# FCC SAR EVALUATION REPORT

# In accordance with the requirements of FCC 47 CFR Part 2(2.1093), ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992 and IEEE Std 1528-2013

Product Name: PineTab

Trademark: ♦ PINE64

Model Name: PineTab Linux Tablet

Family Model: N/A

Report No.: S20060600405004

**FCC ID**: 2AWAG-PINETAB

#### **Prepared for**

Pine Store Limited
1906, 19/F., Ginza Plaza, 2A Sai Yeung Choi South Street,
Mongkok, Kowloon, Hong Kong.

#### Prepared by

Shenzhen NTEK Testing Technology Co., Ltd.

1/F, Building E, Fenda Science Park Sanwei, Xixiang, Bao'an District, Shenzhen, Guangdong, China

Tel.: +86-755-6115 6588 Fax.: +86-755-6115 6599

Website: http://www.ntek.org.cn



#### **TEST RESULT CERTIFICATION**

Applicant's name.....: Pine Store Limited

Address ...... Kowloon, Hong Kong. 1906, 19/F., Ginza Plaza, 2A Sai Yeung Choi South Street, Mongkok,

Manufacturer's Name.....: SHENZHEN NST INDUSTRY AND TRADE CO..LTD

3/F,Building1,Hongbang Technology Park,No.30,Cuibao

Road, Baolong Street, Longgang District, Shenzhen, China

**Product description** 

Product name.....: PineTab

Trademark .....: \$PINE64

Model Name ...... PineTab Linux Tablet

Family Model.....: N/A

FCC 47 CFR Part 2(2.1093)

Standards ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992

Published RF exposure KDB procedures

This device described above has been tested by Shenzhen NTEK. In accordance with the measurement methods and procedures specified in IEEE Std 1528-2013 and KDB 865664 D01. Testing has shown that this device is capable of compliance with localized specific absorption rate (SAR) specified in FCC 47 CFR Part 2(2.1093) and ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992. The test results in this report apply only to the tested sample of the stated device/equipment. Other similar device/equipment will not necessarily produce the same results due to production tolerance and measurement uncertainties.

This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of Shenzhen NTEK, this document may be altered or revised by Shenzhen NTEK, personal only, and shall be noted in the revision of the document.

#### **Date of Test**

Date of Issue ...... Jul. 21, 2020

Test Result ..... Pass

Prepared By (Test Engineer) (Cheng Jiawen)

Approved By (Lab Manager)



# % % Revision History % %

REV.	DESCRIPTION	ISSUED DATE	REMARK
Rev.1.0	Initial Test Report Release	Jul. 21, 2020	Cheng Jiawen





# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1.	Genera	al Information	6
	1.1.	RF exposure limits	6
	1.2.	Statement of Compliance	7
	1.3.	EUT Description	7
	1.4.	Test specification(s)	8
	1.5.	Ambient Condition	8
2.	SAR M	easurement System	9
	2.1.	SATIMO SAR Measurement Set-up Diagram	9
	2.2.	Robot	10
	2.3.	E-Field Probe	11
	2.3	3.1. E-Field Probe Calibration	11
	2.4.	SAM phantoms	12
	2.4	1.1. Technical Data	13
	2.5.	Device Holder	14
	2.6.	Test Equipment List	15
3.	SAR M	easurement Procedures	17
	3.1.	Power Reference	17
	3.2.	Area scan & Zoom scan	17
	3.3.	Description of interpolation/extrapolation scheme	19
	3.4.	Volumetric Scan	19
	3.5.	Power Drift	19
4.	Systen	n Verification Procedure	20
	4.1.	Tissue Verification	20
	4.1	L.1. Tissue Dielectric Parameter Check Results	21
	4.2.	System Verification Procedure	22
		2.1. System Verification Results	
5.	SAR M	easurement variability and uncertainty	24
	5.1.	SAR measurement variability	24
	5.2.	SAR measurement uncertainty	24
6.	RF Exp	osure Positions	25
	6.1.	PineTab host platform exposure conditions	25
7.	RF Out	tput Power	26
	7.1.	WLAN & Bluetooth Output Power	26
	7.1	L.1. Output Power Results Of WLAN	26
	7.1	L.2. Output Power Results Of Bluetooth	26
8.	Anten	na Location	27
9.	Stand-	alone SAR test exclusion	29
10.	SAR	Results	29
	10.1	. SAR measurement results	29



Page 5 of 57

Report No.: S20060600405004



#### 1. General Information

#### 1.1. RF exposure limits

(A).Limits for Occupational/Controlled Exposure (W/kg)

Whole-Body	Partial-Body	Hands, Wrists, Feet and Ankles
0.4	8.0	20.0

(B).Limits for General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure (W/kg)

Whole-Body	Partial-Body	Hands, Wrists, Feet and Ankles
0.08	1.6	4.0

NOTE: Whole-Body SAR is averaged over the entire body, partial-body SAR is averaged over any 1 gram of tissue defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube. SAR for hands, wrists, feet and ankles is averaged over any 10 grams of tissue defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube.

#### **Occupational/Controlled Environments:**

Are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by people who are aware of the potential for exposure, (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation).

### **General Population/Uncontrolled Environments:**

Are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their exposure.

NOTE
TRUNK LIMIT
1.6 W/kg
APPLIED TO THIS EUT



#### 1.2. Statement of Compliance

The maximum results of Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) found during testing for PineTab Linux Tablet are as follows.

	Max Reported SAR Value(W/kg)	
Band	1-g Body	
	(Separation distance of 0mm)	
WLAN 2.4G	0.262	

Note: This device is in compliance with Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for general population / uncontrolled exposure limits (1.6 W/kg) specified in FCC 47 CFR Part 2(2.1093) and ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992, and had been tested in accordance with the measurement methods and procedures specified in IEEE Std 1528-2013 & KDB 865664 D01.

#### 1.3. EUT Description

Device Information					
Product Name	PineTab				
Trade Name	<b>♦</b> PINE64				
Model Name	PineTab Linux Tablet				
Family Model	N/A				
FCC ID	2AWAG-PINETAB				
Device Phase	Identical Prototype				
Exposure Category	General population / Uncontrolled environment				
Antenna Type	FPC Antenna				
Battery Information	DC 3.8V, 6000mAh, 22.8Wh				
Device Operating Configurations					
Supporting Mode(s)	WLAN 2.4G, Bluetooth				
Test Modulation	WLAN(DSSS/OFDM), Blue	etooth(GFSK, π/4-D	QPSK, 8DPSK)		
	Band	Tx (MHz)	Rx (MHz)		
Operating Frequency Range(s)	WLAN 2.4G	N 2.4G 2412-2462			
	Bluetooth 2402-2480				
Test Channels (low-mid-high) 1-3-6-9-11(WLAN 2.4G)					



1.4. Test specification(s)

FCC 47 CFR Part 2(2.1093)
ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992
IEEE Std 1528-2013
KDB 865664 D01 SAR measurement 100 MHz to 6 GHz
KDB 865664 D02 RF Exposure Reporting
KDB 447498 D01 General RF Exposure Guidance
KDB 248227 D01 802.11 Wi-Fi SAR
KDB 616217 D04 SAR for laptop and tablets


#### 1.5. Ambient Condition

Ambient temperature	20°C – 24°C		
Relative Humidity	30% – 70%		



## 2. SAR Measurement System

#### 2.1. SATIMO SAR Measurement Set-up Diagram



These measurements were performed with the automated near-field scanning system OPENSAR from SATIMO. The system is based on a high precision robot (working range: 901 mm), which positions the probes with a positional repeatability of better than ±0.03 mm. The SAR measurements were conducted with dosimetric probe (manufactured by SATIMO), designed in the classical triangular configuration and optimized for dosimetric evaluation.

The first step of the field measurement is the evaluation of the voltages induced on the probe by the device under test. Probe diode detectors are nonlinear. Below the diode compression point, the output voltage is proportional to the square of the applied E-field; above the diode compression point, it is linear to the applied E-field. The compression point depends on the diode, and a calibration procedure is necessary for each sensor of the probe.

The Keithley multimeter reads the voltage of each sensor and send these three values to the PC. The corresponding E field value is calculated using the probe calibration factors, which are stored in the working directory. This evaluation includes linearization of the diode characteristics. The field calculation is done separately for each sensor. Each component of the E field is displayed on the "Dipole Area Scan Interface" and the total E field is displayed on the "3D Interface"





#### 2.2. Robot

The SATIMO SAR system uses the high precision robots from KUKA. For the 6-axis controller system, the robot controller version (KUKA) from KUKA is used. The KUKA robot series have many features that are important for our application:



- High precision (repeatability ±0.03 mm)
- High reliability (industrial design)
- · Jerk-free straight movements
- · Low ELF interference (the closed metallic construction shields against motor control fields)

Report No.: S20060600405004

#### 2.3. E-Field Probe

This E-field detection probe is composed of three orthogonal dipoles linked to special Schottky diodes with low detection thresholds. The probe allows the measurement of electric fields in liquids such as the one defined in the IEEE and CENELEC standards.

For the measurements the Specific Dosimetric E-Field Probe SN 08/16 EPGO287 with following specifications is used



- Dynamic range: 0.01-100 W/kg

- Tip Diameter: 2.5 mm

- Distance between probe tip and sensor center: 1 mm

- Distance between sensor center and the inner phantom surface: 2 mm (repeatability better than ±1 mm).

Probe linearity: ±0.08 dBAxial isotropy: 0.06 dB

- Hemispherical Isotropy: 0.08 dB

- Calibration range: 650MHz to 5900MHz for head & body simulating liquid.

- Lower detection limit: 7mW/kg

Angle between probe axis (evaluation axis) and surface normal line: less than 30°.

#### 2.3.1. E-Field Probe Calibration

Each probe needs to be calibrated according to a dosimetric assessment procedure with accuracy better than  $\pm 10\%$ . The spherical isotropy shall be evaluated and within  $\pm 0.25$ dB. The sensitivity parameters (Norm X, Norm Y, and Norm Z), the diode compression parameter (DCP) and the conversion factor (Conv F) of the probe are tested. The calibration data can be referred to appendix D of this report.



Page 12 of 57

## 2.4. SAM phantoms

# Photo of SAM phantom SN 16/15 SAM119




The SAM phantom is used to measure the SAR relative to people exposed to electro-magnetic field radiated by mobile phones.





#### **Technical Data** 2.4.1.

Serial Number	Shell thickness	Filling volume	Dimensions	Positionner Material	Permittivity	Loss Tangent
SN 16/15 SAM119	2 mm ±0.2 mm	27 liters	Length:1000 mm Width:500 mm Height:200 mm	Gelcoat with fiberglass	3.4	0.02



Serial Number	Left Head(mm)		Right Head(mm)		Flat Part(mm)	
	2	2.02	2	2.08	1	2.09
	3	2.05	3	2.06	2	2.06
	4	2.07	4	2.07	3	2.08
	5	2.08	5	2.08	4	2.10
SN 16/15 SAM119	6	2.05	6	2.07	5	2.10
	7	2.05	7	2.05	6	2.07
	8	2.07	8	2.06	7	2.07
	9	2.08	9	2.06	-	-


The test, based on ultrasonic system, allows measuring the thickness with an accuracy of 10  $\mu m$ .



of 57 Report No.: S20060600405004

#### 2.5. Device Holder

The positioning system allows obtaining cheek and tilting position with a very good accuracy. In compliance with CENELEC, the tilt angle uncertainty is lower than 1 degree.



Serial Number Holder Material		Permittivity	Loss Tangent	
<b>SN 16/15 MSH100</b> Delrin		3.7	0.005	





2.6. Test Equipment List

This table gives a complete overview of the SAR measurement equipment.

Devices used during the test described are marked  $\, \boxtimes \,$ 

IV	/lanufacturer		Type/Model	Serial Number	Calibration		
		Equipment	Type/Model	Seriai Number	Last Cal.	Due Date	
	MVG	E FIELD PROBE	SSE2	SN 08/16 EPGO287	Dec. 27,	Dec. 26,	
	IVIVO	LTILLDTROBL	OOLZ	3N 00/10 LF GO207	2019	2020	
	MVG	750 MHz Dipole	SID750	SN 03/15 DIP	Apr. 19,	Apr. 18,	
	101 0	700 Will 2 Dipole	012700	0G750-355	2018	2021	
	MVG	835 MHz Dipole	SID835	SN 03/15 DIP	Apr. 19,	Apr. 18,	
	0		<b>0.2000</b>	0G835-347	2018	2021	
	MVG	900 MHz Dipole	SID900	SN 03/15 DIP	Apr. 19,	Apr. 18,	
		ooo iiii iz Dipolo	0.2000	0G900-348	2018	2021	
	MVG	1800 MHz Dipole	SID1800	SN 03/15 DIP	Apr. 19,	Apr. 18,	
		1000 Wii iz Bipolo	0.5.1000	1G800-349	2018	2021	
	MVG	1900 MHz Dipole	SID1900	SN 03/15 DIP	Apr. 19,	Apr. 18,	
	101 0	1000 WII IZ DIPOIC	0101000	1G900-350	2018	2021	
	MVG	2000 MHz Dipole	SID2000	SN 03/15 DIP	Apr. 19,	Apr. 18,	
	IVIVO	2000 WII IZ DIPOIC	0102000	2G000-351	2018	2021	
	MVG	2450 MHz Dipole	SID2450	SN 03/15 DIP	Apr. 19,	Apr. 18,	
	IVIVO	2430 WII IZ DIPOIE	31D2430	2G450-352	2018	2021	
	MVG	2600 MHz Dipole	SID2600	SN 03/15 DIP	Apr. 19,	Apr. 18,	
	IVIVO	2000 WII IZ DIPOIC	0102000	2G600-356	2018	2021	
	MVG	5000 MHz Dipole	SWG5500	SN 13/14 WGA 33	Apr. 19,	Apr. 18,	
	IVIVO	3000 WII IZ DIPOIC	0110000	014 10/14 440/4 00	2018	2021	
	MVG	Liquid	SCLMP	ON 04/45 OODO 70	NCR	NCR	
	101 0	measurement Kit	OOLIVII	SN 21/15 OCPG 72	NOIX	NOR	
$\boxtimes$	MVG	Power Amplifier	N.A	AMPLISAR_28/14_003	NCR	NCR	
	KEITHLEY	Millivoltmeter	2000	4072790	NCR	NCR	
		Universal radio			A OC	A 05	
	R&S	communication	CMU200	117858	Aug. 06,	Aug. 05,	
		tester			2019	2020	
		Wideband radio			Aug. 28,	Aug. 27,	
	R&S	communication	CMW500	103917	2019	2020	
		tester			2013	2020	
	HP	Nationals Assistan	07505	0440 104400	Aug. 06,	Aug. 05,	
	1 11	Network Analyzer	8753D	3410J01136	2019	2020	
	Agilent	PSG Analog	F00575	NN/54440440	Aug. 06,	Aug. 05,	
	Aglient	Signal Generator	E8257D	MY51110112	2019	2020	



		Tulativ (	Certificate #4298.01	go . o o. o		
	Agilent	Power meter	E4419B	MY45102538	Aug. 06, 2019	Aug. 05, 2020
	Agilent	Power sensor	E9301A	MY41495644	Aug. 06, 2019	Aug. 05, 2020
$\boxtimes$	Agilent	Power sensor	E9301A	US39212148	Aug. 06, 2019	Aug. 05, 2020
$\boxtimes$	MCLI/USA	Directional Coupler	CB11-20	0D2L51502	Aug. 06, 2019	Aug. 05, 2020

#### 3. SAR Measurement Procedures

The measurement procedures are as follows:

#### <Conducted power measurement>

- (a) For WWAN power measurement, use base station simulator to configure EUT WWAN transmission in conducted connection with RF cable, at maximum power in each supported wireless interface and frequency band.
- (b) Read the WWAN RF power level from the base station simulator.
- (c) For WLAN/Bluetooth power measurement, use engineering software to configure EUT WLAN/Bluetooth continuously transmission, at maximum RF power in each supported wireless interface and frequency band.
- (d) Connect EUT RF port through RF cable to the power meter, and measure WLAN/Bluetooth output power.

#### <SAR measurement>

- (a) Use base station simulator to configure EUT WWAN transmission in radiated connection, and engineering software to configure EUT WLAN/Bluetooth continuously transmission, at maximum RF power, in the highest power channel.
- (b) Place the EUT in the positions as Appendix A demonstrates.
- (c) Set scan area, grid size and other setting on the OPENSAR software.
- (d) Measure SAR results for the highest power channel on each testing position.
- (e) Find out the largest SAR result on these testing positions of each band.
- (f) Measure SAR results for other channels in worst SAR testing position if the reported SAR of highest power channel is larger than 0.8 W/kg.

According to the test standard, the recommended procedure for assessing the peak spatial-average SAR value consists of the following steps:

- (a) Power reference measurement
- (b) Area scan
- (c) Zoom scan
- (d) Power drift measurement

#### 3.1. Power Reference

The Power Reference Measurement and Power Drift Measurements are for monitoring the power drift of the device under test in the batch process. The minimum distance of probe sensors to surface determines the closest measurement point to phantom surface. This distance cannot be smaller than the distance of sensor calibration points to probe tip as defined in the probe properties.

#### 3.2. Area scan & Zoom scan

The area scan is a 2D scan to find the hot spot location on the DUT. The zoom scan is a 3D scan above the hot spot to calculate the 1g and 10g SAR value.



Measurement of the SAR distribution with a grid of 8 to 16 mm \* 8 to 16 mm and a constant distance to the inner surface of the phantom. Since the sensors cannot directly measure at the inner phantom surface, the values between the sensors and the inner phantom surface are extrapolated. With these values the area of the maximum SAR is calculated by an interpolation scheme. Around this point, a cube of 30 \* 30 \*30 mm or 32 \* 32 \* 32 mm is assessed by measuring 5 or 8 \* 5 or 8 \* 4 or 5 mm. With these data, the peak spatial-average SAR value can be calculated.

From the scanned SAR distribution, identify the position of the maximum SAR value, in addition identify the positions of any local maxima with SAR values within 2 dB of the maximum value that will not be within the zoom scan of other peaks; additional peaks shall be measured only when the primary peak is within 2 dB of the SAR compliance limit (e.g., 1 W/kg for 1,6 W/kg 1 g limit, or 1,26 W/kg for 2 W/kg, 10 g limit).

Area scan & Zoom scan scan parameters extracted from FCC KDB 865664 D01 SAR measurement 100 MHz to 6 GHz.

			≤ 3 GHz	> 3 GHz	
Maximum distance fro (geometric center of pr			5 ± 1 mm	$\frac{1}{2} \cdot \delta \cdot \ln(2) \pm 0.5 \text{ mm}$	
Maximum probe angle surface normal at the n			30° ± 1°	20° ± 1°	
			≤ 2 GHz: ≤ 15 mm 2 – 3 GHz: ≤ 12 mm	3 – 4 GHz: ≤ 12 mm 4 – 6 GHz: ≤ 10 mm	
Maximum area scan spatial resolution: $\Delta x_{Area}$ , $\Delta y_{Area}$			When the x or y dimension of the test device, in the measurement plane orientation, is smaller than the above the measurement resolution must be ≤ the corresponding x or y dimension of the test device with at least one measurement point on the test device.		
Maximum zoom scan s	Maximum zoom scan spatial resolution: $\Delta x_{Zoom}$ , $\Delta y_{Zoom}$			$3 - 4 \text{ GHz: } \le 5 \text{ mm}^*$ $4 - 6 \text{ GHz: } \le 4 \text{ mm}^*$	
	uniform	grid: Δz <sub>Zoom</sub> (n)	≤ 5 mm	$3 - 4 \text{ GHz: } \le 4 \text{ mm}$ $4 - 5 \text{ GHz: } \le 3 \text{ mm}$ $5 - 6 \text{ GHz: } \le 2 \text{ mm}$	
Maximum zoom scan spatial resolution, normal to phantom surface	graded	Δz <sub>Zoom</sub> (1): between 1 <sup>st</sup> two points closest to phantom surface	≤ 4 mm	3 – 4 GHz: ≤ 3 mm 4 – 5 GHz: ≤ 2.5 mm 5 – 6 GHz: ≤ 2 mm	
surface	grid $\Delta z_{Zoom}(n>1)$ : between subsequent points		$\leq 1.5 \cdot \Delta z_{Zoom}(n-1)$		
Minimum zoom scan volume	V V 7		≥ 30 mm	3 – 4 GHz: ≥ 28 mm 4 – 5 GHz: ≥ 25 mm 5 – 6 GHz: ≥ 22 mm	
			4		

Note:  $\delta$  is the penetration depth of a plane-wave at normal incidence to the tissue medium; see draft standard IEEE P1528-2011 for details.

<sup>\*</sup> When zoom scan is required and the <u>reported</u> SAR from the <u>area scan based 1-g SAR estimation</u> procedures of KDB 447498 is ≤ 1.4 W/kg, ≤ 8 mm, ≤ 7 mm and ≤ 5 mm zoom scan resolution may be applied, respectively, for 2 GHz to 3 GHz, 3 GHz to 4 GHz and 4 GHz to 6 GHz.

Page 19 of 57

Report No.: S20060600405004

#### 3.3. Description of interpolation/extrapolation scheme

The local SAR inside the phantom is measured using small dipole sensing elements inside a probe body. The probe tip must not be in contact with the phantom surface in order to minimise measurements errors, but the highest local SAR will occur at the surface of the phantom.

An extrapolation is using to determinate this highest local SAR values. The extrapolation is based on a fourth-order least-square polynomial fit of measured data. The local SAR value is then extrapolated from the liquid surface with a 1 mm step.

The measurements have to be performed over a limited time (due to the duration of the battery) so the step of measurement is high. It could vary between 5 and 8 mm. To obtain an accurate assessment of the maximum SAR averaged over 10 grams and 1 gram requires a very fine resolution in the three dimensional scanned data array.

#### 3.4. Volumetric Scan

The volumetric scan consists to a full 3D scan over a specific area. This 3D scan is useful form multi Tx SAR measurement. Indeed, it is possible with OpenSAR to add, point by point, several volumetric scan to calculate the SAR value of the combined measurement as it is define in the standard IEEE1528 and IEC62209.

#### 3.5. Power Drift

All SAR testing is under the EUT install full charged battery and transmit maximum output power. In OpenSAR measurement software, the power reference measurement and power drift measurement procedures are used for monitoring the power drift of EUT during SAR test. Both these procedures measure the field at a specified reference position before and after the SAR testing. The software will calculate the field difference in V/m. If the power drifts more than ±5%, the SAR will be retested.



## 4. System Verification Procedure

#### 4.1. Tissue Verification

The following tissue formulations are provided for reference only as some of the parameters have not been thoroughly verified. The composition of ingredients may be modified accordingly to achieve the desired target tissue parameters required for routine SAR evaluation.

Ingredients (% of weight)	Head Tissue									
Frequency Band (MHz)	750	835	900	1800	1900	2000	2450	2600	5200	5800
Water	34.40	34.40	34.40	55.36	55.36	57.87	57.87	57.87	65.53	65.53
NaCl	0.79	0.79	0.79	0.35	0.35	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.00	0.00
1,2-Propanediol	64.81	64.81	64.81	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Triton X-100	0.00	0.00	0.00	30.45	30.45	19.97	19.97	19.97	24.24	24.24
DGBE	0.00	0.00	0.00	13.84	13.84	22.00	22.00	22.00	10.23	10.23
Ingredients (% of weight)					Body	Tissue				
Frequency Band (MHz)	750	835	900	1800	1900	2000	2450	2600	5200	5800
Water	50.30	50.30	50.30	69.91	69.91	71.88	71.88	71.88	79.54	79.54
NaCl	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.13	0.13	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.00	0.00
1,2-Propanediol	49.10	49.10	49.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Triton X-100	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.99	9.99	19.97	19.97	19.97	11.24	11.24
DGBE	0.00	0.00	0.00	19.97	19.97	7.99	7.99	7.99	9.22	9.22

For SAR measurement of the field distribution inside the phantom, the phantom must be filled with homogeneous tissue simulating liquid to a depth of at least 15 cm. For head SAR testing, the liquid depth from the ear reference point (ERP) of the phantom to the liquid top surface is larger than 15 cm.







#### 4.1.1. Tissue Dielectric Parameter Check Results

The simulating liquids should be checked at the beginning of a series of SAR measurements to determine of the dielectric parameter are within the tolerances of the specified target values. The measured conductivity and relative permittivity should be within ±5% of the target values.

T:	Measured	Target T	issue	Measure	d Tissue	I Sandal		
Tissue Type	Frequency (MHz)	εr (±5%)	σ (S/m) (±5%)	εr	σ (S/m)	Liquid Temp.	Test Date	
Body	2450	52.70	1.95	51.81	2.03	21.2 °C	Jul. 09, 2020	
2450	2450	(50.07~55.34)	(1.85~2.05)	31.01	2.03	21.2 0	Jul. 09, 2020	


NOTE: The dielectric parameters of the tissue-equivalent liquid should be measured under similar ambient conditions and within 2 °C of the conditions expected during the SAR evaluation to satisfy protocol requirements.



#### 4.2. System Verification Procedure

The system verification is performed for verifying the accuracy of the complete measurement system and performance of the software. The dipole is connected to the signal source consisting of signal generator and amplifier via a directional coupler, N-connector cable and adaption to SMA. It is fed with a power of 100mW (below 5GHz) or 100mW (above 5GHz). To adjust this power a power meter is used. The power sensor is connected to the cable before the system verification to measure the power at this point and do adjustments at the signal generator. At the outputs of the directional coupler both return loss as well as forward power are controlled during the system verification to make sure that emitted power at the dipole is kept constant. This can also be checked by the power drift measurement after the test (result on plot).

The system verification is shown as below picture:





4.2.1. System Verification Results

Comparing to the original SAR value provided by SATIMO, the verification data should be within its specification of ±10%. Below table shows the target SAR and measured SAR after normalized to 1W input power. The table below indicates the system performance verification can meet the variation criterion and the plots can be referred to Appendix B of this report.

System	Target SA	Measure (Normalize		Liquid		
Verification	1-g (W/Kg)	10-g (W/Kg)	1-g (W/Kg)	1-g 10-g		Test Date
2450MHz Body	52.90 (47.61~58.19)	24.09 (21.68~26.50)	52.31	25.43	21.2 °C	Jul. 09, 2020

## 5. SAR Measurement variability and uncertainty

#### 5.1. SAR measurement variability

Per KDB865664 D01 SAR measurement 100 MHz to 6 GHz, SAR measurement variability must be assessed for each frequency band, which is determined by the SAR probe calibration point and tissue-equivalent medium used for the device measurements. The additional measurements are repeated after the completion of all measurements requiring the same head or body tissue-equivalent medium in a frequency band. The test device should be returned to ambient conditions (normal room temperature) with the battery fully charged before it is re-mounted on the device holder for the repeated measurement(s) to minimize any unexpected variations in the repeated results.

- 1) Repeated measurement is not required when the original highest measured SAR is < 0.80 W/kg; steps 2) through 4) do not apply.
- 2) When the original highest measured SAR is ≥ 0.80 W/kg, repeat that measurement once.
- 3) Perform a second repeated measurement only if the ratio of largest to smallest SAR for the original and first repeated measurements is > 1.20 or when the original or repeated measurement is  $\ge 1.45$  W/kg ( $\sim 10\%$  from the 1-g SAR limit).
- 4) Perform a third repeated measurement only if the original, first or second repeated measurement is ≥1.5 W/kg and the ratio of largest to smallest SAR for the original, first and second repeated measurements is > 1.20.

#### 5.2. SAR measurement uncertainty

Per KDB865664 D01 SAR Measurement 100 MHz to 6 GHz, when the highest measured 1-g SAR within a frequency band is < 1.5 W/kg, the extensive SAR measurement uncertainty analysis described in IEEE Std 1528-2013 is not required in SAR reports submitted for equipment approval. The equivalent ratio (1.5/1.6) is applied to extremity and occupational exposure conditions.



## 6. RF Exposure Positions

#### 6.1. PineTab host platform exposure conditions

Refer to KDB616217 D04, when the modular approach is used, transmitters and modules must be initially tested for standalone operations in generic host conditions according to the following minimum test separation distance and antenna installation requirements for incorporation in the tablet platform. The separation distance required for incorporation in qualified hosts is described in KDB 447498; item 5) of section 4.1 and item 1) of section 5.2.2 etc.

- $\leq$  5 mm between the antenna and user for both back surface and edge exposure conditions
- the antennas used by the host must have been tested for equipment approval or qualify for SAR test
  exclusion
- the antenna polarization, physical orientation, rotation and installation configurations used by the host must have been tested for compliance or qualify for test exclusion
- when the SAR Test Exclusion Threshold in KDB 447498 applies, a test separation distance of 5 mm is required to determine test exclusion for the tablet platform

The antennas embedded in tablets are typically  $\leq 5$ mm from the outer housing. The required antenna to user test separation distance is a "not to exceed test" distance required to apply the modular approach. Instead of the typical zero gap tablet edge test requirement between the edge of a tablet and the user, when an antenna has been tested at  $\leq 5$  mm according to the modular approach it can be incorporated into tablets with at least twice the tested distance from the outer housing of the tablet edge; otherwise, the tablet edge zero gap test requirement applies. When the dedicated host approach is applied, the back surface and edges of the tablet should be tested for SAR compliance with the tablet touching the phantom.



Page 26 of 57

Report No.: S20060600405004

# 7. RF Output Power

# 7.1. WLAN & Bluetooth Output Power

#### **Output Power Results Of WLAN** 7.1.1.

Mode	Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Tune-up	Output Power (dBm)
	1	2412	14.00	13.62
802.11b	6	2437	14.00	13.90
	11	2462	14.00	13.98
	1	2412	14.00	12.87
802.11g	6	2437	14.00	13.61
	11	2462	14.00	13.21
000 44 -	1	2412	14.00	12.61
802.11n	6	2437	14.00	12.72
HT20	11	2462	14.00	13.95
000 11 =	3	2422	14.00	12.94
802.11n	6	2437	14.00	13.12
HT40	9	2452	14.00	13.63


#### 7.1.2. **Output Power Results Of Bluetooth**

		Output Po	ower (dBm)			
	Oharaal	T	Data Rates			
DD . EDD	Channel	Tune-up	1M	2M	3M	
BR+EDR	0CH	3.000	2.841	1.908	1.866	
	39CH	4.000	3.305	2.865	2.853	
	78CH	3.000	2.827	1.728	2.107	

	Channel	Tune-up	Output Power (dBm)
5.5	0CH	-5.000	-6.214
BLE	19CH	-5.000	-5.618
	39CH	-5.000	-6.207



#### 8. Antenna Location



Note: Since the confidentiality request of EUT, the antenna location example diagram see as above.

Distance of the Antenna to the EUT surface/edge								
Antennas	Antennas Front Side Back Side Left Side Right Side Top Side Bottom Side							
WLAN & Bluetooth	5mm	5mm	190mm	54mm	5mm	150mm		

Note: When the minimum separation distance is < 5 mm, a distance of 5 mm is applied to determine SAR test exclusion.



Positions for SAR tests Test separation distances ≤ 50 mm Tune-up Maximum power of WLAN 2.4G **Exposure Positions** 14dBm Antenna to user(mm) 5 7.9 Front Side SAR exclusion threshold SAR testing required? **YES** 5 Antenna to user(mm) **Back Side** SAR exclusion threshold 7.9 SAR testing required? **YES** Antenna to user(mm) 5 Top Side SAR exclusion threshold 7.9 YES SAR testing required?

NOTE: Refer to section 4.3.1 of KDB 447498 D01.

	Positions for SAR tests								
Test separation distances > 50 mm									
E D 37	Tune-up Maximum p	ower of WLAN 2.4G							
Exposure Positions	14dBm	25.12mW							
Left Side	Antenna to user(mm)	190							
	SAR exclusion threshold(mW)	1496							
	SAR testing required?	NO							
	Antenna to user(mm)	54							
Right Side	SAR exclusion threshold(mW)	136							
	SAR testing required?	NO							
	Antenna to user(mm)	150							
Bottom Side	SAR exclusion threshold(mW)	1096							
	SAR testing required?	NO							

NOTE: Refer to section 4.3.1 of KDB 447498 D01.

#### 9. Stand-alone SAR test exclusion

Refer to FCC KDB 447498D01, the 1-g SAR and 10-g SAR test exclusion thresholds for 100 MHz to 6 GHz at test separation distances ≤ 50 mm are determined by:

[(max. power of channel, including tune-up tolerance, mW)/(min. test separation distance, mm)]·[ $\sqrt{f_{(GHZ)}}$ ]  $\leq 3.0$  for 1-g SAR and  $\leq 7.5$  for 10-g extremity SAR, where:

- f<sub>(GHZ)</sub> is the RF channel transmit frequency in GHz
- · Power and distance are rounded to the nearest mW and mm before calculation
- The result is rounded to one decimal place for comparison

When the minimum test separation distance is < 5 mm, a distance of 5 mm is applied to determine SAR test exclusion.

Mode	P <sub>max</sub>	P <sub>max</sub>	Distance	f	Calculation	SAR Exclusion	SAR test
ivioue	(dBm)	(mW)	(mm)	(GHz)	Result	threshold	exclusion
Bluetooth	4.000	2.512	5	2.480	0.79	3.0	Yes

NOTE: Standalone SAR test exclusion for Bluetooth

#### 10. SAR Results

#### 10.1. SAR measurement results

#### 10.1.1. SAR measurement Result of WLAN 2.4G

Test Position of	Test channel	Test Mode	SAR '	Value ′kg)	Power Drift	Conducted	Tune-up	Scaled SAR 1g
Body with 0mm	/Freq.	rest Mode	1g	10g	(±5%)	power (dBm)	power (dBm)	(W/Kg)
Front Side	6/2437	802.11b	0.159	0.076	-0.58	13.90	14.00	0.163
Back Side	6/2437	802.11b	0.256	0.125	-3.48	13.90	14.00	0.262
Top Side	6/2437	802.11b	0.082	0.039	-4.76	13.90	14.00	0.084

NOTE: Body SAR test results of WLAN 2.4G

#### 10.2. Simultaneous Transmission Analysis

NO simultaneous transmissions are possible for this device of BLE and 2.4G Wi-Fi.



# 11. Appendix A. Photo documentation

Refer to appendix Test Setup photo---SAR

# 12. Appendix B. System Check Plots





Page 31 of 57

Report No.: S20060600405004


# **MEASUREMENT 1**

A. Experimental conditions.

<u>Area Scan</u>	dx=12mm dy=12mm, h= 5.00 mm			
<u>ZoomScan</u>	7x7x7,dx=5mm dy=5mm dz=5mm			
<u>Phantom</u>	Validation plane			
Device Position	<u>Dipole</u>			
Band	CW2450			
<u>Channels</u>	Middle			
Signal	CW (Crest factor: 1.0)			

**B. SAR Measurement Results** 

AIN MEdaulement Neadita	
Frequency (MHz)	2450.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	51.811421
Relative permittivity (imaginary part)	14.882631
Conductivity (S/m)	2.032663
Variation (%)	-3.390000



Maximum location: X=0.00, Y=1.00 SAR Peak: 8.14 W/kg

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	2.543375
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	5.231435



Z (mm)	0.00	4.00	9.00	14.00	19.00	24.00	29.00
SAR	8.0354	5.0184	2.6971	1.4806	0.8345	0.4688	0.2619
(W/Kg)							
	8.04-		111				
	7.00-	$\longrightarrow$			+		
	6.00-	$\rightarrow$					
		\					
	<						
	꾨 3.00-				<del>                                     </del>		
	2.00-			+++	++++		
	1.00-				+		
	0.16-				┿┷┷		
	0	0.02.55.07.5	12.5 17	.5 22.5 2	27.5 32.5	40.0	
				Z (mm)			








Table of contents						
MEASUREMENT 1 WLAN 2.4G Body						



Page 34 of 57

Report No.: S20060600405004


# **MEASUREMENT 1**


A. Experimental conditions.

Area Scan	dx=12mm dy=12mm, h= 5.00 mm				
<u>ZoomScan</u>	7x7x7,dx=5mm dy=5mm dz=5mm				
<u>Phantom</u>	Validation plane				
<u>Device Position</u>	Body				
<u>Band</u>	IEEE 802.11b ISM				
<u>Channels</u>	<u>Middle</u>				
<u>Signal</u>	IEEE802.11b (Crest factor: 1.0)				

**B. SAR Measurement Results** 

Frequency (MHz)	2437.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	51.871601
Relative permittivity (imaginary part)	14.835620
Conductivity (S/m)	2.008578
Variation (%)	-3.480000





**VOLUME SAR** 

Maximum location: X=-10.00, Y=-11.00


SAR Peak: 0.51 W/kg

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.124646
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.255700

Page 35 of 57

Report No.: S20060600405004

Z (m m)	0.00	4.00	6.00	8.00	10.0	12.0 0	14.0 0	16.0 0	18.0 0	20.0	22.0 0	24.0
SA	0.52	0.23 43	0.13 78	0.10	0.06	0.05	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02
R (W/	35	43	10	19	75	10	74	35	68	52	37	11
Kg)												
		0.5	$\overline{}$				+ +					
		0.4										
			N									
		( <b>%</b> / <b>k</b> €)		$\forall$								
		¥ 0.2	-	$\Lambda$								
				-   }								
		0.1										
		0.0	0 2	4 6	8 1	0 12	14 16	18 20	22 2	4 26		
							ım)					





14. Appendix D. Calibration Certificate

Table of contents	
E Field Probe - SN 08/16 EPGO287	
2450 MHz Dipole - SN 03/15 DIP 2G450-352	





# **COMOSAR E-Field Probe Calibration Report**

Ref: ACR.260.1.18.SATU.A

# SHENZHEN NTEK TESTING TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD.

BUILDING E, FENDA SCIENCE PARK, SANWEI COMMUNITY, XIXIANG STREET, BAO'AN DISTRICT, SHENZHEN GUANGDONG, CHINA MVG COMOSAR DOSIMETRIC E-FIELD PROBE

**SERIAL NO.: SN 08/16 EPGO287** 

Calibrated at MVG US 2105 Barrett Park Dr. - Kennesaw, GA 30144





Calibration Date: 12/27/2019

#### Summary:

This document presents the method and results from an accredited COMOSAR Dosimetric E-Field Probe calibration performed in MVG USA using the CALISAR / CALIBAIR test bench, for use with a COMOSAR system only. All calibration results are traceable to national metrology institutions.



Page 38 of 57

Report No.: S20060600405004



#### COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.260.1.18.SATU.A

	Name	Function	Date	Signature
Prepared by :	Jérôme LUC	Product Manager	12/27/2019	Jes
Checked by:	Jérôme LUC	Product Manager	12/27/2019	JES
Approved by :	Kim RUTKOWSKI	Quality Manager	12/27/2019	thim Putthowski

Customer Name

SHENZHEN NTEK
TESTING
TECHNOLOGY
CO., LTD.

Issue	Date	Modifications
A	12/27/2019	Initial release





#### COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.260.1.18.SATU.A

Report No.: S20060600405004

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	Dev	ice Under Test4	
2	Prod	auct Description	
	2.1	General Information	4
3		surement Method	
	3.1	Linearity	4
	3.2	Sensitivity	
	3.3	Lower Detection Limit	5
	3.4	Isotropy	5
	3.5	Boundary Effect	5
4	Mea	surement Uncertainty5	
5	Cali	bration Measurement Results	
	5.1	Sensitivity in air	6
	5.2	Linearity	7
	5.3	Sensitivity in liquid	
	5.4	Isotropy	8
6	List	of Equipment 10	





#### COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.260.1.18.SATU.A

#### DEVICE UNDER TEST

Device Under Test			
Device Type	COMOSAR DOSIMETRIC E FIELD PROBE		
Manufacturer	MVG		
Model	SSE2		
Serial Number	SN 08/16 EPGO287		
Product Condition (new / used)	Used		
Frequency Range of Probe	0.15 GHz-6GHz		
Resistance of Three Dipoles at Connector	Dipole 1: R1=0.209 MΩ		
	Dipole 2: R2=0.196 MΩ		
	Dipole 3: R3=0.197 MΩ		

A yearly calibration interval is recommended.

#### PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

#### 2.1 **GENERAL INFORMATION**

MVG's COMOSAR E field Probes are built in accordance to the IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C and CEI/IEC 62209 standards.



**Figure 1** – MVG COMOSAR Dosimetric E field Dipole

Probe Length	330 mm
Length of Individual Dipoles	2 mm
Maximum external diameter	8 mm
Probe Tip External Diameter	2.5 mm
Distance between dipoles / probe extremity	1 mm

#### MEASUREMENT METHOD

The IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C, CENELEC EN50361 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards provide recommended practices for the probe calibrations, including the performance characteristics of interest and methods by which to assess their affect. All calibrations / measurements performed meet the fore mentioned standards.

#### 3.1 LINEARITY

The evaluation of the linearity was done in free space using the waveguide, performing a power sweep to cover the SAR range 0.01W/kg to 100W/kg.

Page: 4/10





#### COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.260.1.18.SATU.A

#### 3.2 SENSITIVITY

The sensitivity factors of the three dipoles were determined using a two step calibration method (air and tissue simulating liquid) using waveguides as outlined in the standards.

#### 3.3 LOWER DETECTION LIMIT

The lower detection limit was assessed using the same measurement set up as used for the linearity measurement. The required lower detection limit is 10 mW/kg.

#### 3.4 ISOTROPY

The axial isotropy was evaluated by exposing the probe to a reference wave from a standard dipole with the dipole mounted under the flat phantom in the test configuration suggested for system validations and checks. The probe was rotated along its main axis from 0 - 360 degrees in 15 degree steps. The hemispherical isotropy is determined by inserting the probe in a thin plastic box filled with tissue-equivalent liquid, with the plastic box illuminated with the fields from a half wave dipole. The dipole is rotated about its axis  $(0^{\circ}-180^{\circ})$  in  $15^{\circ}$  increments. At each step the probe is rotated about its axis  $(0^{\circ}-360^{\circ})$ .

#### 3.5 BOUNDARY EFFECT

The boundary effect is defined as the deviation between the SAR measured data and the expected exponential decay in the liquid when the probe is oriented normal to the interface. To evaluate this effect, the liquid filled flat phantom is exposed to fields from either a reference dipole or waveguide. With the probe normal to the phantom surface, the peak spatial average SAR is measured and compared to the analytical value at the surface.

#### 4 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

The guidelines outlined in the IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C, CENELEC EN50361 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards were followed to generate the measurement uncertainty associated with an E-field probe calibration using the waveguide technique. All uncertainties listed below represent an expanded uncertainty expressed at approximately the 95% confidence level using a coverage factor of k=2, traceable to the Internationally Accepted Guides to Measurement Uncertainty.

Uncertainty analysis of the probe calibration in waveguide						
ERROR SOURCES	Uncertainty value (%)	Probability Distribution	Divisor	ci	Standard Uncertainty (%)	
Incident or forward power	3.00%	Rectangular	√3	1	1.732%	
Reflected power	3.00%	Rectangular	√3	1	1.732%	
Liquid conductivity	5.00%	Rectangular	√3	1	2.887%	
Liquid permittivity	4.00%	Rectangular	√3	1	2.309%	
Field homogeneity	3.00%	Rectangular	√3	1	1.732%	
Field probe positioning	5.00%	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	2.887%	
Field probe linearity	3.00%	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1.732%	
Combined standard uncertainty					5.831%	
Expanded uncertainty 95 % confidence level k = 2					12.0%	

Page: 5/10









#### COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.260.1.18.SATU.A

#### 5 CALIBRATION MEASUREMENT RESULTS

Calibration Parameters		
Liquid Temperature	21 °C	
Lab Temperature	21 °C	
Lab Humidity	45 %	


#### 5.1 <u>SENSITIVITY IN AIR</u>

Normx dipole 1 $(\mu V/(V/m)^2)$		
0.66	0.75	0.58

DCP dipole 1	DCP dipole 2	DCP dipole 3
(mV)	(mV)	(mV)
93	93	98

Calibration curves ei=f(V) (i=1,2,3) allow to obtain H-field value using the formula:

$$E = \sqrt{E_1^2 + E_2^2 + E_3^2}$$



Dipole 1 Dipole 2 Dipole 3

Page: 6/10






#### COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.260.1.18.SATU.A

#### 5.2 <u>LINEARITY</u>



Linearity:[]+/-1.89% (+/-0.08dB)

#### 5.3 SENSITIVITY IN LIQUID

Liquid	Frequency	Permittivity	Epsilon (S/m)	ConvF
	(MHz +/-			
	100MHz)			
HL750	750	40.03	0.93	1.45
BL750	750	56.83	1.00	1.49
HL850	835	42.19	0.90	1.50
BL850	835	54.67	1.01	1.56
HL900	900	42.08	1.01	1.51
HL1800	1800	41.68	1.46	1.71
BL1800	1800	53.86	1.46	1.77
HL1900	1900	38.45	1.45	2.03
BL1900	1900	53.32	1.56	2.07
HL2000	2000	38.26	1.38	1.76
HL2450	2450	37.50	1.80	2.00
BL2450	2450	53.22	1.89	2.08
HL2600	2600	39.80	1.99	2.12
BL2600	2600	52.52	2.23	2.19
HL5200	5200	35.64	4.67	2.55
BL5200	5200	48.64	5.51	2.62
HL5400	5400	36.44	4.87	2.53
BL5400	5400	46.52	5.77	2.59
HL5600	5600	36.66	5.17	2.64
BL5600	5600	46.79	5.77	2.73
HL5800	5800	35.31	5.31	2.72
BL5800	5800	47.04	6.10	2.81

LOWER DETECTION LIMIT: 7mW/kg



Page 44 of 57

Report No.: S20060600405004




#### COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.260.1.18.SATU.A

#### 5.4 <u>ISOTROPY</u>


#### HL900 MHz

- Axial isotropy: 0.04 dB- Hemispherical isotropy: 0.07 dB



#### **HL1800 MHz**

- Axial isotropy: 0.06 dB - Hemispherical isotropy: 0.08 dB





Page 45 of 57

Report No.: S20060600405004




#### COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.260.1.18.SATU.A


# **HL5600 MHz**

- Axial isotropy: 0.06 dB- Hemispherical isotropy: 0.08 dB











#### COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.260.1.18.SATU.A

## 6 LIST OF EQUIPMENT

Equipment Summary Sheet					
Equipment Description	· · · IIdentification No I			Next Calibration Date	
Flat Phantom	MVG	SN-20/09-SAM71	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.	
COMOSAR Test Bench	Version 3	NA	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.	
Network Analyzer	Rhode & Schwarz ZVA	SN100132	02/2019	02/2022	
Reference Probe	MVG	EP 94 SN 37/08	10/2019	10/2020	
Multimeter	Keithley 2000	1188656	01/2017	01/2020	
Signal Generator	Agilent E4438C	MY49070581	01/2017	01/2020	
Amplifier	Aethercomm	SN 046	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	
Power Meter	HP E4418A	US38261498	01/2017	01/2020	
Power Sensor	HP ECP-E26A	US37181460	01/2017	01/2020	
Directional Coupler	Narda 4216-20	01386	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	
Waveguide	Mega Industries	069Y7-158-13-712	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.	
Waveguide Transition	Mega Industries	069Y7-158-13-701		Validated. No cal required.	
Waveguide Termination	Mega Industries	069Y7-158-13-701		Validated. No cal required.	
Temperature / Humidity Sensor	Control Company	150798832	11/2017	11/2020	





# **SAR Reference Dipole Calibration Report**

Ref: ACR.109.7.18.SATU.A

# SHENZHEN NTEK TESTING TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD.

BUILDING E, FENDA SCIENCE PARK, SANWEI COMMUNITY, XIXIANG STREET, BAO'AN DISTRICT, SHENZHEN GUANGDONG, CHINA MVG COMOSAR REFERENCE DIPOLE

> FREQUENCY: 2450 MHZ SERIAL NO.: SN 03/15 DIP 2G450-352

Calibrated at MVG US 2105 Barrett Park Dr. - Kennesaw, GA 30144





Calibration Date: 04/19/2018

#### Summary:

This document presents the method and results from an accredited SAR reference dipole calibration performed in MVG USA using the COMOSAR test bench. All calibration results are traceable to national metrology institutions.



Page 48 of 57

Report No.: S20060600405004



#### SAR REFERENCE DIPOLE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.109.7.18.SATU.A


	Name	Function	Date	Signature
Prepared by :	Jérôme LUC	Product Manager	4/19/2018	JES
Checked by:	Jérôme LUC	Product Manager	4/19/2018	Jes
Approved by :	Kim RUTKOWSKI	Quality Manager	4/19/2018	Jum Putthowski

Customer Name

SHENZHEN NTEK
TESTING
TECHNOLOGY
CO., LTD.

Issue	Date	Modifications		
A	4/19/2018	Initial release		







Ref: ACR.109.7.18.SATU.A

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	Intro	oduction4	
2	Dev	rice Under Test4	
3	Proc	duct Description4	
	3.1	General Information	4
4	Mea	surement Method5	
	4.1	Return Loss Requirements	5
	4.2	Mechanical Requirements	
5	Mea	asurement Uncertainty5	
	5.1	Return Loss	5
	5.2	Dimension Measurement	
	5.3	Validation Measurement	
6	Cali	bration Measurement Results6	
	6.1	Return Loss and Impedance In Head Liquid	6
	6.2	Return Loss and Impedance In Body Liquid	6
	6.3	Mechanical Dimensions	6
7	Vali	idation measurement	
	7.1	Head Liquid Measurement	7
	7.2	SAR Measurement Result With Head Liquid	8
	7.3	Body Liquid Measurement	9
	7.4	SAR Measurement Result With Body Liquid	10
8	List	of Equipment 11	



#### SAR REFERENCE DIPOLE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.109.7.18.SATU.A

## INTRODUCTION

This document contains a summary of the requirements set forth by the IEEE 1528, FCC KDBs and CEI/IEC 62209 standards for reference dipoles used for SAR measurement system validations and the measurements that were performed to verify that the product complies with the fore mentioned standards.

#### 2 DEVICE UNDER TEST

Device Under Test					
Device Type	COMOSAR 2450 MHz REFERENCE DIPOLE				
Manufacturer	MVG				
Model	SID2450				
Serial Number SN 03/15 DIP 2G450-352					
Product Condition (new / used) Used					

A yearly calibration interval is recommended.

#### 3 PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

#### 3.1 **GENERAL INFORMATION**

MVG's COMOSAR Validation Dipoles are built in accordance to the IEEE 1528, FCC KDBs and CEI/IEC 62209 standards. The product is designed for use with the COMOSAR test bench only.



Figure 1 – MVG COMOSAR Validation Dipole

Page: 4/11







#### SAR REFERENCE DIPOLE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.109.7.18.SATU.A

#### MEASUREMENT METHOD

The IEEE 1528, FCC KDBs and CEI/IEC 62209 standards provide requirements for reference dipoles used for system validation measurements. The following measurements were performed to verify that the product complies with the fore mentioned standards.

#### 4.1 RETURN LOSS REQUIREMENTS

The dipole used for SAR system validation measurements and checks must have a return loss of -20 dB or better. The return loss measurement shall be performed against a liquid filled flat phantom, with the phantom constructed as outlined in the fore mentioned standards.

#### 4.2 MECHANICAL REQUIREMENTS

The IEEE Std. 1528 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards specify the mechanical components and dimensions of the validation dipoles, with the dimensions frequency and phantom shell thickness dependent. The COMOSAR test bench employs a 2 mm phantom shell thickness therefore the dipoles sold for use with the COMOSAR test bench comply with the requirements set forth for a 2 mm phantom shell thickness.

#### 5 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

All uncertainties listed below represent an expanded uncertainty expressed at approximately the 95% confidence level using a coverage factor of k=2, traceable to the Internationally Accepted Guides to Measurement Uncertainty.

#### 5.1 RETURN LOSS

The following uncertainties apply to the return loss measurement:

Frequency band	<b>Expanded Uncertainty on Return Loss</b>		
400-6000MHz	0.1 dB		

#### DIMENSION MEASUREMENT

The following uncertainties apply to the dimension measurements:

Length (mm)	Expanded Uncertainty on Length		
3 - 300	0.05 mm		

#### VALIDATION MEASUREMENT

The guidelines outlined in the IEEE 1528, FCC KDBs, CENELEC EN50361 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards were followed to generate the measurement uncertainty for validation measurements.

Scan Volume	Expanded Uncertainty		
1 g	20.3 %		

Page: 5/11










Ref: ACR.109.7.18.SATU.A

10 g	20.1 %


#### 6 CALIBRATION MEASUREMENT RESULTS

## 6.1 RETURN LOSS AND IMPEDANCE IN HEAD LIQUID



Frequency (MHz)	Return Loss (dB)	Requirement (dB)	Impedance
2450	-28.15	-20	$53.9 \Omega + 0.3 j\Omega$

#### 6.2 RETURN LOSS AND IMPEDANCE IN BODY LIQUID



Frequency (MHz)	Return Loss (dB)	Requirement (dB)	Impedance
2450	-22.99	-20	57.6 Ω - 0.8 iΩ

## 6.3 <u>MECHANICAL DIMENSIONS</u>

Frequency MHz	ncy MHz L mm h mm		d mm			
	required	measured	required	measured	required	measured
300	420.0 ±1 %.		250.0 ±1 %.		6.35 ±1 %.	

Page: 6/11







Ref: ACR.109.7.18.SATU.A

290.0 ±1 %.		166.7 ±1 %.		6.35 ±1 %.	
176.0 ±1 %.		100.0 ±1 %.		6.35 ±1 %.	
161.0 ±1 %.		89.8 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
149.0 ±1 %.		83.3 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
89.1 ±1 %.		51.7 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
80.5 ±1 %.		50.0 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
79.0 ±1 %.		45.7 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
75.2 ±1 %.		42.9 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
72.0 ±1 %.		41.7 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
68.0 ±1 %.		39.5 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
66.3 ±1 %.		38.5 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
64.5 ±1 %.		37.5 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
61.0 ±1 %.		35.7 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
55.5 ±1 %.		32.6 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
51.5 ±1 %.	PASS	30.4 ±1 %.	PASS	3.6 ±1 %.	PASS
48.5 ±1 %.		28.8 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
41.5 ±1 %.		25.0 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
37.0±1 %.		26.4 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
34.7±1 %.		26.4 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
	176.0 ±1 %.  161.0 ±1 %.  149.0 ±1 %.  89.1 ±1 %.  89.1 ±1 %.  79.0 ±1 %.  75.2 ±1 %.  68.0 ±1 %.  66.3 ±1 %.  61.0 ±1 %.  55.5 ±1 %.  51.5 ±1 %.  48.5 ±1 %.  41.5 ±1 %.  37.0±1 %.	176.0 ±1 %.  161.0 ±1 %.  149.0 ±1 %.  89.1 ±1 %.  80.5 ±1 %.  79.0 ±1 %.  75.2 ±1 %.  68.0 ±1 %.  66.3 ±1 %.  61.0 ±1 %.  55.5 ±1 %.  51.5 ±1 %.  PASS  48.5 ±1 %.  41.5 ±1 %.  37.0±1 %.	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	176.0 ±1 %.       100.0 ±1 %.         161.0 ±1 %.       89.8 ±1 %.         149.0 ±1 %.       83.3 ±1 %.         89.1 ±1 %.       51.7 ±1 %.         80.5 ±1 %.       50.0 ±1 %.         79.0 ±1 %.       45.7 ±1 %.         72.0 ±1 %.       41.7 ±1 %.         68.0 ±1 %.       39.5 ±1 %.         66.3 ±1 %.       37.5 ±1 %.         61.0 ±1 %.       35.7 ±1 %.         55.5 ±1 %.       32.6 ±1 %.         51.5 ±1 %.       28.8 ±1 %.         41.5 ±1 %.       25.0 ±1 %.         37.0±1 %.       26.4 ±1 %.	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

#### 7 VALIDATION MEASUREMENT


The IEEE Std. 1528, FCC KDBs and CEI/IEC 62209 standards state that the system validation measurements must be performed using a reference dipole meeting the fore mentioned return loss and mechanical dimension requirements. The validation measurement must be performed against a liquid filled flat phantom, with the phantom constructed as outlined in the fore mentioned standards. Per the standards, the dipole shall be positioned below the bottom of the phantom, with the dipole length centered and parallel to the longest dimension of the flat phantom, with the top surface of the dipole at the described distance from the bottom surface of the phantom.

#### 7.1 HEAD LIQUID MEASUREMENT

Frequency MHz	Relative permittivity $(\epsilon_{r}')$		Conductiv	ity (σ) S/m
	required	required measured		measured
300	45.3 ±5 %		0.87 ±5 %	
450	43.5 ±5 %		0.87 ±5 %	
750	41.9 ±5 %		0.89 ±5 %	
835	41.5 ±5 %		0.90 ±5 %	
900	41.5 ±5 %		0.97 ±5 %	
1450	40.5 ±5 %		1.20 ±5 %	
1500	40.4 ±5 %		1.23 ±5 %	
1640	40.2 ±5 %		1.31 ±5 %	
1750	40.1 ±5 %		1.37 ±5 %	

Page: 7/11







Ref: ACR.109.7.18.SATU.A

1800	40.0 ±5 %		1.40 ±5 %	
1900	40.0 ±5 %		1.40 ±5 %	
1950	40.0 ±5 %		1.40 ±5 %	
2000	40.0 ±5 %		1.40 ±5 %	
2100	39.8 ±5 %		1.49 ±5 %	
2300	39.5 ±5 %		1.67 ±5 %	
2450	39.2 ±5 %	PASS	1.80 ±5 %	PASS
2600	39.0 ±5 %		1.96 ±5 %	
3000	38.5 ±5 %		2.40 ±5 %	
3500	37.9 ±5 %		2.91 ±5 %	

## 7.2 SAR MEASUREMENT RESULT WITH HEAD LIQUID

The IEEE Std. 1528 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards state that the system validation measurements should produce the SAR values shown below (for phantom thickness of 2 mm), within the uncertainty for the system validation. All SAR values are normalized to 1 W forward power. In bracket, the measured SAR is given with the used input power.

Software	OPENSAR V4
Phantom	SN 20/09 SAM71
Probe	SN 18/11 EPG122
Liquid	Head Liquid Values: eps': 37.5 sigma: 1.80
Distance between dipole center and liquid	10.0 mm
Area scan resolution	dx=8mm/dy=8mm
Zoon Scan Resolution	dx=5mm/dy=5mm/dz=5mm
Frequency	2450 MHz
Input power	20 dBm
Liquid Temperature	21 °C
Lab Temperature	21 °C
Lab Humidity	45 %

Frequency MHz	1 g SAR (W/kg/W)		10 g SAR (W/kg/W)	
	required	measured	required	measured
300	2.85		1.94	
450	4.58		3.06	
750	8.49		5.55	
835	9.56		6.22	
900	10.9		6.99	
1450	29		16	
1500	30.5		16.8	
1640	34.2		18.4	
1750	36.4		19.3	
1800	38.4		20.1	

Page: 8/11









Ref: ACR.109.7.18.SATU.A

1900	39.7		20.5	
1950	40.5		20.9	
2000	41.1		21.1	
2100	43.6		21.9	
2300	48.7		23.3	
2450	52.4	53.76 (5.38)	24	24.12 (2.41)
2600	55.3		24.6	
3000	63.8		25.7	
3500	67.1		25	
3700	67.4		24.2	





#### 7.3 <u>BODY LIQUID MEASUREMENT</u>

Frequency MHz	Relative permittivity ( $\epsilon_{\rm r}'$ )		Conductivity (σ) S/m	
	required	measured	required	measured
150	61.9 ±5 %		0.80 ±5 %	
300	58.2 ±5 %		0.92 ±5 %	
450	56.7 ±5 %		0.94 ±5 %	
750	55.5 ±5 %		0.96 ±5 %	
835	55.2 ±5 %		0.97 ±5 %	
900	55.0 ±5 %		1.05 ±5 %	
915	55.0 ±5 %		1.06 ±5 %	
1450	54.0 ±5 %		1.30 ±5 %	
1610	53.8 ±5 %		1.40 ±5 %	
1800	53.3 ±5 %		1.52 ±5 %	
1900	53.3 ±5 %		1.52 ±5 %	
2000	53.3 ±5 %		1.52 ±5 %	
2100	53.2 ±5 %		1.62 ±5 %	

Page: 9/11








Ref: ACR.109.7.18.SATU.A

2300	52.9 ±5 %		1.81 ±5 %	
2450	52.7 ±5 %	PASS	1.95 ±5 %	PASS
2600	52.5 ±5 %		2.16 ±5 %	
3000	52.0 ±5 %		2.73 ±5 %	
3500	51.3 ±5 %		3.31 ±5 %	
3700	51.0 ±5 %		3.55 ±5 %	
5200	49.0 ±10 %		5.30 ±10 %	
5300	48.9 ±10 %		5.42 ±10 %	
5400	48.7 ±10 %		5.53 ±10 %	
5500	48.6 ±10 %		5.65 ±10 %	
5600	48.5 ±10 %		5.77 ±10 %	
5800	48.2 ±10 %		6.00 ±10 %	

#### 7.4 SAR MEASUREMENT RESULT WITH BODY LIQUID


Software	OPENSAR V4
Phantom	SN 20/09 SAM71
Probe	SN 18/11 EPG122
Liquid	Body Liquid Values: eps': 53.2 sigma: 1.89
Distance between dipole center and liquid	10.0 mm
Area scan resolution	dx=8mm/dy=8mm
Zoon Scan Resolution	dx=5mm/dy=5mm/dz=5mm
Frequency	2450 MHz
Input power	20 dBm
Liquid Temperature	21 °C
Lab Temperature	21 °C
Lab Humidity	45 %

Frequency MHz	1 g SAR (W/kg/W)	10 g SAR (W/kg/W)
	measured	measured
2450	52.90 (5.29)	24.09 (2.41)



Page: 10/11







Ref: ACR.109.7.18.SATU.A

## 8 LIST OF EQUIPMENT

Equipment Summary Sheet					
Equipment Description	Manufacturer / Model	Identification No.	Current Calibration Date	Next Calibration Date	
SAM Phantom	MVG	SN-20/09-SAM71	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.	
COMOSAR Test Bench	Version 3	NA		Validated. No cal required.	
Network Analyzer	Rhode & Schwarz ZVA	SN100132	02/2016	02/2019	
Calipers	Carrera	CALIPER-01	01/2017	01/2020	
Reference Probe	MVG	EPG122 SN 18/11	10/2017	10/2018	
Multimeter	Keithley 2000	1188656	01/2017	01/2020	
Signal Generator	Agilent E4438C	MY49070581	01/2017	01/2020	
Amplifier	Aethercomm	SN 046	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	
Power Meter	HP E4418A	US38261498	01/2017	01/2020	
Power Sensor	HP ECP-E26A	US37181460	01/2017	01/2020	
Directional Coupler	Narda 4216-20	01386	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	
Temperature and Humidity Sensor	Control Company	150798832	11/2017	11/2020	

Page: 11/11