

Prepared (also subject responsible if other) RT/EUS/VR/X Mark Douglas 919-472-6334		No. EUS/VR-00:1455/REP		
Approved EUS/VR/X Mark Douglas	Checked MGD	Date 2000-07-12	Rev A	File U:\FCC_TRNS\Fcc_389 Pat Margareta\exhibit 11\A2218z addendum.doc

E

## Addendum to “SAR Test Report: A2218z”

**Date of test:** April 12, 2000

**Laboratory:**

Electromagnetic Near Field and Radio Frequency Dosimetry Laboratory  
Ericsson, Inc.  
7001 Development Drive, P.O. Box 13969,  
Research Triangle Park, NC, 27709, USA

**Test Responsible:**

Mark Douglas, Ph.D.  
Senior Staff Engineer, Antenna Development Group  
[mark.douglas@ericsson.com](mailto:mark.douglas@ericsson.com)  
(919) 472-6334

### Statement of Compliance

Ericsson, Inc. declares under its sole responsibility that the that the product

**Ericsson A2218z**

to which this declaration relates, is in conformity with the appropriate RF exposure standards, recommendations and guidelines. It also declares that the product was tested in accordance with the appropriate measurement standards, guidelines and recommended practices. Any deviations from these standards, guidelines and recommended practices are noted below:

(none)

© Ericsson, Inc. 2000

This test report shall not be reproduced except in full, without written approval of the laboratory.

The results and statements contained herein relate only to the items tested. The names of individuals involved may be mentioned only in connection with the statements or results from this report.

Ericsson encourages all feedback, both positive and negative, on this test report.

Prepared (also subject responsible if other) RT/EUS/VR/X Mark Douglas 919-472-6334		No. EUS/VR-00:1455/REP		
Approved EUS/VR/X Mark Douglas	Checked MGD	Date 2000-07-12	Rev A	File U:\FCC_TRNS\Fcc_389 Pat Margarettalexhibit 11\A2218z addendum.doc

E

## Table of Contents

1. Introduction	0
2. Device Under Test	0
2.1 Antenna description	0
2.2 Device description	0
3. Test equipment	0
3.1 Dosimetric system	0
3.2 Additional equipment	0
4. Electrical parameters of the tissue simulating liquid	0
5. System accuracy verification	0
6. Test results	0
References	0
Appendix 1: SAR distribution comparison for system accuracy verification	0
Appendix 4: Position of device on Generic Twin Phantom	0
Appendix 5: Probe calibration parameters for ET3DV5 SN:1324	0

Prepared (also subject responsible if other) RT/EUS/VR/X Mark Douglas 919-472-6334		No. EUS/VR-00:1455/REP	
Approved EUS/VR/X Mark Douglas	Checked MGD	Date 2000-07-12	Rev A
		File U:\FCC_TRNS\Fcc_389 Pat Margareta\exhibit 11\A2218z addendum.doc	

E

## 1. Introduction

This test report is an addendum to report EUS/VR-00:0790/REP "SAR Test Report: A2218z". In this report compliance of the Ericsson A2218z portable telephone with RF safety guidelines is demonstrated while the device is used against the body with a handsfree accessory (applicable RF safety guidelines are given in [1]). The device was tested in accordance with the latest available test guidelines [1]. Detailed procedures of the test are described in the *Ericsson SAR Measurement Specification* [2].

## 2. Device Under Test

### Antenna description

<b>Type</b>	Fixed stub	
<b>Location</b>	Left side	
<b>Dimensions</b>	length	30 mm
	width at base	10 mm
<b>Configuration</b>	Helix	

### Device description

<b>Device model</b>	A2218z
<b>Serial number</b>	UA2017RT93
<b>Mode</b>	1900 GSM
<b>Multiple Access Scheme</b>	TDMA
<b>Maximum Output Power Setting</b> <sup>1</sup>	29.5 dBm
<b>Factory Tolerance in Power Setting</b>	± 0.25
<b>Maximum Peak Output Power</b> <sup>2</sup>	29.75 dBm
<b>Duty Cycle</b>	1 / 8
<b>Transmitting Frequency Range</b>	1850 – 1910 MHz
<b>Prototype or Production Unit</b>	Prototype

## 3. Test equipment

### 3.1 Dosimetric system

SAR measurements were made using the DASY3 professional system (software version 3.1c), manufactured by Schmid & Partner Engineering AG and installed February, 1998. The total SAR assessment uncertainty (K = 1) of the system is ±16% and includes a +15% offset (overestimation). The extended uncertainty (K = 2) is ±32% with a +15% offset. This results in a total uncertainty range of –1% to +31% for K = 1, or –17% to +47% for K = 2. The equipment list is given below.

<b>Description</b>	<b>Serial Number</b>	<b>Due Date</b>
DASY3 DAE V1	345	4/01
E-field probe ETDV5	1324	4/01
Dipole Validation Kit, D1800V2	217	12/01

<sup>1</sup> This is the conducted power measured at the antenna port when the device is set to its highest power setting. It is measured at the middle of the transmit frequency band. Note that the output power may be different at other frequencies.

<sup>2</sup> This equals the maximum output power setting plus the factory tolerance.

Prepared (also subject responsible if other) RT/EUS/VR/X Mark Douglas 919-472-6334		No. EUS/VR-00:1455/REP		
Approved EUS/VR/X Mark Douglas	Checked MGD	Date 2000-07-12	Rev A	File U:\FCC_TRNS\Fcc_389 Pat Margarettalexhibit 11\A2218z addendum.doc

E

## Additional equipment

Description	Serial Number	Due Date
Signal Generator HP8648C	3537A01598	9/00
Dielectric probe kit HP 85070B	US33020256	8/00
Network analyzer HP 8752C	3410A03105	7/00
Power meter HP 437B	3125U13729	2/01
Power sensor HP 8482H	3318A07097	2/01
Radio communications analyzer Anritsu MT8801B	MB12477	2/01

## 4. Electrical parameters of the tissue simulating liquid

Prior to conducting SAR measurements, the relative permittivity,  $\epsilon_r$ , and the conductivity,  $\sigma$ , of the tissue simulating liquids were measured with the dielectric probe kit. These values are shown in the table below. The mass density,  $\rho$ , entered into the DASY3 program is also given. Recommended limits for maximum permittivity, minimum conductivity and maximum mass density are also shown [3]. It is seen that the measured parameters result in an overestimation of SAR compared to the recommended values.

$f$ (MHz)	Limits / Measured	Dielectric Parameters		
		$\epsilon_r$	$\sigma$ (S/m)	$\rho$ (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )
1800	Measured, 4/12/00	41.18	1.71	1.00
	Limits, muscle [3]	54.44	1.39	1.04

## 5. System accuracy verification

A system accuracy verification of the DASY3 was performed using the dipole validation kits listed in Section 3.1. The system verification test was conducted on the same day as the measurement of the DUT. The obtained results are displayed in the table below. It is seen that the system is operating within its specification, as the results are within  $\pm 5\%$  of the reference values [4]. The distributions of SAR compare well with those of the reference measurements (see Appendix 1).

$f$ (MHz)	Measured / Reference	SAR (W/kg), 1 gram	Dielectric Parameters			Temp. (°C)
			$\epsilon_r$	$\sigma$ (S/m)	$\rho$ (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )	
1800	Measured, 4/12/00	40.2	41.18	1.71	1.00	24
	Reference	40.0	40.0	1.72	1.00	?

## 6. Test results

The measured SAR values and conducted output powers are shown in Table 1. The device was tested against a flat phantom representing the user's body, using the carry case (product # KRY 104 1300/1) and the hands-free accessory (product # RLF 501 12) designed for this device. The SAR results shown are maximum SAR values averaged over 1 g of tissue.

A base station simulator was used to control the device during the SAR measurements. The phone was supplied with a fully-charged battery for the tests. The temperature of the test facility during the tests was  $23.5 \pm 1$  °C, and the depth of the tissue simulating liquid was 15.4 cm.

Prepared (also subject responsible if other) RT/EUS/VR/X Mark Douglas 919-472-6334		No. EUS/VR-00:1455/REP		
Approved EUS/VR/X Mark Douglas	Checked MGD	Date 2000-07-12	Rev A	File U:\FCC_TRNS\Fcc_389 Pat Margarettalexhibit 11\A2218z addendum.doc

E

mode	$f$ (MHz)	Output Power (dBm) <sup>3</sup>	SAR, 1g (W/kg)
1900 GSM	1850	29.85	0.330
	1880	29.75	0.412
	1910	29.75	0.527

**Table 1: SAR measurement results for the Ericsson A2218z telephone at highest possible output power.  
Measured against the user's torso with hands-free accessory.**

## References


- [1] C. Törnevik, "Ericsson SAR measurement specification, part 1: Introduction and Purpose," Internal Document ERA/T/U-98:446, February, 1999.
- [2] C. Törnevik, M. Siegbahn, T. Persson, M. Douglas, and R. Plicanic, "Ericsson SAR measurement specification", Internal Document ERA/T/U-98:442, February 1999.
- [3] Federal Communications Commission, "Tissue Dielectric Properties," <http://www.fcc.gov/fcc-bin/dielec.sh>.
- [4] Schmid and Partner Engineering AG, "DASY Dipole Validation Kit," Type: D1800V2, S/N: 217, February, 2000.

<sup>3</sup> Output power was measured at Ericsson by personnel outside the scope and control of the SAR testing laboratory.

Prepared (also subject responsible if other)		No.	
RT/EUS/VR/X Mark Douglas		EUS/VR-00:1455/REP	
Approved	Checked	Date	Rev
EUS/VR/X Mark Douglas	MGD	2000-07-12	A
		File	
		U:\FCC_TRNS\Fcc_389 Pat Margarettalexhibit 11\A2218z addendum.doc	

E

## Appendix 1: SAR distribution comparison for system accuracy verification




1800 MHz SAR distribution of validation dipole antenna from system accuracy verification test.



Prepared (also subject responsible if other)		No.		
RT/EUS/VR/X Mark Douglas		919-472-6334	EUS/VR-00:1455/REP	
Approved	Checked	Date	Rev	File
EUS/VR/X Mark Douglas	MGD	2000-07-12	A	U:\FCC_TRNS\Fcc_389 Pat Margarettalexhibit 11\A2218z addendum.doc

E




1800 MHz SAR distribution of validation dipole antenna from reference measurement.

Prepared (also subject responsible if other)		No.		
RT/EUS/VR/X Mark Douglas		919-472-6334	EUS/VR-00:1455/REP	
Approved	Checked	Date	Rev	File
EUS/VR/X Mark Douglas	MGD	2000-07-12	A	U:\FCC_TRNS\Fcc_389 Pat Margarettalexhibit 11\A2218z addendum.doc

E

## Appendix 2: SAR distribution plots




Distribution of maximum SAR in 1900 GSM band. Measured against the user's torso with the hands-free accessory.



Prepared (also subject responsible if other)		No.		
RT/EUS/VR/X Mark Douglas		919-472-6334	EUS/VR-00:1455/REP	
Approved	Checked	Date	Rev	File
EUS/VR/X Mark Douglas	MGD	2000-07-12	A	U:\FCC_TRNS\Fcc_389 Pat Margarettalexhibit 11\A2218z addendum.doc

E

**Appendix 3: Position of device on Generic Twin Phantom****Device against flat phantom**

Prepared (also subject responsible if other)		No.		
RT/EUS/VR/X Mark Douglas		919-472-6334	EUS/VR-00:1455/REP	
Approved	Checked	Date	Rev	File
EUS/VR/X Mark Douglas	MGD	2000-07-12	A	U:\FCC_TRNS\Fcc_389 Pat Margarettalexhibit 11\A2218z addendum.doc

E

#### Appendix 4: Probe calibration parameters for ET3DV5 SN:1324

### DASY3 - Parameters of Probe: ET3DV5 SN:1324

#### Sensitivity in Free Space

#### Diode Compression

NormX	<b>1.51</b> $\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$	DCP X	<b>104</b> mV
NormY	<b>1.73</b> $\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$	DCP Y	<b>104</b> mV
NormZ	<b>1.52</b> $\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$	DCP Z	<b>104</b> mV

#### Sensitivity in Tissue Simulating Liquid

<b>Brain</b>	<b>450 MHz</b>	$\epsilon_r = 48 \pm 5\%$	$\sigma = 0.50 \pm 10\% \text{ mho/m}$
ConvF X	<b>5.07</b> extrapolated	Boundary effect:	
ConvF Y	<b>5.07</b> extrapolated	Alpha	<b>0.07</b>
ConvF Z	<b>5.07</b> extrapolated	Depth	<b>4.22</b>
<b>Brain</b>	<b>900 MHz</b>	$\epsilon_r = 42.5 \pm 5\%$	$\sigma = 0.86 \pm 10\% \text{ mho/m}$
ConvF X	<b>4.76</b> $\pm 7\%$ (k=2)	Boundary effect:	
ConvF Y	<b>4.76</b> $\pm 7\%$ (k=2)	Alpha	<b>0.27</b>
ConvF Z	<b>4.76</b> $\pm 7\%$ (k=2)	Depth	<b>3.47</b>
<b>Brain</b>	<b>1500 MHz</b>	$\epsilon_r = 41 \pm 5\%$	$\sigma = 1.32 \pm 10\% \text{ mho/m}$
ConvF X	<b>4.35</b> interpolated	Boundary effect:	
ConvF Y	<b>4.35</b> interpolated	Alpha	<b>0.54</b>
ConvF Z	<b>4.35</b> interpolated	Depth	<b>2.48</b>
<b>Brain</b>	<b>1800 MHz</b>	$\epsilon_r = 41 \pm 5\%$	$\sigma = 1.69 \pm 10\% \text{ mho/m}$
ConvF X	<b>4.15</b> $\pm 7\%$ (k=2)	Boundary effect:	
ConvF Y	<b>4.15</b> $\pm 7\%$ (k=2)	Alpha	<b>0.68</b>
ConvF Z	<b>4.15</b> $\pm 7\%$ (k=2)	Depth	<b>1.98</b>

#### Sensor Offset

Probe Tip to Sensor Center	<b>2.7</b>	mm
Optical Surface Detection	<b>2.0 <math>\pm</math> 0.2</b>	mm