

**Chris Harvey**

---

**From:** SUN-HEE KIM (HCT) [alondra@hct.co.kr]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 03, 2007 2:42 AM  
**To:** charvey-tcb@ccsemc.com  
**Cc:** Mike Kuo (CCS); charvey-tcb@ccsemc.com; khpark (HCT); kentkim (HCT America); nwkang (HCT)  
**Subject:** Re: PANTECH&CURITEL COMMUNICATIONS, INC., FCC ID: PP4OVAL, Assessment NO.: AN06T6397, Notice#3  
**Attachments:** ATT. C (RF REPORT)\_OVAL\_ Rev.2.pdf; ATT. N (SAR REPORT)\_OVAL\_Rev.1.pdf

Hello Chris,

I hope you had a great new year!  
 Please find the attached revised exhibits and below explanation.  
 And we uploaded the HAC exhibits on CCS website.  
 If you have any other comments, please let me know.  
 Thank you.

Best Regards,  
 SunHee Kim

=====

Sun-Hee Kim

Test Engineer, Product Compliance Division  
 Hyundai Calibration & Certification Co.,Ltd (HCT)  
 San 136-1, Ami-ri , Bubal-eup, Icheon-si,  
 Kyounki- do, Korea (467-701)

TEL.: (82-31) 639-8565/ 8518  
 FAX : (82-31) 639-8525/ 8535  
 C.P: (82-11) 9558-9875

=====

----- Original Message -----

From: <[charvey-tcb@ccsemc.com](mailto:charvey-tcb@ccsemc.com)>  
 To: <[alondra@hct.co.kr](mailto:alondra@hct.co.kr)>  
 Cc: <[charvey-tcb@ccsemc.com](mailto:charvey-tcb@ccsemc.com)>  
 Sent: Saturday, December 30, 2006 1:45 AM  
 Subject: PANTECH&CURITEL COMMUNICATIONS, INC., FCC ID: PP4OVAL, Assessment NO.: AN06T6397, Notice#3

> Sun Hee,  
 > an additional review of the above referencedd application has found some additional issues that need to mbe  
 addressed before the review can be completed:  
 >

> 1. EMC Test report output power measurements for various RC/SO are identical to SAR RC/SO output power measurements. According to the FCC's 3G policy sub-set test mode selection for EMC shall be based upon peak output power. On the other hand, sub-set test mode selection for SAR shall be based upon AVERAGE output power. It is assumed that you have reported peak output power for EMC and SAR. Please provide AVERAGE output power measurement for various RC/SO to justify the sub-set mode selected for SAR testing.

==> Please find the revised RF test report Page.6,7 of 27.

Please find the revised SAR test report Page.17 of 24.

>

> 2. There is no information on Mobile Station (MS) Protocol number and revision supported for this device.

==> MS protocol revision number during CDMA 2000 1X mode. = 6

1xEVDO revision number = Rev. 0

>

> 3. When a base station simulator is required to establish communication, directional coupler should be used between base station simulator and EUT. Directional coupler is listed in the SAR test equipment list but EMC does not have such equipment list (only power divider). Please confirm that a Directional Coupler was used for EMC testing.

==> We used the Directional Coupler. Please find the revised RF test report Page 25 of 27.

>

> 4. Your cover letter exhibit indicates that this device complies with HAC M4 rating but there is no HAC RF test report submitted. Please either submit the HAC Compliance information or revise your cover letter to remove the HAC reference.

==>We apply HAC RF Emission portion also. There was a mistake while we upload the files.

We uploaded the HAC exhibits today. Please find these files.

>

> The items indicated above must be submitted before processing can continue on the above referenced application. Failure to provide the requested information within 30 days of the original e-mail date may result in application dismissal and forfeiture of the filing fee. Also, please note that partial responses increase processing time and should not be submitted. Any questions about the content of this correspondence should be directed to the e-mail address listed below the name of the sender.

>

> Best regards,

>

> Chris Harvey

> [charvey-tcb@ccsemc.com](mailto:charvey-tcb@ccsemc.com)

>

>