

EMC Technologies Pty Ltd

ABN 82 057 105 549 176 Harrick Road Keilor Park Victoria Australia 3042

Ph: + 613 9365 1000 Fax: + 613 9331 7455 email: melb@emctech.com.au

SAR Test Report

Report Number: M141024_FCC_7265NGW_SAR_5.6

Test Sample: Portable NOTEBOOK Computer

Host PC Model Number: T725

Radio Modules: WLAN & Bluetooth

Intel StonePeak 7265NGW

FCC ID: EJE-WB0091

Date of Issue: 14th November 2014

EMC Technologies Pty Ltd reports apply only to the specific samples tested under stated test conditions. It is the manufacturer's responsibility to assure that additional production units of this model are manufactured with identical electrical and mechanical components. EMC Technologies Pty Ltd shall have no liability for any deductions, inferences or generalisations drawn by the client or others from EMC Technologies Pty Ltd issued reports. This report shall not be used to claim, constitute or imply product endorsement by EMC Technologies Pty Ltd.





CONTENTS

1.0	GENERAL INFORMATION	3
2.0	INTRODUCTION	4
3.0	TEST SAMPLE TECHNICAL INFORMATION	5
	3.1 DUT (WLAN) Details	5
	3.2 DUT (Bluetooth) Details	9
	3.3 DUT (Notebook PC) Details	
	3.4 Test sample Accessories	
	3.4.1 Battery Types	
4.0	TEST SIGNAL, FREQUENCY AND OUTPUT POWER	
	4.1 Battery Status	
5.0	DETAILS OF TEST LABORATORY	
	5.1 Location	
	5.2 Accreditations	
	5.3 Environmental Factors	
6.0	DESCRIPTION OF SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM	
	6.1 Probe Positioning System	13
	6.2 E-Field Probe Type and Performance	
	6.3 System verification	13
	6.3.2 Deviation from reference system verification values	
	6.3.3 Liquid Depth 15cm	
	6.4 Phantom Properties	
	6.5 Tissue Material Properties	
	6.5.1 Liquid Temperature and Humidity	
	6.6 Simulated Tissue Composition Used for SAR Test	16
	6.7 Device Holder for Laptops and ELI 4.0 Phantom	
7.0	SAR MEASUREMENT PROCEDURE USING DASY5	
8.0	MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY	
9.0	EQUIPMENT LIST AND CALIBRATION DETAILS	20
10.0	TEST METHODOLOGY	21
	10.1 Position	
	10.1.1 "Lap Held" Position Definition (0mm spacing)	21
	10.1.2 "Edge On" Position (Portrait or Landscape)	21
	10.2 List of All Test Cases (Antenna In/Out, Test Frequencies, User Modes)	
11.0	SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS	
	11.1 5GHz Band SAR Results	
	COMPLIANCE STATEMENT	
13.0	MULTIBAND EVALUATION CONSIDERATIONS	
APP	ENDIX A1 TEST SAMPLE PHOTOGRAPHS	30
APP	ENDIX A2 TEST SAMPLE PHOTOGRAPHS	31
APP		32
APP APP	ENDIX A3 TEST SAMPLE PHOTOGRAPHS	32 33
APP APP APP	PENDIX A3 TEST SAMPLE PHOTOGRAPHSPENDIX A4 TEST SETUP PHOTOGRAPHS	32 33 34
APP APP APP APP	ENDIX A3 TEST SAMPLE PHOTOGRAPHSENDIX A4 TEST SETUP PHOTOGRAPHS	32 33 34 35
APP APP APP APP APP	PENDIX A3 TEST SAMPLE PHOTOGRAPHS	32 33 34 35 36





SAR TEST REPORT

Report Number: M141024_FCC_7265NGW_SAR_5.6

FCC ID: EJE-WB0091

1.0 GENERAL INFORMATION

Test Sample: Portable NOTEBOOK Computer

Model Name: T725

Radio Modules: WLAN & Bluetooth 7265NGW
Interface Type: M.2 Wireless LAN Module
Device Category: Portable Transmitter
Test Device: Pre-Production Unit

FCC ID: EJE-WB0091

RF exposure Category: General Population/Uncontrolled

Manufacturer: Fujitsu Limited

Test Standard/s: 1. KDB 248227 D01 SAR meas for 802 11 a b g v01r02

KDB 447498 D01 General RF Exposure Guidance v05r02

KDB 616217 D04 SAR for laptop and tablets v01r01

KDB 865664 D01 SAR Measurement 100 MHz to 6 GHz v01r03

KDB 865664 D02 RF Exposure Reporting v01r01

2. IEEE 1528: 2013

Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head Due to Wireless

Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques.

Statement Of Compliance: The Fujitsu NOTEBOOK Computer T725 with Wireless LAN and

Bluetooth model 7265NGW complied with the FCC General

public/uncontrolled RF exposure limits of 1.6mW/g per requirements

M. Thaneuper

of 47CFR2.1093(d).

Highest Reported SAR: 5 GHz WLAN Band – 0.398 mW/g

Test Dates: 21st October 2014 to 24th October 2014

p

Peter Jakubiec Mahan Ghassempouri

Authorised Signature:

Test Officer:

Chris Zombolas
Technical Director





SAR TEST REPORT Portable NOTEBOOK Computer Model: T725 Report Number: M141024_FCC_7265NGW_SAR_5.6

2.0 INTRODUCTION

Testing was performed on the Fujitsu NOTEBOOK PC, Model: T725 with INTEL M.2 integrated Wireless LAN & Bluetooth Module (INTEL STONEPEAK 802.11a/b/g/n/ac), Model: 7265NGW. The 7265HMW WLAN module was originally certified by INTEL Corporation as a modular approval under FCC ID: PD97265NG. The INTEL STONEPEAK module is an OEM product and was tested in the dedicated host – LIFEBOOK T SERIES, Model T725. The system tested will be referred to as the DUT throughout this report.

The Wireless LAN Module incorporates a Bluetooth Transmitter, which can only transmit via Antenna B (2), the Bluetooth maximum power was 6dBm (including tune-up) therefore it did not require SAR testing as a standalone transmitter. This is in accordance with KDB 447498 section 4.3.1 exemption formula:

[(max. power of channel, including tune-up tolerance, mW)/(min. test separation distance, mm)] · [√f(GHz)] ≤ 3.0 for 1-g SAR Result - [(3.98)/(8mm)] · [√f(2.45GHz)]=0.78

For the simultaneous transmission according to the section 4.3.2 the estimated SAR is given by formula:

(max. power of channel, including tune-up tolerance, mW)/(min. test separation distance, mm)]·[√f(2.45GHz)/x] W/kg

Result - $[(3.98)/(8mm)] \cdot [\sqrt{f(GHz)/7.5}] = 0.1W/kg$.

The highest SAR for the antenna A (1) was 0.331 mW/g so the sum of the simultaneously transmitting Bluetooth and WLAN (Ant. B) was 0.431 mW/g which was below the SAR limit of 1.6mW/g.

The measurement test results mentioned herein only apply to the 5GHz frequency band; an additional report titled "M141024 FCC 7265NGW SAR 2.4" applies to the 2450MHz frequency range.





3.0 TEST SAMPLE TECHNICAL INFORMATION

(Information supplied by the client)

3.1 DUT (WLAN) Details

Table 1

Transmitter: M.2 Wireless LAN Module (WLAN parts)

FCC ID PD97265NG

Wireless Module: Intel Dual Band Wireless-AC 7265 (Stone Peak) (11ac/abgn)

Model Number: 7265NGW
Manufacturer: Intel Corporation

Wi-Fi standard 802.11ac 2x2 Wi-Fi TX/RX chains 2x2 chains Supported Bands 2.4GHz, 5GHz

Antenna Allocation Main: Wi-Fi only, Aux: Shared Wi-Fi, BT

Wi-Fi TX/RX Throughput 867Mbps Bluetooth Core Bluetooth 4.0

Antenna Types: Nissei Inverted F antenna

BT: Antenna B (2)

Power Supply: 3.3 VDC from PCI bus





Table 2 Channels and Output power setting

2.4 GHz (802.11b, 802.11g and 802.11n)

Mode	Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Data Rate (Mbps)	Tx BW (MHz)		ge Power et (dBm)	Gain C	ontrol		e Power ed (dBm)
					Ch A	Ch B	Gain Control Tx A	Tx B	Tx A	Тх В
	1	2412					11.5	16.0	14.66	14.54
802.11b	6	2437			14.5	14.5	11.5	16.0	14.78	14.51
2.4 GHz	11	2462	1	-	14.0	14.0	11.0	16.5	14.52	14.83
	13	2472					-	-	-	-
	1	2412			12.5	13.0	-	-	-	-
	2	2417			14.0	14.0	-	-	-	-
802.11g	6	2437	_		15.0	15.0	17.0	22.0	15.03	15.02
2.4 GHz	10	2457	6	-	14.0	14.0	-	-	-	-
	11	2462			11.0	11.0	-	-	-	-
	13	2472			15.0	15.0	17.0	22.5	15.29	15.32
	1	2412			12.5	13.0	-	-	-	-
	2	2417			14.0	14.0	-	-	-	-
	6	2437	НТ0	20	15.0	15.0	17.5	22.5	15.12	15.19
	10	2457	піо	20	14.0	14.0	-	-	-	-
	11	2462			11.0	11.0	-	-	-	-
802.11n	13	2472			15.0	15.0	-	-	-	-
2.4 GHz										
	3F	2422			12.0	12.0	14.0	19.0	12.01	12.02
	4F	2427			13.0	13.0	-	-	-	-
	5F	2432			14.0	14.0	-	-		-
	6F	2437]		15.0	15.0	17.5	22.5	15.15	15.21
	7F	2442	HT0	40	15.0	15.0	-	-	-	-
	8F	2447	1		14.5	12.0	-	-	-	-
	9F	2452	†		11.0	10.0	-	-	-	-
	10F	2457	1		45.0	4.5.	-	-	-	-
	11F	2462	1		15.0	15.0	17.5	22.5	15.31	15.16





5 GHz (802.11a)

Mode	Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Data Rate (Mbps)	Tx BW (MHz)		Average Power Target (dBm)		Gain Control		Average Power Measured (dBm)	
					Ch A	Ch	В	Gain Control Tx A	Gain Control Tx B	Tx A	Тх В
	5.4	2 GHz									
	36	5180	1		12.5	12	2.5	I -	_		_
	40	5200	1		12.3	12		24.5	24.5	13.54	13.62
	44	5200	1		13.5	12	3.5	24.5	24.5	13.34	13.02
	48	5240	1		13.3	13		24.5	24.5	13.68	13.55
	40	3240						24.5	24.3	13.00	13.33
	5.3	3 GHz									
	52	5260	1					24.5	25.0	13.83	13.88
	56	5280	1		13.5	13	13.5	-	-	-	-
	60	5300						24.0	25.0	13.54	13.57
	64	5320			12.0	12	2.0	-	-	-	=
	5.6 GHz										
	100	5500			12.0	12	2.0	-	-	-	-
	104	5520	6	-				24.0	26.0	13.82	13.51
802.11a	108	5540						-	-	-	-
	112	5560						-	-	-	-
	116	5580						24.0	26.5	13.76	13.77
	120	5600			13.5	13	5.5	24.0	26.5	13.73	13.59
	124	5620						-	-	-	-
	128	5640						-	-	-	=
	132	5660							-	-	-
	136	5680				<u> </u>		24.5	27.5	13.74	13.73
	140	5700			11.5	11	.5	-	-	-	-
		B GHz									
	149	5745						24.5	27.5	13.57	13.68
	153	5765						-	-	-	-
	157	5785			13.5	13	3.5	24.5	27.5	13.51	13.56
	161	5805						-	-	-	-
	165	5825						-	-	-	-





5 GHz (802.11n)

Mode	Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Data Rate (Mbps)		GHz (802. Average Target	Power	Gain (Control	Average Measure	e Power
		(11112)	(мърз)	(111112)	Ch A	Ch B	Gain Control Tx A	Gain Control Tx B	Tx A	Tx B
	5.2	2 GHz								
	36	5180	-		12.5	12.5	-	-	_	-
	40	5200			1 = 1 0	1210	25.0	25.0	13.50	13.65
	44	5220			13.5	13.5	-	-	-	-
	48	5240					25.0	25.0	13.80	13.64
	5.3	3 GHz								
	52	5260					24.5	25.0	13.55	13.53
	56	5280			13.5	13.5	-	-	-	-
	60	5300					24.5	25.5	13.77	13.76
	64	5320			12.0	12.0	-	-	-	ı
	5.6	6 GHz								
	100	5500			12.0	12.0	-	-	-	-
	104	5520		20			24.0	26.5	13.58	13.71
	108	5540	Ī				-	-	-	-
	112	5560					-	-	-	1
	116	5580					24.0	26.5	13.54	13.53
	120	5600			13.5	13.5	-	-	-	1
	124	5620					-	-	-	-
	128	5640					-	-	-	-
	132	5660					-	-	-	-
	136	5680	_				24.5	27.5	13.54	13.55
802.11n	140	5700	HT0		11.5	11.5	-	-	-	-
	5.8	3 GHz								
	149	5745					25.0	27.5	13.85	13.52
	153	5765					-	-	-	-
	157	5785			13.5	13.5	25.0	28.0	13.76	13.82
	161	5805					-	-	-	-
	165	5825					25.0	28.0	13.51	13.54
	5.2	2 GHz								
	38	5190			10.5	12.0	-	-	-	-
	46	5230			13.5	13.5	-	-	-	-
	5.3	3 GHz								
	54	5270			13.5	13.5	-	-	-	i
	62	5310			12.0	12.0	-	-	-	•
	5.6	GHz		40			•			
	102	5510	1	40 Wide	12.0	12.5	-	-	-	-
	110	5550	1	vvide			-	-	-	-
	118	5590	1		12 F	12.5	-	-	-	-
	126	5630]		13.5	13.5	-	-	-	-
	134	5670]				-	-	-	-
	142	5710]		13.5	13.5	-	-	-	-
	5.8	3 GHz								
	151	5755	1		12 F	12.5	-	-	-	-
	159	5795	1		13.5	13.5	-	-	-	-





5 GHz (802.11ac)

Mode	Channel		Data Rate Tx BW		Average Power Target (dBm)		Gain Control		Average Power Measured (dBm)		
		(MHz)	(Mbps)	(MHz)	Tar	jei (ивііі)				
					Ch A		Ch B		Gain Control	Tx A	Tx B
							011 D	Tx A	Tx B		
	5.2	2 GHz						-			
	42	5210			12.0		12.0	-	-	-	-
	5.3	3 GHz									
	58	5290			12.0		12.0	-	-	-	-
802.11ac			HT0								
	5.0	6 GHz									
	106	5530			12.0		12.0	-	-	-	-
	122	5610		80	13.5		13.5	-	-	-	-
	138	5690		Wide	13.5		13.5	-	-	-	-
	5.8	8 GHz									
	155	5775			13.5		13.5	-	-	-	=

NOTE: For 2450 MHz SAR results refer to report titled "M141024_FCC_7265NGW_SAR_2.4".

3.2 DUT (Bluetooth) Details

Table 3

Transmitter:	WLAN / BT Combo Module
Network Standard:	Bluetooth [™] RF Test Specification
Modulation Type:	Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum (FHSS)
Frequency Range:	2402 MHz to 2480 MHz
Number of Channels:	79
Carrier Spacing:	1.0 MHz
Antenna Types:	Nissei Inverted F antenna
	BT: Antenna B (2)
Max. Output Power:	6 dBm
Reference Oscillator:	16 MHz (Built-in)
Power Supply:	3.3 VDC from host.

Table 4 Frequency allocation

Channel Number	Frequency (MHz)	Bluetooth Utility power setting
1	2402	
2	2403	
-	-	
39	2440	
40	2441	6 dBm
41	2442	
-	-	
78	2479	
79	2480	





3.3 DUT (Notebook PC) Details

Host notebook : LIFEBOOK T series

Model Name: T725

Serial Number: Pre-production Sample **Manufacturer:** FUJITSU LIMITED

CPU Type and Speed: Core i7 2.6GHz

LCD 12.5"HD+(1366x768): LP125WH2

Graphics chip Nor

Wired LAN: Intel 218LM: 10 Base-T/100 Base-TX/1000Base-T

Modem: Non

Port Replicator Model: FPCPR213

AC Adapter Model: 90W: A13-090P1A(Chicony), A13-090P2A (Chicony)

ADP-90BE D(Delta), ADP-90BE C(Delta) 80W: ADP-80SB A(Delta), ADP-80SB B(Delta) 65W: ADP-65MD B(Delta), ADP-65MD C(Delta) A13-065N2A(Chicony), A13-065N3A(Chicony)

Voltage: 19 V

Current Specs: 4.74A / 4.22A / 3.42A **Watts:** 90W / 80W / 65W

3.4 Test sample Accessories

3.4.1 Battery Types

One type of Fujitsu Lithium Ion battery is used to power the DUT.

Table 5 Battery Details

Model	FPCBP446
V/mAh	11.25V/6400mAh





4.0 TEST SIGNAL, FREQUENCY AND OUTPUT POWER

INTEL's CRTU test tool was used to configure the WLAN for testing. The DUT Wireless LAN had a total of 11 channels within the 2412 to 2462 MHz frequency band and 12 channels within the frequency range 5180 to 5825 MHz. In The frequency range 2412 MHz to 2462 MHz the DUT operates in 2 modes, OFDM and DSSS. Within the 5180 to 5825 MHz frequency range the DUT operates in OFDM mode only. For the SAR measurements the DUT was operating in continuous transmit mode using programming codes supplied by Fujitsu.

The Bluetooth module operates over 79 channels within the frequency range 2402 to 2480 MHz. It is possible for the Bluetooth module to operate simultaneously with the WLAN module (co-transmission). However, due to low output power of Bluetooth module (less than 3.98 mW), standalone SAR measurement for Bluetooth module was not conducted (as per **KDB 616217**).

The test results mentioned in this report only apply to the 5.6 GHz frequency range. An additional report titled "M141024_FCC_7265NGW_SAR_2.4" is specific to the 2450MHz range.

The WLAN modules can be configured in a number of different data rates. It was found that the highest source based time averaged power was measured when using the lowest data rates available in each mode. This lowest data rate corresponds to 6Mbps in OFDM mode and 1Mbps in DSSS mode.

The frequency span of the 2450 MHz range and 5600MHz Bands was more than 10MHz consequently; the SAR levels of the test sample were measured for lowest, centre and highest channels in the applicable modes. There were no wires or other connections to the DUT during the SAR measurements.

At the beginning of the SAR tests, the conducted power of the DUT was measured after temporary modification of antenna connector inside the DUT's TX RX compartment. Measurements were performed with a calibrated Power Meter. The Transmitter power was set to be equal or higher than power specified by the manufacturer.

4.1 Battery Status

The DUT battery was fully charged prior to commencement of measurement. Each SAR test was completed within 30 minutes. The battery condition was monitored by measuring the RF field at a defined position inside the phantom before the commencement of each test and again after the completion of the test. It was not possible to perform conducted power measurements at the output of the DUT, at the beginning and end of each scan due to lack of a suitable antenna port. The uncertainty associated with the power drift was less than 5% and was assessed in the uncertainty budget.





5.0 DETAILS OF TEST LABORATORY

5.1 Location

EMC Technologies Pty Ltd 176 Harrick Road Keilor Park, (Melbourne) Victoria Australia 3042

Telephone: +61 3 9365 1000 +61 3 9331 7455 email: melb@emctech.com.au website: www.emctech.com.au

5.2 Accreditations

EMC Technologies Pty. Ltd. is accredited by the National Association of Testing Authorities, Australia (NATA). **NATA Accredited Laboratory Number: 5292**

EMC Technologies Pty Ltd is NATA accredited for the following standards:

AS/NZS 2772.2 RF and microwave radiation hazard measurement

2011:

ACMA: Radio communications (Electromagnetic Radiation - Human Exposure) Standard 2003, as

amended.

EN 50360: 2001 Product standard to demonstrate the compliance of mobile phones with the basic

restrictions related to human exposure to electromagnetic fields (300 MHz – 3 GHz)

EN 62209-1:2006 Human Exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless

communication devices - Human models instrumentation and procedures.

Part 1: Procedure to determine the specific absorption rate (SAR) for hand-held devices

used in close proximity to the ear (300 MHz to 3 GHz)

EN 62209-2:2010 Human Exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless

communication devices - Human models instrumentation and procedures **Part 2**: Procedure to determine the specific absorption rate (SAR) for wireless

communication devices used in close proximity to the human body (frequency range of 30

MHz to 6 GHz

IEEE 1528: 2013 Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption

Rate (SAR) in the Human Head Due to Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement

Techniques.

Refer to NATA website www.nata.asn.au for the full scope of accreditation.

5.3 Environmental Factors

The measurements were performed in a shielded room with no background RF signals. The temperature in the laboratory was controlled to within $20\pm1^{\circ}$ C, the humidity was in the range 43% to 55%. The liquid parameters are measured daily prior to the commencement of each test. Tests were performed to check that reflections within the environment did not influence the SAR measurements. The noise floor of the DASY5 SAR measurement system using the SN3657 probe was less than $5\mu V$ in both air and liquid mediums.





6.0 DESCRIPTION OF SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM

Table 6

Applicable Head Configurations	: None
Applicable Body Configurations	: Lap Held Position
	: Edge On Position

6.1 Probe Positioning System

The measurements were performed with the state-of-the-art automated near-field scanning system **DASY5 Version 52** from Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG). The DASY5 fully complies with the IEEE 1528 and EN62209-1 and EN62209-2 SAR measurement requirements.

6.2 E-Field Probe Type and Performance

The SAR measurements were conducted with SPEAG dosimetric probe EX3DV4 Serial: 3657. Please refer to appendix C for detailed information.

6.3 System verification

6.3.1 System verification Results @ 5GHz

The following table lists the dielectric properties of the tissue simulating liquid measured prior to SAR system verification. The results of the system verification are listed in columns 4 and 5. The forward power into the reference dipole for SAR system verification was adjusted to 100 mW.

Table 7 System verification Results (Dipole: SPEAG D5GHzV2 SN: 1008)

1. System Verification Date	2. Frequency (MHz)	3. ∈r (measured)	4. σ (mho/m) (measured)	5. Measured SAR 1g	6. Measured SAR 10g	7. Last Validation Date
24 th Oct. 2014	5200	49.2	5.38	7.97	2.24	29 th Apr. 14
22 nd Oct. 2014	5500	48.0	5.74	8.89	2.48	29 th Apr. 14
21 st Oct. 2014	5800	47.0	5.98	8.35	2.32	29th Apr. 14

6.3.2 Deviation from reference system verification values

Currently no IEEE Std 1528-2013 or EN 62209-2 SAR reference values are available in 5.6 GHz band, as a consequence all system verification results were compared against the SPEAG calibration reference SAR values.

The SPEAG calibration reference SAR value is the SAR system verification result obtained in a specific dielectric liquid using the validation dipole (D5GHzV2) during calibration. The measured one-gram SAR should be within 10% of the expected target reference values shown in below.

Table 8 Deviation from reference system verification values in 5.6 GHz band

Frequency and Date	Measured SAR 1g (mW/g)	Measured SAR 1g (Normalized to 1W)	SPEAG Calibration reference SAR Value 1g (mW/g)	Deviation From SPEAG Reference 1g (%)
5200MHz 24 th Oct. 2014	7.97	79.70	75.1	6.13
5500MHz 22 nd Oct. 2014	8.89	88.90	82.2	8.15
5800MHz 21 st Oct. 2014	8.35	83.50	76.7	8.87

NOTE: All reference system verification values are referenced to 1W input power.





6.3.3 Liquid Depth 15cm

During the SAR measurement process the liquid level was maintained to a level of 15cm with a tolerance of 0.5cm.




Photo of liquid Depth in Flat Phantom

6.4 Phantom Properties

The phantoms used during the testing comply with the, IEEE 1528 and EN62209-1 and EN62209-2 SAR measurement requirements.

Table 9 Phantom Properties

Phantom Properties	
Depth of Phantom	19 cm
Width of flat section	40 cm
Length of flat section	60 cm
Thickness of flat section	2.0mm +/-0.2mm (flat section)
Dielectric Constant	<5.0
Loss Tangent	<0.05





6.5 Tissue Material Properties

The dielectric parameters of the brain simulating liquid were measured prior to SAR assessment using the HP85070A dielectric probe kit and HP8753ES Network Analyser. The actual dielectric parameters are shown in the following table.

Table 10 Target Body Simulating Liquid Dielectric Values for 5200MHz range

Frequency Band	∈r (target)	σ (target)	ρ kg/m ³
5180 MHz Body	49.0 ±5%	5.3 ±5%	1000
5240 MHz Body	48.9 ±5%	5.4 ±5%	1000
5260 MHz Body	48.9 ±5%	5.4 ±5%	1000
5320 MHz Body	48.8 ±5%	5.4 ±5%	1000

Table 11 Target Body Simulating Liquid Dielectric Values for 5600MHz range

Frequency Band	∈r (target)	σ (target)	ρ kg/m³
5520 MHz Body	48.6 ±5%	5.6 ±5%	1000
5580 MHz Body	48.5 ±5%	5.77 ±5%	1000
5680 MHz Body	48.4 ±5%	5.9 ±5%	1000

Table 12 Target Body Simulating Liquid Dielectric Values for 5800MHz range

Frequency Band	∈r (target)	σ (target)	ρ kg/m ³
5745 MHz Body	48.3 ±5%	5.9 ±5%	1000
5785 MHz Body	48.2 ±5%	6.0 ±5%	1000
5825 MHz Body	48.2 ±5%	6.0 ±5%	1000

NOTE: The muscle liquid parameters were within the required tolerances of $\pm 5\%$ for σ and $r \in r$





6.5.1 Liquid Temperature and Humidity

The humidity and dielectric/ambient temperatures were recorded during the assessment of the tissue material dielectric parameters. The difference between the ambient temperature of the liquid during the dielectric measurement and the temperature during tests was less than |2|°C.

Table 13 Temperature and Humidity recorded for each day

Date	Ambient	Liquid	Humidity (%)
	Temperature (°C)	Temperature (°C)	
21 st October 2014	20.3	20.0	43
22 nd October 2014	20.5	20.1	50
24 th October 2014	20.2	19.9	55

6.6 Simulated Tissue Composition Used for SAR Test

The tissue simulating liquids are created prior to the SAR evaluation and often require slight modification each day to obtain the correct dielectric parameters.

Table 14 Tissue Type: Muscle @ 5600MHz

EMCT Liquid, Volume of Liquid: 60 Litres

Approximate Composition	% By Weight
Distilled Water	77.5
Salt	0.3
Triton X-100	22.2

6.7 Device Holder for Laptops and ELI 4.0 Phantom

A low loss clamp was used to position the DUT underneath the phantom surface. Refer to Appendix A for photographs of device positioning





7.0 SAR MEASUREMENT PROCEDURE USING DASY5

The SAR evaluation was performed with the SPEAG DASY5 system. A summary of the procedure follows:

- a) A measurement of the SAR value at a fixed location is used as a reference value for assessing the power drop of the DUT. The SAR at this point is measured at the start of the test, and then again at the end of the test.
- b) The SAR distribution at the exposed flat section of the flat phantom is measured at a distance of 2.0 mm from the inner surface of the shell. The area covers the entire dimension of the DUT and the horizontal grid spacing is 10 mm x 10 mm. The actual Area Scan has dimensions of 90 mm x 120 mm surrounding the test device. Based on this data, the area of the maximum absorption is determined by Spline interpolation.
- c) Around this point, a volume of 24 mm x 24 mm x 22 mm is assessed by measuring 7 x 7 x 12 points. On the basis of this data set, the spatial peak SAR value is evaluated with the following procedure:
 - (i) The data at the surface are extrapolated, since the centre of the dipoles is 1.0 mm away from the tip of the probe and the distance between the surface and the lowest measuring point is 2.0 mm. The extrapolation is based on a least square algorithm. A polynomial of the fourth order is calculated through the points in z-axes. This polynomial is then used to evaluate the points between the surface and the probe tip.
 - (ii) The maximum interpolated value is searched with a straightforward algorithm. Around this maximum the SAR values averaged over the spatial volumes (1 g and 10 g) are computed using the 3D-Spline interpolation algorithm. The 3D-Spline is composed of three one-dimensional splines with the "Not a knot"- condition (in x, y and z-direction). The volume is integrated with the trapezoidal algorithm. One thousand points (10 x 10 x 10) are interpolated to calculate the averages.
 - (iii) All neighbouring volumes are evaluated until no neighbouring volume with a higher average value is found
 - (iv) The SAR value at the same location as in Step (a) is again measured to evaluate the actual power drift.





8.0 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

The uncertainty analysis is based on the template listed in the IEEE Std 1528-2013 for both device SAR tests and Validation uncertainty. The measurement uncertainty of a specific device is evaluated independently.

Table 15 Uncertainty Budget for DASY5 Version 52 – DUT SAR test 5GHz

Error Description	Uncert. Value	Prob. Dist.	Div.	C _i (1g)	C _i (10g)	1g u _i	10g u _i	Vi
Measurement System								
Probe Calibration	6.55	N	1.00	1	1	6.55	6.55	8
Axial Isotropy	4.7	R	1.73	0.7	0.7	1.90	1.90	8
Hemispherical Isotropy	9.6	R	1.73	0.7	0.7	3.88	3.88	8
Boundary Effects	2	R	1.73	1	1	1.15	1.15	∞
Linearity	4.7	R	1.73	1	1	2.71	2.71	∞
System Detection Limits	1	R	1.73	1	1	0.58	0.58	8
Modulation response	2.4	R	1.73	1	1	1.39	1.39	8
Readout Electronics	0.3	N	1.00	1	1	0.30	0.30	8
Response Time	0.8	R	1.73	1	1	0.46	0.46	8
Integration Time	2.6	R	1.73	1	1	1.50	1.50	8
RF Ambient Noise	3	R	1.73	1	1	1.73	1.73	8
RF Ambient Reflections	3	R	1.73	1	1	1.73	1.73	8
Probe Positioner	0.8	R	1.73	1	1	0.46	0.46	8
Probe Positioning	6.7	R	1.73	1	1	3.87	3.87	8
Post Processing	4	R	1.73	1	1	2.31	2.31	8
Test Sample Related								
Power Scaling	0	R	1.73	1	1	0.00	0.00	∞
Test Sample Positioning	2.9	N	1.00	1	1	2.90	2.90	145
Device Holder Uncertainty	3.6	N	1.00	1	1	3.60	3.60	5
Output Power Variation – SAR Drift Measurement	4.72	R	1.73	1	1	2.73	2.73	8
Phantom and Setup								
Phantom Uncertainty	7.6	R	1.73	1	1	4.39	4.39	∞
SAR Correction	1.9	R	1.73	1	0.84	1.10	0.92	∞
Liquid Conductivity – Measurement uncertainty	2.5	N	1.00	0.64	0.71	1.60	1.78	∞
Liquid Permittivity – Measurement uncertainty	2.5	N	1.00	0.6	0.26	1.50	0.65	∞
Temp.unc Conductivity	3.4	R	1.73	0.78	0.71	0.77	0.70	∞
Temp. unc Permittivity	0.4	R	1.73	0.23	0.26	0.04	0.05	∞
Combined standard Uncertainty (u _c)						12.50	12.43	
Expanded Uncertainty (95% CONFIDENCE LEVEL)			k=	2		25.00	24.86	

Estimated total measurement uncertainty for the DASY5 measurement system was $\pm 12.5\%$. The extended uncertainty (K = 2) was assessed to be $\pm 25.00\%$ based on 95% confidence level. The uncertainty is not added to the measurement result.





Table 16 Uncertainty Budget for DASY5 Version 52 - System verification 5GHz

Error Description	Uncert. Value	Prob. Dist.	Div.	C _i (1g)	C _i (10g)	1g u _i	10g ui	Vi
Measurement System								
Probe Calibration	6.55	N	1.00	1	1	6.55	6.55	8
Axial Isotropy	4.7	R	1.73	1	1	2.71	2.71	8
Hemispherical Isotropy	9.6	R	1.73	0	0	0.00	0.00	8
Boundary Effects	1	R	1.73	1	1	0.58	0.58	8
Linearity	4.7	R	1.73	1	1	2.71	2.71	8
System Detection Limits	1	R	1.73	1	1	0.58	0.58	8
Modulation response	0	R	1.73	1	1	0.00	0.00	8
Readout Electronics	0.3	N	1.00	1	1	0.30	0.30	8
Response Time	0	R	1.73	1	1	0.00	0.00	8
Integration Time	0	R	1.73	1	1	0.00	0.00	8
RF Ambient Noise	1	R	1.73	1	1	0.58	0.58	8
RF Ambient Reflections	1	R	1.73	1	1	0.58	0.58	8
Probe Positioner	0.8	R	1.73	1	1	0.46	0.46	8
Probe Positioning	6.7	R	1.73	1	1	3.87	3.87	8
Post Processing	2	R	1.73	1	1	1.15	1.15	8
Dipole Related								
Deviation of exp. dipole	5.5	R	1.73	1	1	3.18	3.18	##
Dipole Axis to Liquid Dist.	2	R	1.73	1	1	1.15	1.15	##
Input power & SAR drift	3.40	R	1.73	1	1	1.96	1.96	8
Phantom and Setup								
Phantom Uncertainty	4	R	1.73	1	1	2.31	2.31	8
Liquid Conductivity – Deviation from target values	5	R	1.73	0.64	0.43	1.85	1.24	8
Liquid Permittivity – Deviation from target values	5	R	1.73	0.6	0.49	1.73	1.41	8
Liquid Conductivity – Measurement uncertainty	2.5	N	1.00	0.78	0.71	1.95	1.78	∞
Liquid Permittivity – Measurement uncertainty	2.5	N	1.00	0.26	0.26	0.65	0.65	80
Temp.unc Conductivity	3.4	R	1.73	0.78	0.71	0.77	0.70	∞
Temp. unc Permittivity	0.4	R	1.73	0.23	0.26	0.04	0.05	∞
Combined standard Uncertainty (uc)						10.36	10.19	
Expanded Uncertainty (95% CONFIDENCE LEVEL)			k=	2		20.73	20.37	

Estimated total measurement uncertainty for the DASY5 measurement system was $\pm 10.36\%$. The extended uncertainty (K = 2) was assessed to be $\pm 20.73\%$ based on 95% confidence level. The uncertainty is not added to the measurement result.





9.0 EQUIPMENT LIST AND CALIBRATION DETAILS

Table 17 SPEAG DASY5 Version 52

Equipment Type	Manufacturer	Model Number	Serial Number	Calibration Due	Used For this Test?
Robot - Six Axes	Staubli	RX90BL	N/A	Not applicable	✓
Robot Remote Control	SPEAG	CS7MB	RX90B	Not applicable	✓
SAM Phantom	SPEAG	N/A	1260	Not applicable	
SAM Phantom	SPEAG	N/A	1060	Not applicable	
Flat Phantom	AndreT	10.1	P 10.1	Not Applicable	
Flat Phantom	AndreT	9.1	P 9.1	Not Applicable	
Flat Phantom	SPEAG	ELI 4.0	1101	Not Applicable	✓
Data Acquisition Electronics	SPEAG	DAE3 V1	359	06-June-2015	
Data Acquisition Electronics	SPEAG	DAE3 V1	442	10-Dec-2014	✓
Probe E-Field - Dummy	SPEAG	DP1	N/A	Not applicable	
Probe E-Field	SPEAG	ET3DV6	1380	13-Dec-2014	
Probe E-Field	SPEAG	ET3DV6	1377	10-June-2015	
Probe E-Field	SPEAG	ES3DV6	3029	Not Used	
Probe E-Field	SPEAG	EX3DV4	3956	13-June-2015	
Probe E-Field	SPEAG	EX3DV4	3657	17-Dec-2014	✓
Validation Source 150 MHz	SPEAG	CLA150	4003	3-Dec-2016	
Antenna Dipole 300 MHz	SPEAG	D300V3	1012	11-Dec-2015	
Antenna Dipole 450 MHz	SPEAG	D450V3	1074	11-Dec-2015	
Antenna Dipole 750 MHz	SPEAG	D750V2	1051	13-Dec-2016	
Antenna Dipole 900 MHz	SPEAG	D900V2	047	22-June-2015	
Antenna Dipole 1640 MHz	SPEAG	D1640V2	314	20-June-2015	
Antenna Dipole 1800 MHz	SPEAG	D1800V2	242	20-June-2015	
Antenna Dipole 1950 MHz	SPEAG	D1950V3	1113	6-Dec -2015	
Antenna Dipole 2300 MHz	SPEAG	D2300V2	1032	22-Aug-2016	
Antenna Dipole 2450 MHz	SPEAG	D2450V2	724	04-Dec-2015	
Antenna Dipole 2600 MHz	SPEAG	D2600V2	1044	13-Dec-2016	
Antenna Dipole 3500 MHz	SPEAG	D3500V2	1002	13-July-2013	
Antenna Dipole 5600 MHz	SPEAG	D5GHzV2	1008	16-Dec-2014	✓
RF Amplifier	EIN	603L	N/A	*In test	
RF Amplifier	Mini-Circuits	ZHL-42	N/A	*In test	
RF Amplifier	Mini-Circuits	ZVE-8G	N/A	*In test	✓
Synthesized signal generator	Hewlett Packard	ESG-D3000A	GB37420238	*In test	
RF Power Meter	Hewlett Packard	437B	3125012786	28-Aug-2014	✓
RF Power Sensor 0.01 - 18 GHz	Hewlett Packard	8481H	1545A01634	29-Aug-2014	✓
RF Power Meter	Rohde & Schwarz	NRP	101415	18-Sept-2014	
RF Power Sensor	Rohde & Schwarz	NRP - Z81	100174	18-Sept-2014	
RF Power Meter Dual	Hewlett Packard	435A	1733A05847	*In test	✓
RF Power Sensor	Hewlett Packard	8482A	2349A10114	*In test	✓
Network Analyser	Hewlett Packard	8714B	GB3510035	25-Sept-2014	
Network Analyser	Hewlett Packard	8753ES	JP39240130	6-Nov-2014	✓
Dual Directional Coupler	Hewlett Packard	778D	1144 04700	*In test	
Dual Directional Coupler	NARDA	3022	75453	*In test	✓
Radio Communication Test Set	Rohde & Schwarz	CMU200	101573	Not Applicable	
Radio Communication Test Set	Anritsu	MT8820A	6200240559	Not Applicable	
Radio Communication Test Set	Agilent	PXT E6621A	MY51100168	Not Applicable	

^{*} Calibrated during the test for the relevant parameters.





This document is issued in accordance with NATA's accreditation requirements. The results of tests, calibration and/or measurements included in this document are traceable to Australian/national standards. NATA is a signatory to the ILAC Mutual Recognition Arrangement for the mutual recognition of the equivalence of testing and calibration reports.

This document shall only be reproduced in full, with the exception of the certificate on p3

10.0 TEST METHODOLOGY

Notebooks should be evaluated in normal use positions, typical for lap-held bottom-face only. However the number of positions will depend on the number of configurations the laptop can be operated in. The "LIFEBOOK T SERIES" can be used in either a conventional laptop position (see Appendix A) or a Tablet configuration. The antenna location in the "LIFEBOOK T SERIES" is closest to the top of the.

10.1 Position

10.1.1 "Lap Held" Position Definition (0mm spacing)

The DUT was tested in the 2.00 mm flat section of the ELI4 Flat phantom for the "Lap Held" position. The Transceiver was placed at the bottom of the phantom and suspended in such way that the back of the DUT was touching the phantom. This device orientation simulates the PC's normal use – being held on the lap of the user. A spacing of 0mm ensures that the SAR results are conservative and represent a worst-case position.

10.1.2 "Edge On" Position (Portrait or Landscape)


The DUT was tested in the (2.00 mm) flat section of the ELI4 Flat phantom for the "Edge On" position. The Antennas edge of the Transceiver was placed underneath the flat section of the phantom and suspended until the edge touched the phantom. *Refer to Appendix A for photos of measurement positions.*

10.2 List of All Test Cases (Antenna In/Out, Test Frequencies, User Modes)

The DUT has a fixed antennas. Depending on the measured SAR level up to three test channels with the test sample operating at maximum power were recorded. The following table represents the matrix used to determine what testing was required. All relevant provisions of KDB 447498 weree applied for SAR measurements of the host system.

Table 18 Testing configurations

Phantom	*Device Mode	Antenna	Test Configurations					
Configuration			Channel (Low)	Channel (Middle)	Channel (High)			
Lap Held	OFDM 5GHz	Α		X				
	All Bands	В		Х				
Bystander	OFDM 5GHz	Α		X				
	All Bands	В		Х				
Edge On	OFDM 5GHz	Α		Х				
	All Bands	В		Х				



NOTE: Throughout this report, Antenna A, B and C refer to Tx1, Tx2 in the host respectively.





11.0 SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS

The SAR values averaged over 1g tissue masses were determined for the sample DUT for all test configurations listed in section 10.2.

11.1 5GHz Band SAR Results





Table 19 SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS Lower Band - OFDM Mode

Test Position	Plot	Test	Test	Test	SAR	Drift	∈r	σ	Tune –Up
	No.	Mode	Ch.	Freq. (MHz)	(1g) mW/g	(dB)	(target 48.9 ±5% (46.46 to 51.35)	(target 5.4 ±5% (5.13 to 5.67)	SAR (mW/g)
Edge 1 OFDM 5200 MHz Antenna A (1) 24-10-14	-	OFDM 5 GHz 6 Mbs	52	5260	Noise Floor	N/A	49.1	5.501	Noise Floor
Edge 1 OFDM 5200 MHz Antenna B (2) 24-10-14	-	OFDM 5 GHz 6 Mbs	52	5260	Noise Floor	N/A	49.1	5.501	Noise Floor
Test Position	Plot No.	Test Mode	Test Ch.	Test Freq. (MHz)	SAR (1g) mW/g	Drift (dB)	€r (target 49.0 ±5% 46.55 to 51.45)	σ (target 5.3 ±5% 5.04 to 5.57)	11001
Edge 2 OFDM 5200 MHz Antenna A (1) 24-10-14	1.	OFDM 5 GHz 6 Mbs	40	5200	0.197	0.03	49.2	5.376	0.197
Test Position	Plot No.	Test Mode	Test Ch.	Test Freq. (MHz)	SAR (1g) mW/g	Drift (dB)	∈r (target 48.9 ±5% (46.46 to 51.35)	σ (target 5.4 ±5% (5.13 to 5.67)	
Edge 2 OFDM 5200 MHz Antenna A (1) 24-10-14	2.	OFDM 5 GHz 6 Mbs	48	5240	0.271	0.04	49.1	5.451	0.271
Edge 2 OFDM 5200 MHz Antenna A (1) 24-10-14	3.	OFDM 5 GHz 6 Mbs	52	5260	0.287	0.04	49.1	5.501	0.287
Test Position	Plot No.	Test Mode	Test Ch.	Test Freq. (MHz)	SAR (1g) mW/g	Drift (dB)	∈r (target 48.8 ±5% 46.36 to 51.24)	σ (target 5.4 ±5% 5.13 to 5.67)	
Edge 2 OFDM 5200 MHz Antenna A (1) 24-10-14	4.	OFDM 5 GHz 6 Mbs	60	5300	0.188	-0.21	48.9	5.582	0.188
Test Position	Plot No.	Test Mode	Test Ch.	Test Freq. (MHz)	SAR (1g) mW/g	Drift (dB)	€r (target 49.0 ±5% 46.55 to 51.45)	σ (target 5.3 ±5% 5.04 to 5.57)	
Edge 2 OFDM 5200 MHz Antenna B (2) 24-10-14	5.	OFDM 5 GHz 6 Mbs	40	5200	0.248	-0.04	49.2	5.376	0.248
Test Position	Plot No.	Test Mode	Test Ch.	Test Freq. (MHz)	SAR (1g) mW/g	Drift (dB)	∈r (target 48.9 ±5% (46.46 to 51.35)	σ (target 5.4 ±5% (5.13 to 5.67)	
Edge 2 OFDM 5200 MHz Antenna B (2) 24-10-14	6.	OFDM 5 GHz 6 Mbs	48	5240	0.209	-0.14	49.1	5.451	0.209
Edge 2 OFDM 5200 MHz Antenna B (2) 24-10-14	7.	OFDM 5 GHz 6 Mbs	52	5260	0.195	-0.07	49.1	5.501	0.195
Test Position	Plot No.	Test Mode	Test Ch.	Test Freq. (MHz)	SAR (1g) mW/g	Drift (dB)	∈r (target 48.8 ±5% 46.36 to 51.24)	σ (target 5.4 ±5% 5.13 to 5.67)	
Edge 2 OFDM 5200 MHz Antenna B (2) 24-10-14	8.	OFDM 5 GHz 6 Mbs	60	5300	0.229	0.02	48.9	5.582	0.229
Test Position	Plot No.	Test Mode	Test Ch.	Test Freq. (MHz)	SAR (1g) mW/g	Drift (dB)	∈r (target 48.9 ±5% (46.46 to 51.35)	σ (target 5.4 ±5% (5.13 to 5.67)	
Edge 3 OFDM 5200 MHz Antenna A (1) 24-10-14	-	OFDM 5 GHz 6 Mbs	52	5260	Noise Floor	N/A	49.1	5.501	Noise Floor
Lap Held OFDM 5200 MHz Antenna A (1) 24-10-14	-	OFDM 5 GHz 6 Mbs	52	5260	Noise Floor	N/A	49.1	5.501	Noise Floor
Lap Held OFDM 5200 MHz Antenna B (2) 24-10-14	9.	OFDM 5 GHz 6 Mbs	52	5260	0.043	-0.19	49.1	5.501	0.043
Test Position	Plot No.	Test Mode	Test Ch.	Test Freq. (MHz)	SAR (1g) mW/g	Drift (dB)	€r (target 49.0 ±5% 46.55 to 51.45)	σ (target 5.3 ±5% 5.04 to 5.57)	
System Performance Check 24-10-14	10.	CW	0	5200	7.97	-0.11	49.2	5.376	7.97

NOTE: The measurement uncertainty of 25.00% for 5GHz testing is not added to the result.





The highest Measured SAR level in the 5.2 GHz band was 0.287 mW/g as evaluated in a 1g cube of averaging mass. The manufacturer's tune up power is stated to be 13.5 dBm. Scaling the SAR value was not required because the RF power during testing was 13.5 dBm or higher. This value was obtained in Edge 2 Position in OFDM mode, utilizing channel 52 (5260 MHz) and antenna 1.





Table 20 SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS Middle Band - OFDM Mode

Test Position	Plot No.	Test Mode	Test Ch.	Test Freq.	SAR (1g)	Drift (dB)	∈r (target 48.5 ±5%	σ (target 5.77 ±5%	Tune Up SAR
	140.	Wioue	CII.	(MHz)	mW/g	(ub)	46.08 to 50.93)	5.48 to 6.06)	(mW/g)
Edge 1 OFDM 5600 MHz	_	OFDM 5	116	5580	Noise	N/A	47.8	5.874	Noise
Antenna A (1) 22-10-14		GHz 6 Mbs	110	3300	Floor	N/A	47.0	3.074	Floor
Edge 1 OFDM 5600 MHz Antenna B (2) 22-10-14	-	OFDM 5 GHz 6 Mbs	116	5580	Noise Floor	N/A	47.8	5.874	Noise Floor
	51 .		Test	Test	SAR	5 '6'	€r	σ	
Test Position	Plot No.	Test Mode	Ch.	Freq. (MHz)	(1g) mW/g	Drift (dB)	(target 48.6 ±5% 46.17 to 51.03)	(target 5.6 ±5% 5.32 to 5.88)	
Edge 2 OFDM 5600 MHz Antenna A (1) 22-10-14	11.	OFDM 5 GHz 6 Mbs	104	5520	0.303	-0.05	48.0	5.77	0.303
Test Position	Plot No.	Test Mode	Test Ch.	Test Freq. (MHz)	SAR (1g) mW/g	Drift (dB)	∈r (target 48.5 ±5% 46.08 to 50.93)	σ (target 5.77 ±5% 5.48 to 6.06)	
Edge 2 OFDM 5600 MHz Antenna A (1) 22-10-14	12.	OFDM 5 GHz 6 Mbs	116	5580	0.331	0.16	47.8	5.874	0.331
Test Position	Plot No.	Test Mode	Test Ch.	Test Freq. (MHz)	SAR (1g) mW/g	Drift (dB)	∈r (target 48.4 ±5% 45.98 to 50.82)	σ (target 5.9 ±5% 5.61 to 6.20)	
Edge 2 OFDM 5600 MHz Antenna A (1) 22-10-14	13.	OFDM 5 GHz 6 Mbs	136	5680	0.304	0.1	47.5	6.048	0.304
Test Position	Plot No.	Test Mode	Test Ch.	Test Freq. (MHz)	SAR (1g) mW/g	Drift (dB)	∈r (target 48.6 ±5% 46.17 to 51.03)	σ (target 5.6 ±5% 5.32 to 5.88)	
Edge 2 OFDM 5600 MHz Antenna B (2) 22-10-14	14.	OFDM 5 GHz 6 Mbs	104	5520	0.373	-0.14	48.0	5.77	0.373
Test Position	Plot No.	Test Mode	Test Ch.	Test Freq. (MHz)	SAR (1g) mW/g	Drift (dB)	∈r (target 48.5 ±5% 46.08 to 50.93)	σ (target 5.77 ±5% 5.48 to 6.06)	
Edge 2 OFDM 5600 MHz Antenna B (2) 22-10-14	15.	OFDM 5 GHz 6 Mbs	116	5580	0.251	-0.14	47.8	5.874	0.251
Test Position	Plot No.	Test Mode	Test Ch.	Test Freq. (MHz)	SAR (1g) mW/g	Drift (dB)	∈r (target 48.4 ±5% 45.98 to 50.82)	σ (target 5.9 ±5% 5.61 to 6.20)	
Edge 2 OFDM 5600 MHz Antenna B (2) 22-10-14	16.	OFDM 5 GHz 6 Mbs	136	5680	0.398	-0.15	47.5	6.048	0.398
Test Position	Plot No.	Test Mode	Test Ch.	Test Freq. (MHz)	SAR (1g) mW/g	Drift (dB)	∈r (target 48.5 ±5% 46.08 to 50.93)	σ (target 5.77 ±5% 5.48 to 6.06)	
Edge 3 OFDM 5600 MHz Antenna A (1) 22-10-14	-	OFDM 5 GHz 6 Mbs	116	5580	Noise Floor	N/A	47.8	5.874	Noise Floor
Lap Held OFDM 5600 MHz Antenna A (1) 22-10-14	-	OFDM 5 GHz 6 Mbs	116	5580	Noise Floor	N/A	47.8	5.874	Noise Floor
Lap Held OFDM 5600 MHz Antenna B (2) 22-10-14	17.	OFDM 5 GHz 6 Mbs	116	5580	0.062	-0.15	47.8	5.874	0.062
Test Position	Plot No.	Test Mode	Test Ch.	Test Freq. (MHz)	SAR (1g) mW/g	Drift (dB)	∈r (target 48.6 ±5% 46.17 to 51.03)	σ (target 5.6 ±5% 5.32 to 5.88)	
System Performance Check 22-10-14	18.	CW	1	5500	8.89	0.08	48.0	5.735	-

NOTE: The measurement uncertainty of 25.00% for 5GHz testing is not added to the result.





The highest Measured SAR level in the 5.6 GHz band was 0.398 mW/g as evaluated in a 1g cube of averaging mass. The manufacturer's tune up power is stated to be 13.5 dBm. Scaling the SAR value was not required because the RF power during testing was 13.5 dBm or higher. This value was obtained in Edge 2 position in OFDM mode, utilizing channel 136 (5680 MHz) and antenna 2.





Table 21 SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS Upper Band - OFDM Mode

Test Position	Plot No.	Test Mode	Test Ch.	Test Freq. (MHz)	SAR (1g) mW/g	Drift (dB)	∈r (target 48.2 ±5% 45.79 to 50.61)	σ (target 6.0 ±5% 5.7 to 6.3)	Tune Up SAR (mW/g)
Edge 1 OFDM 5800 MHz Antenna A (1) 21-10-14	-	OFDM 5 GHz 6 Mbs	157	5785	Noise Floor	N/A	47.0	5.953	Noise Floor
Edge 1 OFDM 5800 MHz Antenna B (2) 21-10-14	-	OFDM 5 GHz 6 Mbs	157	5785	Noise Floor	N/A	47.0	5.953	Noise Floor
Test Position	Plot No.	Test Mode	Test Ch.	Test Freq. (MHz)	SAR (1g) mW/g	Drift (dB)	∈r (target 48.3 ±5% 45.89 to 50.72)	σ (target 5.9 ±5% 5.61 to 6.20)	
Edge 2 OFDM 5800 MHz Antenna A (1) 21-10-14	19.	OFDM 5 GHz 6 Mbs	149	5745	0.318	-0.18	47.2	5.88	0.318
Test Position	Plot No.	Test Mode	Test Ch.	Test Freq. (MHz)	SAR (1g) mW/g	Drift (dB)	∈r (target 48.2 ±5% 45.79 to 50.61)	σ (target 6.0 ±5% 5.7 to 6.3)	
Edge 2 OFDM 5800 MHz Antenna A (1) 21-10-14	20.	OFDM 5 GHz 6 Mbs	157	5785	0.226	-0.08	47.0	5.953	0.226
Edge 2 OFDM 5800 MHz Antenna A (1) 21-10-14	21.	OFDM 5 GHz 6 Mbs	165	5825	0.278	-0.02	47.0	6.003	0.278
Test Position	Plot No.	Test Mode	Test Ch.	Test Freq. (MHz)	SAR (1g) mW/g	Drift (dB)	∈r (target 48.3 ±5% 45.89 to 50.72)	σ (target 5.9 ±5% 5.61 to 6.20)	
Edge 2 OFDM 5800 MHz Antenna B (2) 21-10-14	22.	OFDM 5 GHz 6 Mbs	149	5745	0.201	0.14	47.2	5.88	0.201
Test Position	Plot No.	Test Mode	Test Ch.	Test Freq. (MHz)	SAR (1g) mW/g	Drift (dB)	∈r (target 48.2 ±5% 45.79 to 50.61)	σ (target 6.0 ±5% 5.7 to 6.3)	
Edge 2 OFDM 5800 MHz Antenna B (2) 21-10-14	23.	OFDM 5 GHz 6 Mbs	157	5785	0.139	-0.21	47.0	5.953	0.139
Edge 2 OFDM 5800 MHz Antenna B (2) 21-10-14	24.	OFDM 5 GHz 6 Mbs	165	5825	0.238	-0.06	47.0	6.003	0.238
Edge 3 OFDM 5800 MHz Antenna A (1) 21-10-14	-	OFDM 5 GHz 6 Mbs	157	5785	Noise Floor	N/A	47.0	5.953	Noise Floor
Lap Held OFDM 5800 MHz Antenna A (1) 21-10-14	-	OFDM 5 GHz 6 Mbs	157	5785	Noise Floor	N/A	47.0	5.953	Noise Floor
Lap Held OFDM 5800 MHz Antenna B (2) 21-10-14	25.	OFDM 5 GHz 6 Mbs	157	5785	0.040	0.09	47.0	5.953	0.04
System Performance Check 21-10-14	26.	CW	2	5800	8.35	0.09	47.0	5.978	-

NOTE: The measurement uncertainty of 25.00% for 5GHz testing is not added to the result.

The highest Measured SAR level in the 5.8 GHz band was 0.318 mW/g as evaluated in a 1g cube of averaging mass. The manufacturer's tune up power is stated to be 13.5 dBm. Scaling the SAR value was not required because the RF power during testing was 13.5 dBm or higher. This value was obtained in Edge 2 position in OFDM mode, utilizing channel 149 (5745 MHz) and antenna 1.





12.0 COMPLIANCE STATEMENT

The Fujitsu NOTEBOOK PC, Model: T725 with INTEL Wireless LAN & Bluetooth Module (802.11a/b/g/n/ac), Model: 7265NGW, was found to comply with the FCC SAR requirements.

The highest Measured SAR level in the 5.6 GHz band was 0.398 mW/g as evaluated in a 1g cube of averaging mass. The manufacturer's tune up power is stated to be 13.5 dBm. Scaling the SAR value was not required because the RF power during testing was 13.5 dBm or higher. This value was obtained in Edge 2 position in OFDM mode, utilizing channel 136 (5680 MHz) and antenna 2. This was below the limit of 1.6 mW/g for uncontrolled exposure, even taking into account the measurement uncertainty of 25.00 %.





13.0 MULTIBAND EVALUATION CONSIDERATIONS

According to the FCC SAR evaluation procedures mentioned in KDB447498, when the sum of 1-g SAR of all simultaneously transmitting antennas in an operating mode and exposure condition combination is within the SAR limit (\sum SAR <1.6), SAR test exclusion applies to that simultaneous transmission configuration.

The shortest distance between the BT antenna (Antenna 2) and the user is 8mm. The closest distance between WLAN 1 and WLAN2 antennas was 94 mm.

According to the section 4.3.2 of the KDB 447498 the estimated SAR of the Bluetooth is given by the formula: $[(max.\ power\ of\ channel,\ including\ tune-up\ tolerance,\ mW)/(min.\ test\ separation\ distance,\ mm)]\cdot[\sqrt{f(2.45GHz)/x}]W/kq$

Result - [(3.98 mW)/(5mm)] $\cdot [\sqrt{f(GHz)/7.5}] = 0.1$ W/kg.

The highest SAR for the antenna B (2) was 0.398 mW/g the highest SAR for antenna A(1) was 0.331 mW/g, the sum of the 1-g SAR (0.398+0.331=0.729 mW/g) is less than SAR limit (1.6 mW/g). So SAR test exclusion applies to the simultaneous transmission configuration.




Diagram Showing Antenna Positions

NOTE: Throughout this report, Antenna A and B refer to Tx1, Tx2 in the host.



