

### PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

7185 Oakland Mills Road, Columbia, MD 21046 USA Tel. 410.290.6652 / Fax 410.290.6654 http://www.pctest.com



### **HEARING AID COMPATIBILITY**

**Applicant Name:** 

LG Electronics MobileComm U.S.A. Inc. 1000 Sylvan Avenue Englewood Cliffs, NJ 07632 United States Date of Testing: 05/08/2018 - 05/21/2018 Test Site/Location: PCTEST Lab, Columbia, MD, USA Test Report Serial No.:

1M1804240083-10-R1.ZNF

FCC ID: ZNFQ710AL

APPLICANT: LG ELECTRONICS MOBILECOMM U.S.A. INC.

Scope of Test: Audio Band Magnetic Testing (T-Coil)

Application Type: Certification
FCC Rule Part(s): CFR §20.19(b)
HAC Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

285076 D01 HAC Guidance v05

285076 D02 T-Coil testing for CMRS IP v03

**DUT Type:** Portable Handset **Model:** LG-Q710AL

Additional Model(s): LGQ710AL, Q710AL, LG-Q710PL, LGQ710PL, Q710PL

**Test Device Serial No.:** Pre-Production Sample [S/N: 04550, 04600]

C63.19-2011 HAC Category: T3 (SIGNAL TO NOISE CATEGORY)

Note: This revised Test Report (S/N: 1M1804240083-10-R1.ZNF) supersedes and replaces the previously issued test report on the same subject device for the same type of testing as indicated. Please discard or destroy the previously issued test report(s) and dispose of it accordingly.

This wireless portable device has been shown to be hearing-aid compatible under the above rated category, specified in ANSI/IEEE Std. C63.19-2011 and has been tested in accordance with the specified measurement procedures. Test results reported herein relate only to the item(s) tested. Hearing-Aid Compatibility is based on the assumption that all production units will be designed electrically identical to the device tested in this report. North American Bands only.

I attest to the accuracy of data. All measurements reported herein were performed by me or were made under my supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I assume full responsibility for the completeness of these measurements and vouch for the qualifications of all persons taking them.

Randy Ortanez President





FCC ID: ZNFQ710AL	PETEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	<b>⊕</b> LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 1 of 78
1M1804240083-10-R1.ZNF	05/08/2018 - 05/21/2018	Portable Handset		rage 10176

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	INTRODUCTION	3
2.	DUT DESCRIPTION	4
3.	ANSI C63.19-2011 PERFORMANCE CATEGORIES	6
4.	METHOD OF MEASUREMENT	8
5.	VOWIFI TEST SYSTEM SETUP AND DUT CONFIGURATION	18
6.	OTT VOIP TEST SYSTEM AND DUT CONFIGURATION	21
7.	FCC 3G MEASUREMENTS	26
8.	T-COIL TEST SUMMARY	28
9.	MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY	38
10.	EQUIPMENT LIST	39
11.	TEST DATA	40
12.	CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES	66
13.	CONCLUSION	73
14.	REFERENCES	74
15.	TEST SETUP PHOTOGRAPHS	76

FCC ID: ZNFQ710AL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	<b>⊕</b> LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 2 of 78
1M1804240083-10-R1.ZNF	05/08/2018 - 05/21/2018	Portable Handset		Faye 2 01 70

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

On July 10, 2003, the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) adopted new rules requiring wireless manufacturers and service providers to provide digital wireless phones that are compatible with hearing aids. The FCC has modified the exemption for wireless phones under the Hearing Aid Compatibility Act of 1998 (HAC Act) in WT Docket 01-309 RM-8658¹ to extend the benefits of wireless telecommunications to individuals with hearing disabilities. These benefits encompass business, social and emergency communications, which increase the value of the wireless network for everyone. An estimated more than 10% of the population in the United States show signs of hearing impairment and of that fraction, almost 80% use hearing aids. Approximately 500 million people worldwide and 30 million people in the United States suffer from hearing loss.

#### **Compatibility Tests Involved:**

The standard calls for wireless communications devices to be measured for:

- RF Electric-field emissions
- T-coil mode, magnetic-signal strength in the audio band
- T-coil mode, magnetic-signal frequency response through the audio band
- T-coil mode, magnetic-signal and noise articulation index

The hearing aid must be measured for:

- RF immunity in microphone mode
- RF immunity in T-coil mode

In the following tests and results, this report includes the evaluation for a wireless communications device.



Figure 1-1 Hearing Aid in-vitu

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> FCC Rule & Order, WT Docket 01-309 RM-8658

FCC ID: ZNFQ710AL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(1) LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 3 of 78
1M1804240083-10-R1.ZNF	05/08/2018 - 05/21/2018	Portable Handset		rage 3 01 70

© 2018 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

REV 3.2.M 04/17/2018

#### 2. DUT DESCRIPTION



FCC ID: ZNFQ710AL

Applicant: LG Electronics MobileComm U.S.A. Inc.

1000 Sylvan Avenue

Englewood Cliffs, NJ 07632

**United States** 

Model: LG-Q710AL

Additional Model(s): LGQ710AL, Q710AL, LG-Q710PL, LGQ710PL, Q710PL

Serial Number: 04550, 04600

HW Version: Rev.1.0
SW Version: Q710P0Ga
Antenna: Internal Antenna

DUT Type: Portable Handset

#### I. LTE Band Selection

This device supports the following pairs of LTE bands with similar frequencies: LTE B12 & B17, LTE B26 & B5, and LTE B25 & B2. Each pair of LTE bands has the same target power and shares the same transmission path. Since the supported frequency spans for the smaller LTE bands are completely covered by the larger LTE bands, only the larger LTE bands (LTE B12, B26, and B25) were evaluated for hearing-aid compliance.

#### II. Device Serial Numbers

Several samples with identical hardware were used to support HAC testing. The manufacturer has confirmed that the device(s) tested have the same physical, mechanical, and thermal characteristics are within operational tolerances expected for production units. The serial numbers used for each test are indicated alongside the results in Section 8.

FCC ID: ZNFQ710AL	PETEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	<b>⊕</b> LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 4 of 78
1M1804240083-10-R1.ZNF	05/08/2018 - 05/21/2018	Portable Handset		rage 4 01 76

## Table 2-1 ZNFQ710AL HAC Air Interfaces

				E 11/10 / til liltoriacco		
Air-Interface	Band (MHz)	Type Transport	HAC Tested	Simultaneous But Not Tested	Name of Voice Service	
	835	VO	Yes	Yes: WIFI or BT	CMRS Voice*	
CDMA 1900		VO	163	res. Will Of B1	CIVING VOICE	
	EvDO	VD	Yes	Yes: WIFI or BT	Google Duo**	
	850	vo	Yes	Yes: WIFI or BT	CMRS Voice*	
GSM	1900	VO	163	res. Wiri Oi Bi	CIVINS VOICE	
	GPRS/EDGE	VD	Yes	Yes: WIFI or BT	Google Duo**	
	850					
UMTS	1700	VD	Yes	Yes: WIFI or BT	CMRS Voice*	
UIVITS	1900					
	HSPA	VD	Yes	Yes: WIFI or BT	Google Duo**	
700	700 (B12)	VD		Yes: WIFI or BT	Google Duo**	
	700 (B17)		Yes			
	780 (B13)					
LTE (FDD)	850 (B5)					
LIE (FDD)	850 (B26)					
	1700 (B4)					
	1900 (B2)					
	1900 (B25)					
LTE (TDD)	2600 (B41)	VD	Yes	Yes: WIFI or BT	Google Duo**	
	2450					
	5200 (U-NII 1)					
WIFI	5300 (U-NII 2A)	VD	Yes	Yes: CDMA, GSM, UMTS, or LTE	VoWIFI**, Google Duo**	
	5500 (U-NII 2C)	7				
	5800 (U-NII 3)					
ВТ	2450	DT	No	Yes: CDMA, GSM, UMTS, or LTE	N/A	
	Type Transport Notes:					
VO = Voice Onl	0 = Voice Only * Reference level in accordance with 7.4.2.1 of ANSI C63.19-2011 and July 2012 C63 VoLTE			11 and July 2012 C63 VoLTE		

DT = Digital Data - Not intended for CMRS Service

VD = CMRS and IP Voice over Data Transport

\* Reference level in accordance with 7.4.2.1 of ANSI C63.19-2011 and July 2012 C63 VoLT Interpretation.

\*\* Reference level is -20dBm0 in accordance with FCC KDB 285076 D02

FCC ID: ZNFQ710AL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(t) LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 5 of 78
1M1804240083-10-R1.ZNF	05/08/2018 - 05/21/2018	Portable Handset		Fage 5 01 76

## 3. ANSI C63.19-2011 PERFORMANCE CATEGORIES

#### I. MAGNETIC COUPLING

#### **Axial and Radial Field Intensity**

All orientations of the magnetic field, in the axial and radial position along the measurement plane shall be  $\geq$  -18 dB(A/m) at 1 kHz in a 1/3 octave band filter per §8.3.1.

#### **Frequency Response**

The frequency response of the axial component of the magnetic field shall follow the response curve specified in EIA RS-504-1983, over the frequency range 300 Hz – 3000 Hz per §8.3.2.




Figure 3-1
Magnetic field frequency response for Wireless Devices with an axial field ≤-15 dB(A/m) at 1 kHz




Figure 3-2
Magnetic Field frequency response for wireless devices with an axial field that exceeds
-15 dB(A/m) at 1 kHz

FCC ID: ZNFQ710AL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(1) LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 6 of 78
1M1804240083-10-R1.ZNF	05/08/2018 - 05/21/2018	Portable Handset		Fage 0 01 70

© 2018 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

REV 3.2.M 04/17/2018

#### **Signal Quality**

The table below provides the signal quality requirement for the intended audio magnetic signal from a wireless device. Only the RF immunity of the hearing aid is measured in T-coil mode. It is assumed that a hearing aid can have no immunity to an interference signal in the audio band, which is the intended reception band for this mode. The only criterion that can be measured is the RF immunity in T-coil mode. This is measured using the same procedure as the audio coupling mode at the same levels.

The signal quality of the axial and radial components of the magnetic field was used to determine the T-coil mode category.

Category	Telephone RF Parameters		
Category	Wireless Device Signal Quality [(Signal + Noise)-to-noise ratio in dB]		
T1	0 to 10 dB		
T2	10 to 20 dB		
Т3	20 to 30 dB		
T4	> 30 dB		
Table 3-1 Magnetic Coupling Parameters			

Note: The FCC limit for SNNR is 20dB and the test data margins will indicate a margin from the FCC limit for compliance.

FCC ID: ZNFQ710AL	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	<b>⊕</b> LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 7 of 78
1M1804240083-10-R1.ZNF	05/08/2018 - 05/21/2018	Portable Handset		Fage / 01 / 0

## 4. METHOD OF MEASUREMENT

## I. Test Setup

The equipment was connected as shown in an acoustic/RF hemi-anechoic chamber:




Figure 4-1
Validation Setup with Helmholtz Coil




Figure 4-2 T-Coil Test Setup

FCC ID: ZNFQ710AL	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(1) LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 8 of 78
1M1804240083-10-R1.ZNF	05/08/2018 - 05/21/2018	Portable Handset		Fage 0 01 70

© 2018 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

REV 3.2.M 04/17/2018

### II. Scanning Mechanism

Manufacturer: TEM

Accuracy: ± 0.83 cm/meter

Minimum Step Size: 0.1 mm

Maximum speed 6.1 cm/sec
Line Voltage: 115 VAC
Line Frequency: 60 Hz

Material Composite: Delrin (Acetal)

Data Control: Parallel Port

Dynamic Range (X-Y-Z): 45 x 31.75 x 47 cm

Dimensions: 36" x 25" x 38" Operating Area: 36" x 49" x 55"

Reflections: < -20 dB (in anechoic chamber)




Figure 4-3 RF Near-Field Scanner

#### III. ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice

Manufacturer: ITU-T

Active Frequency 100 Hz – 8 kHz

Range:

Stimulus Type: Male and Female, no spaces

Single Sample Duration: 20.96 seconds

Activity Level: 100%




Figure 4-4
Spectral Characteristic of full P.50





Figure 4-5
Temporal Characteristic of full P.50

FCC ID: ZNFQ710AL	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(1) LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 9 of 78
1M1804240083-10-R1.ZNF	05/08/2018 - 05/21/2018	Portable Handset		Fage 3 01 70



ABM2 Measurement Block Diagram:



Figure 4-6 Magnetic Measurement Processing Steps

#### IV. Test Procedure

- 1. Ambient Noise Check per C63.19 §7.3.1
  - a. Ambient interference was monitored using a Real-Time Analyzer between 100-10,000 Hz with 1/3 octave filtering.
  - b. "A-weighting" and Half-Band Integration was applied to the measurements.
  - c. Since this measurement was measured in the same method as ABM2 measurements, this level was verified to be more than 10 dB below the lowest measurement signal (which is the highest ABM2 measurement for a T4 WD). Therefore the maximum noise level for a T4 WD with an ABM1 = -18 dBA/m is:

- 2. Measurement System Validation(See Figure 4-1)
  - a. The measurement system including the probe, pre-amplifier and acquisition system were validated as an entire system to ensure the reliability of test measurements.
  - b. ABM1 Validation

The magnetic field at the center of the Helmholtz coil is given by the equation (per C63.19 Annex D.10.1):

$$H_c = \frac{NI}{r\sqrt{1.25^3}} = \frac{N(\frac{V}{R})}{r\sqrt{1.25^3}}$$

Where H<sub>c</sub> = magnetic field strength in amperes per meter N = number of turns per coil

For the Helmholtz Coil, N=20; r=0.08m; R=10.2Ω and using V=18mV:

$$H_c = \frac{20 \cdot (\frac{0.018}{10.2})}{0.08 \cdot \sqrt{1.25^3}} = 0.316A/m \approx -10dB(A/m)$$

Therefore a pure tone of 1kHz was applied into the coils such that 18mV was observed across the resistor. The voltmeter used for measurement was verified to be capable of measurements in the audio band range. This theoretically generates an expected field of  $-10 \, dB(A/m)$  in the center of the Helmholtz coil which was used to validate the probe measurement at  $-10 \, dB(A/m)$ . This was verified to be within  $\pm 0.5 \, dB$  of the  $-10 \, dB(A/m)$  value (see Page 36).

FCC ID: ZNFQ710AL	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 10 of 78
1M1804240083-10-R1.ZNF	05/08/2018 - 05/21/2018	Portable Handset		Fage 10 01 76

© 2018 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

REV 3.2.M

Frequency Response Validation
 The frequency response through the Helmholtz Coil was verified to be within 0.5 dB relative to 1kHz, between 300 – 3000 Hz using the P.50 signal as shown below:




Figure 4-7 Frequency Response Validation

#### d. ABM2 Measurement Validation

WD noise measurements are filtered with A-weighting and Half-Band Integration over a frequency range of 100Hz – 10kHz to process ABM2 measurements. Below is the verification of the system processing A-weighting and Half-Band integration between system input to output within 0.5 dB of the theoretical result:

Table 4-1
ABM2 Frequency Response Validation

	HBI, A -	HBI, A -	
f (Hz)	Measured	Theoretical	dB Var.
	(dB re 1kHz)	(dB re 1kHz)	
100	-16.180	-16.170	-0.010
125	-13.257	-13.250	-0.007
160	-10.347	-10.340	-0.007
200	-8.017	-8.010	-0.007
250	-5.925	-5.920	-0.005
315	-4.045	-4.040	-0.005
400	-2.405	-2.400	-0.005
500	-1.212	-1.210	-0.002
630	-0.349	-0.350	0.001
800	0.071	0.070	0.001
1000	0.000	0.000	0.000
1250	-0.503	-0.500	-0.003
1600	-1.513	-1.510	-0.003
2000	-2.778	-2.780	0.002
2500	-4.316	-4.320	0.004
3150	-6.166	-6.170	0.004
4000	-8.322	-8.330	0.008
5000	-10.573	-10.590	0.017
6300	-13.178	-13.200	0.022
8000	-16.241	-16.270	0.029
10000	-19.495	-19.520	0.025

FCC ID: ZNFQ710AL	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	<b>(1)</b> LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 11 of 78
1M1804240083-10-R1.ZNF	05/08/2018 - 05/21/2018	Portable Handset		Fage 110176







Figure 4-8 **ABM2 Frequency Response Validation** 

The ABM2 result is a power sum from 100Hz to 10kHz with half-band integration and Aweighting. To verify the power sum measurement, a power sum over the full band was measured and verified to track with the source level (See Figure 4-9). Therefore the setup in this step was used to verify the power sum post-processing for ABM2 measurements. See below block diagram:



The power summed output results for a known input were compared to the multi-meter results to verify any deviation in the post-processing implemented with the power-sum.

Table 4-2 **ABM2 Power Sum Validation** 

WN Input (dBV)	Power Sum (dBV)	Multimeter-Full (dBV)	Dev (dB)
-60	-60.36	-60.2	0.16
-50	-50.19	-50.13	0.06
-40	-40.14	-40.03	0.11
-30	-30.13	-30.01	0.12
-20	-20.12	-20	0.12
-10	-10.14	-10	0.14

FCC ID: ZNFQ710AL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	<b>LG</b>	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 12 of 78
1M1804240083-10-R1.ZNF	05/08/2018 - 05/21/2018	Portable Handset		Faye 12 01 76

© 2018 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.




Figure 4-10
ABM2 Power Sum Validation

#### 3. Measurement Test Setup

- a. Fine scan above the WD (TEM)
  - i. A multitone signal was applied to the handset such that the phone acoustic output was stable within 1dB over the probe settling time and with the acoustic output level at the C63.19 specified levels (below). The measurement step size was in 2 mm increments at a distance of 10 mm between the surface of the wireless device as shown below (note that in Figure 4-12, the grid is not to scale but merely a graphical representation of the coordinate system in use):




Figure 4-11 Measurement Distance




Figure 4-12 Measurement Grid

- ii. After scanning, the planar field maximum point was determined. The position of the probe was moved to this location to setup the test using the SoundCheck system.
- iii. These steps were repeated for all T-coil orientations (axial and radial) per Figure 4-15 after a T-coil orientation was fully measured with the SoundCheck system.
- b. Speech Signal Setup to Base Station Simulator
  - i. C63.19 Table 7-1 states audio reference input levels for various technologies:

Standard	Technology	Input Level (dBm0)
TIA/EIA/IS-2000	CDMA	-18
J-STD-007	GSM (217)	-16
T1/T1P1/3GPP	UMTS (WCDMA)	-16
iDEN <sup>TM</sup>	TDMA (22 and 11 Hz)	-18

FCC ID: ZNFQ710AL	PETEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	<b>⊕</b> LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 13 of 78
1M1804240083-10-R1.ZNF	05/08/2018 - 05/21/2018	Portable Handset		Page 13 01 76

© 2018 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

- ii. See Section 5 for more information regarding CMW500 audio level settings for Voice Over WIFI (VoWIFI) testing.
- iii. See Section 6 for more information regarding audio level settings for Over-The-Top (OTT) Voice Over IP (VoIP) Testing.
- c. Real-Time Analyzer (RTA)
  - i. The Real-Time Analyzer was configured to analyze measurements using 1/3 Octave band weighted filtering.
- WD Radio Configuration Selection
  - i. The device was chosen to be tested in the worst-case ABM2 condition (see below for GSM, see Section 7 for more information regarding worst-case configurations for CDMA and UMTS. LTE configuration information can be found in Section 6. WIFI configuration information can be found in Section 5 and 6):




Figure 4-13 Vocoder Analysis for ABM Noise for GSM

- Signal Quality Data Analysis
  - a. Narrow-band Magnetic Intensity
    - The standard specifies a 1kHz 1/3 octave band minimum field intensity for a sine tone. The ABM1 measurements were evaluated at 1kHz with 1/3 octave band filtering over an averaged period of 10 seconds.
  - b. Frequency Response
    - i. The appropriate frequency response curve was measured to curves in Figure 3-1 or Figure 3-2 between 300 - 3000 Hz using digital linear averaging (limit lines chosen according to measurement found in step 4a). A linear average over 3x the length of the artificial voice signal (3x sampling) was performed. A 10 second delay was configured in the measurement process of the stimulus to ensure handset vocoder latency effects and echo cancellation devices (if any) were appropriately stabilized during measurements.
    - ii. The appropriate post-processing was applied according to the system processing chain illustrated in Figure 4-7. All R10 frequencies were plotted with respect to 0dB at 1kHz value and aligned with respect to the EIA-504 mask.
    - iii. The margin is represented by the closest measured data point on the curve to the EIA-504 limit lines, in dB.

FCC ID: ZNFQ710AL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT		Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 14 of 78
1M1804240083-10-R1.ZNF	05/08/2018 - 05/21/2018	Portable Handset		Faye 14 01 76

#### c. Signal Quality Index

- i. Ensuring the WD was at maximum RF power, maximum volume, backlight off, display on, maximum contrast setting, keypad lights on (when possible) with no audio signal through the vocoder, the WD was measured over at least 100 Hz 10,000 Hz, maximized over 5 seconds with a 50ms sample time for the ABM2 measurement (5 second time period is used in noise measurements under standards such as IEEE 269, etc.).
- ii. After applying half-band integration and A-weighting to the result, a power sum was applied over each 1/3 octave bandwidth frequency for an ABM2 value.
- This result was subtracted from the ABM1 result in step a, to obtain the Signal Quality.

### V. Test Setup




Figure 4-14
Audio Magnetic Field Test Setup

#### VI. Deviation from C63.19 Test Procedure

Non-conducted RF connection due to inaccessible RF ports.

### VII. Air Interface Technologies Tested

All air interfaces which support voice capabilities over a managed CMRS or pre-installed OTT VoIP applications were tested for T-coil unless otherwise noted. See Table 2-1 for more details regarding which modes were tested.

FCC ID: ZNFQ710AL	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	<b>⊕</b> LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 15 of 78
1M1804240083-10-R1.ZNF	05/08/2018 - 05/21/2018	Portable Handset		Fage 13 01 76

#### VIII. Wireless Device Channels and Frequencies

#### 1. 2G/3G Modes

The frequencies listed in the table below are those that lie in the center of the bands used for cellular telephony. Low, middle and high channels were tested in each band for FCC compliance evaluation to ensure the maximum emission is captured across the entire band. Only middle channels were evaluated for data modes.

> Table 4-3 Center Channels and Frequencies

Ochici Onamicis and Frequencies					
Test frequencies & associated channels					
Channel	Frequency (MHz)				
Secondary Cellular 8	20				
564 (CDMA)	820.10				
Cellular 850					
384 (CDMA)	836.52				
190 (GSM)	836.60				
4183 (UMTS)	836.60				
AWS 1750					
1412 (UMTS)	1730.40				
PCS 1900	PCS 1900				
600 (CDMA)	1880				
661 (GSM)	1880				
9400 (UMTS)	1880				

#### 2. 4G (LTE) Modes

The middle channel and supported bandwidths from the worst-case bands (for FDD and TDD modes) according to Tables 6-7 and 6-8 were evaluated with OTT VoIP for each probe orientation. The bandwidth from each probe orientation resulting in the worst-case SNNR was additionally tested using low and high channels for that bandwidth. Low-mid and mid-high channels are additionally tested for LTE TDD. See Tables 8-12 to 8-13 for LTE bandwidths and channels.

#### 3. WIFI

The middle channel for each 802.11 standard was tested for each probe orientation. The 2.4GHz 802.11 standard from each probe orientation resulting in the worst-case SNNR was additionally tested using low and high channels. The 5GHz 802.11 standard from each probe orientation resulting in the worst-case SNNR was additionally tested on higher U-NII bands as well as applicable low and high channels. See Tables 8-5 to 8-8 as well as 8-14 to 8-17 for WIFI standards and channels.

FCC ID: ZNFQ710AL	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(†) LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 16 of 78
1M1804240083-10-R1.ZNF	05/08/2018 - 05/21/2018	Portable Handset		Fage 10 01 76

#### IX. Test Flow

The flow diagram below was followed (From C63.19):




Figure 4-15 C63.19 T-Coil Signal Test Process

FCC ID: ZNFQ710AL	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	<b>⊕</b> LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 17 of 78
1M1804240083-10-R1.ZNF	05/08/2018 - 05/21/2018	Portable Handset		Fage 17 01 76

© 2018 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

REV 3.2.M

#### **VOWIFI TEST SYSTEM SETUP AND DUT CONFIGURATION** 5.

#### I. Test System Setup for VoWIFI over IMS T-coil Testing

#### Equipment Setup

The general test setup used for VoWIFI over IMS, or CMRS WIFI Calling, is shown below. The callbox used when performing VoWIFI over IMS T-coil measurements is a CMW500. The Data Application Unit (DAU) of the CMW500 was used to simulate the IP Multimedia Subsystem (IMS) server.




Figure 5-1 Test Setup for VoWIFI over IMS T-Coil Measurements

#### 2. Audio Level Settings

According to KDB 285076 D02 released by the FCC OET regarding the appropriate audio levels to be used for VoWIFI over IMS T-Coil testing, -20dBm0 shall be used for the normal speech input level2. The CMW500 base station simulator was manually configured to ensure that the settings for speech input and full scale levels resulted in the -20dBm0 speech input level to the DUT for the VoWIFI over IMS connection.

<sup>2</sup> FCC Office of Engineering and Technology KDB, "285076 D02 T-Coil Testing for CMRS IP v03," September 13, 2017

FCC ID: ZNFQ710AL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(t) LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 18 of 78
1M1804240083-10-R1.ZNF	05/08/2018 - 05/21/2018	Portable Handset		Fage 10 01 76

© 2018 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

**REV 3.2.M** 

#### **DUT Configuration for VoWIFI over IMS T-coil Testing** II.

#### 1. Radio Configuration

An investigation was performed on all applicable data rates and modulations to determine the radio configuration to be used for testing. See tables below for SNNR comparison between radio configurations in each 802.11 standard:

> Table 5-1 802.11b SNNR by Radio Configuration

Mode	Channel	Modulation	Data Rate [Mbps]	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]
802.11b	6	DSSS	1	-5.71	-37.43	31.72
802.11b	6	DSSS	2	-5.22	-37.59	32.37
802.11b	6	CCK	5.5	-6.05	-37.97	31.92
802.11b	6	CCK	11	-5.25	-37.46	32.21

Table 5-2 802.11g/a SNNR by Radio Configuration

Mode	Channel	Modulation	Data Rate [Mbps]	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]
802.11g	6	BPSK	6	-5.31	-37.39	32.08
802.11g	6	BPSK	9	-5.65	-36.53	30.88
802.11g	6	QPSK	12	-5.60	-37.58	31.98
802.11g	6	QPSK	18	-5.77	-37.12	31.35
802.11g	6	16-QAM	24	-5.39	-37.75	32.36
802.11g	6	16-QAM	36	-5.59	-37.65	32.06
802.11g	6	64-QAM	48	-5.04	-37.91	32.87
802.11g	6	64-QAM	54	-5.24	-42.77	37.53

Table 5-3 802 11n/ac 20MHz RW SNNR by Radio Configuration

602.1111/ac 20MHZ BW SNNK by Radio Configuration									
Mode	Bandwidth [MHz]	Channel	Modulation	Data Rate [Mbps]	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]		
802.11n	20	40	BPSK	6.5	-5.12	-39.38	34.26		
802.11n	20	40	QPSK	13	-5.67	-41.96	36.29		
802.11n	20	40	QPSK	19.5	-5.69	-40.33	34.64		
802.11n	20	40	16-QAM	26	-5.79	-41.53	35.74		
802.11n	20	40	16-QAM	39	-5.81	-41.69	35.88		
802.11n	20	40	64-QAM	52	-5.57	-41.22	35.65		
802.11n	20	40	64-QAM	58.5	-5.85	-42.25	36.40		
802.11n	20	40	64-QAM	65	-5.39	-40.05	34.66		
802.11ac	20	40	256-QAM	78	-5.86	-41.71	35.85		

FCC ID: ZNFQ710AL	PETEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(1) LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 19 of 78
1M1804240083-10-R1.ZNF	05/08/2018 - 05/21/2018	Portable Handset		Fage 19 01 76

Table 5-4 802.11n/ac 40MHz BW SNNR by Radio Configuration

602.1 III/ac +olili 2 BW Olilit by Radio Collingulation									
Mode	Bandwidth [MHz]	Channel	Modulation	Data Rate [Mbps]	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]		
802.11n	40	38	BPSK	13.5	-5.39	-41.05	35.66		
802.11n	40	38	QPSK	27	-5.43	-41.30	35.87		
802.11n	40	38	QPSK	40.5	-5.39	-42.89	37.50		
802.11n	40	38	16-QAM	54	-5.87	-42.85	36.98		
802.11n	40	38	16-QAM	81	-5.43	-43.04	37.61		
802.11n	40	38	64-QAM	108	-5.92	-42.82	36.90		
802.11n	40	38	64-QAM	121.5	-5.95	-43.81	37.86		
802.11n	40	38	64-QAM	135	-5.97	-42.57	36.60		
802.11ac	40	38	256-QAM	162	-6.00	-42.47	36.47		
802.11ac	40	38	256-QAM	180	-5.44	-43.32	37.88		


#### 2. Codec Configuration

An investigation was performed to determine the audio codec configuration to be used for testing. The WB AMR 6.60kbps setting was used for the audio codec on the CMW500 for VoWIFI over IMS T-coil testing. See below table for comparisons between different codecs and codec data rates:

> Table 5-5 **AMR Codec Investigation – VoWIFI over IMS**

Aint bodes investigation Total inio								
Codec Setting:	WB AMR 23.85kbps	WB AMR 6.60kbps	NB AMR 12.2kbps	NB AMR 4.75kbps	Orientation	Band	Standard	Channel
ABM1 (dBA/m)	-4.42	-5.26	3.95	3.08				
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-36.81	-35.83	-36.51	-36.62	Axial	2 4GH <del>2</del>	IEEE 802.11b	6
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Axiai	2.4GHz		
S+N/N (dB)	32.39	30.57	40.46	39.70				

Mute on; Backlight off; Max Volume; Max Contrast



**Audio Band Magnetic Curve Measurement Block Diagram** 

FCC ID: ZNFQ710AL	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 20 of 78
1M1804240083-10-R1.ZNF	05/08/2018 - 05/21/2018	Portable Handset		Fage 20 01 76

#### 6. OTT VOIP TEST SYSTEM AND DUT CONFIGURATION

#### I. Test System Setup for OTT VolP T-Coil Testing

#### 1. OTT VolP Application

Google Duo is a pre-installed application on the DUT which allows for VoIP calls in a held-to-ear scenario. Duo uses the OPUS audio codec and supports a bitrate range of 6kb/s to 64kb/s. All air interfaces capable of a data connection were evaluated with Google Duo.

#### 2. Equipment Setup

A CMW500 callbox was used to perform OTT VoIP T-coil measurements. The Data Application Unit (DAU) of the CMW500 was connected to the internet and allowed for an IP data connection on the DUT. An auxiliary VoIP unit was used to initiate an OTT VoIP call to the DUT. The auxiliary VoIP unit allowed for the configuration and monitoring of the OTT VoIP codec bitrate during a call. Both high and low bitrate settings were evaluated in to determine the worst-case configuration.

#### **Audio Level Settings**

According to KDB 285076 D02, the average speech level of -20dBm0 shall be used for protocols not specifically listed in Table 7.1 of ANSI C63.19-2011 or the ANSI C63.19-2011 VoLTE interpretation3. The auxiliary VoIP unit allowed for monitoring the signal input level to ensure that the settings for speech input and full scale levels resulted in the -20dBm0 speech input level to the DUT for the OTT VoIP call.

#### II. **DUT Configuration for OTT VolP T-Coil Testing**

#### 1. Codec Configuration

An investigation was performed for each applicable data mode to determine the audio codec configuration to be used for testing. The 64kbps codec setting was used for the audio codec on the auxiliary VoIP unit for OTT VoIP T-Coil testing. See below tables for comparisons between codec data rates on all applicable data modes:

> Table 6-1 Codec Investigation - OTT VolP (FVDO)

Codec investigation - OTT voir (Evbo)							
Codec Setting:	64kbps	6kbps	Orientation	Channel			
ABM1 (dBA/m)	4.43	4.88					
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-39.01	-40.23	Avial	600			
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass	Axial				
S+N/N (dB)	43.44	45.11					

<sup>3</sup> FCC Office of Engineering and Technology KDB, "285076 D02 T-Coil Testing for CMRS IP v03," September 13, 2017

FCC ID: ZNFQ710AL	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(1) LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 21 of 78
1M1804240083-10-R1.ZNF	05/08/2018 - 05/21/2018	Portable Handset		Fage 21 01 70

Table 6-2
Codec Investigation – OTT VoIP (EDGE)

	OII (EDGE	-,		
Codec Setting:	64kbps	6kbps	Orientation	Channel
ABM1 (dBA/m)	6.29	6.40		
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-29.58	-29.87	Avial	661
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass	- Axial	
S+N/N (dB)	35.87	36.27		

Table 6-3
Codec Investigation – OTT VoIP (HSPA)

O G G G G	011 (1101 /	•/		
Codec Setting:	64kbps	6kbps	Orientation	Channel
ABM1 (dBA/m)	6.65	6.75		
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-44.55	-44.47	Avial	9400
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass	Axial	
S+N/N (dB)	51.20	51.22		

Table 6-4
Codec Investigation – OTT VoIP (LTE)

	oace mives	011 7011	\-: <i>-</i> /			
Codec Setting:	64kbps	6kbps	Orientation	Band / BW	Channel	
ABM1 (dBA/m)	6.67	7.19	7.19			
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-41.53	-41.58	Axial	Band 2	18900	
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass	Axidi	20MHz BW	10900	
S+N/N (dB)	48.20	48.77				

Table 6-5
Codec Investigation – OTT VoIP (WIFI)

Codec investigation – OTT voir (WIFI)												
Codec Setting:	64kbps	6kbps	Orientation	Band	Standard	Channel						
ABM1 (dBA/m)	6.53	6.26										
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-30.48	-33.65	Axial	2.4GHz	IEEE 802.11b	6						
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass	AAIGI	2.40112		Ü						
S+N/N (dB)	37.01	39.91										

- Mute on; Backlight off; Max Volume; Max Contrast
- Radio Configurations can be found in Section 8.II.F




Figure 6-1
Audio Band Magnetic Curve Measurement Block Diagram

FCC ID: ZNFQ710AL	PETEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	<b>LG</b>	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 22 of 78
1M1804240083-10-R1.ZNF	05/08/2018 - 05/21/2018	Portable Handset		Fage 22 01 76

#### 2. Radio Configuration for OTT VoIP (LTE)

An investigation was performed to determine the modulation and RB configuration to be used for testing. 16QAM, 1RB, 0RB offset was used for the testing as the worst-case configuration for the handset. See below table for SNNR comparison between different radio configurations:

> Table 6-6 OTT VolP (LTE) SNNR by Radio Configuration

Frequency [MHz]	Channel	Bandwidth [MHz]	Modulation	RB Size	RB Offset	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]
1880.0	18900	20	QPSK	1	0	6.67	-43.46	50.13
1880.0	18900	20	QPSK	1	50	6.70	-42.96	49.66
1880.0	18900	20	QPSK	1	99	6.75	-42.79	49.54
1880.0	18900	20	QPSK	50	0	6.63	-43.89	50.52
1880.0	18900	20	QPSK	50	25	6.71	-43.16	49.87
1880.0	18900	20	QPSK	50	50	6.71	-43.96	50.67
1880.0	18900	20	QPSK	100	0	6.72	-44.22	50.94
1880.0	18900	20	16QAM	1	0	6.70	-41.07	47.77
1880.0	18900	20	16QAM	1	50	6.73	-41.54	48.27
1880.0	18900	20	16QAM	1	99	6.71	-41.88	48.59
1880.0	18900	20	16QAM	50	0	6.75	-42.19	48.94
1880.0	18900	20	16QAM	50	25	6.76	-42.11	48.87
1880.0	18900	20	16QAM	50	50	6.68	-42.58	49.26
1880.0	18900	20	16QAM	100	0	6.69	-44.31	51.00

An investigation was performed to determine the worst-case LTE FDD and LTE TDD band and power class to be used for OTT VoIP testing. LTE FDD Band 13 was used for the testing as the worst-case FDD configuration for the handset. LTE TDD Band 41 (Power Class 3) was used for the testing as the worst-case TDD configuration for the handset. See below table for SNNR comparison between different LTE FDD and LTE TDD bands and power classes:

> Table 6-7 OTT VoIP (LTE FDD) SNNR by LTE Band

			• · · • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		J. 1. 1. 1. 2	,	1114		
Band	Frequency [MHz]	Channel	Bandwidth [MHz]	Modulation	RB Size	RB Offset	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]
4	1732.5	20175	20	16QAM	1	0	6.70	-40.59	47.29
12	707.5	23095	10	16QAM	1	0	6.68	-41.57	48.25
13	782.0	23230	10	16QAM	1	0	6.73	-40.31	47.04
25	1882.5	26365	20	16QAM	1	0	6.71	-40.39	47.10
26	831.5	26865	15	16QAM	1	0	6.69	-40.44	47.13

Table 6-8 OTT VolP (LTE TDD) SNNR by LTE Band1

			J., 10 (	, .	J. 1. 1. 1. 2. 2. 3		114		
Band	Frequency [MHz]	Channel	Bandwidth [MHz]	Modulation	RB Size	RB Offset	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]
41 (PC2)	2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	6.81	-27.04	33.85
41 (PC3)	2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	6.80	-26.91	33.71

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Note: UL-DL configuration 1 was used for both LTE TDD Band 41 (PC3) and LTE TDD Band 41 (PC2) testing in this investigation. See Tables 6-10 and 6-11 for more information.

FCC ID: ZNFQ710AL	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	<b>⊕</b> LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 23 of 78
1M1804240083-10-R1.ZNF	05/08/2018 - 05/21/2018	Portable Handset		Faye 23 01 76

#### 3. LTE TDD Uplink-Downlink Configuration Investigation for OTT VoIP

An investigation was performed to determine the worst-case Uplink-Downlink configuration for OTT VoIP T-Coil testing.

Per 3GPP TS 36.211, the total frame length for each TDD radio frame of length  $T_f = 307200 \cdot T_s$ = 10 ms, where T<sub>s</sub> is a number of time units equal to 1/(15000 x 2048) seconds. Additionally, each radio frame consists of 10 subframes, each of length  $30720 \cdot T_s = 1$  ms, and subframes can be designated as uplink (U), downlink (D), or special subframe (S), depending on the Uplink-Downlink configuration as indicated in Table 4.2-2 of 3GPP TS 36.211. In the transmission duty factor calculation, the special subframe configuration with the shortest UpPTS duration within the special subframe is used and will be applied for measurement. From 3GPP TS 36.211 Table 4.2-1, the shortest UpPTS is 2192 · Ts which occurs in the normal cyclic prefix and special subframe configuration 4.

See table below outlining the calculated transmission duty cycles for each Uplink-Downlink configuration:

> Table 6-9 **Uplink-Downlink Configurations for Type 2 Frame Structures**

Opinik Bottimik Configurations for Typo 2 Frame Circulation												
Uplink-downlink	Downlink-to-Uplink		Subframe number									Calculated Transmission
configuration	Switch-point periodicity	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Duty Cycle (%)
0	5 ms	D	S	U	U	U	D	S	U	U	U	61.4%
1	5 ms	D	S	U	U	D	D	S	U	U	D	41.4%
2	5 ms	D	S	U	D	D	D	S	U	D	D	21.4%
3	10 ms	D	S	U	U	U	D	D	D	D	D	30.7%
4	10 ms	D	S	U	U	D	D	D	D	D	D	20.7%
5	10 ms	D	S	U	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	10.7%
6	5 ms	D	S	U	U	U	D	S	U	U	D	51.4%

#### Power Class 3 Uplink-Downlink Configuration Investigation

LTE TDD was evaluated with the following radio configuration: channel 40620, 20MHz BW, 16QAM, 1RB, 0RB Offset. For Power Class 3, all configurations (0-6) are supported. The configuration which resulted in the worst SNNR was used for full testing. Uplink-Downlink configuration 1 was used as the worst-case configuration for Power Class 3 OTT VoIP T-Coil testing. See table below for the SNNR comparison between each Uplink-Downlink configuration:

> **Table 6-10** Power Class 3 OTT VolP SNNR by UL-DL Configuration

	1 ower class of the control of the by of the configuration										
Frequency [MHz]	Channel	Bandwidth [MHz]	Modulation	RB Size	RB Offset	UL-DL Configuration	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]		
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	0	6.68	-30.26	36.94		
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	1	6.79	-27.52	34.31		
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	2	6.74	-27.64	34.38		
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	3	6.66	-30.15	36.81		
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	4	6.71	-30.07	36.78		
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	5	6.70	-30.34	37.04		
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	6	6.68	-30.46	37.14		

FCC ID: ZNFQ710AL	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(1) LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 24 of 78
1M1804240083-10-R1.ZNF	05/08/2018 - 05/21/2018	Portable Handset		Faye 24 01 70

#### b. Power Class 2 Uplink-Downlink Configuration Investigation

Power Class 2 was evaluated with the following radio configuration: channel 40620, 20MHz BW, 16QAM, 1RB, 0RB Offset. For Power Class 2, configurations 1-5 are supported. The configuration which resulted in the worst SNNR was used for full testing. Uplink-Downlink configuration 1 was used as the worst-case configuration for Power Class 2 OTT VoIP T-Coil testing. See table below for the SNNR comparison between each Uplink-Downlink configuration:

Table 6-11 Power Class 2 OTT VoIP SNNR by UL-DL Configuration

Frequency [MHz]	Channel	Bandwidth [MHz]	Modulation	RB Size	RB Offset	UL-DL Configuration	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	1	6.66	-27.64	34.30
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	2	6.69	-27.77	34.46
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	3	6.69	-29.97	36.66
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	4	6.63	-30.48	37.11
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	5	6.70	-29.79	36.49

Note: LTE TDD B41 Power Class 2 only supports UL-DL configurations 1-5, not 0 or 6.

#### c. Conclusion

Per the investigations above, UL-DL Configuration 1 was used to evaluate both Power Class 3 OTT VoIP and Power Class 2 OTT VoIP.

FCC ID: ZNFQ710AL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	<b>⊕</b> LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 25 of 78
1M1804240083-10-R1.ZNF	05/08/2018 - 05/21/2018	Portable Handset		Fage 23 01 76

## 7. FCC 3G MEASUREMENTS

### I. CDMA Test Configurations

Radio Configuration 1, Service Option 3 (thick, green data curve) was used for the testing as the worst-case configuration for the handset due to vocoder gating from the EVRC logic. See below plot for ABM noise comparison between operational field service options and radio configurations for a CDMA2000 handset:





Figure 7-1
CDMA Audio Band Magnetic Noise

Table 7-1 FCC 3G ABM Measurements for ZNFQ710AL (CDMA)

Configuration:	RC1/SO3	RC3/SO3	RC4/SO3	Orientation	Channel
ABM1 (dBA/m)	8.70	8.95	8.79		
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-31.74	-45.93	-45.94	Axial	600
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass	Pass	AAIai	000
S+N/N (dB)	40.44	54.88	54.73		

- Mute on; Backlight off; Max Volume; Max Contrast
- Power Control Bits = "All Up"



Audio Band Magnetic Curve Measurement Block Diagram

FCC ID: ZNFQ710AL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	<b>LG</b>	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 26 of 78
1M1804240083-10-R1.ZNF	05/08/2018 - 05/21/2018	Portable Handset		Fage 20 01 76

© 2018 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

REV 3.2.M 04/17/2018

### **II.** UMTS Test Configurations

AMR at 12.2kbps, 13.6kbps SRB was used for the testing as the worst-case configuration for the handset. See below plot for ABM noise comparison between vocoder rates:





Figure 7-3
UMTS Audio Band Magnetic Noise

Table 7-2 Codec Investigation - UMTS

Codec Setting:	AMR 12.2kbps	AMR 7.95kbps	AMR 4.75kbps	Orientation	Channel	
ABM1 (dBA/m)	-5.67	-5.78	-5.69			
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-47.88	-48.73	-48.06	Axial	4183	
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass	Pass	Axiai	4103	
S+N/N (dB)	42.21	42.95	42.37			

- Mute on; Backlight off; Max Volume; Max Contrast
- · TPC="All 1s"



Audio Band Magnetic Curve Measurement Block Diagram

FCC ID: ZNFQ710AL	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 27 of 78
1M1804240083-10-R1.ZNF	05/08/2018 - 05/21/2018	Portable Handset		Faye 21 01 16

## 8. T-COIL TEST SUMMARY

Table 8-1 Consolidated Tabled Results

Collular	Consolidated Tabled Results											
Secondary Cellular			-		U				•			
Radial   R	C62 40	Castian	8.3	3.2	8.3	3.1	8.3	3.4	(dB)	Rating		
Coliular	C03. 18	3 Section	Axial	Radial	Axial	Radial	Axial	Radial				
PCS		Secondary Cellular	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS				
Secondary Cellular	CDMA	Cellular	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-11.31	T4		
Cellular   PASS   NA   PASS   PASS		PCS	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	1			
Cellular   PASS   NA		Secondary Cellular	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS				
PCS		Cellular	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-22.96	T4		
Coliular	,	PCS	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	1			
PCS	CSM	Cellular	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	42.06	Τ.4		
COTT VoIP    PCS	GSW	PCS	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-12.00	14		
Cellular	EDGE	Cellular	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	5.07	To		
UMTS	(OTT VoIP)	PCS	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-5.07	13		
PCS		Cellular	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS				
Cellular	UMTS	AWS	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-22.06	T4		
HSPA (OTT VoIP)		PCS	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS				
COTT VoIP		Cellular	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS				
Description		AWS	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-19.66	T4		
COTT VoIP)	(3 3 )	PCS	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS				
NA   PASS   PA		B13	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-15.65	Т4		
WLAN         802.11g         PASS         NA         PASS         PASS <t< th=""><th></th><th>B41</th><th>PASS</th><th>NA</th><th>PASS</th><th>PASS</th><th>PASS</th><th>PASS</th><th>-8.71</th><th>Т3</th></t<>		B41	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-8.71	Т3		
WLAN (OTT VoIP)   802.11n		802.11b	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS				
WLAN (OTT VoIP)	WLAN	802.11g	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-5.74	Т3		
WLAN (OTT VoIP)         802.11g         PASS         NA         PASS         PASS         PASS         -9.90         T3           U-NII         802.11a         PASS         NA         PASS         PASS         PASS         -6.69         T3           U-NII         802.11a         PASS         NA         PASS         PASS         PASS         -6.69         T3           U-NII         PASS         PASS         PASS         PASS         -6.69         T3           U-NII         PASS         PASS         PASS         -6.69         T3           U-NII         PASS         PASS         PASS         -6.69         T3		802.11n	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS				
(OTT VoIP)		802.11b	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS				
U-NII		802.11g	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-9.90	Т3		
U-NII         802.11n         PASS         NA         PASS         <	,	802.11n	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS				
802.11ac   PASS   NA   PASS   PASS   PASS   PASS		802.11a	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS				
	U-NII	802.11n	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-6.69	Т3		
U-NII (OTT VoIP) 802.11n PASS NA PASS PASS PASS -10.61 T4		802.11ac	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS				
(OTT VoIP) 802.11n PASS NA PASS PASS PASS -10.61 14		802.11a	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS				
		802.11n	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-10.61	T4		
	,	802.11ac	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS				

FCC ID: ZNFQ710AL	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	<b>⊕</b> LG	Approved by: Quality Manager		
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 28 of 78		
1M1804240083-10-R1.ZNF	05/08/2018 - 05/21/2018	Portable Handset		Fage 20 01 70		

© 2018 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

REV 3.2.M

### I. Raw Handset Data

Table 8-2
Raw Data Results for CDMA

					· Data i	veanita i	O. OD.11.	•				
Mode	Orientation	Channel	Device SN	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
		476	04600	8.56	-32.52		0.24	41.08	20.00	-21.08	T4	
	Axial	564	04600	8.43	-32.34	-61.54	0.27	40.77	20.00	-20.77	T4	2.0, 3.0
Secondary		684	04600	8.30	-31.46		0.04	39.76	20.00	-19.76	T4	
Cellular		476	04600	-1.60	-36.53			34.93	20.00	-14.93	T4	
	Radial	564	04600	-1.42	-35.89	-61.80	N/A	34.47	20.00	-14.47	T4	2.4, 1.2
		684	04600	-1.56	-35.28			33.72	20.00	-13.72	T4	
		1013	04600	8.84	-29.91		0.17	38.75	20.00	-18.75	T4	
	Axial	384	04600	8.88	-28.65	-63.18	0.16	37.53	20.00	-17.53	T4	2.0, 3.0
Cellular		777	04600	8.86	-27.21		0.13	36.07	20.00	-16.07	T4	
Celiulai		1013	04600	-1.13	-34.66			33.53	20.00	-13.53	T4	
	Radial	384	04600	-1.14	-34.94	-61.80	N/A	33.80	20.00	-13.80	T4	2.4, 1.2
		777	04600	-1.29	-32.60			31.31	20.00	-11.31	T4	
		25	04600	8.75	-30.21		0.09	38.96	20.00	-18.96	T4	
	Axial	600	04600	8.87	-31.32	-63.18	0.10	40.19	20.00	-20.19	T4	2.0, 3.0
PCS		1175	04600	8.49	-33.15		0.14	41.64	20.00	-21.64	T4	
PUS		25	04600	-1.46	-35.23	-61.80		33.77	20.00	-13.77	T4	
	Radial	600	04600	-1.22	-36.15		80 N/A	34.93	20.00	-14.93	T4	2.4, 1.2
		1175	04600	-1.13	-37.64			36.51	20.00	-16.51	T4	

Table 8-3
Raw Data Results for GSM

Mode	Orientation	Channel	Device SN	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
		128	04600	14.51	-21.29		0.81	35.80	20.00	-15.80	T4	
	Axial	190	04600	14.49	-19.99	-63.18	0.80	34.48	20.00	-14.48	T4	2.0, 3.0
GSM850		251	04600	14.47	-18.39		0.82	32.86	20.00	-12.86	T4	
GSIVIOSU		128	04600	3.61	-33.29			36.90	20.00	-16.90	T4	
	Radial	190	04600	3.61	-32.30	-61.80	N/A	35.91	20.00	-15.91	T4	2.4, 1.2
		251	04600	3.59	-30.96			34.55	20.00	-14.55	T4	
		512	04600	14.49	-23.17		0.81	37.66	20.00	-17.66	T4	
	Axial	661	04600	14.49	-22.88	-63.18	0.72	37.37	20.00	-17.37	T4	2.0, 3.0
GSM1900		810	04600	14.43	-22.84		0.88	37.27	20.00	-17.27	T4	
G3W1900		512	04600	3.80	-34.86			38.66	20.00	-18.66	T4	
	Radial	661	04600	3.61	-34.03	-61.80	N/A	37.64	20.00	-17.64	T4	2.4, 1.2
		810	04600	3.62	-33.72			37.34	20.00	-17.34	T4	

FCC ID: ZNFQ710AL	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	<b>⊕</b> LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 29 of 78
1M1804240083-10-R1.ZNF	05/08/2018 - 05/21/2018	Portable Handset		Fage 29 01 70

## Table 8-4 Raw Data Results for UMTS

Mode	Orientation	Channel	Device SN	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
		4132	04600	-5.68	-48.02		1.69	42.34	20.00	-22.34	T4	
	Axial	4183	04600	-5.68	-48.00	-63.18	1.71	42.32	20.00	-22.32	T4	2.0, 3.0
UMTS V		4233	04600	-5.68	-47.90		1.71	42.22	20.00	-22.22	T4	
OWISV		4132	04600	-6.30	-49.62			43.32	20.00	-23.32	T4	
	Radial	4183	04600	-6.33	-49.88	-61.80	N/A	43.55	20.00	-23.55	T4	2.4, 1.2
		4233	04600	-6.34	-50.12			43.78	20.00	-23.78	T4	
		1312	04600	-5.62	-48.05		1.77	42.43	20.00	-22.43	T4	
	Axial	1412	04600	-5.60	-47.99	-63.18	1.76	42.39	20.00	-22.39	T4	2.0, 3.0
UMTS IV		1513	04600	-5.69	-47.75		1.77	42.06	20.00	-22.06	T4	
OWITSTV		1312	04600	-6.32	-50.34		-61.80 N/A	44.02	20.00	-24.02	T4	
	Radial	1412	04600	-6.31	-49.80	-61.80		43.49	20.00	-23.49	T4	2.4, 1.2
		1513	04600	-6.27	-49.94			43.67	20.00	-23.67	T4	
		9262	04600	-5.60	-48.22		1.73	42.62	20.00	-22.62	T4	
	Axial	9400	04600	-5.62	-48.06	-63.18	1.68	42.44	20.00	-22.44	T4	2.0, 3.0
UMTS II		9538	04600	-5.60	-47.95		1.77	42.35	20.00	-22.35	T4	
UWITSII		9262	04600	-6.35	-50.12			43.77	20.00	-23.77	T4	
	Radial	9400	04600	-6.32	-50.18	-61.80	.18 -61.80 N/A	43.86	20.00	-23.86	T4	2.4, 1.2
		9538	04600	-6.29	-50.56			44.27	20.00	-24.27	T4	

# Table 8-5 Raw Data Results for 2.4GHz WIFI

Mode	Orientation	Channel	Device SN	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
		1	04550	-5.95	-37.35		2.00	31.40	20.00	-11.40	T4	
	Axial	6	04550	-5.52	-37.27	-61.54	2.00	31.75	20.00	-11.75	T4	2.0, 3.0
WLAN		11	04550	-6.11	-37.50	Ī	2.00	31.39	20.00	-11.39	T4	
802.11b		1	04550	-15.57	-41.63			26.06	20.00	-6.06	Т3	
	Radial	6	04550	-15.53	-41.55	-61.80	N/A	26.02	20.00	-6.02	T3	2.4, 1.2
		11	04550	-15.73	-41.47	1		25.74	20.00	-5.74	Т3	
WLAN	Axial	6	04550	-5.53	-41.54	-61.54	2.00	36.01	20.00	-16.01	T4	2.0, 3.0
802.11g	Radial	6	04550	-15.59	-47.93	-61.80	N/A	32.34	20.00	-12.34	T4	2.4, 1.2
WLAN	Axial	6	04550	-5.65	-42.34	-61.54	2.00	36.69	20.00	-16.69	T4	2.0, 3.0
802.11n	Radial	6	04550	-15.46	-47.00	-61.80	N/A	31.54	20.00	-11.54	T4	2.4, 1.2

# Table 8-6 Raw Data Results for 5GHz WIFI 802.11a

Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	U-NII	Channel	Device SN	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
	Axial	20MHz	1	40	04550	-5.51	-40.59	-61.54	2.00	35.08	20.00	-15.08	T4	2.0, 3.0
		20MHz	1	36	04550	-15.57	-43.41			27.84	20.00	-7.84	T3	
802.11a		20MHz	1	40	04550	-15.53	-42.22			26.69	20.00	-6.69	T3	
002.11d	Radial	20MHz	1	48	04550	-15.70	-44.44	-61.80	N/A	28.74	20.00	-8.74	Т3	2.4, 1.2
	Raulai	20MHz	2A	56	04550	-16.02	-43.74	-01.00	IN/A	27.72	20.00	-7.72	T3	2.4, 1.2
		20MHz	2C	120	04550	-15.57	-42.37			26.80	20.00	-6.80	Т3	
		20MHz	3	157	04550	-15.58	-43.06			27.48	20.00	-7.48	Т3	
	•				-									

FCC ID: ZNFQ710AL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	<b>⊕</b> LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 30 of 78
1M1804240083-10-R1.ZNF	05/08/2018 - 05/21/2018	Portable Handset		Fage 30 01 76

#### Table 8-7 Raw Data Results for 5GHz WIFI 802.11n

	Andre Orientation Randwidth ILNII Channel Device SN ABM1 ABM2 Ambient Noise Frequency Response S+N/N FCC Limit FCC L														
Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	U-NII	Channel	Device SN	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates	
		40MHz	1	38	04550	-5.66	-42.85		2.00	37.19	20.00	-17.19	T4		
		20MHz	1	40	04550	-6.11	-41.09		2.00	34.98	20.00	-14.98	T4		
		40MHz	2A	54	04550	-5.72	-42.35		2.00	36.63	20.00	-16.63	T4		
		20MHz	2A	56	04550	-6.08	-41.54		2.00	35.46	20.00	-15.46	T4		
	Axial	40MHz	2C	118	04550	-5.59	-42.18	-61.54	2.00	36.59	20.00	-16.59	T4	2.0, 3.0	
		20MHz	2C	120	04550	-6.13	-39.69		2.00	33.56	20.00	-13.56	T4	2.0, 3.0	
802.11n		40MHz	3	151	04550	-5.52	-40.18		2.00	34.66	20.00	-14.66	T4		
		20MHz	3	149	04550	-5.59	-40.56		2.00	34.97	20.00	-14.97	T4		
		20MHz	3	157	04550	-6.04	-38.60		2.00	32.56	20.00	-12.56	T4		
		20MHz	3	165	04550	-6.07	-42.26		2.00	36.19	20.00	-16.19	T4		
	Radial	40MHz	1	38	04550	-15.77	-44.58	61.90	-61.80	-61.80 N/A	28.81	20.00	-8.81	T3	2.4, 1.2
		20MHz	1	40	04550	-15.49	-42.49	-01.00	IV/K	27.00	20.00	-7.00	T3	2.4, 1.2	

#### Table 8-8 Raw Data Results for 5GHz WIFI 802.11ac

Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	U-NII	Channel	Device SN	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011	Test Coordinates			
	Avial	40MHz	1	38	04550	-5.78	-42.41	-61.54	2.00	36.63	20.00	-16.63	T4	2.0, 3.0			
Axial	20MHz	1	40	04550	-5.85	-42.03	-01.54	2.00	36.18	20.00	-16.18	T4	2.0, 3.0				
802.11ac																	
Radial	40MHz	1	38	04550	-15.86	-45.76	61.00	N/A	29.90	20.00	-9.90	Т3	2.4. 1.2				
	Raulai	20MHz	1	40	04550	-15.72	-42.59	-61.80	-61.80	-61.80	-61.80	IVA	26.87	20.00	-6.87	T3	2.4, 1.2

#### Table 8-9 Raw Data Results for EvDO (OTT VolP)

	Naw Data Results for EVDO (OTT VOIF)												
Mode	Orientation	Channel	Device SN	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates	
Secondary Cellular	Axial	564	04600	5.73	-42.74	-61.54	1.87	48.47	20.00	-28.47	T4	2.0, 3.0	
EvDO	Radial	564	04600	-3.72	-47.37	-61.80	N/A	43.65	20.00	-23.65	T4	2.4, 1.2	
Cellular	Axial	384	04600	5.09	-40.44	-61.54	1.91	45.53	20.00	-25.53	T4	2.0, 3.0	
EvDO	Radial	384	04600	-3.46	-46.42	-61.80	N/A	42.96	20.00	-22.96	T4	2.4, 1.2	
PCS	Axial	600	04600	5.05	-41.47	-61.54	1.90	46.52	20.00	-26.52	T4	2.0, 3.0	
EvDO	Radial	600	04600	-3.48	-46.92	-61.80	N/A	43.44	20.00	-23.44	T4	2.4, 1.2	

#### **Table 8-10** Raw Data Results for EDGE (OTT VoIP)

Mode	Orientation	Channel	Device SN	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011	Test Coordinates
EDGE850	Axial	190	04600	6.18	-25.87	-61.54	1.79	32.05	20.00	-12.05	T4	2.0, 3.0
EDGE000	Radial	190	04600	-3.60	-28.67	-61.80	N/A	25.07	20.00	-5.07	Т3	2.4, 1.2
EDGE1900	Axial	661	04600	6.27	-27.13	-61.54	1.84	33.40	20.00	-13.40	T4	2.0, 3.0
EDGE1900	Radial	661	04600	-3.62	-29.54	-61.80	N/A	25.92	20.00	-5.92	Т3	2.4, 1.2

FCC ID: ZNFQ710AL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(t) LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 31 of 78
1M1804240083-10-R1.ZNF	05/08/2018 - 05/21/2018	Portable Handset		Fage 31 01 76

# Table 8-11 Raw Data Results for HSPA (OTT VoIP)

	Naw Data Results for Holl A (OTT Voll )												
Mode	Orientation	Channel	Device SN	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates	
HSPA V	Axial	4183	04600	6.50	-44.81	-61.54	1.88	51.31	20.00	-31.31	T4	2.0, 3.0	
пога у	Radial	4183	04600	-3.90	-43.56	-61.80	N/A	39.66	20.00	-19.66	T4	2.4, 1.2	
HSPA IV	Axial	1412	04600	6.41	-44.75	-61.54	1.87	51.16	20.00	-31.16	T4	2.0, 3.0	
HOFAIV	Radial	1412	04600	-3.65	-45.04	-61.80	N/A	41.39	20.00	-21.39	T4	2.4, 1.2	
HSPAII	Axial	9400	04600	6.55	-44.66	-61.54	1.86	51.21	20.00	-31.21	T4	2.0, 3.0	
пораш	Radial	9400	04600	-3.43	-44.36	-61.80	N/A	40.93	20.00	-20.93	T4	2.4, 1.2	

# Table 8-12 Raw Data Results for LTE FDD B13 (OTT VoIP)

	Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	Device SN	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011	Test Coordinates		
ı		Axial	10MHz	23230	04600	6.71	-39.78	-63.18	1.85	46.49	20.00	-26.49	T4	2.0. 3.0		
	LTE Band	Axiai	5MHz	23230	04600	6.76	-40.19	-03.10	1.88	46.95	20.00	-26.95	T4	2.0, 3.0		
	13	Dedial	10MHz	23230	04600	-3.49	-39.14	64.00	N/A	35.65	20.00	-15.65	T4	2.4. 1.2		
	Radial	Radiai	5MHz	23230	04600	-3.65	-39.79	-61.80	-61.80	-61.80	N/A	36.14	20.00	-16.14	T4	2.4, 1.2

# Table 8-13 Raw Data Results for LTE TDD B41 (OTT VolP)

Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	Device SN	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
		20MHz	41490	04600	6.58	-29.27		1.93	35.85	20.00	-15.85	T4	
		20MHz	41055	04600	6.67	-28.38		1.96	35.05	20.00	-15.05	T4	
		20MHz	40620	04600	6.69	-26.76		1.92	33.45	20.00	-13.45	T4	
	Axial	20MHz	40185	04600	6.68	-29.54	-63.18	1.91	36.22	20.00	-16.22	T4	2.0, 3.0
	Axidi	20MHz	39750	04600	6.64	-36.78	-03.16	1.87	43.42	20.00	-23.42	T4	2.0, 3.0
		15MHz	40620	04600	6.76	-27.84	_	1.98	34.60	20.00	-14.60	T4	
		10MHz	40620	04600	6.65	-28.07		1.97	34.72	20.00	-14.72	T4	
LTE Band		5MHz	40620	04600	6.70	-28.18		1.94	34.88	20.00	-14.88	T4	
41		20MHz	41490	04600	-3.60	-32.47			28.87	20.00	-8.87	Т3	
		20MHz	41055	04600	-3.56	-33.16			29.60	20.00	-9.60	T3	
		20MHz	40620	04600	-3.34	-32.05			28.71	20.00	-8.71	Т3	
	Radial	20MHz	40185	04600	-3.53	-33.15	-61.80	N/A	29.62	20.00	-9.62	T3	2.4, 1.2
	ixaulai	20MHz	39750	04600	-3.65	-36.78	-01.00	IWA	33.13	20.00	-13.13	T4	2.7, 1.2
		15MHz	40620	04600	-3.37	-34.09	09		30.72	20.00	-10.72	T4	
		10MHz	40620	04600	-3.52	-34.39				30.87	20.00	-10.87	T4
		5MHz	40620	04600	-3.57	-32.68			29.11	20.00	-9.11	T3	

# Table 8-14 Raw Data Results for 2.4GHz WIFI (OTT VoIP)

			Raw	Data Re	esuits to	or 2.4GH	Z WIFI (C	JII VOIF	')			
Mode	Orientation	Channel	Device SN	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
		1	04550	6.63	-33.85		1.83	40.48	20.00	-20.48	T4	
	Axial	6	04550	6.54	-30.62	-61.54	1.85	37.16	20.00	-17.16	T4	2.0, 3.0
WLAN		11	04550	6.34	-33.79	Ī	1.85	40.13	20.00	-20.13	T4	
802.11b		1	04550	-4.08	-38.79			34.71	20.00	-14.71	T4	
	Radial	6	04550	-4.38	-34.28	-61.80	N/A	29.90	20.00	-9.90	Т3	2.4, 1.2
		11	04550	-4.02	-38.56			34.54	20.00	-14.54	T4	
WLAN	Axial	6	04550	6.24	-35.55	-61.54	1.88	41.79	20.00	-21.79	T4	2.0, 3.0
802.11g	Radial	6	04550	-4.01	-40.10	-61.80	N/A	36.09	20.00	-16.09	T4	2.4, 1.2
WLAN	Axial	6	04550	6.07	-35.76	-61.54	1.82	41.83	20.00	-21.83	T4	2.0, 3.0
802.11n	Radial	6	04550	-3.91	-37.83	-61.80	N/A	33.92	20.00	-13.92	T4	2.4, 1.2

FCC ID: ZNFQ710AL	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	<b>⊕</b> LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 32 of 78
1M1804240083-10-R1.ZNF	05/08/2018 - 05/21/2018	Portable Handset		Fage 32 01 76

# Table 8-15 Raw Data Results for 5GHz WIFI 802.11a (OTT VoIP)

				arr Date							,			
Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	U-NII	Channel	Device SN	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
		20MHz	1	36	04550	6.37	-37.57		1.87	43.94	20.00	-23.94	T4	
		20MHz	1	40	04550	6.76	-35.42		1.83	42.18	20.00	-22.18	T4	
	Axial	20MHz	1	48	04550	6.78	-37.63	-61.54	1.90	44.41	20.00	-24.41	T4	2.0. 3.0
802.11a	Axidi	20MHz	2A	56	04550	6.25	-38.58		1.88	44.83	20.00	-24.83	T4	2.0, 3.0
002.11d		20MHz	2C	120	04550	6.36	-36.40		1.87	42.76	20.00	-22.76	T4	
		20MHz	3	157	04550	6.44	-36.86		1.86	43.30	20.00	-23.30	T4	
	Radial	20MHz	1	40	04550	-4.31	-38.17	-61.80	N/A	33.86	20.00	-13.86	T4	2.4, 1.2

Table 8-16
Raw Data Results for 5GHz WIFI 802.11n (OTT VoIP)

Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	U-NII	Channel	Device SN	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011	Test Coordinates
	Axial	40MHz	1	38	04550	6.79	-35.77	-61.54	1.86	42.56	20.00	-22.56	T4	2.0, 3.0
	Axidi	20MHz	1	40	04550	6.64	-35.55	-61.54	1.89	42.19	20.00	-22.19	T4	
	Radial	40MHz	1	38	04550	-4.24	-41.19	-61.80	-61.80 N/A	36.95	20.00	-16.95	T4	-
		20MHz	1	40	04550	-4.05	-37.47			33.42	20.00	-13.42	T4	
		40MHz	2A	54	04550	-4.04	-36.56			32.52	20.00	-12.52	T4	
802.11n		20MHz	2A	56	04550	-3.93	-35.48			31.55	20.00	-11.55	T4	
		40MHz	2C	118	04550	-4.17	-36.47			32.30	20.00	-12.30	T4	2.4. 1.2
		20MHz	2C	100	04550	-4.51	-35.40			30.89	20.00	-10.89	T4	2.4, 1.2
		20MHz	2C	120	04550	-3.82	-34.43			30.61	20.00	-10.61	T4	
		20MHz	2C	144	04550	-4.44	-36.37			31.93	20.00	-11.93	T4	
		40MHz	3	151	04550	-3.80	-39.38			35.58	20.00	-15.58	T4	
		20MHz	3	157	04550	-3.83	-37.57			33.74	20.00	-13.74	T4	

Table 8-17
Raw Data Results for 5GHz WIFI 802.11ac (OTT VoIP)

			1 14	W Dutu	itosuit	3 101 0	31 1Z TT		ı ıuc (C	11 101					
Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	U-NII	Channel	Device SN	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)		Test Coordinates	
	Axial	40MHz	1	38	04550	6.62	-37.33	-61.54	61.54	1.83	43.95	20.00	-23.95	T4	2.0, 3.0
	Anai	20MHz	1	40	04550	6.40	-36.55		1.86	42.95	20.00	-22.95	T4	2.0, 5.0	
802.11ac															
	Radial	40MHz	1	38	04550	-3.83	-40.31	-61.80	N/A	36.48	20.00	-16.48	T4	2.4. 1.2	
	Raulai	20MHz	1	40	04550	-3.72	-38.61	-01.00	IN/A	34.89	20.00	-14.89	T4	2.4, 1.2	

#### II. Test Notes

#### A. General

- 1. Phone Condition: Mute on: Backlight off: Max Volume: Max Contrast
- 2. 'Radial' orientation refers to radial transverse.
- 3. Hearing Aid Mode (Phone→Call Settings→Additional Settings→Hearing aids) was set to ON for Frequency Response compliance
- 4. Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice
- 5. Bluetooth and WIFI were disabled while testing 2G/3G/4G modes.
- 6. Licensed data modes and Bluetooth were disabled while testing WIFI modes.
- 7. The Margin from FCC limit column indicates a margin from the FCC limit for compliance (T3).

#### B. CDMA

- Power Configuration: Power Control Bits = "All Up"
- 2. Vocoder Configuration: RC1/SO3 (CDMA EVRC)

#### C. GSM

- 1. Power Configuration: GSM850: PCL=5, GSM1900: PCL=0;
- 2. Vocoder Configuration: EFR (GSM);

FCC ID: ZNFQ710AL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(t) LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 33 of 78
1M1804240083-10-R1.ZNF	05/08/2018 - 05/21/2018	Portable Handset		Fage 33 01 76

#### D. UMTS

- 1. Power Configuration: TPC= "All 1s";
- 2. Vocoder Configuration: AMR 12.2 kbps (UMTS);

#### E. WIFI

- 1. Radio Configuration
  - a. 802.11b: DSSS, 1Mbps
  - b. 802.11g/a: BPSK, 9Mbps
  - c. 802.11n/ac 20MHz: BPSK, 6.5Mbps
  - d. 802.11n/ac 40MHz: BPSK, 13.5Mbps
- 2. Vocoder Configuration: WB AMR 6.60kbps
- 3. The worst-case standard for 2.4GHz WIFI in each probe orientation is additionally tested on the low and high channels. 802.11b is the worst-case for both the Axial and Radial probe orientations.
- 4. The worst-case standard for 5GHz WIFI in each probe orientation is additionally tested on higher U-NII bands as well as applicable low and high channels. 802.11n (20MHz BW, U-NII 3) is the worst-case for the Axial probe orientation. 802.11a (U-NII 1) is the worst-case for the Radial probe orientation.

#### F. OTT VoIP


- 1. Vocoder Configuration: 64kbps
- 2. EvDO Configuration
  - a. Revision: A
- 3. EDGE Configuration
  - a. MCS Index: 7
  - b. Number of TX slots: 2
- 4. HSPA Configuration:
  - a. Release: 6
  - b. 3GPP 34.121 Subtest 1
- 5. LTE FDD Configuration:
  - a. Power Configuration: TPC = "Max Power"
  - b. Radio Configuration: 16QAM, 1RB, 0RB offset
  - c. LTE Band 13 was the worst-case band from Table 6-7 and was used to test both Axial and Radial probe orientations.
  - d. The worst-case band and bandwidth combination for each probe orientation is additionally tested on the low and high channels for those combinations. LTE Band 13 at 10MHz is the worst-case for both the Axial and Radial probe orientations, but only supports one channel. Therefore, no additional testing was performed.
- 6. LTE TDD Configuration:
  - a. Power Configuration: TPC = "Max Power"
  - b. Radio Configuration: 16QAM, 1RB, 0RB offset
  - c. Power Class 3 Uplink-Downlink configuration: 1
  - d. LTE Band 41 (PC3) was the worst-case band from Table 6-8 and was used to test both Axial and Radial probe orientations.
  - e. The worst-case band and bandwidth combination for each probe orientation is additionally tested on the low, low-mid, high-mid, and high channels for those combinations. LTE Band 41 (Power Class 3) at 20MHz is the worst-case for both the Axial and Radial probe orientations.

FCC ID: ZNFQ710AL	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(1) LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 34 of 78
1M1804240083-10-R1.ZNF	05/08/2018 - 05/21/2018	Portable Handset		Faye 34 01 76


#### 7. WIFI Configuration:

- a. Radio Configuration
  - i. 802.11b: DSSS, 1Mbps
  - ii. 802.11g/a: BPSK, 9Mbps
  - iii. 802.11n/ac 20MHz: BPSK, 6.5Mbps
  - iv. 802.11n/ac 40MHz: BPSK, 13.5Mbps
- b. The worst-case standard for 2.4GHz WIFI in each probe orientation is additionally tested on the low and high channels. 802.11b is the worst-case for both the Axial and Radial probe orientations.
- c. The worst-case standard for 5GHz WIFI in each probe orientation is additionally tested on higher U-NII bands as well as applicable low and high channels. 802.11a (U-NII 1) is the worst-case for the Axial probe orientation. 802.11n (20MHz BW, U-NII 2C) is the worst-case for the Radial probe orientation.


### III. 1 kHz Vocoder Application Check



This model was verified to be within the linear region for ABM1 measurements at -18 dBm0 for CDMA. This measurement was taken in the axial configuration above the maximum location.



This model was verified to be within the linear region for ABM1 measurements at -16 dBm0 for GSM and UMTS. This measurement was taken in the axial configuration above the maximum location.



This model was verified to be within the linear region for ABM1 measurements at -20 dBm0 for VoWIFI over IMS and OTT VoIP. This measurement was taken in the axial configuration above the maximum location.

FCC ID: ZNFQ710AL	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(t) LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 35 of 78
1M1804240083-10-R1.ZNF	05/08/2018 - 05/21/2018	Portable Handset		Fage 33 01 76

© 2018 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

REV 3.2.M

### IV. T-Coil Validation Test Results

Table 8-18
Helmholtz Coil Validation Table of Results – 05/08/2018

Item	Target	Result	Verdict
Axial			
Magnetic Intensity, -10 dBA/m	-10 ± 0.5 dB	-10.169	PASS
Environmental Noise	< -58 dBA/m	-63.18	PASS
Frequency Response, from limits	> 0 dB	0.80	PASS

Table 8-19
Helmholtz Coil Validation Table of Results – 05/17/2018

Item	Target	Result	Verdict
Axial			
Magnetic Intensity, -10 dBA/m	-10 ± 0.5 dB	-10.181	PASS
Environmental Noise	< -58 dBA/m	-61.54	PASS
Frequency Response, from limits	> 0 dB	PASS	
Radial			
Magnetic Intensity, -10 dBA/m	-10 ± 0.5 dB	-10.332	PASS
Environmental Noise	< -58 dBA/m	-61.80	PASS
Frequency Response, from limits	> 0 dB	0.80	PASS

FCC ID: ZNFQ710AL	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(†) LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 36 of 78
1M1804240083-10-R1.ZNF	05/08/2018 - 05/21/2018	Portable Handset		Fage 30 01 76

# V. ABM1 Magnetic Field Distribution Scan Overlays




Figure 8-1
T-Coil Scan Overlay Magnetic Field Distributions

## Notes:

- 1. Final measurement locations are indicated by a cursor on the contour plots.
- 2. See Test Setup Photographs for actual WD overlay.

FCC ID: ZNFQ710AL	PETEST*	HAC (1-COIL) TEST REPORT		Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 37 of 78
1M1804240083-10-R1.ZNF	05/08/2018 - 05/21/2018	Portable Handset		rage 37 01 76

© 2018 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

REV 3.2.M 04/17/2018

## 9. MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

Table 9-1
Uncertainty Estimation Table

Contribution	Data +/- %	Data +/- dB	Data Type	Probability distribution	Divisor	Standard uncertainty	Standard Uncertainty (dB)
ABM Noise	7.0%	0.29	Std. Dev.	Normal k=1	1.00	7.0%	
RF Reflections	4.7%	0.20	Specification	Rectangular	1.73	2.7%	
Reference Signal Level	12.2%	0.50	Specification	Rectangular	1.73	7.0%	
Positioning Accuracy	10.0%	0.41	Uncertainty	Rectangular	1.73	5.8%	
Probe Coil Sensitivity	12.2%	0.50	Specification	Rectangular	1.73	7.0%	
Probe Linearity	2.4%	0.10	Std. Dev.	Normal k=1	1.00	2.4%	
Cable Loss	2.8%	0.12	Specification	Rectangular	1.73	1.6%	
Frequency Analyzer	5.0%	0.21	Specification	Rectangular	1.73	2.9%	
System Repeatability	5.0%	0.21	Std. Dev.	Normal k=1	1.00	5.0%	
WD Repeatability	9.0%	0.37	Std. Dev.	Normal k=1	1.00	9.0%	
Positioner Accuracy	1.0%	0.04	Specification	Rectangular	1.73	0.6%	
Combined standard uncertainty, uc (k=1)						17.7%	0.71
Expanded uncertainty (k=2), 95% confidence level						35.3%	1.31

#### Notes:

- 1. Test equipments are calibrated according to techniques outlined in NIS81, NIS3003 and NIST Tech Note 1297.
- All equipments have traceability according to NIST. Measurement Uncertainties are defined in further detail in NIS 81 and NIST Tech Note 1297 and UKAS M3003.

Measurement uncertainty reflects the quality and accuracy of a measured result as compared to the true value. Such statements are generally required when stating results of measurements so that it is clear to the intended audience that the results may differ when reproduced by different facilities. Measurement results vary due to the measurement uncertainty of the instrumentation, measurement technique, and test engineer. Most uncertainties are calculated using the tolerances of the instrumentation used in the measurement, the measurement setup variability, and the technique used in performing the test. While not generally included, the variability of the equipment under test also figures into the overall measurement uncertainty. Another component of the overall uncertainty is based on the variability of repeated measurements (so-called Type A uncertainty). This may mean that the Hearing Aid compatibility tests may have to be repeated by taking down the test setup and resetting it up so that there are a statistically significant number of repeat measurements to identify the measurement uncertainty. By combining the repeat measurement results with that of the instrumentation chain using the technique contained in NIS 81 and NIS 3003, the overall measurement uncertainty was estimated.

FCC ID: ZNFQ710AL	PCTEST'	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(1) LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 38 of 78
1M1804240083-10-R1.ZNF	05/08/2018 - 05/21/2018	Portable Handset		Faye 30 01 70

# 10. EQUIPMENT LIST

Table 10-1 Equipment List

Equipment List						
Manufacturer	Model	Description	Cal Date	Cal Interval	Cal Due	Serial Number
Dell	Latitude E6540	SoundCheck Acoustic Analyzer Laptop	4/11/2017	Biennial	4/11/2019	7BFNM32
Listen	SoundConnect	Microphone Power Supply	N/A		N/A	0899-PS150
Listen	SoundConnect	Microphone Power Supply	12/2/2016	Biennial	12/2/2018	PS2612
RME	Fireface UC	Soundcheck Acoustic Analyzer External Audio Interface	4/11/2017	Biennial	4/11/2019	23528889
Rohde & Schwarz	CMW500	Wideband Radio Communication Tester	1/19/2018	Annual	1/19/2019	162125
Rohde & Schwarz	CMW500	Radio Communication tester	7/14/2017	Annual	7/14/2018	140144
Seekonk	NC-100	Torque Wrench (8" lb)	9/1/2016	Biennial	9/1/2018	21053
TEM	C63.19	Helmholtz Coil	12/7/2016	Biennial	12/7/2018	925
TEM	Radial T-Coil Probe	Radial T-Coil Probe	12/7/2016	Biennial	12/7/2018	TEM-1130
TEM	Axial T-Coil Probe	Axial T-Coil Probe	12/7/2016	Biennial	12/7/2018	TEM-1124
TEM		HAC System Controller with Software	N/A		N/A	N/A
TEM		HAC Positioner	N/A		N/A	N/A

FCC ID: ZNFQ710AL	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	<b>⊕</b> LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 39 of 78
1M1804240083-10-R1.ZNF	05/08/2018 - 05/21/2018	Portable Handset		Fage 39 01 76

# 11. TEST DATA

FCC ID: ZNFQ710AL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	<b>⊕</b> LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 40 of 78
1M1804240083-10-R1.ZNF	05/08/2018 - 05/21/2018	Portable Handset		Faye 40 01 76



DUT: HH Coil - SN: 925


Type: HH Coil Serial: 925

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011


### Equipment:

- Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe SN: TEM-1124; Calibrated: 12/07/2016
- Helmholtz Coil SN: 925; Calibrated: 12/07/2016

### Noise Spectrum



## Frequency Response



### Results

Verification 1kHz Intensity	-10.169 dB	•	Max/Min	-9.5/-10.5
Verification ABM2	-63.18 dB	•	Maximum	-58.0
Frequency Response Margin	800m dB	•	Tolerance curves	Aligned Data

FCC ID: ZNFQ710AL	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(t) LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 41 of 78
1M1804240083-10-R1.ZNF	05/08/2018 - 05/21/2018	Portable Handset		Faye 410176



DUT: HH Coil - SN: 925


Type: HH Coil Serial: 925

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011


### Equipment:

- Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe SN: TEM-1124; Calibrated: 12/07/2016
- Helmholtz Coil SN: 925; Calibrated: 12/07/2016

### Noise Spectrum



## Frequency Response



### Results

Verification 1kHz Intensity	-10.181 dB	•	Max/Min	-9.5/-10.5
Verification ABM2	-61.54 dB	•	Maximum	-58.0
Frequency Response Margin	800m dB	•	Tolerance curves	Aligned Data

FCC ID: ZNFQ710AL	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(t) LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 42 of 78
1M1804240083-10-R1.ZNF	05/08/2018 - 05/21/2018	Portable Handset		Faye 42 01 76



DUT: HH Coil - SN: 925


Type: HH Coil Serial: 925

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011


### Equipment:

- Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe SN: TEM-1130; Calibrated: 12/07/2016
- Helmholtz Coil SN: 925; Calibrated: 12/07/2016

### Noise Spectrum



## Frequency Response



### Results

Verification 1kHz Intensity	-10.332 dB	•	Max/Min	-9.5/-10.5
Verification ABM2	-61.8 dB	$\checkmark$	Maximum	-58.0
Frequency Response Margin	800m dB	<b>✓</b>	Tolerance curves	Aligned Data

FCC ID: ZNFQ710AL	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(1) LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 43 of 78
1M1804240083-10-R1.ZNF	05/08/2018 - 05/21/2018	Portable Handset		Faye 43 01 76



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 04600

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

### Equipment:


Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1124; Calibrated: 12/07/2016

### **Test Configuration:**

Mode: Secondary Cellular CDMA

Channel: 684

• Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice



FCC ID: ZNFQ710AL	PCTEST	HAC (1-COIL) TEST REPORT		Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 44 of 78
1M1804240083-10-R1.ZNF	05/08/2018 - 05/21/2018	Portable Handset		Faye 44 01 76



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 04600

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

### Equipment:


Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1124; Calibrated: 12/07/2016

### **Test Configuration:**

Mode: Cellular CDMA

Channel: 777

• Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice



FCC ID: ZNFQ710AL	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	<b>⊕</b> LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 45 of 78
1M1804240083-10-R1.ZNF	05/08/2018 - 05/21/2018	Portable Handset		Fage 43 01 76



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 04600

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

### Equipment:


Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1124; Calibrated: 12/07/2016

### **Test Configuration:**

Mode: PCS CDMA

Channel: 25

Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice



FCC ID: ZNFQ710AL	PCTEST	HAC (I-COIL) IEST REPORT		Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 46 of 78
1M1804240083-10-R1.ZNF	05/08/2018 - 05/21/2018	Portable Handset		Faye 40 01 70



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 04600

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011


### Equipment:

Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1124; Calibrated: 12/07/2016

### **Test Configuration:**

Mode: GSM850Channel: 251

• Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice



FCC ID: ZNFQ710AL	PCTEST'	HAC (I-COIL) TEST REPORT		Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 47 of 78
1M1804240083-10-R1.ZNF	05/08/2018 - 05/21/2018	Portable Handset		Faye 47 01 70



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 04600

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011


### Equipment:

Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1124; Calibrated: 12/07/2016

### **Test Configuration:**

Mode: GSM1900Channel: 810

Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice



FCC ID: ZNFQ710AL	PCTEST	HAC (1-COIL) TEST REPORT		Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 48 of 78
1M1804240083-10-R1.ZNF	05/08/2018 - 05/21/2018	Portable Handset		Faye 40 01 70



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 04600

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011


### Equipment:

Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1124; Calibrated: 12/07/2016

### **Test Configuration:**

Mode: UMTS VChannel: 4233

Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice



FCC ID: ZNFQ710AL	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	<b>⊕</b> LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 49 of 78
1M1804240083-10-R1.ZNF	05/08/2018 - 05/21/2018	Portable Handset		Faye 49 01 76



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 04600

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011


### Equipment:

Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1124; Calibrated: 12/07/2016

### **Test Configuration:**

Mode: UMTS IVChannel: 1513

• Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice



FCC ID: ZNFQ710AL	PCTEST'	HAC (1-COIL) TEST REPORT		Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 50 of 78
1M1804240083-10-R1.ZNF	05/08/2018 - 05/21/2018	Portable Handset		Faye 50 01 76



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 04600

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011


### Equipment:

Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1124; Calibrated: 12/07/2016

### **Test Configuration:**

Mode: UMTS IIChannel: 9538

Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice



FCC ID: ZNFQ710AL	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	<b>⊕</b> LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 51 of 78
1M1804240083-10-R1.ZNF	05/08/2018 - 05/21/2018	Portable Handset		Fage 31 01 76



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 04550

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

### Equipment:


Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1124; Calibrated: 12/07/2016

### **Test Configuration:**

Mode: 2.4GHz WIFIStandard: IEEE 802.11b

Channel: 11

Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice



FCC ID: ZNFQ710AL	PCTEST	HAC (1-COIL) TEST REPORT		Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 52 of 78
1M1804240083-10-R1.ZNF	05/08/2018 - 05/21/2018	Portable Handset		Fage 32 01 76



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 04550

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

### Equipment:

Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1124; Calibrated: 12/07/2016

### **Test Configuration:**


Mode: 5GHz WIFI

Standard: IEEE 802.11n (U-NII 3C)

Bandwidth: 20MHzChannel: 157

· Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice

## 



ABM1	-6.04 dB	•	Minimum	-18.0
ABM2	-38.6 dB	•	Maximum	0
SNNR	32.56 dB	<b>✓</b>	Minimum	20
Aligned Response - P.50	2 dB	•	Tolerance curves	Aligned Data

FCC ID: ZNFQ710AL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(t) LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 53 of 78
1M1804240083-10-R1.ZNF	05/08/2018 - 05/21/2018	Portable Handset		rage 55 01 76



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 04600


Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

### Equipment:

Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1124; Calibrated: 12/07/2016

### **Test Configuration:**

- VolP Application: Google Duo
- Mode: EDGE850
- Channel: 190
- Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice



FCC ID: ZNFQ710AL	PCTEST	HAC (I-COIL) IEST REPORT		Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 54 of 78
1M1804240083-10-R1.ZNF	05/08/2018 - 05/21/2018	Portable Handset		Faye 54 01 76



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 04600

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011


### Equipment:

Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1130; Calibrated: 12/07/2016

### **Test Configuration:**

Mode: Secondary Cellular CDMA

· Channel: 684



FCC ID: ZNFQ710AL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(t) LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 55 of 78
1M1804240083-10-R1.ZNF	05/08/2018 - 05/21/2018	Portable Handset		Fage 33 01 76



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 04600

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011


#### Equipment:

Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1130; Calibrated: 12/07/2016

### **Test Configuration:**

Mode: Cellular CDMA

· Channel: 777



FCC ID: ZNFQ710AL	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(†) LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 56 of 78
1M1804240083-10-R1.ZNF	05/08/2018 - 05/21/2018	Portable Handset		Fage 50 01 76



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 04600


Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

#### Equipment:

Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1130; Calibrated: 12/07/2016

### **Test Configuration:**

Mode: PCS CDMAChannel: 25



FCC ID: ZNFQ710AL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(t) LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 57 of 78
1M1804240083-10-R1.ZNF	05/08/2018 - 05/21/2018	Portable Handset		rage 57 01 76



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 04600


Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

#### Equipment:

Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1130; Calibrated: 12/07/2016

### **Test Configuration:**

Mode: GSM850Channel: 251



FCC ID: ZNFQ710AL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	<b>⊕</b> LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 58 of 78
1M1804240083-10-R1.ZNF	05/08/2018 - 05/21/2018	Portable Handset		Fage 30 01 76



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 04600

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

#### Equipment:

Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1130; Calibrated: 12/07/2016

### **Test Configuration:**

Mode: GSM1900Channel: 810



FCC ID: ZNFQ710AL	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(†) LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 59 of 78
1M1804240083-10-R1.ZNF	05/08/2018 - 05/21/2018	Portable Handset		Fage 39 01 76



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 04600


Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

#### Equipment:

Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1130; Calibrated: 12/07/2016

### **Test Configuration:**

Mode: UMTS VChannel: 4132



FCC ID: ZNFQ710AL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(t) LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 60 of 78
1M1804240083-10-R1.ZNF	05/08/2018 - 05/21/2018	Portable Handset		rage 60 01 76



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 04600


Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

#### Equipment:

Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1130; Calibrated: 12/07/2016

### **Test Configuration:**

Mode: UMTS IVChannel: 1412



FCC ID: ZNFQ710AL	PETEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	<b>⊕</b> LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 61 of 78
1M1804240083-10-R1.ZNF	05/08/2018 - 05/21/2018	Portable Handset		Fage 010176



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 04600


Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

#### Equipment:

Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1130; Calibrated: 12/07/2016

### **Test Configuration:**

Mode: UMTS IIChannel: 9262



FCC ID: ZNFQ710AL	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(1) LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 62 of 78
1M1804240083-10-R1.ZNF	05/08/2018 - 05/21/2018	Portable Handset		Fage 02 01 76



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 04550

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

#### Equipment:

Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1130; Calibrated: 12/07/2016

### **Test Configuration:**

Mode: 2.4GHz WIFIStandard: IEEE 802.11b

Channel: 11

### Noise Spectrum -20.0 -25.0 -30.0 -35.0 -40.0 --45.0-E -55.0-E -55.0--60.0--65.0 -70.0 --75.0--80.0 -85.0 -90.0 -100 [Hz] Results -18.0 ABM1 -15.73 dB Minimum ABM2 -41.48 dB 0.0

25.74 dB

20.0

Minimum

### PCTEST 2018

FCC ID: ZNFQ710AL	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(†) LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 63 of 78
1M1804240083-10-R1.ZNF	05/08/2018 - 05/21/2018	Portable Handset		Fage 03 01 76

SNNR



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 04550

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

#### Equipment:

Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1130; Calibrated: 12/07/2016


### **Test Configuration:**

Mode: 5GHz WIFI

• Standard: IEEE 802.11a (U-NII 1)

Bandwidth: 20MHzChannel: 40

#### Noise Spectrum



### Results

ABM1	-15.53	dB	$\checkmark$	Minimum	-18.0
ABM2	-42.22	dB	<b>✓</b>	Maximum	0.0
SNNR	26.69	dB	<b>✓</b>	Minimum	20.0

FCC ID: ZNFQ710AL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	<b>⊕</b> LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 64 of 78
1M1804240083-10-R1.ZNF	05/08/2018 - 05/21/2018	Portable Handset		Faye 04 01 76



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 04600

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011


#### Equipment:

Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1130; Calibrated: 12/07/2016

### **Test Configuration:**

VoIP Application: Google Duo


Mode: EDGE850Channel: 190



FCC ID: ZNFQ710AL	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(†) LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 65 of 78
1M1804240083-10-R1.ZNF	05/08/2018 - 05/21/2018	Portable Handset		Fage 03 01 76

# 12. CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES

FCC ID: ZNFQ710AL	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(t) LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 66 of 78
1M1804240083-10-R1.ZNF	05/08/2018 - 05/21/2018	Portable Handset		Fage 00 01 76



FCC ID: ZNFQ710AL	PETEST.	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(1) LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 67 of 78
1M1804240083-10-R1.ZNF	05/08/2018 - 05/21/2018	Portable Handset		rage or or ro

© 2018 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

REV 3.2.M

### HCATEMC TEM 1124 Dec-07-2016



ISO/IEC 17025: 2005

1575 State Route 96, Victor NY 14564

Company: PCTEST Engineering Lab.

Calibration Lab. Cert. # 1533.01

I. D. No: 80578

# REPORT OF CALIBRATION


**TEM Consulting LP Axial T Coil Probe** Model No.: Axial T Coil Probe Serial No.: TEM 1124

Probe Sensitivity measured with Helmholtz Call Before & after data same: ... X...... Helmholtz Coil; the number of turns on each coil; the radius of each coil, in meters; 0.204 Laboratory Environment 0.09 the current in the coils, in amperes.; 20.2 Ambient Temperature: 7.09 Helmholtz Coil Constant; A/m/V 31.4 % RH Ambient Humidity: Helmholtz Coil magnetic field; 5.98 99.1 A/m Ambient Pressure: P-7-D••-16 Calibration Date: 1000 Probe Sensitivity at -60.23 aBV/A/m Report Number: 27068 -3 0.974 27068 m V/A/m Control Number: 904 Oh m •

The above listed instrument meets or exceeds the tested manufacturer's specifications.

This Calibration is traceable through NIST test numbers: 683/284413-14 The expanded uncertainty of calibration: 0.30dB at 95% confidence level with a coverage factor of k=2.

Graph represents Probes Frequency Response.



The above listed instrument was checked using calibration procedure documented in West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Inc. procedure : Rev. 7.0 Jan. 24, 2014 Doc. # 1038 HCATEMC

Calibration was performed by West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Inc. under Operating Procedures intended to implement the requirements of ISO10012-1, IEC Guide 25, ANSI/NCSL Z540-1, (MIL-STD-45662A) and ISO 9001:2008, ISO 17025

Cal. Date: 7-Dec-2016 Felix Christopher Callbrated on WCCL system type 9700

Rev. 7.0 Jan. 24, 2014 Dec. # 1038 HCATEMC

### Page 1 of 2

FCC ID: ZNFQ710AL	PCTEST'	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 68 of 78
1M1804240083-10-R1.ZNF	05/08/2018 - 05/21/2018	Portable Handset		Faye 00 01 / 0

© 2018 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

## HCATEMC\_TEM 1124\_Dec-07-2016

## West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Inc.

1575 State Route 96, Victor NY 14564 Tel. (585) 586-3900 FAX (585) 586-4327

# Calibration Data Record

Model No.: Axial T Coil Probe **TEM Consulting LP Axial T Coil Probe** Serial No.: TEM 1124

Company: PCTEST Engineering Lab.

Test	Function	Tolera	nce	Me	asured valu	ies
				Before	Out	Remarks
1.0	Probe Sensitivity at	1000 Hz.	d BV/A/m	-60.23		
			вΒ			
2.0	Probe Level Linearity		6	6.03		
		R•f. (0 dB)	0	0.00		
			-6	-6.03		
			-12	-12.05		
			Hz			
3.0	Probe Frequency Response		100	-19.8		
			126	-18.0		
			158	-16.0		
			200	-13.9		
			251	-12.0		
			316	-9.9		
			398	-8.0		
			501	-6.0		
			631	-4.0		
			794	-2.0		
		Ror. (0 aB)	1000	0.0		
			1259	2.0		
			1585	4.0		
			1995	6.0		
			2512	7.9		
			3162	9.9		
			3981	11.9		
			5012	13.9		
			6310	15.9		
			7943	18.0		
			10000	20.2		

Instruments used for celibration	ın:		Date or Cal.	Traceability No.	Dua Dato
HP	34401A	S/N 36064102	1-Oct-2016	,287708	1-Oct-2017
HP	34401A	S/N 36102471	1-Oet-2016	,287708	1-Oct-2017
HP	33120A	S/N 36043716	1-Oct-2016	.287708	1-Oct-2017
B&K	2133	S/N 1583254	1-Oct-2016	683/284413-14	1-Oct-2017

Cal. Date: 7-Dec-2016 Calibrated on WCCL system type 9700

Tested by: Felix Christopher


Rev. 7.0 Jan. 24, 2014 Dec. # 1038 HCATEMC

## Page 2 of 2

FCC ID: ZNFQ710AL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(†) LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 69 of 78
1M1804240083-10-R1.ZNF	05/08/2018 - 05/21/2018	Portable Handset		Fage 09 01 76

© 2018 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

**REV 3.2.M** 



FCC ID: ZNFQ710AL	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(the LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 70 of 78
1M1804240083-10-R1.ZNF	05/08/2018 - 05/21/2018	Portable Handset		rage 10 01 10

### HCRTEMC TEM-1130 Dec-07-2016



ISO/IEC 17025: 2005

1575 State Route 96, Victor NY 14564

Calibration Lab. Cert. # 1533.01

# REPORT OF CALIBRATION


TEM Consulting LP Radial T Coil Probe Model No.: Radial T Coil Probe Serial No.: TEM-1130

Company: PCTEST Engineering Lab. I. D. No: 80579

Probe Sensitivity measured with Helmholtz Call Before & after data same: ... X...... Helmholtz Coil; the number of turns on each coil; the radius of each coil, in meters; 0.204 Laboratory Environment 0.09 the current in the coils, in amperes.; 20.2 Ambient Temperature: 7.09 Helmholtz Coil Constant; A/m/V 31.4 % RH Ambient Humidity: Helmholtz Coil magnetic field; 5.98 99.1 A/m Ambient Pressure: P-7-D••-16 Calibration Date: 1000 Probe Sensitivity at -60.27 aBV/A/m Report Number: 27068 -2 0.969 27068 m V/A/m Control Number: 902 Oh m • The above listed instrument meets or exceeds the tested manufacturer's specifications.

This Calibration is traceable through NIST test numbers: 683/284413-14 The expanded uncertainty of calibration: 0.30dB at 95% confidence level with a coverage factor of k=2.

Graph represents Probes Frequency Response.



The above listed instrument was checked using calibration procedure documented in West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Inc. procedure : Rev. 7.0 Jan. 24, 2014 Doc. # 1038 HCRTEMC

Calibration was performed by West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Inc. under Operating Procedures intended to implement the requirements of ISO10012-1, IEC Guide 25, ANSI/NCSL Z540-1, (MIL-STD-45662A) and ISO 9001:2008, ISO 17025

Cal. Date: 7-Dec-2016 Felix Christopher Callbrated on WCCL system type 9700

Rev. 7.0 Jan. 24, 2014 Dec. # 1038 HCRTEMC

### Page 1 of 2

FCC ID: ZNFQ710AL	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(1) LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 71 of 78
1M1804240083-10-R1.ZNF	05/08/2018 - 05/21/2018	Portable Handset		Fage / 1 01 / 6

© 2018 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

## HCRTEMC\_TEM-1130\_Dec-07-2016

## West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Inc.

1575 State Route 96, Victor NY 14564 Tel. (585) 586-3900 FAX (585) 586-4327

# Calibration Data Record

Model No.: Radial T Coil Probe TEM Consulting LP Radial T Coil Probe Serial No.: TEM-1130

Company: PCTEST Engineering Lab.

Test	Function	Tolera	nce	Measured values		
				Before	Out	Remarks
1.0	Probe Sensitivity at	1000 Hz.	d BV/A/m	-60.27		
2.0	Probe Level Linearity	Røf. (0 d B)	a B 6 0 -6 -12	6.03 0.00 -6.03 -12.06		
3.0	Probe Frequency Response	Ror. (0 a B)	H <sub>2</sub> 100 126 158 200 251 316 398 501 631 794 1000 1259 1585 1995 2512 3162 3981 5012 6310 7943 10000	-19.9 -18.0 -16.0 -13.9 -12.0 -10.0 -8.0 -6.0 -4.0 -2.0 0.0 2.0 4.0 6.0 7.9 9.9 11.9 13.9 15.9 18.0 20.2		

Instruments used for celibration	ın:		Date or Cal.	Tracesbility No.	Dua Data
HP	34401A	S/N 36064102	1-Oct-2016	,287708	1-Oct-2017
HP	34401A	S/N 36102471	1-Oet-2016	,287708	1-Oct-2017
HP	33120A	S/N 36043716	1-Oct-2016	.287708	1-Oct-2017
B&K	2133	S/N 1583254	1-Oct-2016	683/284413-14	1-Oat-2017

Cal. Date: 7-Dec-2016 Calibrated on WCCL system type 9700

Tested by: Felix Christopher

Rev. 7.0 Jan. 24, 2014 Dec. # 1038 HCRTEMC

## Page 2 of 2

FCC ID: ZNFQ710AL	PETEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	<b>⊕</b> LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 72 of 78
1M1804240083-10-R1.ZNF	05/08/2018 - 05/21/2018	Portable Handset		Fage 72 01 76

© 2018 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

# 13. CONCLUSION

The measurements indicate that the wireless communications device complies with the HAC limits specified in accordance with the ANSI C63.19 Standard and FCC WT Docket No. 01-309 RM-8658. Precise laboratory measures were taken to assure repeatability of the tests. The tested device complies with the requirements in respect to all parameters specific to the test. The test results and statements relate only to the item(s) tested.

The measurement system and techniques presented in this evaluation are proposed in the ANSI standard as a means of best approximating wireless device compatibility with a hearing-aid. The literature is under continual re-construction.

FCC ID: ZNFQ710AL	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(†) LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 73 of 78
1M1804240083-10-R1.ZNF	05/08/2018 - 05/21/2018	Portable Handset		Fage 13 01 16

## 14. REFERENCES

- ANSI C63.19-2011, American National Standard for Methods of Measurement of Compatibility between Wireless communication devices and Hearing Aids.", New York, NY, IEEE, May 2011
- FCC Office of Engineering and Technology KDB, "285076 D01 HAC Guidance v05," September 13, 2017
- FCC Office of Engineering and Technology KDB, "285076 D02 T-Coil Testing for CMRS IP v03," September 13, 2017
- FCC Public Notice DA 06-1215, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau and Office of Engineering and Technology Clarify Use of Revised Wireless Phone Hearing Aid Compatibility Standard, June 6, 2006
- 5. FCC 3G Review Guidance, Laboratory Division OET FCC, May/June 2006
- Berger, H. S., "Compatibility Between Hearing Aids and Wireless Devices," Electronic Industries Forum, Boston, MA, May, 1997
- 7. Berger, H. S., "Hearing Aid and Cellular Phone Compatibility: Working Toward Solutions," Wireless Telephones and Hearing Aids: New Challenges for Audiology, Gallaudet University, Washington, D.C., May, 1997 (To be reprinted in the American Journal of Audiology).
- Berger, H. S., "Hearing Aid Compatibility with Wireless Communications Devices, "IEEE International Symposium on Electromagnetic Compatibility, Austin, TX, August, 1997.
- 9. Bronaugh, E. L., "Simplifying EMI Immunity (Susceptibility) Tests in TEM Cells," in the 1990 IEEE International Symposium on Electromagnetic Compatibility Symposium Record, Washington, D.C., August 1990, pp. 488-491
- 10. Byme, D. and Dillon, H., The National Acoustics Laboratory (NAL) New Procedure for Selecting the Gain and Frequency Response of a Hearing Aid, Ear and Hearing 7:257-265, 1986.
- Crawford, M. L., "Measurement of Electromagnetic Radiation from Electronic Equipment using TEM Transmission Cells, " U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards, NBSIR 73-306, Feb. 1973.
- Crawford, M. L., and Workman, J. L., "Using a TEM Cell for EMC Measurements of Electronic Equipment," U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards. Technical Note 1013, July 1981.
- 13. EHIMA GSM Project, Development phase, Project Report (1<sup>st</sup> part) Revision A. Technical-Audiological Laboratory and Telecom Denmark, October 1993.
- 14. EHIMA GSM Project, Development phase, Part II Project Report. Technical-Audiological Laboratory and Telecom Denmark, June 1994.
- EHIMA GSM Project Final Report, Hearing Aids and GSM Mobile Telephones: Interference Problems, Methods of Measurement and Levels of Immunity. Technical-Audiological Laboratory and Telecom Denmark, 1995.
- 16. HAMPIS Report, Comparison of Mobile phone electromagnetic near field with an upscaled electromagnetic far field, using hearing aid as reference, 21 October 1999.

FCC ID: ZNFQ710AL	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(†) LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 74 of 78
1M1804240083-10-R1.ZNF	05/08/2018 - 05/21/2018	Portable Handset		

- 17. Hearing Aids/GSM, Report from OTWIDAM, Technical-Audiological Laboratory and Telecom Denmark, April 1993.
- 18. IEEE 100, The Authoritative Dictionary of IEEE Standards Terms, Seventh Edition.
- 19. Joyner, K. H, et. al., Interference to Hearing Aids by the New Digital Mobile Telephone System, Global System for Mobile (GSM) Communication Standard, National Acoustic Laboratory, Australian Hearing Series, Sydney 1993.
- 20. Joyner, K. H., et. al., Interference to Hearing Aids by the Digital Mobile Telephone System, Global System for Mobile Communications (GSM), NAL Report #131, National Acoustic Laboratory, Australian Hearing Series, Sydney, 1995.
- 21. Kecker, W. T., Crawford, M. L., and Wilson, W. A., "Contruction of a Transverse Electromagnetic Cell", U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards, Technical Note 1011, Nov. 1978.
- 22. Konigstein, D., and Hansen, D., "A New Family of TEM Cells with enlarged bandwidth and Optimized working Volume," in the Proceedings of the 7th International Symposium on EMC, Zurich, Switzerland, March 1987; 50:9, pp. 127-132.
- 23. Kuk, F., and Hjorstgaard, N. K., "Factors affecting interference from digital cellular telephones," Hearing Journal, 1997; 50:9, pp 32-34.
- 24. Ma, M. A., and Kanda, M., "Electromagnetic Compatibility and Interference Metrology," U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards, Technical Note 1099, July 1986, pp. 17-43.
- 25. Ma, M. A., Sreenivashiah, I., and Chang, D. C., "A Method of Determining the Emission and Susceptibility Levels of Electrically Small Objects Using a TEM Cell," U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards, Technial Note 1040, July 1981.
- McCandless, G. A., and Lyregaard, P. E., Prescription of Gain/Output (POGO) for Hearing Aids, Hearing Instruments 1:16-21, 1983
- 27. Skopec, M., "Hearing Aid Electromagnetic Interference from Digital Wireless Telephones, "IEEE Transactions on Rehabilitation Engineering, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 235-239, June 1998.
- 28. Technical Report, GSM 05.90, GSM EMC Considerations, European Telecommunications Standards Institute, January 1993.
- Victorian, T. A., "Digital Cellular Telephone Interference and Hearing Aid Compatibility—an Update." Hearing Journal 1998; 51:10, pp. 53-60
- 30. Wong, G. S. K., and Embleton, T. F. W., eds., AIP Handbook of Condenser Microphones: Theory, Calibration and Measurements, AIP Press.

FCC ID: ZNFQ710AL	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(1) LG	Approved by: Quality Manager	
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 75 of 78	
1M1804240083-10-R1.ZNF	05/08/2018 - 05/21/2018	Portable Handset		Fage 73 01 76	