

# SAR EVALUATION REPORT

For

# **Hytera Communications Corporation Limited**

Hytera Tower, Hi-Tech Industrial Park North, 9108# Beihuan Road, Nanshan District, Shenzhen, 518057 China

FCC ID: YAMPD79XISVHF

Report Type: Product Type:

Original report Is Digital Radio

Test Engineer: Wilson Chen

**Report Number:** RDG160606002-20A

**Report Date:** 2016-07-05

Bell Hu

**Reviewed By:** SAR Engineer

**Prepared By:** Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp. (Shenzhen)

6/F, the 3rd Phase of WanLi Industrial Building,

BeilHu

ShiHua Road, FuTian Free Trade Zone

Shenzhen, Guangdong, China Tel: +86-755-33320018 Fax: +86-755-33320008 www.baclcorp.com.cn

**Note**: This test report is prepared for the customer shown above and for the device described herein. It may not be duplicated or used in part without prior written consent from Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp.

Attestation of Test Results						
		Comp	pany Name	Hytera Communications Corporation Limited		
		EUT I	Description	Is Digital Radio		
	EUT		FCC ID	YAMPD79XISVHF		
Information		Mod	el Number	Tested model: PD795IS VHF Multiple models: PD792IS VHF, PD796IS VHF, Pl	D798IS VHF	
			Test Date	2016-06-16		
Frequency (MHz)	Modulation		Max.	SAR Level(s) Reported (1g)	Limit (W/Kg)	
124	Digital	12.5kHz		.162 W/kg(corrected by Multiplying 50%.) c: 0.349 W/kg(corrected by Multiplying 50%.)	8.0	
136-174	Analog	12.5kHz		eup: 0.364 W/kg (corrected by Multiplying 50%.) y-Back: 0.860 W/kg (corrected by Multiplying 50%.)		
Applicable Standards  IEEE 3 Electro GHz.  IEC62 Human comm Part 2: device IEEE 3 Rate (3 Techn KDB 1		romagnetic File / IEEE C95. Recommender omagnetic File / IEEE C95. Recommender of SAR) in the File / IEEE C95. Recommender of SAR) in the File / IEEE C95. Recommender of SAR in the File / IEEE C95. Recommender	Safety Levels leds, 3 kHz to 3: 2002 and Practice for elds With Restoration from the process of	s with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Free 300 GHz.  or Measurements and Computations of Radio Free spect to Human Exposure to Such Fields, 100 kH quency fields from hand-held and body-moun models, instrumentation, and procedures — he specific absorption rate (SAR) for wireless conto the human body.  or Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Authorization Policies.  measurement 100 MHz to 6 GHz v01.  test Reduction Considerations for Occupational	equency Hz—300  Inted wireless Communication C Absorption	

**Note:** This wireless device has been shown to be capable of compliance for localized specific absorption rate SAR for Occupational /Controlled Exposure Environment limits specified in ANSI/IEEE Standards and has been tested in accordance with the measurement procedures specified in IEEE 1528-2013 and RF exposure KDB procedures.

The results and statements contained in this report pertain only to the device(s) evaluated.

SAR Evaluation Report 2 of 60

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

DOCUMENT REVISION HISTORY	4
EUT DESCRIPTION	5
TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION	5
REFERENCE, STANDARDS, AND GUILDELINES	
SAR LIMITS	
FACILITIES	
DESCRIPTION OF TEST SYSTEM	9
EQUIPMENT LIST AND CALIBRATION	10
EQUIPMENTS LIST & CALIBRATION INFORMATION	16
SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM VERIFICATION	17
LIQUID VERIFICATION	17
SYSTEM ACCURACY VERIFICATION	
SAR SYSTEM VALIDATION DATA	
EUT TEST STRATEGY AND METHODOLOGY	
CONDUCTED OUTPUT POWER MEASUREMENT	
PROVISION APPLICABLE	
TEST PROCEDURE	
TEST RESULTS:	
SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS	20
SAR TEST DATA	
TEST RESULT:	
SAR PLOTS (SUMMARY OF THE HIGHEST SAR VALUES)	
APPENDIX A – MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY	
APPENDIX B – PROBE CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES	
APPENDIX C – DIPOLE CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES	44
APPENDIX D – EUT TEST POSITION PHOTOS	
Liquid depth ≥ 15cm	
FACE-UP 2.5 CM SEPARATION TO FLAT PHANTOMBODY-BACK 0.0 CM SEPARATION TO FLAT PHANTOM	
APPENDIX E – EUT PHOTOS	
EUT – FRONT VIEW	
EUT – BACK VIEW	
EUT-Left View	
EUT-RIGHT VIEW	
EUT-TOP VIEW EUT-BOTTOM VIEW	
EUT-UNCOVER VIEW	
EUT-Antenna	
EUT-Battery	
EUT-Belt-clip	
EUT-Headset	59
APPENDIX F - INFORMATIVE REFERENCES	60

## **DOCUMENT REVISION HISTORY**

Revision Number Report Number		Description of Revision	Date of Revision	
0	RDG160606002-20A	Original Report	2016-07-05	

SAR Evaluation Report 4 of 60

## **EUT DESCRIPTION**

This report has been prepared on behalf of Hytera Communications Corporation Limited and their product and their product, FCC ID: YAMPD79XISVHF, Model: PD795IS VHF or the EUT (Equipment Under Test) as referred to in the rest of this report. The EUT is a Digital Mobile Radio.

\*Note: This series products models: PD795IS VHF, PD792IS VHF, PD796IS VHF and PD798IS VHF, we select the model: PD795IS VHF to test. There is no electrical change has been made to the equipment, please refer to the product similarity letter.

## **Technical Specification**

Product Type	Portable
Exposure Category:	Occupational/Controlled Exposure
Antenna Type(s):	External Antenna
Body-Worn Accessories:	Belt Clip and Headset Cable
Face-Head Accessories:	None
Modulation Type:	FM/4FSK
Frequency Band:	FM/4FSK: 136MHz-174MHz
Conducted RF Power:	FM/4FSK :30.51 dBm
EUT Dimensions (L*W*H):	141 mm (L) × 55 mm (W) × 39 mm (H)
Power Source:	7.4V Rechargeable Li-ION Battery
Normal Operation:	Face Up and Body-worn

SAR Evaluation Report 5 of 60

## REFERENCE, STANDARDS, AND GUILDELINES

#### FCC:

The Report and Order requires routine SAR evaluation prior to equipment authorization of portable transmitter devices, including portable telephones. For consumer products, the applicable limit is 1.6 mW/g as recommended by the ANSI/IEEE standard C95.1-1992 [6] for an uncontrolled environment (Paragraph 65). According to the Supplement C of OET Bulletin 65 "Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guide-lines for Human Exposure to Radio frequency Electromagnetic Fields", released on Jun 29, 2001 by the FCC, the device should be evaluated at maximum output power (radiated from the antenna) under "worst-case" conditions for normal or intended use, incorporating normal antenna operating positions, device peak performance frequencies and positions for maximum RF energy coupling.

This report describes the methodology and results of experiments performed on wireless data terminal. The objective was to determine if there is RF radiation and if radiation is found, what is the extent of radiation with respect to safety limits. SAR (Specific Absorption Rate) is the measure of RF exposure determined by the amount of RF energy absorbed by human body (or its parts) – to determine how the RF energy couples to the body or head which is a primary health concern for body worn devices. The limit below which the exposure to RF is considered safe by regulatory bodies in North America is 1.6 mW/g average over 1 gram of tissue mass.

### CE:

The order requires routine SAR evaluation prior to equipment authorization of portable transmitter devices, including portable telephones. For consumer products, the applicable limit is 2 mW/g as recommended by EN62209-1 for an uncontrolled environment. According to the Standard, the device should be evaluated at maximum output power (radiated from the antenna) under "worst-case" conditions for normal or intended use, incorporating normal antenna operating positions, device peak performance frequencies and positions for maximum RF energy coupling.

This report describes the methodology and results of experiments performed on wireless data terminal. The objective was to determine if there is RF radiation and if radiation is found, what is the extent of radiation with respect to safety limits. SAR (Specific Absorption Rate) is the measure of RF exposure determined by the amount of RF energy absorbed by human body (or its parts) – to determine how the RF energy couples to the body or head which is a primary health concern for body worn devices. The limit below which the exposure to RF is considered safe by regulatory bodies in Europe is 2 mW/g average over 10 gram of tissue mass.

The test configurations were laid out on a specially designed test fixture to ensure the reproducibility of measurements. Each configuration was scanned for SAR. Analysis of each scan was carried out to characterize the above effects in the device.

SAR Evaluation Report 6 of 60

## **SAR Limits**

## FCC Limit (1g Tissue)

	SAR (W/kg)			
EXPOSURE LIMITS	(General Population / Uncontrolled Exposure Environment)	(Occupational / Controlled Exposure Environment)		
Spatial Average (averaged over the whole body)	0.08	0.4		
Spatial Peak (averaged over any 1 g of tissue)	1.60	8.0		
Spatial Peak (hands/wrists/feet/ankles averaged over 10 g)	4.0	20.0		

## CE Limit (10g Tissue)

	SAR (W/kg)			
EXPOSURE LIMITS	(General Population / Uncontrolled Exposure Environment)	(Occupational / Controlled Exposure Environment)		
Spatial Average (averaged over the whole body)	0.08	0.4		
Spatial Peak (averaged over any 10 g of tissue)	2.0	10		
Spatial Peak (hands/wrists/feet/ankles averaged over 10 g)	4.0	20.0		

Population/Uncontrolled Environments are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individual who have no knowledge or control of their exposure.

Occupational/Controlled Environments are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by people who are aware of the potential for exposure (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation).

Occupational/Controlled environments Spatial Peak limit 8.0 W/kg (FCC/IC) & 10 W/kg (CE) applied to the EUT.

SAR Evaluation Report 7 of 60

## **FACILITIES**

The test site used by Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp. (Shenzhen) to collect data is located at 6/F, the 3rd Phase of WanLi Industrial Building, Shi Hua Road, Fu Tian Free Trade Zone, Shenzhen, Guangdong, P.R. of China

SAR Evaluation Report 8 of 60

#### **DESCRIPTION OF TEST SYSTEM**

These measurements were performed with ALSAS 10 Universal Integrated SAR Measurement system from APREL Laboratories.

## **ALSAS-10U System Description**

ALSAS-10-U is fully compliant with the technical and scientific requirements of IEEE 1528, IEC 62209, CENELEC, ARIB, ACA, and the Federal Communications Commission. The system comprises of a six axes articulated robot which utilizes a dedicated controller. ALSAS-10U uses the latest methodologies. And FDTD modeling to provide a platform which is repeatable with minimum uncertainty.

#### **Applications**

Predefined measurement procedures compliant with the guidelines of CENELEC, IEEE, IEC, FCC, etc are utilized during the assessment for the device. Automatic detection for all SAR maxima are embedded within the core architecture for the system, ensuring that peak locations used for centering the zoom scan are within a 1mm resolution and a 0.05mm repeatable position. System operation range currently available up-to 6 GHz in simulated tissue.

#### **Area Scans**

Area scans are defined prior to the measurement process being executed with a user defined variable spacing between each measurement point (integral) allowing low uncertainty measurements to be conducted. Scans defined for FCC applications utilize a 10mm2 step integral, with 1mm interpolation used to locate the peak SAR area used for zoom scan assessments.



Where the system identifies multiple SAR peaks (which are within 25% of peak value) the system will provide the user with the option of assessing each peak location individually for zoom scan averaging.

### **Zoom Scan (Cube Scan Averaging)**

The averaging zoom scan volume utilized in the ALSAS-10U software is in the shape of a cube and the side dimension of a 1 g or 10 g mass is dependent on the density of the liquid representing the simulated tissue. A density of 1000 kg/m3 is used to represent the head and body tissue density and not the phantom liquid density, in order to be consistent with the definition of the liquid dielectric properties, i.e. the side length of the 1 g cube is 10mm, with the side length of the 10 g cube 21,5mm.

When the cube intersects with the surface of the phantom, it is oriented so that 3 vertices touch the surface of the shell or the center of a face is tangent to the surface. The face of the cube closest to the surface is modified in order to conform to the tangent surface.

The zoom scan integer steps can be user defined so as to reduce uncertainty, but normal practice for typical test applications (including FCC) utilize a physical step of 5x5x8 (8mmx8mmx5mm) providing a volume of 32mm in the X & Y axis, and 35mm in the Z axis.

SAR Evaluation Report 9 of 60

## **ALSAS-10U Interpolation and Extrapolation Uncertainty**


The overall uncertainty for the methodology and algorithms the used during the SAR calculation was evaluated using the data from IEEE 1528 based on the example f3 algorithm:

$$f_3(x, y, z) = A \frac{a^2}{\frac{a^2}{4} + x'^2 + y'^2} \cdot \left( e^{-\frac{2z}{a}} + \frac{a^2}{2(a+2z)^2} \right)$$

## **Isotropic E-Field Probe**

The isotropic E-Field probe has been fully calibrated and assessed for isotropicity, and boundary effect within a controlled environment. Depending on the frequency for which the probe is calibrated the method utilized for calibration will change.

The E-Field probe utilizes a triangular sensor arrangement as detailed in the diagram below:



SAR is assessed with a calibrated probe which moves at a default height of 5mm from the center of the diode, which is mounted to the sensor, to the phantom surface (in the Z Axis). The 5mm offset height has been selected so as to minimize any resultant boundary effect due to the probe being in close proximity to the phantom surface.

The following algorithm is an example of the function used by the system for linearization of the output from the probe when measuring complex modulation schemes.

$$V_{i} = U_{i} + U_{i}^{2} \cdot \frac{cf}{dcp_{i}}$$

SAR Evaluation Report 10 of 60

### **Isotropic E-Field Probe Specification**

Calibration Method	Frequency Dependent Below 1 GHz Calibration in air performed in a TEM Cell Above 1 GHz Calibration in air performed in waveguide
Sensitivity	$0.70 \ \mu V/(V/m)^2$ to $0.85 \ \mu V/(V/m)^2$
Dynamic Range	0.0005 W/kg to 100 W/kg
Isotropic Response	Better than 0.1 dB
Diode Compression Point (DCP)	Calibration for Specific Frequency
Probe Tip Diameter	< 2.9 mm
Sensor Offset	1.56 (+/- 0.02 mm)
Probe Length	289 mm
Video Bandwidth	@ 500 Hz: 1 dB @ 1.02 kHz: 3 dB
Boundary Effect	Less than 2.1% for distance greater than 0.58 mm
Spatial Resolution	The spatial resolution uncertainty is less than 1.5% for 4.9mm diameter probe.  The spatial resolution uncertainty is less than 1.0% for 2.5mm diameter probe

## **Boundary Detection Unit and Probe Mounting Device**

ALSAS-10U incorporates a boundary detection unit with a sensitivity of 0.05mm for detecting all types of surfaces. The robust design allows for detection during probe tilt (probe normalize) exercises, and utilizes a second stage emergency stop. The signal electronics are fed directly into the robot controller for high accuracy surface detection in lateral and axial detection modes (X, Y, & Z).

The probe is mounted directly onto the Boundary Detection unit for accurate tooling and displacement calculations controlled by the robot kinematics. The probe is connect to an isolated probe interconnect where the output stage of the probe is fed directly into the amplifier stage of the Daq-Paq.

## **Daq-Paq (Analog to Digital Electronics)**

ALSAS-10U incorporates a fully calibrated Daq-Paq (analog to digital conversion system) which has a 4 channel input stage, sent via a 2 stage auto-set amplifier module. The input signal is amplified accordingly so as to offer a dynamic range from  $5\mu V$  to 800mV. Integration of the fields measured is carried out at board level utilizing a Co-Processor which then sends the measured fields down into the main computational module in digitized form via an RS232 communications port. Probe linearity and duty cycle compensation is carried out within the main Daq-Paq module.

ADC	12 Bit
Amplifier Range	20 mV to 200 mV and 150 mV to 800 mV
Field Integration	Local Co-Processor utilizing proprietary integration algorithms
Number of Input Channels	4 in total 3 dedicated and 1 spare
Communication	Packet data via RS232

SAR Evaluation Report 11 of 60

#### **Axis Articulated Robot**

ALSAS-10U utilizes a six axis articulated robot, which is controlled using a Pentium based real-time movement controller. The movement kinematics engine utilizes proprietary (Thermo CRS) interpolation and extrapolation algorithms, which allow full freedom of movement for each of the six joints within the working envelope. Utilization of joint 6 allows for full probe rotation with a tolerance better than 0.05mm around the central axis.



Robot/Controller Manufacturer	Thermo CRS	
Number of Axis	Six independently controlled axis	
Positioning Repeatability	0.05 mm	
Controller Type	Single phase Pentium based C500C	
Robot Reach	710 mm	
Communication	RS232 and LAN compatible	

### **ALSAS Universal Workstation**

ALSAS Universal workstation allows for repeatability and fast adaptability. It allows users to do calibration, testing and measurements using different types of phantoms with one set up, which significantly speeds up the measurement process.

#### **Universal Device Positioner**

The universal device positioner allows complete freedom of movement of the EUT. Developed to hold a EUT in a free-space scenario any additional loading attributable to the material used in the construction of the positioner has been eliminated. Repeatability has been enhanced through the linear scales which form the design used to indicate positioning for any given test scenario in all major axes. A 15° tilt indicator is included for the of aid cheek to tilt movements for head SAR analysis. Overall uncertainty for measurements have been reduced due to the design of the Universal device positioner, which allows positioning of a device in as near to a free-space scenario as possible, and by providing the means for complete repeatability.

SAR Evaluation Report 12 of 60




## **Phantom Types**

The ALSAS-10U allows the integration of multiple phantom types. SAM Phantoms fully compliant with IEEE 1528, Universal Phantom, and Universal Flat.

## **APREL SAM Phantoms**

The SAM phantoms developed using the IEEE SAM CAD file. They are fully compliant with the requirements for both IEEE 1528 and FCC Supplement C. Both the left and right SAM phantoms are interchangeable, transparent and include the IEEE 1528 grid with visible NF and MB lines.



SAR Evaluation Report 13 of 60

#### **APREL Laboratories Universal Phantom**

The Universal Phantom is used on the ALSAS-10U as a system validation phantom. The Universal Phantom has been fully validated both experimentally from 30MHz to 6GHz and numerically using XFDTD numerical software.

The shell thickness is 2mm overall, with a 4mm spacer located at the NF/MB intersection providing an overall thickness of 6mm in line with the requirements of IEEE-1528.

The design allows for fast and accurate measurements, of handsets, by allowing the conservative SAR to be evaluated at on frequency for both left and right head experiments in one measurement.



SAR Evaluation Report 14 of 60

## **Tissue Dielectric Parameters for Head and Body Phantoms**

The head tissue dielectric parameters recommended by the IEEE SCC-34/SC-2 in P1528 have been incorporated in the following table. These head parameters are derived from planar layer models simulating the highest expected SAR for the dielectric properties and tissue thickness variations in a human head. Other head and body tissue parameters that have not been specified in P1528 are derived from the tissue dielectric parameters computed from the 4-Cole-Cole equations described in Reference [12] and extrapolated according to the head parameters specified in P1528.

Ingredients	Frequency (MHz)									
(% by weight)	450		835		915		1900		2450	
Tissue Type	Head	Body	Head	Body	Head	Body	Head	Body	Head	Body
Water	38.56	51.16	41.45	52.4	41.05	56.0	54.9	40.4	62.7	73.2
Salt (Nacl)	3.95	1.49	1.45	1.4	1.35	0.76	0.18	0.5	0.5	0.04
Sugar	56.32	46.78	56.0	45.0	56.5	41.76	0.0	58.0	0.0	0.0
HEC	0.98	0.52	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.21	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0
Bactericide	0.19	0.05	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.27	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
Triton x-100	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	36.8	0.0
DGBE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	44.92	0.0	0.0	26.7
Dielectric Constant	43.42	58.0	42.54	56.1	42.0	56.8	39.9	54.0	39.8	52.5
Conductivity (s/m)	0.85	0.83	0.91	0.95	1.0	1.07	1.42	1.45	1.88	1.78

## Recommended Tissue Dielectric Parameters for Head and Body

Frequency	Head	Tissue	Body Tissue		
(MHz)	Er	O' (S/m)	Er	O'(S/m)	
150	52.3	0.76	61.9	0.80	
300	45.3	0.87	58.2	0.92	
450	43.5	0.87	56.7	0.94	
835	41.5	0.90	55.2	0.97	
900	41.5	0.97	55.0	1.05	
915	41.5	0.98	55.0	1.06	
1450	40.5	1.20	54.0	1.30	
1610	40.3	1.29	53.8	1.40	
1800-2000	40.0	1.40	53.3	1.52	
2450	39.2	1.80	52.7	1.95	
3000	38.5	2.40	52.0	2.73	
5800	35.3	5.27	48.2	6.00	

SAR Evaluation Report 15 of 60

## **EQUIPMENT LIST AND CALIBRATION**


## **Equipments List & Calibration Information**

Equipment	Model	Calibration Date	Calibration Due Date	S/N
CRS F3 robot	ALS-F3	N/A	N/A	RAF0805352
CRS F3 Software	ALS-F3-SW	N/A	N/A	N/A
CRS C500C controller	ALS-C500	N/A	N/A	RCF0805379
Probe mounting device & Boundary Detection Sensor System	ALS-PMDPS-3	N/A	N/A	120-00270
Universal Work Station	ALS-UWS	N/A	N/A	100-00157
Data Acquisition Package	ALS-DAQ-PAQ-3	2015-12-14	2016-12-14	110-00212
Miniature E-Field Probe	ALS-E-020	2015-12-14	2016-12-14	500-00283
Loop, 150 MHz	CLA150	2014-05-08	2017-05-08	4004
Device holder/Positioner	ALS-H-E-SET-2	N/A	N/A	170-00510
UniPhantom	ALS-UM-FLAT	N/A	N/A	153-00104
Simulated Tissue 150 MHz Head	ALS-TS-150-H	Each Time	/	250-01302
Simulated Tissue 150 MHz Body	ALS-TS-150-B	Each Time	/	250-01304
Power Amplifier	5S1G4	N/A	N/A	71377
Attenuator	3dB	N/A	N/A	5402
Dielectric probe kit	HP85070B	2016-06-13	2017-06-13	US33020324
Network analyzer	8752C	2016-06-03	2017-06-03	3410A02356
Synthesized Sweeper	HP 8341B	2016-06-03	2017-06-03	2624A00116
Directional couple	DC6180A	2016-06-13	2017-06-13	0325849
EMI Test Receiver	ESCI	2016-06-13	2017-06-13	101746

SAR Evaluation Report 16 of 60

## SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM VERIFICATION

## **Liquid Verification**



Liquid Verification Setup Block Diagram

## **Liquid Verification Results**

Frequency	Liquid	Liquid Parameter		Target Value		Delta (%)		Tolerance	
(MHz)	Type	ε <sub>r</sub>	O (S/m)	$\epsilon_{\rm r}$	O (S/m)	$\Delta \epsilon_{ m r}$	$\Delta O'(S/m)$	(%)	
126 0250	Head	53.57	0.75	52.30	0.76	2.428	-1.316	±5	
136.0250	Body	62.73	0.81	61.90	0.80	1.341	1.250	±5	
142 0750	Head	53.29	0.76	52.30	0.76	1.893	0.000	±5	
143.9750	Body	62.79	0.81	61.90	0.80	1.438	1.250	±5	
151 0000	Head	53.30	0.79	52.30	0.76	1.912	3.947	±5	
151.0000	Body	62.91	0.80	61.90	0.80	1.632	0.000	±5	
150,0000	Head	53.07	0.77	52.30	0.76	1.472	1.316	±5	
158.0000	Body	63.07	0.82	61.90	0.80	1.890	2.500	±5	
165,0000	Head	53.22	0.79	52.30	0.76	1.759	3.947	±5	
165.0000	Body	62.99	0.80	61.90	0.80	1.761	0.000	±5	
172 0750	Head	53.30	0.78	52.30	0.76	1.912	2.632	±5	
173.9750	Body	62.88	0.81	61.90	0.80	1.583	1.250	±5	


<sup>\*</sup>Liquid Verification was performed on 2016-06-16

SAR Evaluation Report 17 of 60

## **System Accuracy Verification**

Prior to the assessment, the system validation kit was used to test whether the system was operating within its specifications of  $\pm 10\%$ . The validation results are tabulated below. And also the corresponding SAR plot is attached as well in the SAR plots files.

## **System Verification Setup Block Diagram**



## **System Accuracy Check Results**

Date	Frequency (MHz)	Liquid Type	Measured SAR (W/Kg)		Target Value (W/Kg)	Delta (%)	Tolerance (%)
2016-06-16	150	Head	1g	3.529	3.75	-5.893	±10
		Body	1g	3.503	3.81	-8.058	±10

<sup>\*</sup>All SAR values are normalized to 1 Watt forward power.

SAR Evaluation Report 18 of 60

#### SAR SYSTEM VALIDATION DATA

Test Laboratory: Bay Area Compliance Lab Corp. (Shenzhen)

## System Performance Check 150 MHz Head Liquid

Loop150 MHz; Type: CLA150; S/N:4004

Product Data

Device Name : Loop 150 MHz

Serial No. · 4004 Type : Loop : CLÅ150 Model Frequency Band : 150 Max. Transmit Pwr : 1 W Drift Time : 3 min(s) Power Drift-Start : 3.312 W/kg Power Drift-Finish : 3.286 W/kg Power Drift (%) : -0.785

Phantom Data

Name : APREL-Uni Type : Uni-Phantom Serial No. : System Default

Location : Center Description : Default

Phantom Data

Tissue Data

: Head Type Serial No. : 250-01302 Frequency : 150.00MHz Last Calib. Date : 16-Jun-2016 : 20.00 °C Temperature Ambient Temp. : 21.00 °C : 56.00 RH% Humidity : 53.36 F/m Epsilon Sigma : 0.78 S/m

Density : 1000.00 kg/cu. m

Probe Data

Name : E-Field Model : E-020

Type : E-Field Triangle Serial No. : 500-00283 Last Calib. Date : 14-Dec-2015

Frequency Band : 150 Duty Cycle Factor : 1 Conversion Factor : 6.0

Probe Sensitivity : 1.20 1.20  $\mu V/(V/m)$ 2

Compression Point : 95.00 mV Offset : 1.56 mm

Measurement Data


Crest Factor : 1

Scan Type : Complete Tissue Temp. : 21.00 °C Ambient Temp. : 21.00 °C

Area Scan : 12x17x1 : Measurement x=10mm, y=10mm, z=4mm Zoom Scan : 7x7x7 : Measurement x=5mm, y=5mm, z=5mm

SAR Evaluation Report 19 of 60

1 gram SAR value : 3.529 W/kg 10 gram SAR value : 2.326 W/kg Area Scan Peak SAR : 3.575 W/kg Zoom Scan Peak SAR : 5.420 W/kg



150 MHz System Validation with Head Tissue

SAR Evaluation Report 20 of 60

#### System Performance Check 150 MHz Body Liquid

### Loop 150 MHz; Type: CLA150; S/N: 4004

Product Data

Device Name : Loop 150 MHz

Serial No. : 4004 Type : Loop Model : CAL150 Frequency Band : 150 Max. Transmit Pwr : 1 W Drift Time  $: 3 \min(s)$ : 3.373 W/kg Power Drift-Start : 3.416 W/kg Power Drift-Finish Power Drift (%) : 1.277

Phantom Data

Name : APREL-Uni Type : Uni-Phantom Serial No. : System Default

Location : Center Description : Default

Phantom Data

Tissue Data

: Body Type : 250-01304 Serial No. : 150.00MHz Frequency Last Calib. Date : 16-Jun-2016 Temperature : 20.00 °C : 21.00 °C Ambient Temp. : 56.00 RH% Humidity : 62.72 F/m Epsilon Sigma : 0.80 S/m Density : 1000.00 kg/cu. m

Probe Data

Name : E-Field Model : E-020

Type : E-Field Triangle Serial No. : 500-00283 Last Calib. Date : 14-Dec-2015

Frequency Band : 150 Duty Cycle Factor : 1 Conversion Factor : 6.0

Probe Sensitivity : 1.20 1.20 1.20  $\mu V/(V/m)$ 2

Compression Point : 95.00 mV Offset : 1.56 mm

Measurement Data


Crest Factor : 1

Scan Type : Complete Tissue Temp. : 21.00 °C Ambient Temp. : 21.00 °C

Area Scan : 12x17x1 : Measurement x=10mm, y=10mm, z=4mm Zoom Scan : 7x7x7 : Measurement x=5mm, y=5mm, z=5mm

SAR Evaluation Report 21 of 60

1 gram SAR value : 3.503 W/kg 10 gram SAR value : 2.373 W/kg Area Scan Peak SAR : 3.546 W/kg Zoom Scan Peak SAR : 5.304 W/kg




150 MHz System Validation with Body Tissue

SAR Evaluation Report 22 of 60

#### **EUT TEST STRATEGY AND METHODOLOGY**

## **Test Positions for front-of-face configurations**

A typical example of a front-of-face device is a two-way radio that is held at a distance from the face of the user when transmitting. In these cases the device under test shall be positioned at the distance to the phantom surface that corresponds to the intended use as specified by the manufacturer in the user instructions. If the intended use is not specified, a separation distance of 25 mm5 between the phantom surface and the device shall be used.



## Test positions for body-worn and other configurations

Body-worn operating configurations should be tested with the belt-clips and holsters attached to the device and positioned against a flat phantom in normal use configurations. Devices with a headset output should be tested with a headset connected to the device. When multiple accessories that do not contain metallic components are supplied with the device, the device may be tested with only the accessory that dictates the closest spacing to the body. When multiple accessories that contain metallic components are supplied with the device, the device must be tested with each accessory that contains a unique metallic component. If multiple accessories share an identical metallic component (e.g., the same metallic belt-clip used with different holsters with no other metallic components), only the accessory that dictates the closest spacing to the body must be tested.

Body-worn accessories may not always be supplied or available as options for some devices that are intended to be authorized for body-worn use. A separation distance of 1.5 cm between the back of the device and a flat phantom is recommended for testing body-worn SAR compliance under such circumstances. Other separation distances may be used, but they should not exceed 2.5 cm. In these cases, the device may use body-worn accessories that provide a separation distance greater than that tested for the device provided however that the accessory contains no metallic components.




Figure 5 - Test positions for body-worn devices

SAR Evaluation Report 23 of 60

### **For EUT Positioning Procedures**

The EUT is a portable device operational at the body and face. The intended operating positions are "at the face" with the EUT at least 2.5cm from the mouth, and "at the body" by means of the offered body worn accessories. Body worn audio and PTT operation is accomplished by means of optional remote accessories that are connected to the radio.

#### **Body**

The EUT was positioned in normal use configuration against the phantom with the offered body worn accessory with the offered audio accessories as applicable

#### Head

Not applicable

#### Face

The EUT was positioned with its' front side separated 2.5cm from the phantom

#### **SAR Evaluation Procedure**

The evaluation was performed with the following procedure:

- Step 1: Measurement of the SAR value at a fixed location above the ear point or central position was used as a reference value for assessing the power drop. The SAR at this point is measured at the start of the test and then again at the end of the testing.
- Step 2: The SAR distribution at the exposed side of the head was measured at a distance of 4 mm from the inner surface of the shell. The area covered the entire dimension of the head or EUT and the horizontal grid spacing was 10 mm x 10 mm. Based on these data, the area of the maximum absorption was determined by spline interpolation. The first Area Scan covers the entire dimension of the EUT to ensure that the hotspot was correctly identified.
- Step 3: Around this point, a volume of 30 mm x 30 mm x 30 mm was assessed by measuring 7x 7 x 7 points. On the basis of this data set, the spatial peak SAR value was evaluated under the following procedure:
  - 1) The data at the surface were extrapolated, since the center of the dipoles is 1.2 mm away from the tip of the probe and the distance between the surface and the lowest measuring point is 1.3 mm. The extrapolation was based on a least square algorithm. A polynomial of the fourth order was calculated through the points in z-axes. This polynomial was then used to evaluate the points between the surface and the probe tip.
  - 2) The maximum interpolated value was searched with a straightforward algorithm. Around this maximum the SAR values averaged over the spatial volumes (1 g or 10 g) were computed by the 3D-Spline interpolation algorithm. The 3D-Spline is composed of three one dimensional splines with the "Not a knot"-condition (in x, y and z-directions). The volume was integrated with the trapezoidal-algorithm. One thousand points (10 x 10 x 10) were interpolated to calculate the averages.

All neighboring volumes were evaluated until no neighboring volume with a higher average value was found.

Step 4: Re-measurement of the SAR value at the same location as in Step 1. If the value changed by more than 5%, the evaluation was repeated.

## Test methodology

IEC62209-2:2010 IEEE1528:2013 KDB 447498 D01 v06

KDB 865664 D01 v01r04

KDB 643646 D01 v01r03

KDB Inquiry: Tracking Number 316436

SAR Evaluation Report 24 of 60


## CONDUCTED OUTPUT POWER MEASUREMENT

## **Provision Applicable**

The measured peak output power should be greater and within 5% than EMI measurement.

## **Test Procedure**

The RF output of the transmitter was connected to the input of the Signal Analyzer through sufficient attenuation.



## **Maximum Output Power among production units**

Max. tune-up tolerance power limit for Production Unit (dBm)						
PTT/Mode	Frequency(136-174 MHz)					
Digital-12.5K	30.60					
Analog-12.5K	30.00					

## **Test Results:**

Mode	Frequency Spacing (kHz)	Frequency (MHz)	Output(dBm)	Output Power(W)	Power level
		136.0250	30.13	1.030	High
		143.9750	30.35	1.084	High
Digital	12.5	151.0000	30.42	1.102	High
Digital	12.5	158.0000	30.46	1.112	High
		165.0000	30.37	1.089	High
		173.9750	30.40	1.096	High
		136.0250	30.10	1.023	High
		143.9750	30.34	1.081	High
Analaa	12.5	151.0000	30.43	1.104	High
Analog	12.3	158.0000	30.39	1.094	High
		165.0000	30.42	1.102	High
		173.9750	30.51	1.125	High

**Note:** The measurement was made in the room temperature.

SAR Evaluation Report 25 of 60

## SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS

This page summarizes the results of the performed dosimetric evaluation.

## **SAR Test Data**

## **Environmental Conditions**

Temperature:	23 ℃
Relative Humidity:	50%
ATM Pressure:	1002 mbar

<sup>\*</sup> Testing was performed by Wilson Chen on 2016-06-16.

## **Test Result:**

## Digital (Modulation 4FSK; Channel Spacing 12.5 kHz):

	Frequency	Power	Max. Meas.	Max. Rated	1 g SAR Value(W/Kg)						
Model	(MHz)	Drift (%)	Power (dBm)	Power (dBm)	Scaled Factor	Meas. SAR	Scaled SAR	50%	Plot		
			Fac	e up (2.5c	m)						
	136.0250	0.868	30.13	30.60	1.114	0.225	0.251	0.125	/		
	143.9750	-1.911	30.35	30.60	1.059	0.305	0.323	0.162	1#		
PD795IS VHF	151.0000	1.754	30.42	30.60	1.042	0.243	0.253	0.127	/		
PD/9315 VIII	158.0000	-0.637	30.46	30.60	1.033	0.275	0.284	0.142	/		
	165.0000	-1.735	30.37	30.60	1.054	0.251	0.265	0.132	/		
	173.9750	-1.529	30.40	30.60	1.047	0.213	0.223	0.112	/		
		Во	ody-Back v	with Belt (	Clip (0.0cm	n)					
	136.0250	2.333	30.13	30.60	1.114	0.607	0.676	0.338	/		
	143.9750	0.727	30.35	30.60	1.059	0.681	0.721	0.349	2#		
PD795IS VHF	151.0000	1.015	30.42	30.60	1.042	0.620	0.646	0.361	/		
PD/9313 VHF	158.0000	-2.984	30.46	30.60	1.033	0.643	0.664	0.323	/		
	165.0000	-2.495	30.37	30.60	1.054	0.618	0.652	0.332	/		
	173.9750	0.907	30.40	30.60	1.047	0.537	0.562	0.326	/		

SAR Evaluation Report 26 of 60

## Analog (Modulation FM; Channel Spacing 12.5 kHz):

	Frequency	Power Max. Max. Rated		1 g SAI	R Value(W/Kg	<u>;</u> )			
Mode	(MHz)	Drift (%)	Power (dBm)	Power (dBm)	Scaled Factor	Meas. SAR	Scaled SAR	50%	Plot
			Fa	ce up (2.5	cm)				
	136.0250	1.632	30.10	30.60	1.122	0.589	0.661	0.330	/
	143.9750	1.185	30.34	30.60	1.062	0.686	0.728	0.364	3#
PD795IS VHF	151.0000	0.765	30.43	30.60	1.040	0.637	0.662	0.331	/
PD/9313 VHF	158.0000	-0.779	30.39	30.60	1.050	0.652	0.684	0.342	/
	165.0000	-2.047	30.42	30.60	1.042	0.631	0.658	0.329	/
	173.9750	0.761	30.51	30.60	1.021	0.520	0.531	0.265	/
		В	Body-Back	with Belt	Clip (0.0ci	m)			
	136.0250	-2.653	30.10	30.60	1.122	1.467	1.646	0.823	/
	143.9750	-1.103	30.34	30.60	1.062	1.620	1.720	0.860	4#
PD795IS VHF	151.0000	1.304	30.43	30.60	1.040	1.533	1.594	0.797	/
	158.0000	-1.556	30.39	30.60	1.050	1.456	1.528	0.764	/
	165.0000	-1.420	30.42	30.60	1.042	1.577	1.644	0.822	/
	173.9750	2.026	30.51	30.60	1.021	1.423	1.453	0.726	/

#### Note:

- 1. When the 1-g SAR tested using the default battery and default accessories is  $\leq 3.5W/Kg$  (corrected by Multiplying 50% for PTT mode), testing for other channels are optional.
- 2. For a PTT, only simplex communication technology was supported, so the SAR value need to be corrected by Multiplying 50%.
- 3. Passive body-worn and audio accessories generally do not apply to the head SAR of PTT radios.
- 4. The whole antenna and radiating structures that may contribute to the measured SAR or influence the SAR distribution has been included in the area scan.

SAR Evaluation Report 27 of 60

## SAR Plots (Summary of the Highest SAR Values)

#### Test Laboratory: Bay Area Compliance Lab Corp. (Shenzhen)

## Face-Up 2.5cm (Digital 12.5k-143.9750 MHz)

Measurement Data

Modulation mode : 4FSK
Crest Factor : 2
Scan Type : Complete

Area Scan : 15x9x1: Measurement x=10mm, y=10mm, z=4mm Zoom Scan : 7x7x7: Measurement x=5mm, y=5mm, z=5mm

Power Drift-Start : 0.314 W/kg Power Drift-Finish : 0.308 W/kg Power Drift (%) : -1.911

Tissue Data

Type : Head

Frequency : 143.9750 MHz
Epsilon : 53.29 F/m
Sigma : 0.76 S/m
Density : 1000.00 kg/cu. m

Probe Data


Serial No. : 500-00283
Frequency Band : 150
Duty Cycle Factor : 2
Conversion Factor : 6.0

Probe Sensitivity : 1.20 1.20 1.20  $\mu V/(V/m)^2$ 

Compression Point : 95.00 mV Offset : 1.56 mm

1 gram SAR value : 0.305 W/kg 10 gram SAR value : 0.242 W/kg Area Scan Peak SAR : 0.316 W/kg Zoom Scan Peak SAR : 0.422 W/kg

Plot 1#



SAR Evaluation Report 28 of 60

## Back-Worn 0.0cm (Digital 12.5k-143.9750 MHz)

Measurement Data

Modulation mode : 4FSK
Crest Factor : 2
Scan Type : Complete

Area Scan : 15x9x1: Measurement x=10mm, y=10mm, z=4mm Zoom Scan : 7x7x7: Measurement x=5mm, y=5mm, z=5mm

Power Drift-Start : 0.689 W/kg Power Drift-Finish : 0.694 W/kg Power Drift (%) : 0.727

Tissue Data

Type : Body

 Frequency
 : 143.9750 MHz

 Epsilon
 : 62.79 F/m

 Sigma
 : 0.81 S/m

 Density
 : 1000.00 kg/cu. m

Probe Data


Serial No. : 500-00283
Frequency Band : 150
Duty Cycle Factor : 2
Conversion Factor : 6.0

Probe Sensitivity : 1.20 1.20 1.20  $\mu V/(V/m)2$ 

Compression Point : 95.00 mV Offset : 1.56 mm

1 gram SAR value : 0.681 W/kg 10 gram SAR value : 0.507 W/kg Area Scan Peak SAR : 0.720 W/kg Zoom Scan Peak SAR : 1.067 W/kg

Plot 2#



SAR Evaluation Report 29 of 60

## Face-Up 2.5cm (Analog 12.5k-143.9750 MHz)

Measurement Data

Modulation mode : FM Crest Factor : 1

Scan Type : Complete

Area Scan : 15x9x1: Measurement x=10mm, y=10mm, z=4mm Zoom Scan : 7x7x7: Measurement x=5mm, y=5mm, z=5mm

Power Drift-Start : 0.675 W/kg Power Drift-Finish : 0.683 W/kg Power Drift (%) : 1.185

Tissue Data

Type : Head

 Frequency
 : 143.9750 MHz

 Epsilon
 : 53.29 F/m

 Sigma
 : 0.76 S/m

 Density
 : 1000.00 kg/cu. m

Probe Data


Serial No. : 500-00283
Frequency Band : 150
Duty Cycle Factor : 2
Conversion Factor : 6.0

Probe Sensitivity : 1.20 1.20 1.20  $\mu V/(V/m)$ 2

Compression Point : 95.00 mV Offset : 1.56 mm

1 gram SAR value : 0.686 W/kg 10 gram SAR value : 0.516 W/kg Area Scan Peak SAR : 0.722 W/kg Zoom Scan Peak SAR : 1.077 W/kg

Plot 3#



SAR Evaluation Report 30 of 60

#### Back-Worn 0.0cm (Analog 12.5k-143.9750 MHz)

Measurement Data

Modulation mode : FM Crest Factor : 1

Scan Type : Complete

Area Scan : 15x9x1: Measurement x=10mm, y=10mm, z=4mm Zoom Scan : 7x7x7: Measurement x=5mm, y=5mm, z=5mm

Power Drift-Start : 1.625 W/kg Power Drift-Finish : 1.607 W/kg Power Drift (%) : -1.103

Tissue Data

Type : Body

 Frequency
 : 143.9750 MHz

 Epsilon
 : 62.79 F/m

 Sigma
 : 0.81 S/m

 Density
 : 1000.00 kg/cu. m

Probe Data


Serial No. : 500-00283
Frequency Band : 150
Duty Cycle Factor : 2
Conversion Factor : 6.0

Probe Sensitivity : 1.20 1.20 1.20  $\mu V/(V/m)$ 2

Compression Point : 95.00 mV Offset : 1.56 mm

1 gram SAR value : 1.620 W/kg 10 gram SAR value : 1.162 W/kg Area Scan Peak SAR : 1.668 W/kg Zoom Scan Peak SAR : 2.289 W/kg

Plot 4#



SAR Evaluation Report 31 of 60

## APPENDIX A – MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

According to IEEE1528:2013, the uncertainty budget has been determined for the Head SAR measurement system and is given in the following Table.

Source of Uncertainty	Tolerance Value	Probability Distribution	Divisor	c <sub>i</sub> <sup>1</sup> (1-g)	c <sub>i</sub> <sup>1</sup> (10-g)	Standard Uncertainty (1-g) %	Standard Uncertainty (10-g) %
		Measure	ment Syst	em			
Probe Calibration	3.5	normal	1	1	1	3.5	3.5
Axial Isotropy	3.7	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	$(1-cp)^{1/2}$	$(1-cp)^1$	1.5	1.5
Hemispherical Isotropy	10.9	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	√ср	√ср	4.4	4.4
Boundary Effect	1.0	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.6	0.6
Linearity	4.7	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.7	2.7
Detection Limit	1.0	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.6	0.6
Readout Electronics	1.0	normal	1	1	1	1.0	1.0
Response Time	0.8	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.5	0.5
Integration Time	1.7	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.0	1.0
RF Ambient Condition -Noise	0.6	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.3	0.3
RF Ambient Condition - Reflections	3.0	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.7	1.7
Probe Positioner Mech. Restrictions	0.4	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.2	0.2
Probe Positioning with respect to Phantom Shell	2.9	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.7	1.7
Extrapolation and Integration	3.7	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.1	2.1
		Test sai	nple relate	ed			
Test sample positioning	2.0	normal	1	1	1	2.0	2.0
Device Holder Uncertainty	4.0	normal	1	1	1	6.215	6.215
Drift of Output Power	5.0	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.67	2.67
		Phantoi	n and Setu	ıp			
Phantom Uncertainty	3.4	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.0	2.0
SAR correction in permittivity and conductivity	1.2	normal	1	1	0.85	1.2	1.0
Liquid conductivity measurement	5.0	normal	1	0.78	0.71	3.9	3.6
Liquid permittivity measurement	5.0	normal	1	0.25	0.29	1.3	1.5
conductivity—temperat ure	1.1	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.78	0.71	0.5	0.5
permittivity—temperatu re	1.3	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.23	0.23	0.2	0.2
Combined Uncertainty		RSS				10.78	10.55
Expanded uncertainty (coverage factor=2)		Normal(k=2)				21.56	21.10

SAR Evaluation Report 32 of 60

According to IEC62209-2:2010, the uncertainty budget has been determined for the Body SAR measurement system and is given in the following Table.

Source of Uncertainty	Tolerance Value	Probability Distribution	Divisor	c <sub>i</sub> <sup>1</sup> (1-g)	c <sub>i</sub> <sup>1</sup> (10-g)	Standard Uncertainty (1-g) %	Standard Uncertainty (10-g) %
		Measure	ment Syst	em			
Probe Calibration	3.5	normal	1	1	1	3.5	3.5
Axial Isotropy	3.7	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.5	1.5
Boundary Effect	1.0	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.6	0.6
Linearity	4.7	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.7	2.7
Detection Limit	1.0	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.6	0.6
Readout Electronics	1.0	normal	1	1	1	1.0	1.0
Response Time	0.8	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.5	0.5
Integration Time	1.7	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.0	1.0
RF Ambient Condition -Noise	0.6	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.3	0.3
RF Ambient Condition - Reflections	3.0	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.7	1.7
Probe Positioner Mech. Restrictions	0.4	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.2	0.2
Probe Positioning with respect to Phantom Shell	2.9	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.7	1.7
Extrapolation and Integration	3.7	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.1	2.1
		Test sar	nple relate	ed		1	
Test sample positioning	2.0	normal	1	1	1	2.0	2.0
Device Holder Uncertainty	4.0	normal	1	1	1	6.215	6.215
Drift of Output Power	5.0	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.67	2.67
		Phantor	n and Setu	<b>л</b> р			
Phantom Uncertainty	3.4	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.0	2.0
SAR correction in permittivity and conductivity	1.2	normal	1	1	0.84	1.2	1.0
Liquid conductivity measurement	5.0	normal	1	0.78	0.71	3.9	3.6
Liquid permittivity measurement	5.0	normal	1	0.23	0.26	1.3	1.5
conductivity—temperat ure	1.1	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.78	0.71	0.5	0.5
permittivity—temperatu re	1.3	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.23	0.26	0.2	0.2
Combined Uncertainty		RSS			-	9.58	9.49
Expanded uncertainty (coverage factor=2)		Normal(k=2)				19.16	18.98

SAR Evaluation Report 33 of 60

## APPENDIX B – PROBE CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES

#### **NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES**

Calibration File No.: PC-1654

Task No: BACL-5805

## CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

It is certified that the equipment identified below has been calibrated in the NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES by qualified personnel following recognized procedures and using transfer standards traceable to NRC/NIST.

Equipment: Miniature Isotropic RF Probe Record of Calibration Head and Body Manufacturer: APREL Inc.

Model No.: ALS-E020 Serial No.: 500-00283

Calibration Procedure: D01-032-E020-V2, D22-012-Tissue, D28-002-Dipole

Project No: BACL-5805

Calibrated: 12<sup>th</sup> December 2015 Released on: 14<sup>th</sup> December 2015

This Calibration Certificate is Incomplete Unless Accompanied with the Calibration Results Summary

Released By:

Art Brennan, Quality Manager

NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES

Suite 102, 303 Terry Fox Dr, OTTAWA, ONTARIO CANADA K2K 3J1 Division of APREL Lab. TEL: (613) 435-8300 FAX: (613) 435-8306

SAR Evaluation Report 34 of 60

#### **NCL Calibration Laboratories**

Division of APREL Inc.

#### Introduction

This Calibration Report reproduces the results of the calibration performed in line with the references listed below. Calibration is performed using accepted methodologies as per the references listed below. Probes are calibrated for air, and tissue and the values reported are the results from the physical quantification.

#### **Calibration Method**

Probes are calibrated using the following methods.

<800 MH3

TEM Cell for sensitivity in air

Standard phantom using temperature transfer method for sensitivity in tissue

>800 MHz

Waveguide\* method to determine sensitivity in air and tissue

\*Waveguide is numerically (simulation) assessed to determine the field distribution and power

The boundary effect for the probe is assessed using a standard flat phantom where the probe output is compared against a numerically simulated series of data points

#### References

- IEEE Standard 1528:2013
  - IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques
- o IEC 62209-1:2006
  - Human Exposure to RF Fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices Human models. instrumentation, and procedures Part 1: Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held mobile wireless devices
- o IEC 62209-2:2010
  - Human exposure to RF fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless devices Human models, instrumentation, and procedures Part 2: specific absorption rate (SAR) for wireless communication devices (30 MHz 6 GHz)
- o TP-D01-032-E020-V2 E-Field probe calibration procedure
- o D22-012-Tissue dielectric tissue calibration procedure
- D28-002-Dipole procedure for validation of SAR system using a dipole
- IEEE 1309 Standard for Calibration of Electromagnetic Field Sensors and Probes, Excluding Antennas, from 9kHz to 40GHz

Page 2 of 10 Probe S/N 500-00283

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 35 of 60

#### **NCL Calibration Laboratories**

Division of APREL Inc.

#### Conditions

Probe 500-00283 was a recalibration.

Ambient Temperature of the Laboratory:  $20 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$  +/-  $1.5^{\circ}\text{C}$  Temperature of the Tissue:  $21 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$  +/-  $1.5^{\circ}\text{C}$  Relative Humidity: < 60%

#### **Primary Measurement Standards**

 Instrument
 Serial Number
 Cal due date

 Power Meter Tektronix USB
 11C940
 Apr 2, 2017

 Signal Generator Agilent E4438C
 MY45094463
 Dec 11, 2017

#### Secondary Measurement Standards

Network Analyzer Anritsu 37347C 002106 Feb. 4, 2017

#### Attestation

The below named signatories have conducted the calibration and review of the data which is presented in this calibration report.

We the undersigned attest that to the best of our knowledge the calibration of this subject has been accurately conducted and that all information contained within the results pages have been reviewed for accuracy.

Art Brennan, Quality Manager

Dan Brooks, Test Engineer

Page 3 of 10 Probe S/N 500-00283
This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 36 of 60

Division of APREL Inc.

#### **Probe Summary**

Probe Type: E-Field Probe E-020

500-00283 Serial Number:

Frequency: As presented on page 5

Sensor Offset: 1.56 Sensor Length: 2.5

Tip Enclosure: Composite\*

Tip Diameter: < 2.9 mm

Tip Length: 55 mm

Total Length: 289 mm

95 mV **Diode Compression Point:** 

#### Sensitivity in Air

Frequency Range	Channel X, μV/(V/m) <sup>2</sup>	Channel Y, µV/(V/m) <sup>2</sup>	Channel Z, $\mu V/(V/m)^2$	Tolerance, μV/(V/m)²
450 MHz	1.212	1.205	1.199	±0.004
750 MHz, 835 MHz 900 MHz	1.212	1.21	1.209	±0.004
1 GHz – 4 GHz	1.21	1.21	1.207	±0.004
5 GHz – 6 GHz	1.2	1.192	1.19	±0.005

Page 4 of 10
This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document. Probe S/N 500-00283

SAR Evaluation Report 37 of 60

<sup>\*</sup>Resistive to recommended tissue recipes per IEEE-1528

Division of APREL Inc.

Calibration for Tissue (Head H, Body B)

Frequency	Tissue Type	Measured Epsilon	Measured Sigma	Standard Uncertainty (%)	Calibration Frequency Range (MHz)	Conversion Factor
450 H	Head	43.5	0.84	3.5	±50	5.7
450 B	Body	56.77	0.93	3.5	±50	5.8
750 H	Head	42.92	0.92	3.5	±50	6.0
750 B	Body	55.57	0.93	3.5	±50	5.9
835 H	Head	43.44	0.94	3.5	±50	5.9
835 B	Body	54.91	1.00	3.5	±50	5.9
900 H	Head	41.05	1.01	3.5	±50	6.0
900 B	Body	54.86	1.04	3.5	±50	5.9
1450 H	Head	X	Х	X	X	Х
1450 B	Body	X	Х	X	Х	Х
1500 H	Head	X	X	X	X	Х
1500 B	Body	X	Х	X	X	X
1640 H	Head	X	Х	X	X	X
1640 B	Body	X	Х	X	X	Х
1750 H	Head	38.58	1.36	3.5	±75	5.4
1750 B	Body	51.5	1.52	3.5	±75	5.3
1800 H	Head	X	Х	X	X	X
1800 B	Body	X	Х	X	X	Х
1900 H	Head	40.72	1.37	3.5	±75	4.8
1900 B	Body	52.29	1.58	3.5	±75	4.8
2000 H	Head	X	X	X	X	X
2000 B	Body	Х	Х	X	X	Х
2100 H	Head	X	Х	X	X	Х
2100 B	Body	Х	X	X	X	X
2300 H	Head	Х	Х	X	X	Х
2300 B	Body	X	Х	X	X	Х
2450 H	Head	37.35	<b>1.85</b>	3.5	±75	4.8
2450B	Body	53.26	<b>1.96</b>	3.5	±75	4.3
3000 H	Head	X	X	X	X	X
3000 B	Body	X	Х	X	X	X
3600 H	Head	37.24	3.14	3.5	±100	4.4
3600 B	Body	50.23	3.81	3.5	±100	4.1
5250 H	Head	35.05	4.65	3.5	±100	3.1
5250 B	Body	46.24	<b>5.11</b>	3.5	±100	2.9
5600 H	Head	34.95	5.06	3.5	±100	3.0
5600 B	Body	45.95	5.73	3.5	±100	2.4
5800 H	Head	34.57	5.27	3.5	±100	3.1
5800 B	Body	46.01	6.10	3.5	±100	2.6

Page 5 of 10
This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

Probe S/N 500-00283

Division of APREL Inc.

## **Boundary Effect:**

Uncertainty resulting from the boundary effect is less than 2.1% for the distance between the tip of the probe and the tissue boundary, when less than 0.58mm.

#### **Spatial Resolution:**

The spatial resolution uncertainty is less than 1.5% for 4.9mm diameter probe. The spatial resolution uncertainty is less than 1.0% for 2.5mm diameter probe.

#### **DAQ-PAQ Contribution**

To minimize the uncertainty calculation all tissue sensitivity values were calculated using a load impedance of 5 M $\Omega$ .

#### **Probe Calibration Uncertainty**


Uncertainty component	Tolerance (±%)	Probability distribution	Divisor	Standard uncertainty (±%)
Incident or forward power	2.5	R	√3	1.44
Reflected power	2	R	√3	1.15
Liquid conductivity measurement	1	R	√3	0.58
Liquid permittivity measurement	1	R	√3	0.58
Liquid conductivity deviation	1.5	R	√3	0.87
Liquid permittivity deviation	1.5	R	√3	0.87
Frequency deviation	2.25	R	√3	1.30
Field homogeneity	2.5	R	√3	1.44
Field-probe positioning	2.5	R	√3	1.44
Field-probe linearity	1.55	R	√3	0.89
Combined standard uncertainty		RSS		3.50

Page 6 of 10
This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document. Probe S/N 500-00283

39 of 60 SAR Evaluation Report

Division of APREL Inc.


# **Receiving Pattern Air**





Page 7 of 10 Probe S/N 500-00283
This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 40 of 60

Division of APREL Inc.








Page 8 of 10
This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

Probe S/N 500-00283

**SAR Evaluation Report** 41 of 60


Division of APREL Inc.

## Dynamic Range



#### Video Bandwidth

#### **Probe Frequency Characteristics**



Video Bandwidth at 500 Hz Video Bandwidth at 1.02 KHz: 1 dB 3 dB

Page 9 of 10
This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document. Probe S/N 500-00283

SAR Evaluation Report 42 of 60

#### ANNEX

#### PROBE ALS-E020 S/N 500-00283 CALIBRATION

#### Conditions

Ambient Temperature of the laboratory: 20  $^{\circ}$ C +/- 1.5 $^{\circ}$ C Temperature of the Tissue: 21  $^{\circ}$ C +/- 1.5 $^{\circ}$ C Relative Humidity: < 55%

Frequency	Tissue Type	Measured Epsilon	Measured Sigma	Standard Uncertainty (%)	Calibration Frequency Range (MHz)	Conversion Factor
150 H	Head	50.6	0.78	3.5	±50	6.0
150 B	Body	60.8	0.82	3.5	±50	6.0

## **Probe Calibration Uncertainty**

Uncertainty component	Tolerance (± %)	Probability distribution	Divisor	Standard uncertainty (± %)
Incident or forward power	2.5	R	√3	1.44
Reflected power	2	R	√3	1.15
Liquid conductivity measurement	1	R	√3	0.58
Liquid permittivity measurement	1	R	√3	0.58
Liquid conductivity deviation	1.5	R	√3	0.87
Liquid permittivity deviation	1.5	R	√3	0.87
Frequency deviation	2.25	R	√3	1.30
Field homogeneity	2.5	R	√3	1.44
Field-probe positioning	2.5	R	√3	1.44
Field-probe linearity	1.55	R	√3	0.89
Combined standard uncertainty		RSS		3.50

SAR Evaluation Report 43 of 60

## APPENDIX C – DIPOLE CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES

Calibration Laboratory of Schmid & Partner Engineering AG Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland





C

Accreditation No.: SCS 108

Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst Service suisse d'étalonnage Servizio svizzero di taratura Swiss Calibration Service

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)

The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Client

BACL

Certificate No: CLA150-4004\_May14

Issued: May 8, 2014

## **CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE**

Object CLA150 - SN: 4004

Calibration procedure(s) QA CAL-15.v8

Calibration procedure for system validation sources below 700 MHz

Calibration date: May 08, 2014

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI). The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature  $(22 \pm 3)^{\circ}$ C and humidity < 70%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Certificate No: CLA150-4004\_May14

Primary Standards	ID#	Cal Date (Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter E4419B	GB41293874	03-Apr-14 (No. 217-01911)	Apr-15
Power sensor E4412A	MY41498087	03-Apr-14 (No. 217-01911)	Apr-15
Reference 3 dB Attenuator	SN: S5054 (3c)	03-Apr-14 (No. 217-01915)	Apr-15
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: S5058 (20k)	03-Apr-14 (No. 217-01918)	Apr-15
Type-N mismatch combination	SN: 5047.2 / 06327	03-Apr-14 (No. 217-01921)	Apr-15
Reference Probe EX3DV4	SN: 3877	06-Jan-14 (No. EX3-3877_Jan14)	Jan-15
DAE4	SN: 654	18-Jul-13 (No. DAE4-654_Jul13)	Jul-14
Secondary Standards	ID#	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
RF generator HP 8648C	US3642U01700	04-Aug-99 (in house check Apr-13)	In house check: Apr-16
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585 S4206	18-Oct-01 (in house check Oct-13)	In house check: Oct-14
	Name	Function	Signature
Calibrated by:	Israe El-Naouq	Laboratory Technician	Mren Chraceng
Approved by:	Katja Pokovic	Technical Manager	

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.

SAR Evaluation Report 44 of 60

Page 1 of 8

## Calibration Laboratory of

Schmid & Partner
Engineering AG
Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland





S Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst
C Service suisse d'étalonnage
Servizio svizzero di taratura
S Swiss Calibration Service

Accreditation No.: SCS 108

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)

The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

#### Glossary:

TSL tissue simulating liquid

ConvF sensitivity in TSL / NORM x,y,z N/A not applicable or not measured

#### Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

- a) IEC 62209-2, "Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)", February 2013
- b) KDB 865664, "SAR Measurement Requirements for 100 MHz to 6 GHz"

#### **Additional Documentation:**

c) DASY4/5 System Handbook

### Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- Measurement Conditions: Further details are available from the Validation Report at the end
  of the certificate. All figures stated in the certificate are valid at the frequency indicated.
- Antenna Parameters with TSL: The source is mounted in a touch configuration below the center marking of the flat phantom.
- Return Loss: This parameter is measured with the source positioned under the liquid filled phantom (as described in the measurement condition clause). The Return Loss ensures low reflected power. No uncertainty required.
- SAR measured: SAR measured at the stated antenna input power.
- SAR normalized: SAR as measured, normalized to an input power of 1 W at the antenna connector.
- SAR for nominal TSL parameters: The measured TSL parameters are used to calculate the nominal SAR result.

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor k=2, which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

Certificate No: CLA150-4004\_May14 Page 2 of 8

SAR Evaluation Report 45 of 60

### **Measurement Conditions**

DASY system configuration, as far as not given on page 1.

DASY Version	DASY5	V52.8.8
Extrapolation	Advanced Extrapolation	
Phantom	ELI4 Flat Phantom	Shell thickness: 2 ± 0.2 mm
EUT Positioning	Touch Position	
Zoom Scan Resolution	dx, $dy$ , $dz = 5.0  mm$	
Frequency	150 MHz ± 1 MHz	

#### **Head TSL parameters**

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Head TSL parameters	22.0 °C	52.3	0.76 mho/m
Measured Head TSL parameters	(22.0 ± 0.2) °C	49.9 ± 6 %	0.76 mho/m ± 6 %
Head TSL temperature change during test	< 0.5 °C		

#### SAR result with Head TSL

SAR averaged over 1 cm <sup>3</sup> (1 g) of Head TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	1 W input power	3.79 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	3.75 W/kg ± 18.4 % (k=2)

SAR averaged over 10 cm <sup>3</sup> (10 g) of Head TSL	condition	
SAR measured	1 W input power	2.51 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	2.49 W/kg ± 18.0 % (k=2)

Body TSL parameters
The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Body TSL parameters	22.0 °C	61.9	0.80 mho/m
Measured Body TSL parameters	(22.0 ± 0.2) °C	62.5 ± 6 %	0.80 mho/m ± 6 %
Body TSL temperature change during test	< 0.5 °C		

#### SAR result with Body TSL

SAR averaged over 1 cm <sup>3</sup> (1 g) of Body TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	1 W input power	3.80 W/kg
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	3.81 W/kg ± 18.4 % (k=2)

SAR averaged over 10 cm <sup>3</sup> (10 g) of Body TSL	condition	
SAR measured	1 W input power	2.55 W/kg
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	2.55 W/kg ± 18.0 % (k=2)

Certificate No: CLA150-4004\_May14

Page 3 of 8

46 of 60 SAR Evaluation Report

## **Appendix**

## Antenna Parameters with Head TSL

Impedance, transformed to feed point	45.5 Ω - 10.6 jΩ	
Return Loss	- 18.4 dB	

## **Antenna Parameters with Body TSL**

Impedance, transformed to feed point	46.0 Ω - 14.6 jΩ	
Return Loss	- 16.2 dB	

#### **Additional EUT Data**

Manufactured by	SPEAG	
Manufactured on	August 23, 2013	

Certificate No: CLA150-4004\_May14

Page 4 of 8

SAR Evaluation Report 47 of 60

#### **DASY5 Validation Report for Head TSL**

Date: 08.05.2014

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

DUT: CLA150; Type: CLA150; Serial: CLA150 - SN: 4004

Communication System: UID 0 - CW; Frequency: 150 MHz

Medium parameters used: f = 150 MHz;  $\sigma = 0.76 \text{ S/m}$ ;  $\varepsilon_r = 49.9$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$ 

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2007)

#### DASY52 Configuration:

• Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3877; ConvF(11.76, 11.76, 11.76); Calibrated: 06.01.2014;

- Sensor-Surface: 2mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn654; Calibrated: 18.07.2013
- Phantom: ELI v4.0; Type: QDOVA001BB; Serial: TP:1003
- DASY52 52.8.8(1222); SEMCAD X 14.6.10(7331)

### CLA Calibration for HSL-LF Tissue/CLA150, touch configuration, Pin=1W/Area Scan

(81x81x1): Interpolated grid: dx=1.500 mm, dy=1.500 mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 4.91 W/kg

#### CLA Calibration for HSL-LF Tissue/CLA150, touch configuration, Pin=1W/Zoom Scan


(7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 80.11 V/m; Power Drift = -0.05 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 6.11 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 3.79 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 2.51 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 4.89 W/kg




0 dB = 4.91 W/kg = 6.91 dBW/kg

Certificate No: CLA150-4004\_May14

Page 5 of 8

SAR Evaluation Report 48 of 60

## Impedance Measurement Plot for Head TSL



Certificate No: CLA150-4004\_May14 Page 6 of 8

SAR Evaluation Report 49 of 60

#### **DASY5 Validation Report for Body TSL**

Date: 08.05.2014

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

DUT: CLA150; Type: CLA150; Serial: CLA150 - SN: 4004

Communication System: UID 0 - CW; Frequency: 150 MHz

Medium parameters used: f = 150 MHz;  $\sigma = 0.8 \text{ S/m}$ ;  $\varepsilon_r = 62.5$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$ 

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2007)

#### DASY52 Configuration:

Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3877; ConvF(11.45, 11.45, 11.45); Calibrated: 06.01.2014;

- Sensor-Surface: 2mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn654; Calibrated: 18.07.2013
- Phantom: ELI v4.0; Type: QDOVA001BB; Serial: TP:1003
- DASY52 52.8.8(1222); SEMCAD X 14.6.10(7331)

#### CLA Calibration for MSL-LF Tissue/CLA150, touch configuration, Pin=1W/Area Scan

(81x81x1): Interpolated grid: dx=1.500 mm, dy=1.500 mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 4.87 W/kg

## CLA Calibration for MSL-LF Tissue/CLA150, touch configuration, Pin=1W/Zoom Scan


(7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 77.84 V/m; Power Drift = -0.03 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 6.05 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 3.8 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 2.55 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 4.88 W/kg




0 dB = 4.87 W/kg = 6.88 dBW/kg

Certificate No: CLA150-4004 May14

Page 7 of 8

SAR Evaluation Report 50 of 60



Certificate No: CLA150-4004\_May14 Page 8 of 8


SAR Evaluation Report 51 of 60

# **APPENDIX D – EUT TEST POSITION PHOTOS**






Face-Up 2.5 cm Separation to Flat Phantom



SAR Evaluation Report 52 of 60

# **Body-Back 0.0 cm Separation to Flat Phantom**



SAR Evaluation Report 53 of 60

# **APPENDIX E – EUT PHOTOS**





**EUT – Back View** 



SAR Evaluation Report 54 of 60

## **EUT-Left View**



**EUT-Right View** 



SAR Evaluation Report 55 of 60

# **EUT-Top View**



**EUT-Bottom View** 




SAR Evaluation Report 56 of 60

## **EUT-Uncover View**



**EUT-Antenna** 



SAR Evaluation Report 57 of 60

## **EUT-Battery**



**EUT-Belt-clip** 



SAR Evaluation Report 58 of 60

## **EUT-Headset**



SAR Evaluation Report 59 of 60

#### APPENDIX F – INFORMATIVE REFERENCES

- [1] Federal Communications Commission, \Report and order: Guidelines for evaluating the environmental effects of radiofrequency radiation", Tech. Rep. FCC 96-326, FCC, Washington, D.C. 20554, 1996.
- [2] David L. Means Kwok Chan, Robert F. Cleveland, \Evaluating compliance with FCC guidelines for human exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic fields", Tech. Rep., Federal Communication Commission, O ce of Engineering & Technology, Washington, DC, 1997.
- [3] Thomas Schmid, Oliver Egger, and Niels Kuster, \Automated E-\_eld scanning system for dosimetricPage 60 of 60 assessments", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, pp. 105{113, Jan. 1996.
- [4] Niels Kuster, Ralph K.astle, and Thomas Schmid, \Dosimetric evaluation of mobile communications equipment with known precision", IEICE Transactions on Communications, vol. E80-B, no. 5, pp. 645 (652, May 1997.
- [5] CENELEC, \Considerations for evaluating of human exposure to electromagnetic fields (EMFs) from mobile telecommunication equipment (MTE) in the frequency range 30MHz 6GHz", Tech. Rep., CENELEC, European Committee for Electrotechnical Standardization, Brussels, 1997.
- [6] ANSI, ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992: IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz, The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., New York, NY 10017, 1992.
- [7] Katja Pokovic, Thomas Schmid, and Niels Kuster, \Robust setup for precise calibration of E-field probes in tissue simulating liquids at mobile communications frequencies", in ICECOM \_ 97, Dubrovnik, October 15 {17, 1997, pp. 120-24.
- [8] Katja Pokovic, Thomas Schmid, and Niels Kuster, \E-field probe with improved isotropy in brain simulating liquids", in Proceedings of the ELMAR, Zadar, Croatia, 23 {25 June, 1996, pp. 172-175.
- [9] Volker Hombach, Klaus Meier, Michael Burkhardt, Eberhard K. uhn, and Niels Kuster, \The dependence of EM energy absorption upon human head modeling at 900 MHz", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, no. 10, pp. 1865-1873, Oct. 1996.
- [10] Klaus Meier, Ralf Kastle, Volker Hombach, Roger Tay, and Niels Kuster, \The dependence of EM energy absorption upon human head modeling at 1800 MHz", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, Oct. 1997, in press.
- [11] W. Gander, Computermathematik, Birkhaeuser, Basel, 1992.
- [12] W. H. Press, S. A. Teukolsky, W. T. Vetterling, and B. P. Flannery, Numerical Recepies in C, The Art of Scientific Computing, Second Edition, Cambridge University Press, 1992. Dosimetric Evaluation of Sample device, month 1998 9
- [13] NIS81 NAMAS, \The treatment of uncertainity in EMC measurement", Tech. Rep., NAMAS Executive, National Physical Laboratory, Teddington, Middlesex, England, 1994.
- [14] Barry N. Taylor and Christ E. Kuyatt, \Guidelines for evaluating and expressing the uncertainty of NIST measurement results", Tech. Rep., National Institute of Standards and Technology, 1994. Dosimetric Evaluation of Sample device, month 1998 10.
- [15] FCC OET KDB643646 SAR Test Reduction Considerations for Occupational PTT Radios.

\*\*\*\*\* END OF REPORT \*\*\*\*\*

SAR Evaluation Report 60 of 60