M. Flom Associates, Inc. - Global Compliance Center
3356 North San Marcos Place Suite 107 Of The Property of the 107 www.mflom.com general@mflom.com (480) 926-3100, FAX: 926-3598

August 30, 2000 Date:

Federal Communications Commission

Via: Electronic Filing

Authorization & Evaluation Division Attention:

Applicant: E. F. Johnson Company

Equipment: 242-2031-313 FCC ID: ATH2422001-1

FCC Rules: 47 CFR 1.1307, Environmental Assessment

Gentlemen:

On behalf of the Applicant, enclosed please find the Supplemental Test Data Report, the whole for Environmental Assessment (MPE) of the referenced equipment as shown.

We trust the same is in order. Should you need any further information, kindly contact the writer who is authorized to act as

Morton Flom, P. Eng.

enclosure(s) cc: Applicant MF/cvr

Sub-part 1.1307:

#### SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT

#### ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

General Population / Uncontrolled Exposure,
Maximum Permissible Exposure

#### EQUIPMENT IDENTIFICATION

E. F. Johnson Company FCC ID: ATH2422001-1

DATE OF REPORT

August 30, 2000

SUPERVISED BY:

Morton Flom, P. Eng.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

RULE	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
	Togt Deposit	1
	Test Report	1
	Identification of the Equipment Under Test	2
	Standard Test Conditions and Engineering Practices	s 4
1.1310	Environmental Assessment	5

PAGE NO. 1 of 7.

Required information per ISO/IEC Guide 25-1990, paragraph 13.2:

a) TEST REPORT (SUPPLEMENTAL)

b) Laboratory: M. Flom Associates, Inc.

(FCC: 31040/SIT) 3356 N. San Marcos Place, Suite 107

(Canada: IC 2044) Chandler, AZ 85225

c) Report Number: d0080119

d) Client: E. F. Johnson Company,

299 Johnson Ave.

Waseca, MN 56093-0514

e) Identification: 242-2031-313

FCC ID: ATH2422001-1

Description: VHF Repeater

f) EUT Condition: Not required unless specified in individual

tests.

g) Report Date: August 30, 2000 EUT Received: June 13, 2000

i) Sampling method: No sampling procedure used.

1) Uncertainty: In accordance with MFA internal quality manual.

As indicated in individual tests.

m) Supervised by:

h, j, k):

Morton Flom, P. Eng.

n) Results: The results presented in this report relate

only to the item tested.

o) Reproduction: This report must not be reproduced, except in

full, without written permission from this

laboratory.

PAGE NO.

2 of 7.

## IDENTIFICATION OF THE EQUIPMENT UNDER TEST (EUT)

#### NAME AND ADDRESS OF APPLICANT:

E. F. Johnson Company 299 Johnson Ave. Waseca, MN 56093-0514

#### MANUFACTURER:

Applicant

FCC ID:	ATH2422001-1
MODEL NO:	242-2031-313
<u>DESCRIPTION</u> :	VHF Repeater
TYPE OF EMISSION:	11K0F3E
FREQUENCY RANGE, MHz:	132 to 178
POWER RATING, Watts: Switchable x Variable	25 to 125 le N/A
MODULATION:	AMPS TDMA CDMA OTHER - F3E
<u>ANTENNA</u> :	HELICAL MONOPOLE OTHER

NOTE: For RF Safety test antenna gain taken at the upper range of expected gain (i.e. 0 dBi) and RF Power set to highest nominal power across all channels.

### PAGE NO.

3 of 7.

M. Flom Associates, Inc. is accredited by the American Association for Laboratory Association (A2LA) as shown in the scope below.



THE AMERICAN **ASSOCIATION** FOR LABORATORY **ACCREDITATION** 

#### ACCREDITED LABORATORY

A2LA has accredited

#### M. FLOM ASSOCIATES, INC. Chandler, AZ

for technical competence in the field of

#### **Electrical (EMC) Testing**

The accreditation covers the specific tests and types of tests listed on the agreed scope of accreditation. This laboratory meets the requirements of ISO/IEC Guide 25-1990 "General Requirements for the Competence of Calibration and Testing Laboratories" (equivalent to relevant requirements of the ISO 9000 series of standards) and any additional program requirements in the identified field of testing.

Presented this 24th day of November, 1998.



For the Accreditation Council Certificate Number 1008.01 Valid to December 31, 2000

For tests or types of tests to which this accreditation applies, please refer to the laboratory's Electrical (EMC) Scope of Accreditation



SCOPE OF ACCREDITATION TO ISO/IEC GUIDE 25-1990 AND EN 45001

M FLOM ASSOCIATES INC. Electronic Testing Laboratory
3356 North San Marcos Place, Suite 107
Chandler, AZ 85225
Morton Flom Phone: 480 926 3100

ELECTRICAL (EMC)

Valid to: December 31, 2000

Certificate Number: 1008-01

In recognition of the successful completion of the A2LA evaluation process, accreditation is granted to this laboratory to perform the following electromagnetic compatibility tests:

Standard(s)

RF Emissions

FCC Part 15 (Subparts B and C) using ANSI C63 4-1992; CISPR 11; CISPR 13; CISPR 14; CISPR 22; EN 55011; EN 55013; EN 55014; EN 55022, EN 50081-1; EN 50081-2; FCC Part 18; ICES-003 AS/NZS 1044; AS/NZS 1053; AS/NZS 3548; AS/NZS 4251.1; CNS 13438

EN 50082-1; EN 50082-2; AS/NZS 4251.1 RF Immunity

EN 61000-4-3; ENV 50140; ENV 50204; IBC 1000-4-3; IBC 801-3 Radiated Susceptibility

EN 61000-4-2; IEC 1000-4-2; IEC 801-2 EN 61000-4-4: IEC 1000-4-4: IEC 801-4

EN 61000-4-5; ENV 50142; IEC 1000-4-5; IEC 801-5

47 CFR (FCC) 2, 21, 22, 23, 24, 74, 80, 87, 90, 95, 97

Revised 2/2/2000

Peter Mhyen

5301 Buckeystown Pike, Suite 350 • Frederick, MD 21704-8370 • Phone: 301 644 3248 • Fax: 301 662 2974



"This laboratory is accredited by the American Association for Laboratory Accreditation (A2LA) and the results shown in this report have been determined in accordance with the laboratory's terms of accreditation unless stated otherwise in the report."

Should this report contain any data for tests for which we are not accredited, or which have been undertaken by a subcontractor that is not A2LA accredited, such data would not covered by this laboratory's A2LA accreditation.

PAGE NO. 4 of 7.

# STANDARD TEST CONDITIONS and ENGINEERING PRACTICES

Except as noted herein, the following conditions and procedures were observed during the testing:

In accordance with ANSI C63.4-1992, section 6.1.9, and unless otherwise indicated in the specific measurement results, the ambient temperature of the actual EUT was maintained within the range of  $10^{\circ}$  to  $40^{\circ}$ C ( $50^{\circ}$  to  $104^{\circ}$ F) unless the particular equipment requirements specify testing over a different temperature range. Also, unless otherwise indicated, the humidity levels were in the range of  $10^{\circ}$  to  $90^{\circ}$  relative humidity.

Prior to testing, the EUT was tuned up in accordance with the manufacturer's alignment procedures. All external gain controls were maintained at the position of maximum and/or optimum gain throughout the testing.

Measurement results, unless otherwise noted, are worst case measurements.

<u>PAGE NO.</u> 5 of 7.

Name of test: Environmental Assessment

Specification: FCC: 47 CFR 1.1310

Measurement Guide: ANSI/IEEE C95.1 1992

Test Equipment: Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE)

measurement system, consisting of: Narda 8717-1174R, Radiation meter

Narda 8761D, E-field probe (300 kHz - 3 GHz)

(Calibrated Nov-98)

Measurement Procedure:

- 1. The following measurements were performed with a Narda probe using ANSI/IEEE C95.1 as a quide.
- 2. Prior to making any measurements, the measurements system was calibrated in accordance with the manufacturer's procedures.
- 3. The EUT's radiating element (antenna) was placed on a 1 m tall table for ease of testing. For equipment normally operated on a metal surface, a ground plane was used.
- 4. The remaining equipment necessary to operate the EUT was maintained at a distance from the measurement arrangement suitable to minimize interference with the measurements.
- 5. The minimum safe distance was calculated from the formula Power Density = EIRP /  $4\pi R^2$  (Peak Watts/m²). The calculation is shown with the measurement data.
- 6. With the EUT operating at maximum power, a search was initiated for worst case emissions with the probe raised and lowered over a range of 0.2 to 2 meters in height and over a horizontal plane of  $0^{\circ}$  to  $360^{\circ}$ .
- 7. Average values were calculated for the whole body (0.2-2.0m), lower body (0.2-0.8m) and upper body (1.0-2.0m).

Results: Attached.

PAGE NO. 6 of 7.

TEST SETUP: Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE)


g0070545: 2000-Jul-20 Thu 08:54:09

STATE: 0:General



 $\frac{\text{TEST SETUP}}{\text{g0070546}}\colon \quad \text{Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE)} \\ 2000-\text{Jul}-20 \text{ Thu } 08\text{:}54\text{:}09$ 

STATE: 0:General



PAGE NO. 7 of 7.

Name of test: Environmental Assessment

EUT Description: See Page 2.
Power, Conducted [w] = 125
Test Frequency, MHz = 153.1
Ant. Model Whip

Ant. Gain[dB] = 0 dB or less

Rated Probe: Narda 8761D Probe =  $10 \mu \text{W/cm}^2$  to  $20 \text{ mW/cm}^2$ 

Power[W EIRP] (P[Watts,Conducted] + G) = 125

Limit  $[mW/cm^2]$  = 0.2

Theoretical safe  $R[m] = [(P[W EIRP]) / (4\pi \times Limit[W/m^2])]^{1/2}$ 

distance: R[m] = 2.22R[inches] = 88.1

Measurement Distance <u>= 3.3 meters</u>

_		
Results:	Probe Height, m	Power Density, mW/cm <sup>2</sup>
at tested distance	2.0	0.09
	1.8	0.08
	1.6	0.06
	1.4	0.07
	1.2	0.09
	1.0	0.12
	0.8	0.17
	0.6	0.17
	0.4	0.17
	0.2	0.19

Calculations: The measured power density readings were summed and the results divided by the number of

readings to calculate the average. For whole body: Average of 0.2 to 2.0 m,  $mW/cm^2 = 0.12$  For lower body: Average of 0.2 to 0.8 m,  $mW/cm^2 = 0.175$  For upper body: Average of 1.0 to 2.0 m,  $mW/cm^2 = 0.085$ 

SUPERVISED BY: Morton Flom, P. Eng.

#### (THE FOLLOWING WILL BE PLACED IN INSTRUCTION MANUAL)

#### INSTRUCTIONS TO INSTALLERS & USERS

Minimum Safe Distance: 3.3 m

#### Antenna Mounting

Antenna as supplied by manufacturer must not be mounted at a location such that any person or persons can come closer than the above-indicated minimum safe distance to the antenna...i.e. 3.3 m

To comply with FCC RF Exposure Limits, antenna must be installed @ or exceeding minimum safe distance shown above.

#### Antenna Substitution

Antenna as supplied by dealer/manufacturer must not be substituted. See dealer/manufacturer if necessary.

#### **WARNING:**

TO AVOID EXPOSING PERSONS TO HARMFUL RADIATION MAINTAIN SEPARATION DISTANCE FROM ANTENNA OF 3.3 m.

# TESTIMONIAL AND STATEMENT OF CERTIFICATION

### THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT:

- 1. THAT the application was prepared either by, or under the direct supervision of, the undersigned.
- 2. THAT the technical data supplied with the application was taken under my direction and supervision.
- THAT the data was obtained on representative units, randomly selected.
- 4. THAT, to the best of my knowledge and belief, the facts set forth in the application and accompanying technical data are true and correct.

CERTIFYING ENGINEER:

Morton Flom, P. Eng.