Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) Test Report

for

Giant Electronics Ltd.

on the

7/F., Elite Industrial Bldg., 135-137 Hoi Bun Road,
Kwun Tong, Kowloon, Hong Kong
Model Number: T8260D

Test Report: EME-050222
Date of Report: Mar. 23, 2005
Date of test: Mar. 21, 2005

Total No of Pages Contained in this Report: 81

Accredited for testing to FCC Part 15

Tested by: Marx Yan	Marx Yan
Reviewed by: Jerry Liu	Jerry Liu

Review Date: Mar. 23, 2005

Table of Contents

STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE

The Giant sample device, model # T8260D was evaluated in accordance with the requirements for compliance testing defined in FCC OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C (Edition 01-01). Testing was performed at the Intertek Testing Services facility in Hsinchu, Taiwan.

The EUT was evaluated in a face-held configuration with the front of the radio placed parallel to the outer surface of the planar. A 2.5cm separation distance was maintained between the front side of the EUT and the outer surface of the planar phantom for the duration of the test.

The EUT was tested in a body-worn configuration with the rear of the radio placed parallel to outer surface of the planar phantom. The attached plastic belt-clip was touching the planar phantom. The EUT was evaluated for body-worn SAR with the microphone accessory.

Because EUT can powered with both the Ni-MH and general alkaline batteries. The final tests were executed under these two conditions and recorded in this report individually.

For the evaluation, the dosimetric assessment system INDEXSAR SARA2 was used. The phantom employed was the box phantom of 6 mm thick in one wall. The total uncertainty for the evaluation of the spatial peak SAR values averaged over a cube of 1g tissue mass had been assessed for this system to be ±20.6%.

The device was tested at their maximum output power declared by the Giant.

The device was tested in unmodulated continuous transmit operation (Continuous Wave at 100% duty cycle) with the transmit key constantly depressed. For a push-to-talk device, the 50% duty cycle compensation reported assumes a transmit/ receive cycle of equal time base.

In summary, the maximum spatial peak SAR value for the sample device averaged over 1g was found to be:

Phantom	Position	SAR_{1g}, W/kg
6 mm thick box phantom wall for face-held evaluation	EUT Front to the phantom, 25 mm separation. 462MHz band _channel 4 with alkaline batteries	0.396 W/kg
6 mm thick box phantom wall for body-worn evaluation	EUT rear to the phantom with the Belt-clip, 0 mm separation. 462MHz band _channel 4 with Ni-MH batteries	0.402 W/kg

In conclusion, the tested Sample device was found to be in compliance with the requirements defined in OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C (Edition 01-01) for body configurations.

1.0 Job Description

1.1 Client Information

The T8260D has been tested at the request of:

Company: **Giant Electronics Ltd.**
7/F., Elite Industrial Bldg., 135-137 Hoi Bun Road,
Kwun Tong, Kowloon, Hong Kong

1.2 Equipment under test (EUT)

Product Descriptions:

Equipment	**Transmitter Portion of Two Way Radio w/FRS & GMRS**		
Trade Name	**Giant**	**Model No:**	T8260D
FCC ID	K7GT8270D	**S/N No.**	Not Labeled
Category	Portable	**RF Exposure**	Uncontrolled Environment
Frequency Band	462.5625 – 462.7125 MHz for FRS 467.5625 – 467.7125 MHz for FRS 462.5625 – 462.7125 MHz for GMRS 462.5500 – 462.7250 MHz for GMRS	**System**	F3E

EUT Antenna Description			
Type	Integral	**Configuration**	Fixed
Dimensions	61 mm length	**Gain**	-4.9 dBi
Location	Embedded		

Use of Product : **Two Way Walkie-Talkie with GMRS and FRS**

Manufacturer: Giant

Production is planned: [X] Yes, [ ] No

EUT receive date: Mar. 11, 2005

EUT received condition: Good operating condition prototype

Test start date: Mar. 21, 2005

Test end date: Mar. 22, 2005

1.3 Test plan reference

FCC Rule: Part 2.1093, FCC's OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C (Edition 01-01) and IEEE 1528

1.4 System test configuration

1.4.1 System block diagram & Support equipment

Support Equipment				
Item #	**Equipment**	**Brand**	**Model No.**	**S/N**
1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

1.4.2 Test Position

See the photographs as section 2.2

1.4.3 Test Condition

During tests the worst-case data (max RF coupling) was determined with following conditions:

<table>
<tr><td>Usage</td><td>Operates with a built-in test mode by client</td><td>Distance between antenna axis at the joint and the liquid surface:</td><td colspan="2">For head, EUT front to phantom, 25 mm separation.
For body, EUT rear with belt-clip to phantom, 0 mm separation</td></tr>
<tr><td>Simulating human Head/ Body</td><td>Head and Body</td><td>EUT Battery</td><td colspan="2">Fully-charged with 2 batteries</td></tr>
<tr><td rowspan="2">E.R.P. for 462MHz Band</td><td>Channel</td><td>Frequency MHz</td><td>Before SAR Test (dBm)</td><td>After SAR Test (dBm)</td></tr>
<tr><td>Mid Channel – 4</td><td>462.6375</td><td>27.6</td><td>27.5</td></tr>
<tr><td rowspan="2">E.R.P. for 467MHz Band</td><td>Channel</td><td>Frequency MHz</td><td>Before SAR Test (dBm)</td><td>After SAR Test (dBm)</td></tr>
<tr><td>Mid Channel – 11</td><td>467.6375</td><td>22.9</td><td>22.8</td></tr>
</table>

The spatial peak SAR values were assessed for middle operating channels, defined by the manufacturer.

The EUT has built-in test mode that used to evaluate SAR.

The EUT was transmitted continuously during the test (Continuous Wave at 100% duty cycle). For a push-to-talk device, the 50% duty cycle compensation reported assumes a transmit/ receive cycle of equal time base.

The EUT take Ni-MH batteries as its power source. Each test was proceeded with fully-charged batteries.

1.5 Modifications required for compliance

Intertek Testing Services implemented no modifications.

1.6 Additions, deviations and exclusions from standards

The phantom employed was the box phantom of 6 mm thick in vertical wall.

2.0 SAR Evaluation

2.1 SAR Limits

The following FCC limits for SAR apply to devices operate in General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure environment:

EXPOSURE (General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure environment)	**SAR (W/kg)**
Average over the whole body	0.08
Spatial Peak (1g)	1.60
Spatial Peak for hands, wrists, feet and ankles (10g)	4.00

2.2 Configuration Photographs

SAR Measurement Test Setup

Test System

SAR Measurement Test Setup

For head, EUT front to phantom, 25 mm separation



For head, EUT front to phantom, 25 mm separation – Zoom In



SAR Measurement Test Setup

For body, EUT rear with belt-clip to phantom, 0 mm separation



For body, EUT rear with belt-clip to phantom, 0 mm separation-Zoon In

2.3 SAR measurement system

Robot system specification

The SAR measurement system being used is the IndexSAR SARA2 system, which consists of a Mitsubishi RV-E2 6-axis robot arm and controller, IndexSAR probe and amplifier and SAM phantom Head Shape. The robot is used to articulate the probe to programmed positions inside the phantom head to obtain the SAR readings from the DUT.

The system is controlled remotely from a PC, which contains the software to control the robot and data acquisition equipment. The software also displays the data obtained from test scans.



Figure 1: Schematic diagram of the SAR measurement system

The position and digitised shape of the phantom heads are made available to the software for accurate positioning of the probe and reduction of set-up time.

The SAM phantom heads are individually digitised using a Mitutoyo CMM machine to a precision of 0.02mm. The data is then converted into a shape format for the software, providing an accurate description of the phantom shell.In operation, the system first does an area (2D) scan at a fixed depth within the liquid from the inside wall of the phantom. When the maximum SAR point has been found, the system will then carry out a 3D scan centred at that point to determine volume averaged SAR level.

The first 2 measurements points in a direction perpendicular to the surface of the phantom during the zoom scan and closest to the phantom surface, were only 3.5mm and the probe is kept at greater than half a diameter from the surface.

2.4 SAR measurement system validation

Prior to the assessment, the system was verified to the ±10% of the specifications by using the system validation equipments. The validation was performed at 450 MHz on the bottom side of box phantom.

Procedures

The SAR evaluation was performed with the following procedures:

a. The SAR distribution was measured at the exposed side of the bottom of the box phantom and was measured at a distance of 15 mm for 300 ~ 1000 MHz and 10 mm for 1000 ~ 3000 MHz from the inner surface of the shell. The feed power was 1/5W.

b. The dimension for this cube is 32 mm x 32 mm x 34 mm was assessed by measuring 5 x 5 x 7 points. On the basis of this data set, the spatial peak SAR value was evaluated with the following procedure:

   i) The data at the surface were extrapolated, since the center of the dipoles is 2.7 mm away from the tip of the probe and the distance between the surface and the lowest measurement point is 5 mm. The extrapolation was based on a least square algorithm. A polynomial of the fourth order was calculated through the points in Z-axes. This polynomial was then used to evaluate the points between the surface and the probe tip.

   ii) The maximum interpolated value was searched with a straightforward algorithm. Around this maximum, the SAR values averaged over the spatial volumes (1g or 10g) were computed using the 3-D spline interpolation algorithm. The 3-D spline is composed of three one-dimensional splines with the “Not a knot” condition (in x, y and z directions). The volume was integrated with the trapezoidal algorithm. 1000 points (10 x 10 x 10) were interpolated to calculate the average.

   iii) All neighboring volumes were evaluated until no neighboring volume with a higher average value was found.

The test scan procedure for system validation also apply to the general scan procedure except for the set-up position. For general scan, the EUT was placed at the side of phantom. For validation scan, the dipole antenna was placed at the bottom of phantom

2.4.1 System Validation result

System Validation (450 MHz Head)				
Frequency MHz	**Operating Mode**	**Target SAR_{1g} (W/kg)**	**Measured SAR_{1g} (W/kg)**	**Deviation (±10%)**
450	CW	4.9	4.875	-0.51%

Please see the plot below:

Date / Time:	2004/10/5	**Position:**	bottom of phantom
Filename:	450 system validation.txt	**Phantom:**	HeadBox3-450.csv
Device Tested:	450 system validation	**Head Rotation:**	0
Antenna:	450 dipole antenna	**Test Frequency:**	450MHz
Shape File:	none.csv	**Power Level:**	23dBm

Probe: 0149

Cal File: SN0149_450_CW_HEAD

Cal Factors:

	X	Y	Z
Air	365	444	414
DCP	20	20	20
Lin	.344	.344	.344

Amp Gain: 2

Averaging: 1

Batteries Replaced: -

Liquid:	15.5cm
Type:	450MHz Head
Conductivity:	0.885
Relative Permittivity:	44.638
Liquid Temp (deg C):	22.2
Ambient Temp (deg C):	22
Ambient RH (%):	45
Density (kg/m3):	1000
Software Version:	2.3VPM

Crest Factor=1



ZOOM SCAN RESULTS:

Spot SAR (W/kg):	**Start Scan**	**End Scan**
	0.207	0.205

Change during Scan (%) -0.72

Max E-field (V/m): 29.58

Max SAR (W/kg)	**1g**	**10g**
	0.975	0.633

Location of Max (mm):	**X**	**Y**	**Z**
	1.3	0.0	-222.5

Normalized to an input power of 1W Averaged over 1 cm^3 (1g) of tissue

4.875W/kg

2.4.2 System Performance Check result

System performance check (450 MHz Head)				
Frequency MHz	**Operating Mode**	**Target SAR_{1g} (W/kg)**	**Measured SAR_{1g} (W/kg)**	**Deviation (±10%)**
450	CW	4.9	5.225	6.63%

Please see the plot below:

Date:	2005/3/20	**Position:**	bottom of box phantom
Filename:	450per. check.txt	**Phantom:**	HeadBox3-450-val..csv
Device Tested:	450 performance check	**Head Rotation:**	0
Antenna:	450 dipole antenna	**Test Frequency:**	450 MHz
Shape File:	none.csv	**Power Level:**	23 dBm

Probe: 0149

Cal File: SN0149_450_CW_HEAD

Cal Factors:

	X	Y	Z
Air	365	444	414
DCP	20	20	20
Lin	.344	.344	.344

Amp Gain: 2

Averaging: 1

Batteries Replaced: -

Liquid:	15.5cm
Type:	450 MHz Head
Conductivity:	0.8807
Relative Permittivity:	44.177099
Liquid Temp (deg C):	22
Ambient Temp (deg C):	23.5
Ambient RH (%):	55
Density (kg/m3):	1000
Software Version:	2.3VPM

Crest Factor=1



ZOOM SCAN RESULTS:

Spot SAR (W/kg):	**Start Scan**	**End Scan**
	0.260	0.270

Change during Scan (%) 2.37

Max E-field (V/m): 31.06

Max SAR (W/kg)	**1g**	**10g**
	1.045	0.717

Location of Max (mm):	**X**	**Y**	**Z**
	-1.3	2.7	-222.8

Normalized to an input power of 1W
Averaged over 1 cm^3 (1g) of tissue

5.225W/kg

2.5 Test Result

The results on the following page(s) were obtained when the device was tested in the condition described in this report. Detailed measurement data and plots, which reveal information about the location of the maximum SAR with respect to the device, are reported in Appendix A.

Measurement Results

Trade Name:	Giant	**Model No.:**	T8260D
Serial No.:	Not Labled	**Test Engineer:**	Marx Yan
TEST CONDITIONS			
Ambient Temperature	23.5 ^{o}C	**Relative Humidity**	55 %
Test Signal Source	Test Mode	**Signal Modulation**	F3E
Output Power Before SAR Test	See page 6	**Output Power After SAR Test**	See page 6
Test Duration	23 min. each scan	**Number of Battery Change**	2

Test Mode: Head evaluation with Ni-MH battery

EUT Position							
Channel (MHz)	**Operating Mode**	**Crest Factor**	**Description**	**Distance (mm)**	**Measured SAR_{1g} (W/kg)**		**Plot Number**
					Duty Cycle		
					100%	**50%**	
462.6375 (CH4)	F3E	1	Front to phantom	25	0.638	0.319	1
467.6375 (CH11)	F3E	1	Front to phantom	25	0.283	0.142	2

Test Mode: Body evaluation with belt-clip and microphone with Ni-MH battery

EUT Position							
Channel (MHz)	**Operating Mode**	**Crest Factor**	**Description**	**Distance (mm)**	**Measured SAR_{1g} (W/kg)**		**Plot Number**
					Duty Cycle		
					100%	**50%**	
462.6375 (CH4)	F3E	1	Rear to phantom	0	0.804	0.402	3
467.6375 (CH11)	F3E	1	Rear to phantom	0	0.343	0.172	4

Test Mode: Head evaluation with general alkaline battery

EUT Position							
Channel (MHz)	**Operating Mode**	**Crest Factor**	**Description**	**Distance (mm)**	**Measured SAR_{1g} (W/kg)**		**Plot Number**
					Duty Cycle		
					100%	**50%**	
462.6375 (CH4)	F3E	1	Front to phantom	25	0.792	0.396	5
467.6375 (CH11)	F3E	1	Front to phantom	25	0.305	0.153	6

Test Mode: Body evaluation with belt-clip and microphone with general alkaline battery

EUT Position							
Channel (MHz)	**Operating Mode**	**Crest Factor**	**Description**	**Distance (mm)**	**Measured SAR_{1g} (W/kg)**		**Plot Number**
					Duty Cycle		
					100%	**50%**	
462.6375 (CH4)	F3E	1	Rear to phantom	0	0.699	0.350	7
467.6375 (CH11)	F3E	1	Rear to phantom	0	0.326	0.163	8

3.0 Test Equipment

3.1 Equipment List

The Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) tests were performed with the INDEXSAR SARA2 SYSTEM.

The following major equipment/components were used for the SAR evaluations:

SAR Measurement System			
EQUIPMENT	**SPECIFICATIONS**	Intertek ID No.	LAST CAL. DATE
Balanced Validation dipole	**450MHz**	EC381-1	10/2003
Controller	**Mitsubishi CR-E116**	EP320-1	N/A
Robot	**Mitsubishi RV-E2**	EP320-2	N/A
	Repeatability: ± 0.04mm; Number of Axes: 6		
E-Field Probe	**IXP-050**	EC356	05/2004
	Frequency Range: Probe outer diameter: 5.2 mm; Length: 350 mm; Distance between the probe tip and the dipole center: 2.7 mm		
Data Acquisition	**SARA2**	N/A	N/A
	Processor: Pentium 4; Clock speed: 1.5GHz; OS: Windows XP; I/O: two RS232; Software: SARA2 ver. 2.3VPM		
Phantom	**6 mm wall thickness box phantom**	N/A	N/A
	Shell Material: clear Perspex; Thickness: 6 ± 0.1 mm; Capacity: 333 x 400 x 170 (W x L x D) mm^3; Dielectric constant: less than 2.85 above 500MHz;		
Device holder	**Material: clear Perspex**	N/A	N/A
	Dielectric constant: less than 2.85 above 500MHz		
Simulated Tissue	**Mixture**	N/A	03/20/2005
	Please see section 3.2 for details		
RF Power Meter	**Boonton 4231A with 51011-EMC power sensor**	EC359	03/21/2004
	Frequency Range: 0.03 to 8 GHz, <24dBm		
Vector Network Analyzer	**HP 8753B** **HP 85046A**	EC375	07/04/2004
	300k to 3GHz		
Signal Generator	**R&S SMR27**	EC354	09/19/2004
	10M to 27GHz, <120dBuV		
Wideband Peak Power Meter/ Sensor	**Anritsu ML2497A with MA2491A Power sensor**	EC396	10/18/2004
	Frequency Range: 100MHz~18GHz		

3.2 Tissue Simulating Liquid

The head and body tissue parameters should be used to test operating frequency band of transmitters. When a transmission band overlaps with one of the target frequencies, the tissue dielectric parameters of the tissue medium at the middle of a device transmission band should be within ±5% of the parameters specified at that target frequency.

3.2.1 Body Tissue Simulating Liquid for evaluation test

Body Ingredients Frequency (450 MHz)	
Water	51.16%
Salt	1.49%
Sugar	46.78%
HEC (Hydroxyethyl Cellulose)	0.52%
Bactericide	0.05%

The dielectric parameters were verified prior to assessment using the HP 85046A dielectric probe kit and the HP 8753B network Analyzer. The dielectric parameters were:

Frequency (MHz)	Temp. (℃)	ε_r / Relative Permittivity			σ / Conductivity (mho/m)			ρ *(kg/m^3)
		measured	target	△(±5%)	measured	target	△(±5%)	
450	21.0	57.525	56.7	1.46%	0.951	0.94	1.17%	1000

* *Worst-case assumption*

3.2.2 Head Tissue Simulating Liquid for System performance Check and evaluation test

Head Ingredients Frequency (450 MHz)	
Water	38.56%
Salt	3.95%
Sugar	56.32%
HEC (Hydroxyethyl Cellulose)	0.98%
Bactericide	0.19%

The dielectric parameters were verified prior to assessment using the HP 85046A dielectric probe kit and the HP 8753B network Analyzer. The dielectric parameters were:

Frequency (MHz)	Temp. (℃)	ε_r / Relative Permittivity			σ / Conductivity (mho/m)			ρ *(kg/m^3)
		measured	target	△(±5%)	measured	target	△(±5%)	
450	22.8	44.177	43.5	1.56%	0.881	0.87	1.26%	1000

* *Worst-case assumption*

3.2.3 Body Liquid results

Date: 20 Mar. 2005	Temperature: 21.0 ℃	Type: 450 MHz/ body (FCC)	Tested by: Marx
410, 58.1311317092, -0.9128660359		**450, 57.5251109563, -0.951219903**	
411, 58.171645972, -0.9120936049		451, 57.5039092275, -0.9499400507	
412, 58.0965723398, -0.9119945949		452, 57.4827153129, -0.9518457804	
413, 58.1357910589, -0.9138479126		453, 57.5227776643, -0.9523589574	
414, 58.0758809196, -0.913650292		454, 57.3970453149, -0.9545065226	
415, 58.1169921778, -0.9165055017		455, 57.4815144817, -0.9557044414	
416, 58.1896519499, -0.9173042335		456, 57.3798291959, -0.9568887777	
417, 58.0164321405, -0.9173832099		457, 57.4454236528, -0.9563826053	
418, 58.0255960929, -0.9190655532		458, 57.3952901308, -0.9564721073	
419, 58.0414088044, -0.9194781067		459, 57.3741362493, -0.9583974062	
420, 58.0670320411, -0.919746245		460, 57.3268506874, -0.9576556269	
421, 58.0322317479, -0.920952474		461, 57.3570360952, -0.9610331326	
422, 57.9588169566, -0.9235475433		462, 57.2909659348, -0.9611048613	
423, 58.0740504019, -0.9244312539		463, 57.3613384893, -0.9633373143	
424, 57.9956504339, -0.9233181036		464, 57.25861355, -0.961829324	
425, 57.9651185777, -0.9258368184		465, 57.2387618271, -0.9619837003	
426, 58.0369538453, -0.9269812022		466, 57.2338934169, -0.9648809106	
427, 57.9336524851, -0.9269277317		467, 57.1978178764, -0.9661791972	
428, 57.9336524851, -0.9287222377		468, 57.2376816697, -0.9668587259	
429, 57.9266135463, -0.9292250712		469, 57.2286412314, -0.966899585	
430, 57.952186105, -0.9307704626		470, 57.1604098797, -0.9709018465	
431, 57.8648097195, -0.9325572064		471, 57.1372599137, -0.9685068581	
432, 57.8065135881, -0.933552037		472, 57.182190284, -0.9702879335	
433, 57.8611091377, -0.9351482162		473, 57.1356876886, -0.9707662446	
434, 57.8285401838, -0.9365354187		474, 57.2339058075, -0.9724718642	
435, 57.8002030436, -0.9370122855		475, 57.1404333147, -0.9728964702	
436, 57.7838138419, -0.938509903		476, 56.9972276158, -0.9733051272	
437, 57.7887280993, -0.937127631		477, 57.0293181037, -0.9736859903	
438, 57.8142397864, -0.9395839923		478, 57.0783244513, -0.9748875678	
439, 57.7999533361, -0.9385250176		479, 57.0531280676, -0.9761219357	
440, 57.7502117601, -0.9406286006		480, 56.9481869141, -0.9750370816	
441, 57.731732335, -0.9429992472		481, 56.962252573, -0.9774997501	
442, 57.6082729244, -0.9452179473		482, 56.8962730173, -0.9775310461	
443, 57.6146423066, -0.9424238614		483, 56.9430894611, -0.9826865267	
444, 57.6097419261, -0.9436581117		484, 56.8823350644, -0.9794819123	
445, 57.6529422338, -0.9452395961		485, 56.9192004156, -0.9803354265	
446, 57.6183901098, -0.9473982614		486, 56.9051351381, -0.980220236	
447, 57.6141897291, -0.9474919173		487, 56.9099746678, -0.9843957363	
448, 57.5506215175, -0.9463461288		488, 56.7960811293, -0.9860535242	
449, 57.5958769383, -0.9477113683		489, 56.8121800235, -0.9853545967	
		490, 56.8775816064, -0.9845682978	



3.2.4 Head Liquid results

Date: 20 Mar. 2005	Temperature: 22.8 ℃	Type: 450 MHz/ head (FCC)	Tested by: Marx

410, 45.0411205244, -0.8399797813	450, 44.1770990872, -0.880710491
411, 45.0853030565, -0.8412016723	451, 44.3159885734, -0.8808583035
412, 45.1445226378, -0.8424203535	452, 44.2049235754, -0.8835056324
413, 45.0131582139, -0.8436665819	453, 44.217453496, -0.8829159041
414, 45.0960158078, -0.8441948286	454, 44.1414849395, -0.8832051692
415, 45.0168969563, -0.8454337928	455, 44.0835007199, -0.8850107494
416, 45.0003053144, -0.8471998014	456, 44.0487743092, -0.8873581608
417, 45.0147014126, -0.8468680715	457, 44.1223508712, -0.8874023432
418, 44.948469663, -0.8486891592	458, 44.0659978746, -0.8890919698
419, 44.9148688575, -0.8497052382	459, 44.0280754879, -0.8893942892
420, 44.8740353482, -0.8483777585	460, 43.9993615432, -0.8894258524
421, 44.9693731409, -0.850948847	461, 43.9524172223, -0.8913268968
422, 44.7263118747, -0.853450341	462, 44.0133572139, -0.8891650553
423, 44.8598415122, -0.854390503	463, 43.9345363641, -0.8911221352
424, 44.8911064016, -0.8561987115	464, 43.9592723165, -0.8937816384
425, 44.8194253628, -0.8570282139	465, 43.960874632, -0.8954212753
426, 44.7941973327, -0.8580205472	466, 43.9349544837, -0.8944822133
427, 44.7649837436, -0.8581642142	467, 43.8843453573, -0.8950827949
428, 44.8199701836, -0.8576292763	468, 43.8391216286, -0.8944979955
429, 44.7196672759, -0.8585987891	469, 43.8407195646, -0.8968486282
430, 44.6609235564, -0.8616886984	470, 43.7076648017, -0.8980637838
431, 44.6663498587, -0.862313502	471, 43.7597573974, -0.8975738381
432, 44.589610068, -0.862965566	472, 43.750718432, -0.9018323907
433, 44.6333496965, -0.8646863929	473, 43.7812103224, -0.8991222345
434, 44.6408878745, -0.8630918121	474, 43.7163154095, -0.9043904759
435, 44.5555290635, -0.8660027375	475, 43.6610112436, -0.9055185289
436, 44.4947465768, -0.8673565811	476, 43.7392544277, -0.90316212
437, 44.5438045648, -0.8673769345	477, 43.6855135793, -0.9043136041
438, 44.454659357, -0.8695765028	478, 43.649509655, -0.9060185287
439, 44.4834703513, -0.8707059964	479, 43.5745168642, -0.9064642298
440, 44.5254829094, -0.871052443	480, 43.6494228862, -0.9065387516
441, 44.4013145667, -0.873491802	481, 43.5169487008, -0.9075684135
442, 44.3507079869, -0.8719600036	482, 43.5527561216, -0.909934123
443, 44.448663487, -0.8731382442	483, 43.4184653322, -0.9111811461
444, 44.3781742004, -0.874870641	484, 43.4647523687, -0.909850477
445, 44.4434839629, -0.8754764601	485, 43.5303045029, -0.9128169712
446, 44.2706633181, -0.8770234481	486, 43.4838606339, -0.9129833303
447, 44.2978550424, -0.8764097637	487, 43.4128442531, -0.9135935316
448, 44.386873459, -0.8801616998	488, 43.34036404, -0.9147483402
449, 44.314382308, -0.8788793591	489, 43.395182265, -0.9159821477
	490, 43.4287777245, -0.9165382548



3.3 E-Field Probe and 450 Balanced Dipole Antenna Calibration

Probe calibration factors and dipole antenna calibration are included in Appendix C.

4.0 Measurement Uncertainty

The uncertainty budget has been determined for the INDEXSAR SARA2 measurement system according to IEEE P1528 documents [3] and is given in the following table. The extended uncertainty (95% confidence level) was assessed to be 20.6 % for SAR measurement, and the extended uncertainty (95% confidence level) was assessed to be 20.2 % for system performance check.

Table 1 Exposure Assessment Uncertainty

Example of measurement uncertainty assessment SAR measurement

(blue entries are site-specific)

a	b			c	d	e		f	g	h	I
Uncertainty Component	Sec.	Tol. (+/-)			Prob. Dist.	Divisor (descrip)	Divisor (value)	c1 (1g)	c1 (10g)	Standard Uncertainty (%) 1g	Standard Uncertainty (%) 10g
		(dB)		(%)							
Measurement System											
Probe Calibration	E2.1			2.5	N	1 or k	1	1	1	2.50	2.50
Axial Isotropy	E2.2	0.25	5.93	5.93	R	√3	1.73	0	0	0.00	0.00
Hemispherical Isotropy	E2.2	0.45	10.92	10.92	R	√3	1.73	1	1	6.30	6.30
Boundary effect	E2.3		4	4.00	R	√3	1.73	1	1	2.31	2.31
Linearity	E2.4	0.04	0.93	0.93	R	√3	1.73	1	1	0.53	0.53
System Detection Limits	E2.5		1	1.00	R	√3	1.73	1	1	0.58	0.58
Readout Electronics	E2.6		1	1.00	N	1 or k	1.00	1	1	1.00	1.00
Response time	E2.7		0	0.00	R	√3	1.73	1	1	0.00	0.00
Integration time	E2.8		1.4	1.40	R	√3	1.73	1	1	0.81	0.81
RF Ambient Conditions	E6.1		3	3.00	R	√3	1.73	1	1	1.73	1.73
Probe Positioner Mechanical Tolerance	E6.2		0.6	0.60	R	√3	1.73	1	1	0.35	0.35
Probe Position wrt. Phantom Shell	E6.3		3	3.00	R	√3	1.73	1	1	1.73	1.73
SAR Evaluation Algorithms	E5		8	8.00	R	√3	1.73	1	1	4.62	4.62
Test Sample Related											
Test Sample Positioning	E4.2		2	2.00	N	1	1.00	1	1	2.00	2.00
Device Holder Uncertainty	E4.1		2	2.00	N	1	1.00	1	1	2.00	2.00
Output Power Variation	6.6.2		5	5.00	R	√3	1.73	1	1	2.89	2.89
Phantom and Tissue Parameters											
Phantom Uncertainty (shape and thickness)	E3.1		4	4.00	R	√3	1.73	1	1	2.31	2.31
Liquid conductivity (Deviation from target)	E3.2		5	5.00	R	√3	1.73	0.64	0.43	1.85	1.24
Liquid conductivity (measurement uncert.)	E3.3		1.1	1.10	N	1	1.00	0.64	0.43	0.70	0.47
Liquid permittivity (Deviation from target)	E3.2		5	5.00	R	√3	1.73	0.6	0.49	1.73	1.41
Liquid permittivity (measurement uncert.)	E3.3		1.1	1.10	N	1	1.00	0.6	0.49	0.66	0.54
Combined standard uncertainty					**RSS**					10.5	10.3
Expanded uncertainty	(95% Confidence Level)				k=2					**20.6**	**20.3**

Table 2 System Check (Verification)

Example of measurement uncertainty assessment for system performance check

(blue entries are site-specific)

a	b			c	d	e		f	g	h	I
Uncertainty Component	Sec.	Tol. (+/-)			Prob. Dist.	Divisor (descrip)	Divisor (value)	c1 (1g)	c1 (10g)	Standard Uncertainty (%) 1g	Standard Uncertainty (%) 10g
		(dB)		(%)							
Measurement System											
Probe Calibration	E2.1			2.5	N	1 or k	1	1	1	2.50	2.50
Axial Isotropy	E2.2	0.25	5.93	5.93	R	√3	1.73	0	0	0.00	0.00
Hemispherical Isotropy	E2.2	0.45	10.92	10.92	R	√3	1.73	1	1	6.30	6.30
Boundary effect	E2.3		4	4.00	R	√3	1.73	1	1	2.31	2.31
Linearity	E2.4	0.04	0.93	0.93	R	√3	1.73	1	1	0.53	0.53
System Detection Limits	E2.5		1	1.00	R	√3	1.73	1	1	0.58	0.58
Readout Electronics	E2.6		1	1.00	N	1 or k	1.00	1	1	1.00	1.00
Response time	E2.7		0	0.00	R	√3	1.73	1	1	0.00	0.00
Integration time	E2.8		1.4	1.40	R	√3	1.73	1	1	0.81	0.81
RF Ambient Conditions	E6.1		3	3.00	R	√3	1.73	1	1	1.73	1.73
Probe Positioner Mechanical Tolerance	E6.2		0.6	0.60	R	√3	1.73	1	1	0.35	0.35
Probe Position wrt. Phantom Shell	E6.3		3	3.00	R	√3	1.73	1	1	1.73	1.73
SAR Evaluation Algorithms	E5		8	8.00	R	√3	1.73	1	1	4.62	4.62
Dipole											
Dipole axis to liquid distance	8, E4.2		2	2.00	N	1	1.00	1	1	2.00	2.00
Input power and SAR drift measurement	8, 6.6.2		5	5.00	R	√3	1.73	1	1	2.89	2.89
Phantom and Tissue Parameters											
Phantom Uncertainty (thickness)	E3.1		4	4.00	R	√3	1.73	1	1	2.31	2.31
Liquid conductivity (Deviation from target)	E3.2		5	5.00	R	√3	1.73	0.64	0.43	1.85	1.24
Liquid conductivity (measurement uncert.)	E3.3		1.1	1.10	N	1	1.00	0.64	0.43	0.70	0.47
Liquid permittivity (Deviation from target)	E3.2		5	5.00	R	√3	1.73	0.6	0.49	1.73	1.41
Liquid permittivity (measurement uncert.)	E3.3		1.1	1.10	N	1	1.00	0.6	0.49	0.66	0.54
Combined standard uncertainty					**RSS**					10.3	10.1
Expanded uncertainty	(95% Confidence Level)				k=2					**20.2**	**19.9**

5.0 Measurement Traceability

All measurements described in this report are traceable to Chinese National Laboratory Accreditation (CNLA) standards or appropriate national standards.

6.0 Warning Label Information - USA

See user manual.

7.0 References

[1] ANSI, *ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1999: IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3kHz to 300 GHz*, The Institute of electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., New York, NY 10017, 1999

[2] Federal Communications Commission, "Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields", Supplement C to OET Bulletin 65, Washington, D.C. 20554, 1997

[3] IEEE Standards Coordinating Committee 34, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", IEEE Std 1528™-2003

[4] Industry Canada, "Evaluation Procedure for Mobile and Portable Radio Transmitters with respect to Health Canada's Safety Code 6 for Exposure of Humans to Radio Frequency Fields", Radio Standards Specification RSS-102 Issue 1 (Provisional): September 1999.

8.0 Document History

Revision/ Job Number	Writer Initials	Date	Change
N/A	S.L.	Mar. 23, 2005	Original document

APPENDIX A - SAR Evaluation Data

Power drift is the measurement of power drift of the device over one complete SAR scan.
To assess the drift of the power of the device under test, a SAR measurement was made in the middle of the zoom scan volume at the start of the scan and a measurement at this point was then also made after the measurement scan. The difference between the two measurements should be less than 5%.

Plot #1(1/2)

Date:	2005/3/21	**Position:**	Head-EUT front the phantom 25mm
Filename:	ch4_head25mm.txt	**Phantom:**	HeadBox4-450-test.csv
Device Tested:	T8260D	**Head Rotation:**	0
Antenna:	Helix	**Test Frequency:**	462.6375 MHz
Shape File:	T8260D(fornt side).csv	**Power Level:**	27.6 dBm

Probe:	0149
Cal File:	SN0149_450_CW_HEAD

Cal Factors:

	X	Y	Z
Air	365	444	414
DCP	20	20	20
Lin	.344	.344	.344

Amp Gain:	2
Averaging:	1
Batteries Replaced:	2

Liquid:	15.5cm
Type:	450 MHz Head
Conductivity:	0.8807
Relative Permittivity:	44.1770
Liquid Temp (deg C):	21
Ambient Temp (deg C):	20
Ambient RH (%):	55
Density (kg/m3):	1000
Software Version:	2.3VPM
Crest Factor=1	



ZOOM SCAN RESULTS:

Spot SAR (W/kg):	**Start Scan**	**End Scan**
	0.391	0.377

Change during Scan (%)	-3.63
Max E-field (V/m):	27.87

Max SAR (W/kg)	**1g**	**10g**
	0.638	0.508

Location of Max (mm):	**X**	**Y**	**Z**
	72.0	-42.0	-158.3

Plot #1(2/2)

AREA SCAN:

Scan Extent:

	Min	Max	Steps
Y	-70.0	30.0	10.0
Z	-195.0	-125.0	7.0



Plot #2(1/2)

Date:	2005/3/21	**Position:**	Head-EUT front the phantom 25mm
Filename:	ch11_head25mm.txt	**Phantom:**	HeadBox4-450-test.csv
Device Tested:	T8260D	**Head Rotation:**	0
Antenna:	Helix	**Test Frequency:**	467.6375 MHz
Shape File:	T8260D(fornt side).csv	**Power Level:**	22.9 dBm

Probe: 0149

Cal File: SN0149_450_CW_HEAD

Cal Factors:

	X	Y	Z
Air	365	444	414
DCP	20	20	20
Lin	.344	.344	.344

Amp Gain: 2

Averaging: 1

Batteries Replaced: 2

Liquid:	15.5cm
Type:	450 MHz Head
Conductivity:	0.8807
Relative Permittivity:	44.1770
Liquid Temp (deg C):	21
Ambient Temp (deg C):	20
Ambient RH (%):	55
Density (kg/m3):	1000
Software Version:	2.3VPM
Crest Factor=1	



ZOOM SCAN RESULTS:

Spot SAR (W/kg):	**Start Scan**	**End Scan**
	0.174	0.169

Change during Scan (%) -2.31

Max E-field (V/m): 18.61

Max SAR (W/kg)	**1g**	**10g**
	0.283	0.221

Location of Max (mm):	**X**	**Y**	**Z**
	72.1	-38.0	-168.0

Plot #2(2/2)

AREA SCAN:

Scan Extent:

	Min	Max	Steps
Y	-70.0	30.0	10.0
Z	-195.0	-125.0	7.0



Plot #3(1/2)

Date:	2005/3/21	**Position:**	Body-EUT rear with belt-clip 0mm
Filename:	ch4_body0mm.txt	**Phantom:**	HeadBox4-450-test.csv
Device Tested:	T8260D	**Head Rotation:**	0
Antenna:	Helix	**Test Frequency:**	462.6375 MHz
Shape File:	T8260D(back side).csv	**Power Level:**	27.6 dBm

Probe:	0149			
Cal File:	SN0149_450_CW_ Body			
Cal Factors:		X	Y	Z
	Air	365	444	414
	DCP	20	20	20
	Lin	.360	.360	.360
Amp Gain:	2			
Averaging:	1			
Batteries Replaced:	2			

Liquid:	15.5cm
Type:	450 MHz Body
Conductivity:	0.9512
Relative Permittivity:	57.5251
Liquid Temp (deg C):	21
Ambient Temp (deg C):	20
Ambient RH (%):	55
Density (kg/m3):	1000
Software Version:	2.3VPM
Crest Factor=1	



ZOOM SCAN RESULTS:

	Start Scan	End Scan
Spot SAR (W/kg):	0.469	0.455

Change during Scan (%) -2.98

Max E-field (V/m): 32.33

	1g	10g
Max SAR (W/kg)	0.804	0.781

	X	Y	Z
Location of Max (mm):	72.0	-26.0	-152.7

Plot #3(2/2)

AREA SCAN:

Scan Extent:

	Min	Max	Steps
Y	-70.0	30.0	10.0
Z	-195.0	-125.0	7.0



Plot #4(1/2)

Date:	2005/3/21	**Position:**	Body-EUT rear with belt-clip 0mm
Filename:	ch11_body0mm.txt	**Phantom:**	HeadBox4-450-test.csv
Device Tested:	T8260D	**Head Rotation:**	0
Antenna:	Helix	**Test Frequency:**	467.6375 MHz
Shape File:	T8260D(back side).csv	**Power Level:**	22.9 dBm

Probe:	0149
Cal File:	SN0149_450_CW_ Body

Cal Factors:

	X	Y	Z
Air	365	444	414
DCP	20	20	20
Lin	.360	.360	.360

Amp Gain:	2
Averaging:	1
Batteries Replaced:	2

Liquid:	15.5cm
Type:	450 MHz Body
Conductivity:	0.9512
Relative Permittivity:	57.5251
Liquid Temp (deg C):	21
Ambient Temp (deg C):	20
Ambient RH (%):	55
Density (kg/m3):	1000
Software Version:	2.3VPM
Crest Factor=1	



ZOOM SCAN RESULTS:

	Start Scan	End Scan
Spot SAR (W/kg):	0.183	0.178

Change during Scan (%): -2.58

Max E-field (V/m): 19.77

	1g	10g
Max SAR (W/kg)	0.343	0.257

	X	Y	Z
Location of Max (mm):	72.1	-36.0	-156.9

Plot #4(2/2)

AREA SCAN:

Scan Extent:

	Min	Max	Steps
Y	-70.0	30.0	10.0
Z	-195.0	-125.0	7.0



Plot #5(1/2)

Date:	2005/3/21	**Position:**	Head-EUT front the phantom 25mm
Filename:	ch4_head25mm-1.txt	**Phantom:**	HeadBox4-450-newtest.csv
Device Tested:	T8260D	**Head Rotation:**	0
Antenna:	Helix	**Test Frequency:**	462 MHz
Shape File:	T8260D(fornt side).csv	**Power Level:**	27.6 dBm

Probe: 0149

Cal File: SN0149_450_CW_HEAD

Cal Factors:

	X	Y	Z
Air	365	444	414
DCP	20	20	20
Lin	.344	.344	.344

Amp Gain: 2

Averaging: 1

Batteries Replaced: -

Liquid:	15.5cm
Type:	450 MHz Head
Conductivity:	0.8807
Relative Permittivity:	44.1770
Liquid Temp (deg C):	21
Ambient Temp (deg C):	20
Ambient RH (%):	55
Density (kg/m3):	1000
Software Version:	2.3VPM

Crest Factor=1





ZOOM SCAN RESULTS:

Spot SAR (W/kg):

Start Scan	End Scan
0.405	0.392

Change during Scan (%) -3.26

Max E-field (V/m): 31.63

Max SAR (W/kg)

1g	10g
0.792	0.579

Location of Max (mm):

X	Y	Z
71.6	-40.0	-163.6

Plot #5(2/2)

AREA SCAN:

Scan Extent:

	Min	Max	Steps
Y	-70.0	40.0	11.0
Z	-190.0	-120.0	7.0



Plot #6(1/2)

Date:	2005/3/21	**Position:**	Head-EUT front the phantom 25mm
Filename:	ch11_head25mm-1.txt	**Phantom:**	HeadBox4-450-newtest.csv
Device Tested:	T8260D	**Head Rotation:**	0
Antenna:	Helix	**Test Frequency:**	467 MHz
Shape File:	T8260D(fornt side).csv	**Power Level:**	22.9 dBm

Probe:	0149
Cal File:	SN0149_450_CW_HEAD

Cal Factors:

	X	Y	Z
Air	365	444	414
DCP	20	20	20
Lin	.344	.344	.344

Amp Gain:	2
Averaging:	1
Batteries Replaced:	-

Liquid:	15.5cm
Type:	450 MHz Head
Conductivity:	0.8807
Relative Permittivity:	44.1770
Liquid Temp (deg C):	21
Ambient Temp (deg C):	20
Ambient RH (%):	55
Density (kg/m3):	1000
Software Version:	2.3VPM

Crest Factor=1



ZOOM SCAN RESULTS:

Spot SAR (W/kg):

Start Scan	End Scan
0.189	0.186

Change during Scan (%) -3.45

Max E-field (V/m): 19.68

Max SAR (W/kg)

1g	10g
0.305	0.222

Location of Max (mm):

X	Y	Z
71.5	-33.0	-163.8

Plot #6(2/2)

AREA SCAN:

Scan Extent:

	Min	Max	Steps
Y	-70.0	40.0	11.0
Z	-190.0	-120.0	7.0



Plot #7(1/2)

Date:	2005/3/21	**Position:**	Body-EUT rear with belt-clip 0mm
Filename:	ch4_body0mm-1.txt	**Phantom:**	HeadBox4-450-newtest.csv
Device Tested:	T8260D	**Head Rotation:**	0
Antenna:	Helix	**Test Frequency:**	462MHz
Shape File:	T8260D(back side).csv	**Power Level:**	27.6 dBm

Probe: 0149

Cal File: SN0149_450_CW_BODY

Cal Factors:

	X	Y	Z
Air	365	444	414
DCP	20	20	20
Lin	.360	.360	.360

Amp Gain: 2

Averaging: 1

Batteries Replaced: -

Liquid:	15.5cm
Type:	450 MHz Body
Conductivity:	0.9512
Relative Permittivity:	57.5251
Liquid Temp (deg C):	21
Ambient Temp (deg C):	20
Ambient RH (%):	55
Density (kg/m3):	1000
Software Version:	2.3VPM

Crest Factor=1



ZOOM SCAN RESULTS:

	Start Scan	End Scan
Spot SAR (W/kg):	0.314	0.306

Change during Scan (%) -3.65

Max E-field (V/m): 29.03

	1g	10g
Max SAR (W/kg)	0.699	0.485

	X	Y	Z
Location of Max (mm):	71.6	-4.0	-146.9

Plot #7(2/2)

AREA SCAN:

Scan Extent:

	Min	Max	Steps
Y	-50.0	60.0	11.0
Z	-190.0	-120.0	7.0



Plot #8(1/2)

Date:	2005/3/21	**Position:**	Body-EUT rear with belt-clip 0mm
Filename:	ch11_body0mm-1.txt	**Phantom:**	HeadBox4-450-newtest.csv
Device Tested:	T8260D	**Head Rotation:**	0
Antenna:	Helix	**Test Frequency:**	467MHz
Shape File:	T8260D(back side).csv	**Power Level:**	22.9 dBm

Probe: 0149
Cal File: SN0149_450_CW_BODY

Cal Factors:

	X	Y	Z
Air	365	444	414
DCP	20	20	20
Lin	.360	.360	.360

Amp Gain: 2
Averaging: 1
Batteries Replaced: -

Liquid:	15.5cm
Type:	450 MHz Body
Conductivity:	0.9512
Relative Permittivity:	57.5251
Liquid Temp (deg C):	21
Ambient Temp (deg C):	20
Ambient RH (%):	55
Density (kg/m3):	1000
Software Version:	2.3VPM

Crest Factor=1



ZOOM SCAN RESULTS:

Spot SAR (W/kg):	**Start Scan**	**End Scan**
	0.148	0.141

Change during Scan (%) -4.03

Max E-field (V/m): 19.69

Max SAR (W/kg)	**1g**	**10g**
	0.326	0.227

Location of Max (mm):	**X**	**Y**	**Z**
	71.6	-8.0	-147.9

Plot #8(2/2)

AREA SCAN:

Scan Extent:

	Min	Max	Steps
Y	-50.0	60.0	11.0
Z	-190.0	-120.0	7.0



APPENDIX B - Photographs

(External)

(External)

(Ni-MH Batteries)

(Ni-MH Batteries)

(Earphone)

(Adapter)

(Adapter)

(Belt-clip)

(Belt-clip)

(Battery Charger)

(Battery Charger)

APPENDIX C - E-Field Probe and 450MHz Balanced Dipole Antenna Calibration Data

IMMERSIBLE SAR PROBE

CALIBRATION REPORT

Part Number: IXP – 050

S/N 0149

May 2004

Indexsar Limited
Oakfield House
Cudworth Lane
Newdigate
Surrey RH5 5BG
Tel: +44 (0) 1306 632 870
Fax: +44 (0) 1306 631 834
e-mail: enquiries@indexsar.com

INTRODUCTION

This Report presents measured calibration data for a particular Indexsar SAR probe (S/N 0149) and describes the procedures used for characterisation and calibration.

Indexsar probes are characterised using procedures that, where applicable, follow the recommendations of CENELEC [1] and IEEE [2] standards. The procedures incorporate techniques for probe linearisation, isotropy assessment and determination of liquid factors (conversion factors). Calibrations are determined by comparing probe readings with analytical computations in canonical test geometries (waveguides) using normalised power inputs.

Each step of the calibration procedure and the equipment used is described in the sections below.

CALIBRATION PROCEDURE

1. Equipment Used

For the first part of the characterisation procedure, the probe is placed in an isotropy measurement jig as pictured in Figure 1. In this position the probe can be rotated about its axis by a non-metallic belt driven by a stepper motor.

The probe is attached via its amplifier and an optical cable to a PC. A schematic representation of the test geometry is illustrated in Figure 2.

A balanced dipole (900 MHz) is inserted horizontally into the bracket attached to a second belt (Figure 1). The dipole can also be rotated about its axis. A cable connects the dipole to a signal generator, via a directional coupler and power meter. The signal generator feeds an RF amplifier at constant power, the output of which is monitored using the power meter. The probe is positioned so that its sensors line up with the rotation center of the source dipole. By recording output voltage measurements of each channel as both the probe and the dipole are rotated, data are obtained from which the spherical isotropy of the probe can be optimised and its magnitude determined.

The calibration process requires E-field measurements to be taken in air, in 900 MHz simulated brain liquid and at other frequencies/liquids as appropriate.

2. Linearising probe output

The probe channel output signals are linearised in the manner set out in Refs [1] and [2]. The following equation is utilized for each channel:

$$U_{lin} = U_{o/p} + U_{o/p}{}^{2} / DCP \qquad (1)$$

where U_{lin} is the linearised signal, $U_{o/p}$ is the raw output signal in voltage units and DCP is the diode compression potential in similar voltage units.

DCP is determined from fitting equation (1) to measurements of U_{lin} versus source feed power over the full dynamic range of the probe. The DCP is a characteristic of the schottky diodes used as the sensors. For the IXP-050 probes with CW signals the DCP values are typically 0.10V (or 20 in the voltage units used by Indexsar software, which are V*200).

3. Selecting channel sensitivity factors to optimise isotropic response

The basic measurements obtained using the calibration jig (Fig 1) represent the output from each diode sensor as a function of the presentation angle of the source (probe and dipole rotation angles). The directionality of the orthogonally-arranged sensors can be checked by analysing the data using dedicated Indexsar software, which displays the data in 3D format as in Figure 3. The left-hand side of this diagram shows the individual channel outputs after linearisation (see above). The program uses these data to balance the channel outputs and then applies an optimisation process, which makes fine adjustments to the channel factors for optimum isotropic response.

The next stage of the process is to calibrate the Indexsar probe to a W&G EMR300 E-field meter in air. The principal reasons for this are to obtain conversion factors applicable should the probe be used in air and to provide an overall measure of the probe sensitivity.

A multiplier is applied to factors to bring the magnitudes of the average E-field measurements as close as possible to those of the W&G probe.

The following equation is used (where linearised output voltages are in units of V*200):

$$E_{air}^2\ (V/m) = U_{linx} * \text{Air Factor}_x + U_{liny} * \text{Air Factor}_y + U_{linz} * \text{Air Factor}_z \qquad (2)$$

It should be noted that the air factors are not separately used for normal SAR testing. The IXP-050 probes are optimised for use in tissue-simulating liquids and do not behave isotropically in air.

4. 900 MHz Liquid Calibration

Conversion factors for use when the probes are immersed in tissue-simulant liquids at 900 MHz are determined either using a waveguide or by comparison to a reference probe that has been calibrated by NPL. Waveguide procedures are described later. The summary sheet indicates the method used for the probe S/N 0149.

The conversion factor, referred to as the ‘liquid factor’ is also applied to the measurements of each channel. The following equation is used (where output voltages are in units of V*200):

$$E_{liq}^2\ (V/m) = U_{linx} * \text{Air Factor}_x * \text{Liq Factor}_x + U_{liny} * \text{Air Factor}_y * \text{Liq Factor}_y + U_{linz} * \text{Air Factor}_z * \text{Liq Factor}_z \qquad (3)$$

A 3D representation of the spherical isotropy for probe S/N 0149 using these factors is shown in Figure 3.

The rotational isotropy can also determined from the calibration jig measurements and is reported as the 900MHz isotropy in the summary table. Note that waveguide measurements can also be used to determine rotational isotropy (Fig. 5).

The design of the cells used for determining probe conversion factors are waveguide cells is shown in Figure 4. The cells consist of a coax to waveguide transition and an open-ended section of waveguide containing a dielectric separator. Each waveguide cell stands in the upright positition and is filled with liquid within 10 mm of the open end. The seperator provides a liquid seal and is designed for a good electrical transition from air filled guide to liquid filled guide. The choice of cell depends on the portion of the frequency band to be examined and the choice of liquid used. The depth of liquid ensures there is negligible radiation from the waveguide open top and that the probe calibration is not influenced by reflections from nearby objects. The return loss at the coaxial connector of the filled waveguide cell is measured initially using a network analyser and this information is used subsequently in the calibration procedure. The probe is positioned in the centre of the waveguide and is adjusted vertically or rotated using stepper motor arrangements. The signal generator is connected to the waveguide cell and the power is monitored with a coupler and a power meter. A fuller description of the waveguide method is given below.

The liquid dielectric parameters used for the probe calibrations are listed in the Tables below. The final calibration factors for the probe are listed in the summary chart.

WAVEGUIDE MEASUREMENT PROCEDURE

The calibration method is based on setting up a calculable specific absorption rate (SAR) in a vertically-mounted WG8 (R22) waveguide section [1]. The waveguide has an air-filled, launcher section and a liquid-filled section separated by a matching window that is designed to minimise reflections at the liquid interface. A TE_{01} mode is launched into the waveguide by means of a N-type-to-waveguide adapter. The power delivered to the liquid section is calculated from the forward power and reflection coefficient measured at the input to the waveguide. At the centre of the cross-section of the waveguide, the local spot SAR in the liquid as a function of distance from the window is given by functions set out in IEEE1528 as below:

Because of the low cutoff frequency, the field inside the liquid nearly propagates as a TEM wave. The depth of the medium (greater than three penetration depths) ensures that reflections at the upper surface of the liquid are negligible. The power absorbed in the liquid is determined by measuring the waveguide forward and reflected power. Equation (4) shows the relationship between the SAR at the cross-sectional center of the lossy waveguide and the longitudinal distance (*z*) from the dielectric separator

$$SAR(z) = \frac{4\left(P_f - P_b\right)}{\rho ab\delta} e^{-2z/\delta} \qquad (4)$$

where the density ρ is conventionally assumed to be 1000 kg/m^3, *ab* is the cross-sectional area of the waveguide, P_f and P_b are the forward and reflected power inside the lossless section of the waveguide, respectively. The penetration depth δ, which is the reciprocal of the waveguide-mode attenuation coefficient, is determined from a scan along the *z*-axis and compared with the theoretical value determined from Equation (5) using the measured dielectric properties of the lossy liquid.

$$\delta = \left[\mathrm{Re}\left\{ \sqrt{\left(\pi / a\right)^2 + j\omega\mu_o\left(\sigma + j\omega\varepsilon_o\varepsilon_r\right)} \right\} \right]^{-1}. \qquad (5)$$

Table A.1 of [1] can be used for designing calibration waveguides with a return loss greater than 30 dB at the most important frequencies used for personal wireless communications. Values for the penetration depth for these specific fixtures and tissue-simulating mixtures are also listed in Table A.1.

According to [1], this calibration technique provides excellent accuracy, with standard uncertainty of less than 3.6% depending on the frequency and medium. The calibration itself is reduced to power measurements traceable to a standard calibration procedure. The practical limitation to the frequency band of 800 to 2500 MHz because of the waveguide size is not severe in the context of compliance testing.

CALIBRATION FACTORS MEASURED FOR PROBE S/N 0149

The probe was calibrated at 900, 1800, 1900 and 2450MHz MHz in liquid samples representing both brain liquid and body fluid at these frequencies. The calibration was for CW signals only, and the axis of the probe was parallel to the direction of propagation of the incident field i.e. end-on to the incident radiation. The axial isotropy of the probe was measured by rotating the probe about its axis in 10 degree steps through 360 degrees in this orientation.

The reference point for the calibration is in the centre of the probe's cross-section at a distance of 2.7 m from the probe tip in the direction of the probe amplifier. A value of 2.7 mm should be used for the tip to sensor offset distance in the software.

It is important that the diode compression point and air factors used in the software are the same as those quoted in the results tables, as these are used to convert the diode output voltages to a SAR value.

DIELECTRIC PROPERTIES OF LIQUIDS

The dielectric properties of the brain and body tissue-simulant liquids employed for calibration are listed in the tables below. The measurements were performed prior to each waveguide test using an Indexsar DiLine measurement kit, which uses the TEM method as recommended in [2].

AMBIENT CONDITIONS

Measurements were made in the open laboratory at 22 $\pm$ 2.0^{o}C. The temperature of the liquids in the waveguide used was measured using a mercury thermometer.

RESPONSE TO MODULATED SIGNALS

To measure the response of the probe and amplifier to modulated signals, the probe is held vertically in a liquid-filled waveguide.

An RF amplifier is allowed to warm up and stabilise before use. A spectrum analyser is used to demonstrate that the peak power of the RF amplifier for the CW signals and the pulsed signals are within 0.1dB of each other when the signal generator is switched from CW to modulated output. Subsequently, the power levels recorded are read from a power meter when a CW signal is being transmitted.

The test sequence involves manually stepping the power up in regular (e.g. 2 dB) steps from the lowest power that gives a measurable reading on the SAR probe up to the maximum that the amplifiers can deliver.

At each power level, the individual channel outputs from the SAR probe are recorded at CW and then recorded again with the modulation setting. The results are entered into a spreadsheet. Using the spreadsheets, the modulated power is calculated by applying a factor to the measured CW power (e.g. for GSM, this factor is 9.03dB). This process is repeated 3 times with the response maximised for each channel sensor in turn.

The probe channel output signals are linearised in the manner set out in Section 1 above using equation (1) with the DCPs determined from the linearisation procedure. Calibration factors for the probe are used to determine the E-field values corresponding to the probe readings using equation (3). SAR is determined from the equation

$$SAR\ (W/kg) = E_{liq}^2\ (V/m) * \sigma(S/m) / 1000 \qquad (6)$$

Where σ is the conductivity of the simulant liquid employed.

Using the spreadsheet data, the DCP value for linearising each of the individual channels (X, Y and Z) is assessed separately. The corresponding DCP values are listed in the summary page of the calibration factors for each probe.

Figure 7 shows the linearised probe response to GSM signals, Figure 8 the response to GPRS signals (GSM with 2 timeslots) and Figure 9 the response to CDMA IS-95A and W-CDMA signals.

Additional tests have shown that the modulation response is similar at 1800MHz and is not affected by the orientation between the source and the probe.

VPM (Virtual Probe Miniaturisation)

SAR probes with 3 diode-sensors in an orthogonal arrangement are designed to display an isotropic response when exposed to a uniform field. However, the probes are ordinarily used for measurements in non-uniform fields and isotropy is not assured when the field gradients are significant compared to the dimensions of the tip containing the three orthogonally-arranged dipole sensors.

It becomes increasingly important to assess the effects of field gradients on SAR probe readings when higher frequencies are being used. For Indexsar IXP-050 probes, which are of 5mm tip diameter, field gradient effects are minor at GSM frequencies, but are major above 5GHz. Smaller probes are less affected by field gradients and so probes, which are significantly less than 5mm diameter, would be better for applications above 5GHz.

The IndexSAR report IXS0223 describes theoretical and experimental studies to evaluate the issues associated with the use of probes at arbitrary angles to surfaces and field directions. Based upon these studies, the procedures and uncertainty analyses referred to in P1528 are addressed for the full range of probe presentation angles.

In addition, generalized procedures for correcting for the finite size of immersible SAR probes are developed. Use of these procedures enables application of schemes for virtual probe miniaturization (VPM) – allowing probes of a specific size to be used where physically-smaller probes would otherwise be required.

Given the typical dimensions of 3-channel SAR probes presently available, use of the VPM technique extends the satisfactory measurement range to higher frequencies.



Surface Isotropy diagram of IXP-050 Probe S/N 0149 at 900MHz after VPM

Probe tip radius	1.25
X Ch. Angle to red dot	7

	Head		Body	
Frequency	**Bdy. Corrn. – f(0)**	**Bdy. Corrn. – d(mm)**	**Bdy. Corrn. – f(0)**	**Bdy. Corrn. – d(mm)**
900	0.2	1.0	0.31	2.0
1800	0.2	2.0	0.27	1.6
1900	0.19	1.7	0.3	1.4
2450	0.24	2.0	0.72	2.0

SUMMARY OF CALIBRATION FACTORS FOR PROBE IXP-050 S/N 0149

Spherical isotropy measured at 900 MHz 0.28 (+/-) dB



	X	Y	Z	
Air factors	365	444	414	(V*200)
DCPs	20	20	20	(V*200)
GSM	13.4	9.6	7.9	(V*200)
CDMA	20	20	20	(V*200)

f (MHz)	Axial isotropy (+/- dB)		SAR conversion factors (liq/air)		Notes
	BRAIN	BODY	BRAIN	BODY	
450	0.08	0.07	0.344	0.360	1,2,3
835	0.08	0.07	0.344	0.360	1,2,3
900	0.08	0.07	0.344	0.360	1,2,3
1800	0.10	0.11	0.438	0.477	1,2,3
1900	0.11	0.12	0.441	0.504	1,2,3
2450	0.11	0.11	0.504	0.561	1,2,3

Notes
1) Calibrations done at 22C +/- 2C
2) Waveguide calibration
3) Checked using box-phantom validation test

(the graph shows a simple, spreadsheet representation of surface shown in 3D in Figure 3 below)

PROBE SPECIFICATIONS

Indexsar probe 0149, along with its calibration, is compared with CENELEC and IEEE standards recommendations (Refs [1] and [2]) in the Tables below. A listing of relevant specifications is contained in the tables below:

Dimensions	S/N 0149	CENELEC [1]	IEEE [2]
Overall length (mm)	350		
Tip length (mm)	10		
Body diameter (mm)	12		
Tip diameter (mm)	5.2	8	8
Distance from probe tip to dipole centers (mm)	2.7		

Dynamic range	S/N 0149	CENELEC [1]	IEEE [2]
Minimum (W/kg)	0.01	<0.02	0.01
Maximum (W/kg) N.B. only measured to 35 W/kg	>35	>100	100

Linearity of response	S/N 0149	CENELEC [1]	IEEE [2]
Over range 0.01 – 100 W/kg (+/- dB)	0.125	0.50	0.25

Isotropy (measured at 900MHz)	S/N 0149	CENELEC [1]	IEEE [2]
Axial rotation with probe normal to source (+/- dB) at 900, 1800, 1900 and 2450 MHz	0.12 Max (See table above)	0.5	0.25
Spherical isotropy covering all orientations to source (+/- dB)	0.28	1.0	0.50

Construction	Each probe contains three orthogonal dipole sensors arranged on a triangular prism core, protected against static charges by built-in shielding, and covered at the tip by PEEK cylindrical enclosure material. No adhesives are used in the immersed section. Outer case materials are PEEK and heat-shrink sleeving.
Chemical resistance	Tested to be resistant to glycol and alcohol containing simulant liquids but probes should be removed, cleaned and dried when not in use.

REFERENCES

[1] CENELEC, EN 50361, July 2001. Basic Standard for the measurement of specific absorption rate related to human exposure to electromagnetic fields from mobile phones.

[2] IEEE 1528, Recommended practice for determining the spatial-peak specific absorption rate (SAR) in the human body due to wireless communications devices: Experimental techniques.



Figure 1. Spherical isotropy jig showing probe, dipole and box filled with simulated brain liquid (see Ref [2], Section A.5.2.1)



Figure 2. Schematic diagram of the test geometry used for isotropy determination



Figure 3. Graphical representation of the probe response to fields applied from each direction. The diagram on the left shows the individual response characteristics of each of the three channels and the diagram on the right shows the resulting probe sensitivity in each direction. The colour range in the figure images the lowest values as blue and the maximum values as red. For the probe S/N 0149, this range is (+/-) 0.28 dB. The probe is more sensitive to fields parallel to the axis and less sensitive to fields normal to the probe axis.



Figure 4. Geometry used for waveguide calibration (after Ref [2]. Section A.3.2.2)

IXP-050 S/N 0149 **11-May-04**





Figure 5. Example of the rotational isotropy of probe S/N 0149 obtained by rotating the probe in a liquid-filled waveguide at 1800 MHz. Similar distributions are obtained at the other test Frequencies (900 and 2450 MHz) both in brain liquids and body fluids (see summary table).





Figure 6. The measured SAR decay function along the centreline of the WG4 waveguide with conversion factors adjusted to fit to the theoretical function for the particular dimension, frequency, power and liquid properties employed.


f=1800 MHz, WG R22 (brain)
SAR (W/kg)
z (mm)
Analytical
Measurements


f=1800 MHz, WG R22 (body)
SAR (W/kg)
z (mm)
Analytical
Measurements


f=1900 MHz, WG R22 (brain)
SAR (W/kg)
z (mm)
Analytical
Measurements


f=1900 MHz, WG R22 (body)
SAR (W/kg)
z (mm)
Analytical
Measurements



Figure 7. The measured SAR decay function along the centreline of the R22 waveguide with conversion factors adjusted to fit to the theoretical function for the particular dimension, frequency, power and liquid properties employed.



Figure 8. The GSM response of an IXP-050 probe at 900MHz



Figure 8a. The actual GSM response of IXP-050 probe S/N 0149 at 1800MHz



Figure 9. The GPRS response of an IXP-050 probe at 900MHz.





Figure 10. The CDMA response of an IXP-050 probe at 1900MHz.

Table indicating the dielectric parameters of the liquids used for calibrations at each frequency

Liquid used	*Relative permittivity (measured)*	*Conductivity (S/m) (measured)*
900 MHz BRAIN	*40.92*	*0.99*
900 MHz BODY	*57.27*	*1.045*
1800 MHz BRAIN	*40.63*	*1.37*
1800 MHz BODY	*52.89*	*1.53*
1900 MHz BRAIN	*40.33*	*1.47*
1900 MHz BODY	*52.84*	*1.55*
2450 MHz BRAIN	*40.73*	*1.82*
2450 MHz BODY	*54.56*	*2.04*

Report No. SN0065_450
October 2003

INDEXSAR
450MHz validation Dipole
Type IXD-045 **S/N 0065**

Performance measurements

- **MI Manning**



Indexsar, Oakfield House, Cudworth Lane,
Newdigate, Surrey RH5 5DR. UK.
Tel: +44 (0) 1306 631233 Fax: +44 (0) 1306 631834
e-mail: enquiries@indexsar.com

Indexsar Limited
Oakfield House
Cudworth Lane
Newdigate
Surrey RH5 5DR
Tel: +44 (0) 1306 631 233
Fax: +44 (0) 1306 631 834
e-mail: enquiries@indexsar.com

Calibration / Conformance statement
Balanced Validation dipole

Type:	**IXD-045 450MHz**

Manufacturer:	**IndexSAR, UK**

Serial Number:	**0065**

Place of Calibration:	**IndexSAR, UK**

IndexSAR Limited hereby declares that the IXD series dipole named above has been checked for conformity to the specifications given in the draft IEEE 1528 and CENELEC En 50361 standards on the date shown below.

Date of Calibration/Check:	**October 2003**

The dipole named above should be periodically re-checked using the procedures set out in the dipole calibration document. It is important that the cautions regarding handling of the dipoles (given in the calibration document) are adhered to.

Next Calibration Date:	**October 2005**

The calibration measurements were carried out using the methods described in the calibration document. Where applicable, the standards used in the calibration process are traceable to the UK's National Physical Laboratory.

Calibrated By:

Approved By:

1. Measurement Conditions

Measurements were performed using a box-shaped phantom made of PMMA with dimensions designed to meet the accuracy criteria for reasonably-sized phantoms that do not have liquid capacities substantially in excess of the volume of liquid required to fill the Indexsar upright SAM phantoms used for SAR testing of handsets against the ear.

An HP 8753B vector network analyser was used for the return loss measurements.
The dipole was placed in a special holder made of low-permittivity, low-loss materials. This holder enables the dipole to be positioned accurately in the centre of the base of the Indexsar box-phantom used for flat-surface testing and validation checks.

The validation dipoles are supplied with special spacers made from a low-permittivity, low-loss foam material. These spacers are fitted to the dipole arms to ensure that, when the dipole is offered up to the phantom surface, the spacing between the dipole and the liquid surface is accurately aligned according to the guidance in the relevant standards documentation. The spacers are rectangular with a central hole equal to the dipole arm diameter and dimensioned so that the longer side can be used to ensure a spacing of 15mm from the liquid in the phantom (for tests at 900MHz and below) and the shorter side can be used for tests at 1800MHz and above to ensure a spacing of 10mm from the liquid in the phantom. The spacers are made on a CNC milling machine with an accuracy of $1/40^{th}$ mm but they may suffer wear and tear and need to be replaced periodically. The material used is Rohacell, which has a relative permittivity of approx. 1.05 and a negligible loss tangent.

The apparatus supplied by Indexsar for dipole validation tests thus includes:

Balanced dipoles for each frequency required are dimensioned according to the guidelines given in IEEE 1528 [1]. The dipoles are made from semi-rigid 50 Ohm co-ax, which is joined by soldering and is gold-plated subsequently. The constructed dipoles are easily deformed, if mis-handled, and periodic checks need to be made of their symmetry.

Rohacell foam spacers designed for presenting the dipoles to 2mm thick PMMA box phantoms. These components also suffer wear and tear and should be replaced when the central hole is a loose-fit on the dipole arms or if the edges are too worn to ensure accurate alignment. The standard spacers are dimensioned for use with 2mm wall thickness (additional spacers are available for 4mm wall thickness).

2. Typical SAR Measurement

A SAR validation check is performed with the box-phantom located on the SARA2 phantom support base on the SARA2 robot system. Tests are then conducted at a feed power level of approx. 0.25W. The actual power level is recorded and used to normalise the results obtained to the standard input power conditions of 1W (forward power). The ambient temperature is 23°C +/- 1°C and the relative humidity is around 67% during the measurements.

The phantom is filled with a 450MHz brain liquid using a recipe from [1], which has the following electrical parameters (measured using an Indexsar DiLine kit) at 450MHz +/-10%:

Relative Permittivity	**43.5**
Conductivity	**0.87 S/m**

The SARA2 software version 0.421N is used with an Indexsar probe previously calibrated using waveguides.

The 3D measurements made using the dipole at the bottom of the phantom box is shown below:



The results, normalised to an input power of 1W (forward power) are typically:

Averaged over 1 cm3 (1g) of tissue	**4.9 W/kg**
Averaged over 10cm3 (10g) of tissue	**3.3 W/kg**

These results can be compared with Table 8.1 in [1]. The agreement is within 10%.

3. Dipole impedance and return loss

The dipoles are designed to have low return loss ONLY when presented against a lossy-phantom at the specified distance. A Vector Network Analyser (VNA) was used to perform a return loss measurement on the specific dipole when in the measurement-location against the box phantom. The distance was as specified in the standard i.e. 10mm from the liquid (for 450MHz). The Indexsar foam spacers (described above) were used to ensure this condition during measurement.

The impedance was measured at the SMA-connector with the network analyser.
The following parameters were measured:

Dipole impedance at 450 MHz Re{Z} = **56.971 Ω**
Im{Z} = **0.9063 Ω**

Return loss at 450MHz **-23.74 dB**



4. Dipole handling

The dipoles are made from standard, copper-sheathed coaxial cable. In assembly, the sections are joined using ordinary soft-soldering. This is necessary to avoid excessive heat input in manufacture, which would destroy the polythene dielectric used for the cable. The consequence of the construction material and the assembly technique is that the dipoles are fragile and can be deformed by rough handling. Conversely, they can be straightened quite easily as described in this report.

If a dipole is suspected of being deformed, a normal workshop lathe can be used as an alignment jig to restore the symmetry. To do this, the dipole is first placed in the headstock of the lathe (centred on the plastic or brass spacers) and the headstock is rotated by hand (do NOT use the motor). A marker (lathe tool or similar) is brought up close to the end of one dipole arm and then the headstock is rotated by 0.5 rev. to check the opposing arm. If they are not balanced, judicious deformation of the arms can be used to restore the symmetry.

If a dipole has a failed solder joint, the dipole can be fixed down in such a way that the arms are co-linear and the joint re-soldered with a reasonably-powerful electrical soldering iron. Do not use gas soldering irons. After such a repair, electrical tests must be performed as described below.

Please note that, because of their construction, the dipoles are short-circuited for DC signals.

5. Tuning the dipole

The dipole dimensions are based on calculations that assumed specific liquid dielectric properties. If the liquid dielectric properties are somewhat different, the dipole tuning will also vary. A pragmatic way of accounting for variations in liquid properties is to 'tune' the dipole (by applying minor variations to its effective length). For this purpose, Indexsar can supply short brass tube lengths to extend the length of the dipole and thus 'tune' the dipole. It cannot be made shorter without removing a bit from the arm. An alternative way to tune the dipole is to use copper shielding tape to extend the effective length of the dipole. Do both arms equally.

It should be possible to tune a dipole as described, whilst in place in the measurement position as long as the user has access to a VNA for determining the return loss.

6. References

[1] Draft recommended practice for determining the peak spatial-average specific absorption rate (SAR) in the human body due to wireless communications devices: Experimental Techniques.

[2] Calibration report on SAR probe IXP-050 S/N 0071 from National Physical Laboratory. Test Report EF07/2002/03/IndexSAR. Dated 20 February 2002.