## **TEST REPORT**

Your Ref: Date: 13 Jun 2005

Our Ref: 56S050398/03 Page: 1 of 56

DID: +65-6885 1459 Fax: +65-6777 6409

**NOTE:** This report is issued subject to PSB Corporation's "Terms and Conditions Governing Technical Services". The terms and conditions governing the issue of this report are set out as attached within this report.

FORMAL REPORT ON TESTING IN ACCORDANCE WITH FCC Parts 15B & C : 2004

OF A

WIRELESS SPEAKER SYSTEM
[Models: NTJD-800 and NTID-800]
[FCC IDs: LLP-NTJD800 and LLP-NTID800]

**TEST FACILITY** Telecoms & EMC, Testing Group, PSB Corporation Pte Ltd

1 Science Park Drive, Singapore 118221

**FCC REG. NO.** 90937 (3m & 10m OATS)

99142 (10m Anechoic Chamber) 871638 (5m Anechoic Chamber) 325572 (10m Anechoic Chamber) IC 4257 (10m Anechoic Chamber)

**IND. CANADA REG. NO.** IC 4257 (10m Anechoic Chamber)

PREPARED FOR Nasaco Electronics Pte Ltd

49 Changi South Avenue 2 Level 4, Nasaco Tech Centre

Singapore 486056

Tel: +65 6214 0676 Fax: +65 6214 1146

**JOB NUMBER** 56S050398

**TEST PERIOD** 8 May 2005 - 31 May 2005

PREPARED, BY

Quek Keng Huat Associate Engine APPROVED BY

Lim Cher Hwee Product Manager









The results reported herein have been performed in accordance with the laboratory's terms of accreditation under the Singapore Accreditation Council - Singapore Laboratory Accreditation Scheme. Tests marked "Not SAC-SINGLAS Accredited" in this Report are not included in the SAC-SINGLAS Accreditation Schedule for our laboratory.

Corporation



**TEST SUMMARY** 

PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

SUPPORTING EQUIPMENT LIST

EUT OPERATING CONDITION

**TEST RESULTS** 

ANNEX A - TEST INSTRUMENTATION & GENERAL PROCEDURES

ANNEX B - EUT PHOTOGRAPHS / DIAGRAMS

ANNEX C - USER MANUAL, TECHNICAL DESCRIPTION, BLOCK &

**CIRCUIT DIAGRAMS** 

ANNEX D - FCC LABEL & POSITION



The product was tested in accordance with the customer's specifications.

#### **Test Results Summary**

Test Standard	Description	Pass / Fail
FCC Part 15: 2004		
15.107, 15.207	Conducted Emissions	Pass
15.109, 205, 15.209	Radiated Emissions	Pass
45 247 (a)(1)	Carrier Frequency Separation	Pass
15.247 (a)(1)	Spectrum Bandwidth (20dB Bandwidth Measurement)	Pass
15 247 (a)(1)(iii)	Number of Hopping Frequencies	Pass
15.247 (a)(1)(iii)	Average Frequency Dwell Time	Pass
15.247 (b)(1)	Maximum Peak Power	Pass
15.247 (d)	RF Conducted Spurious Emissions & Band Edge Compliance at the Transmitter Antenna Terminal	Pass
15.247 (e)	Peak Power Spectral Density	Pass
1.1310	Maximum Permissible Exposure	Pass
15.35(c)	Duty Cycle Correction Factor	Refer to pages 54 and 55 for details

#### **Notes**

1. Three channels as listed below, which respectively represent the lower, middle and upper channels of the equipment under test (EUT) were chosen and tested. For each channel, the EUT was configured to operate in the test mode.

Transmit Channel
Channel 0
Channel 7
Channel 14
Channel 14
Channel 14
Channel 15
Channel 15
Channel 16
Channel 17
Channel 18
Channel 18
Channel 18
Channel 19
Channel

- 2. All the measurements in section 15.247 were done based on conducted measurements.
- 3. The EUT is a Class B device when in non-transmitting state and meets the FCC Part15B Class B requirements.

#### **Modifications**

No modifications were done.



## PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

Description : The Equipment Under Test (EUT) is a Wireless Speaker System.

The EUT consists of following:

one wireless transmitter, NTJD-800 (a RF transceiver)
 two rear round speakers, NTID-800 (RF transceiver)

The wireless transmitter will transmit the inputted audio source wirelessly to the two rear round speakers. Upon receipt the audio signal from the wireless transmitter, the speakers will send an

acknowledged signal back to the transmitter.

Factory Address : Nasaco Electronics (Shenzhen) Ltd.

7/F, Phase 1, Hing Yick Industrial Estate,

Fu Yong, Shenzhen City, Guangdong, China.

Manufacturer : Nasaco Electronics (HK) Ltd

RM 1106, Eastren Centre

1065 King's Road

Tel - 852 2563 0592 Fax - 852 2565 9613

Model Number : NTJD-800 (Wireless transmitter)

NTID-800 (Rear round speakers)

FCC IDs : LLP-NTJD800 (Wireless transmitter)

LLP-NTID800 (Rear round speakers)

Serial Number : Nil

Microprocessor : TYJ-1101 Baseband module (Wireless transmitter)

TYM-1101 Baseband module (Rear round speakers)

Operating / Transmitting

Frequency

: 2.40333GHz to 2.47910GHz

Modulation : Gaussian Frequency Shift Keying (GFSK)

Port / Connectors : 1 x DC In jack

2 x Audio In (L & R)

1 x Line In 1 x Charger jack

Rated Input Power : 6V DC via 110VAC 60Hz AC/D adapter (Wireless transmitter)

9V DC via 110VAC 60Hz AC/D adapter (Rear round speaker)



## SUPPORTING EQUIPMENT DESCRIPTION

The Equipment Under Test (EUT), a Wireless Speaker System was tested as a stand-alone device without any supporting equipment.

[FCC IDs: LLP-NTJD800 and LLP-NTID800]



The Wireless Speaker System was powered from 110V, 60Hz mains supply.

2.4GHz Wireless Speaker System [ Model : NTJD-800 and NTID-800 ] [ FCC IDs: LLP-NTJD800 and LLP-NTID800 ]



#### FCC Part 15B (15.107 & 15.207) Class B Conducted Emission Results

**Unit Under Test: Wireless Transmitter** 

Frequency (MHz)	Q-P Value (dBμV)	Q-P Margin (dB)	AV Value (dBμV)	AV Margin (dB)	Line	Channel
0.5450	12.2	-43.8	7.7	-38.3	Neutral	0
0.6790	11.9	-44.1	7.4	-38.6	Neutral	0
1.2722	11.6	-44.4	7.3	-38.7	Live	0
2.1358	11.8	-44.2	7.4	-38.6	Neutral	0
4.1297	12.0	-44.0	7.4	-38.6	Neutral	0
4.9858	11.6	-44.4	7.4	-38.6	Live	0

**Unit Under Test: Rear Round Speaker** 

Frequency (MHz)	Q-P Value (dBμV)	Q-P Margin (dB)	AV Value (dBμV)	AV Margin (dB)	Line	Channel
0.6018	27.1	-28.9	26.2	-19.8	Live	0
1.2107	18.4	-37.6	12.5	-33.5	Neutral	0
2.4216	15.9	-40.1	9.9	-36.1	Neutral	0
3.0168	15.4	-40.6	8.9	-37.1	Neutral	0
4.1950	12.3	-43.7	7.7	-38.3	Neutral	0
19.2350	14.5	-45.5	10.2	-39.8	Live	0

Tested by: Alvin Leong

### Notes:

1.	<b>Environmental Conditions</b>	Temperature	22°C
		Relative Humidity	58%
		Atmospheric Pressure	1030mhar

- 2. All possible modes of operation were investigated from 150kHz to 30MHz. Only the worst case emissions measured, using the correct CISPR detectors, are reported. All other emissions were relatively insignificant.
- 3. A "-ve" margin indicates a PASS as it refers to the margin present below the limit line at the particular frequency.
- 4. EMI receiver Resolution Bandwidth (RBW) and Video Bandwidth (VBW) settings: 9kHz 30MHz

RBW: 10kHz VBW: 30kHz

5. Conducted Emissions Measurement Uncertainty

All test measurements carried out are traceable to national standards. The uncertainty of the measurement at a confidence level of approximately 95%, with a coverage factor of 2, in the range 9kHz - 30MHz (Average & Quasi-peak) is  $\pm 2.4dB$ .



Conducted Emissions Setup (Front View)



Conducted Emissions Setup (Rear View)



### FCC Part 15 (15.109, 15.205 & 15.209) Class B Radiated Emission (Spurious Emissions) Results

Test Distance : 3m

**Unit Under Test: Wireless Transmitter** 

Spurious Emissions ranging from 30MHz – 1GHz

Frequency (MHz)	Q-P Value (dBμV/m)	Q-P Margin (dB)	Channel	Azimuth (Degrees)	Height (cm)	Polarisation (H/V)
114.4115	33.3	-10.2	14	241	100	V
294.9008	37.1	-9.0	14	276	100	Н
344.0430	38.4	-7.6	14	36	100	Н
393.2100	41.6	-4.4	14	118	100	Н
442.3480	45.8	-0.2	14	65	100	Н
466.9291	41.8	-4.3	14	100	101	Н

Spurious Emissions above 1GHz

Frequency (GHz)	Peak Value (dBμV/m)	Average Value (dBμV/m)	Average Margin (dB)	Channel	Azimuth (Degrees)	Height (cm)	Pol (H/V)
4.8088	73.9	52.9	-1.1	0	165	100	Н
4.8877	73.6	52.6	-1.4	7	145	100	Н
4.9633	73.0	52.0	-2.0	14	178	100	Н
7.2111	61.3	40.3	-13.7	0	167	100	Н
7.3288	65.3	44.3	-9.7	7	141	100	Η
7.4366	62.0	41.0	-13.0	14	177	100	Н

Tested by: Thor Wen Lei / Anthony Toh

#### Notes:

1. <u>Environmental Conditions</u> Temperature 24°C Relative Humidity 58% Atmospheric Pressure 1030mbar

- 2. All possible modes of operation were investigated. Only the worst case emissions measured, using the correct CISPR detectors, are reported. All other emissions were relatively insignificant.
- Quasi-peak measurement was used for frequency measurement up to 1GHz. Average and peak measurements were used for emissions above 1GHz. The average measurement was done by averaging over a complete cycle of the pulse train, including the blanking interval as the pulse train duration does not exceed 0.1 second.
- 4. A "-ve" margin indicates a PASS as it refers to the margin present below the limit line at the particular frequency.
- 5. EMI receiver Resolution Bandwidth (RBW) and Video Bandwidth (VBW) settings:

30MHz - 1GHz

RBW: 120kHz VBW: 1MHz

>1GHz

RBW: 1MHz VBW: 1MHz



**PSB**Corporation

## **TEST RESULTS**

- 6. The peak emissions above 1GHz show compliance to the requirement stated in Section 15.35 (b).
- 7. The upper frequency of radiated emission investigations were according to requirements stated in Section 15.33 (a) for intentional radiators & Section 15.33 (b) for unintentional radiators.
- 8. The channel in the table refers to the transmit channel of the EUT.
- 9. Radiated Emissions Measurement Uncertainty

All test measurements carried out are traceable to national standards. The uncertainty of the measurement at a confidence level of approximately 95%, with a coverage factor of 2, in the range 30MHz - 25GHz (QP only @ 3m & 10m) is  $\pm 4.3dB$  (for EUTs <  $0.5m \times 0.5m \times 0.5m$ ).



### FCC Part 15 (15.109, 15.205 & 15.209) Class B Radiated Emission (Spurious Emissions) Results

Test Distance : 3m

**Unit Under Test: Rear Round Speaker** 

Spurious Emissions ranging from 30MHz – 1GHz

Frequency (MHz)	Q-P Value (dBμV/m)	Q-P Margin (dB)	Channel	Azimuth (Degrees)	Height (cm)	Polarisation (H/V)
491.4926	44.4	-1.6	14	108	100	Н
638.9530	42.6	-3.4	14	72	129	Н
737.2500	39.6	-6.4	14	132	100	Н
798.7000	38.9	-7.1	14	124	100	Н
811.0000	39.0	-7.0	14	136	100	Н
835.5612	40.0	-6.0	14	92	100	Н

#### Spurious Emissions above 1GHz

Frequency (GHz)	Peak Value (dBμV/m)	Average Value (dBμV/m)	Average Margin (dB)	Channel	Azimuth (Degrees)	Height (cm)	Pol (H/V)
4.8075	63.4	41.0	-13.0	0	109	100	Н
4.8858	63.5	41.1	-12.9	7	133	100	Н
4.9573	62.8	40.4	-13.6	14	143	100	Н
7.2101	51.0	28.6	-25.4	0	115	100	Н
7.3277	53.2	30.8	-23.2	7	134	100	Н
7.4380	51.9	29.5	-24.5	14	137	100	Н

Tested by: Thor Wen Lei / Anthony Toh

#### Notes:

1.	<b>Environmental Conditions</b>	Temperature	24°C
		Relative Humidity	58%
		Atmospheric Pressure	1030mbar

- All possible modes of operation were investigated. Only the worst case emissions measured, using the correct CISPR detectors, are reported. All other emissions were relatively insignificant.
- 3. Quasi-peak measurement was used for frequency measurement up to 1GHz. Average and peak measurements were used for emissions above 1GHz. The average measurement was done by averaging over a complete cycle of the pulse train, including the blanking interval as the pulse train duration does not exceed 0.1 second.



- 4. A "-ve" margin indicates a PASS as it refers to the margin present below the limit line at the particular frequency.
- 5. EMI receiver Resolution Bandwidth (RBW) and Video Bandwidth (VBW) settings:

30MHz - 1GHz

RBW: 120kHz VBW: 1MHz

>1GHz

RBW: 1MHz VBW: 1MHz


- 6. The peak emissions above 1GHz show compliance to the requirement stated in Section 15.35 (b).
- 7. The upper frequency of radiated emission investigations were according to requirements stated in Section 15.33 (a) for intentional radiators & Section 15.33 (b) for unintentional radiators.
- 8. The channel in the table refers to the transmit channel of the EUT.
- 9. Radiated Emissions Measurement Uncertainty

All test measurements carried out are traceable to national standards. The uncertainty of the measurement at a confidence level of approximately 95%, with a coverage factor of 2, in the range 30MHz – 25GHz (QP only @ 3m & 10m) is ±4.3dB (for EUTs < 0.5m X 0.5m X 0.5m).

2.4GHz Wireless Speaker System [ Model : NTJD-800 and NTID-800 ] [ FCC IDs: LLP-NTJD800 and LLP-NTID800 ]



Radiated Emissions Setup (Front View)



Radiated Emissions Setup (Rear View)



## FCC Part 15C (15.247(a)(1)) Carrier Frequency Separation Results

The EUT shows compliance to the requirements of this section, which states the adjacent carrier frequencies must be separated by a minimum of 25kHz or the 20dB bandwidth of the hopping channel, whichever is greater.

Unit Under Test	Channel Separation (MHz)
Wireless Transmitter	2.060
Rear Round Speaker	2.080

Please refer to the attached Plots 1 - 2 for details.

Tested by: Thor Wen Lei

#### Notes:

1. Environmental Conditions Temperature 23°C Relative Humidity 55% Atmospheric Pressure 1030mbar


2. Only left round speaker was evaluated as both the left and right round speakers are identical in term of circuit, PCB routing and housing.




**Carrier Frequency Separation Measurement Test Setup** 



## **CARRIER FREQUENCY SEPARATION PLOTS**



Plot 1- Carrier Frequency Separation - Wireless Transmitter



Plot 2 - Carrier Frequency Separation - Rear Round Speaker

[FCC IDs: LLP-NTJD800 and LLP-NTID800]

Page 16 of 56



## FCC Part 15C (15.247(a)(1)) Spectrum Bandwidth (20dB Bandwidth Measurement) Results

The EUT shows compliance to the requirements of this section, which states that the 20dB bandwidth of the hopping channel shall be the channel frequency separation by a minimum of 25kHz or the 20dB bandwidth of the hopping channel, whichever is greater.

#### **Unit Under Test: Wireless Transmitter**

Channel	Channel Frequency (GHz)	20dB Bandwidth (MHz)
0	2.40333	1.970
7	2.44224	1.940
14	2.47910	1.920

Please refer to attached Plots 3 - 5 for details.

#### **Unit Under Test: Rear Round Speaker**

Channel	Channel Frequency (GHz)	20dB Bandwidth (MHz)
0	2.40333	1.970
7	2.44224	1.960
14	2.47910	1.910

Please refer to attached Plots 6 - 8 for details.

Tested by: Thor Wen Lei

Notes:

1.	<b>Environmental Conditions</b>	Temperature	23°C
	· ·	Relative Humidity	55%
		Atmospheric Pressure	1030mbar


2. Only left round speaker was evaluated as both the left and right round speakers are identical in term of circuit, PCB routing and housing.




**Spectrum Bandwidth Measurement Test Setup** 



# SPECTRUM BANDWIDTH (20dB BANDWIDTH MEASUREMENT) PLOTS - WIRELESS TRANSMITTER




Plot 3 - Channel 0



Plot 4 – Channel 7




# SPECTRUM BANDWIDTH (20dB BANDWIDTH MEASUREMENT) PLOTS - WIRELESS TRANSMITTER




Plot 5 - Channel 14



# SPECTRUM BANDWIDTH (20dB BANDWIDTH MEASUREMENT) PLOTS - REAR ROUND SPEAKER




Plot 6 - Channel 0



Plot 7 – Channel 7



# SPECTRUM BANDWIDTH (20dB BANDWIDTH MEASUREMENT) PLOTS - REAR ROUND SPEAKER



Plot 8 - Channel 14



## FCC Part 15C (15.247(a)(1)(iii)) Number of Hopping Frequencies Results

The EUT shows compliance to the requirements of this section, which states the number of hopping frequencies shall be at least 15.

The EUT was found to have 15 hopping frequencies.

Please refer to the attached Plots 9 - 12 for wireless transmitter details.

Please refer to the attached Plots 13 - 16 for wireless transmitter details.

Tested by: Thor Wen Lei

#### Notes:

1.	<b>Environmental Conditions</b>	Temperature	23°C
		Relative Humidity	55%
		Atmospheric Pressure	1030mbar


2. Only left round speaker was evaluated as both the left and right round speakers are identical in term of circuit, PCB routing and housing.




**Number of Hopping Frequencies Measurement Test Setup** 



## NUMBER OF HOPPING FREQUENCIES PLOTS - WIRELESS TRANSMITTER




Plot 9 - Channels 0 to 3




Plot 10 - Channels 4 to 7



## NUMBER OF HOPPING FREQUENCIES PLOTS - WIRELESS TRANSMITTER




Plot 11 - Channels 8 to 11




Plot 12 - Channels 12 to 14



## NUMBER OF HOPPING FREQUENCIES PLOTS - REAR ROUND SPEAKER




Plot 13 - Channels 0 to 3




Plot 14 - Channels 4 to 7



## NUMBER OF HOPPING FREQUENCIES PLOTS - REAR ROUND SPEAKER



Plot 15 - Channels 8 to 11



Plot 16 - Channels 12 to 14



#### FCC Part 15C (15.247(a)(1)(iii)) Average Frequency Dwell Time Results

The EUT shows compliance to the requirements of this section, which states the average time of occupancy on any frequency shall not be greater than 0.4 second within a period of 0.4 second multiplied by the number of hopping channels employed.

#### **Unit Under Test: Wireless Transmitter**

EUT hopping rate = 187.5 hops/s Number of EUT hopping frequencies = 15 hops

Average Frequency Dwell Time = measured time slot length (I) x hopping rate (h) / number of hopping frequencies

Channel	Channel Frequency (GHz)	Measured Time Slot Length for DH1 Packet(ms)	Average Frequency Dwell Time (s)	Average Occupancy Limit (s)
0	2.40333	4.6700	0.0584	0.4
7	2.44224	4.6700	0.0584	0.4
14	2.47910	4.6700	0.0584	0.4

Please refer to the attached Plots 17 – 19 for details.

#### **Unit Under Test: Rear Round Speaker**

EUT hopping rate = 187.5 hops/s Number of EUT hopping frequencies = 15 hops

Average Frequency Dwell Time = measured time slot length (I) x hopping rate (h) / number of hopping frequencies

Channel	Channel Frequency (GHz)	Measured Time Slot Length for DH1 Packet(ms)	Average Frequency Dwell Time (s)	Average Occupancy Limit (s)
0	2.40333	4.6700	0.0584	0.4
7	2.44224	4.6700	0.0584	0.4
14	2.47910	4.6700	0.0584	0.4

Please refer to the attached Plots 20 – 22 for details.

Tested by: Thor Wen Lei

Notes:

23°C 1. **Environmental Conditions** Temperature Relative Humidity 55% Atmospheric Pressure 1030mbar


2. Only left round speaker was evaluated as both the left and right round speakers are identical in term of circuit, PCB routing and housing.




**Average Frequency Dwell Time Measurement Test Setup** 



#### **AVERAGE FREQUENCY DWELL TIME PLOTS - WIRELESS TRANSMITTER**




Plot 17 – Channel 0



Plot 18 - Channel 7




## AVERAGE FREQUENCY DWELL TIME PLOTS - WIRELESS TRANSMITTER




Plot 19 - Channel 14



#### **AVERAGE FREQUENCY DWELL TIME PLOTS - REAR ROUND SPEAKER**




#### Plot 20 – Channel 0



Plot 21 - Channel 7



## AVERAGE FREQUENCY DWELL TIME PLOTS - REAR ROUND SPEAKER



Plot 22 - Channel 14



### FCC Part 15C (15.247(b)(1)) Maximum Peak Power Results

The EUT shows compliance to the requirements of this section, which states the peak power of an intentional radiator (EUT) for frequency hopping systems (other than frequency hopping systems employing at 75non-overlapping channels) shall not exceed 21dBm (125mW).

The maximum peak power for Channels 0, 7 and 14 at 2.40333GHz, 2.44224GHz and 2.47910GHz of both wireless transmitter and rear round speaker were investigated and found below 21dBm (125mW).

#### **Unit Under Test: Wireless Transmitter**

Channel	Channel Frequency (GHz)	Maximum Peak Power (W)	Limit (W)
0	2.40333	0.062	0.125
7	2.44224	0.059	0.125
14	2.47910	0.058	0.125

#### **Unit Under Test: Rear Round Speaker**

Channel	Channel Frequency	Maximum Peak Power	Limit
	(GHz)	(W)	(W)
0	2.40333	0.017	0.125
7	2.44224	0.016	0.125
14	2.47910	0.016	0.125

Tested by: Thor Wen Lei

#### Notes:

1.	<b>Environmental Conditions</b>	Temperature	23°C
		Relative Humidity	55%
		Atmospheric Pressure	1030mbar

- Power analyser of Universal Radio Communication Tester was used for power measurement with peak detection as mode of measurement. The power analyser mode supports a wideband power measurement ranging from 100kHz to 2700MHz.
- 3. Only left round speaker was evaluated as both the left and right round speakers are identical in term of circuit, PCB routing and housing.



**Maximum Peak Power Measurement Test Setup** 



# FCC Part 15C (15.247(d)) RF Conducted Spurious Emissions & Band Edge Compliance at the Transmitter Antenna Results

The EUT shows compliance to the requirements of this section, which states in any 100kHz bandwidth outside the frequency band in which the spread spectrum intentional radiator (EUT) is operating, the RF power that is produced by the intentional radiator shall be at least 20dB below that in the 100kHz bandwidth within the band that contains the highest level of desired power.

The RF conducted spurious emissions were scanned from 30MHz to 25GHz for Channels 0, 7, and 14 with channel frequency at 2.40333GHz, 2.44224GHz and 2.47910GHz respectively. No significant signal was found and they were below the specified limit. Please refer to the following attached plot for details:

- Plots 23 28 (wireless transmitter)
- Plots 29 34 (rear round speaker)

The conducted spurious at lower and upper band-edges (2.4000GHz and 2.4835GHz) were scanned. The spurious emissions at band-edges were found below the specified limit. Please refer to the following plots for details:

- Plots 35 36 (wireless transmitter)
- Plots 37 38 (rear round speaker)

Tested by: Thor Wen Lei

#### Notes:

1.	<b>Environmental Conditions</b>	Temperature	23°C
		Relative Humidity	55%
		Atmospheric Pressure	1030mbar


2. Only left round speaker was evaluated as both the left and right round speakers are identical in term of circuit, PCB routing and housing.




RF Conducted Spurious & Band Edge Measurement Test Setup



#### RF CONDUCTED SPURIOUS EMISSIONS PLOTS - WIRELESS TRANSMITTER




Plot 23 - Channel 0




Plot 24 - Channel 0



#### RF CONDUCTED SPURIOUS EMISSIONS PLOTS - WIRELESS TRANSMITTER




Plot 25 - Channel 7




Plot 26 – Channel 7



#### RF CONDUCTED SPURIOUS EMISSIONS PLOTS - WIRELESS TRANSMITTER




Plot 27 - Channel 14




Plot 28 - Channel 14



#### RF CONDUCTED SPURIOUS EMISSIONS PLOTS - REAR ROUND SPEAKER




Plot 29 - Channel 0




Plot 30 - Channel 0



#### RF CONDUCTED SPURIOUS EMISSIONS PLOTS - REAR ROUND SPEAKER




Plot 31 - Channel 7




Plot 32 – Channel 7



#### RF CONDUCTED SPURIOUS EMISSIONS PLOTS - REAR ROUND SPEAKER




Plot 33 - Channel 14




Plot 34 - Channel 14



#### **BAND EDGE COMPLIANCE PLOTS - WIRELESS TRANSMITTER**



Plot 35 – Lower Band Edge at 2.40GHz




Plot 36 - Upper Band Edge at 2.4835GHz


2.4GHz Wireless Speaker System [ Model : NTJD-800 and NTID-800 ] [ FCC IDs: LLP-NTJD800 and LLP-NTID800 ]



#### **BAND EDGE COMPLIANCE PLOTS - REAR ROUND SPEAKER**



Plot 37 – Lower Band Edge at 2.40GHz



Plot 38 – Upper Band Edge at 2.4835GHz

2.4GHz Wireless Speaker System [ Model : NTJD-800 and NTID-800 ] [ FCC IDs: LLP-NTJD800 and LLP-NTID800 ]



### FCC Part 15C (15.247(d)) Peak Power Spectral Density Results

The EUT shows compliance to the requirements of this section, which states the peak power spectral density of an intentional radiator (EUT) to the antenna shall not be greater than 8dBm (6.3mW) in any 3kHz band during any time interval of continuous transmission.

#### **Unit Under Test: Wireless Transmitter**

Channel	Channel Frequency	Peak Power Spectral Density	Limit
	(GHz)	(mW)	(mW)
0	2.40333	1.040	6.3
7	2.44224	0.8913	6.3
14	2.47910	0.9617	6.3

Please refer to the attached Plots 39 – 41 for details.

#### **Unit Under Test: Rear Round Speaker**

Channel	Channel Frequency	Peak Power Spectral Density	Limit
	(GHz)	(mW)	(mW)
0	2.40333	1.040	6.3
7	2.44224	0.8913	6.3
14	2.47910	0.9617	6.3

Please refer to the attached Plots 42 – 44 for details.

Tested by: Thor Wen Lei

Notes:

1.	Environmental Conditions	Temperature	23°C	
		Relative Humidity	55%	
		Atmospheric Pressure	1030mbar	


2. Only left round speaker was evaluated as both the left and right round speakers are identical in term of circuit, PCB routing and housing.




Peak Power Spectral Density Measurement Test Setup



#### PEAK POWER SPECTRAL DENSITY PLOTS - WIRELESS TRANSMITTER




Plot 39 - Channel 0



Plot 40 - Channel 7




#### PEAK POWER SPECTRAL DENSITY PLOTS - WIRELESS TRANSMITTER




Plot 41 - Channel 14



#### PEAK POWER SPECTRAL DENSITY PLOTS




Plot 42 - Channel 0



Plot 43 - Channel 7



#### PEAK POWER SPECTRAL DENSITY PLOTS



Plot 44 - Channel 14



#### FCC Part 1.1310 Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE) Results

#### **Unit Under Test: Wireless Transmitter**

Frequency (GHz)	Power Density Value (mW/cm²)	Averaging Time (min)	Limit (mW/cm²)	Margin (mW/cm²)	Channel
2.40333	0.237	30	1.0	-0.763	0
2.44224	0.327	30	1.0	-0.673	7
2.47910	0.138	30	1.0	-0.862	14

#### **Unit Under Test: Rear Round Speaker**

Frequency (GHz)	Power Density Value (mW/cm²)	Averaging Time (min)	Limit (mW/cm²)	Margin (mW/cm²)	Channel
2.40333	0.014	30	1.0	-0.986	0
2.44224	0.009	30	1.0	-0.991	7
2.47910	0.002	30	1.0	-0.998	14

Tested by: Gary Ng

#### Notes:


1.	<b>Environmental Conditions</b>	Temperature	24°C	
		Relative Humidity	57%	
		Atmospheric Pressure	1030mbar	

- 2. Only left round speaker was evaluated as both the left and right round speakers are identical in term of circuit, PCB routing and housing.
- 3. All possible modes of operation were investigated. Only the worst case, highest radiation levels were measured. Measurements were taken at the required averaging time. All other radiation levels were relatively insignificant.
- 4. A "-ve" margin indicates a PASS as it refers to the margin present below the limit line at the particular frequency.
- 5. <u>Measurement Uncertainty</u>

All test measurements carried out are traceable to national standards. The uncertainty of the measurement at a confidence level of approximately 95%, with a coverage factor of 2, in the range 0.1 MHz - 3 GHz is  $\pm 15\%$ .



Maximum Permissible Exposure Measurement Test Setup (Wireless Transmitter)




Maximum Permissible Exposure Measurement Test Setup (Rear Round Speaker)




#### FCC Part 15 (15.35(c)) Duty Cycle Correction Factor

#### **Unit Under Test: Wireless Transmitter**



#### On Time



#### Period

Duty Cycle Factor (worst- case) = 20 log [Total On time / Period]


= 20 log [(4.67 / 52.33)]

= <u>-21.0dB</u>




#### FCC Part 15 (15.35(c)) Duty Cycle Correction Factor

#### **Unit Under Test: Rear Round Speaker**



#### On Time



#### Period

Duty Cycle Factor (worst- case) = 20 log [Total On time / Period]

= 20 log [(4.67 / 61.67)]

= -22.4dB



#### This Report is issued under the following conditions:


- Results of the testing/calibration in the form of a report will be issued immediately after the service has been completed or terminated.
- Unless otherwise requested, a report shall contain only technical results. Analysis and interpretation of the results and professional opinion and recommendations expressed thereupon, if required, shall be clearly indicated and additional fee paid for, by the Client.
- 3. This report applies to the sample of the specific product/equipment given at the time of its testing/calibration. The results are not used to indicate or imply that they are applicable to other similar items. In addition, such results must not be used to indicate or imply that PSB Corporation approves, recommends or endorses the manufacturer, supplier or user of such product/equipment, or that PSB Corporation in any way "guarantees" the later performance of the product/equipment.
- 4. The sample/s mentioned in this report is/are submitted/supplied/manufactured by the Client. PSB Corporation therefore assumes no responsibility for the accuracy of information on the brand name, model number, origin of manufacture, consignment or any information supplied.
- Additional copies of the report are available to the Client at an additional fee. No third party can obtain a copy of this report through PSB Corporation, unless the Client has authorised PSB Corporation in writing to do so.
- PSB Corporation may at its sole discretion add to or amend the conditions of the report at the time of issue of the report and such report and such additions or amendments shall be binding on the Client.
- 7. All copyright in the report shall remain with PSB Corporation and the Client shall, upon payment of PSB Corporation's fees for the carrying out of the tests/calibrations, be granted a license to use or publish the report to the third parties subject to the terms and conditions herein, provided always that PSB Corporation may at its absolute discretion be entitled to impose such conditions on the license as it sees fit.
- Nothing in this report shall be interpreted to mean that PSB Corporation has verified or ascertained any endorsement or marks from any other testing authority or bodies that may be found on that sample.
- This report shall not be reproduced wholly or in parts and no reference shall be made by the Client to PSB Corporation or to the report or results furnished by PSB Corporation in any advertisements or sales promotion.
- Unless otherwise stated, the tests are carried out in PSB Corporation Pte Ltd, No.1 Science Park Drive Singapore 118221.

May 2005



# ANNEX A TEST INSTRUMENTATION & GENERAL PROCEDURES





**PSB**Corporation

#### **3m OATS Test Instrumentation** (Conducted Emissions)

<u>Instrument</u>	<u>Model</u>	<u>S/No</u>	Cal Due Date	
R&S Test Receiver (9kHz-30MHz)	ESH3	862301/005	24 Jun 2005	Х
R&S Pulse Limiter – PL1	ESH3-Z2	357.8810.52	15 Apr 2006	Х
Schaffner Pulse Limiter – PL5	CFL 9206	1720	15 Apr 2006	Х
EMCO LISN (for EUT) – LISN6	3825/2	9309-2127	20 May 2005	Х
EMCO LISN (for EUT) - LISN5	3825/2	9202-1936	28 May 2005	Х

#### **3m Anechoic Chamber Test Instrumentation** (Radiated Emissions)

Instrument	Model	S/No	Cal Due Date	
R&S Test Receiver (20Hz–26.5GHz) – ESMI2	ESMI	829214/006 829550/001	22 Apr 2006	Х
HP Preamplifier (0.01-3GHz) – PA5	87405A	3950M00352	01 Apr 2006	Х
HP Preamplifier (for ESMI3, 0.01-3GHz) – PA6	87405A	3950M00353	01 Apr 2006	х
MITEQ Preamplifier (0.1-26.5GHz) – PA11	NSP2650-N	728231	01 Apr 2006	Х
MITEQ Preamplifier (0.1-26.5GHz) – PA4	NSP2650-N	604879	01 Apr 2006	Х
Schaffner Bilog Antenna – BL5	CBL6143	5041	13 May 2006	Х
EMCO Horn Antenna – H14	3115	0003-6087	19 May 2006	Х
EMCO Horn Antenna – H2	3115	9403-4250	19 May 2006	Х
Micro-tronics Band-Stop Filter	BRM50701	017	1 Apr 2006	Х

#### **Lab 7 Test Instrumentation**

(Carrier Frequency Separation, Number Of Hopping Frequencies, Spectrum Bandwidth (20dB Bandwidth Measurement), Average Frequency Dwell Time, Maximum Peak Power, RF Conducted Spurious Emissions at the Transmitter Antenna Terminal, Band Edge Compliance at the Transmitter **Antenna Terminal, Duty Cycle Correction Factor, Peak Power Density)** 

Instrument	Model	S/No	Cal Due Date	
HP Spectrum Analyzer	8564E	3846A09953	16 Dec 2006	×
R&S Universal Radio Communication Tester	CMU 200	837587/068	22 Apr 2006	×

#### Lab 1 Anechoic Chamber Test Instrumentation (Maximum Permissible Exposure)

Instrument	<u>Model</u>	<u>S/No</u>	Cal Due Date	
PMM 8053 Portable Field Meter	8053	0220J10308	3 Feb 2006	×
PMM Electric and Magnetic Field Analyzer	EHP-50A	1311L10515	11 Jan 2006	



#### **TEST INSTRUMENTATION & GENERAL PROCEDURES**

#### CONDUCTED EMISSIONS TEST DESCRIPTION

#### **Test Set-up**

- 1. The EUT and supporting equipment were set up in accordance with the requirements of the standard on top of a 1.5m x 1m x 0.8m high, non-metallic table.
- 2. The power supply for the EUT was fed through a  $50\Omega/50\mu H$  EUT LISN, connected to filtered mains.
- The RF OUT of the EUT LISN was connected to the EMI test receiver via a low-loss coaxial cable.
- All other supporting equipment were powered separately from another LISN.

#### **Test Method**

- 1. The EUT was switched on and allowed to warm up to its normal operating condition.
- 2. A scan was made on the NEUTRAL line over the required frequency range using an EMI test receiver.
- 3. High peaks, relative to the limit line, were then selected.
- The EMI test receiver was then tuned to the selected frequencies and the necessary measurements made with a receiver bandwidth setting of 10kHz. Both Quasi-peak and Average measurements were made.
- 5. Steps 2 to 4 were then repeated for the LIVE line.

#### **Sample Calculation Example**

At 20 MHz limit = 250  $\mu$ V = 47.96 dB $\mu$ V

Transducer factor of LISN, pulse limiter & cable loss at 20 MHz = 11.2 dB

Q-P reading obtained directly from EMI Receiver =  $40 \text{ dB}_{\mu}\text{V}$  (Calibrated for system losses)

Therefore, Q-P margin = 40 - 47.96 = -7.96 i.e. **7.96 dB below limit** 



## **PSB**Corporation

#### RADIATED EMISSIONS TEST DESCRIPTION

#### **Test Set-up**

- 1. The EUT and supporting equipment were set up in accordance with the requirements of the standard on top of a 1.5m X 1.0m X 0.8m high, non-metallic table.
- 2. The filtered power supply for the EUT and supporting equipment were tapped from the appropriate power sockets located on the turntable.
- 3. The relevant broadband antenna was set at the required test distance away from the EUT and supporting equipment boundary.

#### **Test Method**

- 1. The EUT was switched on and allowed to warm up to its normal operating condition.
- 2. A prescan was carried out to pick the worst frequencies.
- 3. The test was carried out at the selected frequency points obtained from the prescan. Maximization of the emissions, was carried out by rotating the EUT, changing the antenna polarization, and adjusting the antenna height in the following manner:
  - Vertical or horizontal polarisation (whichever gave the higher emission level over a full rotation of the EUT) was chosen.
  - b. The EUT was then rotated to the direction that gave the maximum emission.
  - c. Finally, the antenna height was adjusted to the height that gave the maximum emission.
- A Quasi-peak measurement was made for that frequency point if it was less than or equal to 1GHz. For frequency point that above 1GHz, both Peak and Average measurements were carried out.
- 5. Steps 3 and 4 were repeated for the next frequency point, until all selected frequency points were measured.
- 6. The frequency range covered was from 30MHz to 25GHz, using the Bi-log antenna for frequencies from 30MHz up to 3GHz, and the Horn antenna above 3GHz.

#### **Sample Calculation Example**

At 300 MHz

limit = 200  $\mu$ V/m = 46 dB $\mu$ V/m

Log-periodic antenna factor & cable loss at 300 MHz = 18.511 dB

Q-P reading obtained directly from EMI Receiver = 40 dB<sub>µ</sub>V/m

(Calibrated level including antenna factors & cable losses)

Therefore, Q-P margin = 40 - 46 = -6

i.e. 6 dB below limit



#### **TEST INSTRUMENTATION & GENERAL PROCEDURES**

ANNEX A

#### **CARRIER FREQUENCY SEPARATION TEST DESCRIPTION**

#### **Test Set-up**

- 1. The EUT and supporting equipment were set up as shown in the setup photo.
- 2. The power supply for the EUT was connected to a filtered mains.
- 3. The RF antenna connector was connected to the spectrum analyser via a low-loss coaxial cable.
- 4. The resolution bandwidth (RBW) and the video bandwidth (VBW) of the spectrum analyser were respectively set to 300kHz and 1MHz.
- 5. All other supporting equipment were powered separately from another filtered mains.

- 1. The EUT was switched on and allowed to warm up to its normal operating condition. The EUT was then configured to operate in the test mode with hopping sequence on.
- 2. The spectrum analyser was set to max hold to capture the two adjacent transmitting frequencies within the span. The signal capturing was continuous until no further signals were detected.
- 3. The carrier frequency separation of the two adjacent transmitting / operating frequency was measured by finding the carrier frequency difference between the two adjacent channels.

#### SPECTRUM BANDWIDTH (20dB BANDWIDTH MEASUREMENT) TEST DESCRIPTION

#### **Test Set-up**

- 1. The EUT and supporting equipment were set up as shown in the setup photo.
- 2. The power supply for the EUT was connected to a filtered mains.
- 3. The RF antenna connector was connected to the spectrum analyser via a low-loss coaxial cable.
- 4. The resolution bandwidth (RBW) and the video bandwidth (VBW) of the spectrum analyser were respectively set to 100kHz and 300kHz.
- 5. All other supporting equipment were powered separately from another filtered mains.

- 1. The EUT was switched on and allowed to warm up to its normal operating condition. The EUT was then configured to operate in the test mode, non-hopping with transmitting frequency at Channel 0 (2.40333GHz).
- 2. The center frequency of the spectrum analyser was set to the transmitting frequency with the frequency span wide enough to capture the 20dB bandwidth of the transmitting frequency.
- 3. The spectrum analyser was set to max hold to capture the transmitting frequency. The signal capturing was continuous until no further changes were observed.
- 4. The peak of the transmitting frequency was detected with the marker peak function of the spectrum analyser. The frequencies below the 20dB peak frequency at lower ( $f_L$ ) and upper ( $f_H$ ) sides of the transmitting frequency were marked and measured by using the marker-delta function of the spectrum analyser.
- 6. The 20dB bandwidth of the transmitting frequency is the frequency difference between the marked lower and upper frequencies,  $|f_H f_L|$ .
- 7. The steps 2 to 5 were repeated with the transmitting frequency was set to Channel 7 (2.44224GHz) and Channel 14 (2.47910GHz) respectively.


**PSB**Corporation

#### NUMBER OF HOPPING FREQUENCIES TEST DESCRIPTION

#### **Test Set-up**

- 1. The EUT and supporting equipment were set up as shown in the setup photo.
- 2. The power supply for the EUT was connected to a filtered mains.
- 4. The RF antenna connector was connected to the spectrum analyser via a low-loss coaxial cable.
- 4. The resolution bandwidth (RBW) and the video bandwidth (VBW) of the spectrum analyser were respectively set to 300kHz and 1MHz.
- 5. All other supporting equipment were powered separately from another filtered mains.

- 1. The EUT was switched on and allowed to warm up to its normal operating condition. The EUT was then configured to operate in the test mode with hopping sequence on.
- 2. The start and stop frequencies of the spectrum analyser were set to 2.40GHz and 2.421GHz with frequency sweeping set to 50ms.
- 3. The spectrum analyser was set to max hold to capture all the transmitting frequencies within the span. The signal capturing was continuous until all the transmitting frequencies were captured and no further signals were detected.
- 4. The numbers of transmitting frequencies were counted and recorded.
- 5. The steps 2 to 5 were repeated with the following start and stop frequencies settings:
  - a. 2.420GHz to 2.441GHz
  - b. 2.440GHz to 2.461GHz
  - c. 2.460GHz to 2.4835GHz
- 6. The total number of hopping frequencies is the sum of the number of the hopping frequencies found for each span.



**PSB**Corporation

#### AVERAGE FREQUENCY DWELL TIME TEST DESCRIPTION

#### **Test Set-up**

- 1. The EUT and supporting equipment were set up as shown in the setup photo.
- 2. The power supply for the EUT was connected to a filtered mains.
- 3. The RF antenna connector was connected to the spectrum analyser via a low-loss coaxial cable.
- 4. The resolution bandwidth (RBW) and the video bandwidth (VBW) of the spectrum analyser were respectively set to 1MHz and 3MHz.
- 5. All other supporting equipment were powered separately from another filtered mains.

#### **Test Method**

- 1. The EUT was switched on and allowed to warm up to its normal operating condition. The EUT was then configured to operate in the test mode, hopping sequence on.
- 2. The center frequency of the spectrum analyser was set to 2.40333GHz with zero frequency span (spectrum analyser acts as an oscilloscope).
- 3. The sweep time of the spectrum analyser was adjusted until a stable signal can be seen on the spectrum analyser.
- 4. The duration (dwell time) of a packet was measured using the marker-delta function of the spectrum analyser. The average dwell time of the transmitting frequency was computed as below:

Average Frequency Dwell Time = measured time slot length (I) x hopping rate (h) / number of hopping frequencies

where EUT hopping rate = 187.5 hops/s
Number of EUT hopping = 15 hops
frequencies

5. The steps 2 to 4 were repeated with the center frequency of the spectrum analyser were set to 2.44224GHz and 2.47910GHz respectively.



#### **TEST INSTRUMENTATION & GENERAL PROCEDURES**

ANNEX A

#### MAXIMUM PEAK POWER TEST DESCRIPTION


#### **Test Set-up**

- 1. The EUT and supporting equipment were set up as shown in the setup photo.
- 2. The power supply for the EUT was connected to a filtered mains.
- 3. The RF antenna connector was connected to the Universal Radio Communication Tester, which set into power analyser mode via a low-loss coaxial cable.
- 4. All other supporting equipment were powered separately from another filtered mains.

- 1. The EUT was switched on and allowed to warm up to its normal operating condition. The EUT was then configured to operate in the test mode, non-hopping with transmitting frequency at Channel 0 (2.40333GHz).
- 2. The maximum peak power of the transmitting frequency was detected and recorded.
- 3. The step 2 was repeated with the transmitting frequency was set to Channel 7 (2.44224GHz) and Channel 14 (2.47910GHz) respectively.



**PSB**Corporation



ANNEX A

## RF CONDUCTED SPURIOUS EMISSIONS AT THE TRANSMITTER ANTENNA TERMINAL TEST DESCRIPTION

#### **Test Set-up**

- 1. The EUT and supporting equipment were set up as shown in the setup photo.
- 2. The power supply for the EUT was connected to a filtered mains.
- The RF antenna connector was connected to the spectrum analyser via a low-loss coaxial cable.
- 4. The resolution bandwidth (RBW) and the video bandwidth (VBW) of the spectrum analyser were respectively set to 100kHz and 300kHz.
- All other supporting equipment were powered separately from another filtered mains.

- 1. The EUT was switched on and allowed to warm up to its normal operating condition. The EUT was then configured to operate in the test mode, non-hopping with transmitting frequency at Channel 0 (2.40333GHz).
- 2. The start and stop frequencies of the spectrum analyser were set to 30MHz and 10GHz.
- 3. The spectrum analyser was set to max hold to capture any spurious emissions within the span. The signal capturing was continuous until no further spurious emissions were detected.
- 4. The steps 2 to 3 were repeated with frequency span was set from 10GHz to 25GHz.
- 5. The steps 2 to 4 were repeated with the transmitting frequency was set to Channel 7 (2.44224GHz) and Channel 14 (2.47910GHz) respectively.



#### **TEST INSTRUMENTATION & GENERAL PROCEDURES**

ANNEX A

#### BAND EDGE COMPLIANCE AT THE TRANSMITTER ANTENNA TERMINAL TEST DESCRIPTION

#### **Test Set-up**

- 1. The EUT and supporting equipment were set up as shown in the setup photo.
- 2. The power supply for the EUT was connected to a filtered mains.
- 3. The RF antenna connector was connected to the spectrum analyser via a low-loss coaxial cable.
- 4. The resolution bandwidth (RBW) and the video bandwidth (VBW) of the spectrum analyser were respectively set to 100kHz and 300kHz.
- 5. All other supporting equipment were powered separately from another filtered mains.

- 1. The EUT was switched on and allowed to warm up to its normal operating condition. The EUT was then configured to operate in the test mode, hopping sequence on.
- 2. The frequency span of the spectrum analyser was set to wide enough to capture the lower band edge of the band, 2.40GHz and any spurious emissions at the band edge.
- 3. The spectrum analyser was set to max hold to capture any spurious emissions within the span. The signal capturing was continuous until no further spurious emissions were detected.
- 4. The steps 2 to 3 were repeated with the frequency span of the spectrum analyser was set to wide enough to capture the upper band edge frequency of the band, 2.4835GHz and the any spurious emissions at the band-edge.

#### PEAK POWER SPECTRAL DENSITY TEST DESCRIPTION

#### **Test Set-up**

- 1. The EUT and supporting equipment were set up as shown in the setup photo.
- 2. The power supply for the EUT was connected to a filtered mains.
- 3. The RF antenna connector was connected to the spectrum via a low-loss coaxial cable.
- 4. The resolution bandwidth (RBW) and the video bandwidth (VBW) of the spectrum analyser were respectively set to 3kHz and 10kHz.
- 5. All other supporting equipment were powered separately from another filtered mains.

- 1. The EUT was switched on and allowed to warm up to its normal operating condition. The EUT was then configured to operate in the test mode, non-hopping with transmitting frequency at Channel 0 (2.40333GHz).
- 2. The sweep time of the spectrum analyser was set to the value of the ratio of the frequency span divided by the RBW.
- 3. The peak power density of the transmitting frequency was detected and recorded.
- 4. The step 3 was repeated with the transmitting frequency was set to Channel 7 (2.44224GHz) and Channel 14 (2.47910GHz) respectively.

#### **DUTY CYCLE CORRECTION FACTOR DESCRIPTION**

#### **Test Set-up**

- 1. The EUT and supporting equipment were set up as shown in the setup photo.
- 2. The power supply for the EUT was connected to a filtered mains.
- 3. The RF antenna connector was connected to the spectrum via a low-loss coaxial cable.
- 4. The resolution bandwidth (RBW) and the video bandwidth (VBW) of the spectrum analyser were respectively set to 1MHz and 3MHz.
- 5. All other supporting equipment were powered separately from another filtered mains.

- 1. The EUT was switched on and allowed to warm up to its normal operating condition. The EUT was then configured to operate in the test mode, non-hopping with transmitting frequency at Channel 0 (2.40333GHz).
- 2. The on time and period of the transmission pulse were measured.
- 3. The steps 2 and 3 were repeated with the transmitting frequency was set to Channel 7 (2.44224GHz) and Channel 14 (2.47910GHz) respectively.
- 4. Compute the worst-case (longest on time) duty cycle correction factor as shown below.
  - Duty Cycle Factor = 20 log [Total On time / Period]



#### **TEST INSTRUMENTATION & GENERAL PROCEDURES**

#### MAXIMUM PERMISSIBLE EXPOSURE (MPE) TEST DESCRIPTION

#### **EUT Characterisation**

EUT characterisation, over the required frequency range as given in table 1 of FCC Part 1.1310 was carried out to determine the EUT mode of operation that produces the highest possible level of radio frequency radiation.

The EUT was placed in a anechoic chamber, at a height of about 1m on a table. Its radio frequency radiation profile was observed, using a field meter with the appropriate field proble antenna attached and 20cm away from the EUT. E-field (V/m) readings are recorded, since the field meter is most sensitive at this setting. Positions where maximum E-field readings are detected are noted for the final, actual measurement.

#### **Test Set-up**

- 1. The EUT and supporting equipment were set up on top of a non-metallic table.
- 2. The relevant field probe was positioned at least 20cm away from the EUT and supporting equipment boundary.

#### **Test Method**

- 1. The EUT was switched on and allowed to warm up to its normal operating condition.
- 2. The test was carried out at the selected positions obtained from the EUT characterisation.
- 3. Power density measurement (mW/cm²) was made using the field meter set to the required averaging time.
- 4. Steps 2 and 3 were repeated for the next position and its associate EUT operating mode, until all selected positions and modes were measured.

#### Sample Calculation Example

At 2400 MHz, limit = 1.0 mW/cm<sup>2</sup>

Power density reading obtained directly from field meter = 0.3 mW/cm<sup>2</sup> averaged over the required 30 minutes.

Therefore, margin =  $0.3 - 1.0 = -0.7 \text{ mW/cm}^2$  i.e. **0.7 mW/cm<sup>2</sup> below limit** 



# ANNEX B TEST PHOTOGRAPHS / DIAGRAMS

### **EUT PHOTOGRAPHS**



Overall Wireless Speaker System



Top View



**Bottom View** 



Front View



Rear View




Top View




**Bottom View** 




Internal View



**EUT PCB Component Side** 





RF Module Circuit with RF Shield Removed



Front View



Rear View



Top View




**Bottom View** 



Speaker Base Top View




Speaker Base Bottom View



Speaker Base Internal View







RF Module Circuit with RF Shield Removed

**ANNEX C** 

# **ANNEX C**

# USER MANUAL TECHNICAL DESCRIPTION BLOCK & CIRCUIT DIAGRAMS

(Please refer to attached copy)

# ANNEX D

# ANNEX D FCC LABEL & POSITION



#### Labelling requirements per Section 2.925 & 15.19

The label shown will be permanently affixed at a conspicuous location on the device and be readily visible to the user at the time of purchase.

FCC ID: LLP-NTJD800 S/N: XXXXXXXXX

MADE IN CHINA

This device complies with Part 15 of the FCC Rules. Operation is subject to the following two conditions: (1) This device may not cause harmful interference; and (2) This device must accept any interference received, including interference that may cause undesired operation.

#### Sample Label



**Physical Location of FCC Label on EUT** 



#### Labelling requirements per Section 2.925 & 15.19

The label shown will be permanently affixed at a conspicuous location on the device and be readily visible to the user at the time of purchase.

FCC ID: LLP-NTID800 S/N: XXXXXXXXX

MADE IN CHINA

This device complies with Part 15 of the FCC Rules. Operation is subject to the following two conditions: (1) This device may not cause harmful interference; and (2) This device must accept any interference received, including interference that may cause undesired operation.

#### Sample Label



**Physical Location of FCC Label on EUT**