



SAR Test Report

FOR:

Manufacturer: 3M
Model Number: X1044V
FCC ID: DGF-TSSDX1044VXU
IC CERTIFICATION NUMBER: 458A-TSSDX1044VX

Test Report #: SAR_3MMMM_003_13001

Date of Report: May 1, 2014



FCC Listed #:
A2LA Accredited

IC Recognized #
3462B-1

CETECOM Inc.

411 Dixon Landing Road ♦ Milpitas, CA 95035 ♦ U.S.A.

Phone: + 1 (408) 586 6200 ♦ Fax: + 1 (408) 586 6299 ♦ E-mail: info@cetecomusa.com ♦ <http://www.cetecom.com>

CETECOM Inc. is a Delaware Corporation with Corporation number: 2905571

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. Assessment	4
2. Administrative Data	5
2.1. Identification of the Testing Laboratory Issuing the SAR Test Report	5
2.2. Identification of the Client	5
2.3. Identification of the Manufacturer.....	5
3. Equipment under Test (EUT)	6
3.1. General Specification of the Equipment under Test.....	6
3.2. Technical Specification of Supported Radios	7
3.3. Identification of the Equipment Under Test (EUT).....	7
3.4. Identification of Accessory equipment.....	7
3.5. Maximum SAR values	7
4. Subject of Investigation.....	8
4.1. The IEEE Standard C95.1 , FCC Exposure Criteria, and IC Exposure Criteria	8
4.2. SAR Limit	8
5. Measurement Procedure.....	9
5.1. General Requirements	9
5.2. Body-worn and Other Configurations	9
5.3. Procedure for assessing the peak spatial-average SAR.....	10
5.4. Determination of the largest peak spatial-average SAR	12
6. The Measurement System.....	13
6.1. Robot system specification	13
6.2. Isotropic E-Field Probe for Dosimetric Measurements	14
6.3. Data Acquisition Electronics.....	14
6.4. Phantoms	14
6.5. Interpolation and Extrapolation schemes.....	14
7. Uncertainty Assessment.....	15
7.1. Measurement Uncertainty Budget According to IEEE 1528:2003	15

7.2. Measurement Uncertainty Budget According to EN 62209-1	16
8. Test results summary	17
8.1. Conducted Average Output Power	17
8.2. Stand-Alone SAR Evaluation Exclusion	17
8.3. Test Positions and Configurations	18
8.4. SAR Results for Head	19
8.5. SAR Results for Body	19
8.6. Simultaneous Transmission SAR Evaluation Consideration	20
8.7. Dipole verification	21
9. References	22
10. Report History	23

Appendices:

Appendix A – Plots

Appendix B – Antenna location, Test Setup Photos

Appendix C – Tissue liquid parameters, Equipment list

1. Assessment

The following device was evaluated against the limits for general population uncontrolled exposure specified in FCC 2.1093 and RSS 102, Issue 4 according to measurement procedures specified in FCC regulations, IEEE 1528:2003, IEC 62209-1:2005, and IEC 62209-2:2010. See section 5 for a description of deviations from the relevant procedures.

Company	Description	Model #
3M	Tracking Device, XT CDMA version	X1044V

Responsible for Testing Laboratory:

May 1, 2014 Compliance Franz Engert
(Manager of Compliance)

Date	Section	Name	Signature
------	---------	------	-----------

Responsible for the Report:

May 1, 2014 Compliance Josie Sabado
(Test Lab Manager)

Date	Section	Name	Signature
------	---------	------	-----------

The test results of this test report relate exclusively to the test item specified in Section 3. CETECOM Inc. USA does not assume responsibility for any conclusions and generalizations drawn from the test results with regard to other specimens or samples of the type of the equipment represented by the test item. The test report may only be reproduced or published in full. Reproduction or publication of extracts from the report requires the prior written approval of CETECOM Inc. USA.

2. Administrative Data

2.1. Identification of the Testing Laboratory Issuing the SAR Test Report

Company Name:	CETECOM Inc.
Department:	Compliance
Address:	411 Dixon Landing Road Milpitas, CA 95035 U.S.A.
Telephone:	+1 (408) 586 6200
Fax:	+1 (408) 586 6299
Industry Canada Company Number:	3462B
Test Lab Manager:	Josie Sabado
Responsible Project Leader:	Yadvinder Garcha

2.2. Identification of the Client

Applicant's Name:	3M Electronic Monitoring
Street Address:	3M Center, Building 235-03-A-09
City/Zip Code	St. Paul, MN 55144
Country	USA
Contact Person:	Chris Defant
Phone No.	(651) 733 2990
e-mail:	jcdefant@mmm.com

2.3. Identification of the Manufacturer

Manufacturer's Name:	3M Electronic Monitoring
Manufacturers Address:	2 Habarzel St.
City/Zip Code	Tel Aviv/61131
Country	Israel

3. Equipment under Test (EUT)

3.1. General Specification of the Equipment under Test

Product Type:	Portable
Prototype/Production:	Pre-Production
RF Exposure Environment:	General / Uncontrolled
Dimensions:	67 x 112 x 21 mm
Exposure Conditions:	Held next to the ear Body worn
Marketing Name:	Smart XT
Model No:	X1044V
FCC ID:	DGF-TSSDX1044VXU
IC Certification Number:	458A-TSSDX1044VX
Antenna Type:	Internal
Operating Voltage Range:	Vmin: 3.5V/ Vnom: 3.6V/ Vmax: 4.2V
Operating Temperature Range:	Tmin: 0°C/Tnom: 24°C Tmax: 50°C
Supported Radios:	CDMA UHF GPS receiver at 1.575 MHz
Power Back-Off Modes:	None
Date of Testing:	November 15, 2013 – November 18, 2013, April 10, 2014 – April 11, 2014

3.2. Technical Specification of Supported Radios

Signal Type	Duty Cycle	Type(s) of Modulation	Band	Transmit Frequency Range (MHz)	Measured Maximum Conducted Output Power (dBm)
CDMA	100%	QPSK, HPSK	Band Class 0	824.7 – 848.31	23.12
			Band Class 1	1851.25 – 1908.75	23.05
UHF ²	100%	FM	N/A	433	-29.3
GPS ¹	N/A	N/A	L1	N/A	N/A

NOTES:

1. Bands are supported by the EUT, but outside of the scope of this test report.
2. Output power is an ERP value.

3.3. Identification of the Equipment Under Test (EUT)

EUT #	Serial Number	HW Version	SW Version	Comment
1	35437702	5.0	V5.1.6.0	Radiated Unit
2	35437696	5.0	V5.1.6.0	Radiated Unit
3		5.0	V5.1.6.0	Conducted Unit

3.4. Identification of Accessory equipment

AE #	Type	Manufacturer	Model	Serial Number	Comments
1	Holster	3M	N/A	N/A	Contains metallic parts. Provides a 15mm gap between the user and the EUT.

3.5. Maximum SAR values

Signal Type	Band	Exposure Condition	Measured 1g SAR	Maximum Scaled 1g SAR ¹
CDMA	BC0	Held to Ear	0.726	1.57
		Body Worn	0.665	1.373
	BC1	Held to Ear	0.449	0.960
		Body Worn	0.366	0.722

NOTES:

1. Measured 1g SAR scaled to manufacturer stated output power upper tolerance limit.

4. Subject of Investigation

The objective of the measurements done by CETECOM Inc. was the dosimetric assessment of the EUT described in section 3. The tests were performed in configurations for devices operated next to a person's body. The examinations were carried out with the dosimetric assessment system DASY52 described in Section 6.

4.1. The IEEE Standard C95.1 , FCC Exposure Criteria, and IC Exposure Criteria

The FCC limits are set by CFR 47 FCC rule parts 1.1307 and 2.1093. The IC limits are set by RSS 102, Issue 4. The limits are derived from the recommendations in IEEE C95.1-1999 (ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1999), "IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz."

4.2. SAR Limit

In this report the comparison between the exposure limits and the SAR data is made using the spatial peak SAR.

Having in mind a worst case consideration, the SAR limit is valid for uncontrolled environment and portable transmitters. The SAR values have to be averaged over a mass of 1g (SAR_{1g}) with the shape of a cube.

Standard	Exposure Condition	Average SAR (W/kg)	Mass Average (g)
FCC CFR 47 Part 2.1093 (d)(2)	Partial-Body	1.6	1
RSS 102, Issue 4	Localized Head and Trunk	1.6	1

5. Measurement Procedure

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) requires routine dosimetric assessment of mobile telecom-communications devices, either by laboratory measurement techniques or by computational modeling, prior to equipment authorization or use. The measurement procedure shall be performed according to IEEE 1528:2003. The following KDB publications have additionally been applied:

447498 D01 V05 – General RF Exposure Guidance
648474 D04 V01 – SAR Evaluation Considerations for Wireless Handsets
865664 D01V01 – SAR measurement requirements for 100 MHz to 6 GHz
941225 D01 V02 – SAR Measurement Procedures for 3G Devices

KDB 447498, section 4.1.3) says

Each device must be evaluated for SAR or MPE compliance in the required operating modes and test configurations; at the maximum rated output power and within the tune-up tolerance range specified for the product, but not more than 2 dB lower than the maximum tune-up tolerance limit.

The EUT in this test report does not comply with the above requirement. The conducted average output power measured was greater than 2 dB below the maximum tune-up tolerance limit.

Industry Canada (IC) requirements and measurement techniques regarding RF exposure are described in RSS-102, Issue 4, which refers to the latest version of IEEE 1528 and IEC 62209. IC follows many of the same procedures as applied for compliance with FCC requirements regarding EUT specific technologies and form factors. IC allows the use of the above listed KDBs in most aspects.

5.1. General Requirements

SAR evaluation was performed in a laboratory with an environment which avoids influence on SAR measurements by ambient EM sources and any reflection from the environment itself. The ambient temperature was in the range of 20°C to 26°C and 30-70% humidity. Simulating liquid temperature did not deviate more than +/- 2°C throughout SAR evaluation.

5.2. Body-worn and Other Configurations

Phantom Requirements

For body-worn and other configurations a flat phantom shall be used which is comprised of material with electrical properties similar to the corresponding tissues.

Test Position

The body-worn configurations shall be tested with the supplied accessories (belt-clips, holsters, etc.) attached to the device in normal use configuration. Devices with a headset output shall be tested with a connected headset.

Test to be Performed

For purpose of determining test requirements, accessories may be divided into two categories: those that do not contain metallic components and those that do. For multiple accessories that do not contain metallic components, the device may be tested only with that accessory which provides the closest

spacing to the body. For multiple accessories that contain metallic components, the device must be tested with each accessory that contains a unique metallic component. If multiple accessories share an identical metallic component, only the accessory that provides the closest spacing to the body must be tested. If the manufacturer provides none body-worn accessories a separation distance of 1.5 cm between the back of the device and the flat phantom is recommended. Other separation distances may be used, but they shall not exceed 2.5 cm. In these cases, the device may use body-worn accessories that provide a separation distance greater than that tested for the device provided however that the accessory contains no metallic components.

For devices with retractable antenna the SAR test shall be performed with the antenna fully extended and fully retracted. Other factors that may affect the exposure shall also be tested. For example, optional antennas or optional battery packs which may significantly change the volume, lengths, flip open/closed, etc. of the device, or any other accessories which might have the potential to considerably increase the peak spatial-average SAR value.

5.3. Procedure for assessing the peak spatial-average SAR

Step 1: Power reference measurement:

Prior to the SAR test, a local SAR measurement should be taken at a user-selected spatial reference point to monitor power variations during testing.

Step 2: Area scan

The measurement procedures for evaluating SAR associated with wireless handsets typically start with a coarse measurement grid in order to determine the approximate location of the local peak SAR values. This is referred to as the "area scan" procedure. The SAR distribution is scanned along the inside surface of typically half of the head of the phantom but at least larger than the areas projected (normal to the phantom's surface) by the handset and antenna. An example grid is given in Figure 4. The distance between the measured points and phantom surface should be less than 8 mm, and should remain constant (variation less than ± 1 mm) during the entire scan in order to determine the locations of the local peak SAR with sufficient precision. The distance between the measurement points should enable the detection of the location of local maximum with an accuracy of better than half the linear dimension of the tissue cube after interpolation. The resolution can also be tested using the functions in [IEEE 1528:2003] Annex E (see E.5.2). The approximate locations of the peak SARs should be determined from area scan. Since a given amplitude local peak with steep gradients may produce lower spatial-average SAR than slightly lower amplitude peaks with less steep gradients, it is necessary to evaluate the other peaks as well. However, since the spatial gradients of local SAR peaks are a function of wavelength inside the tissue simulating liquid and incident magnetic field strength, it is not necessary to evaluate peaks that are less than -2 dB of the local maximum. Two-dimensional spline algorithms [Press, et al, 1996], [Brishoual, 2001] are typically used to determine the peaks and gradients within the scanned area. If the peak is closer than one-half of the linear dimension of the 1 g or 10 g tissue cube to the scan border, the measurement area should be enlarged if possible, e.g., by tilting the probe or the phantom (see Figure 5).




Figure 4 – Example of an area scan including the position of the handset. The scanned area (white dots) should be larger than the area projected by the handset and antenna.

The SPEAG DASY SAR system uses a mechanical sensor detection to find the phantom surface. To decrease test time, the DASY software allows the operator to choose an option where the SAR probe will reuse measurement locations from a previous identical area scan. With this option enabled, the DASY system will not use mechanical sensor detection to find the phantom surface. Locations of each measurement point of the area scan is taken at the same locations as an identical area scan if one is available. Area scans that reused location of measurement points is noted in the result plots under DASY Configuration > Sensor-Surface.

Step 3: Zoom scan

In order to assess the peak spatial SAR values averaged over a 1 g and 10 g cube, fine resolution volume scans, called "zoom scans", are performed at the peak SAR locations determined during the "area scan." The zoom scan volume should have at least 1.5 times the linear dimension of either a 1 g or a 10 g tissue cube for whichever peak spatial-average SAR is being evaluated. The peak local SAR locations that were determined in the area scan (interpolated value) should be on the centerline of the zoom scans. The centerline is the line that is normal to the surface and in the center of the volume scan. If this is not possible, the zoom scan can be shifted but not by more than half the dimension of the 1 g or a 10 g tissue cube.

The maximum spatial-average SAR is determined by a numerical analysis of the SAR values obtained in the volume of the zoom scan, whereby interpolation (between measured points) and extrapolation (between surface and closest measured points) routines should be applied. A 3-D-spline algorithm [Press, et al, 1996], [Kreyszig, 1983], [Brishoual, 2001] can be used for interpolation and a trapezoidal algorithm for the integration (averaging). Scan resolutions of larger than 2 mm can be used provided the uncertainty is evaluated according to E (see E.5).

In some areas of the phantom, such as the jaw and upper head region, the angle of the probe with respect to the line normal to the surface might become large, e.g., at angles larger than $\pm 30^\circ$ (see Figure 5), which may increase the boundary effect to an unacceptable level. In these cases, a change in the orientation of

the probe and/or the phantom is recommended during the zoom scan so that the angle between the probe housing tube and the line normal to the surface is significantly reduced ($<30^\circ$).

Step 4: Power reference measurement

The local SAR should be measured at exactly the same location as in Step 1. The absolute value of the measurement drift (the difference between the SAR measured in Step 4 and Step 1) should be recorded in the uncertainty budget. It is recommended that the drift be kept within $\pm 5\%$. If this is not possible, even with repeat testing, additional information may be used to demonstrate the power stability during the test. Power reference measurements can be taken after each zoom scan, if more than one zoom scan is needed. However, the drift should always be referred to the initial state with fully charged battery.

5.4. Determination of the largest peak spatial-average SAR

In order to determine the largest value of the peak spatial-average SAR of a handset, all device positions, configurations and operational modes should be tested for each frequency band according to steps 1 to 3 below.

Step 1: The tests of 6.4 should be conducted at the channel that is closest to the center of the transmit frequency band (f_c) for:

- a) all device positions (cheek and tilt, for both left and right sides of the SAM phantom,
- b) all configurations for each device position in (a), e.g. antenna extended and retracted, and
- c) all operational modes for each device position in (a) and configuration in (b) in each frequency band, e.g. analog and digital.

If more than three frequencies need to be tested, (i.e., $N_c > 3$), then all frequencies, configurations and modes must be tested for all of the above positions.

Step 2: For the condition providing highest spatial peak SAR determined in Step 1 conduct all tests of 6.4 at all other test frequencies, e.g. lowest and highest frequencies. In addition, for all other conditions (device position, configuration and operational mode) where the spatial peak SAR value determined in Step 1 is within 3dB of the applicable SAR limit, it is recommended that all other test frequencies should be tested as well¹.


Step 3: Examine all data to determine the largest value of the peak spatial-average SAR found in Steps 1 to 2.

6. The Measurement System

6.1. Robot system specification

The SAR measurement system being used is the SPEAG DASY52 system, which consists of a Stäubli TX90XL 6-axis robot arm and CS8c controller, SPEAG SAR Probe, Data Acquisition Electronics, and SAM Twin Phantom. The robot is used to articulate the probe to programmed positions inside the phantom to obtain the SAR readings from the EUT.

The system is controlled remotely from a PC, which contains the software to control the robot and data acquisition equipment. The software also displays the data obtained from test scans.



Schematic diagram of the SAR measurement system

In operation, the system first does an area (2D) scan at a fixed depth within the liquid from the inside wall of the phantom. When the maximum SAR point has been found, the system will then carry out a 3D scan centered at that point to determine volume averaged SAR level.

6.2. Isotropic E-Field Probe for Dosimetric Measurements

The probes are constructed using three orthogonal dipole sensors arranged on an interlocking, triangular prism core. The probes have built-in shielding against static charges and are contained within a PEEK cylindrical enclosure material at the tip. Probe calibration is described in the probe's calibration certificate.

6.3. Data Acquisition Electronics

The DAE contains a signal amplifier, multiplexer, 16bit A/D converter and control logic. It uses an optical link for communication with the DASY5 system. The DAE has a dynamic range of -100 to 300 mV. It also contains a two step probe touch detector for mechanical surface detection and emergency robot stop.

6.4. Phantoms

The Twin SAM V4.0 Phantom is designed to specifications defined in IEEE 1528, and IEC/EN 62209-1. It enables the dosimetric evaluation of left and right hand phone usage as well as body mounted usage at the flat phantom region.

Additionally, the Oval Flat ELI V4.0 Phantom is designed to specification defined in IEEE 1528, and IEC/EN 62209-2. It enables the dosimetric evaluation of body mounted usage.

6.5. Interpolation and Extrapolation schemes

The interpolation, extrapolation and maximum search routines are all based on the modified Quadratic Shepard's method. The interpolation scheme combines a least-square fitted function method and a weighted average method which are the two basic types of computational interpolation and approximation. The routines construct a once-continuously differentiable function that interpolates the measurement values.

7. Uncertainty Assessment

7.1. Measurement Uncertainty Budget According to IEEE 1528:2003

The uncertainty values for components specified were evaluated according to the procedures of *IEEE 1528-2003*, *NIST 1297 1994 edition* and *ISO Guide to the Expression of Uncertainty in Measurements (GUM)*.

<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>d</i>	<i>e = f(d,k)</i>	<i>f</i>	<i>g = c x f / e</i>	<i>k</i>
Uncertainty Component	Sec.	Tol. (± %)	Prob. Dist.	Div.	<i>c_i</i> (1-g)	1-g <i>u_i</i> (±%)	<i>v_i</i>
Measurement System							
Probe Calibration	E2.1	5.5	N	1	1	5.5	∞
Axial Isotropy	E2.2	4.7	R	√3	0.7	1.9	∞
Hemispherical Isotropy	E2.2	9.6	R	√3	0.7	3.9	∞
Boundary Effect	E2.3	1.0	R	√3	1	0.6	∞
Linearity	E2.4	4.7	R	√3	1	2.7	∞
System Detection Limits	E2.5	1.0	R	√3	1	0.6	∞
Readout Electronics	E2.6	0.3	N	1	1	0.3	∞
Response Time	E2.7	0.8	R	√3	1	0.5	∞
Integration Time	E2.8	2.6	R	√3	1	1.5	∞
RF Ambient Noise	E6.1	3.0	R	√3	1	1.7	∞
RF Ambient Reflections	E6.1	3.0	R	√3	1	1.7	∞
Probe Positioner Mechanical Tolerance	E6.2	0.4	R	√3	1	0.2	∞
Probe Positioning with respect to Phantom Shell	E6.3	2.9	R	√3	1	1.7	∞
Extrapolation, interpolation and Integration Algorithms for Max. SAR Evaluation	E5.2	1.0	R	√3	1	0.6	∞
Test sample Related							
Test Sample Positioning	E4.2	2.9	N	1	1	2.9	145
Device Holder Uncertainty	E4.1	3.6	N	1	1	3.6	5
Output Power Variation - SAR drift measurement	6.6.2	5.0	R	√3	1	2.9	∞
Phantom and Tissue Parameters							
Phantom Uncertainty (shape and thickness tolerances)	E3.1	4.0	R	√3	1	2.3	∞
Liquid Conductivity Target - tolerance	E3.2	5.0	R	√3	0.7	1.8	∞
Liquid Conductivity - measurement uncertainty	E3.3	2.5	N	1	0.7	1.6	∞
Liquid Permittivity Target tolerance	E3.2	5.0	R	√3	0.6	1.7	∞
Liquid Permittivity - measurement uncertainty	E3.3	2.5	N	1	0.6	1.5	∞
Combined Standard Uncertainty			RSS			± 10.7%	
Expanded Uncertainty (95% CONFIDENCE INTERVAL)			<i>k</i> = 2.00705			± 21.4%	

7.2. Measurement Uncertainty Budget According to EN 62209-1

A measurement uncertainty assessment has been undertaken following guidance given in IEC/EN-62209. Some of the uncertainty contributions are site-specific and, for these, CETECOM, Inc. has assessed the uncertainty contributions arising from local environmental and procedural factors. The resultant uncertainty budget, following the assessment template given IEC/EN-62209 is shown below:

<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>d</i>	<i>e = f(d,k)</i>	<i>f</i>	<i>g = c x f / e</i>	<i>k</i>
Uncertainty Component	Sec.	Tol. (± %)	Prob. Dist.	Div.	<i>c_i</i> (1-g)	1-g <i>u_i</i> (±%)	<i>v_i</i>
Measurement System							
Probe Calibration	E2.1	5.5	N	1	1	5.5	∞
Axial Isotropy	E2.2	4.7	R	√3	0.7	1.9	∞
Hemispherical Isotropy	E2.2	9.6	R	√3	0.7	3.9	∞
Boundary Effect	E2.3	1.0	R	√3	1	0.6	∞
Linearity	E2.4	4.7	R	√3	1	2.7	∞
System Detection Limits	E2.5	1.0	R	√3	1	0.6	∞
Readout Electronics	E2.6	0.3	N	1	1	0.3	∞
Response Time	E2.7	0.8	R	√3	1	0.5	∞
Integration Time	E2.8	2.6	R	√3	1	1.5	∞
RF Ambient Noise	E6.1	3.0	R	√3	1	1.7	∞
RF Ambient Reflections	E6.1	3.0	R	√3	1	1.7	∞
Probe Positioner Mechanical Tolerance	E6.2	0.4	R	√3	1	0.2	∞
Probe Positioning with respect to Phantom Shell	E6.3	2.9	R	√3	1	1.7	∞
Extrapolation, interpolation and Integration Algorithms for Max. SAR Evaluation	E5.2	1.0	R	√3	1	0.6	∞
Test sample Related							
Test Sample Positioning	E4.2	2.9	N	1	1	2.9	145
Device Holder Uncertainty	E4.1	3.6	N	1	1	3.6	5
Output Power Variation - SAR drift measurement	6.6.2	5.0	R	√3	1	2.9	∞
Phantom and Tissue Parameters							
Phantom Uncertainty (shape and thickness tolerances)	E3.1	4.0	R	√3	1	2.3	∞
Liquid Conductivity Target - tolerance	E3.2	5.0	R	√3	0.43	1.2	∞
Liquid Conductivity - measurement uncertainty	E3.3	2.5	N	1	0.43	1.1	∞
Liquid Permittivity Target tolerance	E3.2	5.0	R	√3	0.49	1.4	∞
Liquid Permittivity - measurement uncertainty	E3.3	2.5	N	1	0.49	1.2	∞
Combined Standard Uncertainty			RSS			± 10.5%	
Expanded Uncertainty (95% CONFIDENCE INTERVAL)			<i>k</i> = 2.00705			± 21.0%	

8. Test results summary

8.1. Conducted Average Output Power

Measurement uncertainty for conducted measurements is $\pm 0.5\text{dB}$

CDMA

Average power measured using a Rhode and Schwarz CMU 200. The measured output power does not comply with requirements in KDB 447498 section 4.1.3). Measured conducted output power is greater than 2 dB below the maximum tune-up tolerance limit.

Band	Channel	Frequency [MHz]	Average Power [dBm]				Upper Power Tolerance Limit
			RC1/1, SO55	RC3/3, SO55	SO32, SCH0 Disabled	SO32, SCH0 Enabled	
BC0	1013	824.7	22.31	22.85	22.85	22.82	26
	384	836.6	22.76	22.79	23.12	22.9	
	777	848.31	22.45	22.66	22.55	22.6	
BC1	25	1851.25	22.35	22.33	22.41	22.34	26
	600	1880	22.44	22.94	23.05	23.05	
	1175	1908.75	22.42	22.7	22.65	22.72	

UHF

ERP average power calculated using values from the EMC test report number from CETECOM Inc. for the EUT described in section 3.

Average fundamental signal field strength = 68.1 dB μ V/m from the EMC test report.

ERP = Average fundamental signal field strength + 20 log (distance) – 104.8 – 2.14

Frequency [MHz]	ERP Average Power [dBm]	ERP Average Power [mW]
433	-29.3	0.001 mW

8.2. Stand-Alone SAR Evaluation Exclusion

Antenna	Operation Mode	SAR Evaluation Exclusion Reason
UHF	UHF	<p>According to KDB 447498, SAR evaluation is not required for the UHF radio if it meets the threshold for SAR test exclusion in the KDB. The SAR test exclusion threshold is calculated as</p> $[(0 \text{ mW}) / (5 \text{ mm})] * \sqrt{(0.433)} = 0$ <p>The threshold is less than 3.0. SAR evaluation is not required.</p>

8.3. Test Positions and Configurations

Exposure Condition	Distance	Position	Positioning Photo (Appendix B)
Head SAR	0 mm	Left Touch	Photo 1
		Left 15° Tilt	Photo 2
		Right Touch	Photo 3
		Right 15° Tilt	Photo 4
Body SAR	0 mm	Front inside holster	Photo 5
		Back inside holster	Photo 6

If the SAR value on the middle channel was more than 3dB below the limit, high and low channels were not evaluated.

8.4. SAR Results for Head

Band	Operation Mode	Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Position	SAR 1g (W/kg)	Scaled SAR 1g (W/kg) ¹	Results (Appendix A)
CDMA BC0	RC 3/3, SO55	384	836.52	Right Touch	0.685	1.43	Plot 1
				Right Tilt	0.447	0.936	Plot 2
				Left Touch	0.522	1.09	Plot 3
				Left Tilt	0.404	0.846	Plot 4
		1013	824.7	Right Touch	0.713	1.47	Plot 5
		777	848.31	Right Touch	0.726	1.57	Plot 6
CDMA BC0	RC 3/3, SO55	600	1880	Right Touch	0.328	0.664	Plot 7
				Right Tilt	0.149	0.301	Plot 8
				Left Touch	0.436	0.882	Plot 9
				Left Tilt	0.140	0.283	Plot 10
		25	1851.25	Left Touch	0.278	0.647	Plot 11
		1175	1908.75	Left Touch	0.449	0.960	Plot 12

NOTES:

1. Measured SAR values are scaled up to the manufacturer's stated output power.
2. Configurations with multiple SAR values have at least one peak SAR within 2 dB of the primary peak.

8.5. SAR Results for Body

Band	Operation Mode	Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Accessory	Distance (mm)	Position	SAR 1g (W/kg)	Scaled SAR 1g (W/kg) ¹	Results (Appendix A)
CDMA, BC0	RC3/3, SO32	384	836.52	Holster	0	Front	0.471	0.914	Plot 13
						Back	0.689	1.337	Plot 14
		1013	824.7	Holster	0	Back	0.665	1.373	Plot 15
		777	848.31	Holster	0	Back	0.539	1.193	Plot 16
CDMA BC1	RC3/3, SO32	600	1880	Holster	0	Front	0.249	0.491	Plot 17
						Back	0.366	0.722	Plot 18

NOTES:

1. Measured SAR values are scaled up to the manufacturer's stated output power.
2. Configurations with multiple SAR values have at least one peak SAR within 2 dB of the primary peak.

8.6. Simultaneous Transmission SAR Evaluation Consideration

According to KDB 447498, SAR evaluation for simultaneous transmission can be excluded when specific requirements are satisfied.

When stand-alone SAR evaluation is not required, the SAR value is calculated as

$$(max. \text{ power of channel, including tune-up tolerance, mW}) / (min. \text{ test separation distance, mm}) \cdot [\sqrt{f(\text{GHz})/x}] \text{ W/kg}$$

where $x = 7.5$ for 1-g SAR, and $x = 18.75$ for 10-g SAR

Using the above equation, SAR for UHF is 0.00 W/kg.

Position	Highest Scaled SAR 10g (W/kg)	
	UHF	Cellular
Right Touch	0.00	1.57
Right Tilt	0.00	0.936
Left Touch	0.00	1.09
Left Tilt	0.00	0.846
Front	0.00	0.914
Back	0.00	1.373

Position	Simultaneous Transmission Antenna Combinations	Sum of SAR 1g (W/kg)	Simultaneous Transmission Evaluation Exclusion Reason
Right Touch	UHF + Cellular	1.57	The sum of SAR 1g is less than the 1.6 W/kg limit.
Right Tilt	UHF + Cellular	0.936	
Left Touch	UHF + Cellular	1.09	
Left Tilt	UHF + Cellular	0.846	
Front	UHF + Cellular	0.914	
Back	UHF + Cellular	1.373	

8.7. Dipole verification

Prior to formal testing at each frequency a system verification was performed in accordance with IEEE 1528. The 1 Watt reference SAR value is taken from the SPEAG dipole calibration report as required by FCC KDB 450824 D01. All of the testing described in this report was performed within 24 hours of the system verification. The following results were obtained:

Date	Liquid Type	Frequency (MHz)	CW input at dipole feed (Watts)	1g SAR (W/kg) ¹	1 Watt reference SAR value (W/kg)	Difference reference SAR value to normalized SAR	Results (Appendix A)
11/18/2013	HSL	835	1	9.66	9.47	2.01%	Plot 19
11/15/2013	HSL	1900	1	36.2	39.1	-7.42%	Plot 20
04/10/2014	MSL	835	1	9.17	9.55	-3.98%	Plot 21
04/11/2014	MSL	835	1	9.17	9.55	-3.98%	Plot 22
04/11/2014	MSL	1900	1	37.2	40.3	-7.69%	Plot 23

9. References

1. [IEEE 1999] IEEE Std C95.1-1999: IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz, Inst. of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., December 1998.
2. [IEEE 2003] IEEE Std 1528-2003: IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head From Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques. Inst. of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., December 2003.
3. [IC 2010] RSS-102: Radio Frequency (RF) Exposure Compliance of Radiocommunication Apparatus (All Frequency Bands), Industry Canada, Issue 4, March 2010.
4. [IC 2012] Notice 2012-DRS1203: RE: APPLICABILITY OF LATEST FCC RF EXPOSURE KDB PROCEDURES (PUBLICATION DATE: OCTOBER 24, 2012) AND OTHER PROCEDURES, Industry Canada, December 2012
5. [NIST 1994] NIST: Guidelines for Evaluating and Expressing the Uncertainty of NIST Measurement Results, Technical Note 1297 (TN1297), United States Department of Commerce Technology Administration, National Institute of Standards and Technology, September 1994.
6. [FCC 20XX] Various FCC KDB Publications,
< <http://transition.fcc.gov/oet/ea/eameasurements.html#sar> >

10. Report History

Date	Report Name	Changes to report	Report prepared by
May 1, 2014	SAR_3MMMM_003_13001	First Version	J. Sabado