

Exposure Calculation Report

PervasID Limited
Model: FR 9380 (8-port FCC)

In accordance with FCC 47 CFR Part 2.1091:
2020 and Health Canada Safety Code 6: 2015

Prepared for: PervasID
St John's Innovation Centre
Cowley Road
Cambridge
CB4 0WS
UNITED KINGDOM



Add value.
Inspire trust.

COMMERCIAL-IN-CONFIDENCE

FCC ID: 2AQQWFR9380 IC: 24482-FR9380

Document 75954042-03 Issue: 01

SIGNATURE

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Matthew Russell'.

NAME	JOB TITLE	RESPONSIBLE FOR	ISSUE DATE
Matthew Russell	Senior Engineer (RF)	Authorised Signatory	15 September 2022

Signatures in this approval box have checked this document in line with the requirements of TÜV SÜD document control rules.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The calculation of exposure for this product was found to be compliant at a minimum distance of 31 cm with 47 CFR Part 2.1091: 2020 and Health Canada Safety Code 6 assuming continuous exposure of 6 minutes or more. If alternative antennas are used with greater gains, the distance must be recalculated.

DISCLAIMER AND COPYRIGHT

This non-binding report has been prepared by TÜV SÜD with all reasonable skill and care. The document is confidential to the potential Client and TÜV SÜD. No part of this document may be reproduced without the prior written approval of TÜV SÜD. © 2022 TÜV SÜD.

TÜV SÜD
is a trading name of TÜV SÜD Ltd
Registered in Scotland at East Kilbride,
Glasgow G75 0QF, United Kingdom
Registered number: SC215164

TÜV SÜD Ltd is a
TÜV SÜD Group Company

Phone: +44 (0) 1489 558100
Fax: +44 (0) 1489 558101
www.tuvsud.com/en

TÜV SÜD
Octagon House
Concorde Way
Fareham
Hampshire PO15 5RL
United Kingdom



Contents

1 Report Summary2

1.1 Report Modification Record.....2

1.2 Introduction.....2

1.3 Brief Summary of Results3

1.4 Product Information4

2 Assessment Details6

2.1 Assessment Method.....6

2.2 Individual Antenna Port Exposure Results.....7

2.3 Far Field Region Boundary Results8

2.4 Uncertainty8

Annex A Regional Requirements..... A.2



1 Report Summary

1.1 Report Modification Record

Alterations and additions to this report will be issued to the holders of each copy in the form of a complete document.

Issue	Description of Change	Date of Issue
1	First Issue	15 September 2022

Table 1

1.2 Introduction

Applicant	PervasID
Manufacturer	PervasID
Model Number(s)	FR 9380 (8-port FCC)
Hardware Version(s)	V6.4 P0.3 (serial no: 10510422-0074)
Software Version(s)	Reader software – 3_1_0_EX4; R2000 firmware – 3_1_0_EX3; DB firmware – 1_0_1_EX2, Bootloader 512d8e3
Specification/Issue/Date	• FCC 47 CFR Part 2.1091: 2020 Radiofrequency radiation exposure evaluation: mobile devices • ISED Canada: Health Canada Safety Code 6:2015
Order Number	PO-0519
Date	28 Jan 2022
Related Document(s)	• FCC 47 CFR Part 1.1310: 2020 Radiofrequency radiation exposure limits • OET65:97 Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields • IEEE C95.3:2002 IEEE Recommended Practice for Measurements and Computations of Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields with Respect to Human Exposure to Such Fields, 100 kHz–300 GHz • RSS-102 Issue 5 Radio Frequency (RF) Exposure Compliance of Radiocommunication Apparatus (All Frequency Bands)



1.3 Brief Summary of Results

The wireless device described within this report was compliant with the restrictions related to human exposure to electromagnetic fields for both general public and worker/occupational exposures.

The calculations shown in this report were made in accordance with the procedures specified in the applied test specification(s).

1.3.1 Configuration - Single Transmitter

Regional Requirement	RAT	Calculated RF exposure level at minimum compliance boundary of 0.31 m							
		S Power Density (W/m ²)		E Field (V/m)		H Field (A/m)		B Field (μT)	
		Result	Limit	Result	Limit	Result	Limit	Result	Limit
FCC	RFID	2.71	30.09	31.97	N/A	0.0848	N/A	0.1066	N/A
CANADA	RFID	2.71	19.39	31.97	85.51	0.0848	0.2268	0.1066	N/A

Table 2 – Worker/Occupational Exposure Results

The calculations show that the EUT complies with the worker/occupational exposure levels described in the listed specifications in Annex A at the point of investigation, a minimum of 0.31 m.

Regional Requirement	RAT	Calculated RF exposure level at minimum compliance boundary of 0.31 m							
		S Power Density (W/m ²)		E Field (V/m)		H Field (A/m)		B Field (μT)	
		Result	Limit	Result	Limit	Result	Limit	Result	Limit
FCC	RFID	2.71	6.02	31.97	N/A	0.0848	N/A	0.1066	N/A
CANADA	RFID	2.71	2.74	31.97	32.15	0.0848	0.0853	0.1066	N/A

Table 3 – General Public Exposure Results

The calculations show that the EUT complies with the general public exposure levels described in the listed specifications in Annex A at the point of investigation, a minimum of 0.31 m.



1.4 Product Information

1.4.1 Technical Description

UHF RFID Distributed Antenna System - intended use detection and monitoring of UHF RFID tags

1.4.2 Transmitter Description

The following radio access technologies and frequency bands are supported by the equipment under test.

Radio Access Technology	Frequency Band (MHz)	Minimum Frequency (MHz)	Output Power (dBm)	Duty Cycle (%)
RFID	902.75- 927.25	902.75	29.70 ^{Note 1}	100
Note 1: PervasID Limited declared the power output as 2 W ERP (effective radiated power) or 33 dBm ERP is given by; $ERP = P_o \times G_d$ where P_o is the conducted output power and G_d is the antenna gain relative to a half wave dipole. The exposure calculation described in Section 2.1 requires in part the EIRP (equivalent isotropic radiated power) given by; $EIRP = P_o \times G_i$ where P_o is the conducted output power and G_i is the antenna gain relative to an isotropic antenna. $EIRP \text{ dBm} = ERP \text{ dBm} + 2.15$ $EIRP \text{ dBm} = 33 + 2.15 = 35.15 \text{ dBm}$ Therefore P_o the conducted power output is found by subtracting the antenna gain G_{dB_i}; $P_o \text{ dBm} = EIRP \text{ dBm} - G_{dB_i} = 35.15 - 5.5 = 29.65$.				

Table 4 – Transmitter Description

Note: Transmitter power includes upper bounds of uncertainty therefore maximum values are used in accordance with Section 2.4.

1.4.3 Antenna Description

The following antennas are supported by the equipment under test.

Radio Access Technology	Antenna Model	Gain (dBic)	Antenna length (cm)	Minimum Separation Distance (cm)
RFID	Circular polarised Directional	5.5 ^{Note 2}	Diameter 30.5	31
Note 1: PervasID Limited declared the gain as 8.5 dBic $dB_i = dB_{ic} - 3 \text{ dB}$, therefore antenna gain in dB_i is $8.5 - 3.0 = 5.5 \text{ dB}_i$				

Table 5 – Antenna Description

In the case of more than one type of antenna being supported by the equipment, the calculation is based on the maximum of the antenna gains. If other antennas can be used that have greater gains, the minimum separation distances will need to be recalculated.

Note: Antenna gain includes upper bounds of uncertainty therefore maximum values are used in accordance with Section 2.4.



1.4.4 Equipment Configuration

Single port transmission.

EUT has eight identical ports, exposure is considered for single port only due to the non-overlapping beams from the antenna elements.



2 Assessment Details

2.1 Assessment Method

The assessment method is by calculation of the power density S , electric field strength E , magnetic field strength H or magnetic flux density B .

The calculation uses the spherical model applicable under far field conditions and also radiating near field conditions where applicable (see Section 2.3).

$$S = E \times H = \frac{E^2}{\eta} = H^2 \times \eta = \frac{P \times G_i}{4 \times \pi \times r^2}$$

Where:

η - Impedance of free space (377 ohm in far field)

P – Average transmitter power W ($P_{av} = P_{max} \times \text{Duty Cycle}$)

G_i – Antenna gain ratio relative to isotropic

r – Separation distance m

The magnetic flux density is related to the magnetic field strength by a constant:

$$B = \mu_o \times H$$

Where:

μ_o – Permeability of free space $4 \times \pi \times 10^{-7}$ H/m

This assessment assumes that exposure is continuous for 6 minutes or more in accordance with the averaging time required by the exposure standards at the stated minimum compliance boundary separation distance. Exposures of less than 6 minutes at other separation distances are not addressed by this report.

This assessment method of RF exposure is applicable to separation distances of 20 cm or more beyond the reactive near field boundary. Separation distances of less than 20 cm require a Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) assessment.

The reactive near field boundary and far field region boundary depend on the frequency and wavelength and also on the antenna dimension. The boundaries of the field regions are calculated in Section 2.3 to demonstrate the validity of using the spherical model.

The result is compared to the limits in Annex A to determine compliance or to calculate the required compliance distance. The calculation is based on the lowest frequency in each band as the most onerous requirement as the limits increase with frequency for frequencies above 10-50 MHz (dependent on region).



2.2 Individual Antenna Port Exposure Results

2.2.1 Calculation of Exposure at Specified Separation Distance

The frequencies shown in the tables below have been chosen based on the lowest possible frequency that the EUT can transmit. A full list of the regional requirements is shown in Annex A.

Regional Requirement	RAT	Frequency (MHz)	RF Exposure Level at minimum compliance boundary of 0.31 m							
			S Power Density (W/m ²)		E Field (V/m)		H Field (A/m)		B Field (μT)	
			Result	Limit	Result	Limit	Result	Limit	Result	Limit
FCC	RFID	902.75	2.71	30.09	31.97	N/A	0.0848	N/A	0.1066	N/A
CANADA	RFID	902.75	2.71	19.39	31.97	85.51	0.0848	0.2268	0.1066	N/A

Table 6 – Worker/Occupational Individual Transmitter Result

The calculations show that the EUT complies with the worker/occupational exposure levels described in the listed specifications in Annex A at the point of investigation, a minimum distance of 0.31 m.

Regional Requirement	RAT	Frequency (MHz)	RF Exposure Level at minimum compliance boundary of 0.31 m							
			S Power Density (W/m ²)		E Field (V/m)		H Field (A/m)		B Field (μT)	
			Result	Limit	Result	Limit	Result	Limit	Result	Limit
FCC	RFID	902.75	2.71	6.02	31.97	N/A	0.0848	N/A	0.1066	N/A
CANADA	RFID	902.75	2.71	2.74	31.97	32.15	0.0848	0.0853	0.1066	N/A

Table 7 – General Public Individual Transmitter Result

The calculations show that the EUT complies with the general public exposure levels described in the listed specifications in Annex A at the point of investigation, a minimum distance of 0.31 m.



2.3 Far Field Region Boundary Results

The far field region boundary calculation result is shown in Table 8:

Near Field / Far Field Boundary (Ref: FCC 1.1307(b)(3)(i)(C), Technical Guide for Interpretation and Compliance Assessment of Health Canada's Radiofrequency Exposure Guidelines 7.1)			
RAT Name	Frequency MHz	Reactive Near Field Boundary (Wave Impedance Dependent)	Far Field Boundary (Antennas on axis)
		$\lambda/2\pi$ (m)	$2D^2/\lambda$ (m)
RFID	902.75	0.0529	0.5599

Table 8 – Far Field Boundary (FCC, CANADA).

The compliance boundary of 0.31 m is in the radiating near field region and therefore, the approach described in section 2.1 is an over estimate of the exposure and therefore a conservative assessment.

Field Region	Reactive Near Field Region	Radiating Near Field Region	Far Field Region
Maximum Boundary	<0.0529 m	0.0529 m – 0.5599 m	> 0.5599 m
Validity of Regions	Spherical model potential under-estimate: SAR / test assessment required	Spherical model over-estimate and conservative	Spherical model valid
Compliance Boundary Location	N/A	0.31 m	N/A

Table 9 – Assessment Method Validity

2.4 Uncertainty

The basic computation formulas presented in section 2.1 are conservative formulas for the estimation of RF field strength or power density.

No uncertainty estimations are required when using these formulas but there is clear guidance on where and when these formulas are applicable. For the estimate of S, E or H to be conservative, the transmitter power P and antenna gain G_i values shall be the upper bounds of uncertainty therefore maximum values are used.

The spherical formula is valid under far field conditions which are established in section 2.3.



ANNEX A

REGIONAL REQUIREMENTS



Frequency Range (MHz)	Power Density (mW/cm ²) Note 1	Electric Field Strength (V/m)	Magnetic Field Strength (A/m)
0 - 0.3	-	-	-
0.3 - 3	100	614	1.63
3 - 30	$900/f^2$	$1842/f$	$4.89/f$
30 - 300	1	61.4	0.163
300 - 1500	$f/300$	-	-
1500 - 100000	5	-	-

Table A.1 – FCC CFR 47 Pt.1.1310 Worker/Occupational Limits

Frequency Range (MHz)	Power Density (mW/cm ²) Note 1	Electric Field Strength (V/m)	Magnetic Field Strength (A/m)
0 - 0.3	-	-	-
0.3 - 3	100	614	1.63
3 - 30	$180/f^2$	$824/f$	$2.19/f$
30 - 300	0.2	27.5	0.073
300 - 1500	$f/1500$	-	-
1500 - 100000	1	-	-

Table A.2 – FCC CFR 47 Pt.1.1310 General Public Limits

Note 1: The calculations and limits presented in this report for power density are in units of W/m². The conversion factor is; 1 mW/cm² = 10 W/m².

Frequency Range (MHz)	Power Density (W/m ²)	Electric Field Strength (V/m)	Magnetic Field Strength (A/m)
10 - 20	10	61.4	0.163
20 - 48	$44.72/f^{0.5}$	$129.8/f^{0.25}$	$0.3444/f^{0.25}$
48 - 100	6.455	49.33	0.1309
100 - 6000	$0.6455*f^{0.5}$	$15.60*f^{0.25}$	$0.04138*f^{0.25}$
6000 - 150000	50	137	0.364

Table A.3 – Health Canada Safety Code 6 Worker/Occupational Limits

Frequency Range (MHz)	Power Density (W/m ²)	Electric Field Strength (V/m)	Magnetic Field Strength (A/m)
10 - 20	2	27.46	0.0728
20 - 48	$8.944/f^{0.5}$	$58.07/f^{0.25}$	$0.1540/f^{0.25}$
48 - 300	1.291	22.06	0.05852
300 - 6000	$0.02619*f^{0.6834}$	$3.142*f^{0.3417}$	$0.008335*f^{0.3417}$
6000 - 15000	10	61.4	0.163

Table A.4 – Health Canada Safety Code 6 General Public Limits