TO:

Federal Communications Commission
Authorization and Evaluation Division

January 13, 2000

Re: Application payment for FCC ID J9CTCU-1900, CN: EA95550

After the first email from FCC inquiring payment, we have checked the issue and the FCC record does show that this fee has been paid and this issue has been cleared up last week.

However, we received the second email again from FCC yesterday, asking for payment again. We believe it was a mistake. FCC records do show that this issue has been reviewed and closed last week on 1/7/00. Please inform your responsible parties who may make necessary correction to avoid such mistake.

As we mentioned in last letter on 1/5/00, it has been more than 3 months since we filed this certification. We are now nearing the production and market phase, FCC's early grant would be very important for our planned entrance to the market.

If you have further question regarding this product, please let me know. We are looking forward to your early grant.

Sincerely,

Fang Han
Regulatory Engineer
Qualcomm Inc.

Encl.

At 1/13/00, 08:02 AM -0800, you wrote:
>It has been taken care of. There was a mistake is the second mailing from
>the FCC. Their records do show that it has been paid. Item closed.
>
>Fang, could you write a cover letter explaining what had happened in that
>it was cleared last week and then you received another email yesterday
>indicated that it was not complete. The FCC whats to determine why this
>happened, and it is going to be sent to the programmers as a glitch. Fax
>the cover letter and a copy of yesterday's email to Bette Taube at (301)
>344-2050.
>
>
>thanks......................................jay m.
>
>
>At 11:31 AM 1/12/00 -0800, Kim Newcomer wrote:
>>Would it help out if we just paid this very insignificant fee again to
>>finish this up. Then we can get a refund later.
>>Kim
>>
>>At 09:42 AM 1/12/00 -0800, Fang Han wrote:
>>>Jay,
>>>
>>>It seems that FCC couldn't trace the payment. Could you please take time to
>>>look at it and provide the evidence that FCC asked for. They need either
>>>

>>>1) Date payment sent to Bank; or
>>>2) If paid by check, check number.
>>>
>>>The attached files are the explanation that I
uploaded on 1/5. Their status
>>>page shows that this process has been completed.
Don't know what's wrong
>>>again with the payment.
>>>
>>>Thanks, --Fang
>>>
>>>At 1/12/00, 09:05 AM -0500, you wrote:
>>>>To: Fang Han, Qualcomm Inc.
>>>>From: Bette Taube
>>>>   btaube@fcc.gov
>>>>   FCC Application Processing Branch
>>>>
>>>>Re: FCC ID J9CTCU-1900
>>>>Applicant: Qualcomm
Incorporated
>>>>Correspondence Reference Number: 11220
>>>>731 Confirmation Number: EA95550
>>>>Date of Original E-Mail: 12/28/1999
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>The fee payment of $610.00 for this application
appears to have not been
>>>>paid. Please provide evidence of payment as
soon as possible: 1) Date
>>>>payment sent to Bank; 2) If paid by check,
check number.
>>>>
>>>>The items indicated above must be submitted
before processing can continue
>>>>on the above referenced application. Failure
to provide the requested
>>>>information within 60 days of the original e-
mail date may result in
>>>>application dismissal pursuant to Section 2.917
(c) and forfeiture of the

>>>>filing fee pursuant to section 1.1108.
>>>>
>>>>DO NOT reply to this e-mail by using the Reply
button. In order for your
>>>>response to be processed expeditiously, you
must upload your response via
>>>>the Internet at www.fcc.gov, Electronic Filing,
OET Equipment Authorization
>>>>Electronic Filing. If the response is
submitted through Add Attachments, a
>>>>message which informs the processing staff that
a new exhibit has been
>>>>submitted must also be submitted via Submit
Correspondence. Also, please
>>>>note that partial responses increase processing
time and should not be
>>>>submitted.
>>>>
>>>>Any questions about the content of this
correspondence should be
>directed to
>>>>the e-mail address listed below the name of the
sender.
>>>
>>>
>>
>
>