Qwizdom Inc.

Q4 RF

January 25, 2005

Report No. PROU0010

Report Prepared By



www.nwemc.com 1-888-EMI-CERT

© 2005 Northwest EMC, Inc





Certificate of Test Issue Date: January 25, 2005 Qwizdom Inc Q4 RF

	Emissions		
Specification	Test Method	Pass	Fail
FCC 15.247(a)(2) Occupied Bandwidth:2004	ANSI C63.4:2003	\square	
FCC 15.247(b)(3) Output Power:2004	ANSI C63.4:2003	\square	
FCC 15.247(d) Band Edge Compliance:2004	ANSI C63.4:2003	\square	
FCC 15.247(d) Out of Band Emissions:2004	ANSI C63.4:2003	\square	
FCC 15.247(d) Spurious Radiated Emissions:2004	ANSI C63.4:2003	\square	
FCC 15.247(e) Power Spectral Density:2004	ANSI C63.4:2003	\square	

Modifications made to the product See the Modifications section of this report

Test Facility

The measurement facilities used to collect the data is located at:

Northwest EMC, Inc. 22975 NW Evergreen Parkway, Suite 400; Hillsboro, OR 97124 Phone: (503) 844-4066 Fax: 844-3826

The sites have been fully described in a report filed with and accepted by the FCC (Federal Communications Commission) and Industry Canada.

Approved By: Don Facteau, IS Manager

This report must not be used to claim product certification, approval, or endorsement by NVLAP, NIST, or any agency of the federal government of the United States of America.

Product compliance is the responsibility of the client, therefore the tests and equipment modes of operation represented in this report were agreed upon by the client, prior to testing. This Report may only be duplicated in its entirety. The results of this test pertain only to the sample(s) tested, the specific description is noted in each of the individual sections of the test report supporting this certificate of test.



Revision Number	Description	Date	Page Number
00	None		



FCC: Accredited by NVLAP for performance of FCC radio, digital, and ISM device testing. Our Open Area Test Sites, certification chambers, and conducted measurement facilities, have been fully described in reports filed with the FCC and accepted by the FCC in letters maintained in our files. Northwest EMC has been accredited by ANSI to ISO / IEC Guide 65 as a product certifier. We have been designated by the FCC as a Telecommunications Certification Body (TCB). This allows Northwest EMC to certify transmitters to FCC specifications in accordance with 47 CFR 2.960 and 2.962.

NVLAP: Northwest EMC, Inc. is recognized under the United States Department of Commerce, National Institute of Standards and Technology, National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Program for satisfactory compliance with the requirements of ISO/IEC 17025 for Testing Laboratories. The NVLAP accreditation encompasses Electromagnetic Compatibility Testing in accordance with the European Union EMC Directive 89/336/EEC, ANSI C63.4, MIL-STD 461E, DO-160D and SAE J1113. Additionally, Northwest EMC is accredited by NVLAP to perform radio testing in accordance with the European Union R&TTE Directive 1999/5/EEC, the requirements of FCC, and the RSS radio standards for Industry Canada.

Industry Canada: Accredited by NVLAP for performance of Industry Canada RSS and ICES testing. Our Open Area Test Sites and certification chambers comply with RSS 212, Issue 1 (Provisional) and have been filed with Industry Canada and accepted. Northwest EMC has been accredited by ANSI to ISO / IEC Guide 65 as a product certifier. We have been designated by NIST and recognized by Industry Canada as a Certification Body (CB) per the APEC Mutual Recognition Arrangement (MRA). This allows Northwest EMC to certify transmitters to Industry Canada technical requirements.

CAB: Designated by NIST and validated by the European Commission as a Conformity Assessment Body (CAB) to conduct tests and approve products to the EMC directive and transmitters to the R&TTE directive, as described in the U.S. - EU Mutual Recognition Agreement

TÜV Product Service: Included in TUV Product Service Group's Listing of Recognized Laboratories. It qualifies in connection with the TUV Certification after Recognition of Agent's Testing Program for the product categories and/or standards shown in TUV's current Listing of CARAT Laboratories available from TUV. A certificate was issued to represent that this laboratory continues to meet TUV's CARAT Program requirements. Certificate No. USA0401C

TÜV Rheinland: Authorized to carryout EMC tests by order and under supervision of TÜV Rheinland. This authorization is based on "Conditions for EMC-Subcontractors" of November 1992.











NEMKO: Assessed and accredited by NEMKO (Norwegian testing and certification body) for European emissions and immunity testing. As a result of NEMKO's laboratory assessment, they will accept test results from Northwest EMC, Inc. for product certification (Authorization No. ELA 119).

Technology International: Assessed in accordance with ISO Guide 25 defining the general international requirements for the competence of calibration and testing laboratories and with ITI assessment criteria LACO196. Based upon that assessment Interference Technology International, Ltd., has granted approval for specifications implementing the EU Directive on EMC (89/336/EEC and amendments). The scope of the approval was provided on a Schedule of Assessment supplied with the certificate and is available upon request.

Australia/New Zealand: The National Association of Testing Authorities (NATA), Australia has been appointed by the ACA as an accreditation body to accredit test laboratories and competent bodies for EMC standards. Accredited test reports or assessments by competent bodies must carry the NATA logo. Test reports made by an overseas laboratory that has been accredited for the relevant standards by an overseas accreditation body that has a Mutual Recognition Agreement (MRA) with NATA are also accepted as technical grounds for product conformity. The report should be endorsed with the respective logo of the accreditation body. (NVLAP)

VCCI: Accepted as an Associate Member to the VCCI, Acceptance No. 564. Conducted and radiated measurement facilities have been registered in accordance with Regulations for Voluntary Control Measures, Article 8. (*Registration Nos. - Hillsboro: C-1071 and R-1025, Irvine: C-2094 and R-1943, Newberg: C-1877 and R-1760, Sultan: R-871, C-1784 and R-1761)*

BSMI: Northwest EMC has been designated by NIST and validated by C-Taipei (BSMI) as a CAB to conduct tests as described in the APEC Mutual Recognition Agreement. License No.SL2-IN-E-1017.

GOST: Northwest EMC, Inc. has been assessed and accredited by the Russian Certification bodies Certinform VNIINMASH, CERTINFO, SAMTES, and Federal CHEC, to perform EMC and Hygienic testing for Information Technology Products. As a result of their laboratory assessment, they will accept test results from Northwest EMC, Inc. for product certification

SCOPE For details on the Scopes of our Accreditations, please visit: <u>http://www.nwemc.com/scope.asp</u>







BSMI





NEMKO

How important is it to understand performance criteria?

It is the responsibility of the test laboratory to observe the results of the tests that are performed and to accurately report those results. As the responsible party (manufacturer, importer, etc) it is your responsibility to take those results, compare them against the specifications and standards, then, if appropriate make a declaration of conformity. As the responsible party it makes sense that you are fully aware of the requirements, how your device performs when tested to those requirements, and what information is being used to declare conformity.

To better assist you in making those conformity decisions, Northwest EMC has adopted a very simple, yet very clear performance assessment procedure. The following criteria is used when performing immunity or susceptibility tests:

Performance Criteria 1:

- □ The EUT exhibited no change in performance when operating as specified by the manufacturer. In this case no changes were observed during the test.
- In most cases this would be equivalent to Performance Criteria A. When operating the equipment in the modes or configurations specified by the responsible party, monitoring the parameters specified, no changes were observed. Basically nothing happened.

Performance Criteria 2:

- The EUT exhibited a change in performance when operating as specified by the manufacturer. In this case the equipment recovered without any operator intervention, once the test signal was removed. The data sheets will detail the exact phenomena observed.
- In most cases this would be equivalent to Performance Criteria B. When operating the equipment in the modes or configurations specified by the responsible party, monitoring the parameters specified, changes were observed. The EUT was able to recover from those changes without any operator intervention, once the test signal was removed.

Performance Criteria 3:

- The EUT exhibited a change in performance when operating as specified by the manufacturer. In this case the equipment required some operator intervention in order to recover. This intervention may be in the form of changing EUT settings, or even resetting the system. The data sheets will detail the exact phenomena observed.
- In most cases this would be equivalent to Performance Criteria C. When operating the equipment in the modes or configurations specified by the responsible party, monitoring the parameters specified, changes were observed. The EUT required some sort of operator intervention to recover. There was no permanent damage and the EUT appeared to function normally after completion test.

Performance Criteria 4:

- The EUT exhibited a change in performance when operating as specified by the manufacturer. In this case the equipment was damaged and would not recover. The data sheets will detail the exact phenomena observed.
- In most cases there is no specific criterion to compare this to, it typically ends the test. When operating the equipment in the modes or configurations specified by the responsible party, monitoring the parameters specified, changes were observed. There was no recovery; the equipment would no longer function as intended.



Each of the standards and specifications has unique performance criteria. In order to make an accurate assessment, one must compare the test results provided with the specific performance criteria. To ensure that a responsible party is compliant with the specifications, one must read and understand those specifications. Provided below is a sample performance criteria, taken from EN 61000-6-1.

EN 61000-6-1 Performance Criteria

Performance Criteria A: The apparatus shall continue to operate as intended. No degradation of performance or loss of function is allowed below a performance level specified by the manufacturer, when the apparatus is used as intended. The performance level may be replaced by a permissible loss of performance. If the minimum performance level or the permissible performance loss is not specified by the manufacturer, then either of these may be derived from the product description and documentation and what the user may reasonably expect from the apparatus if used as intended.

Performance Criteria B: The apparatus shall continue to operate as intended after the test. No degradation of performance or loss of function is allowed below a performance level specified by the manufacturer, when the apparatus is used as intended. The performance level may be replaced by a permissible loss of performance. During the test, degradation of performance is allowed. If the minimum performance level or the permissible performance loss is not specified by the manufacturer, then either of these may be derived from the product description and documentation and what the user may reasonably expect from the apparatus if used as intended.

Performance Criteria C: Temporary loss of function is allowed, provided the function is self-recoverable or can be restored by the operation of controls.

How should a device perform in order for a declaration of conformity to be made?

As already stated, it is the responsible party that must interpret and understand the results in such a way that a declaration of conformity is made. Having said that, we are often asked to render our opinion as to how a device should perform. Our recommendation simply follows the standards, as can be referenced below. Most of the standards and specifications offer the same performance criterion shown below as their requirements.

Test	Performance Criteria typically specified by the Standard	Equivalent Northwest EMC Performance Criteria
ESD	Performance Criteria B	Performance Criteria 1 or 2
Radiated RF	Performance Criteria A	Performance Criteria 1
EFT/Burst	Performance Criteria B	Performance Criteria 1 or 2
Surge	Performance Criteria B	Performance Criteria 1 or 2
Conducted RF	Performance Criteria A	Performance Criteria 1
Magnetic Field	Performance Criteria A	Performance Criteria 1
Voltage Dips and Variations	Performance Criteria B & C	Performance Criteria 1, 2, or 3



What is measurement uncertainty?


When a measurement is made, the result will be different from the true or theoretically correct value. The difference is the result of tolerances in the measurement system that cannot be completely eliminated. To the extent that technology allows us, it has been our aim to minimize this error. The following statement of measurement uncertainty is used to reflect the accuracy of the measured result as compared with its "true" value. In the case of transient tests (ESD, EFT, Surge, Voltage Dips and Interruptions), the test equipment has been demonstrated by calibration to provide at least a 95% confidence that it complies with the test specification requirements.

The following documents were the basis for determining the uncertainty levels of our measurements:

- "ISO Guide to the Expression of Uncertainty in Measurements", October 1993
- "NIS81: The Treatment of Uncertainty in EMC Measurements", May 1994
- "IEC CISPR 16-3 A1 f1 Ed.1: Radio-interference measurements and statistical techniques", December 2000

How might measurement uncertainty be applied to test results?

If the diamond marks the measured value for the test and the vertical bars bracket the range of + and – measurement uncertainty, then test results can be interpreted from the diagram below.





Radiated Emissions ≤ 1 GHz	Value (dB)						
	Probability	Probability Biconical		Log Po	eriodic	D	ipole
	Distribution	bution Antenna		Antenna		Antenna	
Test Distance		3m	10m	3m	10m	3m	10m
Combined standard	normal	+ 1.86	+ 1.82	+ 2.23	+ 1.29	+ 1.31	+ 1.25
uncertainty <i>u_c(y)</i>		- 1.88	- 1.87	- 1.41	- 1.26	- 1.27	- 1.25
Expanded uncertainty U	normal (k=2)	+ 3.72	+ 3.64	+ 4.46	+ 2.59	+ 2.61	+ 2.49
(level of confidence $\approx 95\%$)		- 3.77	- 3.73	-2.81	- 2.52	- 2.55	- 2.49

Radiated Emissions > 1 GHz	Value (dB)		
	Probability	Without High	With High
	Distribution	Pass Filter	Pass Filter
Combined standard uncertainty <i>u_c(y)</i>	normal	+ 1.29 - 1.25	+ 1.38 - 1.35
Expanded uncertainty U	normal (k=2)	+ 2.57	+ 2.76
(level of confidence $\approx 95\%$)		- 2.51	2.70

Conducted Emissions		
	Probability	Value
	Distribution	(+/- dB)
Combined standard uncertainty <i>uc(y)</i>	normal	1.48
Expanded uncertainty <i>U</i> (level of confidence ≈ 95 %)	normal (k = 2)	2.97

Radiated Immunity		
	Probability	Value
	Distribution	(+/- dB)
Combined standard uncertainty <i>uc(y)</i>	normal	1.05
Expanded uncertainty <i>U</i>	normal (k = 2)	2.11
(level of confidence \approx 95 %)	$\operatorname{Horman}(K=Z)$	2.11

Conducted Immunity		
	Probability	Value
	Distribution	(+/- dB)
Combined standard uncertainty <i>uc(y</i>)	normal	1.05
Expanded uncertainty U (level of confidence ≈ 95 %)	normal (k = 2)	2.10

Legend

 $u_c(y)$ = square root of the sum of squares of the individual standard uncertainties

U = combined standard uncertainty multiplied by the coverage factor: **k**. This defines an interval about the measured result that will encompass the true value with a confidence level of approximately 95%. If a higher level of confidence is required, then k=3 (CL of 99.7%) can be used. Please note that with a coverage factor of one, uc(y) yields a confidence level of only 68%.



Facilities



California

Orange County Facility Labs OC01 – OC13

41 Tesla Ave. Irvine, CA 92618 (888) 364-2378 FAX (503) 844-3826



Oregon

Evergreen Facility Labs EV01 – EV10

22975 NW Evergreen Pkwy., Suite 400 Hillsboro, OR 97124 (503) 844-4066 FAX (503) 844-3826



Oregon

Trails End Facility Labs TE01 – TE03

30475 NE Trails End Lane Newberg, OR 97132 (503) 844-4066 FAX (503) 537-0735



Washington

Sultan Facility

Labs SU01 – SU07

14128 339th Ave. SE Sultan, WA 98294 (888) 364-2378 FAX (360) 793-2536

Party Requesting the Test	
Company Name:	Product Creation Studio
Address:	5425 Ballard Ave NW
City, State, Zip:	Seattle, WA 98107
Test Requested By:	Scott Thielman
Model:	Q4 RF
First Date of Test:	December 22, 2004
Last Date of Test:	January 8, 2005
Receipt Date of Samples:	December 21, 2004
Equipment Design Stage:	Pre-Production
Equipment Condition:	No visual damage.

Information Provided by the Party Requesting the Test

Clocks/Oscillators: 1 MHz, 6 MHz, 16 MHz, 48 MHz, 65 MHz, 256 MHz, 2.45 GHz

Functional Description of the EUT (Equipment Under Test):

EUT is a 20 button remote for an Audience Response System (ARS).

Client Justification for EUT Selection:

The product is an engineering sample, representative of the final product.

Client Justification for Test Selection:

These test satisfy the requirements for FCC 15.247 Certification. There are no provisions for connection to the AC power mains either directly, or indirectly via a host unit. The EUT is powered from AA alkaline batteries.

EUT Information

The Audience Response System (ARS) consists of the elements shown in Figure 1. A computer (laptop or desktop) connects to the network host via a USB connection. The host obtains its power from the USB connection and alternately from a regulated 9VDC wall transformer. The network host communicates to multiple audience remotes via an IEEE 802.15.4 compliant RF link. The teacher remote, Q5 RF, has more buttons and a larger graphical display, while the student remote, Q4 RF, has fewer buttons and smaller LCD. The remotes accept user feedback via the keypad and displays information on an LCD. The Q5 remotes are powered by rechargeable batteries and can be powered and recharged via a 9VDC regulated wall transformer. Two AA alkaline batteries power the Q4 remotes.



Product Description




Figure 1 – RF Network System Overview



Modifications

	Equipment modifications				
Item	Test	Date	Modification	Note	Disposition of EUT
1	Out of Band Radiated Emissions	12/22/2004	No EMI suppression devices were added or modified during this test.	Same configuration as delivered	EUT remained at Northwest EMC.
2	Radiated Spurious Emissions	12/22/2004	No EMI suppression devices were added or modified during this test.	Same configuration as in previous test.	EUT remained at Northwest EMC.
3	Band Edge Compliance	01/04/2005	No EMI suppression devices were added or modified during this test.	Same configuration as in previous test.	EUT remained at Northwest EMC.
4	Occupied Bandwidth	01/04/2005	No EMI suppression devices were added or modified during this test.	Same configuration as in previous test.	EUT remained at Northwest EMC.
5	Power Spectral Density	01/04/2005	No EMI suppression devices were added or modified during this test.	Same configuration as in previous test.	EUT remained at Northwest EMC.
6	Output Power	01/08/2005	No EMI suppression devices were added or modified during this test.	Same configuration as in previous test.	EUT remained at Northwest EMC.



Justification

The individuals and/or the organization requesting the test provided the modes, configurations and settings available to evaluate. All of the EUT parameters listed below were investigated. This includes, but may not be limited to, CPU speeds, video resolution settings, operational modes, and input voltages.

Channels in Specified Band Investigated:
Low
Mid
High

Operating	Modes Investigated:
Typical	

Data Rates Investigated:

Maximum

Output Power Setting(s) Investigated: Maximum

Power Input Settings Investigated:	
Battery	

Frequency Range Inves	tigated		
Start Frequency	30 MHz	Stop Frequency	26 GHz

Software\Firmware Applied During Test						
Exercise software	Standard Production Software	Version	Unknown			
Description						
The system was tested using standard operating production software to exercise the functions of the						
device during the testing including channel, mode, and power.						

EUT and Peripherals in Test Setup Boundary						
Description Manufacturer Model/Part Number Serial Number						
EUT- Q4 RF	Quizdom, Inc.	Q4 RF	EMC 0x100001			




Measurement Equipment									
Description Manufacturer Model			Identifier	Last Cal	Interval				
Antenna, Horn	EMCO	3160-09	AHG	NCR	NA				
Pre-Amplifier	Miteq	JSD4-18002600-26-8P	APU	10/08/2003	15 mo				
Spectrum Analyzer	Tektronix	2784	AAO	01/02/2005	12 mo				
Antenna, Horn	EMCO	3160-08	AHK	NCR	NA				
Pre-Amplifier	Miteq	AMF-4D-005180-24-10P	APC	10/08/2003	15 mo				
Antenna, Horn	EMCO	3115	AHC	09/07/2004	12 mo				
Pre-Amplifier	Miteq	AMF-4D-005180-24-10P	APJ	01/05/2004	13 mo				
Pre-Amplifier	AR	LN1000A	APS	02/05/2004	13 mo				
Antenna, Biconilog	EMCO	3141	AXE	12/03/2003	24 mo				
High Pass Filter	Micro-Tronics	HPM50111	HFO	04/13/2004	13 mo				
Quasi-Peak Adapter	Hewlett-Packard	85650A	AQF	12/02/2004	13 mo				
Spectrum Analyzer	Hewlett-Packard	8566B	AAL	12/02/2004	13 mo				


Test Description


Requirement: Per 47 CFR 15.247(d), in any 100kHz bandwidth outside the authorized band, the maximum level of radio frequency power must be at least 20dB down from the highest emission level within the authorized band. The measurement is made with the spectrum analyzer's resolution bandwidth set to 100kHz, and the video bandwidth set to greater than or equal to the resolution bandwidth.


Configuration: The EUT was configured for low, mid, and high transmit frequencies. For each configuration, the spectrum was scanned throughout the specified range. While scanning, emissions from the EUT were maximized by rotating the EUT on a turntable, adjusting the position of the EUT and EUT antenna in three orthogonal axis, and adjusting the measurement antenna height and polarization (per ANSI C63.4:2003). A preamp and suitable attenuation were used for this test in order to provide sufficient measurement sensitivity.


Completed by:
Holy Arlight













Justification

The individuals and/or the organization requesting the test provided the modes, configurations and settings available to evaluate. All of the EUT parameters listed below were investigated. This includes, but may not be limited to, CPU speeds, video resolution settings, operational modes, and input voltages.

Channels in Specified Band Investigated:
Low
High

Operating Modes Investigated: Typical

Data Rates Investigated: Maximum

Output Power Setting(s) Investigated: Maximum

Power Input Settings Investigated: 120VAC, 60Hz

 Software | Firmware Applied During Test

 Exercise software
 Standard Production Software
 Version
 Unknown

 Description
 Image: Standard operating production software to exercise the functions of the device during the testing including channel, mode, and power.
 Image: Standard operating production software to exercise the functions of the device during the testing including channel, mode, and power.

EUT and Peripherals in Test Setup Boundary					
Description	Manufacturer	Model/Part Number	Serial Number		
EUT- Q4 RF	Quizdom, Inc.	Q4 RF	EMC 0x100001		

Measurement Equipment							
Description	Manufacturer	Model	Identifier	Last Cal	Interval		
Antenna, Horn	EMCO	3115	AHC	09/07/2004	12 mo		
Spectrum Analyzer	Tektronix	2784	AAO	01/02/2005	12 mo		



Test Description


Requirement: Per 47 CFR 15.247(d), in any 100 kHz bandwidth outside the authorized band, the maximum level of radio frequency power must be at least 20dB down from the highest emission level within the authorized band. The measurement is made with the spectrum analyzer's resolution bandwidth set to 100kHz, and the video bandwidth set to greater than or equal to the resolution bandwidth.

Configuration: The peak output power was measured with the EUT set to low, mid, and high transmit frequencies. The EUT was transmitting at its maximum output power and data rate.

The measurement was made at a 3 meter test distance. The spurious RF conducted emissions at the edges of the authorized band were measured with the EUT set to low and high transmit frequencies. The EUT was transmitting at its maximum data rate in a no hop mode. The channels closest to the band edges were selected. The spectrum was scanned across each band edge from at least 5 MHz below the band edge to at least 5 MHz above the band edge.

Completed by: Holy Arlight

NORTHWEST		EMISSIONS [DATA SH	EET			Rev BETA
	1						01/30/01
	Q4 RF				Wo	rk Order: PROU00	
Serial Number:					Date: 01/04/05		
	Product Creation Studio			1		perature: 23°C	
Attendees:						Humidity: 25% RH	
Customer Ref. No.:			Power:	Battery		Job Site: EV01	
TEST SPECIFICATION							
Specification:	47 CFR 15.247(d)	Year: 2004	Method:	FCC 97-114, ANSI C63	.4	Year: 2003	
SAMPLE CALCULATIO	ONS						
COMMENTS							
EUT OPERATING MOD	DES						
Modulated at maximum	m data rate						
DEVIATIONS FROM T	EST STANDARD						
None							
REQUIREMENTS							
Maximum level of any	spurious emission at the edge of	the authorized band is 20 dB down	n from the fundamenta				
RESULTS			AMPLITUDE				
Pass	iss -40 dB						
SIGNATURE							
Tested By:	Rocky to Feling						
DESCRIPTION OF TES	ST						
		Band Edge Complia	ance - Low C	hannel			



	Mkr 🖉	_4.74M	Hz			∆-40.0)0dB			Tek
0.0	Ref Lvl	*O.OdBm				10dB/		Atten 100	iB	
-10.0									N	
-20.0							/	1		
-30.0						•	M		J~	
-40.0						m	\sim		hrv.	Wheeter of whee
-50.Q	Mindura	www.	n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n	Mar Mary	Why Hadden and had	(A.,				· · · · ·
-60.0										
-70.0										
-80.0										
-90.0										
-100.0										
	Freq	2.400 OOG	Hz				\$	õpan 20MHz	:	
	ResBW 1	OOkHz		V:	idBW 300k)	Ηz		SWP	50mS	
	LEVEL		SPAN	M	kr 2.399	9 94GHz				
	KNOB 2		KNOB 1	KI	EYPAD	Te	ktronix	2784		

							Rev BETA	
	04.05					0		01/30/01
	Q4 RF				work		PROU0010	
Serial Number:					-		01/04/05	
	Product Creation Studio					erature:		
Attendees: Customer Ref. No.:						umidity:		
TEST SPECIFICATION			Power.	Battery	30	ob Site:		
	47 CFR 15.247(d)	Year: 2004	Method	FCC 97-114, ANSI C63	4	Year:	2002	
SAMPLE CALCULATIO		Tear. 2004	Wethou.	FCC 57-114, ANSI C05	.4	rear.	2003	
COMMENTS								
EUT OPERATING MOD	DES							
Modulated at maximum	m data rate							
DEVIATIONS FROM T	EST STANDARD							
None								
REQUIREMENTS								
	spurious emission at the edge of	f the authorized band is 20 dB down		1				
RESULTS			AMPLITUDE					
Pass			-35.0 dB					
SIGNATURE								
Tested By:	Rocky Le Reling							
DESCRIPTION OF TES	ST							
		Band Edge Complia	ance - High C	hannel				

Band Edge Compliance - High Channel

	мкг 🛆 3.34мнz			∆-35.0	DOdB			Tek	
0.0	Ref Lvl	*O.OdBm			10dB/		Atten 100	цВ	
-10.0									
-20.0			,	moling					
-30.0			/	h h					
-40.0			m/	\					
-50.0			/ I		Y				
-60.0	eperpetrinterrenel	when his the			how the work of th	an where you have a fait	ዀቘኯኯኯኯ	Ralling	hyperperially and
-70.0					•				
-80.0					•				
-90.0					- - -				
-100.Q					•				
	Freq 2	2.483 50GH	Iz			\$	5pan 20MHz	:	
	ResBW 10	DOkHz		v	idBW 300kHz		SWP	50mS	
	LEVEL		SPAN	м	kr 2.483 54GHz				
	KINOB 2		KNOB 1	KI	EYPAD Te	ektronix	2784		





Justification

The individuals and/or the organization requesting the test provided the modes, configurations and settings available to evaluate. All of the EUT parameters listed below were investigated. This includes, but may not be limited to, CPU speeds, video resolution settings, operational modes, and input voltages.

Channels in Specified Band Investigated:
Low
Mid
High

Operating	Modes	Invest	igated:
Typical			

Data Rates Investigated:

Maximum

Output Power Setting(s) Investigated: Maximum

Power Input Settings Investigated: 120VAC, 60Hz

Software\Firmware Applied During Test						
Exercise software Standard Production Software Version Unknown						
Description						
The system was tested using standard operating production software to exercise the functions of the						
device during the testing including channel, mode, and power.						

EUT and Peripherals in Test Setup Boundary					
Description	Manufacturer	Model/Part Number	Serial Number		
EUT- Q4 RF	Quizdom, Inc.	Q4 RF	EMC 0x100001		

Measurement Equipment						
Description	Manufacturer	Model	Identifier	Last Cal	Interval	
Antenna, Horn	EMCO	3115	AHC	09/07/2004	12 mo	
Spectrum Analyzer	Tektronix	2784	AAO	01/02/2005	12 mo	



Test Description

Requirement: Per 47 CFR 15.247(a)(2), the 6 dB bandwidth of a direct sequence channel must be at least 500kHz. The measurement is made with the spectrum analyzer's resolution bandwidth set to 100kHz, and the video bandwidth set to greater than or equal to the resolution bandwidth.


Configuration: The occupied bandwidth was measured with the EUT set to low, mid, and high transmit frequencies. The EUT was transmitting at its maximum output power and data rate.

The measurement was made at a 3 meter test distance. The field strength was maximized by rotating the EUT on a turntable, adjusting the position of the EUT and EUT antenna in three orthogonal axis, and adjusting the measurement antenna height and polarization (per ANSI C63.4:2003).

Completed by:				
Holy And	inf			


NORTHWEST						
EMC		EMISSIONS	S DATA SH	EET		Rev BETA 01/30/01
EUT:	Q4 RF				Work Order	: PROU0010
Serial Number:	EMC 0x100001				Date	: 01/04/05
Customer:	Product Creation Studio				Temperature	: 25°C
Attendees:	None		Tested by:	Rod Peloquin	Humidity	25% RH
Customer Ref. No.:	N/A		Power:	Battery	Job Site	: EV01
TEST SPECIFICATION	15					
Specification:	47 CFR 15.247(a)(2)	Year: 2004	Method:	FCC 97-114, ANSI C63.4	4 Year	: 2003
SAMPLE CALCULATI	ONS					
COMMENTS						
EUT OPERATING MO						
Modulated by PRBS a	t maximum data rate					
DEVIATIONS FROM T	EST STANDARD					
None						
REQUIREMENTS						
The minimum 6dB ba	ndwidth is 500KHz					
RESULTS			BANDWIDTH			
Pass			1.76 MHz			
SIGNATURE						
Tested By:						
DESCRIPTION OF TES	ST					

Occupied Bandwidth - Low Channel




NORTHWEST						
EMC		EMISSIONS	DATA SH	EET		Rev BETA 01/30/01
EUT:	Q4 RF				Work Order	: PROU0010
Serial Number:	EMC 0x100001				Date	: 01/04/05
Customer:	Product Creation Studio				Temperature	: 25°C
Attendees:	None		Tested by:	Rod Peloquin	Humidity	: 25% RH
Customer Ref. No.:	N/A		Power:	Battery	Job Site	: EV01
TEST SPECIFICATION	IS					
Specification:	47 CFR 15.247(a)(2)	Year: 2004	Method:	FCC 97-114, ANSI C63.4	4 Year	: 2003
SAMPLE CALCULATI	ONS					
COMMENTS						
EUT OPERATING MO	DES					
Modulated by PRBS a	t maximum data rate					
DEVIATIONS FROM T	EST STANDARD					
None						
REQUIREMENTS						
The minimum 6dB ba	ndwidth is 500KHz					
RESULTS			BANDWIDTH			
Pass			1.66 MHz			
SIGNATURE						
Tested By:	Porting la Roling					
DESCRIPTION OF TES	51					

Occupied Bandwidth - Mid Channel



NORTHWEST						
EMC		EMISSION	S DATA SH	EET		Rev BETA 01/30/01
EUT:	Q4 RF				Work Order:	PROU0010
Serial Number:	EMC 0x100001				Date:	01/04/05
Customer:	Product Creation Studio				Temperature:	25°C
Attendees:	None		Tested by:	Rod Peloquin	Humidity	25% RH
Customer Ref. No.:	N/A		Power:	Battery	Job Site:	EV01
TEST SPECIFICATION	15					
Specification:	47 CFR 15.247(a)(2)	Year: 2004	Method:	FCC 97-114, ANSI C63.4	Year:	2003
SAMPLE CALCULATI	ONS					
COMMENTS						
EUT OPERATING MO						
Modulated by PRBS a						
DEVIATIONS FROM T	EST STANDARD					
None						
REQUIREMENTS						
The minimum 6dB ba	ndwidth is 500KHz					
RESULTS			BANDWIDTH			
Pass			1.61 MHz			
SIGNATURE						
Tested By:						
DESCRIPTION OF TES	ST					

Occupied Bandwidth - High Channel







Justification

The individuals and/or the organization requesting the test provided the modes, configurations and settings available to evaluate. All of the EUT parameters listed below were investigated. This includes, but may not be limited to, CPU speeds, video resolution settings, operational modes, and input voltages.

Channels in Specified Band Investigated:
Low
Mid
High

Operating Modes In	vestigated:
Typical	

Data Rates Investigated:

Maximum

Output Power Setting(s) Investigated: Maximum

Power Input Settings Investigated:	
Battery	

Frequency Range Investigated			
Start Frequency	30 MHz	Stop Frequency	26 GHz

Software\Firmware Applied During Test				
Exercise software Standard Production Software Version		Unknown		
Description				
The system was tested using standard operating production software to exercise the functions of the				
device during the testing including channel, mode, and power.				

EUT and Peripherals in Test Setup Boundary			
Description	Manufacturer	Model/Part Number	Serial Number
EUT- Q4 RF	Quizdom, Inc.	Q4 RF	EMC 0x100001

Measurement Equipment					
Description	Manufacturer	Model	Identifier	Last Cal	Interval
Spectrum Analyzer	Hewlett-Packard	8566B	AAL	12/02/2004	13 mo
Spectrum Analyzer Display	Hewlett Packard	85662A	AALD	12/02/2004	13 mo
Antenna, Horn	EMCO	3115	AHC	09/07/2004	12 mo

Test Description

Requirement: Per 47 CFR 15.247(b)(3), the maximum peak output power must not exceed 1 Watt.

Configuration: The peak output power was measured with the EUT set to low, mid, and high transmit frequencies. The EUT was transmitting at its maximum output power and data rate.

The measurement was made using the alternative test procedure described in FCC 97-114. The maximum field strength of the fundamental was measured at a 3 meter distance. The field strength was maximized by rotating the EUT on a turntable, adjusting the position of the EUT and EUT antenna in three orthogonal axis, and adjusting the measurement antenna height and polarization (per ANSI C63.4:2003).

The peak EIRP was calculated using the equation:


$$EIRP = (Ed)^{2} / 30$$


Where:


E is the measured maximum field strength in V/m D is the distance in meters from which the field strength was measured


De Facto EIRP Limit: Per 47 CFR 15.247 (b)(1-3), the EUT meets the de facto EIRP limit of +36dBm.


Completed by:	
Holy Arling	9













Justification

The individuals and/or the organization requesting the test provided the modes, configurations and settings available to evaluate. While scanning the radiated emissions, all of the EUT parameters listed below were investigated. This includes, but may not be limited to, antennas, tuned transmit frequency ranges, operating modes, and data rates.

Channels in Specified Band Investigated:
Low
Mid
High

Operating Modes Investigated: Typical

Data Rates Investigated: Maximum

Output Power Setting(s) Investigated: Maximum

Power Input Settings Investigated: Battery

Software\Firmware Applied During Test							
Exercise software	TestRFGen	Version		1.0			
Description							
The system was tested using special software developed to test all functions of the device during the test.							

EUT and Peripherals						
Description	Manufacturer	Model/Part Number	Serial Number			
EUT	Quizdom, Inc.	Q4 RF	EMC 0x100001			

Measurement Equipment								
Description	Manufacturer	Model	Identifier	Last Cal	Interval			
Antenna, Horn	EMCO	3115	AHC	09/07/2004	12 mo			
Spectrum Analyzer	Tektronix	2784	AAO	01/02/2005	12 mo			



Test Description

Requirement: Per 47 CFR 15.247(e), the peak power spectral density conducted from the antenna port of a direct sequence transmitter must not be greater than +8 dBm in any 3 kHz band during any time interval of continuous transmission.

Configuration: The peak power spectral density measurements were measured with the EUT set to low, mid, and high transmit frequencies. The EUT was transmitting at its maximum data rate.

The measurement was made using the alternative test procedure described in FCC 97-114. The maximum field strength of the fundamental was measured at a 3 meter distance. The field strength was maximized by rotating the EUT on a turntable, adjusting the position of the EUT and EUT antenna in three orthogonal axis, and adjusting the measurement antenna height and polarization (per ANSI C63.4:2003). Then the analyzer was tuned to the highest point of the maximized fundamental emission and reset per the procedure outlined in FCC 97-114:

The emission peak(s) were located and zoom in on within the passband. The resolution bandwidth was set to 3 kHz, the video bandwidth was set to greater than or equal to the resolution bandwidth. The sweep speed was set equal to the span divided by 3 kHz (sweep = (SPAN/3 kHz)). For example, given a span of 1.5 MHz, the sweep should be $1.5 \times 106 \div 3 \times 103 = 500$ seconds. External attenuation was used and added to the reading. The following FCC procedure was used for modifying the power spectral density measurements:

"If the spectrum line spacing cannot be resolved on the available spectrum analyzer, the noise density function on most modern conventional spectrum analyzers will directly measure the noise power density normalized to a 1 Hz noise power bandwidth. Add 34.8 dB for correction to 3 kHz."

The spectrum analyzer display was internally offset by a correction factor equal to the antenna factor (dB/m) plus the cable loss (dB) minus the preamp gain plus external attenuation plus a field strength (dBm/m) to EIRP (dBm) conversion factor of 11.77dB. The conversion factor of 11.77 dB was derived from the equation:

 $EIRP = (Ed)^{2} / 30$

Where: E is the measured maximum field strength in V/m d is the distance in meters from which the field strength was measured (3 meters) EIRP is in W

The bandwidth correction factor of 34.8 dB was added to the marker noise value (dBm/Hz) on the spectrum analyzer display to convert it to dBm/3kHz for comparison with the limit.


Completed by:	
Rochen 10	Pelen

NORTHWEST EMISSIONS DATA SHEET Rev BETA								
EUT: Q4 RF Work Ord								
Serial Number:	EMC 0x100001				Date:	01/04/05		
Customer:	Product Creation Studio				Temperature:	23°C		
Attendees:	None		Tested by:	Rod Peloquin	Humidity:	25% RH		
Customer Ref. No.:	N/A		Power:	Battery	Job Site:	EV06		
TEST SPECIFICATION	S							
Specification:	47 CFR 15.247(e)	Year: 2004	Method:	FCC 97-114, ANSI C63	3.4 Year:	2003		
SAMPLE CALCULATIO	ONS							
Marker level on spectr	um analyzer is compensated with	reference level offset						
Field Strength per 3 kł	Hz bandwidth = Marker level + Bar	ndwidth Correction Factor						
	Factor = 10*log(3 kHz / 1 Hz) = 34							
$EIRP = (Ed)^2 / 30$, whe	ere E is the Field Strength in V/m/	3kHz, d is the 3 meter measureme	nt distance, and EIRP is	s in Watts/3kHz				
COMMENTS								
Analyzer reference lev	el offset = (antenna factor + cable	loss - preamp gain +10 dB extern	al attenuation) + EIRP	conversion factor (11.7	'7dB) = 19.37 dB			
EUT OPERATING MOD	DES							
Modulated at maximur	n data rate							
DEVIATIONS FROM TE	EST STANDARD							
None								
REQUIREMENTS								
Maximum peak power	spectral density conducted from	a DSSS transmitter does not exce	ed 8 dBm in any 3 kHz	band				
RESULTS			AMPLITUDE					
Pass Power Spectral Density = -7.2 dBm / 3kHz								
SIGNATURE								
Rocky te Releys								
DESCRIPTION OF TES	т							
		Power Spectral De	nsity - Low C	hannel				

	Mkr 2	.405 440 7	GHz *-4	2.OdBm/Hz						Tek
0.0	Ref Lvl	*O.OdBm			1	OdB/		Atten 100	цВ	
-10.0						/				
-20.0	$\sim \sim$	$\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{m}}$	m. M	mal	h and	h. AN	~		n. /	$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{A}}$
-30.0			~~~~/	* ***	NW	- ψ~γΓ Υ	MUN Min	Muhan	. My wind	mur
-40.0					-					
-50.0										
-60.0					-					
-70.0					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
-80.0										
-90.0										
-100.0										
	Freq 3	2.405 440	7GHz				\$	õpan 300kH	Iz	
	ResBW 31	kHz		v:	idBW 10kHz			SWP	2005	
	LEVEL		SPAN	M	kr 2.405	440 7GHz	:			
	KNOB 2		KNOB 1	KI	EYPAD	Te	ktronix	2784		

NORTHWEST								
EMC		EMISSIONS I		EET		Rev BETA 01/30/01		
EUT:	Q4 RF				Work Order:	PROU0010		
Serial Number:	EMC 0x100001		Date:	01/04/05				
Customer:	Product Creation Studio	roduct Creation Studio						
Attendees:	None		Tested by:	Rod Peloquin	Humidity:	25% RH		
Customer Ref. No.:	N/A		Power:	Battery	Job Site:	EV06		
TEST SPECIFICATION	IS							
Specification:	47 CFR 15.247(e)	Year: 2004	Method:	FCC 97-114, ANSI C63.	4 Year:	2003		
SAMPLE CALCULATION	ONS							
Field Strength per 3 k	rum analyzer is compensated with Hz bandwidth = Marker level + Ba	ndwidth Correction Factor						
Bandwidth Correction	Factor = 10*log(3 kHz / 1 Hz) = 34	.8 dB						
$EIRP = (Ed)^2 / 30, wh$	ere E is the Field Strength in V/m/	3kHz, d is the 3 meter measurement	nt distance, and EIRP is	s in Watts/3kHz				
COMMENTS								
Analyzer reference lev	vel offset = (antenna factor + cable	e loss - preamp gain +10 dB extern	al attenuation) + EIRP	conversion factor (11.77	dB) = 19.37 dB			
EUT OPERATING MOI	DES							
Modulated at maximu	m data rate							
DEVIATIONS FROM T	EST STANDARD							
None								
REQUIREMENTS								
Maximum peak power	spectral density conducted from	a DSSS transmitter does not excee	ed 8 dBm in any 3 kHz	band				
RESULTS AMPLITUDE								
Pass	Power Spectral Density = -13.2 dBm / 3kHz							
SIGNATURE								
Tested By:	Rocky to Reling							
DESCRIPTION OF TES	ST							

Power Spectral Density - Mid Channel



EMISSIONS DATA SHEET Rev BETA 01/30/01								
EUT: Q4 RF			Work Or	der: PROU0010				
Serial Number: EMC 0x100001				ate: 01/04/05				
Customer: Product Creation Studio			Temperate					
Attendees: None		Tested by: Rod Peloquin		lity: 25% RH				
Customer Ref. No.: N/A		Power: Battery	Job S	ite: EV06				
TEST SPECIFICATIONS								
Specification: 47 CFR 15.247(e)	Year: 2004	Method: FCC 97-114, ANSI C63	3.4 Y	ear: 2003				
SAMPLE CALCULATIONS								
Marker level on spectrum analyzer is compensa	ted with reference level offset							
Field Strength per 3 kHz bandwidth = Marker lev	el + Bandwidth Correction Factor							
Bandwidth Correction Factor = 10*log(3 kHz / 1	Hz) = 34.8 dB							
EIRP = $(Ed)^2/30$, where E is the Field Strength	in V/m/3kHz, d is the 3 meter measureme	ent distance, and EIRP is in Watts/3kHz						
COMMENTS								
Analyzer reference level offset = (antenna factor	+ cable loss - preamp gain +10 dB extern	nal attenuation) + EIRP conversion factor (11.7	′7dB) = 19.37 dB					
EUT OPERATING MODES								
Modulated at maximum data rate								
DEVIATIONS FROM TEST STANDARD								
None								
REQUIREMENTS								
Maximum peak power spectral density conducted	ed from a DSSS transmitter does not exce	eed 8 dBm in any 3 kHz band						
RESULTS		AMPLITUDE						
Pass		Power Spectral Density = -20.0 dBm / 3kHz						
SIGNATURE	SIGNATURE							
Rocky to Relign								
DESCRIPTION OF TEST								
Power Spectral Density - High Channel								

	Mkr 2	.480 440 3	5GHz *-5	4.8dBm/Hz						Tek
0.0	Ref Lvl	*0.OdBm			10)dB/		Atten 100	ЗВ	
-10.0										
-20.0					:					
				ſ	n X	<u>^</u>				Λ
-30.0	har and the second s	Winnym	m	mund	hwww.	Jun Nrd	Marine	mann	mund	- www
-40.0								- Almonto		
-50.Q					· · · · · ·					
-60.Q										
-70.0					:					
-80.0					:					
-90.0										
-100.0										
	Freq 3	2.480 441	4GHz				¢	Span 300kH	Iz	
	ResBW 31	kHz		V:	idBW 10kHz			SWP	2005	
	LEVEL		SPAN	M	kr 2.480	440 5GHz				
	KNOB 2		KNOB 1	KI	EYPAD	Te	ktronix	2784		





Justification

The individuals and/or the organization requesting the test provided the modes, configurations and settings available to evaluate. While scanning the radiated emissions, all of the EUT parameters listed below were investigated. This includes, but may not be limited to, antennas, tuned transmit frequency ranges, operating modes, and data rates.

Channels in Specified Band Investigated:
Low
Mid
High

Operating Modes Investigated: Transmit

Data Rates Investigated: Maximum

Output Power Setting(s) Investigated: Maximum

Power Input Settings Investigated:

Battery

Frequency Range Investigated						
Start Frequency	30 MHz	Stop Frequency	26 GHz			

Software\Firmware Applied During Test				
Exercise software	Standard Production Software	Version	Unknown	
Description				
The system was tested using standard operating production software to exercise the functions of the				
device during the testing including channel, mode, and power.				

EUT and Peripherals in Test Setup Boundary				
Description	Manufacturer	Model/Part Number	Serial Number	
EUT- Q4 RF	Quizdom, Inc.	Q4 RF	EMC 0x100001	

Measurement Equipment					
Description	Manufacturer	Model	Identifier	Last Cal	Interval
Antenna, Horn	EMCO	3160-09	AHG	NCR	NA
Pre-Amplifier	Miteq	JSD4-18002600-26-8P	APU	10/08/2003	15 mo
Spectrum Analyzer	Tektronix	2784	AAO	01/02/2005	12 mo
Antenna, Horn	EMCO	3160-08	AHK	NCR	NA
Pre-Amplifier	Miteq	AMF-4D-005180-24-10P	APC	10/08/2003	15 mo
Antenna, Horn	EMCO	3115	AHC	09/07/2004	12 mo
Pre-Amplifier	Miteq	AMF-4D-005180-24-10P	APJ	01/05/2004	13 mo
Pre-Amplifier	AR	LN1000A	APS	02/05/2004	13 mo
Antenna, Biconilog	EMCO	3141	AXE	12/03/2003	24 mo
High Pass Filter	Micro-Tronics	HPM50111	HFO	04/13/2004	13 mo
Quasi-Peak Adapter	Hewlett-Packard	85650A	AQF	12/02/2004	13 mo
Spectrum Analyzer	Hewlett-Packard	8566B	AAL	12/02/2004	13 mo

Test Description

Requirement: The field strength of any spurious emissions or modulation products that fall in a restricted band, as defined in 47 CFR 15.205, is measured. The peak level must comply with the limits specified in 47 CFR 15.35(b). The average level (taken with a 10Hz VBW) must comply with the limits specified in 15.209.

Configuration: The highest gain of each type of antenna to be used with the EUT was tested. The EUT was configured for low, mid, and high band transmit frequencies. For each configuration, the spectrum was scanned throughout the specified range. In addition, measurements were made in the restricted bands to verify compliance. While scanning, emissions from the EUT were maximized by rotating the EUT on a turntable, adjusting the position of the EUT and the EUT antenna in three orthogonal axis, and adjusting measurement antenna height and polarization, and manipulating the EUT antenna in 3 orthogonal planes (per ANSI C63.4:2003). A preamp and high pass filter were used for this test in order to provide sufficient measurement sensitivity.

Bandwidths Used for Measurements				
Frequency Range (MHz)	Peak Data (kHz)	Quasi-Peak Data (kHz)	Average Data (kHz)	
0.01 – 0.15	1.0	0.2	0.2	
0.15 – 30.0	10.0	9.0	9.0	
30.0 – 1000	100.0	120.0	120.0	
Above 1000	1000.0	N/A	1000.0	
Measurements were made using the bandwidths and detectors specified. No video filter was used.				

Completed by: Holy Stight

