

Page 1 of 44

# **RF Exposure Evaluation Report**

For

SPROUTLING SMART SLEEP WEARABLE - HUB

Model Number: FNF59 **Brand Name: MATTEL** 

FCC ID: PIYFNF59-17A5H

Prepared for Mattel Asia Pacific Sourcing Limited 13/F, South Tower, World Finance Centre, Harbour City, Tsim Sha Tsui, Kowloon, Hong Kong.

Prepared and Checked by:	Approved by:
Chan Chun Foo, Bike	Chan Chi Hung, Terry
Senior Lead Engineer	Assistant Manager
Date: April 11, 2017	Date: April 11, 2017

© 2016 Intertek

Intertek's standard Terms and Conditions can be obtained at our website: http://www.intertek.com/terms/.

The test report only allows to be revised within the retention period unless further standard or the requirement was noticed. This report is for the exclusive use of Intertek's Client and is provided pursuant to the agreement between Intertek and its Client. Intertek's responsibility and liability are limited to the terms and conditions of the agreement. Intertek assumes no liability to any party, other than to the Client in accordance with the agreement, for any loss, expense or damage occasioned by the use of this report. Only the Client is authorized to permit copying or distribution of this report and then only in its entirety. Any use of the Intertek name or one of its marks for the sale or advertisement of the tested material, product or service must first be approved in writing by Intertek. The observations and test results in this report are relevant only to the sample tested. This report by itself does not imply that the material, product, or service is or has ever been under an Intertek certification program.



Page 2 of 44

## **Table of Contents**

1	Test Result Summary	3
2	General Information	4
3	RF Exposure Consideration for Wireless Charging	.11
4	SAR Measurement System Description	. 11
5	Tissue Verificaiton	. 20
6	SAR Measurement System Verification	. 23
7	SAR Evaluation	. 25
8	Test Equipment List	. 38
9	Measurement Uncertainty	. 39
10	Calibration	. 39
Α	PPENDIX A – System Check Data	.40
Α	PPENDIX B – SAR Evaluation Data	.41
Α	PPENDIX C – E-Field Probe and Dipole Antenna Calibration	.43
Α	PPENDIX D – SAR System Validation	.44



Page 3 of 44

### 1. Test Result Summary

Applicant : Mattel Asia Pacific Sourcing Limited

13/F, South Tower, World Finance Centre,

Applicant Address : Harbour City, Tsim Sha Tsui,

Kowloon, Hong Kong.

Model : FNF59

Brand Name : MATTEL

Serial Number : N/A

FCC ID : PIYFNF59-17A5H
Test Device : Production Unit

Exposure Category : General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure

Date of Test : March 15, 2017

Intertek Testing Services Hong Kong

Place of Testing : Unit 3, G/F, World-Wide Industrial Centre,

43-47 Shan Mei Street, Fo Tan, Sha Tin.

Environmental Conditions

Temperature: +18 to 25°C

Humidity 25 to 75%

ANSI/IEEE C95.1 IEEE Std 1528: 2013

FCC KDB Publication 447498 D01 v06

Test Specification : FCC KDB Publication 865664 D01 v01r04

FCC KDB Publication 865664 D02 v01r02 FCC KDB Publication 248227 D01 v02r02

FCC KDB Publication 680106 D01 v02

The maximum spatial peak SAR value for the sample device averaged over 1g was found to be:

Pand	Operating Made	TX Frequency (MHz)	Highest Re	ported SAR
Band	Operating wode		Head SAR	Body SAR
IEEE 802.11b (2.4GHz)	Data	2412 - 2462	N/A	0.260

This wireless portable device has been shown to be capable of compliance for localized specific absorption rate (SAR) for uncontrolled environment / general population exposure limits specified in ANSI/IEEE C95.1.



Page 4 of 44

### 2. General Information

### 2.1. Description of Equipment under test (EUT)

Manufacturer : Mattel Asia Pacific Sourcing Limited

13/F, South Tower, World Finance Centre,

Manufacturer Address : Harbour City, Tsim Sha Tsui,

Kowloon, Hong Kong.

Device dimension (L x W) : 92 (mm) x 92 (mm)

Device thickness : 35 (mm)

0 dBi (2.4GHz WiFi)

Antenna Gain : 0 dBi (Bluetooth)

-3 dBi (Wireless Charging)

Operating Configuration(s) / mode : Body (Data)

2412 MHz to 2462 MHz (2.4GHz WiFi)

Tx Frequency (MHz) : 2402 MHz to 2480 MHz (Bluetooth)

6.78 MHz +/- 203 Hz (Wireless Charging)

Duty Cycle\* : 100%

H/W Version : N/A

S/W Version : N/A


Input power : 5VDC through USB cable

Body-worn Accessories : N/A



Page 5 of 44

### 2.2. EUT Antenna Locations



Position	Separation distance from the antenna to the outer surface (mm)	
Тор	17.74 mm	
Bottom	8.44 mm	
Position 1	19.27 mm	
Position 2	8.85 mm	
Position 3	29.98 mm	
Position 4	75.56 mm	



Page 6 of 44

## 2.3. Nominal and Maximum Output Power Specifications

The EUT operates using the following maximum and nominal output power specifications. SAR values were scaled to the maximum allowed power to determine compliance per KDB Publication 447498.

#### For Bluetooth

			Output Power	
Band	Operating Mode	TX Frequency (MHz)	Time-averaged Nominal (dBm)	Time-average Maximum (dBm)
2.4 GHz	Data	2402 - 2480	3	5

#### For 2.4GHz WiFi

			Output Power		
Band	Operating Mode	TX Frequency (MHz)	Time-averaged Nominal (dBm)	Time-average Maximum (dBm)	
IEEE 802.11b (2.4GHz)	Data	2412 - 2462	12.5	13.5	
IEEE 802.11g (2.4GHz)	Data	2412 - 2462	12.5	13.5	
IEEE 802.11n (2.4GHz)	Data	2412 - 2462	12.5	13.5	

For Wireless Charging

			Outpu	t Power
Band	Operating Mode	TX Frequency (MHz)	Peak Nominal	Peak Maximum
			(uW)	(uW)
6.78 MHz	Data	6.78 MHz +/- 203 Hz	0.05	0.05



Page 7 of 44

#### 3. RF Exposure consideration for wireless charging


According to KDB 680106 D01, for devices designed for typical desktop applications, such a wireless charging pads, RF exposure evaluation should be conducted assuming a user separation distance of 10 cm. E and H field strength measurements or numerical modeling may be used to demonstrate compliance. Measurements should be made from all sides and the top of the primary/client pair, with the 10 cm measured from the center of the probe(s) to the edge of the device.

Emissions between 1.34 MHz to 30 MHz should be assessed versus the limits as below Table 1 of Section 1.1310: 824/f V/m and 2.19/f A/m for general uncontrolled exposure.

Frequency range Electric field strength Magnetic field strength Power density Averaging time (MHz) (mW/cm<sup>2</sup>) (minutes) (A) Limits for Occupational/Controlled Exposure \* 100 0.3-3.0 614 1.63 3.0-30 1842/f 4.89/f \* 900/f <sup>2</sup> 30-300 61.4 0.163 1.0 6 300-1.500 f/300 6 1,500-100,000 (B) Limits for General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure 0.3-1.34 \* 100 30 614 1.63 1.34-30 824/f 2.19/f \* 180/f <sup>2</sup> 30 30-300 27.5 0.073 0.2 30 300-1,500 f/1500 30 1,500-100,000 1.0 30

TABLE 1 - LIMITS FOR MAXIMUM PERMISSIBLE EXPOSURE (MPE)

#### **Test setup**



f = frequency in MHz \* = Plane-wave equivalent power density



Page 8 of 44

#### **Measurement Procedure**

Measurements are conducted from all sides and the top of the primary/client pair, with 10 cm measured from the center of the probe(s) to the edge of the device at various battery charging states -almost drained, halfway charged, and nearly charged (1%, 50%, and 99% battery charge respectively).

### **Test Result**

Temperature: 20.5 deg C; Relative Humidity: 46%

Operating frequency: 6.78MHz Battery state: Almost drained

Electric Field (E-Field) strength at 10cm from the boundaries of the EUT (1% battery)

Test Position	Measuring Distance (cm)	Measured E-field (V/m)	Limit (V/m)	30% Limit
Position 1	10	0.80	121.53	36.46
Position 2	10	0.79	121.53	36.46
Position 3	10	0.81	121.53	36.46
Position 4	10	0.91	121.53	36.46
Position 5	10	1.18	121.53	36.46
Position 6	10	0.88	121.53	36.46

#### Magnetic Field (H-Field) strength at 10cm from the boundaries of the EUT (1% battery)

Test Position	Measuring Distance (cm)	Measured H-field (A/m)	Limit (A/m)	30% Limit
Position 1	10	0.037	0.32	0.097
Position 2	10	0.035	0.32	0.097
Position 3	10	0.044	0.32	0.097
Position 4	10	0.042	0.32	0.097
Position 5	10	0.055	0.32	0.097
Position 6	10	0.043	0.32	0.097



Page 9 of 44

Temperature: 20.5 deg C; Relative Humidity: 46%

Operating frequency: 6.78MHz Battery state: Halfway charged

### Electric Field (E-Field) strength at 10cm from the boundaries of the EUT (50% battery)

Test Position	Measuring Distance (cm)	Measured E-field (V/m)	Limit (V/m)	30% Limit
Position 1	10	0.82	121.53	36.46
Position 2	10	0.88	121.53	36.46
Position 3	10	0.90	121.53	36.46
Position 4	10	0.99	121.53	36.46
Position 5	10	1.21	121.53	36.46
Position 6	10	0.97	121.53	36.46

## Magnetic Field (H-Field) strength at 10cm from the boundaries of the EUT (50% battery)

Test Position	Measuring Distance (cm)	Measured H-field (A/m)	Limit (A/m)	30% Limit
Position 1	10	0.037	0.32	0.097
Position 2	10	0.034	0.32	0.097
Position 3	10	0.042	0.32	0.097
Position 4	10	0.044	0.32	0.097
Position 5	10	0.053	0.32	0.097
Position 6	10	0.045	0.32	0.097



Page 10 of 44

Temperature: 20.5 deg C; Relative Humidity: 46%

Operating frequency: 6.78MHz Battery state: Nearly charged

### Electric Field (E-Field) strength at 10cm from the boundaries of the EUT (99% battery)

ᆫ	ctric riela (E-rieli	a) su engui at iu	ciii ii oiii tiie	Doutidaties of	IIIE EUT (33 /6 Dati
	Test Position	Measuring Distance (cm)	Measured E-field (V/m)	Limit (V/m)	30% Limit
	Position 1	10	0.88	121.53	36.46
	Position 2	10	0.82	121.53	36.46
	Position 3	10	0.87	121.53	36.46
	Position 4	10	1.01	121.53	36.46
	Position 5	10	1.33	121.53	36.46
	Position 6	10	1.00	121.53	36.46

## Magnetic Field (H-Field) strength at 10cm from the boundaries of the EUT (99% battery)

Test Position	Measuring Distance (cm)	Measured H-field (A/m)	Limit (A/m)	30% Limit
Position 1	10	0.041	0.32	0.097
Position 2	10	0.037	0.32	0.097
Position 3	10	0.046	0.32	0.097
Position 4	10	0.048	0.32	0.097
Position 5	10	0.066	0.32	0.097
Position 6	10	0.048	0.32	0.097



Page 11 of 44

### 4. SAR Measurement System Description

SAR is related to the rate at which energy is absorbed per unit mass in object exposed to a radio field. The SAR distribution in a biological body is complicated and is usually carried out by experimental techniques or numerical modeling. The standard recommends limits for two tiers of groups, occupational/controlled and occupational/uncontrolled, based on a person's awareness and ability to exercise control over his or her exposure. In general, occupational/controlled exposure limits are higher than the limits for general population/uncontrolled.

The SAR definition is the time derivative (rate) of the incremental energy (dW) absorbed by (dissipated in) an incremental mass (dm) contained in a volume element (dv) of given mass density  $(\rho)$ . The equation description is as below:

$$SAR = \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{dW}{dm} \right) = \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{dW}{\rho dV} \right)$$

SAR is expressed in units of Watts per kilogram (W/Kg) SAR can be obtained using either of the following equations:

$$SAR = \frac{\sigma E^2}{\rho}$$

$$SAR = c_h \frac{dT}{dt} \Big|_{t=0}$$

Where

SAR is the specific absorption rate in watts per kilogram;

E is the r.m.s. value of the electric field strength in the tissue in volts per

meter;

σ is the conductivity of the tissue in siemens per metre;

ρ is the density of the tissue in kilograms per cubic metre;

c<sub>h</sub> is the heat capacity of the tissue in joules per kilogram and Kelvin;

is the initial time derivative of temperature in the tissue in kelvins per second



Page 12 of 44

An SAR measurement system usually consists of a small diameter isotropic electric field probe, a multiple axis probe positioning system, a test device holder, one or more phantom models, the field probe instrumentation, a computer and other electronic equipment for controlling the probe and making the measurements. Other supporting equipment, such as a network analyzer, power meters and RF signal generators, are also required to measure the dielectric parameters of the simulated tissue media and to verify the measurement accuracy of the SAR system.

The SAR measurement system being used is COMOSAR system, which consists following items for performing compliance tests




Figure 1: Schematic diagram of the SAR measurement system

- The PC. It controls most of the bench devices and stores measurement data. A computer running WinXP and the Opensar software
- The E-Field probe. The probe is a 3-axis system made of 3 distinct dipoles. Each dipole returns a voltage in function of the ambient electric field.
- The Keithley multimeter measures each probe dipole voltages.
- The SAM phantom simulates a human head. The measurement of the electric field is made inside the phantom.
- The liquids simulate the dielectric properties of the human head tissues
- The network emulator controls the mobile phone under test.
- The validation dipoles are used to measure a reference SAR. They are used to periodically check the bench to make sure that there is no drift of the system characteristics over time.
- The phantom, the device holder and other accessories according to the targeted measurement.



Page 13 of 44

## Robot

The COMOSAR system uses the KUKA robot from SATIMO SA (France). For the 6-axis controller COMOSAR system, the KUKA robot controller version from SATIMO is used.

The XL robot series have many features that are important for our application:

- High precision (repeatability 0.02 mm)
- High reliability (industrial design)
- Jerk-free straight movements
- Low ELF interference (the closed metallic construction shields against motor control fields)
- 6-axis controller





Page 14 of 44

## **COMOSAR E-Field Probe**

The SAR measurement is conducted with the dissymmetric probe manufactured by SATIMO. The probe is specially designed and calibrated for use in liquid with high permittivity. The dissymmetric probe has special calibration in liquid at different frequency. SATIMO conducts the probe calibration in compliance with international and national standards (e.g. IEEE Std 1528-2013 and relevant KDB files). The calibration data are in Appendix C.

Model	SSE2	
Manufacture	MVG	
Frequency	0.45GHz-6GHz Linearity:±0.08dB	
Dynamic Range	0.01W/Kg-100W/Kg Linearity:±0.08dB	
Dimensions	Overall length:330mm Length of individual dipoles:2mm Maximum external diameter:8mm Probe Tip external diameter:2.5mm Distance between dipoles/ probe extremity:1mm	




Page 15 of 44

### Video Positioning System

The video positioning system is used in OpenSAR to check the probe. Which is composed of a camera, LED, mirror and mechanical parts. The camera is piloted by the main computer with firewire link.

During the process, the actual position of the probe tip with respect to the robot arm is measured, as well as the probe length and the horizontal probe offset. The software then corrects all movements, such that the robot coordinates are valid for the probe tip.

The repeatability of this process is better than 0.1 mm. If a position has been taught with an aligned probe, the same position will be reached with another aligned probe within 0.1 mm, even if the other probe has different dimensions. During probe rotations, the probe tip will keep its actual position.





Page 16 of 44

### **SAM Twin Phantom**

The SAM twin phantom is a fiberglass shell phantom with 2mm  $\pm$  0.2 mm shell thickness (except the ear region where shell thickness increases to 6mm $\pm$  0.2 mm), relative permittivity  $\epsilon$ r = 3.4 and loss tangent  $\delta$  = 0.02. It has three measurement areas:

- Left head
- Right head
- Flat phantom



The bottom plate contains three pair of bolts for locking the device holder. The device holder positions are adjusted to the standard measurement positions in the three sections. A white cover is provided to tap the phantom during off-periods to prevent water evaporation and changes in the liquid parameters. On the phantom top, three reference markers are provided to identify the phantom position with respect to the robot.



Page 17 of 44

### Elliptical Phantom

The elliptical phantom is a fiberglass shell phantom with

- 2mm ± 0.2 mm shell thickness
- relative permittivity εr = 3.4
- loss tangent  $\delta = 0.02$




### **Device Holder**

The COMOSAR device holder is designed to cope with different positions given in the standard. It has two scales for the device rotation (with respect to the body axis) and the device inclination (with respect to the line between the ear reference points). The rotation center for both scales is the ear reference point (EPR).

Thus the device needs no repositioning when changing the angles.

The COMOSAR device holder has been made out of low-loss POM material having the following dielectric parameters: relative permittivity  $\epsilon r$  =3.7 and loss tangent  $\delta$  = 0.005. The amount of dielectric material has been reduced in the closest vicinity of the device, since measurements have suggested that the influence of the clamp on the test results could thus be lowered.





Page 18 of 44

During measurement, the system first does an area (2D) scan at a fixed depth within the liquid from the inside wall of the phantom scanning area is greater than the projection of EUT and antenna.

#### Area Scan Parameters extracted from KDB 865664

	≤3 GHz	> 3 GHz	
Maximum distance from closest measurement point (geometric center of probe sensors) to phantom surface	5 mm ± 1 mm	$\frac{1}{2} \cdot \delta \cdot \ln(2) \text{ mm} \pm 0.5 \text{ mm}$	
Maximum probe angle from probe axis to phantom surface normal at the measurement location	30° ± 1°	20° ± 1°	
	≤ 2 GHz: ≤ 15 mm 2 – 3 GHz: ≤ 12 mm	3 – 4 GHz: ≤ 12 mm 4 – 6 GHz: ≤ 10 mm	
Maximum area scan spatial resolution: Δx <sub>Area</sub> , Δy <sub>Area</sub>	When the x or y dimension of the test device, in the measurement plane orientation, is smaller than the above, the measurement resolution must be ≤ the corresponding x or y dimension of the test device with at least one measurement point on the test device.		



Page 19 of 44

When the maximum SAR point has been found, the system will then carry out a zoom (3D) scan centered at that point to determine volume averaged SAR level.

#### Zoom Scan Parameters extracted from KDB 865664

Maximum zoom scan	Maximum zoom scan spatial resolution: Δx <sub>Zoom</sub> , Δy <sub>Zoom</sub>			3 – 4 GHz: ≤ 5 mm* 4 – 6 GHz: ≤ 4 mm*
	uniform grid: $\Delta z_{Zoom}(n)$		≤ 5 mm	3 – 4 GHz: ≤ 4 mm 4 – 5 GHz: ≤ 3 mm 5 – 6 GHz: ≤ 2 mm
Maximum zoom scan spatial resolution, normal to phantom surface	graded grid $1^{st}$ two points of to phantom sur $\Delta z_{Zoom}(n>1)$ :	Δz <sub>Zoom</sub> (1): between 1 <sup>st</sup> two points closest to phantom surface	≤ 4 mm	3 – 4 GHz: ≤ 3 mm 4 – 5 GHz: ≤ 2.5 mm 5 – 6 GHz: ≤ 2 mm
		between subsequent	≤ 1.5·Δz <sub>Zoo</sub>	<sub>om</sub> (n-1) mm
Minimum zoom scan volume	x, y, z		≥ 30 mm	3 – 4 GHz: ≥ 28 mm 4 – 5 GHz: ≥ 25 mm 5 – 6 GHz: ≥ 22 mm

Note:  $\delta$  is the penetration depth of a plane-wave at normal incidence to the tissue medium; see IEEE Std 1528-2013 for details.

<sup>\*</sup> When zoom scan is required and the <u>reported</u> SAR from the <u>area scan based 1-g SAR estimation</u> procedures of KDB Publication 447498 is ≤ 1.4 W/kg, ≤ 8 mm, ≤ 7 mm and ≤ 5 mm zoom scan resolution may be applied, respectively, for 2 GHz to 3 GHz, 3 GHz to 4 GHz and 4 GHz to 6 GHz.



Page 20 of 44

### 5. Tissue Verification

For SAR measurement of field distribution inside phantom, homogeneous tissue simulating liquid as below liquid recipes were filled to a depth of 15cm ± 0.5cm for below 3GHz measurement and of 10cm ± 0.5cm for above 3GHz.

## **Head Tissue Recipes**

	Ingredients					
Frequency	De- ionized Water	Salt	1,2 propanediol	DGBE	DGMH	Triton X100
450 MHz	33.5%	3.4%	63.1%			
750 MHz	34.2%	1.4%	64.4%			
900 MHz	35.3%	1.0%	63.7%			
1800 MHz	55.2%	0.6%		13.8%		30.4%
1900 MHz	55.3%	0.5%		13.8%		30.4%
2000 MHz	55.3%	0.4%		13.8%		30.5%
2450 MHz	55.7%	0.3%		18.7%		25.3%
5000 MHz	65.3%				17.2%	17.5%

## **Body Tissue Recipes**

	Ingredients					
Frequency	De- ionized Water	Salt	1,2 propanediol	DGBE	DGMH	Triton X100
450 MHz	52.4%	1.9%	45.7%			
750 MHz	55.4%	1.3%	43.3%			
900 MHz	52.9%	1.0%	46.1%			
1800 MHz	70.8%	0.5%		8.7%		20.0%
1900 MHz	70.1%	0.4%		8.9%		20.6%
2000 MHz	70.2%	0.3%		8.6%		20.9%
2450 MHz	70.8%	0.3%		8.7%		20.2%
5000 MHz	77.8%				11.7%	11.5%



Page 21 of 44

The head tissue dielectric parameters recommended by the IEEE Std 1528 have been incorporated in the following table. These head parameters are derived from planar layer models simulating the highest expected SAR for the dielectric properties and tissue thickness variations in a human head. For other head and body tissue parameters, they are recommended by KDB 865664.

Target	head		bo	ody
Frequency	εr	σ (S/m)	εr	σ (S/m)
300	45.3	0.87	58.2	0.92
450	43.5	0.87	56.7	0.94
835	41.5	0.90	55.2	0.97
900	41.5	0.97	55.0	1.05
915	41.5	1.01	55.0	1.06
1450	40.5	1.20	54.0	1.30
1610	40.3	1.29	53.8	1.40
1800 – 2000	40.0	1.40	53.3	1.52
2450	39.2	1.80	52.7	1.95
3000	38.5	2.40	52.0	2.73
5800	35.3	5.27	48.2	6.00

( $\varepsilon r$  = relative permittivity,  $\sigma$  = conductivity and  $\rho$  = 1000 kg/m<sup>3</sup>)

When a transmission band overlaps with one of the target frequencies, the tissue dielectric parameters of the tissue medium at the middle of a device transmission band should be within ±5% of the parameters specified at that target frequency.



Page 22 of 44

The dielectric parameters of the liquids were verified prior to the SAR evaluation using SATIMO Dielectric Probe Kit and R&S Network Analyzer ZVL6.

The dielectric parameters were:

### **Body Liquid**

_	=								
	Freq.	Temp.	ε <sub>r</sub> / Rela	ative Perm	nitti∨ity	σ / Conductivity			ρ **(kg/m³)
	(MHz)	(°C)	measured	Target*	Δ (±5%)	measured	Target*	Δ (±5%)	p (ng/m/
	2410	20.9	50.41	52.7	-4.35	1.87	1.95	-4.10	1000
	2450	20.9	51.56	52.7	-2.16	1.94	1.95	-0.51	1000

<sup>\*</sup> Target values refer to KDB 865664

#### Note:

1. Date of tissue verification measurement: March 15, 2017

2. Ambient temperature: 21.5 deg C

3. The temperature condition is within +/- 2 deg. C during the SAR measurements.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Worst-case assumption




Page 23 of 44

### 6. SAR Measurement System Verification

Each SATIMO system is equipped with one or more system check kits. These units, together with the predefined measurement procedures within the SATIMO software, enable user to conduct the system check. System kit includes a dipole, and dipole device holder.

The system check verifies that the system operates within its specifications. It's performed daily or before every SAR measurement. The system check uses normal SAR measurement in the flat section of the phantom with a matched dipole at a specified distance. The system check setup is shown as below.





Page 24 of 44

## **Validation Dipole**



The dipoles used is based on the IEEE Std 1528, and is complied with mechanical and electrical specifications in line with the requirements of both FCC and KDB requirement.

## **System check results**

	System Verification								
Date	Freq. (MHz)	Liquid Type	System Diople	Serial No.	Target SAR <sub>1g</sub> (W/kg)	Measured SAR <sub>1g</sub> (W/kg)	Normalized SAR <sub>1g</sub> (W/kg)	Deviation (±10%)	
Mar 15	2450	Body	2450MHz	SN 22/16 DIP 2G450- 411	52.08	4.997	49.97	-4.05	

<sup>\*</sup> the target was quoted from dipole calibration report

SAR<sub>1g</sub> ambient measured value < 12 mW/kg

Details of System Verification plot is shown in the Appendix A - plot 1.

<sup>\*</sup> Input power level = 20dBm (0.1W)



Page 25 of 44

#### 7. SAR Evaluation

#### 7.1. Device test positions relative to the head

This practice specifies two handset test positions against the head phantom—the "cheek" position and the "tilt" position. These two test positions are defined in the following subclauses. The handset should be tested in both positions on left and right sides of the SAM phantom. If handset construction is such that the handset positioning procedures described below to represent normal use conditions cannot be used, e.g., some asymmetric handsets, alternative alignment procedures should be adapted with all details provided in the test report. These alternative procedures should replicate intended use conditions as closely as possible according to the intent of the procedures described in this subclause.




Page 26 of 44

#### Definition of the cheek position

The cheek position is established as follows:

- 1. Ready the handset for talk operation, if necessary. For example, for handsets with a cover piece (flip cover), open the cover. If the handset can transmit with the cover closed, both configurations must be tested.
- 2. Define two imaginary lines on the handset—the vertical centerline and the horizontal line. The vertical centerline passes through two points on the front side of the handset—the midpoint of the width wt of the handset at the level of the acoustic output (point A in below figure), and the midpoint of the width wb of the bottom of the handset (point B). The horizontal line is perpendicular to the vertical centerline and passes through the center of the acoustic output (see below left figure). The two lines intersect at point A. Note that for many handsets, point A coincides with the center of the acoustic output; however, the acoustic output may be located elsewhere on the horizontal line. Also note that the vertical centerline is not necessarily parallel to the front face of the handset (see right figure), especially for clamshell handsets, handsets with flip covers, and other irregularly-shaped handsets.
- 3. Position the handset close to the surface of the phantom such that point A is on the (virtual) extension of the line passing through points RE and LE on the phantom (see the figure as next page), such that the plane defined by the vertical centerline and the horizontal line of the handset is approximately parallel to the sagittal plane of the phantom.
- **4.** Translate the handset towards the phantom along the line passing through RE and LE until handset point A touches the pinna at the ERP.





Page 27 of 44

- **5.** While maintaining the handset in this plane, rotate it around the LE-RE line until the vertical centerline is in the plane normal to the plane containing B-M and N-F lines, i.e., the Reference Plane.
- **6.** Rotate the handset around the vertical centerline until the handset (horizontal line) is parallel to the N-F line.
- **7.** While maintaining the vertical centerline in the Reference Plane, keeping point A on the line passing through RE and LE, and maintaining the handset contact with the pinna, rotate the handset about the N-F line until any point on the handset is in contact with a phantom point below the pinna on the cheek.






Page 28 of 44


#### **Definition of the tilt position**

The tilt position is established as follows:

- 1. Repeat steps to place the device in the cheek position.
- 2. While maintaining the orientation of the handset, move the handset away from the pinna along the line passing through RE and LE far enough to allow a rotation of the handset away from the cheek by 15°.
- 3. Rotate the handset around the horizontal line by 15°.
- **4.** While maintaining the orientation of the handset, move the handset towards the phantom on the line passing through RE and LE until any part of the handset touches the ear. The tilt position is obtained when the contact point is on the pinna. See the figure as below. If contact occurs at any location other than the pinna, e.g., the antenna at the back of the phantom head, the angle of the handset should be reduced.
- **5.** In this case, the tilt position is obtained if any point on the handset is in contact with the pinna and a second point on the handset is in contact with the phantom, e.g., the antenna with the back of the head.









Page 29 of 44

#### 7.2. Device test positions relative to body-worn accessory

Body-worn operating configurations are tested with the belt-clips and holsters attached to the device and positioned against a flat phantom in a normal use configuration. Per FCC KDB Publication 648474, Body-worn accessory exposure is typically related to voice mode operations when handsets are carried in body worn accessories. The body-worn accessory procedures in FCC KDB Publication 447498 should be used to test for body-worn accessory SAR compliance, without a headset connected to it. This enables the test results for such configuration to be compatible with that required for hotspot mode when the body-worn accessory test separation distance is greater than or equal to that required for hotspot mode, when applicable. When the reported SAR for a body-worn accessory, measured without a headset connected to the handset, is >1.2W/kg, the highest reported SAR configuration for that wireless mode and frequency band should be reported for that body-worn accessory with a headset attached to the handset.

SAR evaluation is required for body-worn accessories supplied with the host device. The test configurations must be conservative for supporting the body-worn accessory use conditions expected by users. Body-worn accessories that do not contain metallic or conductive components may be tested according to worst-case exposure configurations, typically according to the smallest test separation distance required for the group of body-worn accessories with similar operating and exposure characteristics. All body-worn accessories containing metallic components, either supplied with the product or available as an option from the device manufacturer, must be tested in conjunction with the host device to demonstrate compliance

Body-worn accessories may not always be supplied or available as options for some devices intended to be authorized for body-worn use. In this case, a test configuration with a separation distance between the back of the device and the flat phantom is used. Test position spacing was documented. Transmitters that are designed to operate in front of a person's face, as in push-to-talk configurations, are tested for SAR compliance with the front of the device positioned to face the flat phantom in head fluid.




Page 30 of 44

### 7.3. General Device Setup

The device was powered by USB adaptor and was placed into test mode to simulate the worst case configuration through the max power channel (lowest channel), where the operating parameters established in this test mode is identical to those programmed in production units, including output power levels, amplifier gain settings and other RF performance tuning parameters. The test frequency is corresponded to actual channel frequencies defined for domestic use.

During testing, the device was evaluated with power saving function disabled and was configured to operate at maximum output power. A receive antenna and a spectrum analyzer were placed with a distance > 50cm away from the device to monitor the transmission states.





Page 31 of 44

#### 7.4. Standalone SAR Test exclusion considerations

When the corresponding SAR Exclusion Threshold requirement in KDB 447498 is satisfied, standalone SAR evaluation for general population exposure conditions, by measurement or numerical simulation is not required.

#### For Bluetooth operation,

Maximum conducted source-based time-averaged output power = 5dBm = 3.16mW

SAR Exclusion Threshold can be determined as follow under frequency range 100MHz to 6GHz and test separation distance ≤ 50mm,

The SAR exclusion threshold = 
$$(3.0 \times TD) / \sqrt{F(GHz)}$$
  
= **9.5 mW**

where TD = 5 mm and F(GHz) = 2.480 GHz

### For Wireless Charging operation,

Maximum output power = 0.05 uW

SAR Exclusion Threshold can be determined as follow under frequency range below 100MHz and test separation distance ≤ 50mm,

The SAR exclusion threshold = 
$$\{(3.0 \times 50) / \sqrt{0.1}\} + (50 - 50) * [100 / 150] * \{1 + \log[100 / F(MHz)]\} * \{1/2\} \text{ mW}$$
 = 474.34 \*  $\{1 + \log[100 / F(MHz)]\} * \{1/2\} \text{ mW}$  = 514.4 mW

where F(MHz) = 6.78 MHz

#### Conclusion

Since the maximum power with production tolerance of Bluetooth and wireless charging are less than the SAR Exclusion Threshold at 5mm test separation distance for general population and uncontrolled exposure, standalone SAR evaluation of these 2 standalone operation for general population exposure conditions, by measurement are not required.



Page 32 of 44

### 7.5. RF Output Power Measurements

#### For 2.4GHz WiFi operation

Operating Mode / Band	Date Rate	Channel	Freq. (MHz)	Measured Time- averaged Conducted Power (dBm)
IEEE 802.11b		1	2412	13.09
(2.4GHz)	1M	6	2437	12.43
(2.40112)		11	2462	11.96
IEEE 000 11a		1	2412	8.47
IEEE 802.11g	6M	6	2437	12.75
(2.4GHz)		11	2462	7.43
IEEE 000 44m		1	2412	8.55
IEEE 802.11n (2.4GHz)	6.5 M	6	2437	11.88
(2.4002)		11	2462	7.50

#### Note:

- 1. There was no power reduction used for any band/mode implemented in this device.
- 2. Per KDB 447498, the tested device was within the specified tune-up tolerances range, but not more than 2dB lower than the maximum tune-up tolerance limit.
- 3. Per KDB 447498, when antenna port was not available on the device to support conducted power measurement and test software was used to establish transmitter power levels, the power level was verified separately according to design and component specifications and product development information specified by the manufacturer.
- 4. Per KDB 248227 D01, the highest measured maximum output power channel for DSSS (802.11b) was selected for SAR measurement.



Page 33 of 44

## 7.6 SAR Test Exclusion Consideration for Adjacent Edges

The SAR test Exclusion Thresholds Evaluation in KDB 447498 D01 can be applied to determine SAR test exclusion for adjacent edge configurations.

The test separation distance for SAR test exclusion of adjacent edges is determined by the closest distance between the antenna and outer housing on the adjacent edge of the device.

According to the antenna to outer housing separation distance and maximum time-averaged output power as below, SAR evaluation of **Top**, **Position 1**, **Position 3** and **Position 4** edges are not required.

Exposure Position	Antenna to outer housing separation distance	SAR Exclusion Threshold	Maximum Time-averaged Conducted Power	SAR Exclusion Result
Тор	17.74 mm	33.9 mW		Excluded
Bottom	8.44 mm	16.1 mW		Test required
Position 1	19.27mm	36.8 mW	13.5 dBm =	Excluded
Position 2	8.85 mm	16.9 mW	22.39 mW	Test required
Position 3	29.98 mm	57.3 mW		Excluded
Position 4	75.56 mm	351.2 mW		Excluded



Page 34 of 44

#### **Exposure Conditions** 7.7.

**Body Exposure Conditions** 

Test Configurations	Distance to phantom	Operation Mode	SAR Required	Note
Тор	0 mm	Data (IEEE 802.11b)	No	SAR test exclusion applied
Bottom	0 mm	Data (IEEE 802.11b)	Yes	
Position 1	0 mm	Data (IEEE 802.11b)	No	SAR test exclusion applied
Position 2	0 mm	Data (IEEE 802.11b)	Yes	
Position 3	0 mm	Data (IEEE 802.11b)	No	SAR test exclusion applied
Position 4	0 mm	Data (IEEE 802.11b)	No	SAR test exclusion applied

### Note:

Per KDB 447498 D01, SAR test exclusion can be applied to determine the test configuration for adjacent edge.



Page 35 of 44

#### 7.8. Test Result

The results on the following page(s) were obtained when the device was tested in the condition described in this report. Detailed measurement data and plots, which reveal information about the location of the maximum SAR with respect to the device, are reported in Appendix B.

### **Body SAR**

Measurement Result												
Chan	Freq. (MHz)	Input	Mode	Test Position	Maximum Allowed Power (dBm)	Measured Power (dBm)	SAR Drift (%)	Measured SAR <sub>1g</sub> (W/kg)	Scaling factor	Reported SAR <sub>1g</sub> (W/kg)	Plot	
1	2412	5VDC	Data	Bottom 0mm	13.5	13.09	-4.63	0.237	1.099	0.260	1	
1	2412	5VDC	Data	Position 2 0mm	13.5	13.09	4.96	0.034	1.099	0.037	2	

#### Note:

- 1. There was no power reduction used for any band/mode implemented in this device.
- 2. Reported SAR results were scaled to the maximum allowed power with the scaling factor equation -10<sup>^</sup>[(Maximum power measured power) / 10].
- 3. Per KDB 248227 D01, the highest measured maximum output power channel for DSSS (802.11b) was selected for SAR measurement.
- 4. Per KDB 447498 D01, if the reported SAR value was ≤ 0.8 W/kg and the transmission band was ≤ 100MHz, SAR testing was not required for the other test channels in the band.
- Per KDB 248227 D01, when the highest reported SAR for DSSS (2.4GHz 802.11b) for initial test position adjusted by the ratio of OFDM to DSSS specified maximum output power is ≤ 1.2 W/kg, SAR measurement is not required for 2.4 GHz 802.11g/n OFDM configurations
- 6. Per KDB 865664 D01, repeated measurement was not required when the original highest measured SAR was < 0.8W/kg.



Page 36 of 44

#### 7.9. Simultaneous Transmission SAR exclusion considerations

Since wireless charging and 2.4GHz Wifi or wireless charging and Bluetooth 4.0 can operate simultaneously, simultaneous transmission analysis is required.

Per KDB 447498, 1g simultaneous transmission SAR test exclusion can be applied when the sum of 1g SAR of all simultaneously transmitting antennas in an operating mode and exposure condition combination is within the SAR limit (≤ 1.6 W/kg). When the standalone 1g SAR test exclusion is applied, the standalone 1g SAR must be estimated according to the following equation,

Estimated 1g SAR = 
$$(\sqrt{F(GHz)}/7.5)x(P \max/TD)$$

where

*F*(*GHz*) is the RF channel transmit frequency in GHz *Pmax* is the max.power of channel, including tune-up tolerance, mW *TD* is the min. test separation distance, mm

#### **Simultaneous Transmission Analysis**

Operation Mode	Wireless charging	Bluetooth	2.4GHz WiFi			
Maximum power with production tolerance (mW)	0.00005	3.16	22.39			
Estimated SAR (W/kg)	1.1 e-7	0.13	N/A			
Reported SAR (W/kg)	N/A	N/A	0.26			
Σ SAR (W/kg) (Bluetooth and Wireless charging)	0.13					
Σ SAR (W/kg) (WiFi and wireless charging)	0.26					
Simultaneous SAR Required	No					

#### Conclusion

Since the above summed estimated 1g SAR result for all simultaneous transmission conditions were below the 1g SAR limit (1.6 W/kg), 1g SAR evaluation for simultaneous transmission configuration are not required.



Page 37 of 44

### 710. SAR Limits

The following FCC limits (Std. C95.1-1992) for SAR apply to devices operate in General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure and Controlled environment:

### **General Population / Uncontrolled Environments:**

Defined as location where there is the exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their exposure.

EXPOSURE	SAR
(General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure environment)	(W/kg)
Spatial Peak SAR (Head)*	1.60
Spatial Peak SAR (Partial Body)*	1.60
Spatial Peak SAR (Whole Body)*	0.08
Spatial Peak SAR (Hands / Wrists / Feet / Ankles)**	4.00

### **Occupational / Controlled Environments:**

Defined as location where there is the exposure that may be incurred by persons who are aware of the potential for exposure, (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation)

EXPOSURE	SAR
(Occupational/Controlled Exposure environment)	(W/kg)
Spatial Peak SAR (Head)*	8.00
Spatial Peak SAR (Partial Body)*	8.00
Spatial Peak SAR (Whole Body)*	0.40
Spatial Peak SAR (Hands / Wrists / Feet / Ankles)**	20.00

### Notes:

- \* The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 1 gram of tissue. (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube) and over the appropriate averaging time
- \*\* The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 10 gram of tissue. (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube) and over the appropriate averaging time



Page 38 of 44

# 8. Test Equipment List

Equipment	Registration No.	Manufacturer	Model No.	Calibration Date	Calibration Due Date
SAR System	EW-3211	MVG	SATIMO System (OpenSAR Software V4_02_34)	N/A	N/A
Phantom	EW-3211	SATIMO	COMOSAR SAM PHANTOM	N/A	N/A
Digital Multimeter	EW-3206	KEITHLEY	2000	17 Aug, 2016	17 Aug, 2017
SAR Probe	EW-3210	MVG	SSE2 (SN 08/16 EPGO283)	05 Jul, 2016	05 Jul, 2017
SAR Dipole	EW-3212	MVG	SN 22/16 DIP 2G450-411	05 Jul, 2016	05 Jul, 2019
Dielectric Probe for SAR Test	EW-3213	EW-3213	Liquid Measurement Kit (SN 24/16 OCPG 76)	05 Jul, 2016	05 Jul, 2017
Body Liquid Tissue	N/A	MVG	Body Liquid 2450MHz	Refer to Section 4	
Network Analyzer	EW-3192	Rhode & Schwarz	ZVL6	27 Jul, 2016	05 Jul, 2017
Signal Generator (250kHz to 40GHz)	EW-1983	AGILENTTECH	E8247C	25 May, 2016	25 May, 2017
Power Amplifier (10 - 2500 MHz)	EW-3185	INDEXSAR	VTL 5400	26 Jul, 2016	26 Jul, 2017
Directional Coupler (2 to 18)GHz	EW-3188	KEYSIGHT	773D	23 Aug, 2016	23 Aug, 2017
RF Power Meter	EW-2270	AGILENTTECH	N1911A	08 Jan, 2017	08 Jan, 2018
Field Probe Sensor	EW-1387	SCHAFFNER	EMC20	26 Nov,2016	26 Nov,2017



Page 39 of 44

#### 9. **Measurement Uncertainty**

Per FCC KDB 865884, the extensive SAR measurement uncertainty analysis was not required when the highest measured SAR was < 1.5W/kg for all frequency band.

# 10. E-Field Probe and Dipole Antenna Calibration

Probe calibration factors and dipole antenna calibration are included in Appendix C.



Page 40 of 44

# APPENDIX A - System Check Data

Plot #1

Operating Frequency: 2450MHz Test Date: 15 March 2017

Medium (Liquid Type): 2450 BodyRelative permittivity εr: 51.557Conductivity σ:: 1.940

Probe : Model: SSE2; Serial No.: SN 08/16 EPGO283

Crest factor : 1.0 Conversion Factor : 2.14

Area Scan : dx=8mm, dy=8mm

Zoom Scan : 7x7x7,dx=5mm dy=5mm dz=5mm

Phantom : SAM phantom


Device Position : Dipole SAR Drift (%) : -0.19%

 Maximum location
 : X=0.00, Y=0.00

 SAR Peak (W/kg)
 : 9.03 W/kg

 SAR 10g (W/kg)
 : 2.311 W/kg

 SAR 1g (W/kg)
 : 4.998 W/kg





Page 41 of 44

### **APPENDIX B - SAR Evaluation Data**

Plot #1

Operating Frequency: 2412MHz

Product Description: SPROUTLING SMART SLEEP WEARABLE - HUB

Model: FNF59

Test Date: 15 Mar 2017

Medium (Liquid Type) : 2450 Body Relative permittivity  $\epsilon r$  : 50.407 Conductivity  $\sigma$ : 1.872

Probe : Model: SSE2; Serial No.: SN 08/16 EPGO283

Crest factor : 1.00 Conversion Factor : 2.14

Area Scan : dx=8mm, dy=8mm

Zoom Scan : 7x7x7,dx=5mm dy=5mm dz=5mm


Phantom : SAM phantom

Device Position : Bottom - 0mm Separation

SAR Drift (%) : -4.63%

Maximum location : X=22.00, Y=1.00

SAR Peak (W/kg) : 0.44 W/kg SAR 10g (W/kg) : 0.123 W/kg SAR 1g (W/kg) : 0.237 W/kg





Page 42 of 44

### Plot #2

Operating Frequency: 2412MHz

Product Description: SPROUTLING SMART SLEEP WEARABLE - HUB

Model: FNF59

Test Date: 15 Mar 2017

Medium (Liquid Type) : 2450 Body Relative permittivity  $\epsilon$ r : 50.407 Conductivity  $\sigma$ : 1.872

Probe : Model: SSE2; Serial No.: SN 08/16 EPGO283

Crest factor : 1.00 Conversion Factor : 2.14

Area Scan : dx=8mm, dy=8mm

Zoom Scan : 7x7x7,dx=5mm dy=5mm dz=5mm

Phantom : SAM phantom

Device Position : Position 2 - 0mm Separation


SAR Drift (%) : -4.96%

 Maximum location
 : X=6.00, Y=0.00

 SAR Peak (W/kg)
 : 0.15 W/kg

 SAR 10g (W/kg)
 : 0.032 W/kg

 SAR 1g (W/kg)
 : 0.034 W/kg





Page 43 of 44

# **APPENDIX C – E-Field Probe and Dipole Antenna Calibration**



# **COMOSAR E-Field Probe Calibration Report**

Ref: ACR.190.2.16.SATU.B

# INTERTEK TESTING SERVICES HONG KONG LIMITED

WORKSHOP NO. 3 G/F, WORLD-WIDE INDUSTRIAL CENTRE, 43-47 SHAN MEI STREET, FO TAN, SHA TIN, N.T. HONG KONG MVG COMOSAR DOSIMETRIC E-FIELD PROBE

**SERIAL NO.: SN 08/16 EPGO283** 

Calibrated at MVG US 2105 Barrett Park Dr. - Kennesaw, GA 30144



Calibration Date: 7/5/2016

### Summary:

This document presents the method and results from an accredited COMOSAR Dosimetric E-Field Probe calibration performed in MVG USA using the CALISAR / CALIBAIR test bench, for use with a COMOSAR system only. All calibration results are traceable to national metrology institutions.





	Name	Function	Date	Signature
Prepared by :	Jérôme LUC	Product Manager	7/8/2016	Jez
Checked by:	Jérôme LUC	Product Manager	7/8/2016	JES
Approved by:	Kim RUTKOWSKI	Quality Manager	7/8/2016	thim Puthowshi

	Customer Name
Distribution:	Intertek Testing Services Hong Kong Limited

Issue	Date	Modifications
A	7/8/2016	Initial release
В	8/8/2016	Add 1900 MHz factor



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	Devi	ce Under Test4	
2	Prod	uct Description4	
	2.1	General Information	4
3	Meas	surement Method4	
	3.1	Linearity	4
	3.2	Sensitivity	5
	3.3	Lower Detection Limit	5
	3.4	Isotropy	5
	3.5	Boundary Effect	5
4	Meas	surement Uncertainty5	
5	Calib	oration Measurement Results6	
	5.1	Sensitivity in air	6
	5.2	Linearity	7
	5.3	Sensitivity in liquid	7
	5.4	Isotropy	
6	List	of Equipment10	



### 1 DEVICE UNDER TEST

Device Under Test		
Device Type	COMOSAR DOSIMETRIC E FIELD PROBE	
Manufacturer	MVG	
Model	SSE2	
Serial Number	SN 08/16 EPGO283	
Product Condition (new / used)	New	
Frequency Range of Probe	0.7 GHz-6GHz	
Resistance of Three Dipoles at Connector	Dipole 1: R1=0.192 MΩ	
	Dipole 2: R2=0.203 MΩ	
	Dipole 3: R3=0.200 MΩ	

A yearly calibration interval is recommended.

### 2 PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

## 2.1 GENERAL INFORMATION

MVG's COMOSAR E field Probes are built in accordance to the IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C and CEI/IEC 62209 standards.



**Figure 1** – MVG COMOSAR Dosimetric E field Dipole

Probe Length	330 mm
Length of Individual Dipoles	2 mm
Maximum external diameter	8 mm
Probe Tip External Diameter	2.5 mm
Distance between dipoles / probe extremity	1 mm

### 3 MEASUREMENT METHOD

The IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C, CENELEC EN50361 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards provide recommended practices for the probe calibrations, including the performance characteristics of interest and methods by which to assess their affect. All calibrations / measurements performed meet the fore mentioned standards.

## 3.1 LINEARITY

The evaluation of the linearity was done in free space using the waveguide, performing a power sweep to cover the SAR range 0.01W/kg to 100W/kg.

Page: 4/10



### 3.2 SENSITIVITY

The sensitivity factors of the three dipoles were determined using a two step calibration method (air and tissue simulating liquid) using waveguides as outlined in the standards.

### 3.3 LOWER DETECTION LIMIT

The lower detection limit was assessed using the same measurement set up as used for the linearity measurement. The required lower detection limit is 10 mW/kg.

## 3.4 ISOTROPY

The axial isotropy was evaluated by exposing the probe to a reference wave from a standard dipole with the dipole mounted under the flat phantom in the test configuration suggested for system validations and checks. The probe was rotated along its main axis from 0 - 360 degrees in 15 degree steps. The hemispherical isotropy is determined by inserting the probe in a thin plastic box filled with tissue-equivalent liquid, with the plastic box illuminated with the fields from a half wave dipole. The dipole is rotated about its axis  $(0^{\circ}-180^{\circ})$  in  $15^{\circ}$  increments. At each step the probe is rotated about its axis  $(0^{\circ}-360^{\circ})$ .

### 3.5 BOUNDARY EFFECT

The boundary effect is defined as the deviation between the SAR measured data and the expected exponential decay in the liquid when the probe is oriented normal to the interface. To evaluate this effect, the liquid filled flat phantom is exposed to fields from either a reference dipole or waveguide. With the probe normal to the phantom surface, the peak spatial average SAR is measured and compared to the analytical value at the surface.

### 4 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

The guidelines outlined in the IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C, CENELEC EN50361 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards were followed to generate the measurement uncertainty associated with an E-field probe calibration using the waveguide technique. All uncertainties listed below represent an expanded uncertainty expressed at approximately the 95% confidence level using a coverage factor of k=2, traceable to the Internationally Accepted Guides to Measurement Uncertainty.

Uncertainty analysis of the probe calibration in waveguide					
ERROR SOURCES	Uncertainty value (%)	Probability Distribution	Divisor	ci	Standard Uncertainty (%)
Incident or forward power	3.00%	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1.732%
Reflected power	3.00%	Rectangular	$-\sqrt{3}$	1	1.732%
Liquid conductivity	5.00%	Rectangular	$-\sqrt{3}$	1	2.887%
Liquid permittivity	4.00%	Rectangular	$-\sqrt{3}$	1	2.309%
Field homogeneity	3.00%	Rectangular	$-\sqrt{3}$	1	1.732%
Field probe positioning	5.00%	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	2.887%

Page: 5/10



Ref: ACR.190.2.16.SATU.B



Field probe linearity	3.00%	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1.732%
Combined standard uncertainty					5.831%
<b>Expanded uncertainty</b> 95 % confidence level k = 2					12.0%

### 5 CALIBRATION MEASUREMENT RESULTS

Calibration Parameters		
Liquid Temperature	21 °C	
Lab Temperature	21 °C	
Lab Humidity	45 %	


# 5.1 <u>SENSITIVITY IN AIR</u>

Normx dipole		
$1 (\mu V/(V/m)^2)$	$2 (\mu V/(V/m)^2)$	$3 (\mu V/(V/m)^2)$
0.81	0.59	0.66

DCP dipole 1	DCP dipole 2	DCP dipole 3
(mV)	(mV)	(mV)
93	91	96

Calibration curves ei=f(V) (i=1,2,3) allow to obtain H-field value using the formula:

$$E = \sqrt{{E_1}^2 + {E_2}^2 + {E_3}^2}$$




Page: 6/10

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of MVG. The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of MVG.



# 5.2 **LINEARITY**



Linearity: I+/-1.79% (+/-0.08dB)

## 5.3 <u>SENSITIVITY IN LIQUID</u>

<u>Liquid</u>	Frequency	Permittivity	Epsilon (S/m)	ConvF
	(MHz +/-			
	100MHz)			
HL450	450	42.17	0.86	1.51
BL450	450	57.65	0.95	1.56
HL750	750	40.03	0.93	1.45
BL750	750	56.83	1.00	1.50
HL850	835	42.19	0.90	1.59
BL850	835	54.67	1.01	1.63
HL900	900	42.08	1.01	1.53
BL900	900	55.25	1.08	1.59
HL1800	1800	41.68	1.46	1.75
BL1800	1800	53.86	1.46	1.81
HL1900	1900	40.95	1.43	2.02
BL1900	1900	53.32	1.49	2.11
HL2000	2000	38.26	1.38	1.90
BL2000	2000	52.70	1.51	1.97
HL2300	2300	39.44	1.62	2.13
BL2300	2300	54.52	1.77	2.20
HL2450	2450	37.50	1.80	2.08
BL2450	2450	53.22	1.89	2.14
HL5200	5200	35.64	4.67	1.84
BL5200	5200	48.64	5.51	1.90
HL5400	5400	36.44	4.87	1.97
BL5400	5400	46.52	5.77	2.04
HL5600	5600	36.66	5.17	1.99
BL5600	5600	46.79	5.77	2.04
HL5800	5800	35.31	5.31	1.83
BL5800	5800	47.04	6.10	1.90

LOWER DETECTION LIMIT: 9mW/kg

Page: 7/10






# 5.4 <u>ISOTROPY</u>


# **HL900 MHz**

- Axial isotropy: 0.04 dB- Hemispherical isotropy: 0.06 dB



# **HL1800 MHz**

- Axial isotropy: 0.05 dB- Hemispherical isotropy: 0.06 dB






Ref: ACR.190.2.16.SATU.B

# **HL5600 MHz**

- Axial isotropy: 0.05 dB - Hemispherical isotropy: 0.08 dB





# 6 LIST OF EQUIPMENT

Equipment Summary Sheet				
Equipment Description	Manufacturer / Model	Identification No.	Current Calibration Date	Next Calibration Date
Flat Phantom	MVG	SNI-20/09-SAM//1		Validated. No cal required.
COMOSAR Test Bench	Version 3	I NΙΔ		Validated. No cal required.
Network Analyzer	Rhode & Schwarz ZVA	SN100132	02/2016	02/2019
Reference Probe	MVG	EP 94 SN 37/08	10/2015	10/2016
Multimeter	Keithley 2000	1188656	12/2013	12/2016
Signal Generator	Agilent E4438C	MY49070581	12/2013	12/2016
Amplifier	Aethercomm	SN 046	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.
Power Meter	HP E4418A	US38261498	12/2013	12/2016
Power Sensor	HP ECP-E26A	US37181460	12/2013	12/2016
Directional Coupler	Narda 4216-20	01386	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.
Waveguide	Mega Industries	1 1164Y /-15X-13-/17		Validated. No cal required.
Waveguide Transition	Mega Industries	069Y7-158-13-701		Validated. No cal required.
Waveguide Termination	Mega Industries	UMMY/-15X-13-/U1	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
Temperature / Humidity Sensor	Control Company	150798832	10/2015	10/2017



# **SAR Reference Dipole Calibration Report**

Ref: ACR.221.9.16.SATU.A

# INTERTEK TESTING SERVICES HONG KONG LIMITED

WORKSHOP NO. 3 G/F, WORLD-WIDE INDUSTRIAL CENTRE, 43-47 SHAN MEI STREET, FO TAN, SHA TIN, N.T. HONG KONG MVG COMOSAR REFERENCE DIPOLE

FREQUENCY: 2450 MHZ

**SERIAL NO.: SN 22/16 DIP 2G450-411** 

Calibrated at MVG US 2105 Barrett Park Dr. - Kennesaw, GA 30144



Calibration Date: 7/5/2016

### Summary:

This document presents the method and results from an accredited SAR reference dipole calibration performed in MVG USA using the COMOSAR test bench. All calibration results are traceable to national metrology institutions.



	Name	Function	Date	Signature
Prepared by :	Jérôme LUC	Product Manager	8/8/2016	Jes
Checked by:	Jérôme LUC	Product Manager	8/8/2016	JES
Approved by:	Kim RUTKOWSKI	Quality Manager	8/8/2016	thim Puthowshi

	Customer Name
Distribution:	Intertek Testing Services Hong Kong Limited

Date	Modifications
8/8/2016	Initial release



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	Intro	duction4	
2	Devi	ce Under Test4	
3	Prod	uct Description4	
	3.1	General Information	4
4	Mea	surement Method5	
	4.1	Return Loss Requirements	5
	4.2	Mechanical Requirements	5
5	Mea	surement Uncertainty5	
	5.1	Return Loss	5
	5.2	Dimension Measurement	5
	5.3	Validation Measurement	5
6	Cali	oration Measurement Results6	
	6.1	Return Loss and Impedance In Head Liquid	6
	6.2	Return Loss and Impedance In Body Liquid	6
	6.3	Mechanical Dimensions	6
7	Vali	dation measurement7	
	7.1	Head Liquid Measurement	7
	7.2	SAR Measurement Result With Head Liquid	8
	7.3	Body Liquid Measurement	9
	7.4	SAR Measurement Result With Body Liquid	10
8	List	of Equipment11	



### 1 INTRODUCTION

This document contains a summary of the requirements set forth by the IEEE 1528, FCC KDBs and CEI/IEC 62209 standards for reference dipoles used for SAR measurement system validations and the measurements that were performed to verify that the product complies with the fore mentioned standards.

### 2 DEVICE UNDER TEST

Device Under Test		
Device Type	COMOSAR 2450 MHz REFERENCE DIPOLE	
Manufacturer	MVG	
Model	SID2450	
Serial Number	SN 22/16 DIP 2G450-411	
Product Condition (new / used)	New	

A yearly calibration interval is recommended.

### 3 PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

## 3.1 GENERAL INFORMATION

MVG's COMOSAR Validation Dipoles are built in accordance to the IEEE 1528, FCC KDBs and CEI/IEC 62209 standards. The product is designed for use with the COMOSAR test bench only.



**Figure 1** – MVG COMOSAR Validation Dipole



#### 4 MEASUREMENT METHOD

The IEEE 1528, FCC KDBs and CEI/IEC 62209 standards provide requirements for reference dipoles used for system validation measurements. The following measurements were performed to verify that the product complies with the fore mentioned standards.

### 4.1 RETURN LOSS REQUIREMENTS

The dipole used for SAR system validation measurements and checks must have a return loss of -20 dB or better. The return loss measurement shall be performed against a liquid filled flat phantom, with the phantom constucted as outlined in the fore mentioned standards.

### 4.2 <u>MECHANICAL REQUIREMENTS</u>

The IEEE Std. 1528 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards specify the mechanical components and dimensions of the validation dipoles, with the dimensions frequency and phantom shell thickness dependent. The COMOSAR test bench employs a 2 mm phantom shell thickness therefore the dipoles sold for use with the COMOSAR test bench comply with the requirements set forth for a 2 mm phantom shell thickness.

### 5 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

All uncertainties listed below represent an expanded uncertainty expressed at approximately the 95% confidence level using a coverage factor of k=2, traceable to the Internationally Accepted Guides to Measurement Uncertainty.

### 5.1 RETURN LOSS

The following uncertainties apply to the return loss measurement:

Frequency band	<b>Expanded Uncertainty on Return Loss</b>
400-6000MHz	0.1 dB

### 5.2 DIMENSION MEASUREMENT

The following uncertainties apply to the dimension measurements:

Length (mm)	Expanded Uncertainty on Length
3 - 300	0.05 mm

# 5.3 <u>VALIDATION MEASUREMENT</u>

The guidelines outlined in the IEEE 1528, FCC KDBs, CENELEC EN50361 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards were followed to generate the measurement uncertainty for validation measurements.

Scan Volume	Expanded Uncertainty
1 g	20.3 %

Page: 5/11






10 g	20.1 %


### 6 CALIBRATION MEASUREMENT RESULTS

## 6.1 <u>RETURN LOSS AND IMPEDANCE IN HEAD LIQUID</u>



Frequency (MHz)	Return Loss (dB)	Requirement (dB)	Impedance
2450	-24.42	-20	44.1 Ω - 1.3 jΩ

## 6.2 <u>RETURN LOSS AND IMPEDANCE IN BODY LIQUID</u>



Frequency (MHz)	Return Loss (dB)	Requirement (dB)	Impedance
2450	-27.58	-20	$46.9 \Omega - 2.8 j\Omega$

# 6.3 <u>MECHANICAL DIMENSIONS</u>

Frequency MHz	Frequency MHz L		<b>h</b> m	m	<b>d</b> n	nm
	required	measured	required	measured	required	measured
300	420.0 ±1 %.		250.0 ±1 %.		6.35 ±1 %.	

Page: 6/11



290.0 ±1 %.		166.7 ±1 %.		6.35 ±1 %.	
176.0 ±1 %.		100.0 ±1 %.		6.35 ±1 %.	
161.0 ±1 %.		89.8 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
149.0 ±1 %.		83.3 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
89.1 ±1 %.		51.7 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
80.5 ±1 %.		50.0 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
79.0 ±1 %.		45.7 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
75.2 ±1 %.		42.9 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
72.0 ±1 %.		41.7 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
68.0 ±1 %.		39.5 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
66.3 ±1 %.		38.5 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
64.5 ±1 %.		37.5 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
61.0 ±1 %.		35.7 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
55.5 ±1 %.		32.6 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
51.5 ±1 %.	PASS	30.4 ±1 %.	PASS	3.6 ±1 %.	PASS
48.5 ±1 %.		28.8 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
41.5 ±1 %.		25.0 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
37.0±1 %.		26.4 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
34.7±1 %.		26.4 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
	176.0 ±1 %.  161.0 ±1 %.  149.0 ±1 %.  89.1 ±1 %.  80.5 ±1 %.  79.0 ±1 %.  75.2 ±1 %.  68.0 ±1 %.  64.5 ±1 %.  61.0 ±1 %.  51.5 ±1 %.  48.5 ±1 %.  41.5 ±1 %.  37.0±1 %.	176.0 ±1 %.  161.0 ±1 %.  149.0 ±1 %.  89.1 ±1 %.  80.5 ±1 %.  79.0 ±1 %.  72.0 ±1 %.  68.0 ±1 %.  66.3 ±1 %.  61.0 ±1 %.  55.5 ±1 %.  51.5 ±1 %.  48.5 ±1 %.  41.5 ±1 %.  37.0±1 %.	$176.0 \pm 1 \%$ . $100.0 \pm 1 \%$ . $161.0 \pm 1 \%$ . $89.8 \pm 1 \%$ . $149.0 \pm 1 \%$ . $83.3 \pm 1 \%$ . $89.1 \pm 1 \%$ . $51.7 \pm 1 \%$ . $80.5 \pm 1 \%$ . $50.0 \pm 1 \%$ . $79.0 \pm 1 \%$ . $45.7 \pm 1 \%$ . $75.2 \pm 1 \%$ . $42.9 \pm 1 \%$ . $72.0 \pm 1 \%$ . $41.7 \pm 1 \%$ . $68.0 \pm 1 \%$ . $39.5 \pm 1 \%$ . $64.5 \pm 1 \%$ . $37.5 \pm 1 \%$ . $55.5 \pm 1 \%$ . $32.6 \pm 1 \%$ . $51.5 \pm 1 \%$ . $30.4 \pm 1 \%$ . $48.5 \pm 1 \%$ . $28.8 \pm 1 \%$ . $41.5 \pm 1 \%$ . $25.0 \pm 1 \%$ . $37.0 \pm 1 \%$ . $26.4 \pm 1 \%$ .	176.0 ± 1 %.       100.0 ± 1 %.         161.0 ± 1 %.       89.8 ± 1 %.         149.0 ± 1 %.       83.3 ± 1 %.         89.1 ± 1 %.       51.7 ± 1 %.         80.5 ± 1 %.       50.0 ± 1 %.         79.0 ± 1 %.       45.7 ± 1 %.         75.2 ± 1 %.       42.9 ± 1 %.         72.0 ± 1 %.       41.7 ± 1 %.         68.0 ± 1 %.       39.5 ± 1 %.         66.3 ± 1 %.       37.5 ± 1 %.         64.5 ± 1 %.       35.7 ± 1 %.         55.5 ± 1 %.       32.6 ± 1 %.         70.0 ± 1 %.       25.0 ± 1 %.         70.0 ± 1 %.       25.0 ± 1 %.         70.0 ± 1 %.       26.4 ± 1 %.	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

### 7 VALIDATION MEASUREMENT

The IEEE Std. 1528, FCC KDBs and CEI/IEC 62209 standards state that the system validation measurements must be performed using a reference dipole meeting the fore mentioned return loss and mechanical dimension requirements. The validation measurement must be performed against a liquid filled flat phantom, with the phantom constructed as outlined in the fore mentioned standards. Per the standards, the dipole shall be positioned below the bottom of the phantom, with the dipole length centered and parallel to the longest dimension of the flat phantom, with the top surface of the dipole at the described distance from the bottom surface of the phantom.

# 7.1 <u>HEAD LIQUID MEASUREMENT</u>

Frequency MHz	Relative permittivity ( $\epsilon_{r}$ ')		Conductiv	ity (σ) S/m
	required	measured	required	measured
300	45.3 ±5 %		0.87 ±5 %	
450	43.5 ±5 %		0.87 ±5 %	
750	41.9 ±5 %		0.89 ±5 %	
835	41.5 ±5 %		0.90 ±5 %	
900	41.5 ±5 %		0.97 ±5 %	
1450	40.5 ±5 %		1.20 ±5 %	
1500	40.4 ±5 %		1.23 ±5 %	
1640	40.2 ±5 %		1.31 ±5 %	
1750	40.1 ±5 %		1.37 ±5 %	

Page: 7/11



1800	40.0 ±5 %		1.40 ±5 %	
1900	40.0 ±5 %		1.40 ±5 %	
1950	40.0 ±5 %		1.40 ±5 %	
2000	40.0 ±5 %		1.40 ±5 %	
2100	39.8 ±5 %		1.49 ±5 %	
2300	39.5 ±5 %		1.67 ±5 %	
2450	39.2 ±5 %	PASS	1.80 ±5 %	PASS
2600	39.0 ±5 %		1.96 ±5 %	
3000	38.5 ±5 %		2.40 ±5 %	
3500	37.9 ±5 %		2.91 ±5 %	

## 7.2 SAR MEASUREMENT RESULT WITH HEAD LIQUID

The IEEE Std. 1528 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards state that the system validation measurements should produce the SAR values shown below (for phantom thickness of 2 mm), within the uncertainty for the system validation. All SAR values are normalized to 1 W forward power. In bracket, the measured SAR is given with the used input power.


Software	OPENSAR V4
Phantom	SN 20/09 SAM71
Probe	SN 18/11 EPG122
Liquid	Head Liquid Values: eps': 37.5 sigma: 1.80
Distance between dipole center and liquid	10.0 mm
Area scan resolution	dx=8mm/dy=8mm
Zoon Scan Resolution	dx=5mm/dy=5mm/dz=5mm
Frequency	2450 MHz
Input power	20 dBm
Liquid Temperature	21 °C
Lab Temperature	21 °C
Lab Humidity	45 %

Frequency MHz	1 g SAR (W/kg/W)		10 g SAR (	(W/kg/W)
	required	measured	required	measured
300	2.85		1.94	
450	4.58		3.06	
750	8.49		5.55	
835	9.56		6.22	
900	10.9		6.99	
1450	29		16	
1500	30.5		16.8	
1640	34.2		18.4	
1750	36.4		19.3	
1800	38.4		20.1	

Page: 8/11



1900	39.7		20.5	
1950	40.5		20.9	
2000	41.1		21.1	
2100	43.6		21.9	
2300	48.7		23.3	
2450	52.4	54.74 (5.47)	24	24.31 (2.43)
2600	55.3		24.6	
3000	63.8		25.7	
3500	67.1		25	



# 7.3 <u>BODY LIQUID MEASUREMENT</u>

Frequency MHz	Relative permittivity $(\epsilon_r')$		Conductiv	ity (σ) S/m
	required	measured	required	measured
150	61.9 ±5 %		0.80 ±5 %	
300	58.2 ±5 %		0.92 ±5 %	
450	56.7 ±5 %		0.94 ±5 %	
750	55.5 ±5 %		0.96 ±5 %	
835	55.2 ±5 %		0.97 ±5 %	
900	55.0 ±5 %		1.05 ±5 %	
915	55.0 ±5 %		1.06 ±5 %	
1450	54.0 ±5 %		1.30 ±5 %	
1610	53.8 ±5 %		1.40 ±5 %	
1800	53.3 ±5 %		1.52 ±5 %	
1900	53.3 ±5 %		1.52 ±5 %	
2000	53.3 ±5 %		1.52 ±5 %	
2100	53.2 ±5 %		1.62 ±5 %	
2450	52.7 ±5 %	PASS	1.95 ±5 %	PASS

Page: 9/11

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of MVG. The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of MVG.




2600	52.5 ±5 %	2.16 ±5 %	
3000	52.0 ±5 %	2.73 ±5 %	
3500	51.3 ±5 %	3.31 ±5 %	
5200	49.0 ±10 %	5.30 ±10 %	
5300	48.9 ±10 %	5.42 ±10 %	
5400	48.7 ±10 %	5.53 ±10 %	
5500	48.6 ±10 %	5.65 ±10 %	
5600	48.5 ±10 %	5.77 ±10 %	
5800	48.2 ±10 %	6.00 ±10 %	

## 7.4 SAR MEASUREMENT RESULT WITH BODY LIQUID

Software	OPENSAR V4
Phantom	SN 20/09 SAM71
Probe	SN 18/11 EPG122
Liquid	Body Liquid Values: eps': 53.2 sigma: 1.89
Distance between dipole center and liquid	10.0 mm
Area scan resolution	dx=8mm/dy=8mm
Zoon Scan Resolution	dx=5mm/dy=5mm/dz=5mm
Frequency	2450 MHz
Input power	20 dBm
Liquid Temperature	21 °C
Lab Temperature	21 °C
Lab Humidity	45 %

Frequency MHz	1 g SAR (W/kg/W)	10 g SAR (W/kg/W)		
	measured	measured		
2450	52.08 (5.21)	23.97 (2.40)		



Page: 10/11

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of MVG. The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of MVG.



# 8 LIST OF EQUIPMENT

Equipment Summary Sheet								
Equipment Description	Manufacturer / Model	Identification No.	Current Calibration Date	Next Calibration Date				
SAM Phantom	MVG	SN-20/09-SAM71	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.				
COMOSAR Test Bench	Version 3	NA	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.				
Network Analyzer	Rhode & Schwarz ZVA	SN100132	02/2016	02/2019				
Calipers	Carrera	CALIPER-01	12/2013	12/2016				
Reference Probe	MVG	EPG122 SN 18/11	10/2015	10/2016				
Multimeter	Keithley 2000	1188656	12/2013	12/2016				
Signal Generator	Agilent E4438C	MY49070581	12/2013	12/2016				
Amplifier	Aethercomm	SN 046	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.				
Power Meter	HP E4418A	US38261498	12/2013	12/2016				
Power Sensor	HP ECP-E26A	US37181460	12/2013	12/2016				
Directional Coupler	Narda 4216-20	01386	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.				
Temperature and Humidity Sensor	Control Company	150798832	10/2015	10/2017				



Page 44 of 44

### **APPENDIX D – SAR System Validation**

Per KDB 865664, SAR system validation status should be documented to confirm measurement accuracy. SAR measurement systems are validated according to procedures in KDB 865664. The validation status is documented according to the validation date(s), measurement frequencies, SAR probe and tissue dielectric parameters. When multiple SAR system is used, the validation status of each SAR system is needed to be documented separately according to the associated system components.

A tabulated summary of the system validation status including the validation date(s), measurement frequencies, SAR probe and tissue dielectric parameters are shown as below.

	Probe S/N Tested Freq. (MHz)				CW Validation			Mod. Validation			
Date		Freq. Type	Tissue Type		Perm	Sensitivity	Probe Linearity	Probe Isotropy	Mod. Type	Duty Factor	Peak to average power ratio
19/09/2016	EPGO 283	1900	Head	40.97	1.41	PASS	PASS	PASS	GFSK	PASS	PASS
19/09/2016	EPGO 283	1900	Body	53.68	1.59	PASS	PASS	PASS	GFSK	PASS	PASS
30/09/2016	EPGO 283	2450	Head	39.62	1.76	PASS	PASS	PASS	OFDM	N/A	PASS
30/09/2016	EPGO 283	2450	Body	50.24	1.89	PASS	PASS	PASS	OFDM	N/A	PASS
30/09/2016	EPGO 283	2450	Head	39.62	1.76	PASS	PASS	PASS	DSSS	PASS	N/A
30/09/2016	EPGO 283	2450	Body	50.24	1.89	PASS	PASS	PASS	DSSS	PASS	N/A
11/10/2016	EPGO 283	450	Head	41.84	0.88	PASS	PASS	PASS	FM	PASS	PASS
11/10/2016	EPGO 283	450	Body	58.30	0.96	PASS	PASS	PASS	FM	PASS	PASS
14/10/2016	EPGO 283	1800	Head	38.27	1.46	PASS	PASS	PASS	GFSK	PASS	PASS
14/10/2016	EPGO 283	1800	Body	52.77	1.55	PASS	PASS	PASS	GFSK	PASS	PASS
24/10/2016	EPGO 283	2450	Head	39.03	1.78	PASS	PASS	PASS	FHSS	PASS	PASS
24/10/2016	EPGO 283	2450	Body	51.23	1.95	PASS	PASS	PASS	FHSS	PASS	PASS