QAM	1	0	22.10	
			16QAM	1	24	22.16	
			16QAM	8	17	22.07	
			16QAM	25	0	20.83	
			QPSK	1	0	23.19	
			QPSK	1	14	23.24	
			QPSK	6	9	22.35	
			QPSK	15	0	22.25	
829	20450	3 MHz	16QAM	1	0	22.00	
		JIVIII	16QAM	1	14	21.98	
			16QAM	4	11	22.36	
			16QAM	15	0	21.17	
			QPSK	1	0	23.45	
			QPSK	1	14	23.28	
			QPSK	6	9	22.21	
836.5	20525		QPSK	15	0	22.30	
050.6	20020	3 MHz	16QAM	13	0	22.23	
		3 WIIIZ	16QAM	1	14	22.12	
			16QAM	4	11	22.32	
			16QAM	15	0	21.13	
				13	0	23.03	
			QPSK QPSK	1	14	23.20	
			QPSK	6	9	22.13	
			QPSK	15	0	21.98	
844.0	20600	3 MHz	16QAM	13	0	21.98	
		3 WIIIZ	16QAM	1	14	22.05	
			16QAM	4	11	22.13	
			16QAM	15	0	20.99	
			QPSK	1	0	23.31	
			QPSK	1	5	23.35	
			QPSK	6	0	22.23	
829	20450	1.4 MHz	16QAM	1	0	22.18	
		1.4 WIIIZ	16QAM	1	5	22.18	
	1		16QAM	6	0	21.18	
	+	1	QPSK	1	0	23.25	
	1		QPSK	1	5	23.12	
			QPSK	6	0	22.25	
836.5	20525	1.4 MHz	16QAM	1	0	22.04	
030.3	20323	1.4 IVITIZ		1	5	21.98	
	1		16QAM	1	0		
	1		16QAM OPSK	6	0	21.20 22.97	
	1		QPSK	1			
	1		QPSK	1	5	23.02	
844.0	20600	1 / 1/11	QPSK	6	~	22.99	
		1.4 MHz	16QAM	1	0	21.81	
				16QAM	1	5	21.75
			16QAM	6	0	20.99	

Table 1.8.7-2 LTE band 5 conducted RF output power (dBm)

Band			LT	TE Band 4		
Frequency	Channel	BW	Modulation	RB Size	RB Offset	Maximum Avg.

- BRISCEROM			ance Test Report for the Blac Model RFR101LW Rev 3	ekBerry®	Page 26(73)
Author Data	Dates of Test	Test Report No FCC ID:		IC	
Andrew Becker Mar 04 – M		May 30, 2013	RTS-6036-1305-06B Rev 3	L6ARFR100LW	2503A-RFR100LW
	Mar 31 –	Apr 01, 2014			

(MHz)						Power (dBm)	
·			QPSK	1	0	22.69	
			QPSK	1	50	22.60	
			QPSK	1	99	22.79	
			QPSK	50	0	21.33	
			QPSK	50	50	21.49	
			QPSK	100	0	21.44	
1720	20050	20 MHz	16QAM	1	0	21.35	
			16QAM	1	50	21.20	
			16QAM	1	99	21.38	
			16QAM	75	0	20.38	
			16QAM	75	25	20.43	
			16QAM	100	0	20.44	
			QPSK	1	0	22.73	
			QPSK	1	50	22.59	
			QPSK	1	99	22.71	
			QPSK	50	0	21.64	
			QPSK	50	50	21.51	
			QPSK	100	0	21.49	
1732.5	20175	20 MHz	16QAM	1	0	21.44	
		20 11112	16QAM	1	50	21.48	
			16QAM	1	99	21.44	
			16QAM	75	0	20.48	
				+ +	25	20.43	
			16QAM 16QAM	75 100	0	20.43	
			_ `	1			
			QPSK	1	0	22.64	
			QPSK	1	50	22.69	
			QPSK	1	99	22.71	
			QPSK	50	0	21.51	
			QPSK	50	50	21.40	
1745.0	20300	20 MHz	QPSK	100	0	21.46	
1743.0	20300		16QAM	1	0	21.32	
			16QAM	1	50	21.35	
				16QAM	1	99	21.30
			16QAM	75	0	20.39	
			16QAM	75	25	20.45	
			16QAM	100	0	20.43	
			QPSK	1	0	22.55	
			QPSK	1	74	22.49	
			QPSK	36	39	21.30	
			QPSK	75	0	21.30	
1732.5	20175	15 MHz	16QAM	1	0	21.36	
			16QAM	1	74	21.35	
			16QAM	16	59	21.52	
			16QAM	75	0	20.29	
			QPSK	1	0	22.65	
			QPSK	1	49	22.45	
			QPSK	25	0	21.40	
			QPSK	50	0	21.28	
1732.5	20175	10 MHz	16QAM	1	0	21.47	
		10 WILL	16QAM	1	49	21.34	
					0	20.50	
			16QAM	16			
		-	16QAM	50	0	20.31	
			QPSK	1	0	22.62	
1722.5	20175		QPSK	1	24	22.50	
1732.5	20175	5 MHz	QPSK	25	0	21.44	
			16QAM	1	0	21.79	
		j		16QAM	1	24	21.76

RISPVRDIIV			ance Test Report for the Blac Model RFR101LW Rev 3	kBerry®	Page 27(73)
Author Data	Dates of Test		Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC
Andrew Becker Mar 04 – May 30, 2013		RTS-6036-1305-06B Rev 3	L6ARFR100LW	2503A-RFR100LW	
	Mar 31 –	Apr 01, 2014			

			16QAM	25	0	20.39		
			QPSK	1	0	22.52		
			QPSK	1	14	22.47		
1745.0	20300	3 MHz	QPSK	15	0	21.46		
1743.0	20300	3 MITZ	16QAM	1	0	21.43		
			16QAM	1	14	21.43		
			16QAM	15	0	21.39		
		1.4 MHz	QPSK	1	0	22.55		
			QPSK	1	5	22.49		
1745.0	20300		QPSK	6	0	21.62		
1743.0	20300	1.4 MITIZ	16QAM	1	0	21.46		
			16QAM	1	5	21.41		
			16QAM	6	0	20.53		
	Hotspot Mode ON							
1720.0	20050	20 MHz	QPSK	1	99	19.67		
1732.5	20175	20 MHz	QPSK	50	0	19.56		

Table 1.8.7-3 LTE band 4 conducted RF output power (dBm)

Band	LTE Band 2							
Frequency (MHz)	Channel	BW	Modulation	RB Size	RB Offset	Maximum Avg. Power (dBm)		
			QPSK	1	0	22.77		
			QPSK	1	50	22.70		
			QPSK	1	99	22.68		
			QPSK	50	0	21.56		
			QPSK	50	50	21.59		
1860	18700	20 MHz	QPSK	100	0	21.60		
1800	18700	20 MITZ	16QAM	1	0	22.23		
			16QAM	1	50	21.62		
			16QAM	1	99	21.51		
			16QAM	75	0	20.49		
			16QAM	75	25	20.54		
			16QAM	100	0	20.58		
	18900	20 MHz	QPSK	1	0	22.75		
			QPSK	1	50	22.80		
			QPSK	1	99	22.83		
			QPSK	50	0	21.49		
			QPSK	50	50	21.70		
1000			QPSK	100	0	21.67		
1880			16QAM	1	0	21.45		
			16QAM	1	50	21.32		
			16QAM	1	99	21.43		
			16QAM	75	0	20.55		
			16QAM	75	25	20.65		
			16QAM	100	0	20.73		
			QPSK	1	0	22.80		
			QPSK	1	50	22.71		
			QPSK	1	99	22.67		
			QPSK	50	0	21.60		
			QPSK	50	50	21.68		
1900	19100	20 MHz	QPSK	100	0	21.60		
			16QAM	1	0	21.67		
			16QAM	1	50	21.53		
			16QAM	1	99	21.54		
			16QAM	75	0	20.55		
			16QAM	75	25	20.53		

RISPVRDIIV			ance Test Report for the Blac Model RFR101LW Rev 3			
Author Data	Dates of Test		Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC	
Andrew Becker Mar 04 – May 30, 2013		RTS-6036-1305-06B Rev 3	L6ARFR100LW	2503A-RFR100LW		
	Mar 31 –	Apr 01, 2014				

			16QAM	100	0	20.58
			QPSK	1	0	22.70
		15 MHz	QPSK	1	74	22.82
			QPSK	36	39	21.61
1000	10000		QPSK	75	0	21.56
1880	18900		16QAM	1	0	21.51
			16QAM	1	74	21.75
			16QAM	16	59	21.88
			16QAM	75	0	21.43
			QPSK	1	0	22.77
			QPSK	1	49	22.70
			QPSK	25	0	21.71
1880	10000	10 MII	QPSK	50	0	21.64
1880	18900	10 MHz	16QAM	1	0	21.46
			16QAM	1	49	21.57
			16QAM	16	0	20.96
			16QAM	50	0	20.68
			QPSK	1	0	22.79
	18900	5 MHz	QPSK	1	24	22.82
			QPSK	25	0	21.81
1880			16QAM	1	0	22.13
			16QAM	1	24	22.08
			16QAM	25	0	20.74
			QPSK	1	0	22.75
			QPSK	1	14	22.83
1880	18900	3 MHz	QPSK	15	0	21.78
1000	18900	3 MITZ	16QAM	1	0	21.62
			16QAM	1	14	21.72
			16QAM	15	0	20.84
			QPSK	1	0	22.80
			QPSK	1	5	22.83
1880	18900	1.4 MHz	QPSK	6	0	21.81
1000		1.4 MITIZ	16QAM	1	0	21.60
			16QAM	1	5	21.73
			16QAM	6	0	20.67
			Hotspot Mode	ON		·
1880	18900	20 MHz	QPSK	1	99	19.72
1880	18900	20 MHz	QPSK	50	50	19.56

Table 1.8.7-4 LTE band 2 conducted RF output power (dBm)

			ance Test Report for the Blac Model RFR101LW Rev 3	kBerry®	Page 29 (73)
Author Data	Dates of Test	Test Report No FCC ID:		FCC ID:	IC
Andrew Becker Mar 04 – May 30, 2013		RTS-6036-1305-06B Rev 3	L6ARFR100LW	2503A-RFR100LW	
	Mar 31 –	Apr 01, 2014			

1.9 General SAR Test Reduction and Exclusion procedure as per KDB 447498 D01 V05 and SAR Handsets Multi Xmiter and Ant procedure as per 648474 D04 v01

Standalone SAR test exclusion guidance:

The 1-g and 10-g SAR test exclusion thresholds for 100 MHz to 6 GHz at test separation distances

$$\frac{(mW)}{min.test\ separation\ distance} \times \sqrt{\frac{f}{(GHz)}} \le 3.0 \text{ , For 1g SAR}$$

Where:

- f(GHz) is the RF channel transmit frequency in GHz
- Power and distance are rounded to the nearest mW and mm before calculation17
- If distance is < 5 mm, a distance of 5 mm is applied to determine SAR test exclusion
- The result is rounded to one decimal place for comparison

Simultaneous Transmission SAR Test exclusion considerations:

When the sum of 1-g of all simultaneously transmitting antennas in an operating mode and exposure condition combination is within the SAR limit, SAR test exclusion applies to that simultaneous transmission configuration. When the sum is greater than the SAR limit, the SAR to peak location separation ratio procedures described below may be applied to determine if simultaneous transmission SAR test exclusion applies.

The ratio is determined by:

$$\left(\left[SAR1 + SAR2 \right]^{\frac{1.5}{R_i}} \right) \le 0.04$$

Where:

• R_i= the separation distance between the peak SAR locations for the antenna pair (mm)

Simultaneous Transmission SAR required:

 \bullet antenna pairs with SAR to antenna separation ratio > 0.04; test is only required for the configuration that results in the highest SAR in standalone configuration for each wireless mode and exposure condition.

SE BlackBorn SE			nnce Test Report for the Blac Model RFR101LW Rev 3	kBerry®	30(73)
Author Data	Dates of Test		Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC
Andrew Becker Mar 04 – May 30, 2013		RTS-6036-1305-06B Rev 3	L6ARFR100LW	2503A-RFR100LW	
	Mar 31 –	Apr 01, 2014			




Figure 1.9-1 Back view of device showing closest distance between antenna pairs

1.9.1 Simultaneous Transmission Analysis

Simultaneous Transmission Combination	Head	Body-Worn Accessory	Mobile Hotspot
LTE/WCDMA/GSM voice + WiFi 2.4 GHz/BT	Yes	Yes	No
LTE/HSPA/EDGE/GPRS data + WiFi 2.4 GHz	Yes	Yes	Yes
LTE/HSPA/EDGE/GPRS data + BT	Yes	Yes	No

Table 1.9.1-1 Simultaneous Transmission Scenarios

Note 1: BT and WiFi cannot transmit simultaneously since the design doesn't allow it and they use the same antenna.

Note 2: GSM/WCDMA and LTE cannot transmit simultaneously since the design doesn't allow it and they use the same antenna

: :: BlackB	Berry	-	ance Test Report for the Blac Model RFR101LW Rev 3	ekBerry®	Page 31(73)
Author Data	Dates of Test	Test Report No FCC ID:		IC	
Andrew Becker Mar 04 – N		May 30, 2013	RTS-6036-1305-06B Rev 3	L6ARFR100LW	2503A-RFR100LW
	Mar 31 –	Apr 01, 2014			

		Licensed Transmi	tters	WiFi 2.4	Monimum
Test	Configuration	Band	1 g avg. SAR (W/kg)	GHz 1 g avg. SAR (W/kg)	Maximum Summation 1 g avg. SAR (W/kg)
		GSM/DTM/EDGE 850	0.82		1.14
		UMTS Band V	0.53		0.85
		GSM/DTM/EDGE 1900	0.91		1.23
		UMTS Band II	1.05		1.37
	Right Cheek	LTE Band 17	0.14	0.32	0.46
		LTE Band 5	0.30		0.62
		LTE Band 4	0.71		1.03
		UMTS Band IV	0.88		1.20
		LTE Band 2	1.19		1.51
		GSM/DTM/EDGE 850	0.43		0.49
		UMTS Band V	0.31		0.37
		GSM/DTM/EDGE 1900	0.24		0.30
	Right Tilt	UMTS Band II	0.26		0.32
		LTE Band 17	0.07	0.06	0.13
		LTE Band 5	0.17		0.23
		LTE Band 4	0.19		0.25
		UMTS Band IV	0.25		0.31
Head		LTE Band 2	0.28		0.34
SAR		GSM/DTM/EDGE 850	0.67		0.88
		UMTS Band V	0.50		0.71
		GSM/DTM/EDGE 1900	1.12		1.33
		UMTS Band II	1.33		1.54
	Left Cheek	LTE Band 17	0.17	0.21	0.38
		LTE Band 5	0.31		0.52
		LTE Band 4	1.08		1.29
		UMTS Band IV	1.12		1.33
		LTE Band 2	1.38		1.59
		GSM/DTM/EDGE 850	0.41		0.50
		UMTS Band V	0.30		0.39
		GSM/DTM/EDGE 1900	0.41		0.50
		UMTS Band II	0.36		0.45
	Left Tilt	LTE Band 17	0.10	0.09	0.19
		LTE Band 5	0.18		0.27
		LTE Band 4	0.30		0.39
		UMTS Band IV	0.32		0.41
		LTE Band 2	0.46		0.55

Table 1.9.1-2 Highest Head SAR values and summation

Note 1: If sum of 1 g SAR < 1.6 W/kg, Simultaneous SAR measurement is not required.

≅ BlackBerry		SAR Compliance Test Report for the BlackBerry® Smartphone Model RFR101LW Rev 3			Page 32(73)
Author Data	Dates of Test		Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC
Andrew Becker	Mar 04 –	May 30, 2013	RTS-6036-1305-06B Rev 3	L6ARFR100LW	2503A-RFR100LW
	Mar 31 –	Apr 01, 2014			

Note 2: If sum of 1 g SAR > 1.6 W/kg, ratio of SAR to peak separation distance for pair of transmitters calculated.

		Licensed Transmi	WiFi 2.4	Maximum	
Test	Configuration	Band	1 g avg. SAR (W/kg)	GHz 1 g avg. SAR (W/kg)	Summation 1 g avg. SAR (W/kg)
		GSM/GPRS/EDGE 850	0.71		0.86
		UMTS Band V	0.63		0.78
		GSM/GPRS/EDGE 1900	0.36		0.51
	15 mm	UMTS Band II	0.53		0.68
	separation,	LTE Band 17	0.16	0.15	0.31
	device back	LTE Band 5	0.34		0.49
		LTE Band 4	0.44		0.59
		UMTS Band IV	0.54		0.69
		LTE Band 2	0.45		0.60
	Holster device back	GSM/GPRS/EDGE 850	0.85		0.93
		UMTS Band V	0.53		0.61
		GSM/GPRS/EDGE 1900	0.22		0.30
Body		UMTS Band II	0.32	0.08	0.40
Worn		LTE Band 17	0.17		0.25
SAR	device back	LTE Band 5	0.24		0.32
		LTE Band 4	0.28		0.36
		UMTS Band IV	0.35		0.43
		LTE Band 2	0.24		0.32
		GSM/GPRS/EDGE 850	0.68		0.74
		UMTS Band V	0.41		0.47
		GSM/GPRS/EDGE 1900	0.27		0.33
	Holster	UMTS Band II	0.39		0.45
	device front	LTE Band 17	0.12	0.06	0.18
	device from	LTE Band 5	0.23		0.29
		LTE Band 4	0.35		0.41
		UMTS Band IV	0.43		0.49
		LTE Band 2	0.32		0.38

Table 1.9.1-3 Highest Body-worn SAR values for the same configuration

Note 1: If sum of 1 g SAR < 1.6 W/kg, Simultaneous SAR measurement is not required.

Note 2: If sum of 1 g SAR > 1.6 W/kg, ratio of SAR to peak separation distance for pair of transmitters is required.

≅BlackBerry		SAR Compliance Test Report for the BlackBerry® Smartphone Model RFR101LW Rev 3			Page 33(73)
Author Data	Dates of Test		Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC
Andrew Becker	Mar 04 –	May 30, 2013	RTS-6036-1305-06B Rev 3	L6ARFR100LW	2503A-RFR100LW
	Mar 31 –	Apr 01, 2014			

		Licensed Transmi	WiFi 2.4 G	Maximum	
Test	Configuration	Band	1 g avg. SAR (W/kg)	1 g avg. SAR (W/kg)	Summation 1 g avg. SAR (W/kg)
		GSM/GPRS/EDGE 850	1.15		1.43
		UMTS Band V	0.83		1.11
		GSM/GPRS/EDGE 1900	0.61		0.89
	10 mm	UMTS Band II	0.65		0.93
	separation,	LTE Band 17	0.14	0.28	0.42
	device back	LTE Band 5	0.47		0.75
		LTE Band 4	0.47		0.75
		UMTS Band IV	0.52		0.80
		LTE Band 2	0.43		0.71
		GSM/GPRS/EDGE 850	0.92		1.12
		UMTS Band V	0.56		0.76
		GSM/GPRS/EDGE 1900	0.70		0.90
	10 mm	UMTS Band II	0.93		1.13
	separation,	LTE Band 17	0.09	0.20	0.29
	device front	LTE Band 5	0.39		0.59
		LTE Band 4	0.44		0.64
		UMTS Band IV	0.52		0.72
		LTE Band 2	0.52		0.72
		GSM/GPRS/EDGE 850	0.54		0.59
Mahila	10	UMTS Band V	0.44		0.49
Mobile		GSM/GPRS/EDGE 1900	0.24		0.29
Hotspot SAR	10 mm	UMTS Band II	0.31		0.36
SAK	separation, device left	LTE Band 17	0.05	0.05	0.10
	device left	LTE Band 5	0.22		0.27
		LTE Band 4	0.13		0.18
		UMTS Band IV	0.15		0.20
		LTE Band 2	0.17		0.23
		GSM/GPRS/EDGE 850	0.58		0.71
		UMTS Band V	0.42		0.55
		GSM/GPRS/EDGE 1900	0.24		0.37
	10 mm	UMTS Band II	0.24		0.37
	separation,	LTE Band 17	0.03	0.13	0.16
	device right	LTE Band 5	0.28		0.41
		LTE Band 4	0.06		0.19
		UMTS Band IV	0.07		0.20
		LTE Band 2	0.15		0.28
		GSM/GPRS/EDGE 850	0.12		0.22
	10	UMTS Band V	0.10		0.20
	10 mm	GSM/GPRS/EDGE 1900	0.44	0.10	0.54
	separation, device bottom	UMTS Band II	0.49	0.10	0.59
	device bottom	LTE Band 17	0.02		0.12
		LTE Band 5	0.05		0.15

		SAR Compliance Test Report for the BlackBerry® Smartphone Model RFR101LW Rev 3			Page 34(73)
Author Data	Dates of Test		Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC
Andrew Becker	andrew Becker Mar 04 – May 30, 2013		RTS-6036-1305-06B Rev 3	L6ARFR100LW	2503A-RFR100LW
	Mar 31 –	Apr 01, 2014			

	I TE D 1.4	0.22		0.22
	LTE Band 4	0.23		0.33
	UMTS Band IV	0.28		0.38
	LTE Band 2	0.29		0.39
	GSM/GPRS/EDGE 850	0.00		0.00
	UMTS Band V	0.00		0.00
	GSM/GPRS/EDGE 1900	0.00		0.00
10 mm	UMTS Band II	0.00		0.00
separation,	LTE Band 17	0.00	0.00	0.00
device top	LTE Band 5	0.00		0.00
	LTE Band 4	0.00		0.00
	UMTS Band IV	0.00		0.00
	LTE Band 2	0.00		0.00

Table 1.9.1-4 Highest Mobile Hotspot SAR values for the same configuration

Note 1: If sum of 1 g SAR < 1.6 W/kg, Simultaneous SAR measurement is not required.

Note 2: If sum of 1 g SAR > 1.6 W/kg, ratio of SAR to peak separation distance for pair of transmitters calculated.

≅BlackBerry		SAR Compliance Test Report for the BlackBerry® Smartphone Model RFR101LW Rev 3			Page 35(73)
Author Data	Dates of Test		Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC
Andrew Becker	Mar 04 –	May 30, 2013	RTS-6036-1305-06B Rev 3	L6ARFR100LW	2503A-RFR100LW
	Mar 31 –	Apr 01, 2014			

2.0 DESCRIPTION OF THE TEST EQUIPMENT

2.1 SAR measurement system

SAR measurements were performed using a Dosimetric Assessment System (DASY52), an automated SAR measurement system manufactured by Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG), of Zurich, Switzerland.

The DASY 52 system for performing compliance tests consists of the following items:

- A standard high precision 6-axis robot (Stäubli RX family) with controller and software.
- An arm extension for accommodating the data acquisition electronics (DAE).
- A dosimetric probe, i.e., an isotropic E-field probe optimized and calibrated for usage in tissue simulating liquid. The probe is equipped with an optical surface detector system.
- A DAE module that performs the signal amplification, signal multiplexing, A/D conversion, offset measurements, mechanical surface detection, collision detection, etc. The unit is battery powered with standard or rechargeable batteries. The signal is optically transmitted to the Electro-optical coupler (EOC).
- A unit to operate the optical surface detector that is connected to the EOC.
- The EOC performs the conversion from an optical signal into the digital electric signal of the DAE. The EOC is connected to the PC plug-in card.
- The functions of the PC plug-in card based on a DSP are to perform the time critical tasks such as signal filtering, surveillance of the robot operation fast movement interrupts.
- A computer operating Windows.
- DASY52 software version 52.8.
- Remote control with teach pendant and additional circuitry for robot safety such as warning lamps,
 etc
- The SAM Twin Phantom enabling testing left-hand and right-hand usage.
- The device holder for mobile phones.
- Tissue simulating liquid mixed according to the given recipes (see section 6.1).
- System validation dipoles allowing for the validation of proper functioning of the system.




Figure 2.1-1 System Description

≅ BlackBerry		SAR Compliance Test Report for the BlackBerry® Smartphone Model RFR101LW Rev 3			Page 36(73)
Author Data	Dates of Test		Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC
Andrew Becker	Mar 04 –	May 30, 2013	RTS-6036-1305-06B Rev 3	L6ARFR100LW	2503A-RFR100LW
	Mar 31 –	Apr 01, 2014			

2.1.1 Equipment List

Manufacturer	Test Equipment	Model Number	Serial Number	Cal. Due Date (MM/DD/YY)
SCHMID & Partner Engineering AG	E-field probe	ES3DV3	3225	01/10/2014
SCHMID & Partner Engineering AG	Data Acquisition Electronics (DAE3)	DAE4 V1	881	01/14/2014
SCHMID & Partner Engineering AG	Dipole Validation Kit	D750V3	1021	01/07/2015
SCHMID & Partner Engineering AG	Dipole Validation Kit	D835V2	4d043	04/07/2013*
SCHMID & Partner Engineering AG	Dipole Validation Kit	D835V2	446	01/07/2015
SCHMID & Partner Engineering AG	Dipole Validation Kit	D1900V2	545	01/09/2015
SCHMID & Partner Engineering AG	Dipole Validation Kit	D1900V2	5d075	04/05/2013*
SCHMID & Partner Engineering AG	Dipole Validation Kit	D2450V2	791	04/05/2013*
Agilent Technologies	Signal generator	8648C	4037U03155	09/23/2013
Agilent Technologies	Power meter	E4419B	GB40202821	09/23/2013
Agilent Technologies	Power sensor	8481A	MY41095417	09/26/2013
Amplifier Research	Amplifier	5S1G4M3	300986	CNR
Agilent Technologies	Power meter	N1911A	MY45100905	05/17/2013*
Agilent Technologies	Power sensor	N1921A	SG45240281	06/12/2013
Agilent Technologies	Power sensor	N1921A	MY45241383	09/11/2013
Weinschel Corp	20dB Attenuator	33-20-34	BMO697	CNR
Agilent Technologies	Network analyzer	8753ES	US39174857	09/20/2013
Rohde & Schwarz	Base Station Simulator	CMU 200	109747	11/19/2013
CPI Wireless Solutions	Amplifier	VZC-6961K4	SK4310E5	CNR
Rohde & Schwarz	Signal generator	SMA 100A	102106	12/02/2013
Rohde & Schwarz	Bluetooth Tester	CBT	100368	12/04/2013
Rohde & Schwarz	Rohde & Schwarz Bluetooth Tester		100678	12/04/2013
Rohde & Schwarz	Wideband Base Station Simulator	CMW 500	109949	12/10/2014
Rohde & Schwarz	Wideband Base Station Simulator	CMW 500	101169	12/10/2014

Table 2.1.1-1a Equipment list

^{*} This equipment was sent out for calibration before due date.

RISCVRDIIV		nnce Test Report for the BlackBerry® Model RFR101LW Rev 3		Page 37(73)	
Author Data	Dates of Test		Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC
Andrew Becker	Mar 04 –	May 30, 2013	RTS-6036-1305-06B Rev 3	L6ARFR100LW	2503A-RFR100LW
	Mar 31 –	Apr 01, 2014			

Manufacturer	Test Equipment	Model Number	Serial Number	Cal. Due Date (MM/DD/YY)
Agilent Technologies	Power meter	N1911A	MY45100905	05/29/2015
Agilent Technologies	Power sensor	N1921A	SG45240281	12/04/2014

Table 2.1.1-1b Equipment list for Wi-Fi Direct/GO additional testing

Note: This is the only equipment used to test conducted power on Wi-Fi Direct/GO

2.2 Description of the test setup

Before SAR measurements are conducted, the device and the DASY equipment are setup as follows:

2.2.1 Device and base station simulator setup

- Power up the device.
- Turn on the base station simulator and set the radio channel and power to the appropriate values.
- Connect an antenna to the RF IN/OUT of the communication test set and place it close to the device.

2.2.2 DASY setup

- Turn the computer on and log on to Windows.
- Start the DASY software by clicking on the icon located on the Windows desktop.
- Mount the DAE unit and the probe. Turn on the DAE unit.
- Turn the Robot Controller on by turning the main power switch to the horizontal position
- Align the probe by clicking the 'Align probe in light beam' button.
- Open a file and configure the proper parameters probe, medium, communications system etc.
- Establish a connection between the Device and the communications test instrument. Place the Device on the stand and adjust it under the phantom.
- Start SAR measurements.

3.0 ELECTRIC FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION

3.1 Probe Specifications

SAR measurements were conducted using the dosimetric probes ES3DV3/ET3DV6 and EX3DV4, designed by Schmid & Partner Engineering AG for the measurement of SAR. The probe is constructed using the thin film technique, with printed resistive lines on ceramic substrates. It has a symmetrical design with triangular core, built-in optical fibre for the surface detection system and built-in shielding against static discharge. The probe is sensitive to E-fields and thus incorporates three small dipoles arranged so that the overall response is close to isotropic. The table below summarizes the technical data for the probe.

Property	Data
Frequency range	30 MHz – 3 GHz
Linearity	±0.1 dB
Directivity (rotation around probe axis)	$\leq \pm 0.2 \text{ dB}$
Directivity (rotation normal to probe axis)	±0.4 dB
Dynamic Range	5 mW/kg – 100 W/kg

RI20VR0///		nce Test Report for the BlackBerry® Model RFR101LW Rev 3		Page 38(73)	
Author Data	Dates of Test		Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC
Andrew Becker	Mar 04 –	May 30, 2013	RTS-6036-1305-06B Rev 3	L6ARFR100LW	2503A-RFR100LW
	Mar 31 –	Apr 01, 2014			

Probe positioning repeatability	±0.2 mm					
Spatial resolution	< 0.125 mm ³					
Probe model EX3DV4 for 2.4 – 6 GHz						
Probe tip to sensor center	1.0 mm					
Probe tip diameter is	2.5 mm					
Probe calibration uncertainty	< 15 % for f = 2.45 to $< 6.0 GHz$					
Probe calibration range	± 100 MHz					

Table 3.1-1 Probe specifications

3.2 Probe calibration and measurement uncertainty

The probe had been calibrated with accuracy better than $\pm 12\%$. The sensitivity parameters (NormX, NormY, NormZ), the diode compression parameter (DCP) and the conversion factor (ConvF) of the probe were tested. The probe calibration parameters are shown on Appendix D and below:

Calibration Parameter Determined in Head Tissue Simulating Media

f (MHz) ^C	Relative Permittivity ^F	Conductivity (S/m) ^F	ConvF X	ConvF Y	ConvF Z	Alpha	Depth (mm)	Unct. (k=2)
750	41.9	0.89	6.56	6.56	6.56	0.42	1.54	± 12.0 %
900	41.5	0.97	6.19	6.19	6.19	0.43	1.52	± 12.0 %
1810	40.0	1.40	5.35	5.35	5.35	0.63	1.39	± 12.0 %
1950	40.0	1.40	5.09	5.09	5.09	0.80	1.23	± 12.0 %
2450	39.2	1.80	4.65	4.65	4.65	0.61	1.63	± 12.0 %
2600	39.0	1.96	4.43	4.43	4.43	0.80	1.32	± 12.0 %

Calibration Parameter Determined in Body Tissue Simulating Media

f (MHz) ^C	Relative Permittivity F	Conductivity (S/m) F	ConvF X	ConvF Y	ConvF Z	Alpha	Depth (mm)	Unct. (k=2)
750	55.5	0.96	6.27	6.27	6.27	0.48	1.51	± 12.0 %
900	55.0	1.05	6.12	6.12	6.12	0.73	1.25	± 12.0 %
1810	53.3	1.52	5.04	5.04	5.04	0.57	1.47	± 12.0 %
1950	53.3	1.52	4.94	4.94	4.94	0.58	1.50	± 12.0 %
2450	52.7	1.95	4.35	4.35	4.35	0.70	1.16	± 12.0 9
2600	52.5	2.16	4.11	4.11	4.11	0.67	0.99	± 12.0 %

Table 3.2-1 Probe ES3DV3 SN: 3225

C The validity of \pm 100 MHz only applies for DASY v4.4 and higher. DASY 52 has been used for measurements, therefore \pm 100 MHz tolerance is valid. Measured dielectric parameters are within +/- 5% of the probe calibration values and target values. Expanded probe calibration uncertainty (k=2) is < 15 %

RISCVROM		SAR Complia	iance Test Report for the BlackBerry® Model RFR101LW Rev 3		Page 39(73)
Author Data	Dates of Test		Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC
Andrew Becker	Mar 04 –	May 30, 2013	RTS-6036-1305-06B Rev 3	L6ARFR100LW	2503A-RFR100LW
	Mar 31 –	Apr 01, 2014			

4.0 SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM VERIFICATION

Prior to conducting SAR measurements, the system was validated using the dipole validation kit and the flat section of the SAM phantom. A power level of 1.0W was applied to the dipole antenna. The verification results are in the table below with a comparison to reference values. Printouts are shown in Appendix A. All the measured parameters are within the allowed tolerances.

At above 1.5 - 2 GHz, dipoles maintain good return loss of -15 dB to -20 dB, therefore SAR measurements are limited to approximately +/- 100 MHz of the probe/dipole calibration frequency.

4.1 System accuracy verification for head adjacent use

f	Limits / Measured		SAR 1 g/10 g		lectric meters	Liquid Temp.
(MHz)	(MM/DD/YYYY)	Scan Type	(W/kg)	ε _r	σ [S/m]	(°C)
(=:===)	Measured (05/08/2013)	Area Scan/Fast SAR	8.10/5.56	40.8	0.90	21.5
	Measured (05/08/2013)	Zoom Scan	8.06/5.28	40.8	0.90	21.5
750	Measured (05/28/2013)	Area Scan/Fast SAR	7.63/5.23	41.6	0.90	21.2
	Measured (05/28/2013)	Zoom Scan	7.62/4.99	41.6	0.90	21.2
	Recommended Lim	its (Dipole: 1021)	8.46/5.51	41.9	0.89	N/A
	Measured (03/13/2013)	Area Scan/Fast SAR	8.98/6.10	40.5	0.89	21.8
	Measured (03/13/2013)	Zoom Scan	8.91/5.85	40.5	0.89	21.8
	Measured (03/15/2013)	Area Scan/Fast SAR	9.27/6.30	41.0	0.90	21.2
	Measured (03/15/2013)	Zoom Scan	9.17/6.03	41.0	0.90	21.2
025	Measured (03/19/2013)	Area Scan/Fast SAR	8.72/5.92	43.2	0.93	21.4
835	Measured (03/19/2013)	Zoom Scan	8.64/5.68	43.2	0.93	21.4
	Measured (05/06/2013)	Area Scan/Fast SAR	8.96/5.94	41.3	0.90	21.5
	Measured (05/06/2013)	Zoom Scan	8.96/5.86	41.3	0.90	21.5
	Recommended Limi	ts (Dipole: 4d043)	9.43/6.14	41.5	0.90	N/A
	Recommended Lim	nits (Dipole: 446)	9.39/6.13	41.5	0.90	N/A
	Measured (04/01/2013)	Area Scan/Fast SAR	35.6/19.4	38.0	1.46	21.8
	Measured (04/01/2013)	Zoom Scan	34.9/18.3	38.0	1.46	21.8
	Measured (05/24/2013)	Area Scan/Fast SAR	35.6/19.4	38.2	1.42	22.1
1800	Measured (05/24/2013)	Zoom Scan	35.2/18.3	38.2	1.42	22.1
	Measured (05/27/2013)	Area Scan/Fast SAR	35.9/19.6	38.5	1.44	21.5
	Measured (05/27/2013)	Zoom Scan	35.5/18.5	38.5	1.44	21.5
	Recommended Limi	ts (Dipole: 2d020)	38.5/20.3	40.0	1.40	N/A
	Measured (03/11/2013)	Area Scan/Fast SAR	38.8/20.7	38.5	1.39	22.0
	Measured (03/11/2013)	Zoom Scan	38.3/20.1	38.5	1.39	22.0
	Measured (03/24/2013)	Area Scan/Fast SAR	38.4/20.5	38.3	1.42	21.8
	Measured (03/24/2013)	Zoom Scan	38.2/19.8	38.3	1.42	21.8
1900	Measured (04/02/2013)	Area Scan/Fast SAR	38.2/20.4	38.4	1.46	22.4
1900	Measured (04/02/2013)	Zoom Scan	37.3/19.4	38.4	1.46	22.4
	Measured (04/08/2013)	Area Scan/Fast SAR	37.3/19.9	38.3	1.38	21.9
	Measured (04/08/2013)	Zoom Scan	36.8/19.3	38.3	1.38	21.9
	Measured (04/14/2013)	Area Scan/Fast SAR	37.3/19.7	38.5	1.39	22.7
	Measured (04/14/2013)	Zoom Scan	36.8/19.2	38.5	1.39	22.7

RISPVRDIN		nnce Test Report for the Blac Model RFR101LW Rev 3	kBerry®	Page 40 (73)	
Author Data	Dates of Test		Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC
Andrew Becker	Mar 04 –	May 30, 2013	RTS-6036-1305-06B Rev 3	L6ARFR100LW	2503A-RFR100LW
	Mar 31 –	Apr 01, 2014			

	Measured (04/19/2013)	Area Scan/Fast SAR	37.5/19.8	38.8	1.38	22.1
	Measured (04/19/2013)	Zoom Scan	36.8/19.1	38.8	1.38	22.1
	Measured (04/25/2013)	Area Scan/Fast SAR	36.9/19.5	38.7	1.37	22.2
	Measured (04/25/2013)	Zoom Scan	36.4/19.1	38.7	1.37	22.2
	Measured (05/10/2013)	Area Scan/Fast SAR	37.6/19.8	38.8	1.38	22.0
	Measured (05/10/2013)	Zoom Scan	37.1/19.4	38.8	1.38	22.0
	Measured (05/13/2013)	Area Scan/Fast SAR	37.3/19.7	39.2	1.38	21.8
	Measured (05/13/2013)	Zoom Scan	36.7/19.3	39.2	1.38	21.8
	Measured (05/29/2013)	Area Scan/Fast SAR	37.7/20.0	38.9	1.41	21.5
	Measured (05/29/2013)	Zoom Scan	36.8/19.3	38.9	1.41	21.5
	Recommended Limit	ts (Dipole: 5d075)	40.4/21.0	40.0	1.40	N/A
	Recommended Lim	nits (Dipole: 545)	40.2/21.1	40.0	1.40	N/A
	Measured (03/21/2013)	Area Scan/Fast SAR	51.9/23.1	37.7	1.84	21.6
2450	Measured (03/21/2013)	Zoom Scan	51.3/24.2	37.7	1.84	21.6
	Recommended Lim	nits (Dipole: 791)	54.1/25.0	39.2	1.80	N/A

Table 4.1-1 System accuracy (validation for head adjacent use)

RISPVRDIN		ance Test Report for the Blac Model RFR101LW Rev 3	kBerry®	Page 41(73)	
Author Data	Dates of Test		Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC
Andrew Becker	Mar 04 –	May 30, 2013	RTS-6036-1305-06B Rev 3	L6ARFR100LW	2503A-RFR100LW
	Mar 31 –	Apr 01, 2014			

5.0 PHANTOM DESCRIPTION

The SAM Twin Phantom, manufactured by SPEAG, was used during the SAR measurements. The phantom is made of a fibreglass shell integrated with a wooden table.

The SAM Twin Phantom is a fibreglass shell phantom with 2 mm shell thickness. It has three measurement areas:

Left side head Right side head Flat phantom

The phantom table dimensions are: 100x50x85 cm (LxWxH). The table is intended for use with freestanding robots.

The bottom shelf contains three pair of bolts for locking the device holder in place. The device holder positions are adjusted to the standard measurement positions in the three sections. Only one device holder is necessary if two phantoms are used (e.g., for different solutions).

A white cover is provided to top the phantom during off-periods to prevent water evaporation and changes in the liquid parameters. Free space scans of devices on the cover are possible; however the optical surface detector does not work properly at the cover surface. Place a sheet of white paper on the cover when using optical surface detection.

Liquid depth of \geq 15 cm is maintained in the phantom for all the measurements.



Figure 5.0-1 SAM Twin Phantom

		ance Test Report for the Blac Model RFR101LW Rev 3	kBerry®	Page 42(73)	
Author Data	Dates of Test		Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC
Andrew Becker	Mar 04 –	May 30, 2013	RTS-6036-1305-06B Rev 3	L6ARFR100LW	2503A-RFR100LW
	Mar 31 –	Apr 01, 2014			

6.0 TISSUE DIELECTRIC PROPERTIES

6.1 Composition of tissue simulant

The composition of the brain and muscle simulating liquids are shown in the table below.

INGREDIE	MIXTURE 800- 900MHz			MIXTURE 1800- 1900MHz		MIXTURE 2450 MHz		MIXTURE 5 - 6 GHz	
NT	Brain %	Muscle %	Reain %			Muscle %	Brain %	Muscl e %	
Water	40.29	65.45	55.24	69.91	55.0	68.75	64	64-78	
Sugar	57.90	34.31	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Salt	1.38	0.62	0.31	0.13	0	0	0	0	
HEC	0.24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Bactericide	0.18	0.10	0	0	0	0	0	0	
DGBE	0	0	44.45	29.96	40.0	31.25	0	0	
Triton X-	0	0	0	0	5.0	0	0	0	
Additives and Salt	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	2-3	
Emulsifiers	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	9-15	
Mineral Oil	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	11-18	

Table 6.1-1 Tissue simulant recipe

6.1.1 Equipment

Manufacturer	Test Equipment	Model Number	Serial Number	Cal. Due Date (MM/DD/YY)
Pyrex, England	Graduated Cylinder	N/A	N/A	N/A
Pyrex, USA	Beaker	N/A	N/A	N/A
Acculab	Weight Scale	V1-1200	018WB2003	N/A
IKA Works Inc.	Hot Plate	RC Basic	3.107433	N/A
Dell	PC using GPIB card	GX110	347	N/A
Agilent Technologies	Dielectric probe kit	HP 85070C	US9936135	CNR
Agilent Technologies	Network Analyzer	8753ES	US39174857	09/20/2013
Control Company	Digital Thermometer	23609-234	21352860	09/26/2013

Table 6.1.1-1 Tissue simulant preparation equipment

-= KISCVKOM		ance Test Report for the Blac Model RFR101LW Rev 3	kBerry®	Page 43(73)	
Author Data	Dates of Test		Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC
Andrew Becker	Mar 04 –	May 30, 2013	RTS-6036-1305-06B Rev 3	L6ARFR100LW	2503A-RFR100LW
	Mar 31 –	Apr 01, 2014			

6.1.2 Preparation procedure

800-900 MHz liquids

- Fill the container with water. Begin heating and stirring.
- Add the **Cellulose**, the **preservative substance** and the **salt**. After several hours, the liquid will become more transparent again. The container must be covered to prevent evaporation.
- Add **Sugar**. Stir it well until the sugar is sufficiently dissolved.
- Keep the liquid hot but below the boiling point for at least an hour. The container must be covered to prevent evaporation.
- Remove the container from, and turn the hotplate off and allow the liquid to cool off to room temperature prior to performing dielectric measurements.

1800-2450 MHz liquid

- Fill the container with water and place it on hotplate. Begin heating and stirring.
- Add the salt, Glycol/Triton X-100. The container must be covered to prevent evaporation.
- Keep the liquid hot enough to dissolve sugar for at least an hour. The container must be covered to prevent evaporation.
- Remove the container from, and turn the hotplate off and allow the liquid to cool off to room temperature prior to performing dielectric measurements.

6.2 Electrical parameters of the tissue simulating liquid

The tissue dielectric parameters shall be measured before a batch can be used for SAR measurements to ensure that the simulated tissue was properly made and will simulate the desired human characteristic. Limits and measured electrical parameters are shown in the table below.

Recommended limits are adopted from IEEE P1528-2003:

"Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", DASY manual and from FCC Tissue Dielectric Properties web page at http://www.fcc.gov/fcc-bin/dielec.sh

Band	Tissue	Limits / Measured	f	Dielectric	Parameters	Liquid Temp	
(MHz)	Type	(MM/DD/YYYY)	(MHz)	٤r	σ [S/m]	(°C)	
			705	41.4	0.86		
		Measured (05/08/2013)	715	41.3	0.86	21.5	
			750	40.8	0.90		
	Head	Measured (05/28/2013)	705	42.3	0.86		
			715	42.1	0.87	21.0	
			750	41.6	0.90		
750		Recommended Limits	750	41.9	0.89	N/A	
			705	54.0	0.91		
		Measured (05/08/2013)	715	53.9	0.92	21.4	
	Muscle		750	53.5	0.96		
			705	56.2	0.92	21.0	
		Measured (05/28/2013)	715	56.2	0.92		
			750	55.7	0.96		

SAR (-	R Compliance Test Report for the BlackBerry® partphone Model RFR101LW Rev 3		Page 44(73)
Author Data	Dates of Test		Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC
Andrew Becker	Mar 04 –	May 30, 2013	RTS-6036-1305-06B Rev 3	L6ARFR100LW	2503A-RFR100LW
	Mar 31 –	Apr 01, 2014			

		Recommended Limits	750	55.5	0.96	N/A	
			815	40.7	0.87		
			825	40.6	0.88		
		Measured (03/13/2013)	835	40.5	0.89	21.8	
			850	40.4	0.90		
			865	40.2	0.92	<u></u>	
			815	41.2	0.89		
			825	41.1	0.89		
		Measured (03/15/2013)	835	41.0	0.90	21.2	
			850	40.8	0.92		
			865	40.6	0.93		
	Head		815	43.5	0.91		
			825	43.4	0.92		
		Measured (03/18/2013)	835	43.2	0.93	21.5	
			850	43.0	0.95		
			865	42.8	0.96		
			815	41.6	0.88		
			825	41.4	0.89		
		Measured (05/06/2013)	835	41.3	0.90	21.5	
025			850	41.2	0.91	<u></u>	
833	835		865	41.0	0.93		
		Recommended Limits	835	41.5	0.90	N/A	
			815	54.8	0.95		
		M 1 (02/12/2012)	825	54.7	0.96	20.2	
		Measured (03/13/2013)	835	54.6	0.98	20.3	
			850	54.5	0.99		
			815	53.2	0.95		
		M 1 (02/15/2012)	825	53.1	0.96	20.9	
		Measured (03/15/2013)	835	53.0	0.97		
			850	52.8	0.99		
	Muscle		815	53.2	0.95		
		Manager 4 (02/10/2012)	825	53.1	0.96	21.2	
		Measured (03/18/2013)	835	53.0	0.97	21.3	
			850	52.8	0.99		
			815	53.3	0.94		
		Manager 4 (05/06/2012)	825	53.3	0.95	21.5	
		Measured (05/06/2013)	835	53.1	0.96	21.5	
			850	53.0	0.98		
		Recommended Limits	835	55.2	0.97	N/A	
			1710	38.6	1.38		
		Measured (04/01/2013)	1750	38.3	1.42	21.8	
			1800	38.0	1.46		
1000	77 1		1710	38.9	1.34		
1800	Head	Measured (05/24/2013)	1750	38.6	1.38	22.1	
			1800	38.2	1.42		
			1710	38.8	1.35	21.2	
		Measured (05/27/2013)	1750	38.5	1.39	21.3	

Smart		SAR Complia	ance Test Report for the Blac Model RFR101LW Rev 3	ekBerry®	Page 45 (73)
Author Data	Dates of Test		Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC
Andrew Becker	Mar 04 –	May 30, 2013	RTS-6036-1305-06B Rev 3	L6ARFR100LW	2503A-RFR100LW
	Mar 31 –	Apr 01, 2014			

			1000	20.5	1 11		
		D 1. 1 T ! ! / .	1800	38.5	1.44	NT/A	
		Recommended Limits	1800	40.0	1.40	N/A	
		Mars at (04/01/2012)	1710	51.1	1.50	22.0	
		Measured (04/01/2013)	1750	50.9	1.54	22.0	
			1800	50.7	1.60		
		1 (05/24/2012)	1710	51.4	1.48	22.1	
	Muscle	Measured (05/24/2013)	1750	51.3	1.53	22.1	
			1800	51.1	1.58		
		1 (05/27/2012)	1710	50.8	1.50	22.2	
		Measured (05/27/2013)	1750	50.7	1.54	22.3	
		D 1.17	1800	50.6	1.60	NT / A	
		Recommended Limits	1800	53.3	1.52	N/A	
			1850	38.8	1.34		
		Measured (03/11/2013)	1900	38.5	1.39	22.0	
		` _	1910	38.5	1.40		
			1980	38.2	1.46		
		_	1850	38.5	1.37		
		Measured (03/24/2013)	1900	38.3	1.42	21.8	
			1910	38.3	1.43	21.0	
			1980	38.1	1.51		
		_	1850	38.6	1.39	22.4 21.9 22.6 22.1	
		Measured (04/02/2013)	1900	38.4	1.46		
			1910	38.4	1.47		
			1850	38.5	1.33		
		Measured (04/08/2013)	1900	38.3	1.38		
			1910	38.2	1.39		
			1850	38.7	1.34		
			1900	38.5	1.39		
	Head		1910	38.5	1.40		
1900			1850	39.0	1.33		
		Measured (04/19/2013)	1900	38.8	1.38		
	1		1910	38.8	1.39		
	1		1850	38.9	1.33		
	1	Measured (04/25/2013)	1900	38.7	1.37	22.2	
	1		1910	38.8	1.38		
	1		1850	39.0	1.33		
	1	Measured (05/10/2013)	1900	38.8	1.38	22.0	
	1		1910	38.7	1.39		
	1		1850	39.3	1.33		
	1	Measured (05/13/2013)	1900	39.2	1.38	21.8	
	1		1910	39.1	1.39		
	1		1850	39.1	1.36		
	1	Measured (05/28/2013)	1900	38.9	1.41	21.8	
	1		1910	38.8	1.42		
	1	Recommended Limits	1900	40.0	1.40	N/A	
	Muscle	Management (02/12/2012)	1850	51.8	1.51	22.4	
	LIVIIISCIE	Measured (03/12/2013)	1900	51.5	1.56	22.4	

		ance Test Report for the Blac Model RFR101LW Rev 3	kBerry®	Page 46(73)	
Author Data	Dates of Test		Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC
Andrew Becker	Mar 04 –	May 30, 2013	RTS-6036-1305-06B Rev 3	L6ARFR100LW	2503A-RFR100LW
	Mar 31 –	Apr 01, 2014			

		T	1010	51.5	1 57		
			1910 1850	51.5 50.9	1.57 1.48		
		Manage 4 (02/24/2012)				22.4	
		Measured (03/24/2013)	1900	50.8	1.53	22.4	
			1910	50.7	1.58		
		1 (04/02/2012)	1850	50.7	1.51	22.5	
		Measured (04/02/2013)	1900	50.7	1.58	22.5	
			1910	50.7	1.59		
			1850	51.0	1.48	22.5	
		Measured (04/08/2013)	1900	50.9	1.53	22.5	
			1910	50.8	1.55		
		_	1850	51.1	1.51		
		Measured (04/14/2013)	1900	50.9	1.56	22.5	
			1910	50.9	1.57		
			1850	50.8	1.50		
		Measured (04/19/2013)	1900	50.7	1.55	21.6	
			1910	50.6	1.57		
			1850	50.8	1.50	22.7	
		Measured (04/25/2013)	1900	50.7	1.54		
			1910	50.7	1.55		
			1850	50.9	1.50		
		Measured (05/10/2013)	1900	50.7	1.55	22.1	
			1910	50.7	1.56		
			1850	51.2	1.48		
		Measured (05/13/2013)	1900	51.0	1.54	22.8	
			1910	51.0	1.55		
			1850	51.3	1.52		
		Measured (05/28/2013)	1900	51.2	1.57	21.1	
			1910	51.1	1.58		
		Recommended Limits	1900	53.3	1.52	N/A	
			2410	37.8	1.80		
	II 1	Measured (03/20/2013)	2450	37.7	1.84	21.6	
	Head		2480	37.6	1.87	1	
2450		Recommended Limits	2450	39.2	1.80	N/A	
2450			2410	50.5	1.92		
	, ,	Measured (03/20/2013)	2450	50.4	1.97	20.8	
	Muscle	. ,	2480	50.2	2.01	1	
	1	Recommended Limits	2450	52.7	1.95	N/A	

Table 6.2-1 Electrical parameters of tissue simulating liquid

*** BlackBerry SAR Smar			ance Test Report for the Blac Model RFR101LW Rev 3	ekBerry®	Page 47(73)
Author Data	Dates of Test		Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC
Andrew Becker	Mar 04 –	May 30, 2013	RTS-6036-1305-06B Rev 3	L6ARFR100LW	2503A-RFR100LW
	Mar 31 –	Apr 01, 2014			




Figure 6.2.2-1 Test configuration

6.2.3 Procedure

- 1. Turn NWA on and allow at least 30 minutes for warm up.
- 2. Mount dielectric probe kit so that interconnecting cable to NWA will not be moved during measurements or calibration.
- 3. Pour de-ionized water and measure water temperature $(\pm 1^{\circ})$.
- 4. Set water temperature in HP-Software (Calibration Setup).
- 5. Perform calibration.
- 6. Relative permittivity $\varepsilon_r = \varepsilon'$ and conductivity can be calculated from ε'' ($\sigma = \omega \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon''$)
- 7. Measure liquid shortly after calibration.
- 8. Stir the liquid to be measured. Take a sample (~50ml) with a syringe from the center of the liquid container.
- 9. Pour the liquid into a small glass flask. Hold the syringe at the bottom of the flask to avoid air bubbles.
- 10. Put the dielectric probe in the glass flask. Check that there are no air bubbles in front of the opening in the dielectric probe kit.
- 11. Perform measurements.
- 12. Adjust medium parameters in DASY software for the frequencies necessary for the measurements ('Setup Config', select medium (e.g. Head 835 MHz) and press 'Option'-button.
- 13. Select the current medium for the frequency of the validation (e.g. Setup Medium Brain 835 MHz).

-= KISCVKOM			ance Test Report for the Blac Model RFR101LW Rev 3	kBerry®	Page 48 (73)
Author Data	Dates of Test		Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC
Andrew Becker	Mar 04 –	May 30, 2013	RTS-6036-1305-06B Rev 3	L6ARFR100LW	2503A-RFR100LW
	Mar 31 –	Apr 01, 2014			

7.0 SAR SAFETY LIMITS

Standards/Guideline	Localized SAR Limit (W/kg) General public (uncontrolled)	Localized SAR Limits (W/kg) Workers (controlled)
ICNIRP Standard	2.0 (10g)	10.0 (10g)
IEEE C95.1 Standard	1.6 (1g)	8.0 (1g)

Table 7.0-1 SAR safety limits for Controlled / Uncontrolled environment

	Localized SAR Limits (W/kg) 10g, ICNIRP	Localized SAR Limits (W/kg) 1g, IEEE C95.1
Human Exposure	Standard	Standard
Spatial Average (averaged over the whole		
body)	0.08	0.08
Spatial Peak (averaged over any X g of		
tissue)	2.00	1.60
Spatial Peak (hands/wrists/feet/ankles		
averaged over 10 g)	4.00	4.00 (10g)

Table 7.0-2 SAR safety limits

Uncontrolled Environments are defined as locations where there is exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their exposure.

Controlled Environments are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by persons who are aware of the potential for exposure, (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation).

≅ Black	Berry	SAR Compliance Test Report for the BlackBerry® Smartphone Model RFR101LW Rev 3 Page 49(73)			
Author Data	Dates of Test		Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC
Andrew Becker	Mar 04 –	May 30, 2013	RTS-6036-1305-06B Rev 3	L6ARFR100LW	2503A-RFR100LW
	Mar 31 –	Apr 01, 2014			

8.0 DEVICE POSITIONING

8.1 Device holder for SAM Twin Phantom

The Device was positioned for all test configurations using the DASY5 holder. The device holder facilitates the rotation of the mounted transmitter in spherical coordinates whereby the rotation point is the ear opening. The devices can be easily, accurately and with repeatability positioned according to FCC and CENELEC specifications. The device holder can be locked at different phantom locations (left head, right head, flat phantom).





Figure 8.1-1 Device Holder

- 1. Put the phone in the clamp mechanism (1) and hold it straight while tightening. (Curved phones or phones with asymmetrical ear pieces should be positioned so that the earpiece is in the symmetry plane of the clamp).
- 2. Adjust the sliding carriage (2) to 90° . Then adjust the phone holder angle (3) until the reference line of the phone is horizontal (parallel to the flat phantom bottom). The phone reference line is defined as the front tangential line between the earpiece and the center of the device bottom (or the center of the flip hinge). For devices with parallel front and backsides, the phone holder angle (3) is 0° .
- 3. Place the device holder at the desired phantom section and move it securely against the positioning pins (4). The screw in front of the turning plate can be applied for correct positioning (5). (Do not tighten it too strongly).
- 4. Shift the phone clamp (6) so that the earpiece is exactly below the ear marking of the phantom. The phone is now correctly positioned in the holder for all standard phantom measurements, even after changing the phantom or phantom section.
- 5. Adjust the device position angles to the desired measurement position.
- 6. After fixing the device angles, move the phone fixture up until the phone touches the ear marking. (The point of contact depends on the design of the device and the positioning angle).

: :: BlackB	Berry	_	ance Test Report for the Blac Model RFR101LW Rev 3	ekBerry®	Page 50(73)
Author Data	Dates of Test		Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC
Andrew Becker	Mar 04 –	May 30, 2013	RTS-6036-1305-06B Rev 3	L6ARFR100LW	2503A-RFR100LW
	Mar 31 –	Apr 01, 2014			

8.2 Description of the test positioning

8.2.1 Test Positions of Device Relative to Head

The handset was tested in two test positions against the head phantom, the "cheek" position and the "tilted" position, on both left and right sides of the phantom.

The handset was tested in the above positions according to IEEE 1528- 2003 "Recommended Practice for Determining the Spatial-Peak Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Body Due to Wireless Communications Devices: Experimental Techniques".




Figure 8.2.1-1 Handset vertical and horizontal reference lines – fixed case

Figure 8.2.1-2 Handset vertical and horizontal reference lines – "clam-shell"

≅ BlackB	Berry		ance Test Report for the Blac Model RFR101LW Rev 3	ekBerry®	Page 51(73)
Author Data	Dates of Test		Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC
Andrew Becker	Mar 04 –	May 30, 2013	RTS-6036-1305-06B Rev 3	L6ARFR100LW	2503A-RFR100LW
	Mar 31 –	Apr 01, 2014			

Definition of the "cheek" position

- 1) Ready the handset for talk operation, if necessary. For example, for handsets with a cover piece, open the cover.
- 2) Define two imaginary lines on the handset: the vertical centerline and the horizontal line. The vertical centerline passes through two points on the front side of the handset: the midpoint of the width wt of the handset at the level of the acoustic output (point A on Figures 8.2.1-1 and 8.2.1-2), and the midpoint of the width wb of the bottom of the handset (point B). The horizontal line is perpendicular to the vertical centerline and passes through the center of the acoustic output (see Figure 8.2.1-1). The two lines intersect at point A. Note that for many handsets, point A coincides with the center of the acoustic output. However, the acoustic output may be located elsewhere on the horizontal line. Also note that the vertical centerline is not necessarily parallel to the front face of the handset (see Figure 8.2.1-2), especially for clamshell handsets, handsets with flip pieces, and other irregularly shaped handsets.
- 3) Position the handset close to the surface of the phantom such that point A is on the (virtual) extension of the line passing through points RE and LE on the phantom (see Figure 8.2.1-3), such that the plane defined by the vertical center line and the horizontal center line is in a plane approximately parallel to the sagittal plane of the phantom.
- 4) Translate the handset towards the phantom along the line passing through RE and LE until the handset touches the ear.
- 5) While maintaining the handset in this plane, rotate it around the LE-RE line until the vertical centerline is the plane normal to MB ("mouth-back") NF ("neck-front") including the line MB (reference plane).
- **6)** Rotate the phone around the vertical centerline until the phone (horizontal line) is symmetrical with respect to the line NF.
- 7) While maintaining the vertical centerline in the reference plane, keeping point A on the line passing through RE and LE, and maintaining the phone contact with the ear, rotate the handset about the line NF until any point on the handset is in contact with a phantom point below the ear (cheek).




Figure 8.2.1-3 Phone position 1, "cheek" or "touch" position. The reference points for the right ear (RE), left ear (LE) and mouth (M), which define the reference plane for phone positioning, are indicated. The shoulders are shown for illustration purposes only.

≅ BlackB	Berry		ance Test Report for the Blac Model RFR101LW Rev 3	ekBerry®	Page 52(73)
Author Data	Dates of Test		Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC
Andrew Becker	Mar 04 –	May 30, 2013	RTS-6036-1305-06B Rev 3	L6ARFR100LW	2503A-RFR100LW
	Mar 31 –	Apr 01, 2014			

Definition of the "Tilted" Position

- 1) Repeat steps 1 to 7 from above.
- 2) While maintaining the device in the reference plane (described above) and pivoting against the ear, move the device outward away from the mouth by an angle of 15 degrees, or until the antenna touches the phantom.




Figure 8.2.1-4 Phone position 2, "tilted position." The reference points for the right ear (RE), left ear (LE) and mouth (M), which define the reference plane for phone positioning, are indicated. The shoulders are shown for illustration purposes only.

8.2.2 Body-worn Configuration

Body-worn holsters, as shown on Figure 1.4-1, have been test with the device for RF exposure compliance. The device was positioned in each holster case and the belt clip was placed against the flat section of the phantom. A headset was then connected to the device to simulate hands-free operation in a body worn holster configuration.

In addition, device was tested with 15 mm BB recommended separation distance to allow typical aftermarket holster to be used. BB body-worn holsters with belt-clip have been designed to maintain ~ 19-20 mm separation distance from body.

8.2.3 Limb/Hand Configuration

BlackBerry device is not a limb-worn device and hasn't been tested for such a configuration.

As per Clause 6.1.4.9 in the IEC/EN 62209-2 standard:

"Additional studies remain needed for devising a representative method for evaluating SAR in the hand of hand-held devices. Future versions of this standard are intended to contain a test method based on scientific data and rationale. Annex J presents the currently available test procedure."

≅ BlackB	erry	_	ance Test Report for the Blac Model RFR101LW Rev 3	kBerry®	Page 53(73)
Author Data	Dates of Test		Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC
Andrew Becker	Mar 04 –	May 30, 2013	RTS-6036-1305-06B Rev 3	L6ARFR100LW	2503A-RFR100LW
	Mar 31 –	Apr 01, 2014			

Clause J.2 of the IEC/EN 62209-2 states that testing for compliance for the exposure of the hand is not applicable for devices that are intended to being hand-held to enable use at the ear (see EN 62209-1) or worn on the body when transmitting.

In addition, BlackBerry device is not intended to be held in hand at a distance of larger than 200 mm from the head and body during normal use.

9.0 HIGH LEVEL EVALUATION

9.1 Maximum search

The maximum search is automatically performed after each coarse scan measurement. It is based on splines in two or three dimensions. The procedure can find the maximum for most SAR distributions even with relatively large grid spacing. After the coarse scan measurement, the probe is automatically moved to a position at the interpolated maximum. The following scan can directly use this position for reference, e.g., for a finer resolution grid or the cube evaluations.

9.2 Extrapolation

The extrapolation can be used in z-axis scans with automatic surface detection. The SAR values can be extrapolated to the inner phantom surface. The extrapolation distance is the sum of the probe sensor offset, the surface detection distance and the grid offset. The extrapolation is based on fourth order polynomial functions. The extrapolation is only available for SAR values.

9.3 Boundary correction

The correction of the probe boundary effect in the vicinity of the phantom surface is done in the standard (worst case) evaluation; the boundary effect is reduced by different weights for the lowest measured points in the extrapolation routine. The result is a slight overestimation of the extrapolated SAR values (2% to 8%) depending on the SAR distribution and gradient. The advanced evaluation makes a full compensation of the boundary effect before doing the extrapolation. This is only possible for probes with specifications on the boundary effect.

9.4 Peak search for 1g and 10g cube averaged SAR

The 1g and 10g peak evaluations are only available for the predefined cube 5x5x7 / 7x7x9 scan. The routines are verified and optimized for the grid dimensions used in these cube measurements.

The measured volume of 30x30x30mm / 22x22x22 with 7.5 / 5 / 4.0 mm resolution in (x,y) and 5mm / 2.mm resolution in z axis amounts to 175 / 693 measurement points. The first procedure is an extrapolation (incl. Boundary correction) to get the points between the lowest measured plane and the surface. The next step uses 3D interpolation to get all points within the measured volume in a 1mm grid. In the last step, a 1g cube is placed numerically into the volume and its averaged SAR is calculated. This cube is then moved around until the highest averaged SAR is found. This last procedure is repeated for a 10 g cube. If the highest SAR is found at the edge of the measured volume, the system will issue a warning: higher SAR values might be found outside of the measured volume. In that case the cube measurement can be repeated, using the new interpolated maximum as the center.

∷ BlackB	erry		ance Test Report for the Blac Model RFR101LW Rev 3	kBerry®	Page 54(73)
Author Data	Dates of Test		Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC
Andrew Becker	Mar 04 –	May 30, 2013	RTS-6036-1305-06B Rev 3	L6ARFR100LW	2503A-RFR100LW
	Mar 31 –	Apr 01, 2014			

10.0 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

DASY5 Uncertainty Budget According to IEEE 1528/2003 [1]										
	Uncert.	Prob.	Div.	(c_i)	(c_i)	Std. Unc.	Std. Unc.	(v_i)		
Error Description	value	Dist.		1g	10g	(1g)	(10g)	v_{eff}		
Measurement System										
Probe Calibration	$\pm 5.5 \%$	N	1	1	1	±5.5 %	±5.5 %	∞		
Axial Isotropy	$\pm 4.7 \%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.7	$\pm 1.9 \%$	$\pm 1.9 \%$	∞		
Hemispherical Isotropy	$\pm 9.6 \%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.7	$\pm 3.9 \%$	±3.9 %	∞		
Boundary Effects	±1.0 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±0.6 %	±0.6 %	∞		
Linearity	$\pm 4.7 \%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	$\pm 2.7 \%$	$\pm 2.7 \%$	∞		
System Detection Limits	±1.0 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±0.6 %	±0.6 %	∞		
Readout Electronics	±0.3 %	N	1	1	1	±0.3 %	±0.3 %	∞		
Response Time	$\pm 0.8 \%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±0.5 %	±0.5 %	∞		
Integration Time	$\pm 2.6 \%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±1.5 %	±1.5 %	∞		
RF Ambient Noise	±3.0 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	$\pm 1.7 \%$	±1.7 %	∞		
RF Ambient Reflections	±3.0 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	$\pm 1.7 \%$	$\pm 1.7 \%$	∞		
Probe Positioner	$\pm 0.4 \%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	$\pm 0.2 \%$	$\pm 0.2 \%$	∞		
Probe Positioning	$\pm 2.9 \%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	$\pm 1.7 \%$	±1.7 %	∞		
Max. SAR Eval.	±1.0 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±0.6 %	$\pm 0.6 \%$	∞		
Test Sample Related										
Device Positioning	$\pm 2.9 \%$	N	1	1	1	$\pm 2.9 \%$	$\pm 2.9 \%$	145		
Device Holder	$\pm 3.6 \%$	N	1	1	1	$\pm 3.6 \%$	$\pm 3.6\%$	5		
Power Drift	$\pm 5.0 \%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	$\pm 2.9 \%$	$\pm 2.9 \%$	∞		
Phantom and Setup										
Phantom Uncertainty	$\pm 4.0 \%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	$\pm 2.3 \%$	$\pm 2.3\%$	∞		
Liquid Conductivity (target)	$\pm 5.0 \%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.64	0.43	±1.8 %	$\pm 1.2 \%$	∞		
Liquid Conductivity (meas.)	$\pm 2.5 \%$	N	1	0.64	0.43	$\pm 1.6 \%$	±1.1 %	∞		
Liquid Permittivity (target)	$\pm 5.0\%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	0.49	$\pm 1.7 \%$	$\pm 1.4 \%$	∞		
Liquid Permittivity (meas.)	$\pm 2.5\%$	N	1	0.6	0.49	$\pm 1.5 \%$	$\pm 1.2 \%$	∞		
Combined Std. Uncertainty						$\pm 10.7 \%$	$\pm 10.5 \%$	387		
Expanded STD Uncertain	ty					$\pm 21.4\%$	$\pm 21.0\%$			

Table 10.0-1 Worst-Case uncertainty budget for DASY5 assessed according to IEEE P1528. Source: Schmid & Partner Engineering AG.

[1] The budget is valid for the frequency range 300MHz - 3 GHz and represents a worst-case analysis. For specific tests and configurations, the uncertainty could be considerably smaller.

RISCVROMV			ance Test Report for the Blac Model RFR101LW Rev 3	kBerry®	Page 55(73)
Author Data	Dates of Test		Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC
Andrew Becker	Mar 04 –	May 30, 2013	RTS-6036-1305-06B Rev 3	L6ARFR100LW	2503A-RFR100LW
	Mar 31 –	Apr 01, 2014			

Relative DASY5 Uncertainty Budget for Fast SAR Tests According to IEEE 1528/2011 and IEC 62209-1/2011 (0.3 - 3 GHz range)											
	Uncert.	Prob.	Div.	(c_i)	(c_i)	Std. Unc.	Std. Unc.	(v_i)			
Error Description	value	Dist.		1g	10g	(1g)	(10g)	v_{eff}			
Measurement System											
Probe Calibration	±6.0%	N	1	0	0						
Axial Isotropy	±4.7%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.7	±1.9 %	±1.9 %	∞			
Hemispherical Isotropy	$\pm 9.6 \%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.7	$\pm 3.9 \%$	±3.9 %	∞			
Boundary Effects	±1.0 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±0.6 %	±0.6 %	∞			
Linearity	$\pm 4.7 \%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	$\pm 2.7 \%$	$\pm 2.7 \%$	∞			
System Detection Limits	$\pm 1.0 \%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±0.6 %	$\pm 0.6 \%$	∞			
Modulation Response	$\pm 2.4 \%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±1.4 %	±1.4 %	∞			
Readout Electronics	$\pm 0.3 \%$	N	1	0	0						
Response Time	$\pm 0.8 \%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0	0						
Integration Time	$\pm 2.6 \%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±1.5 %	±1.5 %	∞			
RF Ambient Noise	$\pm 3.0 \%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±1.7 %	±1.7 %	∞			
RF Ambient Reflections	$\pm 3.0 \%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0	0						
Probe Positioner	±0.4%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±0.2 %	±0.2 %	∞			
Probe Positioning	$\pm 2.9 \%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±1.7 %	±1.7 %	∞			
Spatial x-y-Resolution	±10.0 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±5.8 %	±5.8 %	∞			
Fast SAR z-Approximation	±7.0 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±4.0 %	±4.0 %	∞			
Test Sample Related											
Device Positioning	$\pm 2.9 \%$	N	1	1	1	±2.9 %	±2.9 %	145			
Device Holder	$\pm 3.6 \%$	N	1	1	1	±3.6 %	±3.6 %	5			
Power Drift	$\pm 5.0 \%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	$\pm 2.9 \%$	$\pm 2.9 \%$	∞			
Power Scaling	±0 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0	0						
Phantom and Setup											
Phantom Uncertainty	±6.1 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	$\pm 3.5 \%$	$\pm 3.5 \%$	∞			
SAR correction	±1.9 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0	0						
Liquid Conductivity (mea.)	$\pm 2.5 \%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0	0						
Liquid Permittivity (mea.)	$\pm 2.5 \%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0	0						
Temp. unc Conductivity	$\pm 3.4 \%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0	0						
Temp. unc Permittivity	±0.4%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0	0						
Combined Std. Uncertainty						±11.4 %	±11.4 %	748			
Expanded STD Uncertai	nty					$\pm 22.7\%$	$\pm 22.7\%$				

Table 10.0-2 Worst-Case uncertainty budget for DASY5 assessed according to IEEE P1528/2011 and IEC 62209-1/2011

Source: Schmid & Partner Engineering AG.

			ance Test Report for the Blac Model RFR101LW Rev 3	Page 56(73)	
Author Data	Dates of Test		Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC
Andrew Becker	Mar 04 – May 30, 2013		RTS-6036-1305-06B Rev 3	L6ARFR100LW	2503A-RFR100LW
	Mar 31 –	Apr 01, 2014			

DASY5 Uncertainty Budget for the 3 - 6 GHz range									
	Uncert.	Prob.	Div.	(c_i)	(c_i)	Std. Unc.	Std. Unc.	(v_t)	
Error Description	value	Dist.		1g	10g	(1g)	(10g)	v_{eff}	
Measurement System									
Probe Calibration	±6.55 %	N	1	1	1	±6.55 %	±6.55 %	∞	
Axial Isotropy	$\pm 4.7 \%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.7	$\pm 1.9 \%$	±1.9%	∞	
Hemispherical Isotropy	$\pm 9.6 \%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.7	$\pm 3.9 \%$	$\pm 3.9 \%$	∞	
Boundary Effects	$\pm 2.0 \%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	$\pm 1.2 \%$	$\pm 1.2 \%$	∞	
Linearity	$\pm 4.7 \%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	$\pm 2.7 \%$	±2.7 %	∞	
System Detection Limits	$\pm 1.0 \%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±0.6 %	±0.6 %	∞	
Readout Electronics	$\pm 0.3 \%$	N	1	1	1	±0.3 %	±0.3 %	∞	
Response Time	$\pm 0.8 \%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±0.5 %	±0.5 %	∞	
Integration Time	$\pm 2.6 \%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±1.5%	±1.5 %	∞	
RF Ambient Noise	$\pm 3.0 \%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±1.7%	±1.7%	∞	
RF Ambient Reflections	±3.0 %	R	√3	1	1	±1.7%	±1.7%	∞	
Probe Positioner	$\pm 0.8\%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±0.5 %	±0.5 %	00	
Probe Positioning	$\pm 9.9 \%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±5.7%	±5.7%	00	
Max. SAR Eval.	$\pm 4.0 \%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±2.3 %	±2.3 %	00	
Test Sample Related									
Device Positioning	$\pm 2.9 \%$	N	1	1	1	±2.9 %	±2.9 %	145	
Device Holder	±3.6 %	N	1	1	1	±3.6 %	±3.6 %	5	
Power Drift	$\pm 5.0 \%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±2.9 %	±2.9 %	∞	
Phantom and Setup									
Phantom Uncertainty	$\pm 4.0 \%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±2.3 %	±2.3 %	∞	
Liquid Conductivity (target)	±5.0 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.64	0.43	±1.8%	±1.2 %	∞	
Liquid Conductivity (meas.)	$\pm 2.5 \%$	N	1	0.64	0.43	±1.6 %	±1.1 %	∞	
Liquid Permittivity (target)	±5.0 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	0.49	±1.7 %	±1.4 %	∞	
Liquid Permittivity (meas.)	$\pm 2.5 \%$	N	1	0.6	0.49	±1.5 %	±1.2 %	∞	
Combined Std. Uncertainty						$\pm 12.8 \%$	±12.6 %	330	
Expanded STD Uncertain	ty					$\pm 25.6\%$	$\pm 25.2\%$		

Table 10.0-3 Worst-Case uncertainty budget for DASY52 assessed according to IEEE P1528. Source: Schmid & Partner Engineering AG.

KISCVKOM			ance Test Report for the Blac Model RFR101LW Rev 3	Page 57 (73)	
Author Data	Dates of Test		Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC
Andrew Becker	Mar 04 – May 30, 2013		RTS-6036-1305-06B Rev 3	L6ARFR100LW	2503A-RFR100LW
	Mar 31 –	Apr 01, 2014			

11.0 TEST RESULTS

11.1 SAR Measurement results at highest power measured against the head

				Cond.	SAR	, average	d over 1 g	
Test Position	Mode	f (MHz)	Channel	Output Power (dBm	Measured (W/kg)	Power Drift (dB)	*Extrapolated (W/kg)	Scan Type
Right	2-slots	824.2	128					
Head	DTM	836.8	190	31.7	0.67	-0.07	0.67	
Cheek	850 MHz	848.8	251					
Dight	3-slots	824.2	128	30.6	0.68	-0.15	0.68	
Right Head	DTM	836.8	190	30.6	0.82	-0.11	0.82	
Cheek	850 MHz	836.8	190	30.6	0.72	-0.22	0.76	2 nd Scan
CHECK	030 WHIZ	848.8	251	30.7	0.73	0.05	0.73	
Right	4-slots	824.2	128					
Head	GSM/EDGE	836.8	190	28.2	0.58	0.05	0.58	
Cheek	850 MHz	848.8	251					
Right	2-slots	824.2	128					
Head	DTM	836.8	190	31.7	0.40	-0.29	0.43	
15° Tilt	850 MHz	848.8	251					
Right	1-slot	824.2	128					
Head	GSM	836.8	190	33.9	0.58	-0.13	0.58	
Cheek	850 MHz	848.8	251					
Left	2-slots	824.2	128					
Head	DTM	836.8	190	31.7	0.62	-0.35	0.67	
Cheek	850 MHz	848.8	251					
Left	2-slots	824.2	128					
Head	DTM	836.8	190	31.7	0.41	0.18	0.41	
15° Tilt	15° Tilt 850 MHz	848.8	251					
Left	1-slot	824.2	128					
Head	GSM	836.8	190	33.9	0.52	-0.01	0.52	
Cheek	850 MHz	848.8	251			_		

Table 11.1-1 SAR results for GSM/DTM 850 head configuration model RFS121LW

Note 1: If the power drift is ≤ -0.200 dB, the extrapolated SAR is calculated using the formula: Extrapolated SAR = (Measured SAR) * $10^{(1)}$ (Power Drift (dB)) / $10^{(1)}$

Note 2: Only Middle channel was tested when 1g Average SAR <0.8 W/Kg or 3dB lower than the limit.

RISPVRDIN			ance Test Report for the Blac Model RFR101LW Rev 3	Page 58(73)	
Author Data	Dates of Test		Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC
Andrew Becker	Mar 04 – May 30, 2013		RTS-6036-1305-06B Rev 3	L6ARFR100LW	2503A-RFR100LW
	Mar 31 –	Apr 01, 2014			

				Cond.	SAR	, averaged	l over 1 g
Test Position	Mode	f (MHz)	Channel	Output Power (dBm	Measured (W/kg)	Power Drift (dB)	*Extrapolated (W/kg)
Right	WCDMA	826.4	4132				
Head	FDD V	836.4	4182	24.0	0.53	-0.08	0.53
Cheek	850 MHz	846.6	4233				
Right	WCDMA	826.4	4132				
Head	FDD V	836.4	4182	24.0	0.31	-0.02	0.31
15° Tilt	850 MHz	846.6	4233				
Left	WCDMA	826.4	4132				
Head	FDD V	836.4	4182	24.0	0.50	0.10	0.50
Cheek	850 MHz	846.6	4233				
Left	WCDMA	826.4	4132				
Head	FDD V	836.4	4182	24.0	0.30	0.02	0.30
15° Tilt	850 MHz	846.6	4233				

Table 11.1-2 SAR results for WCDMA FDD V head configuration model RFS121LW

			ance Test Report for the Blac Model RFR101LW Rev 3	Page 59(73)	
Author Data	Dates of Test		Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC
Andrew Becker	Mar 04 – May 30, 2013		RTS-6036-1305-06B Rev 3	L6ARFR100LW	2503A-RFR100LW
	Mar 31 –	Apr 01, 2014			

				Cond.	SAR	, average	d over 1 g	
Test Position	Mode	f (MHz)	Channel	Output Power (dBm	Measured (W/kg)	Power Drift (dB)	*Extrapolated (W/kg)	Scan Type
Right	2-slots	1850.2	512	28.0	0.91	-0.16	0.91	
Head	DTM	1880.0	661	27.9	0.85	-0.13	0.85	
Cheek	1900 MHz	1909.8	810	27.9	0.91	-0.02	0.91	
Right	2-slots	1850.2	512					
Head	DTM	1880.0	661	27.9	0.24	0.18	0.24	
15° Tilt	1900 MHz	1909.8	810					
Right	1-slot	1850.2	512	29.2	0.78	0.01	0.78	
Head	GSM	1880.0	661					
Cheek	1900 MHz	1909.8	810					
		1850.2	512	28.0	1.08	-0.11	1.08	
Left	2-slots	1880.0	661	27.9	1.12	0.10	1.12	
Head Cheek	DTM 1900 MHz	1880.0	661	27.9	1.07	-0.14	1.07	2 nd Scan
Check	1900 WITE	1909.8	810	27.9	1.06	0.05	1.06	
Left	3-slots	1850.2	512					
Head	DTM	1880.0	661	25.3	0.99	0.11	0.99	
Cheek	1900 MHz	1909.8	810					
Left	4-slots	1850.2	512					
Head	EDGE	1880.0	661	24.8	0.88	0.00	0.88	
Cheek	1900 MHz	1909.8	810					
Left	2-slots	1850.2	512					
Head	DTM	1880.0	661	27.9	0.41	0.06	0.41	
15° Tilt	1900 MHz	1909.8	810					
Left	1-slot	1850.2	512					
Head	GSM	1880.0	661	29.2	1.06	0.06	1.06	
Cheek	1900 MHz	1909.8	810					

Table 11.1-3 SAR results for GSM/DTM 1900 head configuration model RFS121LW

KI20VK0///			ance Test Report for the Blac Model RFR101LW Rev 3	Page 60 (73)	
Author Data	Dates of Test		Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC
Andrew Becker	Mar 04 –	May 30, 2013	RTS-6036-1305-06B Rev 3	L6ARFR100LW	2503A-RFR100LW
	Mar 31 –	Apr 01, 2014			

				Cond.	SAR	, averaged	over 1 g	
Test Position	Mode	f (MHz)	Channel	Output Power (dBm)	Measured (W/kg)	Power Drift (dB)	*Extrapolated (W/kg)	Scan Type
Right	WCDMA	1852.4	9262	22.6	1.04	0.04	1.04	
Head	FDD II	1880.0	9400	22.4	1.03	0.09	1.03	
Cheek	1900 MHz	1907.6	9538	22.5	1.05	0.09	1.05	
Right	WCDMA	1852.4	9262					
Head	FDD II	1880.0	9400	22.4	0.26	-0.11	0.26	
15° Tilt	1900 MHz	1907.6	9538					
		1852.4	9262	22.6	1.20	0.02	1.20	
Left Head	WCDMA FDD II	1880.0	9400	22.4	1.18	0.00	1.18	
Cheek	1900 MHz	1907.6	9538	22.5	1.22	0.07	1.22	
		1907.6	9538	22.5	1.33	0.09	1.33	2 nd scan
Left	Left WCDMA Head FDD II	1852.4	9262					
Head		1880.0	9400	22.4	0.36	-0.08	0.36	
15° Tilt	1900 MHz	1907.6	9538					

Table 11.1-4 SAR results for WCDMA FDD II head configuration model RFS121LW

				Cond.	M	easured SAR (W	/kg)
Test Position	Mode	f (MHz)	Channel	Output Power (dBm)	Power Drift (dB)	Averaged over 1 g	Averaged over 10 g
Right	802.11 b	2412	1				
Head	2450	2437	6	16.8	0.16	0.32	0.15
Cheek	MHz	2462	11				
Right	802.11 b	2412	1				
Head	2450	2437	6	16.8	0.01	0.06	0.03
15° Tilt	MHz	2462	11				
Left	802.11 b	2412	1				
Head	2450	2437	6	16.8	-0.07	0.21	0.12
Cheek	MHz	2462	11				
Left	802.11 b	2412	1				
Head	2450	2437	6	16.8	0.12	0.09	0.05
15° Tilt	MHz	2462	11				

Table 11.1-5 SAR results for WiFi/WLAN/802.11b head configuration model RFS121LW

Note: Only the highest output power channel was tested

RISCVRDIIV			nnce Test Report for the Blac Model RFR101LW Rev 3	Page 61 (73)	
Author Data	Dates of Test		Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC
Andrew Becker	Mar 04 – May 30, 2013		RTS-6036-1305-06B Rev 3	L6ARFR100LW	2503A-RFR100LW
	Mar 31 –	Apr 01, 2014			

				Cond.	M	leasured SAR (W	/kg)
Test Position	Mode	f (MHz)	Channel	Output Power (dBm)	Power Drift (dB)	Averaged over 1 g	Averaged over 10 g
Right	Bluetooth	2402	0				
Head	2450	2441	39	10.5	0.02	0.03	0.02
Cheek	MHz	2480	78				
Right	Bluetooth	2402	0				
Head	2450	2441	39	10.5	-0.08	0.00	0.00
15° Tilt	MHz	2480	78				
Left	Bluetooth	2402	0				
Head	2450	2441	39	10.5	0.19	0.02	0.01
Cheek	MHz	2480	78				

Table 11.1-6 SAR results for Bluetooth head configuration model RFS121LW

Note: Only the highest output power channel was tested

							Conducted	SAR	, averageo	d over 1 g
Test Position	Mode	f (MHz)	Channel	Modulation	# of Resource Blocks	RB Offset	Output Power (dBm)	Measured (W/kg)	Power Drift (dB)	*Extrapolated (W/kg)
Right	LTE	709	23780	QPSK	1	0	22.9	0.14	0.09	0.14
Head Cheek	Band 17	710	23790	QPSK	25	0	21.8	0.10	0.01	0.10
Right Head 15° Tilt	LTE Band 17	709	23780	QPSK	1	0	22.9	0.07	0.07	0.07
Left	LTE	709	23780	QPSK	1	0	22.9	0.17	-0.03	0.17
Head Cheek	Band 17	710	23790	QPSK	25	0	21.8	0.12	0.07	0.12
Left Head 15° Tilt	LTE Band 17	709	23780	QPSK	1	0	22.9	0.10	0.04	0.10

Table 11.1-7 SAR results for LTE Band 17 (10MHz BW) head configuration model RFR101LW

- **Note 1:** Only required to test the configuration (channel and offset) yielding the highest conducted power for RB 1 and RB 50% when combined 1g avg. SAR <0.8 W/Kg or 3dB lower than the limit for both cases. Also, when the highest conducted power for RB 1 and RB 50% are both greater than RB 100%, then SAR testing for RB 100% can be excluded.
- **Note 2:** If 1g avg. SAR >0.8 W/Kg or not at least 3dB lower than the limit, than the remaining channels for that RB number must be tested and one additional scan must be done with RB 100%. For all additional scans the highest conducted power configuration (channel and offset) must be used.
- **Note 3:** For LTE if SAR > 1.45, then SAR tests for the smaller bandwidths are required
- Note 4: Tested only the highest bandwidth since conducted power on other bandwidths is about the same.
- Note 5: Did not test 16 QAM as conducted power was lower than QPSK.

∷ BlackB	Berry		ance Test Report for the Blac Model RFR101LW Rev 3	Page 62(73)	
Author Data	Dates of Test		Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC
Andrew Becker Mar 04 – May 30, 2013		RTS-6036-1305-06B Rev 3	L6ARFR100LW	2503A-RFR100LW	
	Mar 31 –	Apr 01, 2014			

							Conducted	SAI	R, averaged	over 1 g
Test Position	Mode	f (MHz)	Channel	Modulation	# of Resource Blocks RB Offset		Output Power (dBm)	Measured (W/kg)	Power Drift (dB)	*Extrapolated (W/kg)
Right	LTE	829.0	20450	QPSK	1	49	23.4	0.30	-0.14	0.30
Head Cheek	Band 5	836.5	20525	QPSK	25	0	22.2	0.22	-0.01	0.22
Right Head 15° Tilt	LTE Band 5	829.0	20450	QPSK	1	49	23.4	0.17	0.06	0.17
Left	LTE	829.0	20450	QPSK	1	49	23.4	0.31	0.06	0.31
Head Cheek	Band 5	836.5	20525	QPSK	25	0	22.2	0.22	0.12	0.22
Left Head 15° Tilt	LTE Band 5	836.5	20525	QPSK	1	0	23.4	0.18	0.07	0.18

Table~11.1-8~SAR~results~for~LTE~Band~5~(10MHz~BW)~head~configuration~model~RFR101LW

					# of		Conducted	SAR, av	eraged ov	ver 1 g	
Test Position	Mode	f (MHz)	Channel	Modulation	Resource Blocks	RB Offset	Output Power (dBm)	Measured (W/kg)	Power Drift (dB)	*Extrap olated (W/kg)	Scan Type
Right	LTE	1720.0	20050	QPSK	1	99	22.8	0.71	0.00	0.71	
Head Cheek	Band 4	1732.5	20175	QPSK	50	0	21.6	0.57	0.07	0.57	
Right Head 15° Tilt	LTE Band 4	1720.0	20050	QPSK	1	99	22.8	0.19	0.04	0.19	
		1720.0	20050	QPSK	1	99	22.8	0.82	-0.14	0.82	
Left		1732.5	20175	QPSK	1	0	22.7	0.81	0.09	0.81	
Head	LTE Band 4	1745.0	20300	QPSK	1	99	22.7	1.04	0.05	1.04	
Cheek	Dana 4	1745.0	20300	QPSK	1	99	2 nd Scan	1.08	0.03	1.08	2 nd Scan
		1732.5	20175	QPSK	50	0	21.6	0.64	0.12	0.64	
Left Head 15° Tilt	LTE Band 4	1720.0	20050	QPSK	1	99	22.8	0.30	-0.02	0.30	

Table~11.1-9~SAR~results~for~LTE~Band~4~(20MHz~BW)~head~configuration~model~RFR101LW

≅ BlackB	Berry	-	ance Test Report for the Blac Model RFR101LW Rev 3	Page 63(73)	
Author Data	Dates of Test	Test Report No FCC ID:		FCC ID:	IC
Andrew Becker Mar 04 – May 30, 2013		RTS-6036-1305-06B Rev 3	L6ARFR100LW	2503A-RFR100LW	
	Mar 31 –	Apr 01, 2014			

				G 1	SAR	, averaged	l over 1 g
Test Position	Mode	f (MHz)	Channel	Cond. Output Power (dBm)	Measured (W/kg)	Power Drift (dB)	*Extrapolated (W/kg)
Right	WCDMA	1712.4	1312	23.0	0.69	0.03	0.69
Head	FDD IV	1732.6	1413	23.2	0.82	0.02	0.82
Cheek	1700 MHz	1752.6	1513	23.0	0.88	-0.04	0.88
Right	WCDMA	1712.4	1312				
Head	FDD IV	1732.6	1413	23.2	0.25	0.05	0.25
15° Tilt	1700 MHz	1752.6	1513				
Left	WCDMA	1712.4	1312	23.0	0.86	-0.07	0.86
Head	FDD IV	1732.6	1413	23.2	1.08	-0.08	1.08
Cheek	1700 MHz	1752.6	1513	23.0	1.12	-0.04	1.12
Left	WCDMA	1712.4	1312				
Head	FDD IV	1732.6	1413	23.2	0.32	-0.15	0.32
15° Tilt	1700 MHz	1752.6	1513				

Table 11.1-10 SAR results for WCDMA FDD IV head configuration model RFR101LW

							Conducted	SAR, av	veraged o	ver 1 g	
Test Position	Mode	f (MHz)	Channel	Modulation	# of Resource Blocks	RB Offset	Output Power (dBm)	Measured (W/kg)	Power Drift (dB)	*Extrap olated (W/kg)	Scan Type
		1860	18700	QPSK	1	0	22.8	1.08	-0.03	1.08	
Right	LTE	1880	18900	QPSK	1	99	22.8	1.16	-0.17	1.16	
Head	Band 2	1900	19100	QPSK	1	0	22.8	1.19	-0.05	1.19	
Cheek	Dana 2	1880	18900	QPSK	50	50	21.7	0.93	0.02	0.93	
		1880	18900	QPSK	100	0	21.7	0.97	0.01	0.97	
Right Head 15° Tilt	LTE Band 2	1880	18900	QPSK	1	99	22.8	0.28	-0.12	0.28	
		1860	18700	QPSK	1	0	22.8	1.25	0.05	1.25	
		1880	18900	QPSK	1	99	22.8	1.37	0.05	1.37	
Left	LTE	1880	18900	QPSK	1	99	22.8	1.38	0.05	1.38	2 nd Scan
Head Cheek	Band 2	1900	19100	QPSK	1	0	22.8	1.32	-0.03	1.32	
Check		1880	18900	QPSK	50	50	21.7	1.18	0.02	1.18	
		1880	18900	QPSK	100	0	21.7	1.22	0.05	1.22	
Left Head 15° Tilt	LTE Band 2	1880	18900	QPSK	1	99	22.8	0.46	-0.07	0.46	

Table 11.1-11 SAR results for LTE Band 2 (20MHz BW) head configuration model RFR101LW

≅ BlackB	Berry		ance Test Report for the Blac Model RFR101LW Rev 3	Page 64(73)	
Author Data	Dates of Test		Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC
Andrew Becker Mar 04 – May 30, 2013		RTS-6036-1305-06B Rev 3	L6ARFR100LW	2503A-RFR100LW	
	Mar 31 –	Apr 01, 2014			

					SAR	, average	d over 1 g
Test Position	Mode	f (MHz)	Channel	Cond. Output Power (dBm	Measured (W/kg)	Power Drift (dB)	*Extrapolated (W/kg)
Right	2-slots	1850.2	512				
Head	DTM	1880.0	661	27.9	0.59	-0.14	0.59
Cheek	1900 MHz	1909.8	810				
Left	2-slots	1850.2	512				
Head	DTM	1880.0	661	27.9	0.61	0.17	0.61
Cheek	1900 MHz	1909.8	810				

Table 11.1-12 SAR results for GSM/DTM 1900 head configuration model RFR101LW

				Cond.	SAR,	averaged	over 1 g	
Test Position	Mode	f (MHz)	Channel	Output Power (dBm)	Measured (W/kg)	Power Drift (dB)	*Extrapolated (W/kg)	Scan Type
		1852.4	9262	22.6	1.22	0.01	1.22	
Left	WCDMA	1880.0	9400	22.4	1.28	-0.08	1.28	
Head Cheek	FDD II 1900 MHz	1880.0	9400	22.4	1.29	0.00	1.29	2 nd scan
		1907.6	9538	22.5	1.22	0.00	1.22	

Table 11.1-13 SAR results for WCDMA II head configuration model RFR101LW

≅ BlackB	Berry		ance Test Report for the Blac Model RFR101LW Rev 3	Page 65 (73)	
Author Data	Dates of Test		Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC
Andrew Becker Mar 04 – May 30, 2013		RTS-6036-1305-06B Rev 3	L6ARFR100LW	2503A-RFR100LW	
	Mar 31 –	Apr 01, 2014			

11.2 SAR measurement results at highest power measured against the body using accessories

				Spacing		Conducted	SAR,	averaged o	ver 1 g	
Mode	f (MHz)	Channel	Test Position	(cm)/ Holster	Side	Output Power (dBm)	Measured (W/kg)	Power Drift (dB)	*Extrapola ted (W/kg)	Scan Type
2-slots GPRS 850 MHz	836.8	190		1.0	Back	31.6	0.78	0.28	0.78	
	824.2	128		1.0	Back	30.5	1.14	-0.02	1.14	
	824.2	128		1.0	Back	30.5	1.15	-0.01	1.15	2 nd scan
	836.8	190		1.0	Back	30.3	0.96	0.09	0.96	
	848.8	251		1.0	Back	30.3	0.85	-0.04	0.85	
2 alota	824.2	128	Body Hotspot Mode	1.0	Front	30.5	0.87	0.00	0.87	
3-slots GPRS	836.8	190		1.0	Front	30.3	0.92	0.08	0.92	
850 MHz	848.8	251		1.0	Front	30.3	0.84	0.01	0.84	
	824.2	128		1.0	Left	30.5	0.54	-0.02	0.54	
	824.2	128		1.0	Right	30.5	0.58	-0.01	0.58	
	824.2	128		1.0	Bottom	30.5	0.12	-0.03	0.12	
	824.2	128		1.0	Back+HS	30.5	0.84	0.04	0.84	
4-slots GPRS 850 MHz	836.8	190		1.0	Back	28.2	0.70	-0.08	0.70	
	836.8	190		1.5	Back	30.3	0.71	0.02	0.71	
	824.2	128		Holster	Back	30.5	0.81	0.01	0.81	
3-slots GPRS	836.8	190	Body- worn	Holster	Back	30.3	0.81	-0.06	0.81	
850 MHz	836.8	190		Holster	Back	30.3	0.85	0.23	0.85	2 nd scan
OOU MINZ	848.8	251		Holster	Back	30.3	0.62	-0.01	0.62	
	836.8	190		Holster	Front	30.3	0.68	-0.09	0.68	

Table 11.2-1 SAR results for EDGE/EGPRS 850 body-worn and Hotspot configurations model RFS121LW

Note 1: If the power drift is ≤ -0.200 dB, the extrapolated SAR is calculated using the formula:

Extrapolated SAR = (Measured SAR) $*10^{\circ}$ (|Power Drift (dB)| / 10)

Note 2: Only Middle channel was tested when 1g Average SAR <0.8 W/Kg or 3dB lower than the limit.

Note 3: Device was tested with 15 mm BB recommended separation distance to allow typical after-market holster to be used. BB body-worn holsters with belt-clip have been designed to maintain ~ 20 mm separation distance from body.

Note 4: For Hot Spot mode any side of the phone that is further than 2.5 cm away from the transmitting antenna can be exempted from testing.

≅ Black	Berry	_	SAR Compliance Test Report for the BlackBerry® Smartphone Model RFR101LW Rev 3				
Author Data	Dates of Test		Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC		
Andrew Becker	Mar 04 –	May 30, 2013	RTS-6036-1305-06B Rev 3	L6ARFR100LW	2503A-RFR100LW		
	Mar 31 –	Apr 01, 2014					

				Spacing		Conducted	SAR, a	veraged o	ver 1 g	
Mode	f (MHz)	Channel	Test Position	(cm)/ Holster	Side	Output Power (dBm)	Measured (W/kg)	Power Drift (dB)	*Extrapol ated (W/kg)	Scan Type
	826.4	4132		1.0	Back	23.9	0.76	-0.02	0.76	
	836.4	4182		1.0	Back	24.0	0.80	-0.02	0.80	
	836.4	4182		1.0	Back	24.0	0.83	-0.07	0.83	2 nd Scan
WCDMA	846.6	4233	Body	1.0	Back	23.8	0.73	-0.02	0.73	
FDD V	836.4	4182	Hotspot	1.0	Front	24.0	0.56	0.00	0.56	
850 MHz	836.4	4182	Mode	1.0	Left	24.0	0.44	-0.07	0.44	
	836.4	4182		1.0	Right	24.0	0.42	0.05	0.42	
	836.4	4182		1.0	Bottom	24.0	0.10	-0.02	0.10	
	836.4	4182		1.0	Back+HS	24.0	0.61	0.08	0.61	
WCDMA	836.4	4182	D 1	1.5	Back	24.0	0.63	-0.19	0.63	
FDD V	836.4	4182	Body- worn	Holster	Back	24.0	0.53	-0.10	0.53	
850 MHz	836.4	4182		Holster	Front	24.0	0.41	-0.01	0.41	

Table 11.2-2 SAR results for WCDMA FDD V body-worn and Hotspot configurations model RFS121LW

				Spacing		Conducted	SAR, a	veraged ov	er 1 g
Mode	f (MHz)	Channel	Test Position	(cm)/ Holster	Side	Output Power (dBm)	Measured (W/kg)	Power Drift (dB)	*Extrapol ated (W/kg)
	1880.0	661		1.0	Back	28.3	0.61	0.08	0.61
2 -1-4-	1880.0	661		1.0	Front	28.3	0.70	-0.12	0.70
2-slots GPRS	1880.0	661		1.0	Right	28.3	0.24	-0.11	0.24
1900MHz	1880.0	661		1.0	Left	28.3	0.24	-0.08	0.24
1900MHZ	1880.0	661	Dody	1.0	Bottom	28.3	0.44	0.02	0.44
	1880.0	661	Body	1.0	Back+HS	28.3	0.60	0.00	0.60
3-slots GPRS 1900MHz	1880.0	661	Hotspot Mode	1.0	Back	25.4	0.56	0.01	0.56
4-slots GPRS 1900MHz	1880.0	661		1.0	Back	24.8	0.52	0.03	0.52
2-slots	1880.0	661	-	1.5	Back	28.3	0.36	-0.05	0.36
GPRS	1880.0	661	Body- worn	Holster	Back	28.3	0.22	-0.14	0.22
1900 MHz	1880.0	661	WOIII	Holster	Front	28.3	0.27	0.10	0.27

Table 11.2-3 SAR results for GPRS/EDGE 1900 body-worn and Hotspot configurations model RFS121LW

Smartphone			ance Test Report for the Blac Model RFR101LW Rev 3	kBerry®	Page 67 (73)
Author Data	Dates of Test		Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC
Andrew Becker	Mar 04 –	May 30, 2013	RTS-6036-1305-06B Rev 3	L6ARFR100LW	2503A-RFR100LW
	Mar 31 –	Apr 01, 2014			

						Conducted	SAR, a	veraged o	ver 1 g	
Mode	f (MHz)	Channel	Test Position	Spacing (cm)/ Holster	Side	Output Power (dBm)	Measured (W/kg)	Power Drift (dB)	*Extrapol ated (W/kg)	Scan Type
	1852.4	9262		1.0	Back					
	1880.0	9400		1.0	Back	22.4	0.65	-0.08	0.65	
	1907.6	9538		1.0	Back					
WCDMA FDD II	1852.4	9262	Body	1.0	Front	22.6	0.93	0.01	0.93	
	1880.0	9400		1.0	Front	22.4	0.88	-0.02	0.88	
1900	1907.6	9538		1.0	Front	22.5	0.93	0.08	0.93	
MHz	1907.6	9538	Hotspot Mode	1.0	Front	22.5	0.92	-0.02	0.92	2 nd scan
WITIZ	1880.0	9400	Mode	1.0	Left	22.4	0.31	-0.03	0.31	
	1880.0	9400		1.0	Right	22.4	0.24	-0.10	0.24	
	1880.0	9400		1.0	Bottom	22.4	0.49	0.06	0.49	
	1880.0	9400		1.0	Back+HS	22.4	0.64	0.08	0.64	
WCDMA	1880.0	9400		1.5	Back	22.4	0.53	0.00	0.53	
FDD II	1880.0	9400	Body-	Holster	Back	22.4	0.32	-0.08	0.32	
1900 MHz	1880.0	9400	worn	Holster	Front	22.4	0.39	-0.04	0.39	

Table 11.2-4 SAR results for WCDMA FDD II body-worn and Hotspot configurations model RFS121LW

						Conducted	Me	easured SAR (W/kg)
Mode	f (MHz)	Channel	Test Position	Spacing (cm)/ Holster	Side	Output Power (dBm)	Power Drift (dB)	Averaged over 1 g	Averaged over 10 g
	2437	6		1.0	Back	16.8	0.07	0.27	0.14
802.11b/	2437	6	Body	1.0	Front	16.8	0.10	0.20	0.11
WLAN	2437	6		1.0	Right	16.8	0.08	0.13	0.07
2450	2437	6	Hotspot	1.0	Left	16.8	0.13	0.05	0.03
MHz	2437	6	Mode	1.0	Bottom	16.8	-0.01	0.10	0.06
	2437	6		1.0	Back+HS	16.8	0.02	0.28	0.15
802.11b/	2437	6		1.5	Back	16.8	-0.10	0.15	0.09
WLAN	2437	6	Body-	1.5	Back+HS	16.8	0.12	0.15	0.08
2450	2437	6	worn	Holster	Back	16.8	-0.03	0.08	0.05
MHz	2437	6		Holster	Front	16.8	0.10	0.06	0.03

Table 11.2-5 SAR results for WiFi/WLAN/802.11b body-worn and Hotspot configurations model RFS121LW

Note: Only the highest output power channel was tested

≅ BlackB	Berry		nnce Test Report for the Blac Model RFR101LW Rev 3	kBerry®	Page 68 (73)
Author Data	Dates of Test		Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC
Andrew Becker	Mar 04 –	May 30, 2013	RTS-6036-1305-06B Rev 3	L6ARFR100LW	2503A-RFR100LW
	Mar 31 –	Apr 01, 2014			

						Conducted	Me	easured SAR (W/kg)
Mode	f (MHz)	I Channel 1est (cm)/		` /	Side	Output Power (dBm)	Power Drift (dB)	Averaged over 1 g	Averaged over 10 g
Bluetooth 2450 MHz	2441	39	Body Hotspot Mode	1.0	Back	10.5	0.05	0.03	0.01
Bluetooth 2450 MHz	2441	39	Body- worn	1.5	Back	10.5	-0.05	0.01	0.01

Table 11.2-6 SAR results for Bluetooth body-worn and Hotspot configurations model RFS121LW

Note: Only the highest output power channel was tested

									Conducted	SAR, av	eraged ove	er 1 g
Mode	f (MHz)	Channel	Test Position	Spacing (cm)/ Holster	Side	Modulation	# of Resource Blocks	RB Offset	Output Power (dBm)	Measured (W/kg)	Power Drift (dB)	*Extrap olated (W/kg)
	709	23780		1.0	Back	QPSK	1	0	22.9	0.14	0.00	0.14
	710	23790	D 1	1.0	Back	QPSK	25	0	21.8	0.14	0.00	0.14
LTC	709	23780	Body	1.0	Front	QPSK	1	0	22.9	0.09	-0.03	0.09
LTE Band 17	709	23780	Uotanot	1.0	Left	QPSK	1	0	22.9	0.05	0.06	0.05
Ballu 17	709	23780	Hotspot Mode	1.0	Right	QPSK	1	0	22.9	0.03	0.01	0.03
	709	23780	Mode	1.0	Bottom	QPSK	1	0	22.9	0.02	0.08	0.02
	709	23780		1.0	Back+HS	QPSK	1	0	22.9	0.13	-0.03	0.13
	709	23780	Body-	1.5	Back	QPSK	1	0	22.9	0.16	-0.01	0.16
LTE Band 17	709	23780	worn	Holster	Back	QPSK	1	0	22.9	0.17	0.02	0.17
Danu 17	709	23780		Holster	Front	QPSK	1	0	22.9	0.12	0.01	0.12

Table 11.2-7 SAR results for LTE Band 17 (10MHz BW) body-worn and Hotspot configurations model RFR101LW

- **Note 1:** Only required to test the configuration (channel and offset) yielding the highest conducted power for RB 1 and RB 50% when combined 1g avg. SAR <0.8 W/Kg or 3dB lower than the limit for both cases. Also, when the highest conducted power for RB 1 and RB 50% are both greater than RB 100%, then SAR testing for RB 100% can be excluded.
- **Note 2:** If 1g avg. SAR >0.8 W/Kg or not at least 3dB lower than the limit, than the remaining channels for that RB number must be tested and one additional scan must be done with RB 100%. For all additional scans the highest conducted power configuration (channel and offset) must be used.
- Note 3: For LTE if SAR > 1.45, then SAR tests for the smaller bandwidths are required
- Note 4: Tested only the highest bandwidth since conducted power on other bandwidths is about the same.
- Note 5: Did not test 16 QAM as conducted power was lower than QPSK.

≅ BlackB	Berry	-	ance Test Report for the Blac Model RFR101LW Rev 3	kBerry®	Page 69 (73)
Author Data	Dates of Test		Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC
Andrew Becker	Mar 04 –	May 30, 2013	RTS-6036-1305-06B Rev 3	L6ARFR100LW	2503A-RFR100LW
	Mar 31 –	Apr 01, 2014			

									Conducted	SAR, a	veraged ove	r 1 g
Mode	f (MHz)	Channel	Test Position	Spacing (cm)/ Side Holster	Side	Modulation	# of Resource Blocks	RB Offset	Output Power (dBm)	Measured (W/kg)	Power Drift (dB)	*Extrap olated (W/kg)
	829.0	20450		1.0	Back	QPSK	1	49	23.4	0.47	0.02	0.47
	836.5	20525	D - J	1.0	Back	QPSK	25	0	22.2	0.33	-0.10	0.33
LTE	829.0	20450	Body	1.0	Front	QPSK	1	49	23.4	0.39	-0.13	0.39
Band	829.0	20450	Hotenot	1.0	Left	QPSK	1	49	23.4	0.22	-0.04	0.22
5	829.0	20450	Hotspot Mode	1.0	Right	QPSK	1	49	23.4	0.28	-0.01	0.28
	829.0	20450	Mode	1.0	Bottom	QPSK	1	49	23.4	0.05	0.10	0.05
	829.0	20450		1.0	Back+HS	QPSK	1	49	23.4	0.45	-0.16	0.45
LTE	829.0	20450	Body-	1.5	Back	QPSK	1	49	23.4	0.34	0.02	0.34
Band	829.0	20450	worn	Holster	Front	QPSK	1	49	23.4	0.24	0.06	0.24
5	829.0	20450		Holster	Back	QPSK	1	49	23.4	0.23	0.07	0.23

Table 11.2-8 SAR results for LTE Band 5 (10MHz BW) body-worn and Hotspot configurations model RFR101LW

				Spacing			# of		Conducted	SAR, a	veraged ov	ver 1 g
Mode	(MHz) Chan	Channel	Test Position	(cm)/ Holster	Side	Modulati on	Resource Blocks	RB Offset	Output Power (dBm)	Measured (W/kg)	Power Drift (dB)	*Extrapol ated (W/kg)
	1720.0	20050		1.0	Back	QPSK	1	99	19.7	0.46	0.06	0.46
	1732.5	20175		1.0	Back	QPSK	1	0				
	1745.0	20300	Dody	1.0	Back	QPSK	1	99				
LTE	LTE 1732.5 1732.5	20175	Body	1.0	Back	QPSK	50	0	19.6	0.47	0.02	0.47
Band 4		20175	Hotspot	1.0	Front	QPSK	50	0	19.6	0.44	0.07	0.44
Dana 4	1732.5	20175	Mode	1.0	Left	QPSK	50	0	19.6	0.13	-0.02	0.13
	1732.5	20175	Wiode	1.0	Right	QPSK	50	0	19.6	0.06	0.05	0.06
	1732.5	20175		1.0	Bottom	QPSK	50	0	19.6	0.23	0.19	0.23
	1732.5	20175		1.0	Back+HS	QPSK	50	0	19.6	0.41	-0.17	0.41
	1720.0	20050		1.5	Back	QPSK	1	99	22.8	0.44	-0.04	0.44
LTE	1732.5	20175	Body- worn	1.5	Back	QPSK	50	0	21.6	0.35	-0.11	0.35
Band 4	1720.0	20050		Holster	Back	QPSK	1	99	22.8	0.28	0.01	0.28
	1720.0	20050		Holster	Front	QPSK	1	99	22.8	0.35	0.01	0.35

Table 11.2-9 SAR results for LTE Band 4 (20MHz BW) body-worn and Hotspot configurations model RFR101LW

≅ Black	Berry		ance Test Report for the Blac Model RFR101LW Rev 3	ekBerry®	Page 70 (73)
Author Data	Dates of Test		Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC
Andrew Becker	ecker Mar 04 – May 30, 2013		RTS-6036-1305-06B Rev 3	L6ARFR100LW	2503A-RFR100LW
	Mar 31 –	Apr 01, 2014			

			Test Position			Conducted	SAR, a	SAR, averaged over 1 g		
Mode	f (MHz)	Channel		Spacing (cm)/ Holster	Side	Output Power (dBm)	Measured (W/kg)	Power Drift (dB)	*Extrapol ated (W/kg)	
	1732.6	1413		1.0	Back	20.1	0.52	-0.04	0.52	
WCDMA	1732.6	1413	Body Hotspot Mode	1.0	Front	20.1	0.52	0.01	0.52	
WCDMA FDD IV	1732.6	1413		1.0	Left	20.1	0.15	0.12	0.15	
1700 MHz	1732.6	1413		1.0	Right	20.1	0.07	0.08	0.07	
1700 WIIIZ	1732.6	1413	Mode	1.0	Bottom	20.1	0.28	0.13	0.28	
	1732.6	1413		1.0	Front+HS	20.1	0.51	-0.02	0.51	
WCDMA	1732.6	1413	D 1	1.5	Back	23.2	0.54	-0.02	0.54	
FDD IV 1700 MHz	1732.6	1413	Body- worn	Holster	Back	23.2	0.35	-0.11	0.35	
	1732.6	1413		Holster	Front	23.2	0.43	-0.04	0.43	

Table 11.2-10 SAR results for WCDMA FDD IV body-worn and Hotspot configurations model RFR101LW

									Conducted	SAR, a	veraged o	ver 1 g
Mode	f (MHz)	Channel	Test Position	Spacing (cm)/ Side Holster	Modulati on	# of Resource Blocks	RB Offset	Output Power (dBm)	Measured (W/kg)	Power Drift (dB)	*Extrapol ated (W/kg)	
	1880	18900		1.0	Back	QPSK	1	99	19.7	0.42	0.06	0.42
	1880	18900		1.0	Back	QPSK	50	50	19.6	0.43	0.12	0.43
	1880	18900	Body	1.0	Front	QPSK	50	50	19.7	0.51	0.02	0.51
LTE	1880	18900	•	1.0	Left	QPSK	50	50	19.7	0.17	0.08	0.17
Band 2	1880	18900	Hotspot	1.0	Right	QPSK	50	50	19.7	0.15	-0.01	0.15
	1880	18900	Mode	1.0	Bottom	QPSK	50	50	19.7	0.29	-0.04	0.29
	1880	18900		1.0	Back+HS	QPSK	50	50	19.7	0.43	0.02	0.43
	1880	18900		1.0	Front+HS	QPSK	50	50	19.7	0.52	0.03	0.52
	1880	18900		1.5	Back	QPSK	1	99	22.8	0.45	0.03	0.45
LTE	1880	18900	Body- worn	1.5	Back	QPSK	50	50	21.7	0.37	-0.01	0.37
LTE Band 2	1880	18900		1.5	Back	QPSK	1	99	22.8	0.59	0.01	0.59
Dailu 2	1880	18900		Holster	Back	QPSK	1	99	22.8	0.24	-0.10	0.24
	1880	18900		Holster	Front	QPSK	1	99	22.8	0.32	-0.14	0.32

Table 11.2-11 SAR results for LTE Band 2 (20 MHz BW) body-worn and Hotspot configurations model RFR101LW

≅ BlackB	Berry	-	SAR Compliance Test Report for the BlackBerry® Smartphone Model RFR101LW Rev 3				
Author Data	Dates of Test		Test Report No FCC ID:		IC		
Andrew Becker	ndrew Becker Mar 04 – May 30, 2013		RTS-6036-1305-06B Rev 3	L6ARFR100LW	2503A-RFR100LW		
	Mar 31 –	Apr 01, 2014					

			Test Position	Spacing		Conducted	SAR, a	veraged ov	ver 1 g
Mode	f (MHz)	Channel		(cm)/ Holster	Side	Output Power (dBm)	Measured (W/kg)	Power Drift (dB)	*Extrapol ated (W/kg)
	1880.0	661		1.0	Back	28.3	0.33	-0.14	0.33
2-slots	1880.0	661	Body	1.0	Front	28.3	0.37	-0.09	0.37
GPRS	1880.0	661	Hotspot Mode	1.0	Right				
1900MHz	1880.0	661		1.0	Left				
	1880.0	661		1.0	Back+HS				
2-slots	1880.0	661	ъ.,	1.5	Back	28.3	0.21	-0.01	0.21
GPRS	1880.0	661	Body- worn	Holster	Back				
1900 MHz	1880.0	661		Holster	Front				

Table 11.2-12 SAR results for GPRS/EDGE 1900 body-worn and Hotspot configurations model RFR101LW

			Test Position	Spacing (cm)/ Holster		Conducted	SAR, a	veraged o	ver 1 g
Mode	f (MHz)	Channel			Side	Output Power (dBm)	Measured (W/kg)	Power Drift (dB)	*Extrapol ated (W/kg)
WCDMA	1880.0	9400	Body	1.0	Back	20.7	0.65	0.00	0.65
FDD II 1900 MHz	1880.0	9400	Hotspot Mode	1.0	Front	20.7	0.79	0.06	0.79
WCDMA	1880.0	9400	ъ. і	1.5	Back	22.4	0.47	-0.02	0.47
FDD II 1900 MHz	1880.0	9400	Body- worn	Holster	Back				
	1880.0	9400		Holster	Front				

Table 11.2-13 SAR results for WCDMA FDD II body-worn and Hotspot configurations model RFR101LW

≅ BlackB	erry	SAR Complia Smartphone	Page 72(73)		
Author Data	Dates of Test		Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC
Andrew Becker	Mar 04 – May 30, 2013		RTS-6036-1305-06B Rev 3	L6ARFR100LW	2503A-RFR100LW
	Mar 31 –	Apr 01, 2014			

12.0 REFERENCES

- [1] IEEE 1528-2003: Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques.
- [2] EN 50360: 2001, Product standard to demonstrate the compliance of mobile phones with the basic restrictions related to human exposure to electromagnetic fields (300 MHz 3 GHz)
- [3] ICNIRP, International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (2009), Guidelines for limiting exposure in time-varying electric, magnetic, and electromagnetic fields (up to 300 GHz).
- [4] Council Recommendation 1999/519/EC of July 1999 on the limitation of exposure of the general public to electromagnetic fields (0 Hz to 300 GHz)
- [5] IEEE C95.3-2002, IEEE Recommended Practice for the Measurement of Potentially Hazardous Electromagnetic Fields RF and Microwave.
- [6] IEEE C95.1-2005, IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz.
- [7] FCC 96-326, Guidelines for Evaluating the Environmental Effects of Radio-Frequency Radiation.
- [8] DASY 5 DOSIMETRIC ASSESSMENT SYSTEM SOFTWARE MANUAL, Schmid & Partner Engineering AG.
- [9] Health Canada, Safety Code 6, 2009: Limits of Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields in the Frequency range from 3 kHz to 300 GHz.
- [10] RSS-102, issue 4-2010: Evaluation Procedure for Mobile and Portable Radio Transmitters with respect to Health Canada's Safety Code 6 for Exposure of Humans to Radio Frequency Fields.
- [11] IEC 62209-1, First Edition-2005: Human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices Human models, instrumentation, and procedures –Part 1: Procedure to determine the specific absorption rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz).
- [12] IEC 62209-2, Edition 1.0-2010: Human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and bodymount wireless communication devices Human Models, instrumentation, and procedures part 2 procedure to determine the specific absorption rate (SAR) for wireless communication devices used in close proximity to the human body (frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz).
- [13] IEC/EN 62311-2008: Assessment of electronic and electrical equipment related to human exposure restrictions for electromagnetic fields (0 Hz 300 GHz).
- [14] 3GPP TS 36.521-1 V10.0.0 (2011-12): Evolved Universal Terrestrial Radio Access (E-UTRA); User Equipment (UE) conformance specification; Radio transmission and reception; Part 1: Conformance testing
- [15] FCC OET SAR measurement 100 MHz to 6 GHz, KDB 865664 D01 v01, October 24, 2012.

≅ BlackB	erry		ance Test Report for the Blac Model RFR101LW Rev 3	Page 73(73)	
Author Data	Dates of Test		Test Report No	FCC ID:	IC
Andrew Becker	Mar 04 –	May 30, 2013	RTS-6036-1305-06B Rev 3	L6ARFR100LW	2503A-RFR100LW
	Mar 31 –	Apr 01, 2014			

- [16] FCC OET SAR Measurement Procedures for 802.11 a/b/g Transmitters, KDB 248227 D01 v01r02, May, 2007.
- [17] FCC OET SAR Evaluation Considerations for Handsets with Multiple Transmitters & Antennas, KDB 648474 D04 v01, October 24, 2012.
- [18] FCC OET SAR Test Reduction Procedure for GSM/GPRS/EDGE, KDB 941225 D03 vo1, December, 2008.
- [19] FCC OET SAR Test Procedure for Evaluating SAR for GSM/(E)GPRS Dual Transfer Mode, KDB 941225 D04 v01, January 27, 2010.
- [20] FCC OET RF Exposure Procedures for Mobile and Portable Devices, and Equipment Authorization Policies, KDB 447498 D01 v05, October 24, 2012.
- [21] FCC OET SAR Measurements Procedures for 3G Devices, KDB 941225 D01 v02, October, 2007.
- [22] FCC OET SAR Evaluation Procedure for Portable Devices with Wireless Router capability, KDB 941225 D06 Hot Spot SAR v01, April 04, 2011.
- [23] FCC OET SAR Evaluation Considerations for LTE Devices, KDB 941225 D05 v02, October 24, 2012.
- [24] FCC OET RF Exposure Compliance Reporting and Documentation Considerations, KDB 865664 D02 v01, October 24, 2012.
- [25] IEEE 1528-2011: Draft "Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques."
- [26] IEC 62209-1: 2011, Draft "Human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices Human models, instrumentation, and procedures –Part 1: Procedure to determine the specific absorption rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz