HEARING AID COMPATIBILITY RF EMISSIONS TEST REPORT

FCC ID	:	**SRQ-A2020UPRO**
Equipment	:	**LTE/Multi-Mode Digital Mobile Phone**
Brand Name	:	**ZTE**
Model Name	:	**ZTE A2020U Pro**
M-Rating	:	**M3**
Applicant	:	**ZTE CORPORATION** **ZTE Plaza, Keji Road South, Hi-Tech, Industrial Park,** **Nanshan District,Shenzhen, Guangdong, 518057, P.R.China**
Manufacturer	:	**ZTE CORPORATION** **ZTE Plaza, Keji Road South, Hi-Tech, Industrial Park,** **Nanshan District,Shenzhen, Guangdong, 518057, P.R.China**
Standard	:	**FCC 47 CFR §20.19** **ANSI C63.19-2011**

The product was received on Jun. 19, 2019 and testing was started from Jun. 28, 2019 and completed on Jul. 23, 2019. We, SPORTON INTERNATIONAL INC., would like to declare that the tested sample has been evaluated in accordance with the test procedures and has been in compliance with the applicable technical standards.

The report must not be used by the client to claim product certification, approval, or endorsement by TAF or any agency of government.

The test results in this report apply exclusively to the tested model / sample. Without written approval of SPORTON INTERNATIONAL INC. EMC & Wireless Communications Laboratory, the test report shall not be reproduced except in full.

Approved by: Cona Huang / Deputy Manager

SPORTON INTERNATIONAL INC. EMC & Wireless Communications Laboratory
No. 52, Huaya 1st Rd., Guishan Dist., Taoyuan City, Taiwan (R.O.C.)

Table of Contents

1. General Information 4
2. Testing Location 5
3. Applied Standards 5
4. RF Audio Interference Level 5
5. Air Interface and Operating Mode 6
6. Measurement System Specification 7
6.1 E-Field Probe System 7
6.2 Data Storage and Evaluation 8
7. RF Emissions Test Procedure 9
8. Test Equipment List 12
9. Measurement System Validation 13
10. Modulation Interference Factor 14
11. Low-power Exemption 15
12. Conducted RF Output Power (Unit: dBm) 18
13. HAC RF Emission Test Results 19
14. Uncertainty Assessment 20
15. References 22

Appendix A. Plots of System Performance Check
Appendix B. Plots of RF Emission Measurement
Appendix C. DASY Calibration Certificate
Appendix D. Test Setup Photos

History of this test report

Report No.	Version	Description	Issued Date
HA961911A	Rev. 01	Initial issue of report	Aug. 13, 2019

1. General Information

Product Feature & Specification	
Applicant Name	ZTE CORPORATION
Equipment Name	LTE/Multi-Mode Digital Mobile Phone
Brand Name	ZTE
Model Name	ZTE A2020U Pro
FCC ID	SRQ-A2020UPRO
HW Version	twfB
SW Version	GEN_NA_A2020U_PROV1.0
EUT Stage	Identical Prototype
Date Tested	2019/06/28 ~ 2019/07/23
Frequency Band	GSM850: 824.2 MHz ~ 848.8 MHz GSM1900: 1850.2 MHz ~ 1909.8 MHz WCDMA Band II: 1852.4 MHz ~ 1907.6 MHz WCDMA Band IV: 1712.4 MHz ~ 1752.6 MHz WCDMA Band V: 826.4 MHz ~ 846.6 MHz LTE Band 2: 1850.7 MHz ~ 1909.3 MHz LTE Band 4: 1710.7 MHz ~ 1754.3 MHz LTE Band 5: 824.7 MHz ~ 848.3 MHz LTE Band 7: 2502.5 MHz ~ 2567.5 MHz LTE Band 12: 699.7 MHz ~ 715.3 MHz LTE Band 13: 779.5 MHz ~ 784.5 MHz LTE Band 17: 706.5 MHz ~ 713.5 MHz LTE Band 25: 1850.7 MHz ~ 1914.3 MHz LTE Band 26: 814.7 MHz ~ 848.3 MHz LTE Band 30: 2307.5 MHz ~ 2312.5 MHz LTE Band 38: 2572.5 MHz ~ 2617.5 MHz LTE Band 41: 2537.5 MHz ~ 2652.5 MHz LTE Band 66: 1710.7 MHz ~ 1779.3 MHz LTE Band 71: 665.5 MHz ~ 695.5 MHz WLAN 2.4GHz Band: 2412 MHz ~ 2462 MHz WLAN 5.2GHz Band: 5180 MHz ~ 5240 MHz WLAN 5.3GHz Band: 5260 MHz ~ 5320 MHz WLAN 5.5GHz Band: 5500 MHz ~ 5720 MHz Bluetooth: 2402 MHz ~ 2480 MHz NFC : 13.56 MHz
Mode	GSM/GPRS/EGPRS RMC/AMR 12.2Kbps HSDPA HSUPA DC-HSDPA HSPA+ (16QAM uplink) LTE: QPSK, 16QAM, 64QAM WLAN: 802.11a/b/g/n/ac HT20 / HT40 / VHT20 / VHT40 / VHT80 Bluetooth BR/EDR/LE NFC:ASK

Reviewed by: Jason Wang
Report Producer: Wan Liu

2. Testing Location

Sporton Lab is accredited to ISO 17025 by Taiwan Accreditation Foundation (TAF code: 1190) and the FCC designation No. TW1190 under the FCC 2.948(e) by Mutual Recognition Agreement (MRA) in FCC test.

Testing Laboratory	
Test Site	SPORTON INTERNATIONAL INC.
Test Site Location	No. 52, Huaya 1st Rd., Guishan Dist., Taoyuan City, Taiwan (R.O.C.) TEL: +886-3-327-3456 FAX: +886-3-328-4978
Test Site No.	Sporton Site No.: **SAR04-HY**

3. Applied Standards

- FCC CFR47 Part 20.19
- ANSI C63.19-2011
- FCC KDB 285076 D01 HAC Guidance v05
- FCC KDB 285076 D02 T Coil testing v03
- FCC KDB 285076 D03 HAC FAQ v01

4. RF Audio Interference Level

FCC wireless hearing aid compatibility rules ensure that consumers with hearing loss are able to access wireless communications services through a wide selection of handsets without experiencing disabling radio frequency (RF) interference or other technical obstacles.
To define and measure the hearing aid compatibility of handsets, in CFR47 part 20.19 ANSI C63.19 is referenced. A handset is considered hearing aid-compatible for acoustic coupling if it meets a rating of at least M3 under ANSI C63.19, and A handset is considered hearing aid compatible for inductive coupling if it meets a rating of at least T3. According to ANSI C63.19 2011 version, for acoustic coupling, the RF electric field emissions of wireless communication devices should be measured and rated according to the emission level as below.

Emission Categories	E-field emissions	
	<960Mhz	>960Mhz
M1	50 to 55 dB (V/m)	40 to 45 dB (V/m)
M2	45 to 50 dB (V/m)	35 to 40 dB (V/m)
M3	40 to 45 dB (V/m)	30 to 35 dB (V/m)
M4	<40 dB (V/m)	<30 dB (V/m)

Table 5.1 Telephone near-field categories in linear units

5. Air Interface and Operating Mode

Air Interface	Band MHz	Type	C63.19 Tested	Simultaneous Transmitter	Name of Voice Service	Power Reduction
GSM	GSM850	VO	Yes	WLAN, BT	CMRS Voice	No
	GSM1900			WLAN, BT		No
	EDGE850	VD	Yes	WLAN, BT	Google Duo	No
	EDGE1900			WLAN, BT		
WCDMA	850	VO	No(1)	WLAN, BT	CMRS Voice	No
	1750			WLAN, BT		No
	1900			WLAN, BT		No
	HSPA	VD	No(1)	WLAN, BT	Google Duo	No
LTE (FDD)	Band 2	VD	No(1)	WLAN, BT	VoLTE / Google Duo	No
	Band 4			WLAN, BT		No
	Band 5			WLAN, BT		No
	Band 7			WLAN, BT		No
	Band 12			WLAN, BT		No
	Band 13			WLAN, BT		No
	Band 17			WLAN, BT		No
	Band 25			WLAN, BT		No
	Band 26			WLAN, BT		No
	Band 30			WLAN, BT		No
	Band 66			WLAN, BT		No
	Band 71			WLAN, BT		No
LTE (TDD)	Band 38	VD	Yes	WLAN, BT	VoLTE / Google Duo	No
	Band 41			WLAN, BT		No
Wi-Fi	2450	VD	Yes	GSM,WCDMA,LTE	VoWiFi / Google Duo	No
	5200			GSM,WCDMA,LTE		No
	5300			GSM,WCDMA,LTE		No
	5500			GSM,WCDMA,LTE		No
BT	2450	DT	No	GSM,WCDMA,LTE	NA	No

Type Transport:
VO= Voice only
DT= Digital Transport only (no voice)
VD= CMRS and IP Voice Service over Digital Transport

Remark:
1. The air interface is exempted from testing by low power exemption that its average antenna input power plus its MIF is ≤17 dBm, and is rated as M4.
2. The device have similar frequency in some LTE bands: LTE B38/41, since the supported frequency spans for the smaller LTE bands are completely cover by the larger LTE bands, therefore, only larger LTE bands were required to be tested for hearing-aid compliance.

6. Measurement System Specification



Fig 5.1 System Configurations

6.1 E-Field Probe System

E-Field Probe Specification
<ER3DV6>

Construction	One dipole parallel, two dipoles normal to probe axis Built-in shielding against static charges
Calibration	In air from 100 MHz to 3.0 GHz (absolute accuracy ±6.0%, k=2)
Frequency	100 MHz to 6 GHz; Linearity: ± 2.0 dB (100 MHz to 3 GHz)
Directivity	± 0.2 dB in air (rotation around probe axis) ± 0.4 dB in air (rotation normal to probe axis)
Dynamic Range	2 V/m to 1000 V/m (M3 or better device readings fall well below diode compression point)
Linearity	± 0.2 dB
Dimensions	Overall length: 330 mm (Tip: 16 mm) Tip diameter: 8 mm (Body: 12 mm) Distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 2.5 mm

Fig 5.2 Photo of E-field Probe

Probe Tip Description:
HAC field measurements take place in the close near field with high gradients. Increasing the measuring distance from the source will generally decrease the measured field values (in case of the validation dipole approx. 10%per mm).

6.2 Data Storage and Evaluation

The DASY software stores the assessed data from the data acquisition electronics as raw data (in microvolt readings from the probe sensors), together with all the necessary software parameters for the data evaluation (probe calibration data, and device frequency and modulation data) in measurement files.

Probe parameters：	- Sensitivity	$Norm_i$, a_{i0}, a_{i1}, a_{i2}
	- Conversion factor	$ConvF_i$
	- Diode compression point	dcp_i
Device parameters：	- Frequency	f
	- Crest factor	cf
Media parameters：	- Conductivity	σ
	- Density	ρ

The formula for each channel can be given as：

$$\mathbf{V_i = U_i + U_i^2 \cdot \frac{cf}{dcp_i}}$$

with V_i = compensated signal of channel i, (i = x, y, z)
U_i = input signal of channel i, (i = x, y, z)
cf = crest factor of exciting field (DASY parameter)
dcp_i = diode compression point (DASY parameter)

From the compensated input signals, the primary field data for each channel can be evaluated：

E-field Probes：$\mathbf{E_i = \sqrt{\frac{V_i}{Norm_i \cdot ConvF}}}$

with V_i = compensated signal of channel i, (i = x, y, z)
$Norm_i$ = sensor sensitivity of channel i, (i = x, y, z), μV/(V/m)^2 for E-field Probes
ConvF = sensitivity enhancement in solution
f = carrier frequency [GHz]
E_i = electric field strength of channel i in V/m

The RSS value of the field components gives the total field strength (Hermitian magnitude)：

$$\mathbf{E_{tot} = \sqrt{E_x^2 + E_y^2 + E_z^2}}$$

The primary field data are used to calculate the derived field units.

7. RF Emissions Test Procedure

Referenced from ANSI C63.19 -2011 section 5.5.1

a. Confirm the proper operation of the field probe, probe measurement system, and other instrumentation and the positioning system.
b. Position the WD in its intended test position.
c. Set the WD to transmit a fixed and repeatable combination of signal power and modulation characteristic that is representative of the worst case (highest interference potential) encountered in normal use. Transiently occurring start-up, changeover, or termination conditions, or other operations likely to occur less than 1% of the time during normal operation, may be excluded from consideration.
d. The center sub-grid shall be centered on the T-Coil mode perpendicular measurement point or the acoustic output, as appropriate. Locate the field probe at the initial test position in the 50 mm by 50 mm grid, which is contained in the measurement plane, refer to illustrated in Figure 8.2. If the field alignment method is used, align the probe for maximum field reception.
e. Record the reading at the output of the measurement system.
f. Scan the entire 50 mm by 50 mm region in equality spaced increments and record the reading at each measurement point, The distance between measurement points shall be sufficient to assure the identification of the maximum reading.
g. Identify the five contiguous sub-grids around the center sub-grid whose maximum reading is the lowest of all available choices. This eliminates the three sub-grids with the maximum readings. Thus, the six areas to be used to determine the WD's highest emissions are identified.
h. Identify the maximum reading within the non-excluded sub-grids identified in step g).
i. Indirect measurement method
j. The RF audio interference level in dB (V/m) is obtained by adding the MIF (in dB) to the maximum steady-state rms field-strength reading, in dB (V/m)
k. Compare this RF audio interference level with the categories in ANSI C63.19-2011 clause 8 and record the resulting WD category rating.
l. For the T-Coil perpendicular measurement location is ≥5.0 mm from the center of the acoustic output, then two different 50 mm by 50 mm areas may need to be scanned, the first for the microphone mode assessment and the second for the T-Coil assessment.
m. The second for the T-Coil assessment, with the grid shifted so that it is centered on the perpendicular measurement point. Record the WD category rating.



Figure 8.1 RF Emissions Flow Chart



Fig 8.2 EUT reference and plane for HAC RF emission measurements



Fig. 8.3 Gauge block with E-field probe

8. Test Equipment List

Manufacturer	Name of Equipment	Type/Model	Serial Number	Calibration	
				Last Cal.	Due Date
SPEAG	835MHz Calibration Dipole	CD835V3	1045	Sep. 19, 2018	Sep. 18, 2019
SPEAG	1880MHz Calibration Dipole	CD1880V3	1038	Sep. 19, 2018	Sep. 18, 2019
SPEAG	2450MHz Calibration Dipole	CD2450V3	1186	Jan. 30, 2019	Jan. 29, 2020
SPEAG	2600Mhz Calibration Dipole	CD2600V3	1018	Aug. 22, 2018	Aug. 21, 2019
SPEAG	Isotropic E-Field Probe	EF3DV3	4047	Jan. 30, 2019	Jan. 29, 2020
SPEAG	Data Acquisition Electronics	DAE4	853	Jul. 24, 2018	Jul. 23, 2019
SPEAG	Data Acquisition Electronics	DAE4	914	Jun. 20, 2019	Jun. 19, 2020
TESTO	Hygro meter	608-H1	34913631	Aug. 27, 2018	Aug. 26, 2019
SPEAG	Test Arch Phantom	N/A	N/A	NCR	NCR
SPEAG	Phone Positioner	N/A	N/A	NCR	NCR
Anritsu	Power Meter	ML2495A	1218006	Oct. 08, 2018	Oct. 07, 2019
Anritsu	Power Sensor	MA2411B	1207363	Oct. 08, 2018	Oct. 07, 2019
Anritsu	Signal Generator	MG3710A	6201502524	Dec. 11, 2018	Dec. 10, 2019
R&S	Base Station	CMU200	103937	Jan. 17, 2019	Jan. 16, 2020
ATM	Dual Directional Coupler	C122H-10	P610410z-02	NCR	NCR
Woken	Attenuator	WK0602-XX	N/A	NCR	NCR
Mini-Circuits	Power Amplifier	ZVE-8G+	070501814	Oct. 08, 2018	Oct. 07, 2019
Mini-Circuits	Power Amplifier	ZHL-42W+	15542	Aug. 09, 2018	Aug. 08, 2019

Note:
1. NCR: “No-Calibration Required”

9. Measurement System Validation

Each DASY system is equipped with one or more system validation kits. These units, together with the predefined measurement procedures within the DASY software, enable the user to conduct the system performance check and system validation. System validation kit includes a dipole, tripod holder to fix it underneath the test Arch and a corresponding distance holder.
The system performance check verifies that the system operates within its specifications. System and operator errors can be detected and corrected. It is recommended that the system performance check be performed prior to any usage of the system in order to guarantee reproducible results. The system performance check uses normal HAC measurements in a simplified setup with a well characterized source. This setup was selected to give a high sensitivity to all parameters that might fail or vary over time. The system check does not intend to replace the calibration of the components, but indicates situations where the system uncertainty is exceeded due to drift or failure.

<Test Setup>

1. In the simplified setup for system evaluation, the EUT is replaced by a calibrated dipole and the power source is replaced by a continuous wave which comes from a signal generator.
2. The center point of the probe element(s) is 15mm from the closest surface of the dipole elements.
3. The calibrated dipole must be placed beneath the arch phantom. The equipment setup is shown below:
4. The output power on dipole port must be calibrated to 20dBm (100mW) before dipole is connected.



Fig. 7.1 Setup Diagram

<Validation Results>

Comparing to the original E-field value provided by SPEAG, the verification data should be within its specification of 25 %. Table 6.1 shows the target value and measured value. The table below indicates the system performance check can meet the variation criterion and the plots can be referred to appendix A of this report.
Deviation = ((Average E-field Value) - (Target value)) / (Target value) * 100%

Frequency (MHz)	Input Power (dBm)	Target Value (V/m)	E-Field 1 (V/m)	E-Field 2 (V/m)	Average Value (V/m)	Deviation (%)	Date
835	20	108.8	108.4	112	110.2	1.29	Jun 28, 2019
835	20	108.8	108.7	112.4	110.55	1.61	Jul 18, 2019
1880	20	89.5	86.74	87.21	86.975	-2.82	Jun 28, 2019
1880	20	89.5	88.21	87.01	87.61	-2.11	Jul 23, 2019
2450	20	84.1	85.82	87.54	86.68	3.07	Jun 28, 2019
2600	20	85.8	87.71	90.25	88.98	3.71	Jun 28, 2019
2600	20	85.8	87.97	90.52	89.25	4.02	Jul 18, 2019

10. Modulation Interference Factor

The HAC Standard ANSI C63.19-2011 defines a new scaling using the Modulation Interference Factor (MIF).
For any specific fixed and repeatable modulated signal, a modulation interference factor (MIF, expressed in dB) may be developed that relates its interference potential to its steady-state rms signal level or average power level. This factor is a function only of the audio-frequency amplitude modulation characteristics of the signal and is the same for field-strength and conducted power measurements. It is important to emphasize that the MIF is valid only for a specific repeatable audio-frequency amplitude modulation characteristic. Any change in modulation characteristic requires determination and application of a new MIF
The Modulation Interference factor (MIF, in dB) is added to the measured average E-field (in dBV/m) and converts it to the RF Audio Interference level (in dBV/m). This level considers the audible amplitude modulation components in the RF E-field. CW fields without amplitude modulation are assumed to not interfere with the hearing aid electronics. Modulations without time slots and low fluctuations at low frequencies have low MIF values, TDMA modulations with narrow transmission and repetition rates of few 100 Hz have high MIF values and give similar classifications as ANSI C63.19-2011.
ER3D, EF3D and EU2D E-field probes have a bandwidth <10 kHz and can therefore not evaluate the RF envelope in the full audio band. DASY52 is therefore using the indirect measurement method according to ANSI C63.19-2011 which is the primary method. These near field probes read the averaged E-field measurement. Especially for the new high peak-to-average (PAR) signal types, the probes shall be linearized by PMR calibration in order to not overestimate the field reading. Probe Modulation Response (PMR) calibration linearizes the probe response over its dynamic range for specific modulations which are characterized by their UID and result in an uncertainty specified in the probe calibration certificate. The MIF is characteristic for a given waveform envelope and can be used as a constant conversion factor if the probe has been PMR calibrated.
The evaluation method for the MIF is defined in ANSI C63.19-2011 section D.7. An RMS demodulated RF signal is fed to a spectral filter (similar to an A weighting filter) and forwarded to a temporal filter acting as a quasi-peak detector. The averaged output of these filtering is scaled to a 1 kHz 80% AM signal as reference. MIF measurement requires additional instrumentation and is not well suited for evaluation by the end user with reasonable uncertainty. It may alliteratively be determined through analysis and simulation, because it is constant and characteristic for a communication signal. DASY52 uses well-defined signals for PMR calibration. The MIF of these signals has been determined by simulation and it is automatically applied.
The MIF measurement uncertainty is estimated as follows, declared by HAC equipment provider SPEAG, for modulation frequencies from slotted waveforms with fundamental frequency and at least 2 harmonics within 10 kHz:

1. 0.2 dB for MIF: -7 to +5 dB
2. 0.5 dB for MIF: -13 to +11 dB
3. 1 dB for MIF: > -20 dB

MIF values applied in this test report were provided by the HAC equipment provider of SPEAG, and the worst values for all air interface are listed below to be determine the Low-power Exemption.

UID	Communication System Name	MIF(dB)
10021	GSM-FDD(TDMA,GMSK)	3.63
10025	EDGE-FDD (TDMA, 8PSK, TN 0)	3.75
10460	UMTS-FDD(WCDMA, AMR)	-25.43
10225	UMTS-FDD (HSPA+)	-20.39
10081	CDMA2000 (1xRTT, RC3)	-19.71
10295	CDMA2000 (1xRTT, RC1 SO3, 1/8th Rate 25 fr.)	3.26
10403	CDMA2000 (1xEV-DO, Rev. 0)	-17.67
10170	LTE-FDD(SC-FDMA,1RB,20MHz,16-QAM)	-9.76
10172	LTE-TDD (SC-FDMA, 1 RB, 20 MHz, QPSK)	-1.62
10173	LTE-TDD (SC-FDMA, 1 RB, 20 MHz, 16-QAM)	-1.44
10174	LTE-TDD (SC-FDMA, 1 RB, 20 MHz, 64-QAM)	-1.54
10061	IEEE 802.11b WiFi 2.4 GHz (DSSS, 11 Mbps)	-2.02
10077	IEEE 802.11g WiFi 2.4 GHz (DSSS/OFDM, 54 Mbps)	0.12
10427	IEEE 802.11n (HT Greeneld, 150 Mbps, 64-QAM)	-13.44
10069	IEEE 802.11a/h WiFi 5 GHz (OFDM, 54 Mbps)	-3.15
10616	IEEE 802.11ac WiFi (40MHz, MCS0, 90pc duty cycle)	-5.57

11. Low-power Exemption

<Max Tune-up Limit>

Mode			Average Power (dBm)	
			UAT	LAT
GSM	GSM850		31.5	33.5
	EDGE850		27	27
	GSM1900		26.5	30.5
	EDGE1900		25	26
WCDMA	Band II		19.5	24.5
	Band IV		17.5	24.5
	Band V		22	24.5
	HSPA		21	23.5
FDD LTE	Band 2		21	25
	Band 4		19	24.5
	Band 5		25	25
	Band 7		19	24.5
	Band 12		24.5	25
	Band 13		24.5	25
	Band 17		24	25
	Band 25		22	25
	Band 26		24.5	25
	Band 30		22.5	25
	Band 66		18.5	25
	Band 71		25	25.5
TDD LTE	Band 38	QPSK	21	24.5
		16QAM	21	24
		64QAM	21	23
	Band 41	QPSK	21	24.5
		16QAM	21	24
		64QAM	21	23

Mode		Average Power (dBm)		
		ANT1	ANT2	ANT1+2
2.4GHz WLAN	802.11b	15	15	18
	802.11g	15	15	18
	802.11n-HT20	15	15	18
	802.11n-HT40	15	15	18
5GHz WLAN	802.11a	16	16	19
	802.11n-HT20	15	15	18
	802.11n-HT40	15	15	18
	802.11ac-VHT20	12.5	12.5	15.5
	802.11ac-VHT40	12.5	12.5	15.5
	802.11ac-VHT80	12.5	12.5	15.5

<Low Power Exemption>
<UAT>

Air Interface		Max Average Antenna Input Power (dBm)	Worst Case MIF (dB)	Power + MIF(dB)	C63.19 test required
GSM850		31.5	3.63	35.13	Yes
EDGE850		27	3.75	30.75	Yes(1)
GSM1900		26.5	3.63	30.13	Yes
EDGE1900		25	3.75	28.75	Yes(1)
WCDMA		22	-25.43	-3.43	No
WCDMA - HSPA		21	-20.39	0.61	No
LTE - FDD		25	-9.76	15.24	No
LTE - TDD	QPSK	21	-1.62	19.38	Yes
	16QAM	21	-1.44	19.56	Yes(1)
	64QAM	21	-1.54	19.46	Yes(1)

<LAT>

Air Interface		Max Average Antenna Input Power (dBm)	Worst Case MIF (dB)	Power + MIF(dB)	C63.19 test required
GSM850		33.5	3.63	37.13	Yes
EDGE850		27	3.75	30.75	Yes(1)
GSM1900		30.5	3.63	34.13	Yes
EDGE1900		26	3.75	29.75	Yes(1)
WCDMA		24.5	-25.43	-0.93	No
WCDMA - HSPA		23.5	-20.39	3.11	No
LTE - FDD		25.5	-9.76	15.74	No
LTE - TDD	QPSK	24.5	-1.62	22.88	Yes
	16QAM	24	-1.44	22.56	Yes(1)
	64QAM	23	-1.54	21.46	Yes(1)

General Note:

1. EDGE data modes and 16QAM/64QAM is not necessary due the GSM Voice mode and QPSK is the worst case.
2. According to ANSI C63.19 2011-version, for the air interface technology of a device is exempt from testing when its average antenna input power plus its MIF is ≤17 dBm for any of its operating modes.
3. HAC RF rating is M4 for the air interface which meets the low power exemption.

<WLAN ANT 1 / ANT 2>

Air Interface	Max Average Antenna Input Power (dBm)	Worst Case MIF (dB)	Power + MIF(dB)	C63.19 test required
802.11b	15	-2.02	12.98	No
802.11g	15	0.12	15.12	No
802.11n-HT20	15	-13.44	1.56	No
802.11n-HT40	15	-13.44	1.56	No
802.11a	16	-3.15	12.85	No
802.11n-HT20	15	-13.44	1.56	No
802.11n-HT40	15	-13.44	1.56	No
802.11ac-VHT20	12.5	-5.57	6.93	No
802.11ac-VHT40	12.5	-5.57	6.93	No
802.11ac-VHT80	12.5	-5.57	6.93	No

<WLAN ANT 1+2>

Air Interface	Max Average Antenna Input Power (dBm)	Worst Case MIF (dB)	Power + MIF(dB)	C63.19 test required
802.11b	18	-2.02	15.98	No
802.11g	18	0.12	18.12	Yes
802.11n-HT20	18	-13.44	4.56	No
802.11n-HT40	18	-13.44	4.56	No
802.11a	19	-3.15	15.85	No
802.11n-HT20	18	-13.44	4.56	No
802.11n-HT40	18	-13.44	4.56	No
802.11ac-VHT20	15.5	-5.57	9.93	No
802.11ac-VHT40	15.5	-5.57	9.93	No
802.11ac-VHT80	15.5	-5.57	9.93	No

General Note:

1. According to ANSI C63.19 2011-version, for the air interface technology of a device is exempt from testing when its average antenna input power plus its MIF is ≤17 dBm for any of its operating modes.
2. HAC RF rating is M4 for the air interface which meets the low power exemption.

12. Conducted RF Output Power (Unit: dBm)

<UAT>

Average Antenna Input Power(dBm)						
Band	GSM850			GSM1900		
Channel	128	189	251	512	661	810
Frequency (MHz)	824.2	836.4	848.8	1850.2	1880.0	1909.8
GSM (GMSK, 1 Tx slot)	29.81	29.80	30.16	26.01	26.48	26.49

<TDD LTE Band 41>

BW [MHz]	Modulation	RB Size	RB Offset	Power Low Ch. / Freq.	Power Middle Low Ch. / Freq.	Power Middle High Ch. / Freq.	Power High Ch. / Freq.
Channel				40140	40400	40670	41140
Frequency (MHz)				2545	2571	2598	2645
20	QPSK	1	0	20.80	20.99	20.75	20.76

<LAT>

Average Antenna Input Power(dBm)						
Band	GSM850			GSM1900		
Channel	128	189	251	512	661	810
Frequency (MHz)	824.2	836.4	848.8	1850.2	1880.0	1909.8
GSM (GMSK, 1 Tx slot)	32.59	32.83	32.97	29.46	29.62	29.69

<TDD LTE Band 41>

BW [MHz]	Modulation	RB Size	RB Offset	Power Low Ch. / Freq.	Power Middle Low Ch. / Freq.	Power Middle High Ch. / Freq.	Power High Ch. / Freq.
Channel				40140	40400	40670	41140
Frequency (MHz)				2545	2571	2598	2645
20	QPSK	1	0	23.74	24.03	24.01	23.93

	Mode	Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Average power (dBm)
2.4GHz WLAN ANT 1+2	802.1g 6Mbps	1	2412	18.78
		6	2437	18.96
		11	2462	18.95

13. HAC RF Emission Test Results

Plot No.	Air Interface	Mode	Channel	Transmit Ant.	Average Antenna Input Power (dBm)	MIF	E-Field (dBV/m)	Margin to FCC M3 limit (dB)	E-Field M Rating
1	GSM850	GSM Voice	128	LAT	32.59	3.63	34.52	10.48	M4
2	GSM850	GSM Voice	189	LAT	32.83	3.63	35.53	9.47	M4
3	GSM850	GSM Voice	251	LAT	32.97	3.63	34.84	10.16	M4
4	GSM850	GSM Voice	128	UAT	29.81	3.63	36.65	8.35	M4
5	GSM850	GSM Voice	189	UAT	29.80	3.63	37.18	7.82	M4
6	GSM850	GSM Voice	251	UAT	30.16	3.63	38.11	6.89	M4
7	GSM1900	GSM Voice	512	LAT	29.46	3.63	30.09	4.91	M3
8	GSM1900	GSM Voice	661	LAT	29.62	3.63	30.14	4.86	M3
9	GSM1900	GSM Voice	810	LAT	29.69	3.63	29.56	5.44	M4
10	GSM1900	GSM Voice	512	UAT	26.01	3.63	34.01	0.99	M3
11	GSM1900	GSM Voice	661	UAT	26.48	3.63	34.53	0.47	M3
12	GSM1900	GSM Voice	810	UAT	26.49	3.63	33.94	1.06	M3
13	LTE Band 41	20M_QPSK_1_0	40140	LAT	23.74	-1.62	23.49	11.51	M4
14	LTE Band 41	20M_QPSK_1_0	40400	LAT	24.03	-1.62	24.50	10.50	M4
15	LTE Band 41	20M_QPSK_1_0	40670	LAT	24.01	-1.62	24.14	10.86	M4
16	LTE Band 41	20M_QPSK_1_0	41140	LAT	23.93	-1.62	24.49	10.51	M4
17	LTE Band 41	20M_QPSK_1_0	40140	UAT	20.80	-1.62	29.26	5.74	M4
18	LTE Band 41	20M_QPSK_1_0	40400	UAT	20.99	-1.62	30.00	5.00	M3
19	LTE Band 41	20M_QPSK_1_0	40670	UAT	20.75	-1.62	29.82	5.18	M4
20	LTE Band 41	20M_QPSK_1_0	41140	UAT	20.76	-1.62	30.11	4.89	M3
21	WLAN2.4GHz	802.11g 6Mbps	1	Ant 1+2	18.78	0.12	29.37	5.63	M4
22	WLAN2.4GHz	802.11g 6Mbps	6	Ant 1+2	18.96	0.12	29.39	5.61	M4
23	WLAN2.4GHz	802.11g 6Mbps	11	Ant 1+2	18.95	0.12	29.35	5.65	M4

Remark:

1. The HAC measurement system applies MIF value onto the measured RMS E-field, which is indirect method in ANSI C63.19 2011 version, and reports the RF audio interference level.
2. Phone Condition: Mute on; Backlight off; Max Volume

Test Engineer：Nick Yu.

14. Uncertainty Assessment

The component of uncertainly may generally be categorized according to the methods used to evaluate them. The evaluation of uncertainly by the statistical analysis of a series of observations is termed a Type A evaluation of uncertainty. The evaluation of uncertainty by means other than the statistical analysis of a series of observation is termed a Type B evaluation of uncertainty. Each component of uncertainty, however evaluated, is represented by an estimated standard deviation, termed standard uncertainty, which is determined by the positive square root of the estimated variance.

The combined standard uncertainty of the measurement result represents the estimated standard deviation of the result. It is obtained by combining the individual standard uncertainties of both Type A and Type B evaluation using the usual “root-sum-squares” (RSS) methods of combining standard deviations by taking the positive square root of the estimated variances. Expanded uncertainty is a measure of uncertainty that defines an interval about the measurement result within which the measured value is confidently believed to lie. It is obtained by multiplying the combined standard uncertainty by a coverage factor. For purpose of this document, a coverage factor two is used, which corresponds to confidence interval of about 95 %. The DASY uncertainty Budget is showed in Table 12.1.

The judgment of conformity in the report is based on the measurement results excluding the measurement uncertainty.

Error Description	Uncertainty Value (±%)	Probability Distribution	Divisor	Ci (E)	Standard Uncertainty (E)
Measurement System					
Probe Calibration	5.1	Normal	1	1	± 5.1 %
Axial Isotropy	4.7	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.7 %
Sensor Displacement	16.5	Rectangular	√3	1	± 9.5 %
Boundary Effects	2.4	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.4 %
Phantom Boundary Effects	7.2	Rectangular	√3	1	± 4.1 %
Linearity	4.7	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.7 %
Scaling with PMR Calibration	10.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 5.77 %
System Detection Limit	1.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.6 %
Readout Electronics	0.3	Normal	1	1	± 0.3 %
Response Time	0.8	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.5 %
Integration Time	2.6	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.5 %
RF Ambient Conditions	3.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.7 %
RF Reflections	12.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 6.9 %
Probe Positioner	1.2	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.7 %
Probe Positioning	4.7	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.7 %
Extrap. and Interpolation	1.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.6 %
Test Sample Related					
Device Positioning Vertical	4.7	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.7 %
Device Positioning Lateral	1.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.6 %
Device Holder and Phantom	2.4	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.4 %
Power Drift	5.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.9 %
Phantom and Setup Related					
Phantom Thickness	2.4	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.4 %
Combined Standard Uncertainty					± 16.30 %
Coverage Factor for 95 %					K = 2
Expanded Std. Uncertainty on Power					**± 32.6 %**
Expanded Std. Uncertainty on Field					**± 16.3 %**

Table 12.1 Uncertainty Budget of HAC free field assessment

Remark:

Worst-Case uncertainty budget for HAC free field assessment according to ANSIC63.19 [1], [2]. The budget is valid for the frequency range 700 MHz - 3 GHz and represents a worst case analysis.

15. References

[1] ANSI C63.19-2011, “American National Standard for Methods of Measurement of Compatibility between Wireless Communications Devices and Hearing Aids”, 27 May 2011.

[2] FCC KDB 285076 D01v05, “Equipment Authorization Guidance for Hearing Aid Compatibility”, Sep 2017

[3] FCC KDB 285076 D02v03, “Guidance for performing T-Coil tests for air interfaces supporting voice over IP (e.g., LTE and WiFi) to support CMRS based telephone services”, Sep 2017

[4] FCC KDB 285076 D03v01, “Hearing aid compatibility frequently asked questions”, Sep 2017

[5] SPEAG DASY System Handbook