

From: Eva Kao [eva\_kao@cclab.com.tw]  
Sent: Friday, November 22, 2002 2:38 AM  
To: Mike Kuo  
Cc: steven@cclab.com.tw; vs@cclab.com.tw; Scott Wang  
Subject: Re: Senao International Co., Ltd., FCC ID:NI3-EP-236, AN02T2274

Hi Mike,

We used trace A to measure Peak and Trace B to measure Average, the setting at the plot is trace B. You can find two traces in each plot. Upper is Trace A (Peak ), and Lower is trace B( Average).

The RBW is setting to 100KHz for frequency above 13GHz Radiate Emission, for the purpose of ascertain this device haven't noise.

Best Regards,  
Eva

----- Original Message -----

From: "Mike Kuo" <MKUO@CCSEMC.com>  
To: "Eva Kao (E-mail)" <eva\_kao@cclab.com.tw>  
Cc: <steven@cclab.com.tw>; <vs@cclab.com.tw>; "Scott Wang" <SWang@CCSEMC.com>  
Sent: Friday, November 22, 2002 3:20 AM  
Subject: FW: Senao International Co., Ltd., FCC ID:NI3-EP-236, AN02T2274

>  
>  
> -----Original Message-----  
> From: CERTADM  
> Sent: Thursday, November 21, 2002 11:18 AM  
> To: 'mkuo@ccsemc.com'  
> Subject: Senao International Co., Ltd., FCC ID:NI3-EP-236, AN02T2274  
>  
>  
> Notice\_content  
> -----  
> Notice #3  
>  
> Question #1: Attached please find the revised test report Part 3. As  
> indicated in the spectrum plots on Page 94-97 radiated bandedge measurement.  
> You indicated the peak reading is 62.44 dBuV/m @2390MHz/Vertical. This  
> reading is demonstrated on Page 95 via spectrum plots. On page 95 of  
> spectrum plots, RBW=1MHz and VBW=10Hz, this is average setting not the peak  
> setting. So 62.44 dBuV/m should be average reading instead of peak reading.  
> By considering the correction factor, the corrected average is over the  
> 15.209 average limits. Same situation for page 96-97.  
>  
> Based upon the information present herein, this application will be  
> dismissed if the explanation to above questions can be justified and  
> resolved.  
>  
> All the test plots submitted in this applications are not clear and most of  
> spectrum plots do not instrument settings information. It has been a very  
> difficult task for the reviewer to review this application. If you decided

> to provide additional information for this application, all test plots must  
> be readable and with all instrument settings.  
>  
> For you perform radiated spurious emission tests, please follow FCC  
> measurement guideline, some of radiated emission above 1 GHz you used  
> RBW=100kHz and VBW=300KHz. This is not acceptable as well.  
>  
> Best Regards  
>  
> Mike Kuo  
> The items indicated above must be submitted before processing can continue  
> on the above referenced application. Failure to provide the requested  
> information within 60 days of the original e-mail date may result in  
> application dismissal and forfeiture of the filing fee. Also, please note  
> that partial responses increase processing time and should not be submitted.  
> Any questions about the content of this correspondence should be directed to  
> the e-mail address listed below the name of the sender.