Guidant Inc.

Zoom Latitude Programming System Model 3120

Test Report

August 6, 2004

Report No. GDMN0006 Revision 01

Report Prepared By:

NORTHWEST EMC

www.nwemc.com
1-888-EMI-CERT

NORTHWEST EMC

22975 NW Evergreen Parkway
Suite 400
Hillsboro, Oregon 97124

Certificate of Test

Issue Date: August 6, 2004

Guidant Inc.

Zoom Latitude Programming System, Model 3120

	Emissions		
Specification	**Test Method**	**Pass**	**Fail**
FCC 15.209:2003	ANSI C63.4:2001 Fundamental Field Strength	☒	☐
FCC 15.209:2003	ANSI C63.4:2001 Radiated Spurious Emissions	☒	☐
FCC 15.207:2003	ANSI C63.4:2001 Conducted Emissions	☒	☐

Modifications made to the product
See the Modifications section of this report

Approved By:

Don Facteau, IS Manager

This report must not be used to claim product certification, approval, or endorsement by NVLAP, NIST, or any agency of the federal government of the United States of America.

Product compliance is the responsibility of the client, therefore the tests and equipment modes of operation represented in this report were agreed upon by the client, prior to testing. This Report may only be duplicated in its entirety. The results of this test pertain only to the sample(s) tested, the specific description is noted in each of the individual sections of the test report supporting this certificate of test.

Revision Number	Description	Date	Page Number
01	Add “Report Rev. 01” to Cover Page	8/25/04	Cover Page
01	Added Model Number to Equipment Description on Conducted Emissions Test Description Page	8/25/04	13-14
01	Added Model Number to Equipment Description on Radiated Emissions Test Description Page	8/25/04	19-20
01	Replaced Conducted Emissions Test Data	8/25/04	15-16
01	Updated Modifications Page to Reflect Conducted Emissions Test Data	8/25/04	12
01	Changed Date on Product Description Page	8/25/04	11

FCC: Accredited by NVLAP for performance of FCC radio, digital, and ISM device testing. Our Open Area Test Sites, certification chambers, and conducted measurement facilities, have been fully described in reports filed with the FCC and accepted by the FCC in letters maintained in our files. Northwest EMC has been accredited by ANSI to ISO / IEC Guide 65 as a product certifier. We have been designated by the FCC as a Telecommunications Certification Body (TCB). This allows Northwest EMC to certify transmitters to FCC specifications in accordance with 47 CFR 2.960 and 2.962.

NVLAP: Northwest EMC, Inc. is recognized under the United States Department of Commerce, National Institute of Standards and Technology, National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Program for satisfactory compliance with the requirements of ISO/IEC 17025 for Testing Laboratories. The NVLAP accreditation encompasses Electromagnetic Compatibility Testing in accordance with the European Union EMC Directive 89/336/EEC, ANSI C63.4, MIL-STD 461E, DO-160D and SAE J1113. Additionally, Northwest EMC is accredited by NVLAP to perform radio testing in accordance with the European Union R&TTE Directive 1999/5/EEC, the requirements of FCC, and the RSS radio standards for Industry Canada. Accreditation has been granted to Northwest EMC, Inc. under Certificate Numbers: 200629-0, 200630-0, and 200676-0.

Industry Canada: Accredited by NVLAP for performance of Industry Canada RSS and ICES testing. Our Open Area Test Sites and certification chambers comply with RSS 212, Issue 1 (Provisional) and have been filed with Industry Canada and accepted. Northwest EMC has been accredited by ANSI to ISO / IEC Guide 65 as a product certifier. We have been designated by NIST and recognized by Industry Canada as a Certification Body (CB) per the APEC Mutual Recognition Arrangement (MRA). This allows Northwest EMC to certify transmitters to Industry Canada technical requirements.

CAB: Designated by NIST and validated by the European Commission as a Conformity Assessment Body (CAB) to conduct tests and approve products to the EMC directive and transmitters to the R&TTE directive, as described in the U.S. - EU Mutual Recognition Agreement

TÜV Product Service: Included in TUV Product Service Group's Listing of Recognized Laboratories. It qualifies in connection with the TUV Certification after Recognition of Agent's Testing Program for the product categories and/or standards shown in TUV's current Listing of CARAT Laboratories available from TUV. A certificate was issued to represent that this laboratory continues to meet TUV's CARAT Program requirements. Certificate No. USA0401C

Accreditations and Authorizations

TÜV Rheinland: Authorized to carryout EMC tests by order and under supervision of TÜV Rheinland. This authorization is based on "Conditions for EMC-Subcontractors" of November 1992.

NEMKO: Assessed and accredited by NEMKO (Norwegian testing and certification body) for European emissions and immunity testing. As a result of NEMKO's laboratory assessment, they will accept test results from Northwest EMC, Inc. for product certification (Authorization No. ELA 119).

Technology International: Assessed in accordance with ISO Guide 25 defining the general international requirements for the competence of calibration and testing laboratories and with ITI assessment criteria LACO196. Based upon that assessment Interference Technology International, Ltd., has granted approval for specifications implementing the EU Directive on EMC (89/336/EEC and amendments). The scope of the approval was provided on a Schedule of Assessment supplied with the certificate and is available upon request.

Australia/New Zealand: The National Association of Testing Authorities (NATA), Australia has been appointed by the ACA as an accreditation body to accredit test laboratories and competent bodies for EMC standards. Accredited test reports or assessments by competent bodies must carry the NATA logo. Test reports made by an overseas laboratory that has been accredited for the relevant standards by an overseas accreditation body that has a Mutual Recognition Agreement (MRA) with NATA are also accepted as technical grounds for product conformity. The report should be endorsed with the respective logo of the accreditation body. (NVLAP)

VCCI: Accepted as an Associate Member to the VCCI, Acceptance No. 564. Conducted and radiated measurement facilities have been registered in accordance with Regulations for Voluntary Control Measures, Article 8. *(Registration Nos. - Evergreen: C-1071 and R-1025, Trails End: C-1877 and R-1760, Sultan: R-871, C-1784 and R-1761)*

BSMI: Northwest EMC has been designated by NIST and validated by C-Taipei (BSMI) as a CAB to conduct tests as described in the APEC Mutual Recognition Agreement. License No.SL2-IN-E-1017.

GOST: Northwest EMC, Inc. has been assessed and accredited by the Russian Certification bodies Certinform VNIINMASH, CERTINFO, SAMTES, and Federal CHEC, to perform EMC and Hygienic testing for Information Technology Products. As a result of their laboratory assessment, they will accept test results from Northwest EMC, Inc. for product certification

SCOPE

For details on the Scopes of our Accreditations, please visit:
http://www.nwemc.com/scope.asp

Explanation of Northwest EMC Performance Criteria

How important is it to understand performance criteria?

It is the responsibility of the test laboratory to observe the results of the tests that are performed and to accurately report those results. As the responsible party (manufacturer, importer, etc) it is your responsibility to take those results, compare them against the specifications and standards, then, if appropriate make a declaration of conformity. As the responsible party it makes sense that you are fully aware of the requirements, how your device performs when tested to those requirements, and what information is being used to declare conformity.

To better assist you in making those conformity decisions, Northwest EMC has adopted a very simple, yet very clear performance assessment procedure. The following criteria is used when performing immunity or susceptibility tests:

Performance Criteria 1:

- The EUT exhibited no change in performance when operating as specified by the manufacturer. In this case no changes were observed during the test.
- In most cases this would be equivalent to Performance Criteria A. When operating the equipment in the modes or configurations specified by the responsible party, monitoring the parameters specified, no changes were observed. Basically nothing happened.

Performance Criteria 2:

- The EUT exhibited a change in performance when operating as specified by the manufacturer. In this case the equipment recovered without any operator intervention. The data sheets will detail the exact phenomena observed.
- In most cases this would be equivalent to Performance Criteria B. When operating the equipment in the modes or configurations specified by the responsible party, monitoring the parameters specified, changes were observed. The EUT was able to recover from those changes without any operator intervention.

Performance Criteria 3:

- The EUT exhibited a change in performance when operating as specified by the manufacturer. In this case the equipment required some operator intervention in order to recover. This intervention may be in the form of reducing the test levels, changing parameters, or even resetting the system. The data sheets will detail the exact phenomena observed.
- In most cases this would be equivalent to Performance Criteria C. When operating the equipment in the modes or configurations specified by the responsible party, monitoring the parameters specified, changes were observed. The EUT required some sort of operator intervention to recover. There was no permanent damage and the EUT appeared to function normally after completion test.

Performance Criteria 4:

- The EUT exhibited a change in performance when operating as specified by the manufacturer. In this case the equipment was damaged and would not recover. The data sheets will detail the exact phenomena observed.
- In most cases there is no specific criterion to compare this to, it typically ends the test. When operating the equipment in the modes or configurations specified by the responsible party, monitoring the parameters specified, changes were observed. There was no recovery; the equipment would no longer function as intended.

Each of the standards and specifications has unique performance criteria. In order to make an accurate assessment, one must compare the test results provided with the specific performance criteria. **To ensure that a responsible party is compliant with the specifications, one must read and understand those specifications. Provided below is a sample performance criteria, taken from EN 50082-1.**

EN 50082-1 Performance Criteria

Performance Criteria A: *The apparatus shall continue to operate as intended. No degradation of performance or loss of function is allowed below a performance level specified by the manufacturer, when the apparatus is used as intended. The performance level may be replaced by a permissible loss of performance. If the minimum performance level or the permissible performance loss is not specified by the manufacturer, then either of these may be derived from the product description and documentation and what the user may reasonably expect from the apparatus if used as intended.*

Performance Criteria B: *The apparatus shall continue to operate as intended after the test. No degradation of performance or loss of function is allowed below a performance level specified by the manufacturer, when the apparatus is used as intended. The performance level may be replaced by a permissible loss of performance. During the test, degradation of performance is allowed. If the minimum performance level or the permissible performance loss is not specified by the manufacturer, then either of these may be derived from the product description and documentation and what the user may reasonably expect from the apparatus if used as intended.*

Performance Criteria C: *Temporary loss of function is allowed, provided the function is self-recoverable or can be restored by the operation of controls.*

How should a device perform in order for a declaration of conformity to be made?

As already stated, it is the responsible party that must interpret and understand the results in such a way that a declaration of conformity is made. Having said that, we are often asked to render our opinion as to how a device should perform. Our recommendation simply follows the standards, as can be referenced below. Most of the standards and specifications offer the same performance criterion shown below as their requirements.

Test	Performance Criteria typically specified by the Standard	Equivalent Northwest EMC Performance Criteria
ESD	Performance Criteria B	Performance Criteria 1 or 2
Radiated RF	Performance Criteria A	Performance Criteria 1
EFT/Burst	Performance Criteria B	Performance Criteria 1 or 2
Surge	Performance Criteria B	Performance Criteria 1 or 2
Conducted RF	Performance Criteria A	Performance Criteria 1
Magnetic Field	Performance Criteria A	Performance Criteria 1
Voltage Dips and Variations	Performance Criteria B & C	Performance Criteria 1, 2, or 3

What is measurement uncertainty?

When a measurement is made, the result will be different from the true or theoretically correct value. The difference is the result of tolerances in the measurement system that cannot be completely eliminated. To the extent that technology allows us, it has been our aim to minimize this error. The following statement of measurement uncertainty is used to reflect the accuracy of the measured result as compared with its "true" value. In the case of transient tests (ESD, EFT, Surge, Voltage Dips and Interruptions), the test equipment has been demonstrated by calibration to provide at least a 95% confidence that it complies with the test specification requirements.

The following documents were the basis for determining the uncertainty levels of our measurements:

- "ISO Guide to the Expression of Uncertainty in Measurements", October 1993
- "NIS81: The Treatment of Uncertainty in EMC Measurements", May 1994
- "IEC CISPR 16-3 A1 f1 Ed.1: Radio-interference measurements and statistical techniques", December 2000

How might measurement uncertainty be applied to test results?

If the diamond marks the measured value for the test and the vertical bars bracket the range of + and – measurement uncertainty, then test results can be interpreted from the diagram below.



Test Result Scenarios:
Case A: Product complies.
Case B: Product conditionally complies. It is not possible to say with 95% confidence that the product complies.
Case C: Product conditionally does not comply. It is not possible to say with 95% confidence that the product does not comply.
Case D: Product does not comply.

Radiated Emissions ≤ 1 GHz		Value (dB)					
	Probability Distribution	Biconical Antenna		Log Periodic Antenna		Dipole Antenna	
Test Distance		3m	10m	3m	10m	3m	10m
Combined standard uncertainty $u_c(y)$	normal	+ 1.86 - 1.88	+ 1.82 - 1.87	+ 2.23 - 1.41	+ 1.29 - 1.26	+ 1.31 - 1.27	+ 1.25 - 1.25
Expanded uncertainty ***U*** (level of confidence ≈ 95%)	normal (k=2)	+ 3.72 - 3.77	+ 3.64 - 3.73	+ 4.46 -2.81	+ 2.59 - 2.52	+ 2.61 - 2.55	+ 2.49 - 2.49

Radiated Emissions > 1 GHz	Value (dB)		
	Probability Distribution	Without High Pass Filter	With High Pass Filter
Combined standard uncertainty $u_c(y)$	normal	+ 1.29 - 1.25	+ 1.38 - 1.35
Expanded uncertainty ***U*** (level of confidence ≈ 95%)	normal (k=2)	+ 2.57 - 2.51	+ 2.76 - -2.70

Conducted Emissions		
	Probability Distribution	Value (+/- dB)
Combined standard uncertainty ***uc(y)***	normal	1.48
Expanded uncertainty ***U*** (level of confidence ≈ 95 %)	normal (k = 2)	2.97

Radiated Immunity		
	Probability Distribution	Value (+/- dB)
Combined standard uncertainty ***uc(y)***	normal	1.05
Expanded uncertainty ***U*** (level of confidence ≈ 95 %)	normal (k = 2)	2.11

Conducted Immunity		
	Probability Distribution	Value (+/- dB)
Combined standard uncertainty ***uc(y)***	normal	1.05
Expanded uncertainty ***U*** (level of confidence ≈ 95 %)	normal (k = 2)	2.10

Legend

$u_c(y)$ = square root of the sum of squares of the individual standard uncertainties

U = combined standard uncertainty multiplied by the coverage factor: **k**. This defines an interval about the measured result that will encompass the true value with a confidence level of approximately 95%. If a higher level of confidence is required, then k=3 (CL of 99.7%) can be used. Please note that with a coverage factor of one, uc(y) yields a confidence level of only 68%.

California

Orange County Facility

41 Tesla Ave.
Irvine, CA 92618
(888) 364-2378
FAX (503) 844-3826

Oregon

Evergreen Facility

22975 NW Evergreen Pkwy.,
Suite 400
Hillsboro, OR 97124
(503) 844-4066
FAX (503) 844-3826

Oregon

Trails End Facility

30475 NE Trails End Lane
Newberg, OR 97132
(503) 844-4066
FAX (503) 537-0735

Washington

Sultan Facility

14128 339th Ave. SE
Sultan, WA 98294
(888) 364-2378
FAX (360) 793-2536

Party Requesting the Test	
Company Name:	Guidant Inc.
Address:	4100 Hamline Avenue North
City, State, Zip:	Saint Paul, MN 55112-5798
Test Requested By:	Yogi Shah
Model:	Zoom Latitude Programming System Model 3120
First Date of Test:	7-15-04
Last Date of Test:	8-24-04
Receipt Date of Samples:	7-15-04
Equipment Design Stage:	Production
Equipment Condition:	No visual damage.

Information Provided by the Party Requesting the Test

Clocks/Oscillators:	40MHz, 33.3MHz, 100MHz, 66.6MHz, 4.1MHz, 41.667MHz, 6MHz, 32.768kHz, 14.318MHz, 16.67MHz, 24MHz, 25MHz, 48MHz, 16MHz, 10MHz, 210.38MHz, 833.52MHz, 13MHz
I/O Ports:	Parallel, USB, VGA, PCMCIA, ECG, Analog Output, Patient Simulator, Telemetry Wand

Functional Description of the EUT (Equipment Under Test):
The ZOOM® LATITUDE™ Programming System, which includes the Model 3120 Programmer/Recorder/Monitor (PRM), is a portable cardiac rhythm management system designed to be used with certain models of Guidant implantable pulse generators. It is a composite system operating under 15.209 using the telemetry wand and 15.249 with the single provided antenna. The Model 3120 PRM is designed to be used only with the Model 6577 Sterilizable Telemetry Wand. The Model 3120 is provided with only one available antenna, it is a RP-SMA to meet the unique antenna requirements of 47 CFR 15.203.

Client Justification for EUT Selection:
The product is a representative production sample.

Client Justification for Test Selection:
Tests required to meet the FCC requirements for approval.

Equipment modifications					
Item	Test	Date	Modification	Note	Disposition of EUT
1	Conducted Emissions	07/15/2004	No EMI suppression devices were added or modified during this test.	Same configuration as delivered.	EUT remained at Northwest EMC.
2	Radiated Emissions	07/16/2004	No EMI suppression devices were added or modified during this test.	Same configuration as in previous test.	EUT remained at Northwest EMC.
3	Conducted Emissions	08/24/2004	Modifications made by Guidant to improve conducted emissions	Modified from previous configuration.	EUT remained at Northwest EMC.

Justification

The individuals and/or the organization requesting the test provided the modes, configurations and settings available to evaluate. All of the EUT parameters listed below were investigated. This includes, but may not be limited to, CPU speeds, video resolution settings, operational modes, and input voltages.

Operating Modes Investigated:
Operating Telemetry Wand

Power Input Settings Investigated:
120 VAC, 60 Hz

Software\Firmware Applied During Test			
Operating system	QNX/Red Hat Linux	**Version**	Unknown
Exercise software	2845 Application	**Version**	4.3
Description			
The system was tested using standard operating production software to exercise the functions of the device during the testing.			

EUT and Peripherals in Test Setup Boundary			
Description	**Manufacturer**	**Model/Part Number**	**Serial Number**
USB Keyboard	Logitech	Y-BF37	None
USB Flash Hard Drive	PenDriveUSA	Pen Drive Plus 2.0	None
Zoom Latitude	Guidant	3120	050342
PCMCIA Card	3Com	10/100 Lan	6UK18F1DCE
Telemetry Wand	Guidant	6577	None

Cables					
Cable Type	**Shield**	**Length (m)**	**Ferrite**	**Connection 1**	**Connection 2**
AC Power	Yes	1.8	No	Zoom Latitude	AC Mains
Parallel	Yes	1.6	No	Zoom Latitude	Unterminated
Video	No	8.0	Yes	Zoom Latitude	Unterminated
USB	No	1.8	No	Zoom Latitude	Keyboard
ECG	Yes	4.0	No	Zoom Latitude	Unterminated
Slave Stimulator	Yes	3.0	No	Zoom Latitude	Unterminated
Telemetry	Yes	3.0	No	Zoom Latitude	Telemetry Wand
Analog Output	No	2.0	No	Zoom Latitude	Unterminated
Telecom	No	1.8	No	PCMCIA Card	Unterminated
PA = Cable is permanently attached to the device. Shielding and/or presence of ferrite may be unknown.					

Measurement Equipment					
Description	**Manufacturer**	**Model**	**Identifier**	**Last Cal**	**Interval**
LISN	Solar	9252-50-24-BNC	LIA	12/16/2003	13 mo
LISN	Solar	9252-50-R-24-BNC	LIQ	12/17/2003	13 mo
Spectrum Analyzer	Hewlett Packard	8593E	AAP	03/22/2004	13 mo
Receiver	Schaffner	SCR 3101	ARC	04/28/2003	24 mo

Test Description

Using the mode of operation and configuration noted within this report, conducted emissions tests were performed. The frequency range investigated (scanned), is also noted in this report. Conducted power line measurements are made, unless otherwise specified, over the frequency range from 150 kHz to 30 MHz to determine the line-to-ground radio-noise voltage that is conducted from the EUT power-input terminals that are directly (or indirectly via separate transformer or power supplies) connected to a public power network. Equipment is tested with power cords that are normally used or that have electrical or shielding characteristics that are the same as those cords normally used. Typically those measurements are made using a LISN (Line Impedance Stabilization Network), the 50 Ω measuring port is terminated by a 50 Ω EMI meter or a 50 Ω resistive load. All 50 Ω measuring ports of the LISN are terminated by 50Ω.

Measurement Bandwidths			
Frequency Range (MHz)	**Peak Data (kHz)**	**Quasi-Peak Data (kHz)**	**Average Data (kHz)**
0.01 – 0.15	1.0	0.2	0.2
0.15 – 30.0	10.0	9.0	9.0
30.0 - 1000	100.0	120.0	120.0
Above 1000	1000.0	N/A	1000.0
Measurements were made using the bandwidths and detectors specified. No video filter was used.			

Completed by:

NORTHWEST EMC

CONDUCTED EMISSIONS DATA SHEET

REV df4.2 08/10/2004

EUT:	Zoom Latitude Programming System, Model 3120			Work Order:	GDMN0018
Serial Number:	050342			Date:	08/25/04
Customer:	Guidant Imc.			Temperature:	75
Attendees:	none			Humidity:	45%
Cust. Ref. No.:				Barometric Pressure	30.05
Tested by:	Jonathan Peng	Power:	120VAC/60Hz	Job Site:	OC10

TEST SPECIFICATIONS

Specification:	FCC 15.107 Class B	Year:	2003
Method:	ANSI C63.4	Year:	2001

SAMPLE CALCULATIONS

Radiated Emissions: Field Strength = Measured Level + Antenna Factor + Cable Factor - Amplifier Gain + Distance Adjustment Factor + External Attenuation

Conducted Emissions: Adjusted Level = Measured Level + Transducer Factor + Cable Attenuation Factor + External Attenuator

COMMENTS

Typical Operation, Conducted Emissions modifications installed - Exclude digital emissions

EUT OPERATING MODES

Telemetry Wand On

DEVIATIONS FROM TEST STANDARD

No deviations.

RESULTS	Line	Run #
Pass	N	10

Other

Tested By:



Freq (MHz)	Amplitude (dBuV)			Transducer (dB)	Cable (dB)	External Attenuation (dB)		Detector (blank equal peaks [PK] from scan)		Adjusted dBuV	Spec. Limit dBuV	Compared to Spec. (dB)
1.292	23.7			0.0	0.4	20.0		AV		44.1	46.0	-1.9
9.557	33.1			0.0	0.9	20.0		QP		54.0	60.0	-6.0
1.291	25.1			0.0	0.4	20.0		QP		45.5	56.0	-10.5
4.667	24.7			0.0	0.7	20.0		QP		45.4	56.0	-10.6
7.557	25.7			0.0	0.8	20.0		QP		46.5	60.0	-13.5
11.362	23.4			0.0	1.0	20.0		QP		44.4	60.0	-15.6
4.851	8.2			0.0	0.7	20.0		AV		28.9	46.0	-17.1
9.459	7.8			0.0	0.9	20.0		AV		28.7	50.0	-21.3
15.097	17.4			0.0	1.1	20.0		QP		38.5	60.0	-21.5
7.554	3.1			0.0	0.8	20.0		AV		23.9	50.0	-26.1
11.484	2.9			0.0	1.0	20.0		AV		23.9	50.0	-26.1
15.230	0.2			0.0	1.1	20.0		AV		21.3	50.0	-28.7
12.900	28.7			0.0	1.0	20.0				49.7	50.0	-0.3
15.180	28.6			0.0	1.1	20.0				49.7	50.0	-0.3
6.273	28.9			0.0	0.8	20.0				49.7	50.0	-0.3
7.573	28.8			0.0	0.8	20.0				49.6	50.0	-0.4
12.630	28.3			0.0	1.0	20.0				49.3	50.0	-0.7
3.246	24.7			0.0	0.5	20.0				45.2	46.0	-0.8
1.070	24.9			0.0	0.3	20.0				45.2	46.0	-0.8

NORTHWEST EMC

CONDUCTED EMISSIONS DATA SHEET

REV df4.2 08/10/2004

EUT:	Zoom Latitude Programming System, Model 3120			Work Order:	GDMN0018
Serial Number:	050342			Date:	08/25/04
Customer:	Guidant Imc.			Temperature:	75
Attendees:	none			Humidity:	45%
Cust. Ref. No.:				Barometric Pressure	30.05
Tested by:	Jonathan Peng	Power:	120VAC/60Hz	Job Site:	OC10

TEST SPECIFICATIONS

Specification:	FCC 15.107 Class B	Year:	2003
Method:	ANSI C63.4	Year:	2001

SAMPLE CALCULATIONS

Radiated Emissions: Field Strength = Measured Level + Antenna Factor + Cable Factor - Amplifier Gain + Distance Adjustment Factor + External Attenuation

Conducted Emissions: Adjusted Level = Measured Level + Transducer Factor + Cable Attenuation Factor + External Attenuator

COMMENTS

Typical Operation, Conducted Emissions modifications installed - Exclude digital emissions

EUT OPERATING MODES

Telemetry Wand On

DEVIATIONS FROM TEST STANDARD

No deviations.

RESULTS	Line	Run #
Pass	L1	11

Other

Tested By:



Freq (MHz)	Amplitude (dBuV)			Transducer (dB)	Cable (dB)	External Attenuation (dB)		Detector (blank equal peaks [PK] from scan)		Adjusted dBuV	Spec. Limit dBuV	Compared to Spec. (dB)
2.794	21.9			0.0	0.5	20.0		AV		42.4	46.0	-3.6
9.557	33.1			0.0	0.9	20.0		QP		54.0	60.0	-6.0
2.812	23.9			0.0	0.5	20.0		QP		44.4	56.0	-11.6
11.362	26.2			0.0	1.0	20.0		QP		47.2	60.0	-12.8
7.746	24.8			0.0	0.8	20.0		QP		45.6	60.0	-14.4
9.435	8.5			0.0	0.9	20.0		AV		29.4	50.0	-20.6
15.097	17.5			0.0	1.1	20.0		QP		38.6	60.0	-21.4
15.045	4.4			0.0	1.1	20.0		AV		25.5	50.0	-24.5
7.798	4.0			0.0	0.8	20.0		AV		24.8	50.0	-25.2
11.315	-1.1			0.0	1.0	20.0		AV		19.9	50.0	-30.1
2.821	25.4			0.0	0.5	20.0				45.9	46.0	-0.1
14.370	28.8			0.0	1.1	20.0				49.9	50.0	-0.1
8.274	29.0			0.0	0.8	20.0				49.8	50.0	-0.2
12.240	28.7			0.0	1.0	20.0				49.7	50.0	-0.3
13.200	28.6			0.0	1.0	20.0				49.6	50.0	-0.4
11.130	28.6			0.0	0.9	20.0				49.5	50.0	-0.5
14.250	28.2			0.0	1.1	20.0				49.3	50.0	-0.7
13.140	28.1			0.0	1.0	20.0				49.1	50.0	-0.9
4.772	24.4			0.0	0.7	20.0				45.1	46.0	-0.9



Justification

The individuals and/or the organization requesting the test provided the modes, configurations and settings available to evaluate. While scanning the radiated emissions, all of the EUT parameters listed below were investigated. This includes, but may not be limited to, antennas, tuned transmit frequency ranges, operating modes, and data rates.

Channels in Specified Band Investigated:
Single

Operating Modes Investigated:
Typical

Data Rates Investigated:
Maximum

Output Power Setting(s) Investigated:
Maximum

Power Input Settings Investigated:
120 VAC, 60 Hz.

Frequency Range Investigated			
Start Frequency	10 kHz	**Stop Frequency**	30 MHz

Software\Firmware Applied During Test			
Operating system	QNX/Red Hat Linux	**Version**	Unknown
Exercise software	2845 Application	**Version**	4.3
Description			
The system was tested using standard operating production software to exercise the functions of the device during the testing.			

EUT and Peripherals			
Description	**Manufacturer**	**Model/Part Number**	**Serial Number**
Zoom Latitude Programming System	Guidant	3120	050336
USB Keyboard	Logitech	Y-BF37	None
USB Flash Hard Drive	PenDriveUSA	Pen Drive Plus 2.0	None
Loop Sensor	Guidant	6577	None

Cables					
Cable Type	**Shield**	**Length (m)**	**Ferrite**	**Connection 1**	**Connection 2**
AC Power	Yes	1.8	No	3120	AC Mains
Parallel	Yes	1.6	No	3120	Unterminated
Video	No	8.0	Yes	3120	Unterminated
Patient cables	Yes	3.0	No	3120	Unterminated
USB	No	1.8	No	3120	keyboard
PA = Cable is permanently attached to the device. Shielding and/or presence of ferrite may be unknown.					

Measurement Equipment					
Description	**Manufacturer**	**Model**	**Identifier**	**Last Cal**	**Interval**
Quasi-Peak Adapter	Hewlett-Packard	85650A	AQD	02/10/2004	13 mo
Spectrum Analyzer	Hewlett-Packard	8568B	AAI	02/10/2004	13 mo
Antenna, Loop	EMCO	6502	AOA	01/08/2002	36 mo

Test Description

Requirement: No portion of the transmitted carrier, including sidebands and modulation products may lie within any of the restricted bands of 15.205. In addition, no spurious emission level may be higher than the level of the fundamental frequency. All emissions must meet the specification limits of 15.209. Emissions in the 9 kHz – 90 kHz and 110 – 490 kHz range are measured using an average detector for comparison to the limit. Peak emission limiting specified in 15.35 also applies for average measurements, with the limit for peak emissions 20 dB higher than the average limit.

The level of any unwanted emissions from an intentional radiator operating under these general provisions shall not exceed the level of the fundamental emission. For intentional radiators which operate under the provisions of other sections within this part and which are required to reduce their unwanted emissions to the limits specified in this table, the limits in this table are based on the frequency of the unwanted emission and not the fundamental frequency. However, the level of any unwanted emissions shall not exceed the level of the fundamental frequency. Please note, the 3-meter average data demonstrates compliance with this requirement.

Configuration: The EUT was configured for typical operation, transmitting continuously with modulation at its single operating frequency. Emissions were maximized by rotating the turntable and varying the height of the measurement antenna. The position of the loop sensor, loop sensor cables, and pulse generators were also varied in three orthogonal axis (per ANSI C63.4: 2001) to maximize the level of emissions.

The emissions from the EUT were found compliant with all the requirements of FCC 15C.

Completed by:



NORTHWEST EMC

RADIATED EMISSIONS DATA SHEET

REV df4.13 05/06/2004

EUT:	Zoom Latitude Programming System Model 3120			Work Order:	GDMN0009
Serial Number:	050336			Date:	07/16/04
Customer:	Guidant Inc.			Temperature:	75F
Attendees:	Holli Pheil			Humidity:	46%
Cust. Ref. No.:				Barometric Pressure	30
Tested by:	Jonathan Peng	Power:	120VAC/60Hz	Job Site:	OC08

TEST SPECIFICATIONS

Specification:	FCC 15.209	Year:	2003
Method:	ANSI C63.4	Year:	2001

SAMPLE CALCULATIONS

Radiated Emissions: Field Strength = Measured Level + Antenna Factor + Cable Factor - Amplifier Gain + Distance Adjustment Factor + External Attenuation

Conducted Emissions: Adjusted Level = Measured Level + Transducer Factor + Cable Attenuation Factor + External Attenuator

COMMENTS

Maximum Data

EUT OPERATING MODES

Standard Operating Mode 68 kHz Fundamental

DEVIATIONS FROM TEST STANDARD

No deviations.

RESULTS	Run #
Pass	3

Other

Tested By:



Freq (MHz)	Amplitude (dBuV)	Factor (dB)	Azimuth (degrees)	Height (meters)	Distance (meters)	External Attenuation (dB)	Polarity	Detector	Distance Adjustment (dB)	Adjusted dBuV/m	Spec. Limit dBuV/m	Compared to Spec. (dB)
0.482	31.9	10.3	165.0	2.0	10.0	0.0	Loop - Y	PK	-30.8	11.4	13.9	-2.5
0.481	42.8	10.3	165.0	2.0	3.0	0.0	Loop - Y	PK	-41.7	11.4	13.9	-2.5
0.620	27.2	10.3	165.0	2.0	10.0	0.0	Loop - Y	QP	-8.8	28.7	32.0	-3.3
0.619	36.8	10.3	165.0	2.0	3.0	0.0	Loop - Y	QP	-18.4	28.7	32.0	-3.3
0.206	52.4	10.3	175.0	2.0	3.0	0.0	Loop - Y	PK	-48.6	14.1	22.0	-7.9
0.206	39.7	10.3	175.0	2.0	10.0	0.0	Loop - Y	PK	-35.9	14.1	22.0	-7.9
28.920	8.1	7.3	95.0	2.0	3.0	0.0	Loop - Y	QP	-1.7	13.7	30.0	-16.3
28.920	7.2	7.3	95.0	2.0	10.0	0.0	Loop - Y	QP	-0.8	13.7	30.0	-16.3
8.381	8.5	10.4	85.0	2.0	10.0	0.0	Loop - Y	QP	-7.2	11.7	30.0	-18.3
8.380	16.4	10.4	85.0	2.0	3.0	0.0	Loop - Y	QP	-15.1	11.7	30.0	-18.3
12.550	20.6	10.2	78.0	2.0	3.0	0.0	Loop - X	QP	-25.2	5.6	30.0	-24.4
12.550	7.4	10.2	78.0	2.0	10.0	0.0	Loop - X	QP	-12.0	5.6	30.0	-24.4
6.130	26.5	10.3	107.0	2.0	3.0	0.0	Loop - X	QP	-31.7	5.1	30.0	-24.9
6.132	9.9	10.3	107.0	2.0	10.0	0.0	Loop - X	QP	-15.1	5.1	30.0	-24.9
0.344	49.8	10.3	170.0	2.0	3.0	0.0	Loop - Y	PK	-53.9	6.2	42.0	-35.8
0.344	35.7	10.3	170.0	2.0	10.0	0.0	Loop - Y	PK	-39.8	6.2	42.0	-35.8
0.069	64.5	10.7	177.0	2.0	3.0	0.0	Loop - Y	AV	-91.4	-16.2	31.0	-47.2
0.069	40.6	10.7	177.0	2.0	10.0	0.0	Loop - Y	AV	-67.5	-16.2	31.0	-47.2
0.069	64.5	10.7	177.0	2.0	3.0	0.0	Loop - Y	PK	-76.5	-1.3	51.0	-52.3
0.069	44.5	10.7	177.0	2.0	10.0	0.0	Loop - Y	PK	-56.5	-1.3	51.0	-52.3
0.069	65.3	10.7	100.0	2.0	3.0	0.0	Loop - Z	PK	-79.6	-3.6	51.0	-54.6

Freq (MHz)	Amplitude (dBuV)	Factor (dB)	Azimuth (degrees)	Height (meters)	Distance (meters)	External Attenuation (dB)	Polarity	Detector	Distance Adjustment (dB)	Adjusted dBuV/m	Spec. Limit dBuV/m	Compared to Spec. (dB)
0.069	44.5	10.7	100.0	2.0	10.0	0.0	Loop - Z	PK	-58.8	-3.6	51.0	-54.6
0.069	63.5	10.7	100.0	2.0	3.0	0.0	Loop - Z	AV	-111.7	-37.5	31.0	-68.5
0.069	34.3	10.7	100.0	2.0	10.0	0.0	Loop - Z	AV	-82.5	-37.5	31.0	-68.5
0.069	34.7	10.7	78.0	2.0	10.0	0.0	Loop - X	AV	-84.7	-39.3	31.0	-70.3
0.069	64.7	10.7	228.0	2.0	3.0	0.0	Loop - X	AV	-114.7	-39.3	31.0	-70.3
0.069	36.3	10.7	228.0	2.0	10.0	0.0	Loop - X	PK	-81.4	-34.4	51.0	-85.4
0.069	65.1	10.7	228.0	2.0	3.0	0.0	Loop - X	PK	-110.2	-34.4	51.0	-85.4

Distance Adjustment Factor for Radiated Emissions below 30 MHz

Method: Per 47 CFR 15.31(f)(2), the data was extrapolated based upon a the measured fall-off (at each frequency / polarity).

EUT: 3120
S/N: 50336
Date: 7/21/2004
Job Number: GDMN0009

Frequency (MHz)	Loop Antenna Polarity	Detector	Test Distance (meters)	Adjusted Level (dBuV/m)	Fall-Off from 3 to 10 m (dB)	Extrapolation Factor for Specification Limit (dB / decade)	Test Distance of Spec. Limit (meters)	Distance Adjustment Factor (dB)
0.069	Y-Axis	AV	3	64.5	23.9	45.7	300.0	91.4
			10	40.6				67.5
0.069	Y-Axis	PK	3	64.5	20.0	38.2	300.0	76.5
			10	44.5				56.5
0.206	Y-Axis	PK	3	52.4	12.7	24.3	300.0	48.6
			10	39.7				35.9
0.344	Y-Axis	PK	3	49.8	14.1	27.0	300.0	53.9
			10	35.7				39.8
0.482	Y-Axis	PK	3	42.8	10.9	20.8	300.0	41.7
			10	31.9				30.8
0.620	Y-Axis	QP	3	36.8	9.6	18.4	30.0	18.4
			10	27.2				8.8
8.381	Y-Axis	QP	3	16.4	7.9	15.1	30.0	15.1
			10	8.5				7.2
28.920	Y-Axis	QP	3	8.1	0.9	1.7	30.0	1.7
			10	7.2				0.8
0.069	X-Axis	AV	3	64.7	30.0	57.4	300.0	114.7
			10	34.7				84.7
0.069	X-Axis	PK	3	65.1	28.8	55.1	300.0	110.2
			10	36.3				81.4
0.758	X-Axis	QP	3	33.5	6.4	12.2	30.0	12.2
			10	27.1				5.8
0.897	X-Axis	QP	3	31.4	3.0	5.7	30.0	5.7
			10	28.4				2.7
6.132	X-Axis	QP	3	26.5	16.6	31.7	30.0	31.7
			10	9.9				15.1
12.550	X-Axis	QP	3	20.6	13.2	25.2	30.0	25.2
			10	7.4				12.0
0.069	Z-Axis	AV	3	63.5	29.2	55.8	300.0	111.7
			10	34.3				82.5
0.069	Z-Axis	PK	3	65.3	20.8	39.8	300.0	79.6
			10	44.5				58.8