PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

6660 – B Dobbin Road · Columbia, MD 21045 · USA
Telephone 410.290.6652 / Fax 410.290.6654
http://www.pctestlab.com (email: randy@pctestlab.com)



CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE (SAR EVALUATION)

APPLICANT NAME & ADDRESS:
UNIDEN Corporation
2-12-7 Hatchobori, Chuo-ku
Tokyo, JAPAN 104-8512

DATE & LOCATION OF TESTING:
Dates of Tests: October 11-13, 2004
Test Report S/N: SAR.241011601.AMW
Test Site: PCTEST Lab, Columbia MD

FCC ID:	**AMWUP744R**
APPLICANT:	**UNIDEN CORPORATION**

EUT Type:	**5.8 GHz Cordless Phone w/ Bluetooth**
Tx Frequency:	**5741 – 5828 MHz/ 2402 – 2480 MHz**
Rx Frequency:	**5741 – 5828 MHz/ 2402 - 2480 MHz**
Max. RF Output Power:	**0.069 W 5.8 GHz (18.37 dBm)** **0.013 W 2.4 GHz (1.07 dBm)**
Max. SAR Measurement:	**0.109 W/kg Head SAR; 1.110 W/kg Body SAR;**
Trade Name/Model(s):	**ELBT595**
FCC Classification:	**Licensed Portable Transmitter Held to Ear (PCE)**
FCC Rule Part(s):	**§2.1093; FCC/OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C [July 2001]**
Application Type:	**Certification**
Test Device Serial No.:	***identical* prototype [S/N: #1]**

This wireless portable device has been shown to be capable of compliance for localized specific absorption rate (SAR) for uncontrolled environment/general population exposure limits specified in ANSI/IEEE Std. C95.1-1992 and had been tested in accordance with the measurement procedures specified in FCC/OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C (2001) and IEEE Std. 1528 - 2003.

I attest to the accuracy of data. All measurements reported herein were performed by me or were made under my supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I assume full responsibility for the completeness of these measurements and vouch for the qualifications of all persons taking them.

PCTEST certifies that no party to this application has been denied the FCC benefits pursuant to Section 5301 of the Anti-Drug Abuse Act of 1988, 21 U.S.C. 862.

Alfred Cirwithian
Vice President Engineering

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION / SAR DEFINITION

The FCC has adopted the guidelines for evaluating the environmental effects of radiofrequency radiation in ET Docket 93-62 on Aug. 6, 1996 to protect the public and workers from the potential hazards of RF emissions due to FCC-regulated portable devices.[1]

The safety limits used for the environmental evaluation measurements are based on the criteria published by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for localized specific absorption rate (SAR) in *IEEE/ANSI C95.1-1992 Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz*. (c) 1992 by the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., New York, New York 10017.[2] The measurement procedure described in *IEEE/ANSI C95.3-1992 Recommended Practice for the Measurement of Potentially Hazardous Electromagnetic Fields - RF and Microwave*[3] is used for guidance in measuring SAR due to the RF radiation exposure from the Equipment Under Test (EUT). These criteria for SAR evaluation are similar to those recommended by the National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements (NCRP) in *Biological Effects and Exposure Criteria for Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields,"* NCRP Report No. 86 (c) NCRP, 1986, Bethesda, MD 20814.[6] SAR is a measure of the rate of energy absorption due to exposure to an RF transmitting source. SAR values have been related to threshold levels for potential biological hazards.

SAR Definition

Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) is defined as the time derivative (rate) of the incremental energy (dU) absorbed by (dissipated in) an incremental mass (dm) contained in a volume element (dV) of a given density (r). It is also defined as the rate of RF energy absorption per unit mass at a point in an absorbing body (see Fig. 1.1).

$$SAR = \frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{dU}{dm}\right) = \frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{dU}{r\,dv}\right)$$

Figure 1.1
SAR Mathematical Equation

SAR is expressed in units of Watts per Kilogram (W/kg).

$$SAR = s\,\mathbf{E}^2 / r$$

where:

s	=	conductivity of the tissue-simulant material (S/m)
r	=	mass density of the tissue-simulant material (kg/m^3)
$\mathbf{E}$	=	Total RMS electric field strength (V/m)

NOTE: The primary factors that control rate of energy absorption were found to be the wavelength of the incident field in relations to the dimensions and geometry of the irradiated organism, the orientation of the organism in relation to the polarity of field vectors, the presence of reflecting surfaces, and whether conductive contact is made by the organism with a ground plane.[6]

2. SAR MEASUREMENT SETUP

Measurements are performed using the DASY4 automated dosimetric assessment system. The DASY4 is made by Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG) in Zurich, Switzerland and consists of high precision robotics system (Staubli), robot controller, Pentium III computer, near-field probe, probe alignment sensor, and the generic twin phantom containing the brain equivalent material. The robot is a six-axis industrial robot performing precise movements to position the probe to the location (points) of maximum electromagnetic field (EMF) (see Fig. 2.1).

A cell controller system contains the power supply, robot controller, teach pendant (Joystick), and a remote control used to drive the robot motors. The PC consists of the Gateway Pentium 4 2.53 GHz computer with Windows XP system and SAR Measurement Software DASY4, A/D interface card, monitor, mouse, and keyboard. The Staubli Robot is connected to the cell controller to allow software manipulation of the robot. A data acquisition electronic (DAE) circuit that performs the signal amplification, signal multiplexing, AD-conversion, offset measurements, mechanical surface detection, collision detection, etc. is connected to the Electro-optical coupler (EOC). The EOC performs the conversion from the optical into digital electric signal of the DAE and transfers data to the PC plug-in card.



Figure 2.1 SAR Measurement System Setup

The DAE3 consists of a highly sensitive electrometer-grade preamplifier with auto-zeroing, a channel and gain-switching multiplexer, a fast 16 bit AD-converter and a command decoder and control logic unit. Transmission to the PC-card is accomplished through an optical downlink for data and status information and an optical uplink for commands and clock lines. The mechanical probe mounting device includes two different sensor systems for frontal and sidewise probe contacts. They are also used for mechanical surface detection and probe collision detection. The robot uses its own controller with a built in VME-bus computer. The system is described in detail in [7].

3. DASY4 E-FIELD PROBE SYSTEM

Figure 3.1 DAE System

The SAR measurements were conducted with the dosimetric probe ES3DV2, designed in the classical triangular configuration [7] (see Fig. 3.2) and optimized for dosimetric evaluation. The probe is constructed using the thick film technique; with printed resistive lines on ceramic substrates. The probe is equipped with an optical multifiber line ending at the front of the probe tip (see Fig. 3.3). It is connected to the EOC box on the robot arm and provides an automatic detection of the phantom surface. Half of the fibers are connected to a pulsed infrared transmitter, the other half to a synchronized receiver. As the probe approaches the surface, the reflection from the surface produces a coupling from the transmitting to the receiving fibers. This reflection increases first during the approach, reaches maximum and then decreases. If the probe is flatly touching the surface, the coupling is zero. The distance of the coupling maximum to the surface is independent of the surface reflectivity and largely independent of the surface to probe angle. The DASY4 software reads the reflection during a software approach and looks for the maximum using a 2nd order fitting (see Fig.3.1). The approach is stopped at reaching the maximum.

Calibration:	In air from 10 MHz to 6 GHz
	In brain and muscle simulating tissue at
	Frequencies of 150 MHz, 450 MHz, 835 MHz, 900 MHz, 1900MHz, 2450MHz, 5300MHz, & 5800MHz
Frequency:	10 MHz to > 6 GHz; Linearity: ± 0.2 dB
	(30 MHz to 6 GHz)
Directivity:	± 0.2 dB in HSL (rotation around probe axis)
	± 0.4 dB in HSL (rotation normal probe axis)
Dynamic:	5 :W/g to > 100 mW/g;
Range:	Linearity: ± 0.2 dB
Dimensions:	Overall length: 330 mm
	Tip length: 16 mm
	Body diameter: 12 mm
	Tip diameter: 3 mm
	Distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 2 mm
Application:	General dosimetry up to 6 GHz
	Compliance tests of mobile phones
	Fast automatic scanning in arbitrary phantoms



Figure 3.1 Triangular Probe Configuration

Figure 3.2 Probe Thick-Film Technique

4. Probe Calibration Process

Each probe is calibrated according to a dosimetric assessment procedure described in [8] with accuracy better than +/- 10%. The spherical isotropy was evaluated with the procedure described in [9] and found to be better than +/-0.25dB. The sensitivity parameters (NormX, NormY, NormZ), the diode compression parameter (DCP) and the conversion factor (ConvF) of the probe is tested.

The free space E-field from amplified probe outputs is determined in a test chamber. This is performed in a TEM cell for frequencies below 1 GHz (see Fig. 4.1), and in a waveguide above 1 GHz for free space. For the free space calibration, the probe is placed in the volumetric center of the cavity at the proper orientation with the field. The probe is then rotated 360 degrees.

E-field temperature correlation calibration is performed in a flat phantom filled with the appropriate simulated brain tissue. The measured free space E-field in the medium correlates to temperature rise in a dielectric medium. For temperature correlation calibration a RF transparent thermistor-based temperature probe is used in conjunction with the E-field probe (see Fig. 4.2).

$$SAR = C\frac{\Delta T}{\Delta t}$$

where:

Δt = exposure time (30 seconds),

C = heat capacity of tissue (brain or muscle),

ΔT = temperature increase due to RF exposure.

SAR is proportional to $\Delta T / \Delta t$, the initial rate of tissue heating, before thermal diffusion takes place. Now it's possible to quantify the electric field in the simulated tissue by equating the thermally derived SAR to the E- field;

$$SAR = \frac{|E|^2 \cdot s}{r}$$

where:

σ = simulated tissue conductivity,

ρ = Tissue density (1.25 g/cm^3 for brain tissue)



Figure 4.1 E-Field and Temperature measurements at 900MHz [7]



Figure 4.2 E-Field and temperature measurements at 1.9GHz [7]

******NOTE: The temperature calibration was not performed by PCTEST. For information use only.*

5. PHANTOM & EQUIVALENT TISSUES



Figure 5.1 SAM Twin Phantom

The SAM Twin Phantom V4.0 is constructed of a fiberglass shell integrated in a wooden table. The shape of the shell is based on data from an anatomical study designed to determine the maximum exposure in at least 90% of all users [11][12]. It enables the dosimetric evaluation of left and right hand phone usage as well as body mounted usage at the flat phantom region. A cover prevents the evaporation of the liquid. Reference markings on the Phantom allow the complete setup of all predefined phantom positions and measurement grids by manually teaching three points in the robot. (see Fig. 5.1)

Figure 5.2 Simulated Tissue

The brain and muscle mixtures consist of a viscous gel using hydroxethylcellullose (HEC) gelling agent and saline solution (see Table 6.1). Preservation with a bacteriacide is added and visual inspection is made to make sure air bubbles are not trapped during the mixing process. The mixture is calibrated to obtain proper dielectric constant (permittivity) and conductivity of the desired tissue. The head tissue dielectric parameters recommended by the IEEE SCC-34/SC-2 have been incorporated in the following table. Other head and body tissue parameters that have not bee specified in P1528 are derived from the issue dielectric parameters computed from the 4-Cole-Cole equations The mixture characterizations used for the brain and muscle tissue simulating liquids are according to the data by C. Gabriel and G. Hartsgrove [13].(see Fig. 5.2)

Table 5.1 Composition of the Brain & Muscle Tissue Equivalent Matter

INGREDIENTS		SIMULATING TISSUE			
		835MHz Brain	835MHz Muscle	1900MHz Brain	1900MHz Muscle
Mixture Percentage					
WATER		41.45	52.50	54.90	59.98
DGBE		0.000	0.000	44.92	38.41
SUGAR		56.00	45.00	0.000	58.00
SALT		1.450	1.400	0.180	0.100
BACTERIACIDE		0.100	0.100	0.000	0.100
HEC		1.000	1.000	0.000	1.410
Dielectric Constant	Target	41.50	55.20	40.00	53.30
Conductivity (S/m)	Target	0.900	0.970	1.400	1.520

Figure 5.2 Mounting Device

In combination with the SAM Twin Phantom V4.0, the Mounting Device (see Fig. 5.2) enables the rotation of the mounted transmitter in spherical coordinates whereby the rotation point is the ear opening. The devices can be easily, accurately, and repeatably be positioned according to the FCC specifications. The device holder can be locked at different phantom locations (left head, right head, flat phantom).

* Note: A simulating human hand is not used due to the complex anatomical and geometrical structure of the hand that may produce infinite number of configurations [12]. To produce the worst-case condition (the hand absorbs antenna output power), the hand is omitted during the tests.

6. TEST SYSTEM SPECIFICATIONS

Positioner

Robot: Stäubli Unimation Corp. Robot Model: RX60L
Repeatability: 0.02 mm
No. of axis: 6



Figure 6.1 DASY4 Test System

Data Acquisition Electronic (DAE) System

Cell Controller

Processor: Pentium 4
Clock Speed: 2.53 GHz
Operating System: Windows XP Professional

Data Converter

Features: Signal Amplifier, multiplexer, A/D converter, & control logic
Software: DASY4 software
Connecting Lines: Optical downlink for data and status info.
Optical uplink for commands and clock

PC Interface Card

Function: 24 bit (64 MHz) DSP for real time processing
Link to DAE3
16 bit A/D converter for surface detection system
serial link to robot
direct emergency stop output for robot

E-Field Probes

Model: ES3DV2 S/N: 3022
Construction: Triangular core
Frequency: 10 MHz to 6 GHz
Linearity: ± 0.2 dB (30 MHz to 6 GHz)

Phantom

Phantom: SAM Twin Phantom (V4.0)
Shell Material: VIVAC Composite
Thickness: 2.0 ± 0.2 mm

7. DOSIMETRIC ASSESSMENT & PHANTOM SPECS

The evaluation was performed using the following procedure:

1. The SAR measurement was taken at a selected spatial reference point to monitor power variations during testing. This fixed location point was measured and used as a reference value.
2. The SAR distribution at the exposed side of the head was measured at a distance of 3.9mm from the inner surface of the shell. The area covered the entire dimension of the head and the horizontal grid spacing was 15mm x 15mm.
3. Based on the area scan data, the area of the maximum absorption was determined by spline interpolation. Around this point, a volume of 32mm x 32mm x 34mm (fine resolution volume scan, zoom scan) was assessed by measuring 7 x 7 x 7 points. On this basis of this data set, the spatial peak SAR value was evaluated with the following procedure (see Fig. 7.1):

a. The data at the surface was extrapolated, since the center of the dipoles is 2.7mm away from the tip of the probe and the distance between the surface and the lowest measuring point is 1.2mm. The extrapolation was based on a least square algorithm [15]. A polynomial of the fourth order was calculated through the points in z-axes. This polynomial was then used to evaluate the points between the surface and the probe tip.

b. The maximum interpolated value was searched with a straight-forward algorithm. Around this maximum the SAR values averaged over the spatial volumes (1g or 10g) were computed using the 3D-Spline interpolation algorithm. The 3D-spline is composed of three one-dimensional splines with the "Not a knot" condition (in x, y, and z directions) [15][16]. The volume was integrated with the trapezoidal algorithm. One thousand points (10 x 10 x 10) were interpolated to calculate the average.

c. All neighboring volumes were evaluated until no neighboring volume with a higher average value was found.

4. The SAR reference value, at the same location as procedure #1, was re-measured. If the value changed by more than 5%, the evaluation is repeated.



Figure 7.1 Sample SAR Area Scan

Deviation from measurement procedure - None

The phantom for handset SAR assessment testing is a low-loss dielectric shell, with shape and dimensions derived from the anthropometric data of the 90th percentile adult male head dimensions as tabulated by the US Army. The SAM Twin Phantom shell is bisected along the mid-sagittal plane into right and left halves (see Fig. 7.2). The perimeter sidewalls of each phantom halves are extended to allow filling with liquid to a depth that is sufficient to minimized reflections from the upper surface. The liquid depth is maintained at a minimum depth of 15cm to minimize reflections from the upper surface.

Figure 7.2 SAM Twin Phantom shell

8. DEFINITION OF REFERENCE POINTS

Figure 8.1 shows the front, back and side views of the SAM Twin Phantom. The point "M" is the reference point for the center of the mouth, "LE" is the left ear reference point (ERP), and "RE" is the right ERP. The ERPs are 15mm posterior to the entrance to the ear canal (EEC) along the B-M line (Back-Mouth), as shown in Figure 9.2. The plane passing through the two ear canals and M is defined as the Reference Plane. The line N-F (Neck-Front) is perpendicular to the reference plane and passing through the RE (or LE) is called the Reference Pivoting Line (see Figure 8.2). Line B-M is perpendicular to the N-F line. Both N-F and B-M lines are marked on the external phantom shell to facilitate handset positioning [5].



Figure 8.2 Close-up side view of ERPs



Figure 8.1 Front, back and side view of SAM Twin Phantom

Two imaginary lines on the handset were established: the vertical centerline and the horizontal line. The test device was placed in a normal operating position with the "test device reference point" located along the "vertical centerline" on the front of the device aligned to the "ear reference point" (See Fig. 8.3). The "test device reference point" was than located at the same level as the center of the ear reference point. The test device was positioned so that the "vertical centerline" was bisecting the front surface of the handset at it's top and bottom edges, positioning the "ear reference point" on the outer surface of the both the left and right head phantoms on the ear reference point.



Figure 8.3 Handset Vertical Center & Horizontal Line Reference Points

9. TEST CONFIGURATION POSITIONS

1. The test device was positioned with the handset close to the surface of the phantom such that point A is on the (virtual) extension of the line passing through points RE and LE on the phantom (see Figure 9.1), such that the plane defined by the vertical center line and the horizontal line of the phone is approximately parallel to the sagittal plane of the phantom.

Figure 9.1 Front, Side and Top View of Cheek/Touch Position

2. The handset was translated towards the phantom along the line passing through RE & LE until the handset touches the ear.
3. While maintaining the handset in this plane, the handset was rotated around the LE-RE line until the vertical centerline was in the plane normal to MB-NF including the line MB (reference plane).
4. The phone was hen rotated around the vertical centerline until the phone (horizontal line) was symmetrical was respect to the line NF.
5. While maintaining the vertical centerline in the reference plane, keeping point A on the line passing through RE and LE, and maintaining the phone contact with the ear, the handset was rotated about the line NF until any point on the handset made contact with a phantom point below the ear (cheek). See Figure 9.2)



Figure 9.2 Side view w/ relevant markings

9. TEST CONFIGURATION POSITIONS (Continued)

With the test device aligned in the "Cheek/Touch Position":

1. While maintaining the orientation of the phone, the phone was retracted parallel to the reference plane far enough to enable a rotation of the phone by 15degree.
2. The phone was then rotated around the horizontal line by 15 degree.
3. While maintaining the orientation of the phone, the phone was moved parallel to the reference plane until any part of the phone touches the head. (In this position, point A was located on the line RE-LE). The tilted position is obtained when the contact is on the pinna. If the contact was at any location other than the pinna, the angle of the phone would then be reduced. The tilted position was obtained when any part of the phone was in contact of the ear as well as a second part of the phone was in contact with the head (see Figure 9.3).

Figure 9.3 Front, Side and Top View of Ear/15° Tilt Position



Figure 9.4 Side view w/ relevant markings

9. TEST CONFIGURATION POSITIONS (Continued)

Body-worn operating configurations are tested with the belt-clips and holsters attached to the device and positioned against a flat phantom in a normal use configuration (see Figure 9.5). A device with a headset output is tested with a headset connected to the device. Body dielectric parameters are used.

Accessories for Body-worn operation configurations are divided into two categories: those that do not contain metallic components and those that do contain metallic components. When multiple accessories that do not contain metallic components are supplied with the device, the device is tested with only the accessory that dictates the closest spacing to the body. Then multiple accessories that contain metallic components are supplied with the device, the device is tested with each accessory that contains a unique metallic component. If multiple accessories share an identical metallic component (i.e. the same metallic belt-clip used with different holsters with no other metallic components) only the accessory that dictates the closest spacing to the body is tested.

Figure 9.5 Body Belt Clip & Holster Configurations

Body-worn accessories may not always be supplied or available as options for some devices intended to be authorized for body-worn use. In this case, a test configuration where a separation distance between the back of the device and the flat phantom is used. All test position spacings are documented.

Transmitters that are designed to operate in front of a person's face, as in push-to-talk configurations, are tested for SAR compliance with the front of the device positioned to face the flat phantom. For devices that are carried next to the body such as a shoulder, waist or chest-worn transmitters, SAR compliance is tested with the accessory(ies), including headsets and microphones, attached to the device and positioned against a flat phantom in a normal use configuration.

In all cases SAR measurements are performed to investigate the worst-case positioning. Worst-case positioning is then documented and used to perform Body SAR testing.

In order for users to be aware of the body-worn operating requirements for meeting RF exposure compliance, operating instructions and cautions statements are included in the user's manual.

10. ANSI/IEEE C95.1 - 1992 RF EXPOSURE LIMITS

UNCONTROLLED ENVIRONMENTS are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their exposure. The general population/uncontrolled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which the general public may be exposed or in which persons who are exposed as a consequence of their employment may not be made fully aware of the potential for exposure or cannot exercise control over their exposure. Members of the general public would come under this category when exposure is not employment-related; for example, in the case of a wireless transmitter that exposes persons in its vicinity.

CONTROLLED ENVIRONMENTS are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by persons who are aware of the potential for exposure, (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation). In general, occupational/controlled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which persons are exposed as a consequence of their employment, who have been made fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over their exposure. This exposure category is also applicable when the exposure is of a transient nature due to incidental passage through a location where the exposure levels may be higher than the general population/uncontrolled limits, but the exposed person is fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over his or her exposure by leaving the area or by some other appropriate means.

Table 10.1. Safety Limits for Partial Body Exposure [2]

	HUMAN EXPOSURE LIMITS	
	UNCONTROLLED ENVIRONMENT General Population (W/kg) or (mW/g)	CONTROLLED ENVIRONMENT General Population (W/kg) or (mW/g)
SPATIAL PEAK SAR [1] Brain	1.60	8.00
SPATIAL AVERAGE SAR [2] Whole Body	0.08	0.40
SPATIAL PEAK SAR [3] Hands, Feet, Ankles, Wrists	4.00	20.00

1 The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 1 gram of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube) and over the appropriate averaging time.
2 The Spatial Average value of the SAR averaged over the whole body.
3 The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 10 grams of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube) and over the appropriate averaging time.

11. MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTIES 2.4 GHz

a	b	c	d	e= f(d,k)	f	g	h = cxf/e	i = cxg/e	k
Uncertainty Component	**Sec.**	**Tol. (± %)**	**Prob. Dist.**	**Div.**	**c_i (1 - g)**	**c_i (10 - g)**	**1 - g u_i (± %)**	**10 - g u_i (± %)**	**v_i**
Measurement System									
Probe Calibration	E1.1	4.8	N	1	1	1	4.8	4.8	∞
Axial Isotropy	E1.2	4.7	R	√3	0.7	0.7	1.9	1.9	∞
Hemishperical Isotropy	E1.2	9.6	R	√3	0.7	0.7	3.9	3.9	∞
Boundary Effect	E1.3	1.0	R	√3	1	1	0.6	0.6	∞
Linearity	E1.4	4.7	R	√3	1	1	2.7	2.7	∞
System Detection Limits	E1.5	1.0	R	√3	1	1	0.6	0.6	∞
Readout Electronics	E1.6	1.0	N	1	1	1	1.0	1.0	∞
Response Time	E1.7	0.8	R	√3	1	1	0.5	0.5	∞
Integration Time	E1.8	2.6	R	√3	1	1	1.5	1.5	∞
RF Ambient Conditions	E5.1	3.0	R	√3	1	1	1.7	1.7	∞
Probe Positioner Mechanical Tolerance	E5.2	0.4	R	√3	1	1	0.2	0.2	∞
Probe Positioning w/ respect to Phantom	E5.3	2.9	R	√3	1	1	1.7	1.7	∞
Extrapolation, Interpolation & Integration Algorithms for Max. SAR Evaluation	E4.2	1.0	R	√3	1	1	0.6	0.6	∞
Test Sample Related									
Test Sample Positioning	E3.2.1	2.9	N	1	1	1	2.9	2.9	145
Device Holder Uncertainty	E3.1.1	3.6	N	1	1	1	3.6	3.6	5
Output Power Variation - SAR drift measurement	5.6.2	5.0	R	√3	1	1	2.9	2.9	∞
Phantom & Tissue Parameters									
Phantom Uncertainty (Shape & Thickness tolerances)	E2.1	4.0	R	√3	1	1	2.3	2.3	∞
Liquid Conductivity - deviation from target values	E2.2	5.0	R	√3	0.64	0.43	1.8	1.2	∞
Liquid Conductivity - measurement uncertainty	E2.2	2.5	N	1	0.64	0.43	1.6	1.1	∞
Liquid Permittivity - deviation from target values	E2.2	5.0	R	√3	0.6	0.5	1.7	1.4	∞
Liquid Permittivity - measurement uncertainty	E2.2	2.5	N	1	0.6	0.5	1.5	1.2	∞
Combined Standard Uncertainty (k=1)			RSS				10.3	10.0	
Expanded Uncertainty (k=2) (95% CONFIDENCE LEVEL)							20.6	20.1	

The above measurement uncertainties are according to IEEE Std. P1528 D1.2 (April 2003).

11. MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTIES 5 GHz Band

a	b	c	d	e= f(d,k)	f	g	h = cxf/e	i = cxg/e	k
Uncertainty Component	**Sec.**	**Tol. (± %)**	**Prob. Dist.**	**Div.**	**c_i (1 - g)**	**c_i (10 - g)**	**1 - g u_i (± %)**	**10 - g u_i (± %)**	**v_i**
Measurement System									
Probe Calibration	E1.1	4.8	N	1	1	1	8.3	8.3	∞
Axial Isotropy	E1.2	4.7	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.7	1.9	1.9	∞
Hemishperical Isotropy	E1.2	9.6	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.7	3.9	3.9	∞
Boundary Effect	E1.3	1.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.6	0.6	∞
Linearity	E1.4	4.7	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.7	2.7	∞
System Detection Limits	E1.5	1.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.6	0.6	∞
Readout Electronics	E1.6	1.0	N	1	1	1	1.0	1.0	∞
Response Time	E1.7	0.8	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.5	0.5	∞
Integration Time	E1.8	2.6	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.5	1.5	∞
RF Ambient Conditions	E5.1	3.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.7	1.7	∞
Probe Positioner Mechanical Tolerance	E5.2	0.4	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.2	0.2	∞
Probe Positioning w/ respect to Phantom	E5.3	2.9	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.7	1.7	∞
Extrapolation, Interpolation & Integration Algorithms for Max. SAR Evaluation	E4.2	1.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.6	0.6	∞
Test Sample Related									
Test Sample Positioning	E3.2.1	2.9	N	1	1	1	2.9	2.9	145
Device Holder Uncertainty	E3.1.1	3.6	N	1	1	1	3.6	3.6	5
Output Power Variation - SAR drift measurement	5.6.2	5.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.9	2.9	∞
Phantom & Tissue Parameters									
Phantom Uncertainty (Shape & Thickness tolerances)	E2.1	4.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.3	2.3	∞
Liquid Conductivity - deviation from target values	E2.2	5.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.64	0.43	1.8	1.2	∞
Liquid Conductivity - measurement uncertainty	E2.2	2.5	N	1	0.64	0.43	1.6	1.1	∞
Liquid Permittivity - deviation from target values	E2.2	5.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	0.5	1.7	1.4	∞
Liquid Permittivity - measurement uncertainty	E2.2	2.5	N	1	0.6	0.5	1.5	1.2	∞
Combined Standard Uncertainty (k=1)			RSS				12.3	12.1	
Expanded Uncertainty (k=2) (95% CONFIDENCE LEVEL)							24.6	24.2	

The above measurement uncertainties are according to IEEE 1528-2003

12. SYSTEM VERIFICATION

Table 12.1 Simulated Tissue Verification [5]

MEASURED TISSUE PARAMETERS					
Date(s)	10/11/2004 – 10/13/2004	5800 MHz Brain		5800 MHz Muscle	
Liquid Temperature (°C)	20.1	Target	Measured	Target	Measured
Dielectric Constant: ε		35.30	35.26	48.20	45.89
Conductivity: σ		5.270	5.15	6.000	5.73

Prior to assessment, the system is verified to the ±10% of the specifications at 835MHz and 1900MHz by using the system validation kit(s). (Graphic Plots Attached)

Table 12.2 System Validation [5]

SYSTEM VALIDATION TARGET & MEASURED							
Date:	Amb. Temp (℃)	Liquid Temp (℃)	Input Power (W)	Tissue	Targeted SAR_{1g} (mW/g)	Measured SAR_{1g} (mW/g)	Deviation (%)
10/12/04	23.7	21.8	0.100	5800 MHz Brain	9.00	9.10	1.11
10/13/04	23.2	22.3				8.40	-6.66



Figure 12.1 Dipole Validation Test Setup

13. SAR TEST DATA SUMMARY

See Measurement Result Data Pages

Procedures Used To Establish Test Signal

The EUT was placed into continuous transmit mode using the manufacturer's software. Such test signals offer a consistent means for testing SAR and are recommended for evaluating SAR [4].

Device Test Conditions

The EUT is powered through the internal battery. In order to verify that the device was tested at full power, conducted output power measurements were performed before and after each SAR measurement to confirm the maximum output power. If a power deviation of more than 5% occurred, the test was repeated.

SAR DATA SUMMARY

Mixture Type: 5800MHz Brain

14.1 MEASUREMENT RESULTS (Right Head SAR – Touch)

FREQUENCY		Modulation	Begin / End POWER‡			BT	Device Test Position	Antenna Position	SAR (W/kg)
MHz	Ch.		(dBm)		Battery				
5741.056	01	TDMA	18.35	18.42	Standard	Off	Cheek/ Touch	Fixed	**0.086**
5784.576	18	TDMA	18.07	18.01	Standard	Off	Cheek/ Touch	Fixed	0.080
5828.096	35	TDMA	17.76	17.74	Standard	Off	Cheek/ Touch	Fixed	0.073
5741.056	01	TDMA	18.37	18.40	Standard	On	Cheek/ Touch	Fixed	**0.096**
ANSI / IEEE C95.1 1992 - SAFETY LIMIT Spatial Peak Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population						**Brain 1.6 W/kg (mW/g)** averaged over 1 gram			

NOTES:

1. The test data reported are the worst-case SAR value with the antenna-head position set in a typical configuration. Test procedures used are according to FCC/OET Bulletin 65, Supp.C [July 2001].
2. All modes of operation were investigated, and worst-case results are reported.
3. Battery is fully charged for all readings. Standard batteries are the only options.

‡Power Measured	☒ Conducted	☐ ERP	☐ EIRP
4. SAR Measurement System	☒ DASY4	☐ IDX	
Phantom Configuration	☐ Left Head	☐ Flat Phantom	☒ Right Head
5. SAR Configuration	☒ Head	☐ Body	☐ Hand
6. Test Signal Call Mode	☒ Manu. Test Codes	☐ Base Station Simulator	

7. Tissue parameters and temperatures are listed on the SAR plots.
8. Liquid tissue depth is 15.1 cm. ± 0.1

Alfred Cirwithian
Vice President Engineering



Figure 14.1 Right Head SAR Test Setup -- Cheek / Touch Position --

SAR DATA SUMMARY (Continued)

Mixture Type: 5800MHz Brain

14.1 MEASUREMENT RESULTS (Right Head SAR – Tilt)

FREQUENCY		Modulation	Begin / End POWER‡			BT	Device Test Position	Antenna Position	SAR (W/kg)
MHz	Ch.		(dBm)		Battery				
5784.576	18	TDMA	18.09	18. 21	Standard	Off	Ear/ 15° Tilt	Fixed	**0.023**
ANSI / IEEE C95.1 1992 - SAFETY LIMIT **Spatial Peak** **Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population**						**Brain** **1.6 W/kg (mW/g)** averaged over 1 gram			

NOTES:

1. The test data reported are the worst-case SAR value with the antenna-head position set in a typical configuration. Test procedures used are according to FCC/OET Bulletin 65, Supp.C [July 2001].
2. All modes of operation were investigated, and worst-case results are reported.
3. Battery is fully charged for all readings. Standard batteries are the only options.

	‡Power Measured	☒ Conducted	☐ ERP	☐ EIRP
4.	SAR Measurement System	☒ DASY4	☐ IDX	
	Phantom Configuration	☐ Left Head	☐ Flat Phantom	☒ Right Head
5.	SAR Configuration	☒ Head	☐ Body	☐ Hand
6.	Test Signal Call Mode	☒ Manu. Test Codes	☐ Base Station Simulator	

7. Tissue parameters and temperatures are listed on the SAR plots.
8. Liquid tissue depth is 15.1 cm. ± 0.1

Alfred Cirwithian
Vice President Engineering



Figure 14.2 Right Head SAR Test Setup
-- Ear / Tilt Position --

SAR DATA SUMMARY (Continued)

Mixture Type: 5800MHz Brain

14.1 MEASUREMENT RESULTS (Left Head SAR – Touch)

FREQUENCY		Modulation	Begin / End POWER‡			BT	Device Test Position	Antenna Position	SAR (W/kg)
MHz	Ch.		(dBm)		Battery				
5741.056	01	TDMA	18.35	18.38	Standard	Off	Cheek/ Touch	Fixed	**0.099**
5784.576	18	TDMA	18.07	18.10	Standard	Off	Cheek/ Touch	Fixed	0.079
5828.096	35	TDMA	17.79	17.74	Standard	Off	Cheek/ Touch	Fixed	0.075
5741.056	01	TDMA	18.37	18.39	Standard	On	Cheek/ Touch	Fixed	**0.109**
ANSI / IEEE C95.1 1992 - SAFETY LIMIT **Spatial Peak** **Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population**						**Brain** **1.6 W/kg (mW/g)** averaged over 1 gram			

NOTES:

1. The test data reported are the worst-case SAR value with the antenna-head position set in a typical configuration. Test procedures used are according to FCC/OET Bulletin 65, Supp.C [July 2001].
2. All modes of operation were investigated, and worst-case results are reported.
3. Battery is fully charged for all readings. Standard batteries are the only options.

‡Power Measured	☒ Conducted	☐ ERP	☐ EIRP
4. SAR Measurement System	☒ DASY4	☐ IDX	
Phantom Configuration	☒ Left Head	☐ Flat Phantom	☐ Right Head
5. SAR Configuration	☒ Head	☐ Body	☐ Hand
6. Test Signal Call Mode	☒ Manu. Test Codes	☐ Base Station Simulator	

7. Tissue parameters and temperatures are listed on the SAR plots.
8. Liquid tissue depth is 15.1 cm. ± 0.1

Alfred Cirwithian
Vice President Engineering



Figure 14.3 Left Head SAR Test Setup
-- Cheek / Touch Position --

SAR DATA SUMMARY (Continued)

Mixture Type: 5800MHz Brain

14.1 MEASUREMENT RESULTS (Left Head SAR – Tilt)

FREQUENCY		Modulation	Begin / End POWER‡			BT	Device Test Position	Antenna Position	SAR
MHz	Ch.		(dBm)		Battery				(W/kg)
5784.576	18	TDMA	18.02	18.09	Standard	Off	Ear/ 15 °Tilt	Fixed	**0.027**
ANSI / IEEE C95.1 1992 - SAFETY LIMIT Spatial Peak Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population						Brain 1.6 W/kg (mW/g) averaged over 1 gram			

NOTES:

1. The test data reported are the worst-case SAR value with the antenna-head position set in a typical configuration. Test procedures used are according to FCC/OET Bulletin 65, Supp.C [July 2001].
2. All modes of operation were investigated, and worst-case results are reported.
3. Battery is fully charged for all readings. Standard batteries are the only options.

   ‡Power Measured ☒ Conducted ☐ ERP ☐ EIRP
4. SAR Measurement System ☒ DASY4 ☐ IDX

   Phantom Configuration ☒ Left Head ☐ Flat Phantom ☐ Right Head
5. SAR Configuration ☒ Head ☐ Body ☐ Hand
6. Test Signal Call Mode ☒ Manu. Test Codes ☐ Base Station Simulator
7. Tissue parameters and temperatures are listed on the SAR plots.
8. Liquid tissue depth is 15.1 cm. ± 0.1

Alfred Cirwithian
Vice President Engineering



Figure 14.4 Left Head SAR Test Setup
-- Ear / Tilt Position --

SAR DATA SUMMARY (Continued)

Mixture Type: 5800MHz Brain

14.1 MEASUREMENT RESULTS (Body SAR)

FREQUENCY		Modulation	Begin / End POWER‡			BT	Separation Distance (cm)‡‡	Antenna Position	SAR (W/kg)
MHz	Ch.		(dBm)		Battery				
5741.056	01	TDMA	18.33	18.40	Standard	Off	1.9	Fixed	**1.090**
5784.576	18	TDMA	18.09	18.12	Standard	Off	1.9	Fixed	0.915
5828.096	35	TDMA	17.73	17.19	Standard	Off	1.9	Fixed	0.828
5741.056	01	TDMA	18.35	18.37	Standard	On	1.9	Fixed	**1.110**
ANSI / IEEE C95.1 1992 - SAFETY LIMIT **Spatial Peak** **Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population**						**Brain** **1.6 W/kg (mW/g)** averaged over 1 gram			

NOTES:

1. The test data reported are the worst-case SAR value with the antenna- body position set in a typical configuration. Test procedures used are according to FCC/OET Bulletin 65, Supp.C [July 2001].
2. All modes of operation were investigated, and worst-case results are reported.
3. Battery is fully charged for all readings. Standard & extended batteries are options.
   ‡Power Measured ☒ Conducted ☐ ERP ☐ EIRP
4. SAR Measurement System ☒ DASY4 ☐ IDX
   Phantom Configuration ☐ Left Head ☒ Flat Phantom ☐ Right Head
5. SAR Configuration ☐ Head ☒ Body ☐ Hand
6. Test Signal Call Mode ☒ Manu. Test Codes ☐ Base Station Simulator
7. ‡‡Test Configuration ☒ With Belt-clip ☐ Without Belt-clip
8. Tissue parameters and temperatures are listed on the SAR plots.
9. Both sides of the phone were tested and the worst-case side is reported.
10. Liquid tissue depth is 15.1 cm. ± 0.1

Alfred Cirwithian
Vice President Engineering

Figure 14. Body SAR Test Setup -- Body Position --

15. SAR TEST EQUIPMENT

Table 15.1 Test Equipment Calibration

EQUIPMENT SPECIFICATIONS			
Type		**Calibration Date**	**Serial Number**
Stäubli Robot RX60L		February 2004	599131-01
Stäubli Robot Controller		February 2004	PCT592
Stäubli Teach Pendant (Joystick)		February 2004	3323-00161
Gateway		February 2004	PCT577
SPEAG EDC3		February 2004	321
SPEAG DAE3		January 2004	455
SPEAG E-Field Probe ES3DV2		September 2004	3022
SPEAG Dummy Probe		February 2004	PCT583
SPEAG SAM Twin Phantom V4.0		February 2004	PCT666
SPEAG Light Alignment Sensor		February 2004	205
PCTEST Validation Dipole D300V2		September 2003	PCT301
SPEAG Validation Dipole D835V2		January 2004	PCT512
SPEAG Validation Dipole D1900V2		January 2004	PCT613
SPEAG Validation Dipole D5800V2		January 2004	1007
Brain Equivalent Matter (300MHz)		October 2004	PCTBEM601
Brain Equivalent Matter (835MHz)		October 2004	PCTBEM101
Brain Equivalent Matter (1900MHz)		October 2004	PCTBEM301
Brain Equivalent Matter (5800MHz)		October 2004	PCTBEM501
Muscle Equivalent Matter (300MHz)		October 2004	PCTMEM701
Muscle Equivalent Matter (835MHz)		October 2004	PCTMEM201
Muscle Equivalent Matter (1900MHz)		October 2004	PCTMEM401
Muscle Equivalent Matter (5800MHz)		October 2004	PCTMEM601
Microwave Amp. Model: 5S1G4, (800MHz - 4.2GHz)		January 2004	22332
Gigatronics 8651A Power Meter		January 2004	1835299
HP-8648D (9kHz ~ 4GHz) Signal Generator		January 2004	PCT530
Amplifier Research 5S1G4 Power Amp		January 2004	PCT540
HP-8753E (30kHz ~ 3GHz) Network Analyzer		January 2004	PCT552
HP85070B Dielectric Probe Kit		January 2004	PCT501
Ambient Noise/Reflection, etc.	<12mW/kg/<3%of SAR	January 2004	Anechoic Room PCT01

NOTE:

The E-field probe was calibrated by SPEAG, by waveguide technique procedure. Dipole Validation measurement is performed by PCTEST Lab. before each test. The brain simulating material is calibrated by PCTEST using the dielectric probe system and network analyzer to determine the conductivity and permittivity (dielectric constant) of the brain-equivalent material.

16. CONCLUSION

The SAR measurement indicates that the EUT complies with the RF radiation exposure limits of the FCC. These measurements are taken to simulate the RF effects exposure under worst-case conditions. Precise laboratory measures were taken to assure repeatability of the tests. The tested device complies with the requirements in respect to all parameters subject to the test. The test results and statements relate only to the item(s) tested.

Please note that the absorption and distribution of electromagnetic energy in the body are very complex phenomena that depend on the mass, shape, and size of the body, the orientation of the body with respect to the field vectors, and the electrical properties of both the body and the environment. Other variables that may play a substantial role in possible biological effects are those that characterize the environment (e.g. ambient temperature, air velocity, relative humidity, and body insulation) and those that characterize the individual (e.g. age, gender, activity level, debilitation, or disease). Because innumerable factors may interact to determine the specific biological outcome of an exposure to electromagnetic fields, any protection guide shall consider maximal amplification of biological effects as a result of field-body interactions, environmental conditions, and physiological variables.[3]

17. REFERENCES

[1] Federal Communications Commission, ET Docket 93-62, Guidelines for Evaluating the Environmental Effects of Radiofrequency Radiation, Aug. 1996.

[2] ANSI/IEEE C95.1 - 1991, *American National Standard safety levels with respect to human exposure to radio frequency electromagnetic fields, 300kHz to 100GHz*, New York: IEEE, Aug. 1992.

[3] ANSI/IEEE C95.3 - 1991, *IEEE Recommended Practice for the Measurement of Potentially Hazardous Electromagnetic Fields - RF and Microwave*, New York: IEEE, 1992.

[4] Federal Communications Commission, OET Bulletin 65 (Edition 97-01), Supplement C (Edition 01-01), *Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields*, July 2001.

[5] IEEE Standards Coordinating Committee 34 – IEEE Std. P1528 D1.2 (April 2003), *Draft Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Body Due to Wireless Communications Devices: Experimental Techniques.*

[6] NCRP, National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements, *Biological Effects and Exposure Criteria for RadioFrequency Electromagnetic Fields*, NCRP Report No. 86, 1986. Reprinted Feb. 1995.

[7] T. Schmid, O. Egger, N. Kuster, *Automated E-field scanning system for dosimetric assessments*, IEEE Transaction on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, Jan. 1996, pp. 105-113.

[8] K. Pokovic, T. Schmid, N. Kuster, *Robust setup for precise calibration of E-field probes in tissue simulating liquids at mobile communications frequencies*, ICECOM97, Oct. 1997, pp. 120-124.

[9]K. Poković, T. Schmid, and N. Kuster, *E-field Probe with improved isotropy in brain simulating liquids*, Proceedings of the ELMAR, Zadar, Croatia, June 23-25, 1996, pp. 172-175.

[10] Schmid & Partner Engineering AG, Application Note: Data Storage and Evaluation, June 1998, p2.

[11] V. Hombach, K. Meier, M. Burkhardt, E. Kuhn, N. Kuster, *The Dependence of EM Energy Absorption upon Human Head Modeling at 900 MHz*, IEEE Transaction on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44 no. 10, Oct. 1996, pp. 1865-1873.

[12] N. Kuster and Q. Balzano, *Energy absorption mechanism by biological bodies in the near field of dipole antennas above 300MHz*, IEEE Transaction on Vehicular Technology, vol. 41, no. 1, Feb. 1992, pp. 17-23.

[13] G. Hartsgrove, A. Kraszewski, A. Surowiec, *Simulated Biological Materials for Electromagnetic Radiation Absorption Studies*, University of Ottawa, Bioelectromagnetics, Canada: 1987, pp. 29-36.

[14] Q. Balzano, O. Garay, T. Manning Jr., *Electromagnetic Energy Exposure of Simulated Users of Portable Cellular Telephones*, IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, vol. 44, no.3, Aug. 1995.

[15] W. Gander, *Computermathematick*, Birkhaeuser, Basel, 1992.

[16] W.H. Press, S.A. Teukolsky, W.T. Vetterling, and B.P. Flannery, *Numerical Recepies in C,* The Art of Scientific Computing, Second edition, Cambridge University Press, 1992.

[17] Federal Communications Commission, OET Bulletin 65, Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields. Supplement C, Dec. 1997.

[18] N. Kuster, R. Kastle, T. Schmid, Dosimetric evaluation of mobile communications equipment with known precision, IEEE Transaction on Communications, vol. E80-B, no. 5, May 1997, pp. 645-652.

[19] CENELEC CLC/SC111B, European Prestandard (prENV 50166-2), Human Exposure to Electromagnetic Fields High-frequency: 10kHz-300GHz, Jan. 1995.

[20] Prof. Dr. Niels Kuster, ETH, Eidgenössische Technische Hoschschule Zürich, Dosimetric Evaluation of the Cellular Phone.

APPENDIX A: SAR TEST DATA

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: ELBT595 Handset; Type: Uniden Cordless Phone; Serial: #1

Communication System: 5.8GHz TDMA Cordless; Frequency: 5784.58 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:4
Medium: 5800 Brain (σ = 5.15 mho/m, ε_r = 35.26, ρ = 1000 kg/m^3)
Phantom section: Right Section

Test Date: 10-12-2004; Ambient Temp: 23.7°C; Tissue Temp: 21.8°C

Probe: ES3DV2 - SN3022; ConvF(2.15, 2.15, 2.15); Calibrated: 9/24/2004
Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
Electronics: DAE3 Sn330; Calibrated: 6/23/2004
Phantom: SAM 12b; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP:1197
Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.3 Build 22; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 127

Touch, Ch.01, BT on

Area Scan (10x24x1): Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm
Zoom Scan (8x8x8)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=4.3mm, dy=4.3mm, dz=3mm
Reference Value = 2.93 V/m
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.453 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 0.096 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.038 mW/g



0 dB = 0.138mW/g

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: ELBT595 Handset; Type: Uniden Cordless Phone; Serial: #1

Communication System: 5.8GHz TDMA Cordless; Frequency: 5784.58 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:4
Medium: 5800 Brain (σ = 5.15 mho/m, ε_r = 35.26, ρ = 1000 kg/m^3)
Phantom section: Right Section

Test Date: 10-12-2004; Ambient Temp: 23.7°C; Tissue Temp: 21.8°C

Probe: ES3DV2 - SN3022; ConvF(2.15, 2.15, 2.15); Calibrated: 9/24/2004
Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
Electronics: DAE3 Sn330; Calibrated: 6/23/2004
Phantom: SAM 12b; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP:1197
Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.3 Build 22; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 127

Tilt, Ch.18, BT off

Area Scan (10x24x1): Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm
Zoom Scan (8x8x8)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=4.3mm, dy=4.3mm, dz=3mm
Reference Value = 2.27 V/m
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.062 mW/g
SAR(1 g) = 0.023 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = n.a.



0 dB = 0.062mW/g

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: ELBT595 Handset; Type: Uniden Cordless Phone; Serial: #1

Communication System: 5.8GHz TDMA Cordless; Frequency: 5741.06 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:4
Medium: 5800 Brain (σ = 5.15 mho/m, ε_r = 35.26, ρ = 1000 kg/m^3)
Phantom section: Left Section

Test Date: 10-12-2004; Ambient Temp: 23.7°C; Tissue Temp: 21.8°C

Probe: ES3DV2 - SN3022; ConvF(2.15, 2.15, 2.15); Calibrated: 9/24/2004
Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
Electronics: DAE3 Sn330; Calibrated: 6/23/2004
Phantom: SAM 12b; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP:1197
Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.3 Build 22; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 127

Touch, Ch.01, BT on

Area Scan (10x24x1): Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm
Zoom Scan (8x8x8)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=4.3mm, dy=4.3mm, dz=3mm
Reference Value = 2.29 V/m
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.540 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 0.109 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.037 mW/g



0 dB = 0.155mW/g

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: ELBT595 Handset; Type: Uniden Cordless Phone; Serial: #1

Communication System: 5.8GHz TDMA Cordless; Frequency: 5784.58 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:4
Medium: 5800 Brain (σ = 5.15 mho/m, ε_r = 35.26, ρ = 1000 kg/m^3)
Phantom section: Left Section

Test Date: 10-12-2004; Ambient Temp: 23.7°C; Tissue Temp: 21.8°C

Probe: ES3DV2 - SN3022; ConvF(2.15, 2.15, 2.15); Calibrated: 9/24/2004
Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
Electronics: DAE3 Sn330; Calibrated: 6/23/2004
Phantom: SAM 12b; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP:1197
Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.3 Build 22; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 127

Tilt, Ch.18, BT off

Area Scan (10x24x1): Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm
Zoom Scan (8x8x8)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=4.3mm, dy=4.3mm, dz=3mm
Reference Value = 1.41 V/m
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.133 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 0.027 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.015 mW/g



0 dB = 0.036mW/g

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: ELBT595 Handset; Type: Uniden Cordless Phone; Serial: #1

Communication System: 5.8GHz TDMA Cordless; Frequency: 5741.06 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:4
Medium: 5800 Muscle (σ = 5.73 mho/m, ε_r = 45.89, ρ = 1000 kg/m^3)
Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.9 cm; Tested with Beltclip

Test Date: 10-13-2004; Ambient Temp: 23.5°C; Tissue Temp: 21.6°C

Probe: ES3DV2 - SN3022; ConvF(1.57, 1.57, 1.57); Calibrated: 9/24/2004
Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
Electronics: DAE3 Sn330; Calibrated: 6/23/2004
Phantom: SAM 12b; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP:1197
Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.3 Build 22; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 127

Ch.01, BT on

Area Scan (10x15x1): Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm
Zoom Scan (8x8x8)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=4.3mm, dy=4.3mm, dz=3mm
Reference Value = 15.1 V/m
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.41 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 1.11 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.433 mW/g



0 dB = 1.58mW/g

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: ELBT595 Handset; Type: Uniden Cordless Phone; Serial: #1

Communication System: 5.8GHz TDMA Cordless; Frequency: 5741.06 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:4
Medium: 5800 Brain (σ = 5.15 mho/m, ε_r = 35.26, ρ = 1000 kg/m^3)
Phantom section: Left Section

Test Date: 10-12-2004; Ambient Temp: 23.7°C; Tissue Temp: 21.8°C

Probe: ES3DV2 - SN3022; ConvF(2.15, 2.15, 2.15); Calibrated: 9/24/2004
Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
Electronics: DAE3 Sn330; Calibrated: 6/23/2004
Phantom: SAM 12b; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP:1197
Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.3 Build 22; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 127

Touch, Ch.01, BT on

Area Scan (10x24x1): Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm
Zoom Scan (8x8x8)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=4.3mm, dy=4.3mm, dz=3mm
Reference Value = 2.29 V/m
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.540 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 0.109 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.037 mW/g



PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: ELBT595 Handset; Type: Uniden Cordless Phone; Serial: #1

Communication System: 5.8GHz TDMA Cordless; Frequency: 5741.06 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:4
Medium: 5800 Muscle (σ = 5.73 mho/m, ε_r = 45.89, ρ = 1000 kg/m^3)
Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.9 cm; Tested with Beltclip

Test Date: 10-13-2004; Ambient Temp: 23.5°C; Tissue Temp: 21.6°C

Probe: ES3DV2 - SN3022; ConvF(1.57, 1.57, 1.57); Calibrated: 9/24/2004
Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
Electronics: DAE3 Sn330; Calibrated: 6/23/2004
Phantom: SAM 12b; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP:1197
Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.3 Build 22; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 127

Ch.01, BT on

Area Scan (10x15x1): Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm
Zoom Scan (8x8x8)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=4.3mm, dy=4.3mm, dz=3mm
Reference Value = 15.1 V/m
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.41 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 1.11 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.433 mW/g



APPENDIX B: DIPOLE VALIDATION

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: Dipole 5800 MHz; Type: D5GHzV2; Serial: 1007

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 5800 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium: 5800 Brain (σ = 5.15 mho/m, ε_r = 35.26, ρ = 1000 kg/m^3)
Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 10-12-2004; Ambient Temp: 23.7°C; Tissue Temp: 21.8°C

Probe: ES3DV2 - SN3022; ConvF(2.15, 2.15, 2.15); Calibrated: 9/24/2004
Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
Electronics: DAE3 Sn330; Calibrated: 6/23/2004
Phantom: SAM 12b; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP:1197
Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.3 Build 22; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 127

5800MHz Dipole Validation

Area Scan (7x7x1): Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm
Zoom Scan (7x7x8)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=4.3mm, dy=4.3mm, dz=3mm
Input Power = 20.0 dBm (100 mW)
SAR(1 g) = 9.1 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 2.47 mW/g
Target SAR(1g) = 9.00 mW/g; Deviation = +1.11 %



0 dB = 12mW/g

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: Dipole 5800 MHz; Type: D5GHzV2; Serial: 1007

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 5800 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium: 5800 Brain (σ = 5.15 mho/m, ε_r = 35.26, ρ = 1000 kg/m^3)
Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 10-13-2004; Ambient Temp: 23.2°C; Tissue Temp: 22.3°C

Probe: ES3DV2 - SN3022; ConvF(2.15, 2.15, 2.15); Calibrated: 9/24/2004
Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
Electronics: DAE3 Sn330; Calibrated: 6/23/2004
Phantom: SAM 12b; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP:1197
Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.3 Build 22; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 127

5800MHz Dipole Validation

Area Scan (7x7x1): Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm
Zoom Scan (7x7x8)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=4.3mm, dy=4.3mm, dz=3mm
Input Power = 20.0 dBm (100 mW)
SAR(1 g) = 8.4 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 2.28 mW/g
Target SAR(1g) = 9.00 mW/g; Deviation = -6.66 %



0 dB = 11.2mW/g

APPENDIX C: PROBE CALIBRATION

Schmid & Partner Engineering AG

s p e a g

Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland
Phone +41 1 245 9700, Fax +41 1 245 9779
info@speag.com, http://www.speag.com

Probe ES3DV2

SN:3022

Manufactured: April 15, 2003
Last calibration: September 24, 2004

Calibrated for DASY Systems

(Note: non-compatible with DASY2 system!)

DASY - Parameters of Probe: ES3DV2 SN:3022

Sensitivity in Free Space

NormX	**1.00**	$\mu V/(V/m)^2$
NormY	**1.04**	$\mu V/(V/m)^2$
NormZ	**0.98**	$\mu V/(V/m)^2$

Diode Compression

DCP X	**95**	mV
DCP Y	**95**	mV
DCP Z	**95**	mV

Sensitivity in Tissue Simulating Liquid

Head 900 MHz ε_r = 41.5 ± 5% σ = 0.97 ± 5% mho/m

Valid for f=800-1000 MHz with Head Tissue Simulating Liquid according to EN 50361, P1528-200X

ConvF X	**6.1**	± 9.5% (k=2)	Boundary effect:	
ConvF Y	**6.1**	± 9.5% (k=2)	Alpha	**0.32**
ConvF Z	**6.1**	± 9.5% (k=2)	Depth	**1.65**

Head 1800 MHz ε_r = 40.0 ± 5% σ = 1.40 ± 5% mho/m

Valid for f=1710-1910 MHz with Head Tissue Simulating Liquid according to EN 50361, P1528-200X

ConvF X	**5.0**	± 9.5% (k=2)	Boundary effect:	
ConvF Y	**5.0**	± 9.5% (k=2)	Alpha	**0.25**
ConvF Z	**5.0**	± 9.5% (k=2)	Depth	**2.30**

Boundary Effect

Head 900 MHz Typical SAR gradient: 5 % per mm

Probe Tip to Boundary		**1 mm**	**2 mm**
SAR_{be} [%]	Without Correction Algorithm	5.5	2.5
SAR_{be} [%]	With Correction Algorithm	0.1	0.4

Head 1800 MHz Typical SAR gradient: 10 % per mm

Probe Tip to Boundary		**1 mm**	**2 mm**
SAR_{be} [%]	Without Correction Algorithm	7.1	4.4
SAR_{be} [%]	With Correction Algorithm	0.0	0.1

Sensor Offset

Probe Tip to Sensor Center	**2.0**	mm

Receiving Pattern (ϕ), θ = 0°







Isotropy Error (ϕ), θ = 0°



Frequency Response of E-Field

(TEM-Cell:ifi110, Waveguide R22)



Dynamic Range f(SAR_{brain})

(Waveguide R22)





Conversion Factor Assessment





Head **900 MHz** ε_r **= 41.5 ± 5%** σ **= 0.97 ± 5% mho/m**

Valid for f=800-1000 MHz with Head Tissue Simulating Liquid according to EN 50361, P1528-200X

ConvF X	**6.1**	± 9.5% (k=2)	Boundary effect:	
ConvF Y	**6.1**	± 9.5% (k=2)	Alpha	**0.32**
ConvF Z	**6.1**	± 9.5% (k=2)	Depth	**1.65**

Head **1800 MHz** ε_r **= 40.0 ± 5%** σ **= 1.40 ± 5% mho/m**

Valid for f=1710-1910 MHz with Head Tissue Simulating Liquid according to EN 50361, P1528-200X

ConvF X	**5.0**	± 9.5% (k=2)	Boundary effect:	
ConvF Y	**5.0**	± 9.5% (k=2)	Alpha	**0.25**
ConvF Z	**5.0**	± 9.5% (k=2)	Depth	**2.30**

Conversion Factor Assessment





Body **900 MHz** ε_r **= 55.0 ± 5%** **σ = 1.05 ± 5% mho/m**

Valid for f=800-1000 MHz with Body Tissue Simulating Liquid according to OET 65 Suppl. C

ConvF X	**6.0**	± 9.5% (k=2)	Boundary effect:	
ConvF Y	**6.0**	± 9.5% (k=2)	Alpha	**0.38**
ConvF Z	**6.0**	± 9.5% (k=2)	Depth	**1.47**

Body **1800 MHz** ε_r **= 53.3 ± 5%** **σ = 1.52 ± 5% mho/m**

Valid for f=1710-1910 MHz with Body Tissue Simulating Liquid according to OET 65 Suppl. C

ConvF X	**4.5**	± 9.5% (k=2)	Boundary effect:	
ConvF Y	**4.5**	± 9.5% (k=2)	Alpha	**0.22**
ConvF Z	**4.5**	± 9.5% (k=2)	Depth	**3.42**

Conversion Factor Assessment





Head **2450 MHz** ε_r **= 39.2 ± 5%** σ **= 1.80 ± 5% mho/m**

Valid for f=2400-2500 MHz with Head Tissue Simulating Liquid according to EN 50361, P1528-200X

ConvF X	**4.5**	± 9.5% (k=2)	Boundary effect:	
ConvF Y	**4.5**	± 9.5% (k=2)	Alpha	**0.42**
ConvF Z	**4.5**	± 9.5% (k=2)	Depth	**1.56**

Body **2450 MHz** ε_r **= 52.7 ± 5%** σ **= 1.95 ± 5% mho/m**

Valid for f=2400-2500 MHz with Body Tissue Simulating Liquid according to OET 65 Suppl. C

ConvF X	**4.2**	± 9.5% (k=2)	Boundary effect:	
ConvF Y	**4.2**	± 9.5% (k=2)	Alpha	**0.42**
ConvF Z	**4.2**	± 9.5% (k=2)	Depth	**1.65**

Conversion Factor Assessment





Head **5200 MHz** ε_r **= 36.0 ± 5%** σ **= 4.66 ± 5% mho/m**

Valid for f=4940-5460 MHz with Head Tissue Simulating Liquid according to OET65-SuppC

ConvF X	**2.60**	± 16.6% (k=2)	Boundary effect:	
ConvF Y	**2.60**	± 16.6% (k=2)	Alpha	**0.93**
ConvF Z	**2.60**	± 16.6% (k=2)	Depth	**1.50**

Body **5200 MHz** ε_r **= 49.0 ± 5%** σ **= 5.30 ± 5% mho/m**

Valid for f=4940-5460 MHz with Body Tissue Simulating Liquid according to OET65-SuppC

ConvF X	**1.80**	± 16.6% (k=2)	Boundary effect:	
ConvF Y	**1.80**	± 16.6% (k=2)	Alpha	**1.05**
ConvF Z	**1.80**	± 16.6% (k=2)	Depth	**1.60**

Conversion Factor Assessment





Head **5800 MHz** ε_r **= 35.3 ± 5%** σ **= 5.27 ± 5% mho/m**

Valid for f=5510-6090 MHz with Head Tissue Simulating Liquid according to OET65-SuppC

ConvF X	**2.15**	± 16.6% (k=2)	Boundary effect:	
ConvF Y	**2.15**	± 16.6% (k=2)	Alpha	**1.04**
ConvF Z	**2.15**	± 16.6% (k=2)	Depth	**1.50**

Body **5800 MHz** ε_r **= 48.2 ± 5%** σ **= 6.0 ± 5% mho/m**

Valid for f=5510-6090 MHz with Body Tissue Simulating Liquid according to OET65-SuppC

ConvF X	**1.57**	± 16.6% (k=2)	Boundary effect:	
ConvF Y	**1.57**	± 16.6% (k=2)	Alpha	**1.15**
ConvF Z	**1.57**	± 16.6% (k=2)	Depth	**1.70**

Deviation from Isotropy in HSL

Error (θϕ), f = 900 MHz