M. Flom Associates, Inc. - Global Compliance Center 3356 North San Marcos Place, Suite 107, Chandler, Arizona 85225-7176 www.mflom.com general@mflom.com (480) 926-3100, FAX: 926-3598

Date:	October 26, 2000	
Federal Communications Commission Via: Electronic Filing		
Attention:	Authorization & Evaluation Division	
Applicant: Equipment: FCC ID: FCC Rules:	Kenwood Communications Corporation TK-760HG-2 and TK-762HG-2 ALH29373220 Radiofrequency Radiation Exposure Limits 47 CFR 1.1310 (MPE - Mobiles)	

Gentlemen:

On behalf of the Applicant, enclosed please find the Supplemental Test Data Report, the whole for Environmental Assessment (MPE) of the referenced equipment as shown.

We trust the same is in order. Should you need any further information, kindly contact the writer who is authorized to act as agent.

Sincerely yours,

Morton Flom, P. Eng.

enclosure(s) cc: Applicant MF/cvr M. Flom Associates, Inc. - Global Compliance Center 3356 North San Marcos Place, Suite 107, Chandler, Arizona 85225-7176 www.mflom.com general@mflom.com (480) 926-3100, FAX: 926-3598

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

for

MOBILES

for

FCC ID: FCC ID: ALH29373220 Model:TK-760HG-2 and TK-762HG-2

to

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

<u>47 CFR 1.1310 (MPE)</u> Radiofrequency Radiation Exposure Limits

DATE OF REPORT: October 26, 2000

ON THE BEHALF OF THE APPLICANT:

Kenwood Communications Corporation

AT THE REQUEST OF:

P.O. 40470

Kenwood Communications Corporation P.O. Box 22745 Long Beach, CA 90801-5745

Attention of:

Joel E. Berger, Research & Development JBerger@kenwoodusa.com (310) 761-4409; FAX: -8246

SUPERVISED BY:

Morton Flom, P. Eng.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

RULEDESCRIPTIONPAGETest Report1Identification of the Equipment Under Test2Standard Test Conditions and Engineering Practices41.1310Environmental Assessment5

PAGE NO. 1 of 6.

Required information per ISO/IEC Guide 25-1990, paragraph 13.2:

- a) TEST REPORT (SUPPLEMENTAL)
- b) Laboratory: M. Flom Associates, Inc. (FCC: 31040/SIT) 3356 N. San Marcos Place, Suite 107 (Canada: IC 2044) Chandler, AZ 85225
- c) Report Number: d00a0049
- d) Client: Kenwood Communications Corporation P.O. Box 22745 Long Beach, CA 90801-5745
- e) Identification: TK-760HG-2 and TK-762HG-2 FCC ID: ALH29373220 Description: VHF FM Transceiver
- f) EUT Condition: Not required unless specified in individual tests.
- g) Report Date: October 26, 2000 EUT Received: July 18, 2000
- h, j, k): As indicated in individual tests.
- i) Sampling method: No sampling procedure used.
- 1) Uncertainty: In accordance with MFA internal quality manual.
- m) Supervised by:

(. Ower P. En

Morton Flom, P. Eng.

- n) Results: The results presented in this report relate only to the item tested.
- o) Reproduction: This report must not be reproduced, except in full, without written permission from this laboratory.

PAGE NO. 2 of 6.

IDENTIFICATION OF THE EQUIPMENT UNDER TEST (EUT)

NAME AND ADDRESS OF APPLICANT:

Kenwood Communications Corporation 2201 E. Dominguez St P.O. Box 22745 Long Beach, CA 90801-5745

MANUFACTURER:

Kenwood Electronics Technologies PTE Ltd. 1 Ang Mo Kio Street 63 Singapore 569110

FCC ID:

ALH29373220

MODEL NO: TK-760HG-2 and TK-762HG-2

DESCRIPTION:

VHF FM Transceiver

TYPE OF EMISSION: 16K0F3E, 11K0F3E

FREQUENCY RANGE, MHz: 136 to 162

POWER RATING, Watts:10 to 50SwitchablexVariableN/A

MODULATION:		AMPS
		TDMA
		CDMA
	Х	F3E

ANTENNA:	HELI	CAL
	MONC	POLE
	WHIF)
	x OTHE	R

NOTE: For RF Safety test antenna gain taken at the upper range of expected gain (i.e. 0 dBd) and RF Power set to highest nominal power across all channels.

PAGE NO.

3 of 6.

M. Flom Associates, Inc. is accredited by the American Association for Laboratory Association (A2LA) as shown in the scope below.

	American Association for Laboratory Accreditation
THE AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR LABORATORY ACCREDITATION	SCOPE OF ACCREDITATION TO ISO/IEC GUIDE 25-1990 AND EN 45001 M. FLOM ASSOCIATES INC. Electronic Testing Laboratory 3356 North San Marces Place, Suite 107 Chandier: AS 2525 Morton Flom Phone: 480 926 3100
ACCREDITED LABORATORY	ELECTRICAL (EMC)
	Valid to: December 31, 2000 Certificate Number: 1008-01
A2LA has accredited	In recognition of the successful completion of the A2LA evaluation process, accreditation is granted to this laboratory to perform the following <u>electromagnetic compatibility tests</u> :
M. FLOM ASSOCIATES, INC.	Tests Standard(s)
Chandler, AZ	RF Emissions ECC Part 15 (Subparts B and C) using ANSI C63 4-1992; CISPR 11; CISPR 13; CISPR 12; EN 5501; EN 55013; EN 55014; EN 55022; EN 50081-1; EN 50081-2; FCC Part 18; (EES-903; AS/NZS 1044; AS/NZS 1053; AS/NZS 3544; AS/NZS 423.11; CNS 13438
for technical competence in the field of	RF Immunity EN 50082-1; EN 50082-2; AS/NZS 4251.1
	Radiated Susceptibility EN 61000-4-3; ENV 50140; ENV 50204; IEC 1000-4-3; IEC 801-3
Electrical (EMC) Testing	ESD EN 61000-4-2; IEC 1000-4-2; IEC 801-2
The accreditation covers the specific tests and types of tests listed on the agreed	EFT EN 61000-4-4; IEC 1000-4-4; IEC 801-4
scope of accreditation. This laboratory meets the requirements of ISO/IEC Guide 25- 1990 "General Requirements for the Competence of Calibration and Testing	Surge EN 61000-4-5; ENV 50142; IEC 1000-4-5; IEC 801-5
Laboratories" (equivalent to relevant requirements of the ISO 9000 series of	47 CFR (FCC) 2, 21, 22, 23, 24, 74, 80, 87, 90, 95, 97
standards) and any additional program requirements in the identified field of testing.	Revised 2/2/2000
Presented this 24 th day of November, 1998.	Lite Monge- 5301 Buckeystown Pike, Suite 350 • Frederick, MD 21704-8370 • Phone: 301 644 3248 • Fax: 301 662 2974 🟵
For tests or types of tests to which this accreditation applies, please refer to the laboratory's Electrical (EMC) Scope of Accreditation	

"This laboratory is accredited by the American Association for Laboratory Accreditation (A2LA) and the results shown in this report have been determined in accordance with the laboratory's terms of accreditation unless stated otherwise in the report."

Should this report contain any data for tests for which we are not accredited, or which have been undertaken by a subcontractor that is not A2LA accredited, such data would not covered by this laboratory's A2LA accreditation.

PAGE NO.

4 of 6.

STANDARD TEST CONDITIONS and ENGINEERING PRACTICES

Except as noted herein, the following conditions and procedures were observed during the testing:

In accordance with ANSI C63.4-1992/2000, section 6.1.9, and unless otherwise indicated in the specific measurement results, the ambient temperature of the actual EUT was maintained within the range of 10° to 40° C (50° to 104° F) unless the particular equipment requirements specify testing over a different temperature range. Also, unless otherwise indicated, the humidity levels were in the range of 10° to 90° relative humidity.

Prior to testing, the EUT was tuned up in accordance with the manufacturer's alignment procedures. All external gain controls were maintained at the position of maximum and/or optimum gain throughout the testing.

Measurement results, unless otherwise noted, are worst case measurements.

PAGE NO.	5 of 6.
Name of test:	Environmental Assessment
Specification:	FCC: 47 CFR 1.1310
Measurement Guide:	ANSI/IEEE C95.1 1992
<u>Test Equipment:</u>	Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE) measurement system, consisting of: Narda 8717-1174R, Radiation meter Narda 8761D, E-field probe (300 kHz - 3 GHz) (Calibrated Nov-98)
Measurement Procedure:	1. The following measurements were performed with a Narda probe using ANSI/IEEE C95.1 as a guide.
	2. Prior to making any measurements, the measurements system was calibrated in accordance with the manufacturer's procedures.
	3. The EUT's radiating element (antenna) was placed on a 1 m tall table for ease of testing. For equipment normally operated on a metal surface, a ground plane was used.
	4. The remaining equipment necessary to operate the EUT was maintained at a distance from the measurement arrangement suitable to minimize interference with the measurements.
	5. The minimum safe distance was calculated from the formula Power Density = EIRP / $4\pi R^2$ (Peak Watts/m ²). The calculation is shown with the measurement data.
	6. With the EUT operating at maximum power, a search was initiated for worst case emissions with the probe raised and lowered over a range of 0.2 to 2 meters in height and over a horizontal plane of 0° to 360° .
	7. Average values were calculated for the whole body $(0.2-2.0m)$, lower body $(0.2-0.8m)$ and upper body $(1.0-2.0m)$.
<u>Results:</u>	Attached.

PAGE NO.	6 of 6.
Name of test:	R.F. Radiation Exposure
FCC Rules: Description, EUT:	1.1307, 1.1310, 1.1311, 2.1091 See page 2 of Test Report
Test Frequency, MHz Antenna Gain Antenna Model	= 149.05 = 0 dBd Mobile Gain Antenna
Rated Probe:	Narda 8761D Probe = 10 μ W/cm ² to 20 mW/cm ²
LIMITS: 47 CFR 1.1310 Table 1, (B)	0.3-1.234 MHz: 1.34-30 MHz: 30-300 MHz: 300-1500 MHz 1500-100,000 MHz: Limit [mW/cm ²] = 100 Limit [mW/cm ²] = (180/f ²) Limit [mW/cm ²] = 0.2 Limit [mW/cm ²] = f/1500 Limit [mW/cm ²] = 1.0
	= 25 Watts - 43.9dbm - 50% Duty Cycle = 25 Watts - 43.9 dbm
Tested Distance:	<u> 67 </u> cm
Results: at tested distance	Probe Height, m Power Density, mW/cm ² 2.0 0.07 1.8 0.11 1.6 0.16 1.4 0.17 1.2 0.15 1.0 0.10 0.8 0.06 0.6 0.05 0.4 0.05 0.2 0.02
Calculations:	The measured power density readings were summed and the results divided by the number of readings to calculate the average.

d readings to calculate the average. For whole body:Average of 0.2 to 2.0 m, $mW/cm^2 = 0.094$ For lower body:Average of 0.2 to 0.8 m, $mW/cm^2 = 0.045$ For upper body:Average of 1.0 to 2.0 m, $mW/cm^2 = 0.127$

W. Oner P. Eng

Morton Flom, P. Eng.

SUPERVISED BY:

The following will be placed in the Instruction Manual.

MANDATORY SAFETY INSTRUCTIONS TO INSTALLERS & USERS

Use only manufacturer or dealer supplied antenna.

Antenna Minimum Safe Distance: 67 cm.

Antenna Gain: zero dBd referenced to a dipole.

The Federal Communications Commission has adopted a safety standard for human exposure to RF (Radio Frequency) energy which is below the OSHA (Occupational Safety and Health Act) limits.

<u>Antenna Mounting</u>: The antenna supplied by the manufacturer or radio dealer must not be mounted at a location such that during radio transmission, any person or persons can come closer than the above indicated minimum safe distance to the antenna, i.e. 67 cm.

To comply with current FCC RF Exposure limits, the antenna must be installed at or exceeding the minimum safe distance shown above, and in accordance with the requirements of the antenna manufacturer or supplier.

Vehicle installation: The antenna can be mounted at the center of a vehicle metal roof or trunk lid, if the minimum safe distance is observed.

Base Station Installation: The antenna should be fixed- mounted on an outdoor permanent structure. RF Exposure compliance must be addressed at the time of installation.

<u>Antenna substitution</u>: Do not substitute any antenna for the one supplied or recommended by the manufacturer or radio dealer. You may be exposing person or persons to harmful radio frequency radiation. You may contact your radio dealer or the manufacturer for further instructions.

WARNING: Maintain a separation distance from the antenna to person(s) of at least 67 cm.

You, as the qualified end- user of this radio device must control the exposure conditions of bystanders to ensure the minimum separation distance (above) is maintained between the antenna and persons outside the vehicle for satisfying RF Exposure compliance. The operation of this transmitter must satisfy the requirements of Occupational/ Controlled Exposure Environment, for work- related use. Transmit only when person(s) outside the vehicle are at least the minimum distance from the properly installed, externally mounted antenna. Do not exceed a 50% maximum duty factor over any 30-minute period.

Sincerely,

Joel Berger, Engineer

Kenwood Communications Corp.

TESTIMONIAL AND STATEMENT OF CERTIFICATION

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT:

- THAT the application was prepared either by, or under the direct supervision of, the undersigned.
- 2. THAT the technical data supplied with the application was taken under my direction and supervision.
- THAT the data was obtained on representative units, randomly selected.
- 4. THAT, to the best of my knowledge and belief, the facts set forth in the application and accompanying technical data are true and correct.

(. Thuch P. Eng

Morton Flom, P. Eng.

CERTIFYING ENGINEER: