



FCC SAR TEST REPORT

Report No.: STS2205121H01

Issued for

Avantronics Limited

The 4th Floor, Yuepeng Building, No.1019 Jiabin Rd, Luohu District, Shenzhen, China

Product Name:	Audiplex			
Brand Name:	Avantree			
Model Name:	WSTR-2403			
Series Model:	WSTR-2403-S, WSTR-2403-RX, WSTR-2403-TX			
FCC ID:	WJ5-WSTR-2403			
	ANSI/IEEE Std. C95.1			
Test Standard:	FCC 47 CFR Part 2 (2.1093)			
	IEEE 1528: 2013			
Max. Report	Body: 0.977 W/kg			
SAR (1g):	200j. 0.0.1 Wing			

Any reproduction of this document must be done in full. No single part of this document may be reproduced without permission from STS, All Test Data Presented in this report is only applicable to presented Test sample.

ShenZhen STS Test Services Co.,Ltd.

A 1/F, Building B, Zhuoke Science Park, No.190 Chongqing Road, HepingShequ, Fuyong Sub District, Bao'an District, Shenzhen, Guang Dong, China
TEL: +86-755 3688 6288 FAX: +86-755 3688 6277 E-mail:sts@stsapp.com

APPROVAL





Test Report Certification

Applicant's name: Avantronics Limited

Address The 4th Floor, Yuepeng Building, No.1019 Jiabin Rd, Luohu

District, Shenzhen, China

Manufacturer's Name: Avantronics Limited

Address The 4th Floor, Yuepeng Building, No.1019 Jiabin Rd, Luohu

District, Shenzhen, China

Product description

Product name: Audiplex

Brand name: Avantree

Model name: WSTR-2403

WSTR-2403-S,

Series Model.....: WSTR-2403-RX,

WSTR-2403-TX

ANSI/IEEE Std. C95.1-1992

Standards: FCC 47 CFR Part 2 (2.1093)

IEEE 1528: 2013

The device was tested by Shenzhen STS Test Services Co., Ltd. in accordance with the measurement methods and procedures specified in KDB 865664 The test results in this report apply only to the tested sample of the stated device/equipment. Other similar device/equipment will not necessarily produce the same results due to production tolerance and measurement uncertainties.

Date of Test:

Date (s) of performance of tests 06 July 2022

Date of Issue...... 15 July 2022

Test Result..... Pass

Testing Engineer :

(Shifan, Long)

Sean She

Shi tan lon

Technical Manager :

(Sean she)

Authorized Signatory:

(Bovey Yang)





Table of Contents

1. General Information	5
1.1 EUT Description	5
1.2 Test Environment	6
1.3 Test Factory	6
2. Test Standards and Limits	7
3. SAR Measurement System	8
3.1 Definition of Specific Absorption Rate (SAR)	8
3.2 SAR System	8
4. Tissue Simulating Liquids	11
4.1 Simulating Liquids Parameter Check	11
5. SAR System Validation	13
5.1 Validation System	13
5.2 Validation Result	13
6. SAR Evaluation Procedures	14
7. EUT Antenna Location Sketch	15
7. EUT Test Position	16
7.1 Body-worn Position Conditions	16
8. Uncertainty	17
8.1 Measurement Uncertainty	17
9. Conducted Power Measurement	18
9.1 Test Result	18
10. EUT and Test Setup Photo	19
10.1 EUT Photo	19
10.2 Setup Photo	22
11. SAR Result Summary	26
11.1 Body-worn SAR	26
12. Equipment List	28
Appendix A. System Validation Plots	29
Appendix B. SAR Test Plots	31
Appendix C. Probe Calibration and Dipole Calibration Report	32



Page 4 of 32 Report No.: STS2205121H01

Revision History

Rev.	Issue Date	Report No.	Effect Page	Contents
00	15 July 2022	uly 2022 STS2205121H01		Initial Issue





1. General Information

Environmental evaluation measurements of specific absorption rate (SAR) distributions in emulated human head and body tissues exposed to radio frequency (RF) radiation from wireless portable devices for compliance with the rules and regulations of the U.S. Federal Communications Commission (FCC).

1.1 EUT Description

1.1 EUT Descri	iption								
Product Name	Audiplex								
Brand Name	Avantree	vantree							
Model Name	WSTR-2403	VSTR-2403							
Series Model	WSTR-2403-F	VSTR-2403-S, VSTR-2403-RX, VSTR-2403-TX							
Model Difference		I circuit design, layout, co the model is different.	omponents used and internal wiring are						
Battery	3.7V, 600mAh	ı, 2.22Wh							
Device Category	Portable								
Product stage	Production un	it							
RF Exposure Environment	General Popu	lation / Uncontrolled							
Hardware Version	N/A								
Software Version	N/A								
Frequency Range	2.4G: 2404 MI	Hz ~ 2481 MHz							
Max. Reported	Band	Mode	Body Worn (W/kg)						
SAR(1g): (Limit:1.6W/kg)	2.4G MIMO	GFSK	0.977						
FCC Equipment Class	Digital Transm	nission System (DTS)							
Operating Mode:	GFSK								
Antenna Specification:	Ceramic Anter	nna							
DTM Mode	Not Support								
Note:									

Note

The EUT battery must be fully charged and checked periodically during the test to ascertain uniform power





1.2 Test Environment

Ambient conditions in the SAR laboratory:

Items	Required
Temperature (°C)	18-25
Humidity (%RH)	30-70

1.3 Test Factory

ShenZhen STS Test Services Co.,Ltd.

A 1/F, Building B, Zhuoke Science Park, No.190 Chongqing Road, HepingShequ, Fuyong Sub-District, Bao'an District, Shenzhen, Guang Dong, China

FCC test Firm Registration No.: 625569

IC Registration No.: 12108A A2LA Certificate No.: 4338.01



2. Test Standards and Limits

No.	Identity	Document Title
1	47 CFR Part 2	Frequency Allocations and Radio Treaty Matters; General Rules and Regulations
2	ANSI/IEEE Std. C95.1-1992	IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz
3	IEEE Std. 1528-2013	Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques
4	FCC KDB 447498 D04 v01	RF Exposure Procedures and Equipment Authorization Policies for Mobile and Portable Devices
5	FCC KDB 865664 D01 v01r04	SAR Measurement 100 MHz to 6 GHz
6	FCC KDB 865664 D02 v01r02	RF Exposure Reporting
7	FCC KDB 648474 D04 v01r03	SAR Evaluation Considerations for Wireless Handsets

(A). Limits for Occupational/Controlled Exposure (W/kg)

Whole-Body Partial-Body Hands, Wrists, Feet and Ankles 0.4 8.0 20.0

(B). Limits for General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure (W/kg)

Whole-Body Partial-Body Hands, Wrists, Feet and Ankles 0.08 1.6 4.0

NOTE: Whole-Body SAR is averaged over the entire body, partial-body SAR is averaged over any 1 gram of tissue defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube. SAR for hands, wrists, feet and ankles is averaged over any 10 grams of tissue defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube.

Population/Uncontrolled Environments:

Are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their exposure.

Occupational/Controlled Environments:

Are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by people who are aware of the potential for exposure, (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation).

NOTE GENERAL POPULATION/UNCONTROLLED EXPOSURE PARTIAL BODY LIMIT 1.6 W/kg



3. SAR Measurement System

3.1 Definition of Specific Absorption Rate (SAR)

SAR is related to the rate at which energy is absorbed per unit mass in an object exposed to a radio field. The SAR distribution in a biological body is complicated and is usually carried out by experimental techniques or numerical modeling. The standard recommends limits for two tiers of groups, occupational/controlled and general population/uncontrolled, based on a person's awareness and ability to exercise control over his or her exposure. In general, occupational/controlled exposure limits are higher than the limits for general population/uncontrolled.

The SAR definition is the time derivative (rate) of the incremental energy (dW) absorbed by (dissipated in) an incremental mass (dm) contained in a volume element (dv) of a given density (ρ). The equation description is as below:

$$SAR = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{dW}{dm} \right) = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{dW}{\rho dv} \right)$$

SAR is expressed in units of Watts per kilogram (W/kg) SAR measurement can be related to the electrical field in the tissue by


$$SAR = \frac{\sigma E^2}{\rho}$$

Where: σ is the conductivity of the tissue,

 ρ is the mass density of the tissue and E is the RMS electrical field strength.

3.2 SAR System

MVG SAR System Diagram:



COMOSAR is a system that is able to determine the SAR distribution inside a phantom of human being according to different standards. The COMOSAR system consists of the following items:

- Main computer to control all the system
- 6 axis robot
- Data acquisition system
- Miniature E-field probe
- Phone holder
- Head simulating tissue

A 1/F, Building B, Zhuoke Science Park, No.190 Chongqing Road, HepingShequ, Fuyong Sub-District, Bao'an District, Shenzhen, Guang Dong, China Tel: +86-755 3688 6288 Fax:+86-755 3688 6277 http://www.stsapp.com E-mail: sts@stsapp.com



The following figure shows the system.



The EUT under test operating at the maximum power level is placed in the phone holder, under the phantom, which is filled with head simulating liquid. The E-Field probe measures the electric field inside the phantom. The Open SAR software computes the results to give a SAR value in a 1g or 10g mass.

3.2.1 Probe

For the measurements the Specific Dosimetric E-Field Probe SN 07/21 EPGO352 with following specifications is used

- Probe Length: 330 mm
- Length of Individual Dipoles: 2 mm
- Maximum external diameter: 8 mm
- Probe Tip External Diameter: 2.5 mm
- Distance between dipole/probe extremity: 1 mm
- Dynamic range: 0.01-100 W/kg
- Probe linearity: 3%
- Axial Isotropy: < 0.10 dB
- Spherical Isotropy: < 0.10 dB
- Calibration range:150 MHz to 6 GHz for head & body simulating liquid.
- Angle between probe axis (evaluation axis) and surface normal line: less than 30°



Figure 1-MVG COMOSAR Dosimetric E field Dipole



3.2.2 Phantom

For the measurements the Specific Anthropomorphic Mannequin (SAM) defined by the IEEE SCC-34/SC2 group is used. The phantom is a polyurethane shell integrated in a wooden table. The thickness of the phantom amounts to 2mm +/- 0.2mm. It enables the dosimetric evaluation of left and right phone usage and includes an additional flat phantom part for the simplified performance check. The phantom set-up includes a cover, which prevents the evaporation of the liquid.





3.2.3 Device Holder



The SAR in the phantom is approximately inversely proportional to the square of the distance between the source and the liquid surface. For a source at 5 mm distance, a positioning uncertainty of \pm 0.5 mm would produce a SAR uncertainty of \pm 20 %. Accurate device positioning is therefore crucial for accurate and repeatable measurements. The positions in which the devices must be measured are defined by the standards.4. Tissue Simulating Liquids



4. Tissue Simulating Liquids

4.1 Simulating Liquids Parameter Check

The head tissue dielectric parameters recommended by the IEEE SCC-34/SC-2 in P1528 have been incorporated in the following table. These head parameters are derived from planar layer models simulating the highest expected SAR for the dielectric properties and tissue thickness variations in a human head. Other head and body tissue parameters that have not been specified in P1528 are derived from the tissue dielectric parameters computed from the 4-Cole-Cole equations described in Reference [12] and extrapolated according to the head parameters specified in P1528.

Head Tissue

Frequency	cellulose	DGBE	HEC	NaCl	Preventol	Sugar	X100	Water	Conductivity	Permittivity
(MHz)	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	σ	εr
750	0.2	/	/	1.4	0.2	57.0	/	41.1	0.89	41.9
835	0.2	/	/	1.4	0.2	57.9	/	40.3	0.90	41.5
900	0.2	/	/	1.4	0.2	57.9	/	40.3	0.97	41.5
1800	/	44.5	/	0.3	/	/	30.45	55.2	1.4	40.0
1900	/	44.5	/	0.3	1	1	30.45	55.2	1.4	40.0
2000	/	44.5	/	0.3	1	1	/	55.2	1.4	40.0
2450	/	44.9	1/	0.1	1	1	/	55.0	1.80	39.2
2600	/	45.0	1	0.1	1	/	/	54.9	1.96	39.0

Body Tissue

Frequency	cellulose	DGBE	HEC	NaCl	Preventol	Sugar	X100	Water	Conductivity	Permittivity
(MHz)	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	σ	εr
750	0.2	/	/	0.9	0.1	47.2	/	51.7	0.96	55.5
835	0.2	/	1	0.9	0.1	48.2	1	50.8	0.97	55.2
900	0.2	1	1	0.9	0.1	48.2	1	50.8	1.05	55.0
1800	/	29.4	1	0.4	1	1	30.45	70.2	1.52	53.3
1900	/	29.4	1	0.4	1	1	30.45	70.2	1.52	53.3
2000	/	29.4	1	0.4	1	1	/	70.2	1.52	53.3
2450	/	31.3	/	0.1	1	/	/	68.6	1.95	52.7
2600	/	31.7	/	0.1	/	/	/	68.2	2.16	52.3

Tissue dielectric parameters for head and body phantoms											
Frequency		εΓ	σ S/m								
	Head	Body	Head	Body							
300	45.3	58.2	0.87	0.92							
450	43.5	56.7	0.87	0.94							
900	41.5	55.0	0.97	1.05							
1450	40.5	54.0	1.20	1.30							
1800	40.0	53.3	1.40	1.52							
2450	39.2	52.7	1.80	1.95							
3000	38.5	52.0	2.40	2.73							
5800	35.3	48.2	5.27	6.00							





LIQUID MEASUREMENT RESULTS

Doto	Ambient		Simulating Liquid		Dovomotoro	Towast	Magazirad	Deviation	Limited
Date	Temp.	Humidity	Frequency	Temp.	Parameters	Target	Measured	%	%
	[°C]	%	(MHz)	[°C]					
2022-07-06	22.9	44	2404	22.7	Permittivity	39.28	39.89	1.55	±5
2022-07-00	22.9	44	2404	22.1	Conductivity	1.76	1.74	-1.14	±5
2022-07-06	23.2	51	2441	22.9	Permittivity	39.22	39.71	1.25	±5
2022-07-00	23.2	31	2 44 1	22.9	Conductivity	1.79	1.80	0.56	±5
2022-07-06	22.9	47	2450	22.6	Permittivity	39.20	40.12	2.35	±5
2022-07-00	22.9	47	2450	22.0	Conductivity	1.80	1.82	1.11	±5
2022-07-06	23.3	52	0.404	23.1	Permittivity	39.14	40.10	2.45	±5
2022-07-00	23.3	52	2481	23.1	Conductivity	1.83	1.81	-1.09	±5




5. SAR System Validation

5.1 Validation System

Each MVG system is equipped with one or more system validation kits. These units, together with the predefined measurement procedures within the MVG software, enable the user to conduct the system performance check and system validation. System kit includes a dipole, and dipole device holder.

The system check verifies that the system operates within its specifications. It's performed daily or before every SAR measurement. The system check uses normal SAR measurement in the flat section of the phantom with a matched dipole at a specified distance. The system validation setup is shown as below.



5.2 Validation Result

Comparing to the original SAR value provided by MVG, the validation data should be within its specification of 10 %.

Date	Freq.	Power	Tested	Normalized	Target SAR	Tolerance	Limit
	·		Value	SAR			
	(MHz)	(mW)	(W/Kg)	(W/kg)	1g(W/kg)	(%)	(%)
2022-07-06	2450	100	5.222	52.22	52.40	-0.34	10

Note:

- 1. The tolerance limit of System validation ±10%.
- 2. The dipole input power (forward power) was 100 mW.
- The results are normalized to 1 W input power.





6. SAR Evaluation Procedures

The procedure for assessing the average SAR value consists of the following steps: The following steps are used for each test position

- Establish a call with the maximum output power with a base station simulator. The connection between the mobile and the base station simulator is established via air interface
- Measurement of the local E-field value at a fixed location. This value serves as a reference value for calculating a possible power drift.
- Measurement of the SAR distribution with a grid of 8 to 16mm * 8 to 16 mm and a constant distance to the inner surface of the phantom. Since the sensors cannot directly measure at the inner phantom surface, the values between the sensors and the inner phantom surface are extrapolated. With these values the area of the maximum SAR is calculated by an interpolation scheme.
- Around this point, a cube of 30 * 30 * 30 mm or 32 * 32 * 32 mm is assessed by measuring 5 or 8 * 5 or 8*4 or 5 mm. With these data, the peak spatial-average SAR value can be calculated.

Area Scan& Zoom Scan


First Area Scan is used to locate the approximate location(s) of the local peak SAR value(s). The measurement grid within an Area Scan is defined by the grid extent, grid step size and grid offset. Next, in order to determine the EM field distribution in a three-dimensional spatial extension, Zoom Scan is required. The Zoom Scan is performed around the highest E-field value to determine the averaged SAR-distribution over 10 g. Area scan and zoom scan resolution setting follows KDB 865664 D01 quoted below.

When the 1-g SAR of the highest peak is within 2 dB of the SAR limit, additional zoom scans are required for other peaks within 2 dB of the highest peak that have not been included in any zoom scan to ensure there is no increase in SAR.



7. EUT Antenna Location Sketch

It is a Audiplex, support 2.4G mode.



Note 1: The EUT only support MIMO mode.




7. EUT Test Position

This EUT was tested in front side, back side, right side, Left Side, Bottom Side and top side.

7.1 Body-worn Position Conditions

Body-worn Position Conditions:

Body-worn accessory exposure is typically related to voice mode operations when handsets are carried in body-worn accessories. The body-worn accessory procedures in KDB Publication 447498 D01 should be used to test for body-worn accessory SAR compliance, without a headset connected to it. When the same wireless transmission configuration is used for testing body-worn accessory and hotspot mode SAR, respectively, in voice and data mode, SAR results for the most conservative test separation distance configuration may be used to support both SAR conditions. When the reported SAR for a body-worn accessory, measured without a headset connected to the handset, is > 1.2 W/kg, the highest reported SAR configuration for that wireless mode and frequency band should be repeated for the body-worn accessory with a headset attached to the handset.





8. Uncertainty

8.1 Measurement Uncertainty

The following measurement uncertainty levels have been estimated for tests performed on the EUT as specified in IEEE 1528: 2013. This uncertainty represents an expanded uncertainty expressed at approximately the 95% confidence level using a coverage factor of k=2.

Uncertainty Component	Tol (+- %)	Prob. Dist.	Div.	Ci (1g)	Ci (10g)	1g Ui (+-%)	10g Ui (+-%)	vi
Measurement System								
Probe calibration	5.86	N	1	1	1	5.86	5.86	∞
Axial Isotropy	0.16	R	$\sqrt{3}$	√0.5	√0.5	0.07	0.07	8
Hemispherical Isotropy	1.06	R	$\sqrt{3}$	√0.5	√0.5	0.43	0.43	∞
Boundary effect	1	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.58	0.58	∞
Linearity	1.27	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.73	0.73	∞
System detection limits	1.23	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.71	0.71	∞
Modulation response	3.6	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	3.60	3.60	∞
Readout Electronics	0.28	N	1	1	1	0.28	0.28	∞
Response Time	0.19	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.11	0.11	∞
Integration Time	1.47	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.85	0.85	∞
RF ambient	3.5	D		1	1	2.02	2.02	
conditions-Noise	3.5	R	√3	1	1	2.02	2.02	∞
RF ambient conditions-reflections	3.2	R	√3	1	1	1.85	1.85	∞
Probe positioner mechanical tolerance	1.4	R	√3	1	1	0.81	0.81	∞
Probe positioning with respect to phantom shell	1.4	R	√3	1	1	0.81	0.81	∞
Post-processing	2.3	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.33	1.33	∞
Test sample Related			///	///		•		
Test sample positioning	3.1	N	1	1	1	3.10	3.10	8
Device holder uncertainty	3.8	N	1	1	1	3.80	3.80	∞
SAR drift measurement	4.8	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.77	2.77	∞
SAR scaling	2	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.15	1.15	∞
Phantom and tissue param	eters							
Phantom uncertainty (shape and thickness uncertainty)	4	R	√3	1	1	2.31	2.31	∞
Uncertainty in SAR correction for deviations in permittivity and conductivity	2	N	1	1	0.84	2.00	1.68	∞
Liquid conductivity (temperature uncertainty)	2.5	R	√3	0.78	0.71	1.95	1.78	∞
Liquid conductivity (measured)	4	N	1	0.78	0.71	0.92	1.04	М
Liquid permittivity (temperature uncertainty)	2.5	R	√3	0.23	0.26	1.95	1.78	∞
Liquid permittivity (measured)	5	N	1	0.23	0.26	1.15	1.30	М
Combined Standard Uncertainty		RSS				10.60	10.51	
Expanded Uncertainty (95% Confidence interval)		K=2				21.21	21.03	



9. Conducted Power Measurement

9.1 Test Result

2.4G ANT1						
Mode Frequency (MHz) output power output power (mW)						
	2404	6.32	4.29			
GFSK(1Mbps)	2441	5.89	3.88			
	2481	3.42	2.20			

2.4G ANT2					
Mode	Frequency (MHz)	output power	output power		
Mode	i requericy (Miriz)	(dBm)	(mW)		
	2404	-26.61	0.00		
GFSK(1Mbps)	2441	-26.63	0.00		
	2481	-27.52	0.00		

2.4G MIMO						
Mode	Frequency (MHz)	output power	output power			
		(dBm)	(mW)			
	2404	6.32	4.29			
GFSK(1Mbps)	2441	5.89	3.88			
	2481	3.42	2.20			







10. EUT and Test Setup Photo

10.1 EUT Photo





Back side












Bottom side














Right side





10.2 Setup Photo





Body Back side(separation distance is 0mm)





Body Left side(separation distance is 0mm)



Body Right side(separation distance is 0mm)





Body Top side(separation distance is 0mm)




Body Bottom side(separation distance is 0mm)





Liquid depth (15 cm)







11. SAR Result Summary

11.1 Body-worn SAR

Band	Model	Test Position	Freq.	SAR (1g) (W/kg)	Power Drift(%)	Max.Turn-up Power(dBm)	Meas.Output Power(dBm)	Scaled SAR (W/Kg)	Meas.No.
		Front Side	2404	0.389	-1.39	6.50	6.32	0.405	/
		Back Side	2404	0.937	-1.86	6.50	6.32	0.977	1
		Back Side	2441	0.854	3.69	6.00	5.89	0.876	/
2.4G	CECK(4Mbps)	Back Side	2481	0.866	0.24	3.50	3.42	0.882	/
MIMO	GFSK(1Mbps)	Left Side	2404	0.074	0.43	6.50	6.32	0.077	/
		Right Side	2404	0.354	-3.37	6.50	6.32	0.369	/
		Top Side	2404	0.442	-0.44	6.50	6.32	0.461	/
		Bottom Side	2404	0.139	-1.18	6.50	6.32	0.145	/

Note:

- 1. The test separation of all above table is 0mm.
- 2. Per KDB 447498 D04, the reported SAR is the measured SAR value adjusted for maximum tune-up tolerance.
 - a. Tune-up scaling Factor = tune-up limit power (mW) / EUT RF power (mW), where tune-up limit is the maximum rated power among all production units.
 - b. Scaled SAR(W/kg)= Measured SAR(W/kg)*Tune-up Scaling Factor

Page 27 of 32 Report No.: STS2205121H01

Repeated SAR

Band	Mode	Test Position	Freq.	Result 1g (W/Kg)	Power Drift(%)	Max.Turn-up Power(dBm)	Meas.Output Power(dBm)	Scaled SAR(W/Kg)	Meas. No.
	GFSK	Back Side	2404	0.910	3.99	6.50	6.32	0.949	-
2.4G		Back Side	2441	0.817	0.23	6.00	5.89	0.838	-
	(1Mbps)	Back Side	2481	0.843	1.42	3.50	3.42	0.859	-

Repeated SAR measurement

Band	Mode	Test Position	Freq.	Original Measured SAR 1g(W/kg)	1 st Repeated SAR 1g	Ratio	Original Measured SAR 1g(W/kg)	2nd Repeated SAR 1g	Ratio
		Back Side	2404	0.937	0.910	1.030	-	-	-
2.4G	GFSK(1Mbps)	Back Side	2441	0.854	0.817	1.045	-	-	-
		Back Side	2481	0.866	0.843	1.027	-	-	-

Note:

- 1. Per KDB 865664 D01,for each frequency band ,repeated SAR measurement is required only when the measured SAR is ≥0.8W/Kg.
- 2. Per KDB 865664 D01,if the ratio of largest to smallest SAR for the original and first repeated measurement is ≤1.2 and the measured SAR <1.45W/Kg, only one repeated measurement is required.
- 3. Perform a second repeated measurement only if the ratio of largest to smallest SAR for the original and first repeated measurements is ≥ 1.20 or when the original or repeated measurement is ≥ 1.45W/Kg
- 4. The ratio is the difference in percentage between original and repeated measured SAR.



12. Equipment List

Kind of Equipment	Manufacturer	Type No.	Serial No.	Last Calibration	Calibrated Until
2450MHzDipole	MVG	SID2450	SN 30/14 DIP2G450-335	2020.07.14	2023.07.13
E-Field Probe	MVG	SSE2	SN 07/21 EPGO352	2022.02.28	2023.02.27
Dielectric Probe Kit	MVG	SCLMP	SN 32/14 OCPG67	2021.11.23	2022.11.22
Antenna	MVG	ANTA3	SN 07/13 ZNTA52	N/A	N/A
Phantom1	MVG	SAM	SN 32/14 SAM115	N/A	N/A
Phantom3	MVG	SAM	SN 21/21 ELLI48	N/A	N/A
Phone holder	MVG	N/A	SN 32/14 MSH97	N/A	N/A
Laptop holder	MVG	N/A	SN 32/14 LSH29	N/A	N/A
Attenuator	Agilent	99899	DC-18GHz	N/A	N/A
Directional coupler	Narda	4226-20	3305	N/A	N/A
Network Analyzer	Agilent	8753ES	US38432810	2021.09.29	2022.09.28
Multi Meter	Keithley	Multi Meter 2000	4050073	2021.10.08	2022.10.07
Signal Generator	Agilent	N5182A	MY50140530	2021.09.30	2022.09.29
Wireless Communication Test Set	Agilent	8960-E5515C	MY48360751	2021.09.30	2022.09.29
Wireless Communication Test Set	R&S	CMW500	117239	2021.09.30	2022.09.29
Power Amplifier	DESAY	ZHL-42W	9638	2021.10.09	2022.10.08
Power Meter	R&S	NRP	100510	2021.09.29	2022.09.28
Power Sensor	R&S	NRP-Z11	101919	2021.09.29	2022.09.28
Temperature hygrometer	SuWei	SW-108	N/A	2021.10.09	2022.10.08
Thermograph	Elitech	RC-4	S/N EF7176501537	2021.10.09	2022.10.08

Note:

Per KDB 865664 D01, Dipole SAR Validation Verification, STS LAB has adopted 3 years calibration intervals. On annual basis, every measurement dipole has been evaluated and is in compliance with the following criteria:

^{1.} There is no physical damage on the dipole

^{2.} System validation with specific dipole is within 10% of calibrated value Return-loss in within 20% of calibrated measurement



Appendix A. System Validation Plots

System Performance Check Data (2450MHz)


Type: Phone measurement (Complete)
Area scan resolution: dx=8mm, dy=8mm

Zoom scan resolution: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Date of measurement: 2022-07-06

Experimental conditions.

Phantom	Validation plane		
Device Position	-		
Band	2450MHz		
Channels	-		
Signal	CW		
Frequency (MHz)	2450MHz		
Relative permittivity	40.12		
Conductivity (S/m)	1.82		
Probe	SN 07/21 EPGO352		
ConvF	1.60		
Crest factor:	1:1		




Maximum location: X=5.00, Y=1.00

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	2.439333
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	5.221987



Z Axis Scan





Appendix B. SAR Test Plots


Plot 1: DUT: Audiplex; EUT Model: WSTR-2403


Test Date	2022-07-06
Probe	SN 07/21 EPGO352
Area Scan	dx=8mm, dy=8mm, h= 5.00 mm
Zoom Scan	5x5x7, dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm,
	Complete/ndx=8mm, dy=8mm, h= 5.00 mm
Phantom	Validation plane
Device Position	Back Side
Band	2.4G
Signal	2.4G (Crest factor: 1.0)
Frequency (MHz)	2404
Relative permittivity (real part)	39.89
Conductivity (S/m)	1.74

Maximum location: X=-1.00, Y=-8.00

SAR Peak: 1.94 W/kg

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.364004
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.937317









Appendix C. Probe Calibration and Dipole Calibration Report

Refer the appendix Calibration Report.

*****END OF THE REPORT***

