Kyocera Wireless Corp.
QCP 2035

SPECIFIC ABSORPTION RATE (SAR)

REPORT

CONTENTS

1 INTRODUCTION

This test report describes an environmental evaluation measurement of specific absorption rate (SAR) distribution in simulated human head tissues exposed to radio frequency (RF) radiation from a wireless portable device manufactured by Kyocera Wireless Corp. (KWC). These measurements were performed for compliance with the rules and regulations of the U.S. Federal Communications Commission (FCC). The testing was performed in April 2000 in the QCP Inc. SAR Test Facility. The wireless device is described as follows;

EUT Type: *Trimode, CDMA(PCS), CDMA and Analog (Cellular) Phone*

Trade Name: *Kyocera Wireless Corp.*

Model: *QCP-2035*

Tx Frequency : *824.04 – 848.97 and 1851.25 – 1908.75 MHz*

Max. Output Power: *28.9 dBm ERP Analog (in cellular band)*

27.62 dBm ERP Digital (in cellular band)

26.74 dBm EIRP Digital (in PCS band)

Modulation: *CDMA and Analog*

Antenna: *Retracting whip w/ helix*

FCC Classification: *Non-Broadcast Transmitter Held to Ear*

Application Type: *Certification*

Serial Number : *17908726126*

Place of Test: QCP *Inc., San Diego, CA, USA*

Date of Test: *April 10-17, 2000*

FCC Rule Part: *47 CFR 2.1093; OET Bulletin 65, Sup. C; 47 CFR 22; 47 CFR 24*

2 SAR TEST FACILITY

SAR tests were performed in the QCP Inc. SAR Test Facility located at the following address:

QCP Inc.
Building AA.
10290 Campus Point Drive
San Diego CA 92121-1522

3 APPLICABLE REGULATIONS

The QCP-2035 is designed to comply with the specific absorption rate SAR limits for distances within 20 cm of the transmitting elements of the mobile phone, and with general public uncontrolled environment Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE) limits at distances greater than 20 cm from the transmitting elements of the device, as required by Sections 1.1307 through 1.1310, 2.1091 and 2.1093 of the 47 C.F.R. (1997). These FCC RF safety limits, which are based on a hybrid combination of the SAR and MPE requirements from ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992 and the National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements (NCRP) report no. 86, are also consistent with the RF safety limits defined in the IRPA Guidelines on Protection Against Non-Ionizing Radiation which are reportedly in the process of being adopted in Europe, as codified in European Pre-Standard ENV 59166-2 approved by CENELEC (1994). This test report pertains specifically to the following limit from the Code of Federal Regulations 47, Part 2 "Limits for General Population/Uncontrolled exposure: 0.08 W/kg as averaged over the whole-body and spatial peak SAR not exceeding 1.6 W/kg as averaged over any 1 gram of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube). Exceptions are the hands, wrists, feet and ankles where the spatial peak SAR shall not exceed 4 W/kg, as averaged over any 10 grams of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube)."

4 SAR TEST RESULTS SUMMARY

This device has been tested for localised specific absorption rate (SAR) for uncontrolled environment/general population exposure limits specified in ANSI/IEEE Std. C95.1 ~ 1992 and has been tested in accordance with the measurement procedures specified in ANSI/IEEE Std. C95.3 ~ 1992 . Normal antenna operating positions were incorporated, with the device transmitting at frequencies consistent with normal usage of the device. The device has been shown to be capable of compliance for localised specific absorption rate (SAR) for uncontrolled environment/general population exposure limits specified in ANSI/IEEE std. C95.1-1992

5 TECHNICAL DESCRIPTION

The test sample consisted of a KWC QCP-2035.   This model will operate in CDMA PCS, CDMA and analog cellular mode. The CDMA PCS mode is designed to transmit in the 1851.25 – 1908.75 MHz band at a maximum EIRP of 26.74 dBm.   The cellular FM AMPS mode is designed to transmit in the 824.04 – 848.97 MHz band at a maximum ERP of 28.9 dBm. The cellular CDMA mode is designed to transmit in the 824.04 – 848.97 MHz band at a maximum output power of 27.62 dBm.

The QCP-2035 is a tri-mode and dual band cellular/PCS phone. The antenna is a standard retracting whip antenna tuned for dual frequency, with a helix antenna that is at the base of the whip which gets activated when the whip is retracted. Since either position is possible during use, both retracted and extended were tested, at the low, middle, and high frequencies of each band.

The QCP-2035 has provision for headset and body-worn holster to allow hands-free operation. The SAR for such operating condition was also measured at the low, middle, and high frequencies of each band.

5.1 DESCRIPTION OF QCP INC. SAR TEST FACILITY

All tests were performed under the following environmental conditions:

Temperature Range:	15 - 35 Degrees C	(Actual 20 C)
Humidity Range:	25 - 75 %	(Actual 38 %)
Pressure:	860 - 1060 mbar	(Actual 1015 mB)

The SAR tests were performed using the following facilities:

All QCP Inc. dosimetry equipment is operated within a shielded screen room manufactured by Lindgren RF Enclosures to provide isolation from external EM fields.

The E-field probes of the DASY 3 system are capable of detecting signals as low as $5\mu W/g$ in the liquid dielectric, and so external fields are minimised by the screen room, leaving the phone as the dominate radiation source. The floor of the screen room is reflective, so four two-foot square ferrite panels are placed beneath the phantom area of the DASY system to minimise reflected energy that would otherwise re-enter the phantom and combine constructively or destructively with the desired fields.   These ferrite panels provide roughly 12 to 13 dB of attenuation in the frequency range of 900 MHz, and 7 to 8 dB of attenuation in the frequency range of 1.9 GHz.   Space beneath the DASY system limits the absorber type to ferrite tiles, although this attenuation combined with scattering of the energy is sufficient to bring the system validation within the acceptable tolerance.

DOSIMETRY SYSTEM The dosimetry equipment consists of a complete DASY3 V1.0 dosimetry system manufactured and calibrated by Schmid & Partner Engineering AG of Zurich, Switzerland, it is currently a state of the art system and from our research, it appears to be the best available at this time. The DASY3 system consists of a six axis robot, a robot controller, a teach pendant, automation software on a Pentium 200 MHz computer, data acquisition system, isotropic e-field probe, and validation kit**.**

E-FIELD PROBE This test was performed using an E-field probe with conversion factors determined by Schmid & Partner (S & P). The probe is the most important part of the system, so will be discussed in section 5.2.

PHANTOM The phantom was the so called "generic phantom" supplied by S & P, and consists of a left and right side head for simulating phone usage on both sides of the

head. The phantom is constructed of fibreglass with 2 +/- 0.1 mm shell thickness. The shape of the shell is based on data from an anatomical study of a group of 33 men and 19 women to determine the maximum exposure in approximately 90% of all users.   The DASY system uses a homogeneous tissue phantom based on studies concerning energy absorption of the human head, and the different absorption rates between adults and children. These studies indicated that a homogeneous phantom should overestimate SAR by no more than 15% for 1 g averages and should not underestimate SAR.   In similar studies, it was found that a typical ear thickness is approximately 4 mm, so a 4 mm rubber ring is attached to the phantom at the ear area.

LIQUID DIELECTRIC The tissue simulating liquid which fills the phantom is supplied by QCP Inc.. There are two separate formulas for the two frequencies 900 MHz and 1800 MHz. This is necessary because the water molecules raise the conductivity to approximately 1.65 +/- 10% at the 1800 MHz frequency, without the addition of salt, so no salt is needed. Before the test, the permitivity and conductivity were measured with an automated Hewlett Packard 85070B dielectric probe in conjunction with a HP 8752C network analyser to monitor permitivity change due to evaporation.   The electromagnetic parameters of the liquid were maintained as shown in table 1. The target values were obtained from the FCC web page for Tissue Dielectric Properties with internet address www.fcc.gov/fcc-bin/dielec.sh . The 1800 MHz liquid prepared has no salt or any conductive additive (the chemical/physical properties of the water, preservative, and sugar molecules alone provide too much conductivity). It is impossible to lower the conductivity to 1.15 S/m without a new formula with different ingredients. In other words, we would have to locate an ingredient to replace the sugar/water/preservative ingredients with materials providing similar density, permitivity, and optical properties (for the optical surface detection) but having lower conductivity at 1800 MHz. It was determined that using the 1800 MHz fluid from Schmid & Partner would overestimate the SAR by a small margin, and maintain maximum confidence.

FREQUENCY	PERMITIVITY	CONDUCTIVITY	DENSITY
900 MHz	41.8 +/- 5%	.82 +/- 10% mho/m	1 g/cm^3
1800 MHz	42.3 +/- 5%	1.62 +/- 10% mho/m	1 g/cm^3

Table 1

Schmid & Partner has supplied us with data that can be used to show the error in SAR caused by using higher conductivity. In general higher conductivity, *over estimates* measured SAR values. So by using a higher conductivity in the 1800 MHz band we were measuring SAR values higher than would exist in the human brain. This data is provided here in Table 2.

Distance of radiator from liquid surface	*Frequency MHz*	Avg. volume gram	Increase of SAR per Increase in conductivity	Relative. permitivity	Conductivity of liquid S/m	Density of liquid g/cm³
10 mm	900	1	+ 0 .62	41.5	0.85	1
10 mm	900	10	+ 0.39	41.5	0.85	1
15 mm	900	1	+ 0.63	41.5	0.85	1
15 mm	900	10	+ 0.39	41.5	0.85	1
30 mm	900	1	+0.63	41.5	0.85	1
30 mm	900	10	+0.39	41.5	0.85	1
10 mm	1500	1	+ 0.55	40.5	1.2	1
10 mm	1500	10	+ 0.27	40.5	1.2	1
15 mm	1500	1	+ 0.55	40.5	1.2	1
15 mm	1500	10	+ 0.27	40.5	1.2	1
30 mm	1500	1	+ 0.54	40.5	1.2	1
30 mm	1500	10	+ 0.26	40.5	1.2	1
10 mm	1800	1	+ 0.43	40.0	1.65	1
10 mm	1800	10	+ 0.13	40.0	1.65	1
15 mm	1800	1	+0. 42	40.0	1.65	1
15 mm	1800	10	+ 0.13	40.0	1.65	1
30 mm	1800	1	+ 0.41	40.0	1.65	1
30 mm	1800	10	+ 0.12	40.0	1.65	1

Table 2

The E-field probe is calibrated by the manufacturer in brain simulating tissue at frequencies of 900 MHz, and 1.8 GHz, accurate to +/- 8%. Linearity is said by the

Company		Document No.	
Kyocera Wireless Corp.			
QCP-2035 SAR REPORT		Issue No:	Date
			April 2000
Equipment		Page Number	
QCP-2035			**9**

manufacturer to be +/- .2 dB from 30 MHz to 3 GHz.   Dynamic range is said by the manufacturer to be 5 μW/gm to > 100 mW/g. The probe contains 3 small dipoles positioned symmetrically on a triangular core to provide for isotropic detection of the field. Each dipole contains a diode at the feed point that converts the RF signal to DC, which is conducted down a high impedance line to the data acquisition system. The data acquisition system amplifies the signals, and converts them to digital values so that they may be sent to the computer. The inputs to the signal amplifiers are auto zeroed after every measurement to prevent charge build up on the lines, which could lead to errors.

5.2   SAR SYSTEM THEORY

The human body absorbs energy from a radiating cell phone by ionic motion and oscillation of polar molecules. The human head is in the near field of the device where polarisation and field intensity are very complex. Also the human head can cause large reflections and scattering, so it is more practical to measure the field absorbed inside the head, than to measure incident power before it enters the head. Inside the lossy brain tissue, the power per unit volume is given by (next page):

$$P_v = \tfrac{1}{2}\ \mathbf{J} \bullet \mathbf{E^*} = \tfrac{1}{2}\ \sigma\ |E|^2 \quad W/m^3$$

where $\mathbf{J}$ is current density

σ is conductivity of human tissue due to conductive and lossy displacement currents.

E is the electric field

But since SAR is the absorption of RF power per unit mass

$$P_g = \tfrac{1}{2}\ \sigma/\boldsymbol{p}\ |E|^2 \qquad W/kg$$

where $\boldsymbol{p}$ is density of the tissue in kilograms per cubic meter.

In this equation, σ is a function of frequency, and so it must be measured at the frequency of the test.   It is measured in terms of the real and imaginary components of the complex permitivity;

Company	Document No.	
Kyocera Wireless Corp.		
QCP-2035 SAR REPORT	Issue No:	Date
		April 2000
Equipment	Page Number	
QCP-2035	**10**	

$$\varepsilon = \varepsilon_0 \left(\varepsilon' - j\varepsilon'' \right)$$

$$\sigma = 2\pi f \; x \left(8.854 \; x \; 10^{-12} \right) \; x \; \varepsilon''$$

$$\textbf{Loss Tangent} \equiv \tan \delta = \varepsilon'' / \varepsilon'$$

In order to measure the E field strength without distorting the field, the E field probe(shown here) is made as described by Schmid, Egger, and Kuster in [3].

E-field Probe

A major concern is that secondary coupling of the EUT radiated fields to the feed lines of the probe are minimised. This is done by making the feed lines of high impedance "twin-line" transmission line, printed very close together. In the probe tip there are three orthogonal dipoles, electrically small to minimise field distortion from coupling. The electrically small dipoles have source impedance's of 5 to 8 MΩ due to their small size, the high resistive feed lines, and the distributed filters on the lines. This high impedance makes them less sensitive so a sophisticated Data Acquisition Electronics (DAE) box is needed to amplify, multiplex, and digitize the signals. The DAE is installed on top of the robot arm. It also detects the proximity of the phantom surface with a fiber-optic cable. It provides for multiplexing between the three dipoles, and between 1X gain and 100X amplification, and it provides some filtering that will remove unwanted signals picked up by the probe. The DAE also provides a fast digital link to the robot for stopping in the event of a touch detection. It samples the probe output for 2600 complete E field measurements per dipole, per second. These samples are used to determine the amplification needed, 1X or 100X, and the magnitude determines what diode compression correction factor should be used. These factors as well as sensitivity factors of the specific probe, which are stored in the program, are used to determine the actual field strength for the test point.

Company		Document No.	
Kyocera Wireless Corp.			
QCP-2035 SAR REPORT		Issue No:	Date
			April 2000
Equipment		Page Number	
QCP-2035		**11**	

The substrate on which the dipoles are printed, has been shaped to align each dipole with the E-field *after* the field lines are distorted by the permitivity of the substrate. In other words, since the substrate and the liquid dielectric have different permitivities, the E-field will diffract as it passes through the interface, and so the dipoles have been positioned to align with the fields *after* this distortion is accounted for.

The dipole elements in the probe are offset from the tip of the probe approximately 2.7 mm so unfortunately the field strength cannot be measured at the surface of the phantom, where it is likely to be maximum. The magnitude of the field at the surface must therefore be calculated with interpolation by using the data points stepped away from the surface and curve fitting, this is done automatically by the software.

6   TEST SAMPLE OPERATION

The wireless device was made to transmit maximum power that is allowed by the software in the device. A phone_t software was used to force the device to transmit maximum power for the duration of the SAR tests. The DASY 3 system checks E field strength at a fixed location before and after each scan, and checks for drift due to draining of the battery or some other effect. This shows up as "drift" on the report and if it is too high the test is repeated.

7   SAR TEST SYSTEM VALIDATION

We performed the validation test by using a dipole before the SAR tests. The following plots are the results of validation tests. The original validation results provided by the system manufacturer for cellular and PCS band are attached below.

Target Value = 0.0944 mW/g (input power to dipole = 10 dBm)

SAR (1g): 0.0930 [mW/g] ± 0.19 dB, SAR (10g): 0.0613 [mW/g] ± 0.19 dB
Generic Twin Phantom; Flat Section
Probe: ET3DV5 - SN1348; ConvF(5.90,5.90,5.90)
Brain 900 MHz: σ = 0.84 [mho/m] ε_r = 42.5 ρ = 1.00 [g/cm³]
File Name: ValidationFlat900 4-11-00.DA3
Powerdrift: 0.07 dB

SAR_{Tot} [mW/g]

7.11E-2

5.53E-2

3.95E-2

2.37E-2

7.90E-3

Target Value = 0.0944 mW/g (input power to dipole = 10 dBm)
SAR (1g): 0.0949 [mW/g] ± 0.23 dB, SAR (10g): 0.0623 [mW/g] ± 0.24 dB
Generic Twin Phantom; Flat Section
Probe: ET3DV5 - SN1348; ConvF(5.90,5.90,5.90)
Brain 900 MHz: σ = 0.84 [mho/m] ϵ_r = 43.2 ρ = 1.00 [g/cm^3]
File Name: Val 900 4-12-00.DA3
Powerdrift: -0.02 dB

SAR_{Tot} [mW/g]

7.38E-2

5.74E-2

4.10E-2

2.46E-2

8.20E-3

Target Value = 0.399 mW/g (input power to dipole = 10 dBm)

SAR (1g): 0.366 [mW/g] ± 0.24 dB, SAR (10g): 0.187 [mW/g] ± 0.20 dB
Generic Twin Phantom; Flat Section
Probe: ET3DV5 - SN1348; ConvF(5.00,5.00,5.00)
Brain 1800 MHz: σ = 1.62 [mho/m] ε_r = 41.7 ρ = 1.00 [g/cm³]
File Name: ValidationFlat1800 4-13-00.DA3
Powerdrift: -0.09 dB

SAR_{Tot} [mW/g]

2.06E-1

1.60E-1

1.15E-1

6.87E-2

2.29E-2

Target Value = 0.399 mW/g (input power to dipole = 10 dBm)

SAR (1g): 0.382 [mW/g] ± 0.23 dB, SAR (10g): 0.194 [mW/g] ± 0.25 dB
Generic Twin Phantom; Flat Section
Probe: ET3DV5 - SN1348; ConvF(5.00,5.00,5.00)
Brain 1800 MHz: σ = 1.67 [mho/m] ε_r = 41.6 ρ = 1.00 [g/cm³]
File Name: ValidationFlat1800MHz 4-16-00.DA3
Powerdrift: 0.03 dB

SAR_{Tot} [mW/g]

2.21E-1

1.72E-1

1.23E-1

7.38E-2

2.46E-2

Target Value = 0.0944 mW/g (input power to dipole = 10 dBm)

SAR (1g): 0.0959 [mW/g] ± 0.17 dB, SAR (10g): 0.0627 [mW/g] ± 0.18 dB

Generic Twin Phantom; Flat Section

Probe: ET3DV5 - SN1348; ConvF(5.90,5.90,5.90)

Brain 900 MHz: σ = 0.85 [mho/m] ε_r = 42.0 ρ = 1.00 [g/cm³]

File Name: ValidationFlat900 4-17-00.DA3

Powerdrift: -0.04 dB

SAR_{Tot} [mW/g]

7.29E-2

5.67E-2

4.05E-2

2.43E-2

8.10E-3

DASY

Dipole Validation Kit

Type: D900V2

Serial: 024

| Manufactured: | December 1997 |
| Calibrated: | January 1998 |

1.   Measurement Conditions

The measurements were performed in the flat section of the new generic twin phantom (shell thickness 2mm) filled with brain simulating sugar solution of the following electrical parameters at 900 MHz:

Relative Dielectricity	**42.3**	± 5%
Conductivity	**0.85 mho/m**	± 5%

The DASY3 System (Software version 1.0a) with a dosimetric E-field probe ET3DV4 (SN:1302, Conversion factor 5.5) was used for the measurements.

The dipole was mounted on the small tripod so that the dipole feedpoint was positioned below the centre marking of the flat phantom section and the dipole was oriented parallel to the body axis (the long side of the phantom). The standard measuring distance was 15mm from dipole centre to the solution surface. The included distance holder was used during measurements for accurate distance positioning.

The coarse grid with a grid spacing of 15mm was aligned with the dipole. The 5x5x7 fine cube was chosen for cube integration. Probe isotropy errors were cancelled by measuring the SAR with normal and 90° turned probe orientations and averaging. The dipole input power (forward power) was 250mW ± 3 %. The results are normalised to 1W input power.

2.   SAR Measurement

Standard SAR-measurements were performed with the phantom according to the measurement conditions described in section 1. The results have been normalised to a dipole input power of 1W (forward power). The resulting averaged SAR-values are:

averaged over 1 cm^3 (1 g) of tissue: **9.44 mW/g**

averaged over 10 cm^3 (10 g) of tissue: **6.16 mW/g**

Note: If the liquid parameters for validation are slightly different from the ones used for initial calibration, the SAR-values will be different as well. The estimated sensitivities of SAR-values and penetration depths to the liquid parameters are listed in the DASY Application Note 4: 'SAR Sensitivities'.

3.   Dipole Impedance and return loss

The impedance was measured at the SMA-connector with a network analyser and numerically transformed to the dipole feedpoint. The transformation parameters from the SMA-connector to the dipole feedpoint are:

Electrical delay: **1.397 ns**   (one direction)
Transmission factor: **0.988**   (voltage transmission, one direction)

The dipole was positioned at the flat phantom sections according to section 1 and the distance holder was in place during impedance measurements.

Feedpoint impedance at 900 MHz: $Re\{Z\} =$ **50.2 Ω**

                                         $Im\{Z\} =$ **-0.0 Ω**

Return Loss at 900 MHz   **- 54.9 dB**

4.   Handling

The dipole is made of standard semirigid coaxial cable. The centre conductor of the feeding line is directly connected to the second arm of the dipole. The antenna is therefore short-circuited for DC-signals.

Do not apply excessive force to the dipole arms, because they might bend. If the dipole arms have to be bent back, take care to release stress to the soldered connections near the feedpoint; they might come off.

After prolonged use with 100W radiated power, only a slight warming of the dipole near the feedpoint can be measured.

alidation Dipole D900V2 SN:024, d = 15mm

:quency: 900 [MHz]; Antanna Input Power: 250 [mW]
neric Twin Phantom; Flat Section; Grid Spacing: Dx = 15.0, Dy = 15.0, Dz = 10.0 [mm]
)be: ET3DV5 - SN1302 DAE3; ConvF(5.40,5.40,5.40); Crest factor: 1.0; }: σ = 0.85 [mho/m] ϵ_r = 42.3 ρ = 1.00 [g/cm³]
bes (2): Peak: 3.58 [mW/g] ± 0.06 dB, SAR (1g): 2.36 [mW/g] ± 0.05 dB, SAR (10g): 1.54 [mW/g] ± 0.04 dB, (Worst-case extrapolation)
1etration depth: 13.1 (12.1, 14.4) [mm]
.werdrift: 0.03 dB

SAR_{Tot} [mW/g]

2.50E+0
2.25E+0
2.00E+0
1.75E+0
1.50E+0
1.25E+0
1.00E+0
7.50E-1
5.00E-1
2.50E-1

mid & Partner Engineering AG, Zürich Switzerland

CH1 1→1   1 U FS   1: 50.16 Ω   -21.484 mΩ   8.231   nF

900.000 000 MHz

CENTER   900.000 000 MHz   SPAN   400.000 000 MHz

D900V2 SN: 024

S11

Flat phantom with
brain simulating
solution

d = 15mm

(distance from dipole
center to solution)

900.000 000 MHz

D900V2 SN: 024

S11

Flat phantom with
brain simulating
solution

d = 15mm

(distance from dipole
center to solution)

CENTER   900.000 000 MHz   SPAN   400.000 000 MHz

DASY3

Dipole Validation Kit

Type: D1800V2

Serial: 220

Manufactured: December 1997
Calibrated: January 1998

1.   Measurement Conditions

The measurements were performed in the flat section of the new generic twin phantom (shell thickness 2mm) filled with brain simulating sugar solution of the following electrical parameters at 1800 MHz:

Relative Dielectricity	**39.5**	± 5%
Conductivity	**1.70 mho/m**	± 10%

The DASY3 System (Software version 3.0b) with a dosimetric E-field probe ET3DV4 (SN:1302, conversion factor 4.6) was used for the measurements.

The dipole feedpoint was positioned below the centre marking and oriented parallel to the body axis (the long side of the phantom). The standard measuring distance was 10mm from dipole centre to the solution surface. The included distance holder was used during measurements for accurate distance positioning.

The coarse grid with a grid spacing of 15mm was aligned with the dipole. The 5x5x7 fine cube was chosen for cube integration. Probe isotropy errors were cancelled by measuring the SAR with normal and 90° turned probe orientations and averaging. The dipole input power (forward power) was 250mW ± 3 %. The results are normalised to 1W input power.

2.   SAR Measurement

Standard SAR-measurements were performed with the head phantom according to the measurement conditions described in section 1. The results (see figure) have been normalised to a dipole input power of 1W (forward power). The resulting averaged SAR-values are:

averaged over 1 cm^3 (1 g) of tissue:	**39.9 mW/g**
averaged over 10 cm^3 (10 g) of tissue:	**20.1 mW/g**

Note: If the liquid parameters for validation are slightly different from the ones used for initial calibration, the SAR-values will be different as well. The estimated sensitivities of SAR-values and penetration depths to the liquid parameters are listed in the DASY Application Note 4: 'SAR Sensitivities'.

3.   Dipole Impedanc and return loss

The impedance was measured at the SMA-connector with a network analyser and numerically transformed to the dipole feedpoint. The transformation parameters from the SMA-connector to the dipole feedpoint are:

Electrical delay:	**1.178 ns**	(one direction)
Transmission factor:	**0.993**	(voltage transmission, one direction)

The dipole was positioned at the flat phantom sections according to section 1 and the distance holder was in place during impedance measurements.

Feedpoint impedance at 1800 MHz: $\text{Re}\{Z\} =$ **49.5 Ω**

                                       $\text{Im}\{Z\} =$ **0.6 Ω**

Return Loss at 1800 MHz   **- 42.1 dB**

4.   Handling

The dipole is made of standard semirigid coaxial cable. The centre conductor of the feeding line is directly connected to the second arm of the dipole. The antenna is therefore short-circuited for DC-signals.

Do not apply excessive force to the dipole arms, because they might bend. If the dipole arms have to be bent back, take care to release stress to the soldered connections near the feedpoint; they might come off.

After prolonged use with 40W radiated power, only a slight warming of the dipole near the feedpoint can be measured.

Validation Dipole D1800V2 SN:220, d = 10mm

Frequency: 1800 [MHz]; Antenna Input Power: 250 [mW]

Generic Twin Phantom; Flat Section; Grid Spacing: Dx = 15.0, Dy = 15.0, Dz = 10.0 [mm]

Probe: ET3DV5 - SN1302 DAE3; ConvF(4.60,4.60,4.60); Crest factor: 1.0; }: σ = 1.70 [mho/m] ε_r = 39.5 ρ = 1.00 [g/cm³]

Cubes (2): Peak: 19.2 [mW/g] ± 0.06 dB, SAR (1g): 9.97 [mW/g] ± 0.05 dB, SAR (10g): 5.02 [mW/g] ± 0.04 dB, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Penetration depth: 7.4 (7.2, 8.0) [mm]

Powerdrift: 0.03 dB

SAR_{Tot} [mW/g]

9.00E+0

8.00E+0

7.00E+0

6.00E+0

5.00E+0

4.00E+0

3.00E+0

2.00E+0

1.00E+0

CH1 1→1   log MAG   5 dB/   REF 0 dB   1: -42.052 dB

1 800.000 000 MHz

D1800V2 SN

S11

Flat phantom wi
brain simulating
solution

blk: d = 10mm
red: d = 20mm

(distance from dipo
center to solution)

CENTER 1 800.000 000 MHz   SPAN   800.000 000 MHz

CH1 1→1   1 U FS   1: 49.51 Ω   0.6289 Ω   55.608 pH

1 800.000 000 MHz   D1800V2 SM

S11

Flat phantom wi
brain simulating
solution

blk: d = 10mm
red: d = 20mm

(distance from dipo
center to solution)

Cor
E
Del

CENTER 1 800.000 000 MHz   SPAN   800.000 000 MHz

8   SAR MEASUREMENT PROCEDURE

DEVICE POSITIONING The phone was tested in the primary test position that is described by Supplement C of OET Bulletin 65 from the Office of Engineering & Technology, of the FCC. The procedure places the surface of the phone in contact with the phantom.

9   SAR MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

The possible errors included in this measurement arise from device positioning uncertainty, device manufacturing uncertainty, liquid dielectric permitivity uncertainty, liquid dielectric conductivity uncertainty, uncertainty due to disturbance of the fields by the probe.

These will be discussed as they are of much importance to the final dosimetric assessment. Every attempt is made to reduce uncertainty, as well as to test for worst case SAR. These uncertainties are likely to be pessimistic, but they should be considered when comparing data taken from one lab to another. Thomas Schmid of Schmid and Partners has performed a study of SAR repeatability due to many different uncertainties, this is likely the most complete study of the topic so it is referred to here.

Device positioning; this uncertainty is due to different operators positioning the device on the phantom differently, it depends on the operators, the device design, the phantom, and the device holder. Repeatability for some devices in Schmid's study was as poor as +/- 30% for the "touch" position. For the "intended use" position the repeatability was approximately +/- 5%, depending on the device tested, overall a figure of +/- 6% was taken as typical device positioning uncertainty. One operator is used at the QUALCOMM lab, trained to place the phone as close as possible to phantom, and the test is performed after the position of maximum SAR is determined. This minimises device positioning error. Typically the phone is clamped in the holder

Company		Document No.	
Kyocera Wireless Corp.			
QCP-2035 SAR REPORT		Issue No:	Date
			April 2000
Equipment		Page Number	
QCP-2035		**13**	

in the horizontal position, and a short wooden dowel is placed in a small hole where the center of the ear speaker resides, this wooden dowel allows the operator to line up the speaker with the ear canal. Once aligned, the tooth pick is removed, and the phone is raised up until it touches the phantom on the ear. Then the cradle is rocked so the phone rocks toward the chin of the phantom, touching as closely as possible without depressing the keypad. This puts the phone as close as possible to the phantom, allowing maximum SAR to be measured, for most positions. In the event that this may not produce maximum SAR, the phone is placed in several other positions and a coarse scan is run for each position. The DASY system has a command called "move to max" which allows the probe to be sent to the point of max field intensity found with the coarse scan. This gives a visual indication of where the maximum surface currents may be, and allows the operator to position this point of the phone as close as possible to the phantom.

Liquid dielectric permittivity and conductivity; The average permittivity of a typical human head was determined by Dr. Gabriel and has been listed by the FCC (OET bulletin 65 supplement C) as 46.1 at 835 MHz and 43.4 at 1800 MHz. The lower permittivity generally gives a slightly higher SAR value, so slightly lower values were used for the test. Since SAR is defined as the time rate of absorption per unit of weight, only the macroscopic simulation of the tissue's permittivity, permeability, and conductivity are required.   These electrical properties are obtained with a liquid which uses sugar to raise the permittivity, salt to raise the conductivity, and cellulose to hold the two in suspension. After installing the liquid it is measured with an HP 85070A dielectric probe kit. The achievable accuracy of this device is +/- 5% for the permittivity and +/- 10% for the conductivity. The liquid is also measured at the beginning of each SAR measurement day, to check for evaporation.

FIELD DISTURBANCES Errors due to disturbance of the fields by the probe; because the polarisation of the fields are unknown, the near field probe must measure all polarisation's without disturbing them by being present.   Three orthogonal dipoles are located at the tip of a special dielectric support, with diodes at the feed points sensitive to fields as small as 5 microWatt/gm. To prevent secondary coupling of the fields to the feed lines, the lines are high resistance printed lines with distributed filters integrated in the lines, after the diode. Much research has been put into these probe designs, so their uncertainty is considered minimized. There are other uncertainties, such as laboratory setup uncertainty, the reader should refer to attachment 10 of the March 1998 minutes of the IEEE standards coordinating committee, by Thomas

Schmid. Mr. Schmid's preliminary uncertainty figure is –12% to +52% for the SAR measurement. As stated before this is possible, but believed to be pessimistic because many of the sources of uncertainty have been reduced or eliminated, at considerable expense. All practical precautionary measures are taken to reduce these errors in the QUALCOMM Inc. SAR lab.

Surface Detection The surface detection on the DASY system is mechanical and optical, it is checked and compared automatically to ensure correct operation. This can indicate that the optical surface detection is not in agreement with the mechanical, which might mean the liquid needs to be stirred. This process insures minimum distance from the surface of the phantom for measurements.

10   TEST DATA SUMMARY

The device which was tested is the final production model in both the analogue and digital modes. The SAR values measured indicate that the device produces SAR levels below the limit of 1.6 mW/g for the one gram average.

Ambient TEMPERATURE (°C) ___20 C____

Relative HUMIDITY _ __38%____

Atmospheric PRESSURE __1015 mB_

Cellular Band

Mixture Type: Water/Sugar/cellulose/Salt
Dielectric Constant: __41 +/- 5%_____
Conductivity: __.85 +/- 10% mho/m___
Closest Distance between E-Probe & Phone Antenna 22 mm (intended use position)

PCS Band

Mixture Type: Water/Sugar/cellulose/Salt
Dielectric Constant: __42 +/- 5%_____

File Ref:

Conductivity: ___1.65 +/- 10% mho/m___

Closest Distance between E-Probe & Phone Antenna 22 mm (intended use position)

ANSI/IEEE C95.1 1992 – SAFETY LIMIT Spatial Peak (Brain) Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population	1.6 W/kg (mW/g)

FREQ. MHZ	CH.#	SERIAL NUMBER	HEAD/ WAIST	MODULATION	ANTENNA POSITION	1 GRAM AVG. SAR (MW/G)
824	991	17908726126	Head (L)	ANALOG	Ext	1.26
824	991	17908726126	Head (L)	ANALOG	Ret	1.5
836.5	383	17908726126	Head (L)	ANALOG	Ext	1.17
836.5	383	17908726126	Head (L)	ANALOG	Ret	1.21
849	799	17908726126	Head (L)	ANALOG	Ext	1.21
849	799	17908726126	Head (L)	ANALOG	Ret	1.19
824.7	1013	17908726126	Head (L)	Cellular CDMA	Ext	0.954
824.7	1013	17908726126	Head (L)	Cellular CDMA	Ret	1.18
836.4	383	17908726126	Head (L)	Cellular CDMA	Ext	1.01
836.4	383	17908726126	Head (L)	Cellular CDMA	Ret	1.00
848.3	777	17908726126	Head (L)	Cellular CDMA	Ext	0.923
848.3	777	17908726126	Head (L)	Cellular CDMA	Ret	0.906
1851.25	25	17908726126	Head (L)	PCS CDMA	Ext	1.16

File Ref:

1851.25	25	17908726126	Head (L)	PCS CDMA	Ret	1.13
1880	600	17908726126	Head (L)	PCS CDMA	Ext	1.28
1880	600	17908726126	Head (L)	PCS CDMA	Ret	1.25
1908.75	1175	17908726126	Head (L)	PCS CDMA	Ext	1.12
1908.75	1175	17908726126	Head (L)	CDMA	Ret	1.1

The QCP-2035 has provision for headset and body-worn holster to allow hands-free operation. The SAR for such operating condition was measured. The following is the summary of the results.

FREQ. MHZ	CH.#	SERIAL NUMBER	HEAD/ WAIST	MODULATION	ANTENNA POSITION	1 GRAM AVG. SAR (MW/G)
824	991	17908726126	Waist	ANALOG	Ext	0.402
824	991	17908726126	Waist	ANALOG	Ret	0.368
1851.25	25	17908726126	Waist	PCS CDMA	Ext	1
1851.25	25	17908726126	Waist	PCS CDMA	Ret	0.888
1880	600	17908726126	Waist	PCS CDMA	Ext	0.947
1880	600	17908726126	Waist	PCS CDMA	Ret	0.902
1908.75	1175	17908726126	Waist	PCS CDMA	Ext	1.09
1908.75	1175	17908726126	Waist	CDMA	Ret	0.967

11   SAR PLOTS

OVFQCP-2035, FM Ch991

SAR (1g): 1.50 [mW/g] ± 0.22 dB, SAR (10g): 0.992 [mW/g] ± 0.22 dB
Generic Twin Phantom; Left Hand Section
Probe: ET3DV5 - SN1348; ConvF(5.90,5.90,5.90)
Brain 900 MHz: σ = 0.84 [mho/m] ε_r = 42.5 ρ = 1.00 [g/cm³]
File Name: 6GP P3C, TYPA, FM ch991, sn6126 4-11-00.DA3
Powerdrift: -0.24 dB

SAR_{Tot} [mW/g]

	1.12E+0
	8.71E-1
	6.22E-1
	3.73E-1
	1.24E-1

OVFQCP-2035, FM Ch991

SAR (1g): 1.26 [mW/g] ± 0.29 dB, SAR (10g): 0.868 [mW/g] ± 0.29 dB
Generic Twin Phantom; Left Hand Section
Probe: ET3DV5 - SN1348; ConvF(5.90,5.90,5.90)
Brain 900 MHz: σ = 0.84 [mho/m] ε_r = 42.5 ρ = 1.00 [g/cm³]
File Name: 6GP P3C, TYPA, FM ch991, sn6126 4-11-00.DA3
Powerdrift: -0.03 dB

SAR_{Tot} [mW/g]

8.69E-1

6.76E-1

4.83E-1

2.90E-1

9.66E-2

OVFQCP-2035, FM Ch383

SAR (1g): 1.21 [mW/g] ± 0.21 dB, SAR (10g): 0.786 [mW/g] ± 0.23 dB
Generic Twin Phantom; Left Hand Section
Probe: ET3DV5 - SN1348; ConvF(5.90,5.90,5.90)
Brain 900 MHz: $\sigma = 0.84$ [mho/m] $\varepsilon_r = 42.5$ $\rho = 1.00$ [g/cm³]
File Name: 6GP P3C, TYPA, FM ch383, sn6126 4-11-00.DA3
Powerdrift: -0.01 dB

SAR_{Tot} [mW/g]

9.29E-1

7.22E-1

5.16E-1

3.10E-1

1.03E-1

OVFQCP-2035, FM Ch383

SAR (1g): 1.17 [mW/g] ± 0.27 dB, SAR (10g): 0.797 [mW/g] ± 0.29 dB
Generic Twin Phantom; Left Hand Section
Probe: ET3DV5 - SN1348; ConvF(5.90,5.90,5.90)
Brain 900 MHz: σ = 0.84 [mho/m] ε_r = 42.5 ρ = 1.00 [g/cm^3]
File Name: 6GP P3C, TYPA, FM ch383, sn6126 4-11-00.DA3
Powerdrift: 0.03 dB

SAR$_{Tot}$ [mW/g]

8.39E-1

6.52E-1

4.66E-1

2.80E-1

9.32E-2

OVFQCP-2035, FM Ch799

SAR (1g): 1.19 [mW/g] ± 0.20 dB, SAR (10g): 0.771 [mW/g] ± 0.22 dB
Generic Twin Phantom; Left Hand Section
Probe: ET3DV5 - SN1348; ConvF(5.90,5.90,5.90)
Brain 900 MHz: $\sigma = 0.84$ [mho/m] $\varepsilon_r = 42.5$ $\rho = 1.00$ [g/cm^3]
File Name: 6GP P3C, TYPA, FM ch799, sn6126 4-11-00.DA3
Powerdrift: -0.42 dB

SAR_{Tot} [mW/g]

8.98E-1

6.99E-1

4.99E-1

2.99E-1

9.98E-2

OVFQCP-2035, FM Ch799

SAR (1g): 1.21 [mW/g] ± 0.27 dB, SAR (10g): 0.826 [mW/g] ± 0.31 dB
Generic Twin Phantom; Left Hand Section
Probe: ET3DV5 - SN1348; ConvF(5.90,5.90,5.90)
Brain 900 MHz: $\sigma = 0.84$ [mho/m] $\varepsilon_r = 42.5$ $\rho = 1.00$ [g/cm³]
File Name: 6GP P3C, TYPA, FM ch799, sn6126 4-11-00.DA3
Powerdrift: 0.02 dB

SAR_{Tot} [mW/g]

8.24E-1

6.41E-1

4.58E-1

2.75E-1

9.16E-2

OVFQCP-2035, Cellular CDMA, Ch1013

SAR (1g): 1.18 [mW/g] ± 0.22 dB, SAR (10g): 0.774 [mW/g] ± 0.25 dB
Generic Twin Phantom; Left Hand Section
Probe: ET3DV5 - SN1348; ConvF(5.90,5.90,5.90)
Brain 900 MHz: σ = 0.84 [mho/m] ε_r = 43.2 ρ = 1.00 [g/cm³]
File Name: 6GP P3C, TYPA, cCDMA ch1013, sn6126 4-12-00.DA3
Powerdrift: -0.01 dB

SAR_{Tot} [mW/g]

8.11E-1

6.31E-1

4.51E-1

2.70E-1

9.01E-2

OVFQCP-2035, Cellular CDMA, Ch1013

SAR (1g): 0.954 [mW/g] ± 0.30 dB, SAR (10g): 0.653 [mW/g] ± 0.33 dB
Generic Twin Phantom; Left Hand Section
Probe: ET3DV5 - SN1348; ConvF(5.90,5.90,5.90)
Brain 900 MHz: $\sigma = 0.84$ [mho/m] $\varepsilon_r = 43.2$ $\rho = 1.00$ [g/cm^3]
File Name: 6GP P3C, TYPA, cCDMA ch1013, sn6126 4-12-00.DA3
Powerdrift: 0.04 dB

SAR_{Tot} [mW/g]

6.18E-1

4.81E-1

3.44E-1

2.06E-1

6.87E-2

OVFQCP-2035, Cellular CDMA, Ch383

SAR (1g): 1.00 [mW/g] ± 0.26 dB, SAR (10g): 0.651 [mW/g] ± 0.27 dB
Generic Twin Phantom; Left Hand Section
Probe: ET3DV5 - SN1348; ConvF(5.90,5.90,5.90)
Brain 900 MHz: σ = 0.84 [mho/m] ε_r = 43.2 ρ = 1.00 [g/cm³]
File Name: 6GP P3C, TYPA, cCDMA ch383, sn6126 4-12-00.DA3
Powerdrift: 0.01 dB

SAR_{Tot} [mW/g]

7.02E-1

5.46E-1

3.90E-1

2.34E-1

7.80E-2

OVFQCP-2035, Cellular CDMA, Ch383

SAR (1g): 1.01 [mW/g] ± 0.30 dB, SAR (10g): 0.688 [mW/g] ± 0.32 dB
Generic Twin Phantom; Left Hand Section
Probe: ET3DV5 - SN1348; ConvF(5.90,5.90,5.90)
Brain 900 MHz: σ = 0.84 [mho/m] ε_r = 43.2 ρ = 1.00 [g/cm^3]
File Name: 6GP P3C, TYPA, cCDMA ch383, sn6126 4-12-00.DA3
Powerdrift: 0.11 dB

SAR$_{Tot}$ [mW/g]

6.55E-1
5.10E-1
3.64E-1
2.18E-1
7.28E-2

OVFQCP-2035, Cellular CDMA, Ch777

SAR (1g): 0.906 [mW/g] ± 0.22 dB, SAR (10g): 0.584 [mW/g] ± 0.26 dB
Generic Twin Phantom; Left Hand Section
Probe: ET3DV5 - SN1348; ConvF(5.90,5.90,5.90)
Brain 900 MHz: σ = 0.84 [mho/m] ε_r = 43.2 ρ = 1.00 [g/cm³]
File Name: 6GP P3C, TYPA, cCDMA ch777, sn6126 4-12-00.DA3
Powerdrift: -0.04 dB

SAR_{Tot} [mW/g]

6.37E-1

4.96E-1

3.54E-1

2.12E-1

7.08E-2

OVFQCP-2035, Cellular CDMA, Ch777

SAR (1g): 0.923 [mW/g] ± 0.29 dB, SAR (10g): 0.628 [mW/g] ± 0.31 dB
Generic Twin Phantom; Left Hand Section
Probe: ET3DV5 - SN1348; ConvF(5.90,5.90,5.90)
Brain 900 MHz: σ = 0.84 [mho/m] ε_r = 43.2 ρ = 1.00 [g/cm³]
File Name: 6GP P3C, TYPA, cCDMA ch777, sn6126 4-12-00.DA3
Powerdrift: 0.11 dB

SAR_{Tot} [mW/g]

5.99E-1

4.66E-1

3.33E-1

2.00E-1

6.65E-2

OVFQCP-2035, PCS Ch25

SAR (1g): 1.13 [mW/g] ± 0.05 dB, SAR (10g): 0.618 [mW/g] ± 0.04 dB
Generic Twin Phantom; Left Hand Section
Probe: ET3DV5 - SN1348; ConvF(5.00,5.00,5.00)
Brain 1800 MHz: σ = 1.62 [mho/m] ε_r = 41.7 ρ = 1.00 [g/cm^3]
File Name: 6GP P3C, TYPA, PCS ch25, sn6126 4-13-00.DA3
Powerdrift: 0.03 dB

SAR_{Tot} [mW/g]

1.01E+0

7.85E-1

5.61E-1

3.37E-1

1.12E-1

OVFQCP-2035, PCS Ch25

SAR (1g): 1.16 [mW/g] ± 0.02 dB, SAR (10g): 0.634 [mW/g] ± 0.02 dB
Generic Twin Phantom; Left Hand Section
Probe: ET3DV5 - SN1348; ConvF(5.00,5.00,5.00)
Brain 1800 MHz: σ = 1.62 [mho/m] ε_r = 41.7 ρ = 1.00 [g/cm³]
File Name: 6GP P3C, TYPA, PCS ch25, sn6126 4-13-00.DA3
Powerdrift: -0.00 dB

SAR_{Tot} [mW/g]

1.04E+0
8.08E-1
5.77E-1
3.46E-1
1.15E-1

OVFQCP-2035, PCS Ch600

SAR (1g): 1.25   [mW/g] ± 0.06 dB, SAR (10g): 0.670 [mW/g] ± 0.09 dB
Generic Twin Phantom; Left Hand Section
Probe: ET3DV5 - SN1348; ConvF(5.00,5.00,5.00)
Brain 1800 MHz: σ = 1.62 [mho/m] $ε_r$ = 41.7 ρ = 1.00 [g/cm³]
File Name: 6GP P3C, TYPA, PCS ch600, sn6126 4-13-00.DA3
Powerdrift: -0.08 dB

SAR_{Tot} [mW/g]

1.15E+0

8.92E-1

6.37E-1

3.82E-1

1.27E-1

OVFQCP-2035, PCS Ch600

SAR (1g): 1.28 [mW/g] ± 0.04 dB, SAR (10g): 0.698 [mW/g] ± 0.06 dB
Generic Twin Phantom; Left Hand Section
Probe: ET3DV5 - SN1348; ConvF(5.00,5.00,5.00)
Brain 1800 MHz: $\sigma = 1.62$ [mho/m] $\varepsilon_r = 41.7$ $\rho = 1.00$ [g/cm^3]
File Name: 6GP P3C, TYPA, PCS ch600, sn6126 4-13-00.DA3
Powerdrift: -0.10 dB

SAR_{Tot} [mW/g]

1.15E+0

8.95E-1

6.40E-1

3.84E-1

1.28E-1

OVFQCP-2035, PCS Ch1175

SAR (1g): 1.10 [mW/g] ± 0.09 dB, SAR (10g): 0.579 [mW/g] ± 0.11 dB
Generic Twin Phantom; Left Hand Section
Probe: ET3DV5 - SN1348; ConvF(5.00,5.00,5.00)
Brain 1800 MHz: σ = 1.62 [mho/m] ε_r = 41.7 ρ = 1.00 [g/cm^3]
File Name: 6GP P3C, TYPA, PCS ch1175, sn6126 4-13-00.DA3
Powerdrift: 0.04 dB

SAR_{Tot} [mW/g]

1.00E+0

7.81E-1

5.58E-1

3.35E-1

1.12E-1

OVFQCP-2035, PCS Ch1175

SAR (1g): 1.12 [mW/g] ± 0.01 dB, SAR (10g): 0.599 [mW/g] ± 0.03 dB
Generic Twin Phantom; Left Hand Section
Probe: ET3DV5 - SN1348; ConvF(5.00,5.00,5.00)
Brain 1800 MHz: $\sigma = 1.62$ [mho/m] $\varepsilon_r = 41.7$ $\rho = 1.00$ [g/cm^3]
File Name: 6GP P3C, TYPA, PCS ch1175, sn6126 4-13-00.DA3
Powerdrift: -0.06 dB

SAR_{Tot} [mW/g]

1.04E+0

8.11E-1

5.80E-1

3.48E-1

1.16E-1

OVFQCP-2035, FM Ch991, SAR at Waist

SAR (1g): 0.386 [mW/g] ± 0.22 dB, SAR (10g): 0.282 [mW/g] ± 0.16 dB
Generic Twin Phantom; Flat Section
Probe: ET3DV5 - SN1348; ConvF(5.90,5.90,5.90)
Brain 900 MHz: $\sigma = 0.85$ [mho/m] $\varepsilon_r = 42.0$ $\rho = 1.00$ [g/cm^3]
File Name: 6GP P3C, TYPA, FM ch991, sn6126, Body SAR 4-17-00.DA3
Powerdrift: -0.23 dB

SAR_{Tot} [mW/g]

3.38E-1

2.63E-1

1.88E-1

1.13E-1

3.76E-2

OVFQCP-2035, FM Ch991, SAR at Waist

SAR (1g): 0.402 [mW/g] ± 0.05 dB, SAR (10g): 0.283 [mW/g] ± 0.04 dB
Generic Twin Phantom; Flat Section
Probe: ET3DV5 - SN1348; ConvF(5.90,5.90,5.90)
Brain 900 MHz: $\sigma = 0.85$ [mho/m] $\varepsilon_r = 42.0$ $\rho = 1.00$ [g/cm³]
File Name: 6GP P3C, TYPA, FM ch991, sn6126, Body SAR 4-17-00.DA3
Powerdrift: 0.04 dB

SAR$_{Tot}$ [mW/g]

3.31E-1

2.58E-1

1.84E-1

1.10E-1

3.68E-2

OVFQCP-2035, PCS Ch25, SAR at waist

SAR (1g): 0.888 [mW/g] ± 0.01 dB, SAR (10g): 0.449 [mW/g] ± 0.01 dB
Generic Twin Phantom; Flat Section
Probe: ET3DV5 - SN1348; ConvF(5.00,5.00,5.00)
Brain 1800 MHz: σ = 1.67 [mho/m] ε_r = 41.6 ρ = 1.00 [g/cm³]
File Name: 6GP P3C, TYPA, PCS ch25, sn6126, Body SAR 4-16-00.DA3
Powerdrift: 0.06 dB

SAR_{Tot} [mW/g]

4.65E-1

3.62E-1

2.59E-1

1.55E-1

5.17E-2

OVFQCP-2035, PCS Ch25, SAR at waist

SAR (1g): 1.00 [mW/g] ± 0.04 dB, SAR (10g): 0.503 [mW/g] ± 0.04 dB
Generic Twin Phantom; Flat Section
Probe: ET3DV5 - SN1348; ConvF(5.00,5.00,5.00)
Brain 1800 MHz: σ = 1.67 [mho/m] ε_r = 41.6 ρ = 1.00 [g/cm³]
File Name: 6GP P3C, TYPA, PCS ch25, sn6126, Body SAR 4-16-00.DA3
Powerdrift: 0.04 dB

SAR_{Tot} [mW/g]

5.18E-1

4.03E-1

2.88E-1

1.73E-1

5.76E-2

OVFQCP-2035, PCS Ch600, SAR at waist

SAR (1g): 0.902 [mW/g] ± 0.05 dB, SAR (10g): 0.460 [mW/g] ± 0.08 dB
Generic Twin Phantom; Flat Section
Probe: ET3DV5 - SN1348; ConvF(5.00,5.00,5.00)
Brain 1800 MHz: σ = 1.67 [mho/m] ε_r = 41.6 ρ = 1.00 [g/cm^3]
File Name: 6GP P3C, TYPA, PCS ch600, sn6126, Body SAR 4-16-00.DA3
Powerdrift: 0.00 dB

SAR_{Tot} [mW/g]

6.65E-1

5.17E-1

3.70E-1

2.22E-1

7.39E-2

OVFQCP-2035, PCS Ch600, SAR at waist

SAR (1g): 0.947 [mW/g] ± 0.06 dB, SAR (10g): 0.484 [mW/g] ± 0.06 dB
Generic Twin Phantom; Flat Section
Probe: ET3DV5 - SN1348; ConvF(5.00,5.00,5.00)
Brain 1800 MHz: σ = 1.67 [mho/m] ε_r = 41.6 ρ = 1.00 [g/cm^3]
File Name: 6GP P3C, TYPA, PCS ch600, sn6126, Body SAR 4-16-00.DA3
Powerdrift: -0.13 dB

SAR_{Tot} [mW/g]

6.81E-1

5.30E-1

3.79E-1

2.27E-1

7.57E-2

OVFQCP-2035, PCS Ch1175, SAR at waist

SAR (1g): 0.967 [mW/g] ± 0.02 dB, SAR (10g): 0.490 [mW/g] ± 0.01 dB
Generic Twin Phantom; Flat Section
Probe: ET3DV5 - SN1348; ConvF(5.00,5.00,5.00)
Brain 1800 MHz: σ = 1.67 [mho/m] ε_r = 41.6 ρ = 1.00 [g/cm³]
File Name: 6GP P3C, TYPA, PCS ch1175, sn6126, Body SAR 4-16-00.DA3
Powerdrift: -0.01 dB

SAR_{Tot} [mW/g]

5.45E-1

4.24E-1

3.03E-1

1.82E-1

6.06E-2

OVFQCP-2035, PCS Ch1175, SAR at waist

SAR (1g): 1.09 [mW/g] ± 0.01 dB, SAR (10g): 0.554 [mW/g] ± 0.01 dB
Generic Twin Phantom; Flat Section
Probe: ET3DV5 - SN1348; ConvF(5.00,5.00,5.00)
Brain 1800 MHz: σ = 1.67 [mho/m] ε_r = 41.6 ρ = 1.00 [g/cm^3]
File Name: 6GP P3C, TYPA, PCS ch1175, sn6126, Body SAR 4-16-00.DA3
Powerdrift: -0.05 dB

SAR$_{Tot}$ [mW/g]

6.19E-1

4.82E-1

3.44E-1

2.06E-1

6.88E-2

Company		Document No.	
Kyocera Wireless Corp.			
QCP-2035 SAR REPORT		Issue No:	Date
			April 2000
Equipment		Page Number	
QCP-2035			**17**

12   Photos

QCP-2035 Tri-mode Phone

SAR at head

SAR at waist

Company	Document No.		
Kyocera Wireless Corp.			
QCP-2035 SAR REPORT	Issue No:	Date	
			April 2000
Equipment	Page Number		
QCP-2035		**18**	

References

[1]   Klaus Meier, Voker Hombach, Ralf Kastle, Roger Yew-Siow Tay, and Neils Kuster "The dependence of Electromagnetic Energy Absorption upon Human-Head Modeling at 1800 MHz" IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, Vol. 45 No 11, November 1997

[2]   Volker Hombach, Klaus Meier, Michael Burkhardt, Eberhard Kuhn, and Neils Kuster "The Dependence of EM Energy Absorption Upon Human Head Modeling at 900 MHz" " IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, Vol. 44 No 10, October 1996

[3]   Thomas Schmid, Oliver Egger, Niels Kuster "Automated E-Field Scanning System for Dosimetric Assessments" IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, Vol 44, No 1, January 1996

[4]   Niels Kuster, Q. Balzano, and J.C. Lin "Mobile Communications Safety" Chapman & Hall, First edition 1997