## Amber Helm Development L.C.

92723 Michigan Hwy-152 Sister Lakes, Michigan 49047 USA

Tel: 888-847-8027

## VTBRFM-WR2317NATX

Issued: July 18, 2023

# **EMC** Test Report

regarding

USA: CFR Title 47, Part 15.247 (Emissions)
Canada: IC RSS-247v2/GENv5 (Emissions)

for



## **BRFM**

Category: DTS Transceiver

Judgments:

Aligns with FCC Part 15.247, ISED RSS-247v2  $\,$ 

Testing Completed: July 14, 2023



Prepared for:

## Vitesco Technologies GmbH

Siemensstrasse 12, Regensburg 93055 Germany Phone: +49-9412031-3244, Fax: -

Contact: Stefan Lehmann, stefan.lehmann@vitesco.com

Data Rec./Rev. by:

Nantz

Rpt. Auth. by:

Joseph Brunett, EMC-002790-NE

Rpt. Prep./Rev. by:

Joseph Brunett, EMC-002790-NE

Date of Issue:

 $July\ 18,\ 2023$ 

## **Revision History**

1	Rev. No.	Date	Details	Revised By	
	c0 c1	July 18, 2023 August 4, 2023	J. Brunett J. Brunett		
$\mathbf{C}$	Contents				
$\mathbf{R}$	evision Histo	ry			2
$\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{i}}$	able of Conte	ents			2
1	1.1 Laborate 1.2 Report I 1.3 Subcont 1.4 Test Da 1.5 Limitati 1.6 Copyrig 1.7 Endorse 1.8 Test Loc	Retention	ons		4 4 4 4 4 4 4 5 5
2		cations and Procedurecification and General I	res Procedures		<b>6</b>
3	3.1 Descript 3.1.1 H 3.1.2 M 3.1.3 V 3.1.4 T 3.1.5 H 3.1.6 M 3.1.7 H	ion and Declarations	of the Equipment Under Test		7 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8
4	4.1.1 II 4.1.2 G 4.1.3 II 4.2 Intention 4.2.1 II 4.2.2 II 4.2.3 II 4.2.4 II 4.3 Unintent	Conducted Emissions Terviewer Supply Variation and Emissions Duty and Transmission Gundamental Emission Beffective Isotropic Radia Power Spectral Density tional Emissions	Procedures		11 11 12 12 13 15 16 18
5			urious Emissions		19 <b>20</b>
		<del>-</del>			

## List of Tables

1	Test Site List	
2	Equipment List.	Ę
3	EUT Declarations	
4	Pulsed Emission Characteristics (Duty Cycle)	2
5	Intentional Emission Bandwidth	
6	Radiated Power Results	
6	Radiated Power Results	5
7	Power Spectral Density Results	6
8	Transmit Chain Spurious Emissions	8
9	Measurement Uncertainty	
List o	Photos of EUT	7
2	EUT Test Configuration Diagram	
3	Radiated Emissions Diagram of the EUT	
4	Radiated Emissions Test Setup Photograph(s)	
5	Conducted RF Test Setup Photograph(s)	. 1
6	Example Pulsed Emission Characteristics (Duty Cycle)	2
7		4
_	Example Intentional Emission Bandwidth Plots	
8	Example Intentional Emission Bandwidth Plots	
8 9		7

## 1 Test Report Scope and Limitations

#### 1.1 Laboratory Authorization

Test Facility description and attenuation characteristics are on file with the FCC Laboratory, Columbia, Maryland (FCC Reg. No: US5348 and US5356) and with ISED Canada, Ottawa, ON (File Ref. No: 3161A and 24249). Amber Helm Development L.C. holds accreditation under NVLAP Lab Code 200129-0.

#### 1.2 Report Retention

Date: July 18, 2023

For equipment verified to comply with the regulations herein, the manufacturer is obliged to retain this report with the product records for the life of the product, and no less than ten years. A copy of this Report will remain on file with this laboratory until August 2033.

#### 1.3 Subcontracted Testing

This report does not contain data produced under subcontract.

#### 1.4 Test Data

This test report contains data included within the laboratory's scope of accreditation. Any data in this report that is not covered under the laboratory's scope is clearly identified.

#### 1.5 Limitation of Results

The test results contained in this report relate only to the item(s) tested. Any electrical or mechanical modification made to the test item subsequent to the test date shall invalidate the data presented in this report. Any electrical or mechanical modification made to the test item subsequent to this test date shall require reevaluation.

#### 1.6 Copyright

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Amber Helm Development L.C.

#### 1.7 Endorsements

This report shall not be used to claim product endorsement by any accrediting, regulatory, or governmental agency.

#### 1.8 Test Location

The EUT was fully tested by **Amber Helm Development L.C.**, headquartered at 92723 Michigan Hwy-152, Sister Lakes, Michigan 49047 USA. Table 1 lists all sites employed herein. Specific test sites utilized are also listed in the test results sections of this report where needed.

Table 1: Test Site List.

Description	Location	Quality Num.
OATS (3 meter)	3615 E Grand River Rd., Williamston, Michigan 48895	OATSC

## 1.9 Traceability and Equipment Used

Pertinent test equipment used for measurements at this facility is listed in Table 2. The quality system employed at Amber Helm Development L.C. has been established to ensure all equipment has a clearly identifiable classification, calibration expiry date, and that all calibrations are traceable to the SI through NIST, other recognized national laboratories, accepted fundamental or natural physical constants, ratio type of calibration, or by comparison to consensus standards.

Table 2: Equipment List.

Description	Manufacturer/Model	$\mathbf{S}\mathbf{N}$	Quality Num.	Cal/Ver By / Date Due
Spectrum Analyzer	R & S / FSV30	101660	RSFSV30001	RS / Apr-2024
EMI Receiver	R & S / ESW26	101313	RSESW2601	RS / October-2023
Quad Ridge Horn	Singer / A6100	C35200	HQR1TO18S01	Keysight / Aug-2024
Biconical	EMCO / 93110B	9802-3039	BICEMCO01	Keysight / Aug-2023
Log Periodic Antenna	EMCO / 3146	9305-3614	LOGEMCO01	Keysight / Aug-2023
K-Band Horn	JEF / NRL Std.	001	HRNK01	AHD / Jul-2024
BNC-BNC Coax	WRTL / RG58/U	001	CAB001-BLACK	AHD / Sept-2023
3.5-3.5MM Coax	PhaseFlex / PhaseFlex	001	CAB015-PURP	AHD / Sept-2023

## 2 Test Specifications and Procedures

## 2.1 Test Specification and General Procedures

The goal of Vitesco Technologies GmbH is to demonstrate that the Equipment Under Test (EUT) complies with the Rules and/or Directives below. Detailed in this report are the results of testing the Vitesco Technologies GmbH BRFM for compliance to:

Country/Region	Rules or Directive	Referenced Section(s)
United States	Code of Federal Regulations	CFR Title 47, Part 15.247
Canada	ISED Canada	IC RSS-247v2/GENv5

It has been determined that the equipment under test is subject to the rules and directives above at the date of this testing. In conjunction with these rules and directives, the following specifications and procedures are followed herein to demonstrate compliance (in whole or in part) with these regulations.

ANSI C63.4:2014	"Methods of Measurement of Radio-Noise Emissions from Low-Voltage Electrical and Electronic Equipment in the Range of 9 kHz to 40 GHz"
ANSI C63.10:2013	"American National Standard of Procedures for Compliance Testing of Unlicensed Wireless Devices"
KDB 558074 D01 v05r02	"GUIDANCE FOR COMPLIANCE MEASUREMENTS ON DIGITAL TRANSMISSION SYSTEM, FREQUENCY HOPPING SPREAD SPECTRUM SYSTEM, AND HYBRID SYSTEM DEVICES OPERATING UNDER SECTION 15.247 OF THE FCC RULES"
KDB 662911 D01v02r01	"Emissions Testing of Transmitters with Multiple Outputs in the Same Band"
KDB 662911 D02 v01	"MIMO with Cross-Polarized Antenna"
TP0102RA	"AHD Internal Document TP0102 - Radiated Emissions Test Procedure"

## 3 Configuration and Identification of the Equipment Under Test

## 3.1 Description and Declarations

The EUT is a proprietary 802.15.4 transceiver used as a network manager to monitor the state of multiple battery cells The EUT is approximately  $16 \times 7 \times 2$  cm in dimension, and is depicted in Figure 1. It is powered by 5.5 VDC vehicular power source. This product is used as a wireless battery health network manager in a motor vehicle. Table 3 outlines provider declared EUT specifications.



Figure 1: Photos of EUT.

Table 3: EUT Declarations.

#### **General Declarations**

Equipment Type: DTS Transceiver

Country of Origin:
Nominal Supply:
Oper. Temp Range:
Frequency Range:
Antenna Dimension:
Antenna Type:
Antenna Gain:
USA
5.5 VDC
Not Declared
2405 - 2480 MHz
2.5 x 0.75 cm
Chip Antenna
2.6 dBi max.

Number of Channels: 16
Channel Spacing: 5 MHz
Alignment Range: Not Declared
Type of Modulation: GFSK

United States

FCC ID Number: 2A6TC-BRFM Classification: DTS

Canada

IC Number: 28616-BRFM Classification: Other

#### 3.1.1 EUT Configuration

The EUT is configured for testing as depicted in Figure 2.




Figure 2: EUT Test Configuration Diagram.

## 3.1.2 Modes of Operation

The EUT can employ both 1Mbps and 2Mbps data rates over 16 operating channels. The manufacturer provided PC software for radio configuration and for monitoring the device performance during testing.

#### 3.1.3 Variants

There is only a single variant of the EUT.

#### 3.1.4 Test Samples

Three samples of the EUT were provided for testing. Two programmed with a radio test firmware configurable by laptop and UART for radio testing (SN: 1936, 1938) and one normal operating sample (SN:1930), all of which were tested herein.

#### 3.1.5 Functional Exerciser

The manufacturer provided a laptop with a special GUI to monitor each port during testing (green light good, red light bad)

#### 3.1.6 Modifications Made

Pretesting indicated the radio power level had to be reduced to a setting of 8. Final testing was performed on samples with this power level implemented by the manufacturer.

#### 3.1.7 Production Intent

The EUT appears to be a production ready sample.

#### 3.1.8 Declared Exemptions and Additional Product Notes

The EUT is permanently installed in a transportation vehicle. As such, digital emissions are exempt from US and Canadian digital emissions regulations (per FCC 15.103(a) and IC correspondence on ICES-003.)

#### 4 Emissions

#### 4.1 General Test Procedures

#### 4.1.1 Radiated Test Setup and Procedures

Radiated electromagnetic emissions from the EUT are first pre-scanned in our screen room. Spectrum and modulation characteristics of all emissions are recorded. Instrumentation, including spectrum analyzers and other test equipment as detailed in Section 1.8 are employed. After pre-scan, emission measurements are made on the test site of record. If the EUT connects to auxiliary equipment and is table or floor standing, the configurations prescribed in relevant test standards are followed. Alternatively, a layout closest to normal use (as declared by the provider) is employed if the resulting emissions appear to be worst-case in such a configuration. See Figure 3. All intentionally radiating elements that are not fixed-mounted in use are placed on the test table lying flat, on their side, and on their end (3-axes) and the resulting worst case emissions are recorded. If the EUT is fixed-mounted in use, measurements are made with the device oriented in the manner consistent with installation and then emissions are recorded. If the EUT exhibits spurious emissions due to internal receiver circuitry, such emissions are measured with an appropriate carrier signal applied.




Figure 3: Radiated Emissions Diagram of the EUT.

For devices with intentional emissions below 30 MHz, a shielded loop antenna and/or E-field and H-Field broad-band probes are used depending on the regulation. Shielded loops are placed at a 1 meter receive height at the desired measurement distance. For exposure in this band, 10cm diameter single-axis broadband probes meeting the requirements of ISED SPR-002 section 5.2 are employed. Measurements are repeated and summed over three axes, and the entire frequency range is measured with and without the EUT transmitting.

Emissions between 30 MHz and 1 GHz are measured using calibrated broadband antennas. For both horizontal and vertical polarizations, the test antenna is raised and lowered from 1 to 4 m in height until a maximum emission level is detected. The EUT is then rotated through  $360^{\circ}$  in azimuth until the highest emission is detected. The test antenna is then raised and lowered one last time from 1 to 4 m and the worst case value is recorded. Emissions above 1 GHz are characterized using standard gain or broadband ridge-horn antennas on our OATS with a  $4 \times 5$  m rectangle of ECCOSORB absorber covering the OATS ground screen and a 1.5m table height. Care is taken to ensure that test receiver resolution and video bandwidths meet the regulatory requirements, and that the emission bandwidth of the EUT is not reduced. Photographs of the test setup employed are depicted in Figure 4.

Where regulations allow for direct measurement of field strength, power values (dBm) measured on the test receiver / analyzer are converted to  $dB\mu V/m$  at the regulatory distance, using

$$E_{dist} = 107 + P_R + K_A - K_G + K_E - C_F$$

where  $P_R$  is the power recorded on spectrum analyzer, in dBm,  $K_A$  is the test antenna factor in dB/m,  $K_G$  is the combined pre-amplifier gain and cable loss in dB,  $K_E$  is duty correction factor (when applicable) in dB, and  $C_F$  is a distance conversion (employed only if limits are specified at alternate distance) in dB. This field strength value is then compared with the regulatory limit. If effective isotropic radiated power (EIRP) is computed, it is computed as

$$EIRP(dBm) = E_{3m}(dB\mu V/m) - 95.2.$$

When presenting data at each frequency, the highest measured emission under all possible EUT orientations (3-axes) is reported.




Figure 4: Radiated Emissions Test Setup Photograph(s).

#### 4.1.2 Conducted Emissions Test Setup and Procedures

Transmit Antenna Port Conducted Emissions At least one sample EUT supplied for testing was provided with a  $50\Omega$  antenna port. Conducted transmit chain emissions measurements (where applicable) are made by connecting the EUT antenna port directly to the test receiver port. Photographs of the test setup employed are depicted in Figure 5.




Figure 5: Conducted RF Test Setup Photograph(s).

## 4.1.3 Power Supply Variation

Tests at extreme supply voltages are made if required by the procedures specified in the test standard, and results of this testing are detailed in this report.

#### 4.2 Intentional Emissions

## 4.2.1 Duty and Transmission Cycle, Pulsed Operation

The details and results of testing the EUT for pulsed operation are summarized in Table 4. Plots showing the measurements made to obtain these values are provided in Figure 6.

Table 4: Pulsed Emission Characteristics (Duty Cycle).

Test Date: 13-Jul-23
Test Engineer: John Nantz
EUT Vitesco BRFM
Meas. Distance: Conducted

	Test Mode Pulsed Operation / Average Measurement Duty Cycle										
	Mode	Data Rate	Voltage	Oper. Freq	Pulse Length	Pulse Period	Duty Cycle	Power Duty Correction			
R0	Mode	Mbps	V	MHz	Puise Length	Pulse Period	%	dB			
R1	1 Mbps	1.0	5.5	2440.0	1.0	1.0	100.0				
R2	2 Mbps	2.0	5.5	2440.0	1.0	1.0	100.0				
#	C1	C3	C4	C5	C6	C7	C8	С9			

ROW COLUMN NOTE

All

C8 Duty Cycle measured in line with DTS guidance 558074 D01 v5 r02 sec 6(b) for averaging only over full-power transmission pulses.




Figure 6: Example Pulsed Emission Characteristics (Duty Cycle).

#### 4.2.2 Fundamental Emission Bandwidth

Emission bandwidth (EBW) of the EUT is measured with the device placed in the test mode(s) with the shortest available packet length and minimum packet spacing. Radiated emissions are recorded following the test procedures listed in Section 2.1. The 6 dB bandwidth is measured for the lowest, middle, and highest channels available. The 99% emission bandwidth per IC test procedures is also reported. The results of this testing are summarized in Table 5. Plots showing measurements employed obtain the emission bandwidths reported are provided in Figure 7.

Table 5: Intentional Emission Bandwidth.

Test Date: 13-Jul-23
Test Engineer: John Nantz
EUT Vitesco BRFM

Meas. Distance: Conducted

		Occupied Bandwidth									
	Transmit Mode	Data Rate	Voltage	Oper. Freq	6 dB BW	6 dB BW Limit	99% OBW	20 dB BW	Pass/Fail		
R0	Transmit Wode	(Mbps)	(V)	(MHz)	(MHz)	(MHz)	(MHz)	(MHz)	1 a55/ Fall		
R1				2405.0	1.394	0.50	2.048	2.373	Pass		
R2	1 Mbps	1.0	5.5	2440.0	1.364	0.50	2.036	2.378	Pass		
R3				2480.0	1.374	0.50	2.039	2.373	Pass		
R4				2405.0	1.374	0.50	2.046	2.358	Pass		
R5	2 Mbps	2.0	5.5	2440.0	1.399	0.50	2.036	2.358	Pass		
R6				2480.0	1.359	0.50	2.036	2.368	Pass		
#	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	C6	C7	C8	C9		

ROW COLUMN NOTE

R0 C5 DTS Bandwidth measured with RBW = 100 kHz per ANSI C63.10 11.8.1




Figure 7: Example Intentional Emission Bandwidth Plots.

#### 4.2.3 Effective Isotropic Radiated Power

The EUT's radiated power is computed from antenna port conducted power measurements and the gain of the EUT antenna(s). Where the EUT is not sold with an antenna connector, a modified product has been provided including such. The results of this testing are summarized in Table 6.

Table 6(a): Radiated Power Results.


Test Date: 13-Jul-23
Test Engineer: John Nantz
EUT: Vitesco BRFM
Meas. Distance: Conducted

		Fundamental Power									
			Freq.	Pout (Pk)	Duty	Pout + Duty	Ant Gain	EIRP (Avg)	EIRP (Avg) Limit	Pass	Comments
R0	Mode	Channel	MHz	dBm	dB	dBm	dBi	dBm	dBm	dB	
R1		L	2405.0	4.2	0.0	4.2	2.60	6.80	36.0	29.2	
R2	1 Mbps	M	2440.0	5.6	0.0	5.6	2.60	8.22	36.0	27.8	
R3		Н	2480.0	4.2	0.0	4.2	2.60	6.82	36.0	29.2	
R4		L	2405.0	4.2	0.0	4.2	2.60	6.80	36.0	29.2	
R5	2 Mbps	M	2440.0	5.6	0.0	5.6	2.60	8.22	36.0	27.8	
R6		Н	2480.0	4.2	0.0	4.2	2.60	6.82	36.0	29.2	
#	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	C6	C7	C8	C9	C10	C11

(ROW) (COLUMN) NOTE:

R0 C5 Measured maximum peak conducted power from the radio using conducted test sample following DTS Guidance 558074 D01 v5 r02 Section 8.3.1.1
R0 C7 Worst Case Antenna Gain from Antenna Datasheet – 2.6 dBi.

Table 6(b): Radiated Power Results.



17:10:39 13.07.2023

(ROW)

(COLUMN)

## 4.2.4 Power Spectral Density

For this test, the EUT was attached directly to the test receiver. Following FCC DTS measurement procedures, the emission spectrum is first scanned for maximum spectral peaks, the span and receiver bandwidth are then reduced until the power spectral density is measured in the prescribed receiver bandwidth. The results of this testing are summarized in Table 7. Plots showing how these measurements were made are depicted in Figure 8.

Table 7: Power Spectral Density Results.

	Frequency Range Detector 2400-2483.5 Pk		IF Bandwidth		Video Bandwidth	Test Date:	13-Jul-23	
			3 kHz		10 kHz	Test Engineer:	John Nantz	
						EUT:	Vitesco BRFM	
						Meas. Distance:	Conducted	
				Power Spectral Der	nsity			
			Frequency	Ant.	PSDcond (meas)	PSD Limit	Pass By	
R0	Mode	Channel	(MHz)	Used	(dBm/3kHz)	(dBm/3kHz)	(dB)	
R1		L	2405.0	Cond.	-9.6	8.00	17.6	
R2	1 Mbps	M	2440.0	Cond.	-8.1	8.00	16.1	
R3		Н	2480.0	Cond.	-9.7	8.00	17.7	
R4		L	2405.0	Cond.	-9.6	8.00	17.6	
R5	2 Mbps	M	2440.0	Cond8.1		8.00	16.1	
R6		Н	2480.0	Cond.	-9.7	8.00	17.7	
#	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	C6	C7	

R0 C5 PSD measured conducted out the EUT antenna port following ANSI C63.10, section: 11.10.2




Figure 8: Power Spectral Density Plots.

#### 4.3 Unintentional Emissions

#### 4.3.1 Restricted Band Transmit Chain Spurious Emissions

The results for the measurement of transmit chain spurious emissions at the nominal voltage and temperature are provided in Table 8. Measurements are performed to 10 times the highest fundamental operating frequency.

1Pk Clrw • 2Pk Clrw • 3Av Clrw <mark>T</mark> 2 Scan \_imit Check | 100 MHz PASS Line FCC PART 15 E FIELD 3M QP CLAS PASS TO DELYNE FCC PART 15 E FIELD 3M AV CLAS PASS 60 dBµV/m LD 3M AV CLASS B 50 dBµV/m CC PART 15 E FIELD 3M OP CLASS B 30 dBµV/m 20 dBµV/m Start 30.0 MHz Stop 26.5 GHz 13-Jul-23 Frequency Range 30 MHz < f < 1 000 MHz Pk/Qpk 100 kHz 300 kHz Test Engineer: John Nantz

Table 8: Transmit Chain Spurious Emissions.

	Transmitter Spurious - RADIO 1 FCC/IC													
		Frequ	uency	Output	Power	Ant	GR Factor	Avg Duty		Electric Field @ 3m Pass			Pass	
	Mode	Start	Stop	Pk	Avg	Gain		Factor	Meas. Pk	Limit Pk	Meas. Avg	Limit Avg		
R0		MHz	MHz	dBm	dBm	dBi	dB	dB	dBuV/m	dBuV/m	dBuV/m	dBuV/m	dB	Comments
R1	Fundamental Re	stricted Ba	nd Edge (Lo	ow Side)										
R2	1M/2M	2390.0	2390.0	-77.5	-78.2	2.6			20.3	74.0	19.6	54.0	34.4	max all - baud rates/L,M,H channels/noise
R3	Fundamental Re	stricted Ba	nd Edge (H	igh Side)										
R4	1M/2M	2483.5	2483.5	-52.9	-62.4	2.6			44.9	74.0	35.4	54.0	18.6	max all - baud rates/L,M,H channels/noise
R5	Restricted Band	s Emission:	s											
R6	1M/2M	30	88	-87.5		2.6	4.7		15.0			40	25.0	max all - baud rates/L,M,H channels/noise
R7	1M/2M	88	216	-83.2		2.6	4.7		19.3			43	23.7	max all - baud rates/L,M,H channels/noise
R8	1M/2M	216	1000	-81.2		2.6	4.7		21.3			46	24.7	max all - baud rates/L,M,H channels/noise
R9	1M/2M	1000.0	2400.0	-77.5	-78.2	2.6			20.3	74.0	19.6	54.0	34.4	max all - baud rates/L,M,H channels/noise
R10	1M/2M	2483.5	4000.0	-52.9	-62.4	2.6			44.9	74.0	35.4	54.0	18.6	max all - baud rates/L,M,H channels/noise
R11	1M/2M	4810.0	4810.0	-78.7	-83.2	2.6			19.1	74.0	14.6	54.0	39.4	max all - baud rates/L,M,H channels/noise
R12	1M/2M	4870.0	4870.0	-77.5	-81.0	2.6			20.3	74.0	16.8	54.0	37.2	max all - baud rates/L,M,H channels/noise
R13	1M/2M	4950.0	4950.0	-75.3	-79.5	2.6			22.5	74.0	18.3	54.0	35.7	max all - baud rates/L,M,H channels/noise
R14	1M/2M	4000.0	6000.0	-75.0	-78.8	2.6			22.8	74.0	19.0	54.0	35.0	max all - baud rates/L,M,H channels/noise
R15	1M/2M	6000.0	8400.0	-72.0	-76.5	2.6			25.8	74.0	21.3	54.0	32.7	max all - baud rates/L,M,H channels/noise
R16	1M/2M	8400.0	12500.0	-60.2	-74.2	2.6			37.6	74.0	23.6	54.0	30.4	max all - baud rates/L,M,H channels/noise
R17	1M/2M	12500.0	25000.0	-58.6	-69.6	2.6			39.2	74.0	28.2	54.0	25.8	max all - baud rates/L,M,H channels/noise
#	C1	C2.	C3	C4	C5	C6	C7	C8	C9	C10	C11	C12	C13	C14

EUT:

Meas. Distance:

Vitesco BRFM

Conducted

(ROW) (COLUMN) NOTE:

 $f\!>\!1~000~MHz$ 

Pk/Avg

R0 C4/C5 Conducted measurements were made in line with DTS guidance 558074 D01 v5 r02 sections 8.5, 8.6 and 8.7 respectively.

1 MHz

3 MHz


R2/R4 C4/C5 Measured using the mode with widest bandwidth and max. output power/PSD according to ANSI C63-10-2013 sections 5.6.2.2 and 6.10.5.2 respectively R0 C6 Worst Case Antenna Gain per Datasheet is 2.6 dBi > 2 dBi - used for calculation in alignment with ANSI C63.10, section 11.12.2.6

R0 C7 Ground Reflection Factor as described in ANSI C63.10-2013 section 11.12.2.2 c

R0 C9 Computed according to ANSI C63.10-2013 section 11.12.2.2 e

## 4.3.2 OOB Transmit Chain Spurious Emissions

The results for the measurement of transmit chain spurious emissions relative to the fundamental in a 100 kHz receiver bandwidth (at the nominal voltage and temperature) in the worst cases are provided in Figure 9 below.






Figure 9: Worst Case Transmitter OOB Emissions Measured.

## 5 Measurement Uncertainty and Accreditation Documents

The maximum values of measurement uncertainty for the laboratory test equipment and facilities associated with each test are given in the table below. This uncertainty is computed for a 95.45% confidence level based on a coverage factor of k=2.

Table 9: Measurement Uncertainty.

Measured Parameter	${\bf Measurement~Uncertainty^{\dagger}}$
Radio Frequency	$\pm (f_{Mkr}/10^7 + RBW/10 + (SPN/(PTS - 1))/2 + 1 \text{ Hz})$
Conducted Emm. Amplitude	$\pm 1.9\mathrm{dB}$
Radiated Emm. Amplitude $(f < 30 \mathrm{MHz})$	$\pm 3.1\mathrm{dB}$
Radiated Emm. Amplitude $(30 - 200 \mathrm{MHz})$	$\pm 4.0\mathrm{dB}$
Radiated Emm. Amplitude $(200 - 1000 \mathrm{MHz})$	$\pm 5.2\mathrm{dB}$
Radiated Emm. Amplitude $(f > 1000 \mathrm{MHz})$	$\pm 3.7\mathrm{dB}$

†Ref: CISPR 16-4-2:2011+A1:2014







Figure 10: Accreditation Documents