EMC Technologies Pty Ltd
A.C.N. 057 105 549
57 Assembly Drive
Tullamarine Victoria Australia 3043

Ph: + 613 9335 3333
Fax: + 613 9338 9260
email: melb@emctech.com.au

SAR Test Report

Report Number:
M060763_CERT_WM3945ABG_SAR_DTS_2.4G_5.8G

Test Sample:	Portable Tablet Computer Wireless LAN
Model Number:	WM3945ABG
Tested For:	Fujitsu Australia Pty Ltd
FCC ID:	EJE-WB0042
IC:	337J-WB0042
Date of Issue:	30th October 2006

CONTENTS

SAR TEST REPORT
Portable Tablet Computer Wireless LAN
Model: WM3945ABG
Report Number: M060763_CERT_WM3945ABG_SAR_DTS_2.4G_5.8G
FCC ID: EJE-WB0042
IC: 337J-WB0042

1.0 GENERAL INFORMATION

Test Sample:	Portable Tablet Computer Wireless LAN and Bluetooth Module
Model Name:	Osian
Interface Type:	Mini-PCI Module
Device Category:	Portable Transmitter
Test Device:	Production Unit
Model Number:	WM3945ABG
FCC ID:	EJE-WB0042
IC:	337J-WB0042
RF exposure Category:	General Population/Uncontrolled
Manufacturer:	Fujitsu Limited
Test Standard/s:	**1.** Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines For Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields Supplement C (Edition 01-01) to OET Bulletin 65 (Edition 97-01) **2.** Evaluation Procedure for Mobile and Portable Radio Transmitters with respect to Health Canada's Safety Code 6 for Exposure of Humans to Radio Frequency Fields. RSS-102 Issue 1 (Provisional) September 25, 1999
Statement Of Compliance:	The Fujitsu Portable Tablet Computer Wireless LAN model WM3945ABG complied***** with the FCC General public/uncontrolled RF exposure limits of 1.6mW/g per requirements of 47CFR2.1093(d). It also complied with IC RSS-102 requirements. *. Refer to compliance statement section 9.
Test Date:	21st – 24th August & 2nd – 4th September 2006
Tested for:	Fujitsu Australia Pty Ltd
Address:	1230 Nepean Highway, Cheltenham VIC 3192
Contact:	Praveen Rao
Phone:	+61 3 9265 0210
Fax:	+61 3 9265 0656
E-mail:	Praveen.rao@au.fujitsu.com

Test Officer:

Peter Jakubiec
Assoc Dip Elec Eng

Authorised Signature:

Aaron Sargent B.Eng
EMR Engineer

SAR TEST REPORT
Portable Tablet Computer Wireless LAN
Model: WM3945ABG
Report Number: M060763_CERT_WM3945ABG_SAR_DTS_2.4G_5.8G

2.0 DESCRIPTION OF DEVICE

(Information supplied by the client)

2.1 Description of Test Sample

The EUT is a Fujitsu ST Series incorporating a Mini-PCI wireless LAN (WLAN) module Golan (WM3945ABG). The Golan module is an OEM product. The Mini-PCI wireless LAN (WLAN) module was tested in the dedicated host, a Stylistic ST Series "Osian".

The measurement test results mentioned hereon only apply to the 2450MHz and 5800MHz frequency band; an additional report titled "M060763_CERT_WM3945ABG_SAR_NII_5.2G" applies to the 5200 range.

2.1.1 Summary of EUT Details

Table 1: EUT Details

WLAN Module	: Osian 11abg (WM3945ABG)
Antenna type	: Monopole Ceramic chip YCE-5008
Applicable Head Configurations	: None
Applicable Body Configurations	1. Tablet Position 2. Lap Arm Held Position 3. Edge On Position
Battery Options	: Standard

Table 2: Modulation Schemes and Frequency Ranges

<table>
<tr><th>Frequency Range</th><th>Modulation</th><th>Rated Power Output (dBm)</th><th>TURBO Mode Rated Power Output (dBm)</th></tr>
<tr><td>2.402-2.480</td><td>Bluetooth (BT) Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum (FHSS)</td><td>12</td><td>NO Turbo Mode</td></tr>
<tr><td rowspan="2">2.412-2.462 GHz</td><td>802.11b - DSSS</td><td rowspan="2">18</td><td>NO Turbo Mode</td></tr>
<tr><td>802.11g - OFDM</td><td>14.5 max</td></tr>
<tr><td>5.150-5.250 GHz</td><td rowspan="3">802.11a – OFDM</td><td>14</td><td rowspan="3">13.5 max</td></tr>
<tr><td>5.250-5.350 GHz</td><td>17</td></tr>
<tr><td>5.725-5.825 GHz</td><td>16</td></tr>
</table>

NOTE: For 5.2GHz SAR results refer to report titled "M060763_CERT_WM3945ABG_SAR_NII_5.2G".

Table 3: 802.11a

Modulation Scheme	Date Rate
BPSK	**6Mbps**, 9Mbps
QPSK	12Mbps, 18Mbps
16QAM	24Mbps, 36Mbps
64QAM	48Mbps, 54Mbps

NOTE: For 5GHz SAR results refer to report titled “M060763_CERT_WM3945ABG_SAR_NII_5.2G”.

Table 4: 802.11b

Modulation Scheme	Date Rate
DBPSK	**1Mbps**
DQPSK	2Mbps
CCK	5.5Mbps, 11Mbps

Table 5: 802.11g

Modulation Scheme	Date Rate
BPSK	**6Mbps**, 9Mbps
QPSK	12Mbps, 18Mbps
16QAM	24Mbps, 36Mbps
64QAM	48Mbps, 54Mbps

2.1.2 EUT Host Details

Table 6: Host Details

Test Sample:	Stylistic ST Series
Model:	XXX
Codename:	Osian
CPU Speed:	1.2GHz
Manufacturer:	Fujitsu Ltd.
LAN:	Intel
Modem:	WM3945ABG
SDRAM:	504 MB
LCD Screen:	SXGA+

2.2 Test sample Accessories

2.2.1 Battery Types

Two types of Fujitsu Lithium Ion Batteries are used to power the Portable Tablet Computer Wireless LAN Model: WM3945ABG. SAR measurements were performed with the battery as shown below.

Standard Battery

	Battery #1	Battery #2
Model	FPCBP77	FPCBP77
Serial	W01A-I03A	W01A-J25A
V/mAh	10.8V/4400mAh	2.6Ah
Cell No.	6	6

2.3 Test Signal, Frequency and Output Power

The Portable Tablet Computer Wireless LAN uses a total of 11 channels (USA model) within the 2412 to 2462 MHz frequency band and 13 channels within the frequency range 5180 – 5825 MHz. The frequency range is 2412 MHz to 2462 MHz and the device operates in 2 modes, OFDM and DSSS. Within the 5180 – 5825 MHz frequency range the device operates in OFDM mode only. For the SAR measurements the device was operating in continuous transmit mode using programming codes supplied by Fujitsu. The fixed frequency channels used in the testing are shown in Table 7.

The Bluetooth module operates over 79 channels within the frequency range 2402 to 2480 MHz. It is possible for the Bluetooth module to operate simultaneously with the WLAN module (co-transmission). For the SAR measurements the device was operating in continuous transmit mode using programming codes supplied by Fujitsu. The tests were conducted with only the WLAN operating and also with the WLAN and Bluetooth module operating in co-transmission. The fixed frequency channels used in the testing are shown in Table 7. The Bluetooth interface utilizes dedicated antenna, for the purpose of this report labelled antenna “D”.

The test results mentioned in this report only apply to the 2450MHz and 5800MHz frequency range. An additional report titled *“M060763_CERT_WM3945ABG_SAR_NII_5.2G”* is specific to the 5200 MHz range.

The WLAN modules can be configured in a number of different data rates. It was found that the highest source based time averaged power was measured when using the lowest data rates available in each mode. This lowest data rate corresponds to 6Mbps in OFDM mode and 1Mbps in DSSS mode. Table 7 shows the data rates used in the SAR tests.

The frequency span of the 2450 MHz and 5800 MHz range Band was more than 10MHz consequently; the SAR levels of the test sample were measured for lowest, centre and highest channels in the applicable modes. There were no wires or other connections to the Portable Tablet Computer during the SAR measurements.

At the beginning and at the completion of the SAR tests, the conducted power of the device was measured after temporary modification of antenna connector inside the device’s TX RX compartment. Measurements were performed with a calibrated Power Meter. The results of this measurement are listed in table 7.

Table 7: 2450MHz Frequency and Conducted Power Results

Channel	Channel Frequency MHz	Modulation	*Data Rate (Mbps)	Maximum Conducted Output Power Measured
Channel 01	2412	DSSS	1	15.8
Channel 06	2437	DSSS	1	17.6
Channel 11	2462	DSSS	1	17.4
Channel 01	2412	OFDM	6	15.5
Channel 06	2437	OFDM	6	17.0
Channel 11	2462	OFDM	6	15.7
Channel 1	2402	FHSS	N/A	3.5
Channel 40	2441	FHSS	N/A	3.7
Channel 79	2480	FHSS	N/A	3.9

*NOTE: The highest conducted power was measured in these data rates for each respective mode. i.e. DSSS & OFDM.

Table 8: 5800MHz Frequency and Conducted Power Results

Channel	Channel Frequency MHz	Modulation	*Data Rate (Mbps)	Maximum Conducted Output Power Measured
OFDM Channel 149	5745	OFDM	6	16.9
OFDM Channel 157	5785	OFDM	6	17.4
OFDM Channel 165	58025	OFDM	6	17.2

*NOTE: The highest conducted power was measured in these data rates for each respective mode. i.e. DSSS & OFDM.

2.4 Battery Status

The device battery was fully charged prior to commencement of measurement. Each SAR test was completed within 30 minutes. The battery condition was monitored by measuring the RF field at a defined position inside the phantom before the commencement of each test and again after the completion of the test. It was not possible to perform conducted power measurements at the output of the device, at the beginning and end of each scan due to lack of a suitable antenna port. The uncertainty associated with the power drift was less than 9.5% and was assessed in the uncertainty budget.

2.5 Details of Test Laboratory

2.5.1 Location

EMC Technologies Pty Ltd - ACN/ABN: 82057105549
57 Assembly Drive
Tullamarine, (Melbourne) Victoria
Australia 3043

Telephone: +61 3 9335 3333
Facsimile: +61 3 9338 9260
email: melb@emctech.com.au
website: www.emctech.com.au

2.5.2 Accreditations

EMC Technologies Pty. Ltd. is accredited by the National Association of Testing Authorities, Australia (NATA).
NATA Accredited Laboratory Number: 5292

EMC Technologies Pty Ltd is NATA accredited for the following standards:

AS/NZS 2772.1:	RF and microwave radiation hazard measurement
ACA:	Radio communications (Electromagnetic Radiation - Human Exposure) Standard 2003
FCC:	Guidelines for Human Exposure to RF Electromagnetic Field OET65C 01/01
CENELEC:	ES59005: 1998
EN 50360: 2001	Product standard to demonstrate the compliance of mobile phones with the basic restrictions related to human exposure to electromagnetic fields (300 MHz – 3 GHz)
EN 50361: 2001	Basic standard for the measurement of Specific Absorption Rate related to human exposure to electromagnetic fields from mobile phones (300MHz – 3GHz)
IEEE 1528: 2003	Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head Due to Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques.

Refer to NATA website www.nata.asn.au for the full scope of accreditation.

2.5.3 Environmental Factors

The measurements were performed in a shielded room with no background RF signals. The temperature in the laboratory was controlled to within 21±1°C, the humidity was in the range 36% to 47%. The liquid parameters are measured daily prior to the commencement of each test. Tests were performed to check that reflections within the environment did not influence the SAR measurements. The noise floor of the DASY4 SAR measurement system using the SN1377 and the SN3563 probe was less than 5μV in both air and liquid mediums.

3.0 DESCRIPTION OF SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM

3.1 Probe Positioning System

The measurements were performed with the state-of-the-art automated near-field scanning system **DASY4 V4.6 Build 23.7** from Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG). The system is based on a high precision 6-axis robot (working range greater that 1.1m), which positions the SAR measurement probes with a positional repeatability of better than ±0.02 mm. The DASY4 fully complies with the OET65 C (01-01), IEEE 1528 and EN50361 SAR measurement requirements.

3.2 E-Field Probe Type and Performance

The SAR measurements were conducted with SPEAG dosimetric probe ET3DV6 Serial: 1377 (2.45 GHz) and EX3DV4 Serial: 3563 (5.2-5.8 GHz) designed in the classical triangular configuration and optimised for dosimetric evaluation. The probes have been calibrated and found to be accurate to better than ±0.25 dB. The probe is suitable for measurements close to material discontinuity at the surface of the phantom. The sensors of the probe are directly loaded with Schottky diodes and connected via highly resistive lines (length = 300 mm) to the data acquisition unit.

3.3 Data Acquisition Electronics

The data acquisition electronics (DAE3) consists of a highly sensitive electrometer-grade preamplifier with auto-zeroing, a channel and gain switching multiplexer, a fast 16 bit AD-converter and a command decoder and control logic unit. The input impedance of the DAE3 box is 200 MΩ; the inputs are symmetrical and floating. Common mode rejection is above 80dB.Transmission to the PC-card is accomplished through an optical downlink for data and status information as well as an optical uplink for commands and the clock.

The mechanical probe-mounting device includes two different sensor systems for frontal and sideways probe contacts. They are used for mechanical surface detection and probe collision detection.

3.4 Calibration and Validation Procedures and Data

Prior to the SAR assessment, the system validation kit was used to verify that the DASY4 was operating within its specifications. The validation was performed at 2450 MHz with the SPEAG 2450V2 calibrated dipole. The validation was performed at 5200/5800MHz with the SPEAG calibrated dipole D5GHzV2.

The validation dipoles are highly symmetric and matched at the centre frequency for the specified liquid and distance to the phantom. The accurate distance between the liquid surface and the dipole centre is achieved with a distance holder that snaps onto the dipole.

System validation is performed by feeding a known power level into a reference dipole, set at a know distance from the phantom. The measured SAR is compared to the theoretically derived level.

3.4.1 Validation Results @ 2450MHz

The following tables lists the dielectric properties of the tissue simulating liquid measured prior to SAR validation. The results of the validation are listed in columns 4 and 5. The forward power into the reference dipole for SAR validation was adjusted to 250 mW.

Table 9: 2450MHz Validation Results (Dipole: SPEAG D2450V2 SN: 724)

1. Validation Date	2. ∈r (measured)	3. σ (mho/m) (measured)	4. Measured SAR 1g (mW/g)	5. Measured SAR 10g (mW/g)
2nd September 2006	40.8	1.73	13.1	6.20
4th September 2006	39.8	1.77	13.3	6.26

3.4.2 2.4GHz deviation from reference validation values

The reference SAR values are derived using a reference dipole and flat section of the SAM phantom suitable for a centre frequency of 2450MHz. These reference SAR values are obtained from the IEEE Std 1528-2003 and are normalized to 1W.

The SPEAG calibration reference SAR value is the SAR validation result obtained in a specific dielectric liquid using the validation dipole (D2450V2) during calibration. The measured one-gram SAR should be within 10% of the expected target reference values shown in table 9 (2450MHz) below.

Table 10: Deviation from reference validation values @ 2450MHz

Frequency and Date	Measured SAR 1g (mW/g)	Measured SAR 1g (Normalized to 1W)	SPEAG Calibration reference SAR Value 1g (mW/g)	Deviation From SPEAG Reference (1g)	IEEE Std 1528 reference SAR value 1g (mW/g)	Deviation From IEEE (1g)
2450MHz 2nd Sep. 06	13.1	52.4	55.6	-5.75	52.4	0.00
2450MHz 4th Sep. 06	13.3	53.2	55.6	-4.31	52.4	1.52

NOTE: All reference validation values are referenced to 1W input power.

3.4.3 Validation Results @ 5800MHz

The following tables lists the dielectric properties of the tissue simulating liquid measured prior to SAR validation. The results of the validation are listed in columns 4 and 5. The forward power into the reference dipole for SAR validation was adjusted to 250 mW.

Table 11: 5800MHz Validation Results (Dipole: SPEAG D5GHzV2 SN: 1008)

1. Validation Date	2. ∈r (measured)	3. σ (mho/m) (measured)	4. Measured SAR 1g (mW/g)	5. Measured SAR 10g (mW/g)
21st August 2006	34.8	5.37	19.9	5.55
22nd August 2006	34.5	5.45	19.7	5.52
24th August 2006	33.6	5.19	19.7	5.46

4

3.4.2 5.8GHz deviation from reference validation values

Currently no IEEE Std 1528-2003 SAR reference values are available at 5.2 GHz, as a consequence all 5.8 GHz & 5.2 GHz validation results were compared against the SPEAG calibration reference SAR values.

The SPEAG calibration reference SAR value is the SAR validation result obtained in a specific dielectric liquid using the validation dipole (D5GHzV2) during calibration. The measured one-gram SAR should be within 10% of the expected target reference values shown in (5800MHz) below.

Table 12: Deviation from reference validation values @ 5800MHz

Frequency and Date	Measured SAR 1g (mW/g)	Measured SAR 1g (Normalized to 1W)	SPEAG Calibration reference SAR Value 1g (mW/g)	Deviation From SPEAG Reference (1g)
5800MHz 21st Aug 2006	19.9	79.6	80.8	-1.48
5800MHz 22nd Aug 2006	19.7	78.8	80.8	-2.47
5800MHz 24th Aug 2006	19.7	78.8	80.8	-2.47

NOTE: All reference validation values are referenced to 1W input power.

3.4.3 Liquid Depth 15cm

During the SAR measurement process the liquid level was maintained to a level of 15cm with a tolerance of 0.5cm.



Photo of liquid Depth in Flat Phantom

3.5 Phantom Properties (Size, Shape, Shell Thickness)

The phantom used during the validations was the SAM Phantom model: TP - 1260 from SPEAG. It is a phantom with a single thickness of 2 mm and was filled with the required tissue simulating liquid. The SAM phantom support structures were all non-metallic and spaced more than one device width away in transverse directions.

For SAR testing in the body worn positions an AndreT Flat phantom P 10.1 was used. The phantom thickness is 2.0mm+/-0.2 mm and was filled with the required tissue simulating liquid. Table 10 provides a summary of the measured phantom properties.

Table 13: Phantom Properties (300MHz-2500MHz)

Phantom Properties	Required	Measured
Thickness of flat section	2.0mm ± 0.2mm (bottom section)	2.12-2.20mm
Dielectric Constant	<5.0	4.603 @ 300MHz (worst-case frequency)
Loss Tangent	<0.05	0.0379 @ 2500MHz (worst-case frequency)

Depth of Phantom	200mm
Length of Flat Section	620mm
Width of Flat Section	540mm

P 10.1 Flat Phantom

P 10.1 Flat Phantom



3.6 Tissue Material Properties

The dielectric parameters of the brain simulating liquid were measured prior to SAR assessment using the HP85070A dielectric probe kit and HP8753ES Network Analyser. The actual dielectric parameters are shown in the following table.

Table 14: Measured Brain Simulating Liquid Dielectric Values for Validations

Frequency Band	∈r (measured range)	∈r (target)	σ (mho/m) (measured range)	σ (target)	ρ kg/m^3
2450 MHz Brain	39.8 – 40.8	39.2 ±5% (37.2 to 41.2)	1.73 - 1.77	1.80 ±5% (1.71 to 1.89)	1000
5800 MHz Brain	33.7 - 35.6	35.3 ±5% (33.5 to 37.1)	5.30 - 5.42	5.27 ±5% (5.01 to 5.53)	1000

NOTE: The brain liquid parameters were within the required tolerances of ±5%.

Table 15: Measured Body Simulating Liquid Dielectric Values

Frequency Band	∈r (measured range)	∈r (target)	σ (mho/m) (measured range)	σ (target)	ρ kg/m^3
2412 MHz Muscle	51.2 – 54.2	52.7 ±5% (50.1 to 55.3)	1.87 - 1.92	1.95 ±5% (1.85 to 2.05)	1000
2437 MHz Muscle	51.1 – 54.1	52.7 ±5% (50.1 to 55.3)	1.90 - 1.96	1.95 ±5% (1.85 to 2.05)	1000
2462 MHz Muscle	50.9 – 54.0	52.7 ±5% (50.1 to 55.3)	1.94 - 1.99	1.95 ±5% (1.85 to 2.05)	1000
5745 MHz Muscle	44.2 - 45.6	48.3 ±10% (43.47 to 53.13)	5.99 – 6.13	5.9 ±10% (5.31 to 6.49)	1000
5785 MHz Muscle	44.1 - 45.5	48.2 ±10% (43.38 to 53.02)	6.07 - 6.20	6.0 ±10% (5.4 to 6.60)	1000
5825 MHz Muscle	44.0 - 45.4	48.2 ±10% (43.38 to 53.02)	6.14 - 6.26	6.0 ±10% (5.4 to 6.60)	1000

NOTE: The brain and muscle liquid parameters were within the required tolerances of ±5%.

3.6.1 Liquid Temperature and Humidity

The humidity and dielectric/ambient temperatures were recorded during the assessment of the tissue material dielectric parameters. The difference between the ambient temperature of the liquid during the dielectric measurement and the temperature during tests was less than |2|°C.

Table 16: Temperature and Humidity recorded for each day

Date	Ambient Temperature (°C)	Liquid Temperature (°C)	Humidity (%)
21st August 2006	20.4	20.0	36.0
22nd August 2006	20.2	19.8	43.0
24th August 2006	20.6	20.1	47.0
2nd September 2006	20.6	20.1	47.0
4th September 2006	21.5	21.2	40.0

3.7 Simulated Tissue Composition Used for SAR Test

The tissue simulating liquids are created prior to the SAR evaluation and often require slight modification each day to obtain the correct dielectric parameters.

Table 17: Tissue Type: Brain @ 2450MHz
Volume of Liquid: 30 Litres

Approximate Composition	% By Weight
Distilled Water	62.7
Salt	0.5
Triton X-100	36.8

*Refer "OET Bulletin 65 97/01 P38"

Table 18: Tissue Type: Muscle @ 2450MHz
Volume of Liquid: 60 Litres

Approximate Composition	% By Weight
Distilled Water	73.2
Salt	0.04
DGBE	26.7

Table 19: Tissue Type: Muscle @ 5600MHz
Volume of Liquid: 60 Litres

EMCT Liquid

Composition
Distilled Water
Salt
Triton X-100

SPEAG liquid (validation)

Composition
Proprietary

3.8 Device Holder for Laptops and P 10.1 Phantom

A low loss clamp was used to position the Laptop underneath the phantom surface. Small pieces of foam were then used to press the laptop flush against the phantom surface.

Refer to Appendix A for photographs of device positioning

4.0 SAR MEASUREMENT PROCEDURE USING DASY4

The SAR evaluation was performed with the SPEAG DASY4 system. A summary of the procedure follows:

a) A measurement of the SAR value at a fixed location is used as a reference value for assessing the power drop of the EUT. The SAR at this point is measured at the start of the test, and then again at the end of the test.

b) The SAR distribution at the exposed flat section of the flat phantom is measured at a distance of 3.9 mm from the inner surface of the shell. The area covers the entire dimension of the EUT and the horizontal grid spacing is 15 mm x 15 mm. The actual Area Scan has dimensions of 121 mm x 81 mm surrounding the test device. Based on this data, the area of the maximum absorption is determined by Spline interpolation. The first "pre-scans" covered an area of 151 mm x 201 mm to ensure that the hotspot was correctly identified.

c) Around this point, a volume of 30 mm x 30 mm x 30 mm is assessed by measuring 7 x 7 x 7 points. On the basis of this data set, the spatial peak SAR value is evaluated with the following procedure:

   (i) The data at the surface are extrapolated, since the centre of the dipoles is 2.7 mm away from the tip of the probe and the distance between the surface and the lowest measuring point is 1.2 mm. The extrapolation is based on a least square algorithm. A polynomial of the fourth order is calculated through the points in z-axes. This polynomial is then used to evaluate the points between the surface and the probe tip.

   (ii) The maximum interpolated value is searched with a straightforward algorithm. Around this maximum the SAR values averaged over the spatial volumes (1 g and 10 g) are computed using the 3D-Spline interpolation algorithm. The 3D-Spline is composed of three one-dimensional splines with the "Not a knot"- condition (in x, y and z-direction). The volume is integrated with the trapezoidal – algorithm. One thousand points (10 x 10 x 10) are interpolated to calculate the averages.

   (iii) All neighbouring volumes are evaluated until no neighbouring volume with a higher average value is found.

   (iv) The SAR value at the same location as in Step (a) is again measured to evaluate the actual power drift.

5.0 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

The uncertainty analysis is based on the template listed in the IEEE Std 1528-2003 for both Handset SAR tests and Validation uncertainty. The measurement uncertainty of a specific device is evaluated independently and the total uncertainty for both evaluations (95% confidence level) must be less than 30%.

Table 20: Uncertainty Budget for DASY4 Version V4.6 Build 23.7 – EUT SAR test @ 2450MHz

a	b	c	d	e= f(d,k)	f	g	h=cxf/e	i=cxg/e	k
Uncertainty Component	Sec.	Tol. (%)	Prob. Dist.	Div.	C_i (1g)	C_i (10g)	1g u_i (%)	10g u_i (%)	v_i
Measurement System									
Probe Calibration (k=1) (numerical calibration)	7.2.1	4.8	N	1	1	1	4.8	4.8	∞
Axial Isotropy	7.2.1	4.7	R	1.73	0.707	0.707	1.9	1.9	∞
Hemispherical Isotropy	7.2.1	9.6	R	1.73	0.707	0.707	3.9	3.9	∞
Boundary Effect	7.2.1	1	R	1.73	1	1	0.6	0.6	∞
Linearity	7.2.1	4.7	R	1.73	1	1	2.7	2.7	∞
System Detection Limits	7.2.1	1	R	1.73	1	1	0.6	0.6	∞
Readout Electronics	7.2.1	1	N	1	1	1	1.0	1.0	∞
Response Time	7.2.1	0.8	R	1.73	1	1	0.5	0.5	∞
Integration Time	7.2.1	2.6	R	1.73	1	1	1.5	1.5	∞
RF Ambient Conditions	7.2.3	0.05	R	1.73	1	1	0.0	0.0	∞
Probe Positioner Mechanical Tolerance	7.2.2	0.4	R	1.73	1	1	0.2	0.2	∞
Probe Positioning with respect to Phantom Shell	7.2.2	2.9	R	1.73	1	1	1.7	1.7	∞
Extrapolation, interpolation and Integration Algorithms for Max. SAR Evaluation	7.2.4	1	R	1.73	1	1	0.6	0.6	∞
Test Sample Related									
Test Sample Positioning	7.2.2	1.61	N	1	1	1	1.6	1.6	11
Device Holder Uncertainty									
Output Power Variation – SAR Drift Measurement	7.2.3	10.1	R	1.73	1	1	5.8	5.8	∞
Phantom and Tissue Parameters									
Phantom Uncertainty (shape and thickness tolerances)	7.2.2	4	R	1.73	1	1	2.3	2.3	∞
Liquid Conductivity – Deviation from target values	7.2.3	5	R	1.73	0.64	0.43	1.8	1.2	∞
Liquid Conductivity – Measurement uncertainty	7.2.3	4.3	N	1	0.64	0.43	2.8	1.8	5
Liquid Permittivity – Deviation from target values	7.2.3	5	R	1.73	0.6	0.49	1.7	1.4	∞
Liquid Permittivity – Measurement uncertainty	7.2.3	4.3	N	1	0.6	0.49	2.6	2.1	5
Combined standard Uncertainty			RSS				10.9	10.5	154
Expanded Uncertainty (95% CONFIDENCE LEVEL)			k=2				21.8	20.98	

Estimated total measurement uncertainty for the DASY4 measurement system was ± 10.9 %. The extended uncertainty (K = 2) was assessed to be ±21.8% based on 95% confidence level. The uncertainty is not added to the measurement result.

Table 21: Uncertainty Budget for DASY4 Version V4.6 Build 23.7 – Validation 2450MHz

a	b	c	D	e= f(d,k)	f	g	h=cxf/e	i=cxg/e	k
Uncertainty Component	Sec.	Tol. (6%)	Prob. Dist.	Div.	C_i (1g)	C_i (10g)	1g u_i (6%)	10g u_i (6%)	v_i
Measurement System									
Probe Calibration (k=1) (standard calibration)	E.2.1	4.8	N	1	1	1	4.8	4.8	∞
Axial Isotropy	E.2.2	4.7	R	1.73	1	1	2.7	2.7	∞
Hemispherical Isotropy	E.2.2	0	R	1.73	1	1	0.0	0.0	∞
Boundary Effect	E.2.3	1	R	1.73	1	1	0.6	0.6	∞
Linearity	E.2.4	4.7	R	1.73	1	1	2.7	2.7	∞
System Detection Limits	E.2.5	1	R	1.73	1	1	0.6	0.6	∞
Readout Electronics	E.2.6	1	N	1	1	1	1.0	1.0	∞
Response Time	E.2.7	0	R	1.73	1	1	0.0	0.0	∞
Integration Time	E.2.8	0	R	1.73	1	1	0.0	0.0	∞
RF Ambient Conditions	E.6.1	0.05	R	1.73	1	1	0.0	0.0	∞
Probe Positioner Mechanical Tolerance	E.6.2	0.4	R	1.73	1	1	0.2	0.2	∞
Probe Positioning with respect to Phantom Shell	E.6.3	2.9	R	1.73	1	1	1.7	1.7	∞
Extrapolation, interpolation and Integration Algorithms for Max. SAR Evaluation	E.5	1	R	1.73	1	1	0.6	0.6	∞
Test Sample Related				□					
Dipole Axis to Liquid Surface		2	R	1.73	1	1	1.2	1.2	∞
Power Drift		4.7	R	1.73	1	1	2.7	2.7	∞
				□					□
Phantom and Tissue Parameters									
Phantom Uncertainty (shape and thickness tolerances)	E.3.1	4	R	1.73	1	1	2.3	2.3	∞
Liquid Conductivity – Deviation from target values	E.3.2	5	R	1.73	0.6	0.43	1.7	1.2	∞
Liquid Conductivity – Measurement uncertainty	E.3.3	2.5	N	1.73	0.6	0.43	0.9	0.6	5
Liquid Permittivity – Deviation from target values	E.3.2	5	R	1.73	0.6	0.49	1.7	1.4	∞
Liquid Permittivity – Measurement uncertainty	E.3.3	2.5	N	1.73	0.6	0.49	0.9	0.7	5
Combined standard Uncertainty			RSS				8.0	7.8	154
Expanded Uncertainty (95% CONFIDENCE LEVEL)			k=2				16.0	15.63	

Estimated total measurement uncertainty for the DASY4 measurement system was ±8.0%. The extended uncertainty (K = 2) was assessed to be ±16.0% based on 95% confidence level. The uncertainty is not added to the Validation measurement result.

Table 22: Uncertainty Budget for DASY4 Version V4.6 Build 23.7 – EUT SAR test @ 5800 MHz

a	b	c	d	e= f(d,k)	f	g	h=cxf/e	i=cxg/e	k
Uncertainty Component	Sec.	Tol. (6%)	Prob. Dist.	Div.	C_i (1g)	C_i (10g)	1g u_i (6%)	10g u_i (6%)	v_i
Measurement System									
Probe Calibration (k=1) (standard calibration)	E.2.1	6.6	N	1	1	1	6.6	6.6	∞
Axial Isotropy	E.2.2	4.7	R	1.73	1	1	2.7	2.7	∞
Hemispherical Isotropy	E.2.2	0	R	1.73	1	1	0.0	0.0	∞
Boundary Effect	E.2.3	2	R	1.73	1	1	1.2	1.2	∞
Linearity	E.2.4	4.7	R	1.73	1	1	2.7	2.7	∞
System Detection Limits	E.2.5	1	R	1.73	1	1	0.6	0.6	∞
Readout Electronics	E.2.6	1	N	1	1	1	1.0	1.0	∞
Response Time	E.2.7	0	R	1.73	1	1	0.0	0.0	∞
Integration Time	E.2.8	0	R	1.73	1	1	0.0	0.0	∞
RF Ambient Conditions	E.6.1	0.075	R	1.73	1	1	0.0	0.0	∞
Probe Positioner Mechanical Tolerance	E.6.2	0.8	R	1.73	1	1	0.5	0.5	∞
Probe Positioning with respect to Phantom Shell	E.6.3	5.7	R	1.73	1	1	3.3	3.3	∞
Extrapolation, interpolation and Integration Algorithms for Max. SAR Evaluation	E.5	4	R	1.73	1	1	2.3	2.3	∞
Test Sample Related									
Dipole Axis to Liquid distance	E.4.2	2	N	1	1	1	2.0	2.0	11
Output Power Variation – SAR Drift Measurement	6.6.2	11.9	R	1.73	1	1	6.9	6.9	∞
Phantom and Tissue Parameters									
Phantom Uncertainty (shape and thickness tolerances)	E.3.1	4	R	1.73	1	1	2.3	2.3	∞
Liquid Conductivity – Deviation from target values	E.3.2	5	R	1.73	0.64	0.43	1.8	1.2	∞
Liquid Conductivity – Measurement uncertainty	E.3.3	2.5	N	1	0.64	0.43	1.6	1.1	5
Liquid Permittivity – Deviation from target values	E.3.2	5	R	1.73	0.6	0.49	1.7	1.4	∞
Liquid Permittivity – Measurement uncertainty	E.3.3	2.5	N	1	0.6	0.49	1.5	1.2	5
Combined standard Uncertainty			RSS				12.0	11.8	154
Expanded Uncertainty (95% CONFIDENCE LEVEL)			k=2				24.1	23.67	

Estimated total measurement uncertainty for the DASY4 measurement system was ±12.0%. The extended uncertainty (K = 2) was assessed to be ±24.1% based on 95% confidence level. The uncertainty is not added to the measurement result.

Table 23: Uncertainty Budget for DASY4 Version V4.6 Build 23.7 – Validation 5800 MHz

a	b	c	d	e= f(d,k)	f	g	h=cxf/e	i=cxg/e	k
Uncertainty Component	Sec.	Tol. (%)	Prob. Dist.	Div.	C_i (1g)	C_i (10g)	1g u_i (%)	10g u_i (%)	v_i
Measurement System									
Probe Calibration (k=1) (standard calibration)	E.2.1	6.6	N	1	1	1	6.6	6.6	∞
Axial Isotropy	E.2.2	4.7	R	1.73	1	1	2.7	2.7	∞
Hemispherical Isotropy	E.2.2	0	R	1.73	1	1	0.0	0.0	∞
Boundary Effect	E.2.3	2	R	1.73	1	1	1.2	1.2	∞
Linearity	E.2.4	4.7	R	1.73	1	1	2.7	2.7	∞
System Detection Limits	E.2.5	1	R	1.73	1	1	0.6	0.6	∞
Readout Electronics	E.2.6	1	N	1	1	1	1.0	1.0	∞
Response Time	E.2.7	0	R	1.73	1	1	0.0	0.0	∞
Integration Time	E.2.8	0	R	1.73	1	1	0.0	0.0	∞
RF Ambient Conditions	E.6.1	0.075	R	1.73	1	1	0.0	0.0	∞
Probe Positioner Mechanical Tolerance	E.6.2	0.8	R	1.73	1	1	0.5	0.5	∞
Probe Positioning with respect to Phantom Shell	E.6.3	5.7	R	1.73	1	1	3.3	3.3	∞
Extrapolation, interpolation and Integration Algorithms for Max. SAR Evaluation	E.5	4	R	1.73	1	1	2.3	2.3	∞
Test Sample Related									
Dipole Axis to Liquid distance	E.4.2	2	N	1	1	1	2.0	2.0	11
Output Power Variation – SAR Drift Measurement	6.6.2	4.7	R	1.73	1	1	2.7	2.7	∞
Phantom and Tissue Parameters									
Phantom Uncertainty (shape and thickness tolerances)	E.3.1	4	R	1.73	1	1	2.3	2.3	∞
Liquid Conductivity – Deviation from target values	E.3.2	5	R	1.73	0.64	0.43	1.8	1.2	∞
Liquid Conductivity – Measurement uncertainty	E.3.3	2.5	N	1	0.64	0.43	1.6	1.1	5
Liquid Permittivity – Deviation from target values	E.3.2	5	R	1.73	0.6	0.49	1.7	1.4	∞
Liquid Permittivity – Measurement uncertainty	E.3.3	2.5	N	1	0.6	0.49	1.5	1.2	5
Combined standard Uncertainty			RSS				10.3	10.0	154
Expanded Uncertainty (95% CONFIDENCE LEVEL)			k=2				20.5	20.02	

Estimated total measurement uncertainty for the DASY4 measurement system was ±10.3%. The extended uncertainty (K = 2) was assessed to be ±20.5% based on 95% confidence level. The uncertainty is not added to the measurement result.

6.0 EQUIPMENT LIST AND CALIBRATION DETAILS

Table 24: SPEAG DASY4 V4.6 Build 23.7

Equipment Type	Manufacturer	Model Number	Serial Number	Calibration Due	Used For this Test?
Robot - Six Axes	Staubli	RX90BL	N/A	Not applicable	YES
Robot Remote Control	SPEAG	CS7MB	RX90B	Not applicable	YES
SAM Phantom	SPEAG	N/A	1260	Not applicable	YES
SAM Phantom	SPEAG	N/A	1060	Not applicable	NO
Flat Phantom	AndreT	10.1	P 10.1	Not Applicable	YES
Flat Phantom	AndreT	9.1	P 9.1	Not Applicable	NO
Flat Phantom	SPEAG	PO1A 6mm	1003	Not Applicable	NO
Data Acquisition Electronics	SPEAG	DAE3 V1	359	12-July-2007	NO
Data Acquisition Electronics	SPEAG	DAE3 V1	442	13-Aug-2007	YES
Probe E-Field - Dummy	SPEAG	DP1	N/A	Not applicable	NO
Probe E-Field	SPEAG	ET3DV6	1380	14-Dec-2006	NO
Probe E-Field	SPEAG	ET3DV6	1377	14-July-2007	YES
Probe E-Field	SPEAG	ES3DV6	3029	Non Compliance	NO
Probe E-Field	SPEAG	EX3DV4	3563	14-July-2007	YES
Antenna Dipole 300 MHz	SPEAG	D300V2	1005	26-Oct-2007	NO
Antenna Dipole 450 MHz	SPEAG	D450V2	1009	15-Dec-2006	NO
Antenna Dipole 900 MHz	SPEAG	D900V2	047	6-July-2008	NO
Antenna Dipole 1640 MHz	SPEAG	D1640V2	314	30-June-2008	NO
Antenna Dipole 1800 MHz	SPEAG	D1800V2	242	3-July-2008	NO
Antenna Dipole 2450 MHz	SPEAG	D2450V2	724	2-Nov-2006	YES
Antenna Dipole 3500 MHz	SPEAG	D3500V2	1002	1-July-2007	NO
Antenna Dipole 5600 MHz	SPEAG	D5GHzV2	1008	27-Oct-2007	YES
RF Amplifier	EIN	603L	N/A	In test	NO
RF Amplifier	Mini-Circuits	ZHL-42	N/A	In test	YES
RF Amplifier	Mini-Circuits	ZVE-8G	N/A	In test	YES
Synthesized signal generator	Hewlett Packard	ESG-D3000A	GB37420238	*Not Required	YES
RF Power Meter Dual	Hewlett Packard	437B	3125012786	30-May-2007	YES
RF Power Sensor 0.01 - 18 GHz	Hewlett Packard	8481H	1545A01634	30-May-2007	YES
RF Power Meter Dual	Gigatronics	8542B	1830125	18-April-2007	YES
RF Power Sensor	Gigatronics	80301A	1828805	18-April-2007	YES
RF Power Meter Dual	Hewlett Packard	435A	1733A05847	*Not Required	YES
RF Power Sensor	Hewlett Packard	8482A	2349A10114	*Not Required	YES
Network Analyser	Hewlett Packard	8714B	GB3510035	31-Aug-2007	NO
Network Analyser	Hewlett Packard	8753ES	JP39240130	30-Sept-2007	YES
Dual Directional Coupler	Hewlett Packard	778D	1144 04700	In test	NO
Dual Directional Coupler	NARDA	3022	75453	In test	YES

7.0 OET BULLETIN 65 – SUPPLEMENT C TEST METHOD

Notebooks should be evaluated in normal use positions, typical for lap-held bottom-face only. However the number of positions will depend on the number of configurations the tablet can be operated in. The Stylistic ST Series Tablet “Osian” can be used on the lap (see Appendix A1) or hand held as a Tablet PC.

The ST series tablets use interactive screen modes that allow the user to place their arms/hands on the tablet screen. To account for occasional exposure to the arms, SAR tests were performed with the tablet screen facing the phantom.

Therefore SAR measurements were performed with the front and back of the tablet facing the flat section of the AndreT Flat phantom (P 10.1). See Appendix A for photos of test positions.

7.1.1 “Tablet” Position Definition (0mm spacing)

The device was tested in the 2.00 mm flat section of the AndreT Flat phantom P 10.1 for the “Tablet” position. The Transceiver was placed at the bottom of the phantom and suspended in such way that the back of the device was touching the phantom. This device orientation simulates the tablet’s normal use – being held on the lap of the user whilst in the tablet configuration. A spacing of 0mm ensures that the SAR results are conservative and represent a worst-case position.

7.1.2 “Lap Arm Held” Position (0mm spacing)

The device was tested with the screen of the Tablet touching the flat phantom[1]. This orientation simulates use of the device in interactive or arm-held modes where the arm may be rested against the screen during normal use.

For this position, the Transceiver was placed at the bottom of the P 10.1 phantom and suspended in such way that the screen of the device was touching the phantom. A spacing of 0mm ensures that the SAR results are conservative and represent a worst-case assessment (with respect to SAR).

7.1.3 “Edge On” Position (0mm spacing)

The device was tested in the 2.00mm flat section of the Andre T Flat phantom P 10.1 for the “Edge” position. The Transceiver was placed at the bottom of the phantom and suspended in such way that the edge of the device was touching the phantom.

The edge of the tablet chosen corresponded to the locations of the antennas. This device orientation simulates the tablet’s normal use – being held on the lap of the user with exposure occurring on the stomach or torso. A spacing of 0mm ensures that the SAR results are conservative and represent a worst-case configuration.

[1] TCB Workshop Notes 2003, Session 6 “Portable Transmitters”

7.2 List of All Test Cases (Antenna In/Out, Test Frequencies, User Modes)

The device has a fixed antenna. Depending on the measured SAR level up to three test channels with the test sample operating at maximum power, as specified in section 2.3 were recorded. The following table represents the matrix used to determine what testing was required.

Table 25: Testing configurations for 2450MHz

Phantom Configuration	*Device Mode	Antenna	Test Configurations		
			Channel (Low)	Channel (Middle)	Channel (High)
Lap-Arm Held	OFDM	Aux		X	
		Main			
	DSSS	Aux	X	X	X
		Main			
Tablet	OFDM	Aux			
		Main		X	
	DSSS	Aux			
		Main	X	X	X
Edge On	DSSS	Aux	X	X	X
		Main	X	X	X
Lap-Arm Held (With Bluetooth)	DSSS	Aux	If Worst case		
		Main	If Worst case		
Tablet (With Bluetooth)	DSSS	Aux	If Worst case		
		Main	If Worst case		
Edge On (With Bluetooth)	DSSS	Aux	If Worst case		
		Main	If Worst case		

Table 26: Testing configurations for 5800 MHz

Phantom Configuration	*Device Mode	Antenna	Test Configurations		
			Channel (Low)	Channel (Middle)	Channel (High)
Lap-Arm Held	OFDM 5GHz HR	Aux	X	X	X
		Main			
Tablet	OFDM 5GHz HR	Aux		X	
		Main	X	X	X
Edge On	OFDM 5 GHz HR	Aux	X	X	X
		Main	X	X	X
Lap-Arm Held	OFDM 5 GHz HR	Aux	If Worst Case		
		Main	If Worst Case		
Tablet	OFDM 5GHz HR	Aux	If Worst Case		
		Main	If Worst Case		
Edge On	OFDM 5 GHz HR	Aux	If Worst Case		
		Main	If Worst Case		

Legend

X (blue)	Testing Required in this configuration
(green)	Testing not required in this configuration because SAR of middle channel is more than 3dB below the SAR limit.

7.3 FCC RF Exposure Limits for Occupational/ Controlled Exposure

Spatial Peak SAR Limits For:	
Partial-Body:	8.0 mW/g (averaged over any 1g cube of tissue)
Hands, Wrists, Feet and Ankles:	20.0 mW/g (averaged over 10g cube of tissue)

7.4 FCC RF Exposure Limits for Un-controlled/Non–occupational

Spatial Peak SAR Limits For:	
Partial-Body:	1.6 mW/g (averaged over any 1g cube of tissue)
Hands, Wrists, Feet and Ankles:	4.0 mW/g (averaged over 10g cube of tissue)

8.0 SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS

The SAR values averaged over 1g tissue masses were determined for the sample device for all test configurations listed in section 7.2.

8.1 2450MHz SAR Results

There are two modes of operation within the 2450MHz band, they include OFDM and DSSS modulations. Refer to section 7.2 for selection of all device test configurations. Table 20 below displays the SAR results for the DSSS mode. Table 21 displays the SAR results for the OFDM mode.

Table 27: SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS – DSSS Mode

1. Test Position	2. Plot No.	3. Antenna	4. Test Channel	5. Test Freq (MHz)	6. Measured 1g SAR Results (mW/g)	7. Measured Drift (dB)
*Lap Arm Held	1	Aux	6	2437	Pre-scan Only	-
	2	Main	6	2437	Pre-scan Only	-
Lap Arm Held	3	Aux	1	2412	0.35	-0.19
	4	Aux	6	2437	0.40	-0.35
	5	Aux	11	2462	0.39	0.35
Tablet	6	Aux	6	2437	Pre-scan Only	-
	7	Main	1	2412	0.34	0.27
	8	Main	6	2437	0.61	-0.13
	9	Main	11	2462	0.55	-0.04
Edge On	10	Aux	1	2412	0.15	-0.39
	11	Aux	6	2437	0.19	-0.40
	12	Aux	11	2462	0.21	-0.26
	13	Main	1	2412	0.41	0.42
	14	Main	6	2437	0.69	-0.15
	15	Main	11	2462	0.62	0.18
WLAN with Bluetooth On						
1. Test Position	**2. Plot No.**	**3. Antenna**	**4. WLAN Test Channel**	**5. Bluetooth Frequency (MHz)**	**6. Measured 1g SAR Results (mW/g)**	**7. Measured Drift (dB)**
Edge On	16	Main	2437	2441	0.68	0.23

*This plot was used for identifying the "hotspot" only.
**SAR results are within the noise floor of the DASY4 system and were not included.
NOTE: The measurement uncertainty of 21.8% for 2.45GHz was not added to the result.

Table 28: SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS – OFDM Mode

1. Test Position	2. Plot No.	3. Antenna	4. Test Channel	5. Test Freq (MHz)	6. Measured 1g SAR Results (mW/g)	7. Measured Drift (dB)
Tablet	17	Main	6	2437	0.27	-0.03
Lap Arm Held	18	Aux	6	2437	0.31	-0.21

NOTE: The measurement uncertainty of 21.8% for 2.45GHz testing is not added to the result.

The highest SAR level recorded in the 2450MHz band was 0.69 mW/g as evaluated in a 1g cube of averaging mass. This value was obtained in edge on position in DSSS mode, utilizing channel 6 (2437 MHz), at the antenna Main. The Bluetooth was ON at the Frequency of 2441 MHz.

8.2 5800MHz SAR Results

Table 29: 5800MHz SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS – OFDM Mode

1. Test Position	2. Plot No.	3. Antenna	4. Test Channel	5. Test Freq (MHz)	6. Measured 1g SAR Results (mW/g)	7. Measured Drift (dB)
Lap Arm Held	19	Aux	149	5745	0.69	-0.05
	20	Aux	157	5785	0.67	0.18
	21	Aux	165	58025	0.78	0.00
Tablet	22	Main	149	5745	**1.37**	0.05
	23	Main	157	5785	1.14	-0.17
	24	Main	165	5825	1.04	-0.41
Edge On	25	Aux	157	5785	Pre-scan Only	-
	26	Main	157	5785	Pre-scan Only	-
	27	Aux	149	5745	0.80	-0.18
	28	Aux	157	5785	0.74	-0.42
	29	Aux	165	5825	0.89	-0.45
	30	Main	149	5745	1.04	0.11
	31	Main	157	5785	1.11	0.39
	32	Main	165	5825	1.18	0.22
WLAN with Bluetooth On						
1. Test Position	**2. Plot No.**	**3. Antenna**	**4. WLAN Test Channel**	**5. Bluetooth Frequency (MHz)**	**6. Measured 1g SAR Results (mW/g)**	**7. Measured Drift (dB)**
Tablet	33	Main	5825	2441	1.01	-0.09
Edge On	34	Aux	5825	2441	0.86	0.19
	35	Main	5825	2441	1.10	-0.39

NOTE: The measurement uncertainty of 24.1% for 5GHz testing is not added to the result.
*This plot was used for identifying the "hotspot" only.

The highest SAR level recorded in the 5.8GHz band was 1.37g as evaluated in a 1g cube of averaging mass. This value was obtained in Tablet Position in OFDM mode, utilizing channel 149 (5745MHz) and antenna Main. The Bluetooth was ON at the Frequency of 2441 MHz.

9.0 COMPLIANCE STATEMENT

The model WM3945ABG, FCC ID: EJE-WB0042, IC: 337J-WB0042 Portable Tablet Computer Wireless LAN was found to comply with the FCC and RSS-102 SAR requirements.

The highest SAR level recorded was 1.37 mW/g for a 1g cube. This value was measured at 5745 MHz (channel 149) in the “Tablet” position in OFDM modulation mode at the antenna Main. The Bluetooth was ON at Frequency 2402 MHz. This was below the limit of 1.6 mW/g for uncontrolled exposure.

APPENDIX A1 TEST SAMPLE PHOTOGRAPHS

WM3945ABG Host

Model: WM3945ABG – WLAN Module

APPENDIX A2 TEST SAMPLE PHOTOGRAPHS

Battery 1

Battery 2

WM3945ABG inside the Fujitsu Tablet Computer

APPENDIX A3 TEST SETUP PHOTOGRAPHS

Arm Held Position

APPENDIX A4 TEST SAMPLE PHOTOGRAPHS

Tablet Position

APPENDIX A5 TEST SAMPLE PHOTOGRAPHS

Edge On Position