




## TEST REPORT FROM RFI GLOBAL SERVICES LTD

Test of: Satellite Tracking of People LLC.  
Blu Tag Version 3.

To: OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)

Measurements were performed on the DASY4 System

**Test Report Serial No:**  
RFI/SARE1/RP47271JD02A

<b>This Test Report Is Issued Under The Authority Of Andrew Brown, Operations Manager:</b> 	
<b>Tested By: Nirav Modi</b> 	<b>Checked By: Scott D'Adamo</b> 
<b>Report Copy No:</b> PDF01	
<b>Issue Date: 21 June 2005</b>	<b>Test Dates: 23 May 2005 to 25 May 2005</b>

**It should be noted that the standard, OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01) is not listed on RFI's current UKAS schedule and is therefore "not UKAS accredited".**

This report is issued in Adobe Acrobat portable document format (PDF). It is only a valid copy of the report if it is being viewed in PDF format with the following security options not allowed: Changing the document, Selecting text and graphics, Adding or changing notes and form fields. Furthermore, the date of creation must match the issue date stated above.

This report may be copied in full. The results in this report apply only to the sample(s) tested.

This report may be reproduced in full. Partial reproduction may only be made with the written consent of RFI Global Services Ltd

The results in this report apply only to the sample(s) tested.

**RFI Global Services Ltd**  
Pavilion A, Ashwood Park, Ashwood Way, Basingstoke, Hampshire RG23 8BG  
Telephone: +44 (0)1256 312000 Facsimile: +44 (0)1256 312001  
Email: [info@rfi-global.com](mailto:info@rfi-global.com) Website: [www.rfi-global.com](http://www.rfi-global.com)

Registered in England and Wales. Company number: 2117901

**RFI GLOBAL SERVICES LTD**

**TEST REPORT**

**S.No. RFI/SARE1/RP47271JD02A**

**Page 2 of 48**

**Issue Date: 21 June 2005**

**Test Of: Satellite Tracking of People LLC.**

**Blu Tag Version 3.**

**To: OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)**

---

This page has been left intentionally blank.

Test Of: Satellite Tracking of People LLC.  
Blu Tag Version 3.  
To: OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)

---

## **Table of Contents**

1. Client Information .....	4
2. Equipment Under Test (EUT) .....	5
3. Test Specification, Methods and Procedures .....	9
4. Deviations from the Test Specification .....	10
5. Operation of the EUT During Testing .....	11
6. Summary of Test Results .....	12
7. Measurements, Examinations and Derived Results .....	13
8. SAR Measurement System .....	17
9. SAR Safety Limits .....	18
10. Details of SAR Evaluation .....	19
11. Evaluation Procedures .....	20
12. System Validation .....	21
13. Simulated Tissues .....	22
14. Tissue Parameters .....	23
15. DASY4 Systems Specifications .....	24
16. Validation Results – 900 MHz (850 MHz) Band (Body) .....	25
17. Validation Results – 1800 MHz (1900 MHz) Band (Body) .....	26
18. Validation Results – 1900 MHz Band (Body) .....	27
19. Measurement Uncertainty .....	28
Appendix 1. Test Equipment Used .....	31
Appendix 2. SAR Distribution Scans .....	33
Appendix 3. Test Configuration Photograph .....	34
Appendix 4. Calibration Data .....	36
Appendix 5. Photographs of EUT .....	38

Test Of: Satellite Tracking of People LLC.  
Blu Tag Version 3.  
To: OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)

---

## 1. Client Information

<b>Company Name:</b>	Satellite Tacking Of People LLC (STOP).
<b>Address:</b>	102 Woodmount Blvd., Suite 800 Nashville, TN 37205 USA
<b>Contact Name:</b>	Mr Stephen Freathy

## Test Laboratory

<b>Company Name:</b>	RFI Global Services Ltd
<b>Address:</b>	Ewhurst Park Ramsdell Basingstoke Hampshire RG26 5RQ.
<b>Contact Name:</b>	Mr A Brown

**Test Of:** Satellite Tracking of People LLC.  
**To:** Blu Tag Version 3.  
OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)

---

## **2. Equipment Under Test (EUT)**

The following information (with the exception of the date of receipt) has been supplied by the client:

### **2.1. Identification of Equipment Under Test (EUT)**

<b>Brand Name:</b>	STOP
<b>Model Name or Number:</b>	Blu Tag Version 3
<b>Unique Type Identification:</b>	None Stated
<b>FCC Identification:</b>	S5EAA70038
<b>Serial Number:</b>	TR30002-6436
<b>Battery Serial Number:</b>	None Stated
<b>Country of Manufacture:</b>	UK
<b>Date of Receipt:</b>	16 May 2005

### **2.2. Accessories**

The following accessories were supplied with the EUT:

<b>Description:</b>	AC Adaptor
<b>Brand Name:</b>	MPW
<b>Model Name or Number:</b>	SA070810
<b>Part Number:</b>	9811009821
<b>Cable Length and Type:</b>	1.5m 2 Core
<b>Connected to Port:</b>	DC Input
<b>Date of Receipt:</b>	16 May 2005
<b>Description:</b>	AC Adaptor

<b>Description:</b>	Strap
<b>Brand Name:</b>	None Stated
<b>Model Name or Number:</b>	None Stated
<b>Serial Number:</b>	None Stated
<b>Cable Length and Type:</b>	0.27m Fibre Optic Strap
<b>Connected to Port:</b>	EUT Enclosure
<b>Date of Receipt:</b>	16 May 2005
<b>Description:</b>	Strap

Test Of: Satellite Tracking of People LLC.  
Blu Tag Version 3.  
To: OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)

---

### **2.3. Description of EUT**

The equipment under test is a personal tracking device exercised using 850 MHz and 1900 MHz GPRS transmit.

### **2.4 Modifications Incorporated in the EUT**

During the course of testing the EUT was not modified.

Test Of: Satellite Tracking of People LLC.  
 Blu Tag Version 3.  
 To: OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)

## 2.5 Additional Information Related to the EUT

Equipment Class:	GPRS 850 / GPRS 1900		
FCC Rule Part(s):	OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C		
Device Category:	Portable		
Application Type:	Certification		
Maximum Power Output:	850 MHz	33 dBm	
	1900 MHz	30 dBm	
Transmitter Frequency Range:	850 MHz	824.0 MHz to 849.0 MHz	
	1900 MHz	1850 MHz to 1910.0 MHz	
Transmit Frequency Allocation of EUT When Under Test (Channels):	Channel Number	Channel Description	Frequency (MHz)
	128	Low	824.2
	189	Middle	836.4
	251	High	848.8
	512	Low	1850.2
	660	Middle	1879.8
	810	High	1909.8
Modulation(s):	217 Hz		
Modulation Scheme (Crest Factor):	8.3		
Antenna Length and Type:	Internal		
Number Of Antenna Positions:	1 Fixed		
Intended Operating Environment:	Within Network Coverage		
Weight:	~ 335.50g (with AC Adaptor and Strap)		
Dimensions (without Antenna) mm:	115 (L) x 90 (W) x 45 (H) mm		
Power Supply Requirement:			
DC Supply (Volts/Amps)	Not Applicable		
AC Supply (Volts/Amps)	Nominal 230 / 240 V, 50 Hz AC Mains Supply Nominal 115 V 60 Hz AC Mains Supply		
Internal Battery Supply:	4.2V 1500 mA/h Li-ion		

## 2.6 Port Identification

Port	Description	Type	Applicable
1	Enclosure	-	Y

Test Of: Satellite Tracking of People LLC.  
Blu Tag Version 3.  
To: OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)

---

## **2.7 Support Equipment**

The following support equipment was used to exercise the EUT during testing:

<b>Description:</b>	Radio Communications Analyser
<b>Brand Name:</b>	Anritsu
<b>Model Name or Number:</b>	MT8820A
<b>Serial Number:</b>	6K00000633
<b>Cable Length and Type:</b>	1m Rosenberger
<b>Connected to Port:</b>	RF In / Out (Antenna)



Test Of: Satellite Tracking of People LLC.  
Blu Tag Version 3.  
To: OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)

---

### **3. Test Specification, Methods and Procedures**

#### **3.1. Test Specification**

<b>Reference:</b>	OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)
<b>Title:</b>	Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields.

#### **3.2. Methods and Procedures**

The methods and procedures used were as detailed in:

EN 50361: 2001

Title: Basic standard for the measurement of specific absorption rate related to human exposure to electromagnetic fields from mobile phones (300 MHz - 3 GHz).

ANSI/IEEE C95.1: 1999

IEEE standard for safety levels with respect to human exposure to radio frequency electromagnetic fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz.

Federal Communications Commission, "Evaluating compliance with FCC Guidelines for human exposure to radio frequency electromagnetic fields", OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C, FCC, Washington, D.C, 20554, 2001.

Thomas Schmid, Oliver Egger and Neils Kuster, "Automated E-field scanning system for dosimetric assessments", IEEE Transaction on microwave theory and techniques, Vol. 44, pp. 105-113, January 1996.

Neils Kuster, Ralph Kastle and Thomas Schmid, "Dosimetric evaluation of mobile communications equipment with know precision", IEICE Transactions of communications, Vol. E80-B, No.5, pp. 645-652, May 1997.

#### **3.3. Definition Of Measurement Equipment**

The measurement equipment used complied with the requirements as detailed in OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C, Appendix D.

Test Of: Satellite Tracking of People LLC.  
Blu Tag Version 3.  
To: OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)

---

#### **4. Deviations from the Test Specification**

None.

Test Of: Satellite Tracking of People LLC.  
Blu Tag Version 3.  
To: OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)

---

## **5. Operation of the EUT During Testing**

### **5.1. Operating Modes**

At the client's request the EUT was tested in the following operating mode(s):

GPRS 850 MHz and GPRS 1900 MHz Transmit mode only.

### **5.2. Configuration and Peripherals**

The EUT was tested in the following configuration(s):

Standalone without Strap\*

Standalone with Strap\*

\*EUT with AC Adaptor (240V 50Hz) in worst-case configuration.

Test Of:       Satellite Tracking of People LLC.  
              Blu Tag Version 3.  
To:            OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)

---

**6. Summary of Test Results**

Test Name	Specification Reference	Compliance Status
Specific Absorption Rate (SAR)	OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C	Complied

**6.1. Location of Tests**

All the measurements described in this report were performed at the premises of RFI Global Services Ltd, Ewhurst Park, Ramsdell, Basingstoke, Hampshire, RG26 5RQ.

Test Of: Satellite Tracking of People LLC.  
Blu Tag Version 3.  
To: OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)

---

## **7. Measurements, Examinations and Derived Results**

### **7.1. General Comments**

This section contains test results only.

Measurement uncertainties are evaluated in accordance with current best practice. Our reported expanded uncertainties are based on standard uncertainties, which are multiplied by an appropriate coverage factor to provide a statistical confidence level of approximately 95%. Please refer to section 18 for details of measurement uncertainties.

Test Of: Satellite Tracking of People LLC.  
 Blu Tag Version 3.  
 To: OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)

## **7.2. Test Results**

### **7.2.1. Test Results for Specific Absorption Rate – 850 MHz**

#### **Test Summary:**

Maximum Level (W/kg):	0.709
Limit (W/kg):	4.000
Margin (W/kg):	3.291

#### **Environmental Conditions:**

Temperature Variation in Lab (°C):	25.0 to 25.0
Temperature Variation in Liquid (°C):	24.8 to 25.0

ERP Measurement before Test:	Refer to section 7.2.3
------------------------------	------------------------

#### **Results:**

Position	Section	Channel Number	Level 10g (W/kg)	Limit 10g (W/kg)	Margin 10g (W/kg)	Note(s)	Result
Rear of EUT Facing Phantom without Strap	Flat	189	0.086	4.000	3.914	-	Complied
Front of EUT Facing Phantom without Strap	Flat	189	0.504	4.000	3.496	-	Complied
Rear of EUT Facing Phantom with Strap	Flat	189	0.005	4.000	3.995	-	Complied
Front of EUT Facing Phantom with Strap	Flat	189	0.527	4.000	3.473	-	Complied
Front of EUT Facing Phantom with Strap and AC Adaptor	Flat	189	0.542	4.000	3.458	-	Complied
Front of EUT Facing Phantom with strap and AC Adaptor	Flat	128	0.398	4.000	3.602	-	Complied
Front of EUT Facing Phantom with strap and AC Adaptor	Flat	251	0.709	4.000	3.291	-	Complied

Test Of: Satellite Tracking of People LLC.  
 Blu Tag Version 3.  
 To: OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)

### 7.2.2. Test Results for Specific Absorption Rate – 1900 MHz

#### Test Summary:

Maximum Level (W/kg):	0.967
Limit (W/kg):	4.000
Margin (W/kg):	3.033

#### Environmental Conditions:

Temperature Variation in Lab (°C):	25.0 to 25.0
Temperature Variation in Liquid (°C):	24.7 to 24.9

EIRP Measurement before Test:	Refer to section 7.2.3
-------------------------------	------------------------

#### Results:

Position	Section	Channel Number	Level 10g (W/kg)	Limit 10g (W/kg)	Margin 10g (W/kg)	Note(s)	Result
Rear of EUT Facing Phantom without Strap	Flat	660	0.048	4.000	3.952	-	Complied
Front of EUT Facing Phantom without Strap	Flat	660	0.733	4.000	3.267	-	Complied
Rear of EUT Facing Phantom with Strap	Flat	660	0.004	4.000	3.996	-	Complied
Front of EUT Facing Phantom with Strap	Flat	660	0.637	4.000	3.363	-	Complied
Front of EUT Facing Phantom without Strap with AC Adaptor	Flat	660	0.732	4.000	3.268	-	Complied
Front of EUT Facing Phantom without Strap	Flat	512	0.967	4.000	3.033	-	Complied
Front of EUT Facing Phantom without strap	Flat	810	0.654	4.000	3.346	-	Complied

Test Of: Satellite Tracking of People LLC.  
Blu Tag Version 3.  
To: OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)

---

**7.2.3. ERP Measurement – GSM 850****Date: 25 May 2005**

Channel	Frequency	TX Power before Test / dBm
Bottom	824.2	21.3
Middle	836.6	20.1
Top	848.8	20.3

**EIRP Measurement – GPRS 1900 MHz****Date: 23 May to 24 May 2005**

Channel	Frequency	TX Power before Test / dBm
Bottom	1850.2	28.9
Middle	1879.8	28.2
Top	1909.8	25.8

**Note(s):**

1. EIRP/ERP measurements are performed before testing only.



Test Of: Satellite Tracking of People LLC.  
Blu Tag Version 3.  
To: OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)

---

## **8. SAR Measurement System**

RFI Global Services Ltd, SAR measurement facility utilises the Dosimetric Assessment System (DASY™) manufactured by Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG™) of Zurich, Switzerland. The DASY4 system is comprised of the robot controller, computer, near-field probe, probe alignment sensor, and the SAM phantom containing brain or muscle equivalent material. The robot is a six-axis industrial robot performing precise movements to position the probe to the location (points) of maximum electromagnetic field (EMF). A cell controller system contains the power supply, robot controller; teach pendant (Joystick), and remote control. This is used to drive the robot motors. The Staubli robot is connected to the cell controller to allow software manipulation of the robot. The data acquisition electronics (DAE) performs signal amplification, signal multiplexing, AD-conversion, offset measurements, mechanical surface detection, collision detection etc. The DAE is connected to the Electro-optical coupler (EOC). The EOC performs the conversion from the optical into digital electric signal of the DAE and transfers data to the PC plug-in card. The DAE3 utilises a highly sensitive electrometer-grade preamplifier with auto-zeroing, a channel and gain-switching multiplexer, a fast 16-bit AD-converter and a command decoder and control logic unit. Transmission to the PC-card is accomplished through an optical downlink for data and status information and an optical uplink for commands and clock lines. The mechanical probe-mounting device includes two different sensor systems for frontal and sidewise probe contacts. They are also used for mechanical surface detection and probe collision detection. The robot uses its own controller with a built in VME-bus computer.

Test Of: Satellite Tracking of People LLC.  
Blu Tag Version 3.  
To: OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)

---

## **9. SAR Safety Limits**

<b>Exposure Limits (General Populations/Uncontrolled Exposure Environment)</b>	<b>SAR (W/Kg)</b>
Spatial Peak (averaged over any 10 g of tissue)	4.0 (Limb)

### **Note(s):**

- OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C SAR safety limits specified in the table above applies to devices operated in the general population / uncontrolled exposure environment.*
- Uncontrolled environments are defined as locations where there is exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their exposure.*

Test Of: Satellite Tracking of People LLC.  
Blu Tag Version 3.  
To: OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)

---

## **10. Details of SAR Evaluation**

The equipment under test was found to be compliant for localised Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) based on the following provisions and conditions:

- a) The EUT was positioned under the flat section of the SAM phantom.
- b) SAR measurements were evaluated at maximum power and the unit was operated for an appropriate period prior to the evaluation in order to minimise the drift.
- c) The device was keyed to operate continuously in the transmit mode for the duration of the test.
- d) The location of the maximum spatial SAR distribution (Hot Spot) was determined relative to the EUT.
- e) The EUT was tested with a fully charged battery and AC Adaptor where applicable.

Test Of: Satellite Tracking of People LLC.  
Blu Tag Version 3.  
To: OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)

---

## **11. Evaluation Procedures**

The Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) evaluation was performed in the following manner:

- a) (i) The evaluation was performed in an applicable area of the phantom depending on the type of device being tested. For devices worn about the ear during normal operation, both the left and right ear positions were evaluated at the centre frequency of the band at maximum power. The side, which produced the greatest SAR, determined which side of the phantom would be used for the entire evaluation. The positioning of the head worn device relative to the phantom was dictated by FCC OET bulletin 65 Supplement C.  
  
(ii) For body worn devices or devices which can be operated within 20 cm of the body, the flat section of the phantom was used. The type of device being evaluated dictated the distance of the EUT to the outer surface of the phantom flat section.
- b) The SAR was determined by a pre-defined procedure within the DASY4 software. The exposed region of the phantom was scanned near the inner surface with a grid spacing of 20mm x 20mm or appropriate resolution.
- c) A 7x7x7 matrix was performed around the greatest spatial SAR distribution found during the area scan of the applicable exposed region. SAR values were then calculated using a 3-D spline interpolation algorithm and averaged over spatial volumes of 1 and 10 grams.
- d) If the EUT had any appreciable drift over the course of the evaluation, then the EUT was re-evaluated. Any unusual anomalies over the course of the test also warranted a re-evaluation.

Test Of: Satellite Tracking of People LLC.  
Blu Tag Version 3.  
To: OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)

---

## **12. System Validation**

Prior to the assessment, the system was verified in the flat region of the phantom.

A 900 MHz and 1800 MHz dipole was used to perform 850 MHz and 1900 MHz Body system validation respectively. A forward power of 250 mW was applied to the dipole and the system was verified to a tolerance of  $\pm 5\%$  for the 900 MHz and 1800 MHz dipole respectively. The applicable verification (normalised to 1 Watt) is as follows:

Dipole Validation Kit	Target SAR 1g (W/kg)	Measured SAR 1g (W/kg)
D1800V2 / 264 (23/05/05)	37.00	36.69
D1800V2 / 264 (24/05/05)	37.00	37.08
D900V2 / 124 (25/05/05)	11.00	10.76

Test Of: Satellite Tracking of People LLC.  
Blu Tag Version 3.  
To: OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)

---

### **13. Simulated Tissues**

The body mixture consists of water and glycol. Visual inspection is made to ensure air bubbles are not trapped during the mixing process. The mixture is calibrated to obtain proper dielectric constant (permittivity) and conductivity of the tissue.

Ingredient	Frequency
	900 MHz (850 MHz) Body
De-Ionised Water	50.75%
Sugar	48.21%
Salt	0.94%
Kathon	0.10%

Ingredient	Frequency
	1800 MHz (1900 MHz) Body
De-Ionised Water	69.79%
DGMBE	30.00%
Salt	0.20%

Test Of: Satellite Tracking of People LLC.  
Blu Tag Version 3.  
To: OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)

---

#### **14. Tissue Parameters**

The dielectric parameters of the fluids were verified prior to the SAR evaluation using a 58070C Dielectric Probe Kit and an 8753E network analyser. The dielectric parameters of the fluid are as follows:

Frequency (MHz)	Equivalent Tissue	Dielectric Constant $\epsilon_r$	Conductivity $\sigma$ (mho/m)
1800	Body	53.53	1.53
900	Body	53.49	1.02

Test Of: Satellite Tracking of People LLC.  
Blu Tag Version 3.  
To: OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)

---

## **15. DASY4 Systems Specifications**

### **Robot System**

Positioner:	Stäubli Unimation Corp. Robot Model: RX90L
Repeatability:	0.025 mm
No. of Axis:	6
Serial Number:	F00/SD89A1/A/01
Reach:	1185 mm
Payload:	3.5 kg
Control Unit:	CS7
Programming Language:	V+

### **Data Acquisition Electronic (DAE) System**

#### **Cell Controller**

PC:	Dell Precision 340
Operating System:	Windows NT
Data Card:	DASY4 Measurement Server
Serial Number:	1080

#### **Data Converter**

Features:	Signal Amplifier, multiplexer, A/D converter and control logic.
Software:	DASY4 Software
Connecting Lines:	Optical downlink for data and status info. Optical uplink for commands and clock.

### **PC Interface Card**

Function:	24 bit (64 MHz) DSP for real time processing Link to DAE3 16 bit A/D converter for surface detection system serial link to robot direct emergency stop output for robot.
-----------	--

### **E-Field Probe**

Model:	ET3DV6
Serial No:	1528
Construction:	Triangular core fibre optic detection system
Frequency:	10 MHz to 3 GHz
Linearity:	$\pm 0.2$ dB (30 MHz to 3 GHz)
Probe Length (mm):	337
Probe Diameter (mm):	12
Tip Length (mm):	10
Tip Diameter (mm):	6.8
Sensor X Offset (mm):	2.7
Sensor Y Offset (mm):	2.7
Sensor Z Offset (mm):	2.7

### **Phantom**

Phantom:	SAM Phantom
Shell Material:	Fibreglass
Thickness:	2.0 $\pm$ 0.1 mm



Test Of: Satellite Tracking of People LLC.  
Blu Tag Version 3.  
To: OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)

---

## **16. Validation Results – 900 MHz (850 MHz) Band (Body)**

**Date: 25 May 2005**

### **16.1. System Validation**

Validation of the system test configuration was carried out prior to testing.

Validation Dipole Type and Serial No.	Calibrated Value of SAR in 1g volume (W/kg) at 900 MHz	Measured Value of SAR in 1g volume (W/kg) at 900 MHz	Percentage Difference ( $\leq 5\%$ )
D900V2 / 124	11.00	10.76	(-2.20%) Yes

A 900 MHz dipole was used to perform 850 MHz body system validation. This was possible as the device centre frequency is within  $\pm 100$  MHz of the verification frequency.

### **15.2 Liquid Properties**

Properties of the tissue simulating liquid were measured prior to testing.

Property	Target Value (900 MHz)	Measured/Calculated Value (900 MHz)	Percentage Difference ( $\leq 5\%$ )
Relative Permittivity	55.00	53.49	(-2.74%) Yes
Conductivity	1.05	1.02	(-2.44%) Yes

### **15.3 Temperature Variation**

The temperature of the laboratory and within the tissue simulating liquid for this test shall not exceed the range +15.0 °C to +30.0 °C.

The actual temperature measured at the beginning and end of each test was recorded and the maximum range is shown below:

Measurement	Maximum Temperature	Minimum Temperature
Laboratory	25.0	25.0
Tissue Simulating Liquid	25.0	24.8

Test Of: Satellite Tracking of People LLC.  
Blu Tag Version 3.  
To: OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)

---

## **17. Validation Results – 1800 MHz (1900 MHz) Band (Body)**

**Date: 23 May 2005**

### **17.1. System Validation**

Validation of the system test configuration was carried out prior to testing.

Validation Dipole Type and Serial No.	Calibrated Value of SAR in 1g volume (W/kg) at 1800 MHz	Measured Value of SAR in 1g volume (W/kg) at 1800 MHz	Percentage Difference ( $\leq 5\%$ )
D1800V2 / 264	37.00	36.69	(-0.10%) Yes

An 1800 MHz dipole was used to perform 1900 MHz body system validation. This was possible as the device centre frequency is within  $\pm 100$  MHz of the verification frequency.

### **16.2. Liquid Properties**

Properties of the tissue simulating liquid were measured prior to testing.

Property	Target Value (1800 MHz)	Measured/Calculated Value (1800 MHz)	Percentage Difference ( $\leq 5\%$ )
Relative Permittivity	53.30	53.53	(+0.43%) Yes
Conductivity	1.52	1.53	(+0.42%) Yes

### **16.3. Temperature Variation**

The temperature of the laboratory and within the tissue simulating liquid for this test shall not exceed the range +15.0 °C to +30.0 °C.

The actual temperature measured at the beginning and end of each test was recorded and the maximum range is shown below:

Measurement	Maximum Temperature	Minimum Temperature
Laboratory	25.0	25.0
Tissue Simulating Liquid	24.9	24.7

Test Of: Satellite Tracking of People LLC.  
Blu Tag Version 3.  
To: OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)

---

## **18. Validation Results – 1900 MHz Band (Body)**

**Date: 24. May 2005**

### **18.1. System Validation**

Validation of the system test configuration was carried out prior to testing.

Validation Dipole Type and Serial No.	Calibrated Value of SAR in 1g volume (W/kg) at 1800 MHz	Measured Value of SAR in 1g volume (W/kg) at 1800 MHz	Percentage Difference ( $\leq 5\%$ )
D1800V2 / 264	37.00	37.08	(+0.20%) Yes

An 1800 MHz dipole was used to perform 1900 MHz body system validation. This was possible as the device centre frequency is within  $\pm 100$  MHz of the verification frequency.

### **16.2. Liquid Properties**

Properties of the tissue simulating liquid were measured prior to testing.

Property	Target Value (1800 MHz)	Measured/Calculated Value (1800 MHz)	Percentage Difference ( $\leq 5\%$ )
Relative Permittivity	53.30	53.53	(+0.43%) Yes
Conductivity	1.52	1.53	(+0.42%) Yes

### **16.3. Temperature Variation**

The temperature of the laboratory and within the tissue simulating liquid for this test shall not exceed the range +15.0 °C to +30.0 °C.

The actual temperature measured at the beginning and end of each test was recorded and the maximum range is shown below:

Measurement	Maximum Temperature	Minimum Temperature
Laboratory	25.0	25.0
Tissue Simulating Liquid	24.9	24.7

Test Of: Satellite Tracking of People LLC.  
Blu Tag Version 3.  
To: OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)

---

## **19. Measurement Uncertainty**

No measurement or test can ever be perfect and the imperfections give rise to error of measurement in the results. Consequently, the result of a measurement is only an approximation to the value of the measurand (the specific quantity subject to measurement) and is only complete when accompanied by a statement of the uncertainty of the approximation.

The expression of uncertainty of a measurement result allows realistic comparison of results with reference values and limits given in specifications and standards.

The uncertainty of the result may need to be taken into account when interpreting the measurement results.

The reported expanded uncertainties below are based on a standard uncertainty multiplied by an appropriate coverage factor, such that a confidence level of approximately 95% is maintained. For the purposes of this document “approximately” is interpreted as meaning “effectively” or “for most practical purposes”.

Measurement Type	Range	Confidence Level	Calculated Uncertainty
Specific Absorption Rate	850 MHz	95%	$\pm 17.12\%$
Specific Absorption Rate	1900 MHz	95%	$\pm 20.41\%$

The methods used to calculate the above uncertainties are in line with those recommended within the various measurement specifications. Where measurement specifications do not include guidelines for the evaluation of measurement uncertainty, the published guidance of the appropriate accreditation body is followed.

Measurement uncertainties in SAR measurements are difficult to quantify due to several variables including biological, physiological, and environment. However, the estimated measurement uncertainties in SAR are less than 30%.

According to ANSI/IEEE C95.3, the overall uncertainties are difficult to assess and will vary with the type of meter and usage situation. However, accuracy's of  $\pm 1$  to 3 dB can be expected in practice, with greater uncertainties in near-field situations and at higher frequencies (shorter wavelengths), or areas where large reflecting objects are present. Under optimum measurement conditions, SAR measurement uncertainties of at least  $\pm 2$  dB can be expected.

According to CENELEC, typical worst-case uncertainty of field measurements is  $\pm 5$  dB. For well-defined modulation characteristics the uncertainty can be reduced to  $\pm 3$  dB.

Test Of: Satellite Tracking of People LLC.  
 Blu Tag Version 3.  
 To: OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)

**Measurement Uncertainty (Continued)**

**Specific Absorption Rate Uncertainty at 850 MHz, GPRS Modulation Scheme calculated in accordance with IEEE 1528-200X**

Type	Source of uncertainty	+ Value	- Value	Probability Distribution	Divisor	C <sub>i</sub>	Standard Uncertainty		v <sub>i</sub> or v <sub>eff</sub>	Note
							+ u (dBμV)	- u (dBμV)		
B	Probe calibration	8.900	8.900	normal (k=2)	2.0000	1.0000	4.450	4.450	∞	
B	Axial Isotropy	0.100	0.100	normal (k=2)	2.0000	1.0000	0.050	0.050	∞	
B	Hemispherical Isotropy	0.100	0.100	normal (k=2)	2.0000	1.0000	0.050	0.050	∞	
B	Spatial Resolution	0.500	0.500	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	0.289	0.289	∞	
B	Boundary Effect	0.769	0.769	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	0.444	0.444	∞	
B	Linearity	2.330	2.330	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	1.345	1.345	∞	
B	Detection Limits	0.200	0.200	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	0.115	0.115	∞	
B	Readout Electronics	0.650	0.650	normal (k=2)	2.0000	1.0000	0.325	0.325	∞	
B	Response Time	0.000	0.000	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	0.000	0.000	∞	
B	Integration Time	0.005	0.005	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	0.003	0.003	∞	
B	RF Ambient conditions	3.000	3.000	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	1.732	1.732	∞	
B	Probe Positioner Mechanical Restrictions	4.000	4.000	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	2.309	2.309	∞	
B	Probe Positioning with regard to Phantom Shell	2.850	2.850	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	1.645	1.645	∞	
B	Extrapolation and integration/ Maximum SAR evaluation	5.080	5.080	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	2.933	2.933	∞	
A	Test Sample Positioning	0.584	0.584	normal (k=1)	1.0000	1.0000	0.584	0.584	10	
A	Device Holder uncertainty	0.154	0.154	normal (k=1)	1.0000	1.0000	0.154	0.154	10	
B	Phantom Uncertainty	4.000	4.000	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	2.309	2.309	∞	
B	Drift of output power	5.000	5.000	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	2.887	2.887	∞	
B	Liquid Conductivity (target value)	5.000	5.000	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	2.887	2.887	∞	
B	Liquid Conductivity (measured value)	2.440	2.440	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	1.409	1.409	∞	
B	Liquid Permittivity (target value)	5.000	5.000	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	2.887	2.887	∞	
B	Liquid Permittivity (measured value)	2.440	2.440	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	1.409	1.409	∞	
	Combined standard uncertainty			t-distribution			8.74	8.74	>500	
	Expanded uncertainty			k = 1.96			17.12	17.12	>500	

Test Of: Satellite Tracking of People LLC.  
 Blu Tag Version 3.  
 To: OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)

**Measurement Uncertainty (Continued)**

**Specific Absorption Rate Uncertainty at 1900 MHz, GPRS Modulation Scheme calculated in accordance with IEEE 1528-200X**

Type	Source of uncertainty	+ Value	- Value	Probability Distribution	Divisor	C <sub>i</sub>	Standard Uncertainty		v <sub>i</sub> or v <sub>eff</sub>	Note
							+ u (dBμV)	- u (dBμV)		
B	Probe calibration	8.900	8.900	normal (k=2)	2.0000	1.0000	4.450	4.450	∞	
B	Axial Isotropy	0.100	0.100	normal (k=2)	2.0000	1.0000	0.050	0.050	∞	
B	Hemispherical Isotropy	0.100	0.100	normal (k=2)	2.0000	1.0000	0.050	0.050	∞	
B	Spatial Resolution	0.500	0.500	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	0.289	0.289	∞	
B	Boundary Effect	0.769	0.769	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	0.444	0.444	∞	
B	Linearity	2.330	2.330	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	1.345	1.345	∞	
B	Detection Limits	0.200	0.200	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	0.115	0.115	∞	
B	Readout Electronics	0.650	0.650	normal (k=2)	2.0000	1.0000	0.325	0.325	∞	
B	Response Time	0.000	0.000	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	0.000	0.000	∞	
B	Integration Time	0.005	0.005	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	0.003	0.003	∞	
B	RF Ambient conditions	3.000	3.000	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	1.732	1.732	∞	
B	Probe Positioner Mechanical Restrictions	4.000	4.000	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	2.309	2.309	∞	
B	Probe Positioning with regard to Phantom Shell	2.850	2.850	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	1.645	1.645	∞	
B	Extrapolation and integration/ Maximum SAR evaluation	5.080	5.080	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	2.933	2.933	∞	
A	Test Sample Positioning	0.584	0.584	normal (k=1)	1.0000	1.0000	0.584	0.584	10	
A	Device Holder uncertainty	0.154	0.154	normal (k=1)	1.0000	1.0000	0.154	0.154	10	
B	Phantom Uncertainty	4.000	4.000	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	2.309	2.309	∞	
B	Drift of output power	11.010	11.010	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	6.357	6.357	∞	
B	Liquid Conductivity (target value)	5.000	5.000	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	2.887	2.887	∞	
B	Liquid Conductivity (measured value)	2.440	2.440	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	1.409	1.409	∞	
B	Liquid Permittivity (target value)	5.000	5.000	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	2.887	2.887	∞	
B	Liquid Permittivity (measured value)	2.440	2.440	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	1.409	1.409	∞	
	Combined standard uncertainty			t-distribution			10.41	10.41	>500	
	Expanded uncertainty			k = 1.96			20.41	20.41	>500	

Test Of: **Satellite Tracking of People LLC.**  
**Blu Tag Version 3.**  
To: **OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)**

---

### **Appendix 1. Test Equipment Used**

RFI No.	Instrument	Manufacturer	Type No.	Serial No.
A034	Narda 20W Termination	Narda	374BNM	8706
A1047	Attenuator	Huber and Suhner AG	5729	6820.17.B
A1094	Sony MVC FD-81	Sony	MVC - FD81	125805
A1097	SMA Directional Coupler	MiDISCO	MDC6223-30	None
A1137	3dB Attenuator	Narda	779	04690
A1174	Dielectric Probe Kit	Agilent Technologies	85070C	Us99360072
A1185	Probe	Schmid & Partner	ET3 DV6	1528
A1190	Dipole	Schmid & Partners	D1800V2	264
A1225	Low noise Amplifier	Mini Circuits	ZHL-42	E022601
A1235	900MHz Validation dipole	Schmid & Partner	D900V2	124
A1238	SAM Phantom	Schmid & Partners	001	001
A1328	Schmid & Partners	Schmid & Partners	Modification	SD 000 H01 DA
A1410	Omni Spectra	Omni Spectra	FSC 16179	20510-3
A215	20 dB Attenuator	Narda	766-20	9402
A509	Co-ax Switch	RS components	DC-1.5 GHz	N/A
A512	Wave Guide Antenna	EMCO	3115	3993
C1025	Rosenberger Cable	Rosenberger	FA210A-1-020m	FA00B 7564
C1052	Cable	Utiflex	FA210A0030M3030	001
C1053	Cable	Utiflex	FA210A0003M3030	001
C1054	Cable	Utiflex	FA210A0001M3050A	001
G051	Signal Generator	Gigatronics	7100/.01-20	749472
G0528	Robot Power Supply	Schmid & Partner	DASY	None
G088	PSU	Thurlby Thandar	CPX200	100700
L0753	Anritsu	Anritsu	MT8820A	6K00000633
M011	NRV-Z1 Power Sensor	Rohde & Schwarz	NRV-Z1	882 321/004
M095	URY Power Meter	Rohde & Schwarz	URY	891 491/078
M1015	Network Analyser	Agilent Technologies	8753ES	US39172406

Test Of: Satellite Tracking of People LLC.  
Blu Tag Version 3.  
To: OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)

---

**Test Equipment Used (Continued)**

RFI No.	Instrument	Manufacturer	Type No.	Serial No.
M1129	Rohde & Schwarz	Rohde & Schwarz	URY-Z2	890242/16
M136	Temperature/Humidity/ Pressure Meter	RS Components	None	None
S256	blank	RFI	N/A	N/A

**NB** In accordance with UKAS requirements, all the measurement equipment is on a calibration schedule.



Test Of: Satellite Tracking of People LLC.  
Blu Tag Version 3.  
To: OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)

---

## **Appendix 2. SAR Distribution Scans**


This appendix contains SAR distribution scans.

Scan Reference Number	Title
SCN/47271_02_001	Rear of EUT Facing Phantom without Strap Flat 660
SCN/47271_02_002	Front of EUT Facing Phantom without Strap Flat 660
SCN/47271_02_003	Rear of EUT Facing Phantom with Strap Flat 660
SCN/47271_02_004	Front of EUT Facing Phantom with Strap Flat 660
SCN/47271_02_005	Front of EUT Facing Phantom without Strap and with AC Adaptor Flat 660
SCN/47271_02_006	Front of EUT Facing Phantom without Strap Flat 512
SCN/47271_02_007	Front of EUT Facing Phantom without Strap Flat 810
SCN/47271_02_008	Rear of EUT Facing Phantom without Strap Flat 189
SCN/47271_02_009	Front of EUT Facing Phantom without Strap Flat 189
SCN/47271_02_010	Rear of EUT Facing Phantom with Strap Flat 189
SCN/47271_02_011	Front of EUT Facing Phantom with Strap Flat 189
SCN/47271_02_012	Front of EUT Facing Phantom with Strap and AC Adaptor Flat 189
SCN/47271_02_013	Front of EUT Facing Phantom with Strap and AC Adaptor Flat 128
SCN/47271_02_014	Front of EUT Facing Phantom with Strap and AC Adaptor Flat 251
SCN/47271_02_Validation 001	System Performance Check-D1800 23/05/05
SCN/47271_02_Validation 002	System Performance Check-D1800 24/05/05
SCN/47271_02_Validation 003	System Performance Check 25/05/05

Date: 23/05/2005

47271\_02\_001

Test Laboratory: RFI GLOBAL SERVICES LTD.

**47271\_JD02\_001\_Rear of EUT Facing Phantom without Strap\_Flat\_660****DUT: STOP; Type: BluTag Version 3; Serial: TR3002-6436**

Communication System: DCS 1900; Frequency: 1879.8 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium: 1800 MHz MSL Medium parameters used (interpolated):  $f = 1879.8$  MHz;  $\sigma = 1.62$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r =$ 53.3;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section

**DASY4 Configuration:**

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1528; ConvF(4.24, 4.24, 4.24); Calibrated: 15/07/2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn450; Calibrated: 16/06/2004
- Phantom: SAM 12b; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP:1197
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 146

**Rear of EUT Facing Phantom (0mm Separation) Without Strap- Middle/Area Scan**

**(101x111x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.081 mW/g

**Rear of EUT Facing Phantom (0mm Separation) Without Strap- Middle/Zoom Scan**

**(7x7x7) (7x7x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 4.27 V/m; Power Drift = -0.284 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.104 W/kg


**SAR(1 g) = 0.073 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.047 mW/g**

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.080 mW/g

Date: 23/05/2005

47271\_02\_002

Test Laboratory: RFI GLOBAL SERVICES LTD.

**47271\_JD02\_002\_Front of EUT Facing Phantom without Strap\_Flat\_660****DUT: STOP; Type: BluTag Version 3; Serial: TR3002-6436**

Communication System: DCS 1900; Frequency: 1879.8 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium: 1800 MHz MSL Medium parameters used (interpolated):  $f = 1879.8$  MHz;  $\sigma = 1.62$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r =$ 53.3;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section

**DASY4 Configuration:**

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1528; ConvF(4.24, 4.24, 4.24); Calibrated: 15/07/2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn450; Calibrated: 16/06/2004
- Phantom: SAM 12b; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP:1197
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 146

**Front of EUT Facing Phantom (0mm Separation) Without Strap- Middle/Area Scan**

**(101x111x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 1.48 mW/g

**Front of EUT Facing Phantom (0mm Separation) Without Strap- Middle/Zoom Scan**

**(7x7x7) (7x7x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 25.1 V/m; Power Drift = -0.250 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.71 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 1.18 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.733 mW/g**

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.29 mW/g

**Front of EUT Facing Phantom (0mm Separation) Without Strap- Middle/Zoom Scan**

**(7x7x7) (7x7x7)/Cube 1:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 25.1 V/m; Power Drift = -0.250 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.84 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 1.12 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.634 mW/g**


Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.24 mW/g



Date: 23/05/2005

47271\_02\_003 .

Test Laboratory: RFI GLOBAL SERVICES LTD.

**47271\_JD02\_003\_Rear of EUT Facing Phantom with Strap\_Flat\_660****DUT: STOP; Type: BluTag Version 3; Serial: TR3002-6436**

Communication System: DCS 1900; Frequency: 1879.8 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium: 1800 MHz MSL Medium parameters used (interpolated):  $f = 1879.8$  MHz;  $\sigma = 1.62$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r =$ 53.3;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section

**DASY4 Configuration:**

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1528; ConvF(4.24, 4.24, 4.24); Calibrated: 15/07/2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn450; Calibrated: 16/06/2004
- Phantom: SAM 12b; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP:1197
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 146

**Rear of EUT Facing Phantom (0mm Separation) With Strap- Middle/Area Scan**

**(101x111x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.01 mW/g

**Rear of EUT Facing Phantom (0mm Separation) With Strap- Middle/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)**

**(7x7x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 1.10 V/m; Power Drift = -0.910 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.01 W/kg


**SAR(1 g) = 0.00579 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.00396 mW/g**

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.01 mW/g

Date: 23/05/2005

47271\_02\_004

Test Laboratory: RFI GLOBAL SERVICES LTD.

**47271\_JD02\_004\_Front of EUT Facing Phantom with Strap\_Flat\_660****DUT: STOP; Type: BluTag Version 3; Serial: TR3002-6436**

Communication System: DCS 1900; Frequency: 1879.8 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium: 1800 MHz MSL Medium parameters used (interpolated):  $f = 1879.8$  MHz;  $\sigma = 1.62$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r =$ 53.3;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section



**DASY4 Configuration:**

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1528; ConvF(4.24, 4.24, 4.24); Calibrated: 15/07/2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn450; Calibrated: 16/06/2004
- Phantom: SAM 12b; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP:1197
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 146

**Front of EUT Facing Phantom (0mm Separation) With Strap- Middle/Area Scan (101x111x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 1.14 mW/g

**Front of EUT Facing Phantom (0mm Separation) With Strap- Middle/Zoom Scan (7x7x7) (7x7x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 24.5 V/m; Power Drift = -0.421 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.45 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 1.01 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.637 mW/g**

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.09 mW/g

**Front of EUT Facing Phantom (0mm Separation) With Strap- Middle/Zoom Scan (7x7x7) (7x7x7)/Cube 1:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 24.5 V/m; Power Drift = -0.421 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.11 W/kg


**SAR(1 g) = 0.714 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.420 mW/g**

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.780 mW/g

Date: 23/05/2005

47271\_02\_005

Test Laboratory: RFI GLOBAL SERVICES LTD.

**47271\_JD02\_005\_Front of EUT Facing Phantom without Strap and with AC Adaptor\_Flat\_660****DUT: STOP; Type: BluTag Version 3; Serial: TR3002-6436**

Communication System: DCS 1900; Frequency: 1879.8 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium: 1800 MHz MSL Medium parameters used (interpolated):  $f = 1879.8$  MHz;  $\sigma = 1.62$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r = 53.3$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1528; ConvF(4.24, 4.24, 4.24); Calibrated: 15/07/2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn450; Calibrated: 16/06/2004
- Phantom: SAM 12b; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP:1197
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 146

**Front of EUT Facing Phantom (0mm Separation) Without Strap and with AC Adaptor-Middle/Area Scan (101x111x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm  
Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 1.45 mW/g


**Front of EUT Facing Phantom (0mm Separation) Without Strap and with AC Adaptor-Middle/Zoom Scan (7x7x7) (7x7x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm  
Reference Value = 25.5 V/m; Power Drift = -0.00 dB  
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 2.11 W/kg  
**SAR(1 g) = 1.31 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.732 mW/g**  
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.53 mW/g

**Front of EUT Facing Phantom (0mm Separation) Without Strap and with AC Adaptor-Middle/Zoom Scan (7x7x7) (7x7x7)/Cube 1:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm  
Reference Value = 25.5 V/m; Power Drift = -0.00 dB  
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.40 W/kg  
**SAR(1 g) = 0.956 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.610 mW/g**  
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.03 mW/g

Date: 24/05/2005

47271\_02\_006

Test Laboratory: RFI GLOBAL SERVICES LTD.

**47271\_JD02\_006\_Front of EUT Facing Phantom without Strap\_Flat\_512****DUT: STOP; Type: BluTag Version 3; Serial: TR3002-6436**

Communication System: DCS 1900; Frequency: 1850.2 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium: 1800 MHz MSL Medium parameters used (interpolated):  $f = 1850.2$  MHz;  $\sigma = 1.59$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r =$ 53.4;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section

**DASY4 Configuration:**

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1528; ConvF(4.24, 4.24, 4.24); Calibrated: 15/07/2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn450; Calibrated: 16/06/2004
- Phantom: SAM 12b; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP:1197
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 146

**Front of EUT Facing Phantom (0mm Separation) Without Strap- Middle/Area Scan**

**(101x111x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 1.79 mW/g

**Front of EUT Facing Phantom (0mm Separation) Without Strap- Middle/Zoom Scan**

**(7x7x7) (7x7x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 29.7 V/m; Power Drift = -0.312 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 2.22 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 1.54 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.967 mW/g**

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.68 mW/g

**Front of EUT Facing Phantom (0mm Separation) Without Strap- Middle/Zoom Scan**

**(7x7x7) (7x7x7)/Cube 1:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 29.7 V/m; Power Drift = -0.312 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 2.43 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 1.47 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.835 mW/g**


Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.61 mW/g



Date: 24/05/2005

47271\_02\_007

Test Laboratory: RFI GLOBAL SERVICES LTD.

**47271\_JD02\_007\_Front of EUT Facing Phantom without Strap\_Flat\_810****DUT: STOP; Type: BluTag Version 3; Serial: TR3002-6436**

Communication System: DCS 1900; Frequency: 1909.8 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium: 1800 MHz MSL Medium parameters used (interpolated):  $f = 1909.8$  MHz;  $\sigma = 1.66$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r =$ 53.2;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section

**DASY4 Configuration:**

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1528; ConvF(4.24, 4.24, 4.24); Calibrated: 15/07/2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn450; Calibrated: 16/06/2004
- Phantom: SAM 12b; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP:1197
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 146

**Front of EUT Facing Phantom (0mm Separation) Without Strap- Middle/Area Scan**

**(101x111x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 1.23 mW/g

**Front of EUT Facing Phantom (0mm Separation) Without Strap- Middle/Zoom Scan**

**(7x7x7) (7x7x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 22.7 V/m; Power Drift = -0.171 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.52 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 1.05 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.654 mW/g**

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.17 mW/g

**Front of EUT Facing Phantom (0mm Separation) Without Strap- Middle/Zoom Scan**

**(7x7x7) (7x7x7)/Cube 1:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 22.7 V/m; Power Drift = -0.171 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.72 W/kg


**SAR(1 g) = 1.05 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.593 mW/g**

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.16 mW/g

Date: 25/05/2005

47271\_02\_008

Test Laboratory: RFI GLOBAL SERVICES LTD.

**47271\_JD02\_008\_Rear of EUT Facing Phantom without Strap\_Flat\_189****DUT: STOP; Type: BluTag Version 3; Serial: TR3002-6436**

Communication System: 850 MHz; Frequency: 836.4 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium: 900 MHz MSL Medium parameters used (interpolated):  $f = 836.4$  MHz;  $\sigma = 0.968$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r =$ 54.1;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section



**DASY4 Configuration:**

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1528; ConvF(6.07, 6.07, 6.07); Calibrated: 15/07/2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn450; Calibrated: 16/06/2004
- Phantom: SAM 12b; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP:1197
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 146

**Rear of EUT Facing Phantom (0mm Separation) Without Strap- Middle/Area Scan**

**(101x111x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.129 mW/g

**Rear of EUT Facing Phantom (0mm Separation) Without Strap- Middle/Zoom Scan**

**(7x7x7) (7x7x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 11.5 V/m; Power Drift = -0.121 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.162 W/kg


**SAR(1 g) = 0.123 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.086 mW/g**

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.131 mW/g

Date: 25/05/2005

47271\_02\_009

Test Laboratory: RFI GLOBAL SERVICES LTD.

**47271\_JD02\_009\_Front of EUT Facing Phantom without Strap\_Flat\_189****DUT: STOP; Type: BluTag Version 3; Serial: TR3002-6436**

Communication System: 850 MHz; Frequency: 836.4 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium: 900 MHz MSL Medium parameters used (interpolated):  $f = 836.4$  MHz;  $\sigma = 0.968$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r =$ 54.1;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section

**DASY4 Configuration:**

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1528; ConvF(6.07, 6.07, 6.07); Calibrated: 15/07/2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn450; Calibrated: 16/06/2004
- Phantom: SAM 12b; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP:1197
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 146

**Front of EUT Facing Phantom (0mm Seperation) Without Strap- Middle/Area Scan**

**(101x111x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.976 mW/g

**Front of EUT Facing Phantom (0mm Seperation) Without Strap- Middle/Zoom Scan**

**(7x7x7) (7x7x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 24.7 V/m; Power Drift = -0.253 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.70 W/kg


**SAR(1 g) = 0.893 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.504 mW/g**

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.980 mW/g

Date: 25/05/2005

47271\_02\_010

Test Laboratory: RFI GLOBAL SERVICES LTD.

**47271\_JD02\_010\_Rear of EUT Facing Phantom with Strap\_Flat\_189****DUT: STOP; Type: BluTag Version 3; Serial: TR3002-6436**

Communication System: 850 MHz; Frequency: 836.4 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium: 900 MHz MSL Medium parameters used (interpolated):  $f = 836.4$  MHz;  $\sigma = 0.968$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r =$ 54.1;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section

**DASY4 Configuration:**

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1528; ConvF(6.07, 6.07, 6.07); Calibrated: 15/07/2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn450; Calibrated: 16/06/2004
- Phantom: SAM 12b; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP:1197
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 146

**Rear of EUT Facing Phantom (0mm Separation) With Strap- Middle/Area Scan****(101x111x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.01 mW/g

**Rear of EUT Facing Phantom (0mm Separation) With Strap- Middle/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)****(7x7x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 2.74 V/m; Power Drift = 0.349 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.01 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.00641 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.0048 mW/g**


Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.01 mW/g



Date: 25/05/2005

47271\_02\_011

Test Laboratory: RFI GLOBAL SERVICES LTD.

**47271\_JD02\_011\_Front of EUT Facing Phantom with Strap\_Flat\_189****DUT: STOP; Type: BluTag Version 3; Serial: TR3002-6436**

Communication System: 850 MHz; Frequency: 836.4 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium: 900 MHz MSL Medium parameters used (interpolated):  $f = 836.4$  MHz;  $\sigma = 0.968$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r =$ 54.1;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section

**DASY4 Configuration:**

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1528; ConvF(6.07, 6.07, 6.07); Calibrated: 15/07/2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn450; Calibrated: 16/06/2004
- Phantom: SAM 12b; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP:1197
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 146

**Front of EUT Facing Phantom (0mm Separation) With Strap- Middle/Area Scan****(101x111x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 1.01 mW/g

**Front of EUT Facing Phantom (0mm Separation) With Strap- Middle/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)****(7x7x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 24.8 V/m; Power Drift = -0.034 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.79 W/kg


**SAR(1 g) = 0.938 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.527 mW/g**

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.02 mW/g

Date: 25/05/2005

47271\_02\_012

Test Laboratory: RFI GLOBAL SERVICES LTD.

**47271\_JD02\_012\_Front of EUT Facing Phantom with Strap and AC Adaptor\_Flat\_189****DUT: STOP; Type: BluTag Version 3; Serial: TR3002-6436**

Communication System: 850 MHz; Frequency: 836.4 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium: 900 MHz MSL Medium parameters used (interpolated):  $f = 836.4$  MHz;  $\sigma = 0.968$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r =$ 54.1;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section



**DASY4 Configuration:**

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1528; ConvF(6.07, 6.07, 6.07); Calibrated: 15/07/2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn450; Calibrated: 16/06/2004
- Phantom: SAM 12b; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP:1197
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 146

**Front of EUT Facing Phantom (0mm Separation) With Strap and AC Adaptor-  
Middle/Area Scan (101x111x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 1.02 mW/g

**Front of EUT Facing Phantom (0mm Separation) With Strap and AC Adaptor-  
Middle/Zoom Scan (7x7x7) (7x7x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 23.0 V/m; Power Drift = -0.011 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.89 W/kg


**SAR(1 g) = 0.974 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.542 mW/g**

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.08 mW/g

Date: 25/05/2005

47271\_02\_013

Test Laboratory: RFI GLOBAL SERVICES LTD.

**47271\_JD02\_013\_Front of EUT Facing Phantom with Strap and AC Adaptor\_Flat\_128****DUT: STOP; Type: BluTag Version 3; Serial: TR3002-6436**

Communication System: 850 MHz; Frequency: 824.2 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium: 900 MHz MSL Medium parameters used (interpolated):  $f = 824.2$  MHz;  $\sigma = 0.954$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r =$ 54.2;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section

**DASY4 Configuration:**

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1528; ConvF(6.07, 6.07, 6.07); Calibrated: 15/07/2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn450; Calibrated: 16/06/2004
- Phantom: SAM 12b; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP:1197
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 146

**Front of EUT Facing Phantom (0mm Separation) With Strap and AC Adaptor-****Middle/Area Scan (101x111x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.747 mW/g

**Front of EUT Facing Phantom (0mm Separation) With Strap and AC Adaptor-****Middle/Zoom Scan (7x7x7) (7x7x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 19.1 V/m; Power Drift = -0.121 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.35 W/kg


**SAR(1 g) = 0.704 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.398 mW/g**

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.757 mW/g

Date: 25/05/2005

47271\_02\_014

Test Laboratory: RFI GLOBAL SERVICES LTD.

**47271\_JD02\_014\_Front of EUT Facing Phantom with Strap and AC Adaptor\_Flat\_251****DUT: STOP; Type: BluTag Version 3; Serial: TR3002-6436**

Communication System: 850 MHz; Frequency: 848.8 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium: 900 MHz MSL Medium parameters used (interpolated):  $f = 848.8$  MHz;  $\sigma = 0.98$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r = 54$ ; $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section

**DASY4 Configuration:**

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1528; ConvF(6.07, 6.07, 6.07); Calibrated: 15/07/2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn450; Calibrated: 16/06/2004
- Phantom: SAM 12b; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP:1197
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 146

**Front of EUT Facing Phantom (0mm Separation) With Strap and AC Adaptor-  
Middle/Area Scan (101x111x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 1.34 mW/g

**Front of EUT Facing Phantom (0mm Separation) With Strap and AC Adaptor-  
Middle/Zoom Scan (7x7x7) (7x7x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 24.8 V/m; Power Drift = -0.349 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 2.49 W/kg


**SAR(1 g) = 1.29 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.709 mW/g**

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.42 mW/g

Date: 23/05/2005

47271\_02\_Validation 001

Test Laboratory: RFI GLOBAL SERVICES LTD.

**System Performance Check-D1800 23 05 05****DUT: Dipole 1800 MHz; Type: D1800V2; Serial: 264**

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 1800 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 1800 MHz MSL Medium parameters used:  $f = 1800 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 1.53 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 53.5$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  $\text{kg/m}^3$ 

Phantom section: Flat Section

## DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1528; ConvF(4.46, 4.46, 4.46); Calibrated: 15/07/2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn450; Calibrated: 16/06/2004
- Phantom: SAM 12b; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP:1197
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 146

**d=10mm, Pin=250mW/Area Scan (51x51x1):** Measurement grid: dx=20mm, dy=20mm  
 Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 11.9 mW/g


**d=10mm, Pin=250mW/Zoom Scan 7x7x7 (7x7x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm  
 Reference Value = 82.2 V/m; Power Drift = 0.055 dB  
 Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 14.9 W/kg  
**SAR(1 g) = 9.24 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 5.08 mW/g**  
 Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 10.6 mW/g



Date: 24/05/2005

47271\_02\_Validation\_002

Test Laboratory: RFI GLOBAL SERVICES LTD.

**System Performance Check-D1800 24 05 05****DUT: Dipole 1800 MHz; Type: D1800V2; Serial: 264**

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 1800 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 1800 MHz MSL Medium parameters used:  $f = 1800 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 1.53 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 53.5$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  $\text{kg/m}^3$ 

Phantom section: Flat Section



## DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1528; ConvF(4.46, 4.46, 4.46); Calibrated: 15/07/2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn450; Calibrated: 16/06/2004
- Phantom: SAM 12b; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP:1197
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 146


**d=10mm, Pin=250mW/Area Scan (51x51x1):** Measurement grid: dx=20mm, dy=20mm  
 Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 12.9 mW/g

**d=10mm, Pin=250mW/Zoom Scan 7x7x7 (7x7x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm  
 Reference Value = 84.3 V/m; Power Drift = 0.038 dB  
 Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 14.7 W/kg  
**SAR(1 g) = 9.27 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 5.11 mW/g**  
 Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 10.5 mW/g

Date: 25/05/2005

47271\_02\_Validation 003

Test Laboratory: RFI GLOBAL SERVICES LTD.

**System Performance Check\_25\_05\_05****DUT: Dipole 900 MHz; Type: D900V2; Serial: D900V2 - SN:124**

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 900 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 900 MHz MSL Medium parameters used:  $f = 900 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 1.03 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 53.5$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  $\text{kg/m}^3$ 

Phantom section: Flat Section

## DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1528; ConvF(5.86, 5.86, 5.86); Calibrated: 15/07/2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn450; Calibrated: 16/06/2004
- Phantom: SAM 12b; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP:1207
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 146

**d=15mm, Pin=250mW/Area Scan (51x51x1):** Measurement grid: dx=20mm, dy=20mm  
 Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 3.04 mW/g

**d=15mm, Pin=250mW/Zoom Scan 7x7x7 (7x7x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm  
 Reference Value = 54.5 V/m; Power Drift = 0.046 dB  
 Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.88 W/kg  
**SAR(1 g) = 2.69 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 1.75 mW/g**  
 Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.91 mW/g

Test Of:       Satellite Tracking of People LLC.  
              Blu Tag Version 3.  
To:            OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)

---

**Appendix 3. Test Configuration Photograph**

This appendix contains the following photograph(s):

Photograph Reference Number	Title
PHT/SAR_Configuration	Test configuration for the measurement of Specific Absorption Rate (SAR)

Test Of: Satellite Tracking of People LLC.  
Blu Tag Version 3.  
To: OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)

---

#### PHT/SAR\_Configuration



Test Of:       Satellite Tracking of People LLC.  
              Blu Tag Version 3.  
To:            OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)

---

**Appendix 4. Calibration Data**

This appendix contains the calibration data and certificates.

Asset Number	Date	Title
A1190	15 April 2004	D1800V2-SN: 264
A1235	13 May 2003	D900V2-SN: 124
A1185	15 July 2004	ET3DV6-SN: 1528

**RFI GLOBAL SERVICES LTD**

**TEST REPORT**

**S.No. RFI/SARE1/RP47271JD02A**

**Page 37 of 48**

**Issue Date: 21 June 2005**

**Test Of: Satellite Tracking of People LLC.**

**Blu Tag Version 3.**

**To: OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)**

---

This page has been left intentionally blank.

**Calibration Laboratory of**  
**Schmid & Partner**  
**Engineering AG**  
Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland

*Handwritten signature and date:*  
07/09/04

**Client**

RFI

**CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE**

Object(s) **D1800V2 - SN:264**

Calibration procedure(s) **QA CAL-05.v2**  
**Calibration procedure for dipole validation kits**

Calibration date: **April 15, 2004**

Condition of the calibrated item **In Tolerance (according to the specific calibration document)**

This calibration statement documents traceability of M&TE used in the calibration procedures and conformity of the procedures with the ISO/IEC 17025 international standard.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature 22 +/- 2 degrees Celsius and humidity < 75%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Model Type	ID #	Cal Date (Calibrated by, Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter EPM E442	GB37480704	6-Nov-03 (METAS, No. 252-0254)	Nov-04
Power sensor HP 8481A	US37292783	6-Nov-03 (METAS, No. 252-0254)	Nov-04
Power sensor HP 8481A	MY41092317	18-Oct-02 (Agilent, No. 20021018)	Oct-04
RF generator R&S SML-03	100698	27-Mar-2002 (R&S, No. 20-92389)	In house check: Mar-05
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585	18-Oct-01 (SPEAG, in house check Nov-03)	In house check: Oct 05

	Name	Function	Signature
Calibrated by:	Judith Mueller	Technician	<i>[Signature]</i>
Approved by:	Katja Pokovic	Laboratory Director	<i>[Signature]</i>

Date issued: April 21, 2004

This calibration certificate is issued as an intermediate solution until the accreditation process (based on ISO/IEC 17025 International Standard) for Calibration Laboratory of Schmid & Partner Engineering AG is completed.



# DASY

## Dipole Validation Kit

Type: D1800V2

Serial: 264

Manufactured: March 5, 2000  
Calibrated: April 15, 2004

## 1. Measurement Conditions

The measurements were performed in the flat section of the SAM twin phantom filled with **head simulating solution** of the following electrical parameters at 1800 MHz:

Relative Dielectricity	<b>40.6</b>	$\pm 5\%$
Conductivity	<b>1.36 mho/m</b>	$\pm 5\%$

The DASY4 System with a dosimetric E-field probe ET3DV6 (SN:1507, Conversion factor 5.08 at 1800 MHz) was used for the measurements.

The dipole was mounted on the small tripod so that the dipole feedpoint was positioned below the center marking of the flat phantom section and the dipole was oriented parallel to the body axis (the long side of the phantom). The standard measuring distance was 10mm from dipole center to the solution surface. The included distance spacer was used during measurements for accurate distance positioning.

The coarse grid with a grid spacing of 15mm was aligned with the dipole. The 7x7x7 fine cube was chosen for cube integration.

The dipole input power (forward power) was  $250 \text{ mW} \pm 3 \%$ . The results are normalized to 1 W input power.

## 2. SAR Measurement with DASY4 System

Standard SAR-measurements were performed according to the measurement conditions described in section 1. The results (see figure supplied) have been normalized to a dipole input power of 1 W (forward power). The resulting averaged SAR-values measured with the dosimetric probe ET3DV6 SN:1507 and applying the advanced extrapolation are:

averaged over $1 \text{ cm}^3$ (1 g) of tissue:	<b>37.2 mW/g <math>\pm 16.8 \%</math> (k=2)<sup>1</sup></b>
averaged over $10 \text{ cm}^3$ (10 g) of tissue:	<b>19.9 mW/g <math>\pm 16.2 \%</math> (k=2)<sup>1</sup></b>

---

<sup>1</sup> validation uncertainty

### 3. Dipole Impedance and Return Loss

The impedance was measured at the SMA-connector with a network analyzer and numerically transformed to the dipole feedpoint. The transformation parameters from the SMA-connector to the dipole feedpoint are:

Electrical delay:	<b>1.201 ns</b>	(one direction)
Transmission factor:	<b>0.975</b>	(voltage transmission, one direction)

The dipole was positioned at the flat phantom sections according to section 1 and the distance spacer was in place during impedance measurements.

Feedpoint impedance at 1800 MHz:	$\text{Re}\{Z\} = 46.9 \Omega$
----------------------------------	--------------------------------

	$\text{Im}\{Z\} = -5.9 \Omega$
--	--------------------------------

Return Loss at 1800 MHz	<b>-23.3 dB</b>
-------------------------	-----------------

### 4. Measurement Conditions

The measurements were performed in the flat section of the SAM twin phantom filled with **body simulating solution** of the following electrical parameters at 1800 MHz:

Relative Dielectricity	<b>52.6</b>	$\pm 5\%$
Conductivity	<b>1.49 mho/m</b>	$\pm 5\%$

The DASY4 System with a dosimetric E-field probe ET3DV6 (SN:1507, Conversion factor 4.61 at 1800 MHz) was used for the measurements.

The dipole was mounted on the small tripod so that the dipole feedpoint was positioned below the center marking of the flat phantom section and the dipole was oriented parallel to the body axis (the long side of the phantom). The standard measuring distance was 10mm from dipole center to the solution surface. The included distance spacer was used during measurements for accurate distance positioning.

The coarse grid with a grid spacing of 15mm was aligned with the dipole. The 7x7x7 fine cube was chosen for cube integration.

The dipole input power (forward power) was 250 mW  $\pm 3\%$ . The results are normalized to 1 W input power.

Date/Time: 04/15/04 12:19:37

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

**DUT: Dipole 1800 MHz; Type: D1800V2; Serial: D1800V2 - SN264**

Communication System: CW-1800; Frequency: 1800 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: HSL 1800 MHz;

Medium parameters used:  $f = 1800$  MHz;  $\sigma = 1.36$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r = 40.6$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY4 (High Precision Assessment)

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1507; ConvF(5.08, 5.08, 5.08); Calibrated: 1/23/2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn411; Calibrated: 11/6/2003
- Phantom: SAM with CRP - TP1006; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP:1006;
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.2 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 112

**Pin = 250 mW; d = 10 mm/Area Scan (81x81x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Reference Value = 90.6 V/m; Power Drift = 0.0 dB


Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 10.6 mW/g

**Pin = 250 mW; d = 10 mm/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 90.6 V/m; Power Drift = 0.0 dB

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 10.5 mW/g

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 16.3 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 9.31 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 4.98 mW/g**

264  
Hod

CH1 S11 1 U FS

1: 46.924  $\angle$  -5.8965  $\angle$  14.995 pF

15 Apr 2004 08:46:49


1 800.000 000 MHz

Del

Cor

Avg  
16

↑




CH2 S11 LOG

5 dB/REF -20 dB

1:-23.289 dB 1 800.000 000 MHz

Cor

↑



CENTER 1 800.000 000 MHz

SPAN 400.000 000 MHz

## **5. SAR Measurement with DASY4 System**

Standard SAR-measurements were performed according to the measurement conditions described in section 4. The results (see figure supplied) have been normalized to a dipole input power of 1 W (forward power). The resulting averaged SAR-values measured with the dosimetric probe ET3DV6 SN:1507 and applying the advanced extrapolation are:

averaged over 1 cm<sup>3</sup> (1 g) of tissue:      **37.0 mW/g ± 16.8 % (k=2)<sup>2</sup>**

averaged over 10 cm<sup>3</sup> (10 g) of tissue:      **20.0 mW/g ± 16.2 % (k=2)<sup>2</sup>**

## **6. Dipole Impedance and Return Loss**

The dipole was positioned at the flat phantom sections according to section 4 and the distance spacer was in place during impedance measurements.

Feedpoint impedance at 1800 MHz:      **Re{Z} = 44.3 Ω**

**Im {Z} = -5.7 Ω**

Return Loss at 1800 MHz      **-21.3 dB**

## **7. Handling**

Do not apply excessive force to the dipole arms, because they might bend. Bending of the dipole arms stresses the soldered connections near the feedpoint leading to a damage of the dipole.

## **8. Design**

The dipole is made of standard semirigid coaxial cable. The center conductor of the feeding line is directly connected to the second arm of the dipole. The antenna is therefore short-circuited for DC-signals.

## **9. Power Test**

After long term use with 40 W radiated power, only a slight warming of the dipole near the feedpoint can be measured.

---

<sup>2</sup> validation uncertainty

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

**DUT: Dipole 1800 MHz; Type: D1800V2; Serial: D1800V2 - SN264**

Communication System: CW-1800; Frequency: 1800 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: Muscle 1800 MHz;

Medium parameters used:  $f = 1800$  MHz;  $\sigma = 1.49$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r = 52.6$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY4 (High Precision Assessment)

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1507; ConvF(4.61, 4.61, 4.61); Calibrated: 1/23/2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn411; Calibrated: 11/6/2003
- Phantom: SAM with CRP - TP1006; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP:1006;
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.2 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 112

**Pin = 250 mW; d = 10 mm/Area Scan (81x81x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Reference Value = 87.5 V/m; Power Drift = 0.0 dB

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 10.5 mW/g


**Pin = 250 mW; d = 10 mm/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 87.5 V/m; Power Drift = 0.0 dB

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 10.5 mW/g

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 15.4 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 9.25 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 5 mW/g




0 dB = 10.5mW/g

264  
Body


14 Apr 2004 10:07:36  
CH1 S11 1 U FS 1: 44.342  $\Omega$  -5.7285  $\Omega$  15.435 pF 1 800.000 000 MHz

De1  
Cor  
Avg  
16  
↑



CH2 S11 LOG 5 dB/REF -20 dB 1:-21.259 dB 1 800.000 000 MHz

Cor  
↑



CENTER 1 800.000 000 MHz SPAN 400.000 000 MHz



Calibration Laboratory of  
Schmid & Partner  
Engineering AG  
Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland

*Y. H. M. Schmid*  
*Curcuso*  
*23/05/03*

Client

RFI

## CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object(s)

D900V2 - SN: 124

Calibration procedure(s)

QA CAL-05.v2

Calibration procedure for dipole validation kits

Calibration date:

May 13, 2003

Condition of the calibrated item

In Tolerance (according to the specific calibration document)

This calibration statement documents traceability of M&TE used in the calibration procedures and conformity of the procedures with the ISO/IEC 17025 international standard.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature 22 +/- 2 degrees Celsius and humidity < 75%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&amp;TE critical for calibration)

Model Type	ID #	Cal Date (Calibrated by, Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
RF generator R&S SML-03	100698	27-Mar-2002 (R&S, No. 20-92389)	In house check: Mar-05
Power sensor HP 8481A	MY41092317	18-Oct-02 (Agilent, No. 20021018)	Oct-04
Power sensor HP 8481A	US37292783	30-Oct-02 (METAS, No. 252-0236)	Oct-03
Power meter EPM E442	GB37480704	30-Oct-02 (METAS, No. 252-0236)	Oct-03
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US38432426	3-May-00 (Agilent, No. 8702K064602)	In house check: May 03

Calibrated by:


Name

Judith Mueller

Function

Technician

Signature




Approved by:

Name

Katja Pokovic

Function

Laboratory Director



Date issued: May 13, 2003

This calibration certificate is issued as an intermediate solution until the accreditation process (based on ISO/IEC 17025 International Standard) for Calibration Laboratory of Schmid & Partner Engineering AG is completed.

# DASY

## Dipole Validation Kit

Type: D900V2

Serial: 124

Manufactured: July 4, 2001  
Calibrated: May 13, 2003

## **1. Measurement Conditions**

The measurements were performed in the flat section of the SAM twin phantom filled with head simulating solution of the following electrical parameters at 900 MHz:

Relative Dielectricity	<b>42.1</b>	$\pm 5\%$
Conductivity	<b>0.95 mho/m</b>	$\pm 5\%$

The DASY4 System with a dosimetric E-field probe ET3DV6 (SN:1507, Conversion factor 6.6 at 900 MHz) was used for the measurements.

The dipole was mounted on the small tripod so that the dipole feedpoint was positioned below the center marking of the flat phantom section and the dipole was oriented parallel to the body axis (the long side of the phantom). The standard measuring distance was 15mm from dipole center to the solution surface. The included distance holder was used during measurements for accurate distance positioning.

The coarse grid with a grid spacing of 15mm was aligned with the dipole. The 7x7x7 fine cube was chosen for cube integration.

The dipole input power (forward power) was  $250\text{mW} \pm 3\%$ . The results are normalized to 1W input power.

## **2. SAR Measurement with DASY4 System**

Standard SAR-measurements were performed according to the measurement conditions described in section 1. The results (see figure supplied) have been normalized to a dipole input power of 1W (forward power). The resulting averaged SAR-values measured with the dosimetric probe ET3DV6 SN:1507 and applying the advanced extrapolation are:

averaged over $1\text{ cm}^3$ (1 g) of tissue:	<b>10.6 mW/g <math>\pm 16.8\%</math> (k=2)<sup>1</sup></b>
averaged over $10\text{ cm}^3$ (10 g) of tissue:	<b>6.76 mW/g <math>\pm 16.2\%</math> (k=2)<sup>1</sup></b>

---

<sup>1</sup> validation uncertainty

### 3. Dipole Impedance and Return Loss

The impedance was measured at the SMA-connector with a network analyzer and numerically transformed to the dipole feedpoint. The transformation parameters from the SMA-connector to the dipole feedpoint are:

Electrical delay:	<b>1.381 ns</b>	(one direction)
Transmission factor:	<b>0.989</b>	(voltage transmission, one direction)

The dipole was positioned at the flat phantom sections according to section 1 and the distance holder was in place during impedance measurements.

Feedpoint impedance at 900 MHz:	$\text{Re}\{Z\} = 50.3 \Omega$
	$\text{Im}\{Z\} = -6.4 \Omega$
Return Loss at 900 MHz	<b>-24.0 dB</b>

### 4. Measurement Conditions

The measurements were performed in the flat section of the SAM twin phantom filled with body simulating solution of the following electrical parameters at 900 MHz:

Relative Dielectricity	<b>53.5</b>	$\pm 5\%$
Conductivity	<b>1.03 mho/m</b>	$\pm 5\%$

The DASY4 System with a dosimetric E-field probe ET3DV6 (SN:1507, Conversion factor 6.3 at 900 MHz) was used for the measurements.

The dipole was mounted on the small tripod so that the dipole feedpoint was positioned below the center marking of the flat phantom section and the dipole was oriented parallel to the body axis (the long side of the phantom). The standard measuring distance was 15mm from dipole center to the solution surface. The included distance holder was used during measurements for accurate distance positioning.

The coarse grid with a grid spacing of 15mm was aligned with the dipole. The 7x7x7 fine cube was chosen for cube integration.

The dipole input power (forward power) was  $250\text{mW} \pm 3\%$ . The results are normalized to 1W input power.

## **5. SAR Measurement with DASY4 System**

Standard SAR-measurements were performed according to the measurement conditions described in section 4. The results (see figure supplied) have been normalized to a dipole input power of 1W (forward power). The resulting averaged SAR-values measured with the dosimetric probe ET3DV6 SN:1507 and applying the advanced extrapolation are:

averaged over 1 cm<sup>3</sup> (1 g) of tissue:      **11.0 mW/g ± 16.8 % (k=2)<sup>2</sup>**

averaged over 10 cm<sup>3</sup> (10 g) of tissue:      **7.12 mW/g ± 16.2 % (k=2)<sup>2</sup>**

## **6. Dipole Impedance and Return Loss**

The dipole was positioned at the flat phantom sections according to section 4 and the distance holder was in place during impedance measurements.

Feedpoint impedance at 900 MHz:      **Re{Z} = 46.2 Ω**

**Im {Z} = -8.2 Ω**

Return Loss at 900 MHz      **-20.6 dB**

## **7. Handling**

Do not apply excessive force to the dipole arms, because they might bend. Bending of the dipole arms stresses the soldered connections near the feedpoint leading to a damage of the dipole.

## **8. Design**

The dipole is made of standard semirigid coaxial cable. The center conductor of the feeding line is directly connected to the second arm of the dipole. The antenna is therefore short-circuited for DC-signals.

## **9. Power Test**

After long term use with 100W radiated power, only a slight warming of the dipole near the feedpoint can be measured.

---

<sup>2</sup> validation uncertainty

Date/Time: 05/09/03 15:50:49

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland  
 File Name: SN0124\_SN1507\_HSL900\_090503da4.da4

**DUT: Dipole 900 MHz; Type: D900V2; Serial: D900V2 - SN124**  
**Program: Dipole Calibration**


Communication System: CW-900; Frequency: 900 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1  
 Medium: HSL 900 MHz ( $\sigma = 0.95$  mho/m,  $\epsilon_r = 42.07$ ,  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>)  
 Phantom section: Flat Section  
 Measurement Standard: DASY4 (High Precision Assessment)

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1507; ConvF(6.6, 6.6, 6.6); Calibrated: 1/18/2003
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 - SN411; Calibrated: 1/16/2003
- Phantom: SAM with CRP - TP1006; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP:1006
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.1 Build 47; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.6 Build 115

**Pin = 250 mW; d = 15 mm/Area Scan (81x81x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm  
 Reference Value = 57.1 V/m  
 Power Drift = 0.02 dB  
 Maximum value of SAR = 2.82 mW/g

**Pin = 250 mW; d = 15 mm/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm  
 Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.88 W/kg  
 SAR(1 g) = 2.64 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 1.69 mW/g  
 Reference Value = 57.1 V/m  
 Power Drift = 0.02 dB  
 Maximum value of SAR = 2.83 mW/g




9 May 2003 11:16:17  
CHI S11 1 U FS 1: 50.324  $\angle$  -6.3711  $\angle$  27.756 pF 900.000 000 MHz

Del

Cor

Avg  
16


↑



CH2 S11 LOG 4 dB/REF -20 dB 1:-23.950 dB 900.000 000 MHz

Cor

↑



CENTER 900.000 000 MHz

SPAN 400.000 000 MHz

Date/Time: 05/13/03 11:27:28

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland  
 File Name: SN124\_SN1507\_M900\_130503.da4

**DUT: Dipole 900 MHz; Type: D900V2; Serial: D900V2 - SN124**  
**Program: Dipole Calibration**


Communication System: CW-900; Frequency: 900 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1  
 Medium: Muscle 900 MHz ( $\sigma = 1.03$  mho/m,  $\epsilon_r = 53.48$ ,  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>)  
 Phantom section: Flat Section  
 Measurement Standard: DASY4 (High Precision Assessment)

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1507; ConvF(6.3, 6.3, 6.3); Calibrated: 1/18/2003
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 - SN411; Calibrated: 1/16/2003
- Phantom: SAM with CRP - TP1006; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP:1006
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.1 Build 47; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.6 Build 115

**Pin = 250 mW; d = 15 mm/Area Scan (81x81x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm  
 Reference Value = 56 V/m  
 Power Drift = 0.007 dB  
 Maximum value of SAR = 2.94 mW/g

**Pin = 250 mW; d = 15 mm/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm  
 Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.97 W/kg  
 SAR(1 g) = 2.75 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 1.78 mW/g  
 Reference Value = 56 V/m  
 Power Drift = 0.007 dB  
 Maximum value of SAR = 2.97 mW/g





124  
Body

13 May 2003 09:21:44

CH1 S11 1 U FS

1: 46.223  $\Omega$  -8.1541  $\Omega$  21.661 pF

900.000 000 MHz

Del


PRM

Cor

Avg

16

↑




CH2 S11 LOG 4 dB/REF -20 dB 1: -20.546 dB 900.000 000 MHz

PRM

Cor

↑



CENTER 900.000 000 MHz

SPAN 400.000 000 MHz

**Calibration Laboratory of  
Schmid & Partner  
Engineering AG**  
Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland

*[Signature]*  
19/07/04

Client

RFI

**CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE**

Object(s)

ET3DV6 - SN:1528

Calibration procedure(s)

QA CAL-01.v2  
Calibration procedure for dosimetric E-field probes

Calibration date:

July 15, 2004

Condition of the calibrated item

In Tolerance (according to the specific calibration document)

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI).  
The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature 22 +/- 2 degrees Celsius and humidity < 75%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&amp;TE critical for calibration)

Model Type	ID #	Cal Date (Calibrated by, Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter EPM E4419B	GB41293874	5-May-04 (METAS, No 251-00388)	May-05
Power sensor E4412A	MY41495277	5-May-04 (METAS, No 251-00388)	May-05
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: 5086 (20b)	3-May-04 (METAS, No 251-00389)	May-05
Fluke Process Calibrator Type 702	SN: 6295803	8-Sep-03 (Sintrel SCS No. E-030020)	Sep-04
Power sensor HP 8481A	MY41092180	18-Sep-02 (SPEAG, in house check Oct-03)	In house check: Oct 05
RF generator HP 8684C	US3642U01700	4-Aug-99 (SPEAG, in house check Aug-02)	In house check: Aug-05
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585	18-Oct-01 (SPEAG, in house check Oct-03)	In house check: Oct 05

Calibrated by:

Name

Nico Vetterli

Function

Technician

Signature

*[Signature]*

Approved by:

Katja Pokovic

Laboratory Director

*[Signature]*

Date issued: July 15, 2004

This calibration certificate is issued as an intermediate solution until the accreditation process (based on ISO/IEC 17025 International Standard) for Calibration Laboratory of Schmid & Partner Engineering AG is completed.

# Probe ET3DV6

## SN:1528

Manufactured:	March 21, 2000
Last calibrated:	July 29, 2003
Recalibrated:	July 15, 2004

Calibrated for DASY Systems

(Note: non-compatible with DASY2 system!)

**DASY - Parameters of Probe: ET3DV6 SN:1528****Sensitivity in Free Space****Diode Compression<sup>A</sup>**

NormX	$1.55 \mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$
NormY	$1.33 \mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$
NormZ	$1.40 \mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$

DCP X	<b>100</b>	mV
DCP Y	<b>100</b>	mV
DCP Z	<b>100</b>	mV

**Sensitivity in Tissue Simulating Liquid (Conversion Factors)**

Please see Page 7.

**Boundary Effect**

**Head                      900 MHz      Typical SAR gradient: 5 % per mm**

Sensor Center to Phantom Surface Distance		<b>3.7 mm</b>	<b>4.7 mm</b>
SAR <sub>be</sub> [%]	Without Correction Algorithm	10.1	5.5
SAR <sub>be</sub> [%]	With Correction Algorithm	0.0	0.1

**Head                      1750 MHz      Typical SAR gradient: 10 % per mm**

Sensor Center to Phantom Surface Distance		<b>3.7 mm</b>	<b>4.7 mm</b>
SAR <sub>be</sub> [%]	Without Correction Algorithm	12.9	8.9
SAR <sub>be</sub> [%]	With Correction Algorithm	0.2	0.4

**Sensor Offset**


Probe Tip to Sensor Center	<b>2.7</b> mm
Optical Surface Detection	<b>in tolerance</b>

**The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor k=2, which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.**




<sup>A</sup> numerical linearization parameter: uncertainty not required

## Frequency Response of E-Field

( TEM-Cell:ifi110, Waveguide R22)





## Receiving Pattern ( $\phi$ ), $\theta = 0^\circ$





**Axial Isotropy Error  $< \pm 0.2$  dB**

## Dynamic Range f(SAR<sub>head</sub>) ( Waveguide R22 )



Probe Linearity Error  $< \pm 0.2$  dB

## Conversion Factor Assessment




f [MHz]	Validity [MHz] <sup>B</sup>	Tissue	Permittivity	Conductivity	Alpha	Depth	ConvF Uncertainty
835	785-885	Head	41.5 ± 5%	0.90 ± 5%	0.65	1.84	6.23 ± 9.7% (k=2)
900	850-950	Head	41.5 ± 5%	0.97 ± 5%	0.68	1.84	6.01 ± 9.7% (k=2)
1750	1700-1800	Head	40.0 ± 5%	1.40 ± 5%	0.51	2.49	4.93 ± 9.7% (k=2)
1900	1850-1950	Head	40.0 ± 5%	1.40 ± 5%	0.55	2.49	4.78 ± 9.7% (k=2)
2450	2400-2500	Head	39.2 ± 5%	1.80 ± 5%	1.10	1.83	4.35 ± 9.7% (k=2)
835	785-885	Body	55.2 ± 5%	0.97 ± 5%	0.57	2.11	6.07 ± 9.7% (k=2)
900	850-950	Body	55.0 ± 5%	1.05 ± 5%	0.60	2.03	5.86 ± 9.7% (k=2)
1750	1700-1800	Body	53.3 ± 5%	1.52 ± 5%	0.56	2.84	4.46 ± 9.7% (k=2)
1900	1850-1950	Body	53.3 ± 5%	1.52 ± 5%	0.58	2.94	4.24 ± 9.7% (k=2)
2450	2400-2500	Body	52.7 ± 5%	1.95 ± 5%	1.41	1.47	4.13 ± 9.7% (k=2)

<sup>B</sup> The total standard uncertainty is calculated as root-sum-square of standard uncertainty of the Conversion Factor at calibration frequency and the standard uncertainty for the indicated frequency band.



## Deviation from Isotropy in HSL

Error ( $\theta, \phi$ ),  $f = 900$  MHz



Spherical Isotropy Error  $< \pm 0.4$  dB

Test Of: Satellite Tracking of People LLC.  
Blu Tag Version 3.  
To: OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)

---

## **Appendix 5. Photographs of EUT**

This appendix contains the following photographs:

Photo Reference Number	Title
PHT/47271/001	AC Adaptor
PHT/47271/002	Fluid Level - 900MHz (850MHz) MSL
PHT/47271/003	Fluid Level - 1800MHz (1900MHz) MSL
PHT/47271/004	Front of EUT Facing Phantom with Strap
PHT/47271/005	Front of EUT Facing Phantom without Strap
PHT/47271/006	Front of EUT Facing Phantom without Strap and with AC Adaptor
PHT/47271/007	Front View of EUT with Strap
PHT/47271/008	Rear of EUT Facing Phantom with Strap
PHT/47271/009	Rear of EUT Facing Phantom without Strap
PHT/47271/010	Rear View of EUT with Strap

Test Of: Satellite Tracking of People LLC.  
Blu Tag Version 3.  
To: OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)

---


PHT/47271/001: AC Adaptor



Test Of: Satellite Tracking of People LLC.  
Blu Tag Version 3.  
To: OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)

---


PHT/47271/002: Fluid Level - 900MHz (850MHz) MSL



Test Of: Satellite Tracking of People LLC.  
Blu Tag Version 3.  
To: OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)

---

PHT/47271/003: Fluid Level - 1800MHz (1900MHz) MSL





Test Of: Satellite Tracking of People LLC.  
Blu Tag Version 3.  
To: OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)

---

PHT/47271/004: Front of EUT Facing Phantom with Strap



Test Of: Satellite Tracking of People LLC.  
Blu Tag Version 3.  
To: OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)

---

PHT/47271/005: Front of EUT Facing Phantom without Strap



Test Of: Satellite Tracking of People LLC.  
Blu Tag Version 3.  
To: OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)

---

PHT/47271/006: Front of EUT Facing Phantom without Strap and with AC Adaptor





Test Of: Satellite Tracking of People LLC.  
Blu Tag Version 3.  
To: OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)

PHT/47271/007: Front View of EUT with Strap



Test Of: Satellite Tracking of People LLC.  
Blu Tag Version 3.  
To: OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)

---

PHT/47271/008: Rear of EUT Facing Phantom with Strap





Test Of: Satellite Tracking of People LLC.  
Blu Tag Version 3.  
To: OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)

---

PHT/47271/009: Rear of EUT Facing Phantom without Strap



Test Of: Satellite Tracking of People LLC.  
Blu Tag Version 3.  
To: OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)

PHT/47271/010: Rear View of EUT with Strap

