

**United States** 

### **PCTEST**

7185 Oakland Mills Road, Columbia, MD 21046 USA Tel. 410.290.6652 / Fax 410.290.6654 http://www.pctest.com



# **HEARING AID COMPATIBILITY**

**Applicant Name:**LG Electronics U.S.A, Inc.
111 Sylvan Avenue, North Building
Englewood Cliffs, NJ 07632

Date of Testing: 9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020 Test Site/Location: PCTEST, Columbia, MD, USA Test Report Serial No.: 1M2007230115-21.ZNF Date of Issue: 10/9/2020

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM

APPLICANT: LG ELECTRONICS U.S.A, INC.

Scope of Test: Audio Band Magnetic Testing (T-Coil)

Application Type: Class II Permissive Change

FCC Rule Part(s): CFR §20.19(b)
HAC Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

285076 D01 HAC Guidance v05

285076 D02 T-Coil testing for CMRS IP v03

**DUT Type:** Portable Handset **Model:** LM-F100TM

Additional Model(s): LMF100TM, F100TM

**Test Device Serial No.:** Pre-Production Sample [S/N: 03767] Class II Permissive Change(s): See FCC Change Document

C63.19-2011 HAC Category: T3 (SIGNAL TO NOISE CATEGORY)

This wireless portable device has been shown to be hearing-aid compatible under the above rated category, specified in ANSI/IEEE Std. C63.19-2011 and has been tested in accordance with the specified measurement procedures. Test results reported herein relate only to the item(s) tested. Hearing-Aid Compatibility is based on the assumption that all production units will be designed electrically identical to the device tested in this report. North American Bands only.

I attest to the accuracy of data. All measurements reported herein were performed by me or were made under my supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I assume full responsibility for the completeness of these measurements and vouch for the qualifications of all persons taking them.







FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST . Proud to be port of the information	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 1 of 94
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		rage 1 01 94

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	INTRODUCTION	3
2.	DUT DESCRIPTION	4
3.	ANSI C63.19-2011 PERFORMANCE CATEGORIES	6
4.	METHOD OF MEASUREMENT	8
5.	VOLTE TEST SYSTEM SETUP AND DUT CONFIGURATION	18
6.	VOWIFI TEST SYSTEM SETUP AND DUT CONFIGURATION	22
7.	OTT VOIP TEST SYSTEM AND DUT CONFIGURATION	25
8.	FCC 3G MEASUREMENTS	31
9.	T-COIL TEST SUMMARY	33
10.	MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY	49
11.	EQUIPMENT LIST	50
12.	TEST DATA	51
13.	CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES	81
14.	CONCLUSION	88
15.	REFERENCES	89
16.	TEST SETUP PHOTOGRAPHS	91

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST: Proud to be post of @ element	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 2 of 94
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		Fage 2 01 94

# 1. INTRODUCTION

On July 10, 2003, the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) adopted new rules requiring wireless manufacturers and service providers to provide digital wireless phones that are compatible with hearing aids. The FCC has modified the exemption for wireless phones under the Hearing Aid Compatibility Act of 1998 (HAC Act) in WT Docket 01-309 RM-8658¹ to extend the benefits of wireless telecommunications to individuals with hearing disabilities. These benefits encompass business, social and emergency communications, which increase the value of the wireless network for everyone. An estimated more than 10% of the population in the United States show signs of hearing impairment and of that fraction, almost 80% use hearing aids. Approximately 500 million people worldwide and 30 million people in the United States suffer from hearing loss.

#### **Compatibility Tests Involved:**

The standard calls for wireless communications devices to be measured for:

- RF Electric-field emissions
- T-coil mode, magnetic-signal strength in the audio band
- T-coil mode, magnetic-signal frequency response through the audio band
- T-coil mode, magnetic-signal and noise articulation index

The hearing aid must be measured for:

- RF immunity in microphone mode
- RF immunity in T-coil mode

In the following tests and results, this report includes the evaluation for a wireless communications device.



Figure 1-1 Hearing Aid in-vitu

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> FCC Rule & Order, WT Docket 01-309 RM-8658

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST Proud to be post of Semenal	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dogo 2 of 04
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		Page 3 of 94

© 2020 PCTEST REV 3.5

# 2. DUT DESCRIPTION



FCC ID: ZNFF100TM

Applicant: LG Electronics U.S.A, Inc.

111 Sylvan Avenue, North Building

Englewood Cliffs, NJ 07632

**United States** 

Model: LM-F100TM

Additional Model(s): LMF100TM, F100TM

Serial Number: 03767

HW Version: Rev.1.0

SW Version: F100TM08c

Antenna: Internal Antenna

DUT Type: Portable Handset

#### I. LTE Band Selection

This device supports the following pairs of LTE bands with similar frequencies: LTE B12 & B17 and B66 & B4. These pairs of LTE bands have the same target powers and share the same transmission paths. Since the supported frequency spans for the smaller LTE bands are completely covered by the larger LTE bands, only the larger LTE bands (LTE B12 & B66) were evaluated for hearing-aid compliance. LTE B5 and B2 are LTE anchor bands for dual connectivity (EN-DC) scenarios between LTE and NR so they were additionally evaluated as independent LTE bands.

#### II. Mechanical Configuration Evaluation

This device supports four different mechanical modes. Per FCC guidance, the use conditions of mechanical mode 1 ("Normal") and mechanical mode 3 ("Swivel") were considered for HAC testing. Full HAC testing was performed with Normal mode and the worst-case configuration for each band and mode was additionally evaluated with Swivel mode. See Section 9 for results from this testing.

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST .  Bood to be port of @ circumst	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 4 of 94
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		Faye 4 01 94

### Table 2-1 ZNFF100TM HAC Air Interfaces

Air-Interface	Band (MHz)	Type Transport	HAC Tested	Simultaneous But Not Tested	Name of Voice Service	Audio Codec Evaluated
CDMA	835 1900	vo	Yes	Yes: WIFI or BT	CMRS Voice <sup>1</sup>	EVRC
	EvDO	VD	Yes	Yes: WIFI or BT	Google Duo <sup>2</sup>	OPUS
GSM	850 1900	VO	Yes	Yes: WIFI or BT	CMRS Voice <sup>1</sup>	EFR
	GPRS/EDGE	VD	Yes	Yes: WIFI or BT	Google Duo <sup>2</sup>	OPUS
	850					
UMTS	1700	VD	Yes	Yes: WIFI or BT	CMRS Voice <sup>1</sup>	NB AMR
UIVITS	1900					
	HSPA	VD	Yes	Yes: WIFI or BT	Google Duo <sup>2</sup>	OPUS
	680 (B71)		Yes³			
	700 (B12)					
	700 (B17)					
	780 (B13)					
LTE (FDD)	LTE (FDD) 850 (B26) VI	VD		Yes: WIFI or BT	VoLTE <sup>1</sup> , Google Duo <sup>2</sup>	VoLTE: NB AMR, WB AMR, EVS Google Duo: OPUS
()			Yes		,	
	1700 (B4)					
	1700 (B66)					
	1900 (B2)					
	1900 (B25)					
LTE (TDD)	2600 (B41)	VD	Yes	Yes: WIFI or BT	VoLTE <sup>1</sup> , Google Duo <sup>2</sup>	VoLTE: NB AMR, WB AMR, EVS Google Duo: OPUS
	3600 (B48)		3.4			Google Duo. OF03
ND (FDD)	680 (n71)		Yes <sup>3,4</sup>	Vers MIEL en DT	Garata Dua?	ODUS
NR (FDD)	1700 (n66)	VD	Yes <sup>4</sup>	Yes: WIFI or BT	Google Duo <sup>2</sup>	OPUS
ND (TDD)	1900 (n25)	VD	Yes <sup>4</sup>	Yes: WIFI or BT	Google Duo <sup>2</sup>	OPUS
NR (TDD)	2600 (n41)	VD	Yes	res: WIFI OF BT	Google Duo-	OPUS
	2450					
WIFI	5200 (U-NII 1)	VD	Yes	Voc. CDMA CCM LIMITS LITE or ND	VoWIFI <sup>2</sup> , Google Duo <sup>2</sup>	VoWIFI: NB AMR, WB AMR, EVS
VVIFI	WIFI 5300 (U-NII 2A) VD 5500 (U-NII 2C) 5800 (U-NII 3)	VD.	res	Yes: CDMA, GSM, UMTS, LTE, or NR	VOWIFI-, Google Duo-	Google Duo: OPUS
ВТ	2450	DT	No	Yes: CDMA, GSM, UMTS, LTE, or NR	N/A	N/A
Type Transport		Di	Notes:	rest spirity daily divina, ETE, OF NIC	N/A	II/A
VO = Voice Only  1. Reference level in accordance with 7.4.2.1 of ANSI C63.19-2011 and July 2012 C63 VoLTE Interpretation.  2. Reference level is -20dBm0 in accordance with FCC KDB 285076 D02  3. LTE B71 and NR n71, while outside the scope of ANSI C63.19 and FCC HAC regulations, were additionally tested according to existing HAC procedures with currently available test equipment.						

NR was evaluated using an interim procedure outlined in Section 7.II.5.

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST Proof to be port of the released	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dogo 5 of 04
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		Page 5 of 94

# 3. ANSI C63.19-2011 PERFORMANCE CATEGORIES

#### I. MAGNETIC COUPLING

### **Axial and Radial Field Intensity**

All orientations of the magnetic field, in the axial and radial position along the measurement plane shall be  $\geq$  -18 dB(A/m) at 1 kHz in a 1/3 octave band filter per §8.3.1.

#### **Frequency Response**

The frequency response of the axial component of the magnetic field shall follow the response curve specified in EIA RS-504-1983, over the frequency range 300 Hz – 3000 Hz per §8.3.2.




Figure 3-1
Magnetic field frequency response for Wireless Devices with an axial field ≤-15 dB(A/m) at 1 kHz




Figure 3-2
Magnetic Field frequency response for wireless devices with an axial field that exceeds
-15 dB(A/m) at 1 kHz

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 6 of 94
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		rage o oi 94

### **Signal Quality**

The table below provides the signal quality requirement for the intended audio magnetic signal from a wireless device. Only the RF immunity of the hearing aid is measured in T-coil mode. It is assumed that a hearing aid can have no immunity to an interference signal in the audio band, which is the intended reception band for this mode. The only criterion that can be measured is the RF immunity in T-coil mode. This is measured using the same procedure as the audio coupling mode at the same levels.

The signal quality of the axial and radial components of the magnetic field was used to determine the T-coil mode category.

Category	Telephone RF Parameters		
Category	Wireless Device Signal Quality [(Signal + Noise)-to-noise ratio in dB]		
T1	0 to 10 dB		
T2	10 to 20 dB		
Т3	20 to 30 dB		
T4	> 30 dB		
Table 3-1 Magnetic Coupling Parameters			

Note: The FCC limit for SNNR is 20dB and the test data margins will indicate a margin from the FCC limit for compliance.

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST: Proof to be past of a second	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 7 of 94
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		Page 7 01 94

# 4. METHOD OF MEASUREMENT

# I. Test Setup

The equipment was connected as shown in an acoustic/RF hemi-anechoic chamber:




Figure 4-1
Validation Setup with Helmholtz Coil




Figure 4-2 T-Coil Test Setup

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 8 of 94
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		rage o oi 94

# II. Scanning Mechanism

Manufacturer: TEM

Accuracy: ± 0.83 cm/meter

Minimum Step Size: 0.1 mm

Maximum speed 6.1 cm/sec

Line Voltage: 115 VAC

Line Frequency: 60 Hz


Material Composite: Delrin (Acetal)

Data Control: Parallel Port

Dynamic Range (X-Y-Z): 45 x 31.75 x 47 cm

Dimensions: 36" x 25" x 38" Operating Area: 36" x 49" x 55"

Reflections: < -20 dB (in anechoic chamber)



**Figure 4-3** RF Near-Field Scanner

#### III. ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice

Manufacturer: ITU-T

Active Frequency Range: 100 Hz – 8 kHz

Stimulus Type: Male and Female, no spaces

Single Sample 20.96 seconds

Duration: 20.96 se

Activity Level: 100%




Figure 4-4
Spectral Characteristic of full P.50





Figure 4-5
Temporal Characteristic of full P.50

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST* Proof to be post of (6) secured	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 9 of 94
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		rage 9 01 94



ABM2 Measurement Block Diagram:



Figure 4-6 Magnetic Measurement Processing Steps

#### IV. **Test Procedure**

- 1. Ambient Noise Check per C63.19 §7.3.1
  - Ambient interference was monitored using a Real-Time Analyzer between 100-10,000 Hz with 1/3 octave filtering.
  - "A-weighting" and Half-Band Integration was applied to the measurements.
  - Since this measurement was measured in the same method as ABM2 measurements, this level was verified to be more than 10 dB below the lowest measurement signal (which is the highest ABM2 measurement for a T4 WD). Therefore the maximum noise level for a T4 WD with an ABM1 = -18 dBA/m is:

- 2. Measurement System Validation (See Figure 4-1)
  - a. The measurement system including the probe, pre-amplifier and acquisition system were validated as an entire system to ensure the reliability of test measurements.
  - ABM1 Validation

The magnetic field at the center of the Helmholtz coil is given by the equation (per C63.19 Annex D.10.1):

$$H_c = \frac{NI}{r\sqrt{1.25^3}} = \frac{N(\frac{V}{R})}{r\sqrt{1.25^3}}$$

Where H<sub>c</sub> = magnetic field strength in amperes per meter N = number of turns per coil

For the Helmholtz Coil, N=20; r=0.08m; R=10.2Ω and using V=18mV:

$$H_c = \frac{20 \cdot (\frac{0.018}{10.2})}{0.08 \cdot \sqrt{1.25^3}} = 0.316A/m \approx -10dB(A/m)$$

Therefore a pure tone of 1kHz was applied into the coils such that 18mV was observed across the resistor. The voltmeter used for measurement was verified to be capable of measurements in the audio band range. This theoretically generates an expected field of -10 dB(A/m) in the center of the Helmholtz coil which was used to validate the probe measurement at -10dB(A/m). This was verified to be within ± 0.5 dB of the -10dB(A/m) value (see Page 47).

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 10 of 94
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		Page 10 01 94

c. Frequency Response Validation

The frequency response through the Helmholtz Coil was verified to be within 0.5 dB relative to 1kHz, between 300 – 3000 Hz using the P.50 signal as shown below:



Figure 4-7 Frequency Response Validation

#### d. ABM2 Measurement Validation

WD noise measurements are filtered with A-weighting and Half-Band Integration over a frequency range of 100Hz - 10kHz to process ABM2 measurements. Below is the verification of the system processing A-weighting and Half-Band integration between system input to output within 0.5 dB of the theoretical result:

> Table 4-1 ABM2 Frequency Response Validation

	HBI, A -	HBI, A -	
f (Hz)	Measured	Theoretical	dB Var.
	(dB re 1kHz)	(dB re 1kHz)	
100	-16.180	-16.170	-0.010
125	-13.257	-13.250	-0.007
160	-10.347	-10.340	-0.007
200	-8.017	-8.010	-0.007
250	-5.925	-5.920	-0.005
315	-4.045	-4.040	-0.005
400	-2.405	-2.400	-0.005
500	-1.212	-1.210	-0.002
630	-0.349	-0.350	0.001
800	0.071	0.070	0.001
1000	0.000	0.000	0.000
1250	-0.503	-0.500	-0.003
1600	-1.513	-1.510	-0.003
2000	-2.778	-2.780	0.002
2500	-4.316	-4.320	0.004
3150	-6.166	-6.170	0.004
4000	-8.322	-8.330	0.008
5000	-10.573	-10.590	0.017
6300	-13.178	-13.200	0.022
8000	-16.241	-16.270	0.029
10000	-19.495	-19.520	0.025

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST* Proof to be part of the interest	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 11 of 94
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		rage 11 01 94







Figure 4-8
ABM2 Frequency Response Validation

The ABM2 result is a power sum from 100Hz to 10kHz with half-band integration and A-weighting. To verify the power sum measurement, a power sum over the full band was measured and verified to track with the source level (See Figure 4-9). Therefore the setup in this step was used to verify the power sum post-processing for ABM2 measurements. See below block diagram:



The power summed output results for a known input were compared to the multi-meter results to verify any deviation in the post-processing implemented with the power-sum.

Table 4-2
ABM2 Power Sum Validation

WN Input (dBV)	Power Sum (dBV)	Multimeter-Full (dBV)	Dev (dB)
-60	-60.36	-60.2	0.16
-50	-50.19	-50.13	0.06
-40	-40.14	-40.03	0.11
-30	-30.13	-30.01	0.12
-20	-20.12	-20	0.12
-10	-10.14	-10	0.14

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST: Proud to be post of (6) elected	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 12 of 94
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		Page 12 01 94

© 2020 PCTEST 8/18/2020 8/18/2020





Figure 4-10 **ABM2 Power Sum Validation** 

#### 3. Measurement Test Setup

- a. Fine scan above the WD (TEM)
  - i. A multitone signal was applied to the handset such that the phone acoustic output was stable within 1dB over the probe settling time and with the acoustic output level at the C63.19 specified levels (below). The measurement step size was in 2 mm increments at a distance of 10 mm between the surface of the wireless device as shown below (note that in Figure 4-12, the grid is not to scale but merely a graphical representation of the coordinate system in use):



- ii. After scanning, the planar field maximum point was determined. The position of the probe was moved to this location to setup the test using the SoundCheck system.
- iii. These steps were repeated for all T-coil orientations (axial and radial) per Figure 4-14 after a T-coil orientation was fully measured with the SoundCheck system.
- b. Speech Signal Setup to Base Station Simulator
  - i. C63.19 Table 7-1 states audio reference input levels for various technologies:

Standard	Technology	Input Level (dBm0)
TIA/EIA/IS-2000	CDMA	-18
J-STD-007	GSM (217)	-16
T1/T1P1/3GPP	UMTS (WCDMA)	-16
iDEN <sup>TM</sup>	TDMA (22 and 11 Hz)	-18

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST* Proud to be past of @ sterend	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 13 of 94
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		Fage 13 01 94

- ii. See Section 5 and 6 for more information regarding CMW500 audio level settings for Voice Over LTE (VoLTE), and Voice Over WIFI (VoWIFI) testing.
- iii. See Section 7 for more information regarding audio level settings for Over-The-Top (OTT) Voice Over IP (VoIP) Testing.

#### c. Real-Time Analyzer (RTA)

i. The Real-Time Analyzer was configured to analyze measurements using 1/3 Octave band weighted filtering.

#### d. WD Radio Configuration Selection

- i. The device was chosen to be tested in the worst-case ABM2 condition (See Section 8 for more information regarding worst-case configurations for CDMA and UMTS. LTE configuration information can be found in Section 5 and 7. NR configuration information can be found in Section 7. WIFI configuration information can be found in Section 6 and 7.)
- ii. Supported GSM vocoders were investigated for the worst-case ABM2 condition. GSM-EFR was deemed the worst-case condition for the GSM air interface.

#### 4. Signal Quality Data Analysis

- a. Narrow-band Magnetic Intensity
  - i. The standard specifies a 1kHz 1/3 octave band minimum field intensity for a sine tone. The ABM1 measurements were evaluated at 1kHz with 1/3 octave band filtering over an averaged period of 10 seconds.

#### b. Frequency Response

- i. The appropriate frequency response curve was measured to curves in Figure 3-1 or Figure 3-2 between 300 3000 Hz using digital linear averaging (limit lines chosen according to measurement found in step 4a). A linear average over 3x the length of the artificial voice signal (3x sampling) was performed. A 10 second delay was configured in the measurement process of the stimulus to ensure handset vocoder latency effects and echo cancellation devices (if any) were appropriately stabilized during measurements.
- ii. The appropriate post-processing was applied according to the system processing chain illustrated in Figure 4-7. All R10 frequencies were plotted with respect to 0dB at 1kHz value and aligned with respect to the EIA-504 mask.
- iii. The margin is represented by the closest measured data point on the curve to the EIA-504 limit lines, in dB.

#### c. Signal Quality Index

- i. Ensuring the WD was at maximum RF power, maximum volume, backlight off, display on, maximum contrast setting, keypad lights on (when possible) with no audio signal through the vocoder, the WD was measured over at least 100 Hz 10,000 Hz, maximized over 5 seconds with a 50ms sample time for the ABM2 measurement (5 second time period is used in noise measurements under standards such as IEEE 269, etc.).
- ii. After applying half-band integration and A-weighting to the result, a power sum was applied over each 1/3 octave bandwidth frequency for an ABM2 value.
- iii. This result was subtracted from the ABM1 result in step a, to obtain the Signal Quality.

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST* Proof to be part of the interest	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 14 of 94
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		Fage 14 01 94

# V. Test Setup




Figure 4-13
Audio Magnetic Field Test Setup

Environmental conditions such as temperature and relative humidity are monitored to ensure there are no impacts on system specifications. Proper voltage and power line frequency conditions are maintained with three phase power sources. Environmental noise and reflections are monitored through system checks.

### VI. Deviation from C63.19 Test Procedure

Non-conducted RF connection due to inaccessible RF ports.

# VII. Air Interface Technologies Tested

All air interfaces which support voice capabilities over a managed CMRS or pre-installed OTT VoIP applications were tested for T-coil unless otherwise noted. See Table 2-1 for more details regarding which modes were tested.

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST* Proud to be part of @ element	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dogo 15 of 04
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		Page 15 of 94

### VIII. Wireless Device Channels and Frequencies

#### 1. 2G/3G Modes

The frequencies listed in the table below are those that lie in the center of the bands used for cellular telephony. Low, middle and high channels were tested in each band for FCC compliance evaluation to ensure the maximum emission is captured across the entire band. Only middle channels were evaluated for data modes.

Table 4-3
Center Channels and Frequencies

Test frequencies & associated channels				
Channel	Frequency (MHz)			
Secondary Cellular	820			
564 (CDMA)	820.10			
Cellular 850				
384 (CDMA)	836.52			
190 (GSM)	836.60			
4183 (UMTS)	836.60			
AWS 1750				
1412 (UMTS)	1730.40			
PCS 1900				
600 (CDMA)	1880			
661 (GSM)	1880			
9400 (UMTS)	1880			

#### 2. 4G (LTE) Modes

The middle channel for every band and bandwidth combination was tested for each probe orientation. The band and bandwidth combination from each probe orientation resulting in the worst-case SNNR was additionally tested using low and high channels for that band and bandwidth combination. Low-mid and mid-high channels are additionally tested for LTE TDD Band 41. The middle channel and supported bandwidths from the worst-case band according to Tables 7-6 and 7-7 were additionally evaluated with OTT VoIP for each probe orientation. See Tables 9-5 to 9-15 and 9-23 to 9-24 for LTE bandwidths and channels.

### 3. 5G (NR) Modes

The middle channel and supported bandwidths from the worst-case NR FDD band according to Table 7-12 was evaluated with OTT VoIP for each probe orientation. NR TDD was additionally evaluated with OTT VoIP for each probe orientation as well. The band and bandwidth combination from each probe orientation resulting in the worst-case SNNR was additionally tested using low and high channels for that band and bandwidth combination. Low-mid and mid-high channels are additionally tested for NR TDD. See Tables 9-25 and 9-27 for NR bandwidths and channels.

#### 4. WIFI

The middle channel for each IEEE 802.11 standard was tested for each probe orientation. The 2.4GHz IEEE 802.11 standard from each probe orientation resulting in the worst-case SNNR was additionally tested using low and high channels. The 5GHz IEEE 802.11 standard from each probe orientation resulting in the worst-case SNNR was additionally tested on higher U-NII bands as well as applicable low and high channels. See Tables 9-16 to 9-19 and 9-29 to 9-32 for WIFI standards and channels.

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST* Proud to be port of § element	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 16 of 94
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		3

### IX. Test Flow

The flow diagram below was followed (From C63.19):




Figure 4-14 C63.19 T-Coil Signal Test Process

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST* Proud to be part of @ interest	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dags 17 of 04
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		Page 17 of 94

© 2020 PCTEST REV 3.5.M 8/18/2020

# 5. VOLTE TEST SYSTEM SETUP AND DUT CONFIGURATION

# I. Test System Setup for VoLTE over IMS T-coil Testing

#### 1. Equipment Setup

The general test setup used for VoLTE over IMS is shown below. The callbox used when performing VoLTE over IMS T-coil measurements is a CMW500. The Data Application Unit (DAU) of the CMW500 was used to simulate the IP Multimedia Subsystem (IMS) server.




Figure 5-1
Test Setup for VoLTE over IMS T-Coil Measurements

### 2. Audio Level Settings

According to the July 2012 interpretations by the C63 Committee regarding the appropriate audio levels to be used for VoLTE over IMS T-coil testing, -16dBm0 shall be used for the normal speech input level\*. The CMW500 base station simulator was manually configured to ensure that the settings for speech input and full scale levels resulted in the -16dBm0 speech input level to the DUT for the VoLTE over IMS connection.

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST* Proof to be part of the interest	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 18 of 94
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		rage 16 01 94

© 2020 PCTEST REV 3.5.M

<sup>\*</sup> http://c63.org/documents/misc/posting/new\_interpretations.htm

# II. DUT Configuration for VoLTE over IMS T-coil Testing

### 1. Radio Configuration

An investigation was performed to determine the modulation and RB configuration to be used for testing. The effects of modulation and RB configuration were found to be independent of band and bandwidth; therefore, only one band and bandwidth were used for this investigation. 16QAM, 1RB, 0RB offset was used for the testing as the worst-case configuration for the handset. See below table for SNNR comparison between different radio configurations:

Table 5-1
VoLTE over IMS SNNR by Radio Configuration

	_		-11-0101 111		,				
Band	Frequency [MHz]	Channel	Bandwidth [MHz]	Modulation	RB Size	RB Offset	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]
12	707.5	23095	10	QPSK	1	0	1.97	-52.73	54.70
12	707.5	23095	10	QPSK	1	25	1.79	-52.98	54.77
12	707.5	23095	10	QPSK	1	49	1.79	-52.31	54.10
12	707.5	23095	10	QPSK	25	0	1.91	-56.92	58.83
12	707.5	23095	10	QPSK	25	12	1.65	-56.66	58.31
12	707.5	23095	10	QPSK	25	25	1.71	-56.70	58.41
12	707.5	23095	10	QPSK	50	0	1.84	-57.17	59.01
12	707.5	23095	10	16QAM	1	0	1.98	-46.91	48.89
12	707.5	23095	10	16QAM	1	25	1.57	-47.51	49.08
12	707.5	23095	10	16QAM	1	49	1.99	-46.96	48.95
12	707.5	23095	10	16QAM	25	0	1.68	-55.73	57.41
12	707.5	23095	10	16QAM	25	12	1.83	-56.32	58.15
12	707.5	23095	10	16QAM	25	25	1.87	-56.20	58.07
12	707.5	23095	10	16QAM	50	0	1.56	-55.96	57.52
12	707.5	23095	10	64QAM	1	0	1.81	-48.38	50.19
12	707.5	23095	10	64QAM	1	25	1.87	-47.37	49.24
12	707.5	23095	10	64QAM	1	49	2.09	-47.56	49.65
12	707.5	23095	10	64QAM	25	0	1.73	-55.24	56.97
12	707.5	23095	10	64QAM	25	12	1.98	-56.53	58.51
12	707.5	23095	10	64QAM	25	25	2.00	-56.60	58.60
12	707.5	23095	10	64QAM	50	0	1.79	-57.12	58.91

### 2. Codec Configuration

An investigation was performed to determine the audio codec configuration to be used for testing. The effects of codec configuration were found to be independent of radio configuration; therefore, only one radio configuration was used for this investigation. The WB AMR 6.60kbps setting was used for the audio codec on the CMW500 for VoLTE over IMS T-coil testing. See below table for comparisons between different codecs and codec data rates:

Table 5-2
AMR Codec Investigation – VoLTE over IMS

Codec Setting:	WB AMR 23.85kbps	WB AMR 6.60kbps	NB AMR 12.2kbps	NB AMR 4.75kbps	Orientation	Band / BW	Channel	
ABM1 (dBA/m)	2.85	1.09	6.35	6.05			23095	
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-47.09	-47.60	-47.06	-47.21	Axial	Band 12 10MHz		
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Axiai			
S+N/N (dB)	49.94	48.69	53.41	53.26				

- Mute on; Backlight off; Max Volume; Max Contrast
- TPC = "Max Power"

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST* Proud to be past of @ interest	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	Approved by: Quality Manager	
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 19 of 94
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		Page 19 01 94

Table 5-3
EVS Codec Investigation - VoLTE over IMS

Codec Setting:	EVS Primary SWB 13.2kbps	EVS Primary SWB 9.6kbps	EVS Primary WB 13.2kbps	EVS Primary WB 5.9kbps	EVS Primary NB 13.2kbps	EVS Primary NB 5.9kbps	Orientation	Band / BW	Channel
ABM1 (dBA/m)	10.06	8.81	3.59	2.51	6.51	4.09		Axial Band 12 10MHz	23095
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-47.21	-47.17	-47.66	-47.52	-46.95	-46.87	Avial		
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Axiai		
S+N/N (dB)	57.27	55.98	51.25	50.03	53.46	50.96			

- Mute on; Backlight off; Max Volume; Max Contrast
- TPC = "Max Power"




Figure 5-2
Audio Band Magnetic Curve Measurement Block Diagram

### 3. LTE TDD Uplink-Downlink Configuration Investigation for VoLTE over IMS

An investigation was performed to determine the worst-case Uplink-Downlink configuration for VoLTE over IMS T-Coil testing.

Per 3GPP TS 36.211, the total frame length for each TDD radio frame of length  $T_f$  = 307200  $\cdot$   $T_s$  = 10 ms, where  $T_s$  is a number of time units equal to 1/(15000 x 2048) seconds. Additionally, each radio frame consists of 10 subframes, each of length 30720  $\cdot$   $T_s$  = 1 ms, and subframes can be designated as uplink (U), downlink (D), or special subframe (S), depending on the Uplink-Downlink configuration as indicated in Table 4.2-2 of 3GPP TS 36.211. In the transmission duty factor calculation, the special subframe configuration with the shortest UpPTS duration within the special subframe is used and will be applied for measurement. From 3GPP TS 36.211 Table 4.2-1, the shortest UpPTS is 2192  $\cdot$  Ts which occurs in the normal cyclic prefix and special subframe configuration 4.

See table below outlining the calculated transmission duty cycles for each Uplink-Downlink configuration:

Table 5-4
Uplink-Downlink Configurations for Type 2 Frame Structures

Uplink-downlink configuration	Downlink-to-Uplink Switch-point periodicity	Subframe number									Calculated Transmission	
configuration	Switch-point periodicity	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Duty Cycle (%)
0	5 ms	D	S	U	U	U	D	S	U	U	U	61.4%
1	5 ms	D	S	U	U	D	D	S	U	U	D	41.4%
2	5 ms	D	S	U	D	D	D	S	U	D	D	21.4%
3	10 ms	D	S	U	U	U	D	D	D	D	D	30.7%
4	10 ms	D	S	U	U	D	D	D	D	D	D	20.7%
5	10 ms	D	S	U	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	10.7%
6	5 ms	D	S	U	U	U	D	S	U	U	D	51.4%

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 20 of 94
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		Fage 20 01 94

# a. Power Class 3 Uplink-Downlink Configuration Investigation

Power Class 3 was evaluated with the following radio configuration: channel 40620, 20MHz BW, 16QAM, 1RB, 0RB Offset. For Power Class 3, all configurations (0-6) are supported. The configuration which resulted in the worst SNNR was used for full testing. Uplink-Downlink configuration 2 was used as the worst-case configuration for Power Class 3 VoLTE over IMS T-Coil testing. See table below for the SNNR comparison between each Uplink-Downlink configuration:

Table 5-5
Power Class 3 VoLTE over IMS SNNR by UL-DL Configuration

Frequency [MHz]	Channel	Bandwidth [MHz]	Modulation	RB Size	RB Offset	UL-DL Configuration	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	0	1.63	-35.78	37.41
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	1	1.77	-35.27	37.04
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	2	1.63	-35.20	36.83
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	3	1.75	-38.02	39.77
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	4	1.89	-38.20	40.09
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	5	1.62	-38.21	39.83
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	6	1.69	-35.39	37.08

#### b. Power Class 2 Uplink-Downlink Configuration Investigation

Power Class 2 was evaluated with the following radio configuration: channel 40620, 20MHz BW, 16QAM, 1RB, 0RB Offset. For Power Class 2, configurations 1-5 are supported. The configuration which resulted in the worst SNNR was used for full testing. Uplink-Downlink configuration 2 was used as the worst-case configuration for Power Class 2 VoLTE over IMS T-Coil testing. See table below for the SNNR comparison between each Uplink-Downlink configuration:

Table 5-6
Power Class 2 VoLTE over IMS SNNR by UL-DL Configuration

Frequency [MHz]	Channel	Bandwidth [MHz]	Modulation	RB Size	RB Offset	UL-DL Configuration	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	1	1.93	-33.42	35.35
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	2	1.70	-33.18	34.88
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	3	1.56	-36.07	37.63
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	4	1.61	-35.98	37.59
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	5	1.60	-36.08	37.68

Note: LTE TDD B41 Power Class 2 only supports UL-DL configurations 1-5, not 0 or 6.

#### c. Conclusion

Per the investigations above, UL-DL Configuration 2 was used to evaluate Power Class 3 and Power Class 2 VoLTE over IMS.

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 21 of 94
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		Fage 21 01 94

# 6. VOWIFI TEST SYSTEM SETUP AND DUT CONFIGURATION

# I. Test System Setup for VoWIFI over IMS T-coil Testing

#### 1. Equipment Setup

The general test setup used for VoWIFI over IMS, or CMRS WIFI Calling, is shown below. The callbox used when performing VoWIFI over IMS T-coil measurements is a CMW500. The Data Application Unit (DAU) of the CMW500 was used to simulate the IP Multimedia Subsystem (IMS) server.




Figure 6-1
Test Setup for VoWIFI over IMS T-Coil Measurements

#### 2. Audio Level Settings

According to KDB 285076 D02 released by the FCC OET regarding the appropriate audio levels to be used for VoWIFI over IMS T-Coil testing, -20dBm0 shall be used for the normal speech input level<sup>2</sup>. The CMW500 base station simulator was manually configured to ensure that the settings for speech input and full scale levels resulted in the -20dBm0 speech input level to the DUT for the VoWIFI over IMS connection.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> FCC Office of Engineering and Technology KDB, "285076 D02 T-Coil Testing for CMRS IP v03," September 13, 2017

. co omeo or Engineer	mig and recimiency, ribb, bi	seere zez r eem reemig ier einnie ii	roo, coptonison roj.	
FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST*  Froud to be post of the simulation	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 22 of 94
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		Page 22 01 94

© 2020 PCTEST REV 3.5.M

# II. DUT Configuration for VoWIFI over IMS T-coil Testing

### 1. Radio Configuration

An investigation was performed on all applicable data rates and modulations to determine the radio configuration to be used for testing. See tables below for SNNR comparison between radio configurations in each IEEE 802.11 standard:

Table 6-1
IEEE 802.11b SNNR by Radio Configuration

Mode	Channel	Modulation	Data Rate [Mbps]	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]
IEEE 802.11b	6	DSSS	1	-2.61	-40.63	38.02
IEEE 802.11b	6	DSSS	2	-2.55	-40.03	37.48
IEEE 802.11b	6	CCK	5.5	-2.59	-39.06	36.47
IEEE 802.11b	6	CCK	11	-2.57	-39.58	37.01

Table 6-2 IEEE 802.11g/a SNNR by Radio Configuration

in the state of th								
Mode	Channel	Modulation	Data Rate [Mbps]	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]		
IEEE 802.11g	6	BPSK	6	-2.53	-46.60	44.07		
IEEE 802.11g	6	BPSK	9	-2.69	-47.03	44.34		
IEEE 802.11g	6	QPSK	12	-2.83	-47.22	44.39		
IEEE 802.11g	6	QPSK	18	-2.81	-46.76	43.95		
IEEE 802.11g	6	16QAM	24	-2.59	-46.66	44.07		
IEEE 802.11g	6	16QAM	36	-2.41	-46.62	44.21		
IEEE 802.11g	6	64QAM	48	-2.29	-48.08	45.79		
IEEE 802.11g	6	64QAM	54	-2.46	-47.75	45.29		

Table 6-3
IEEE 802.11n/ac 20MHz BW SNNR by Radio Configuration

IEEE 802.1111/ac 20MHz BW SINIK by Raulo Collingulation								
Mode	Bandwidth [MHz]	Channel	Modulation	MCS Index	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]	
IEEE 802.11n	20	40	BPSK	0	-2.76	-42.10	39.34	
IEEE 802.11n	20	40	QPSK	1	-2.51	-41.25	38.74	
IEEE 802.11n	20	40	QPSK	2	-2.37	-43.44	41.07	
IEEE 802.11n	20	40	16QAM	3	-2.46	-43.35	40.89	
IEEE 802.11n	20	40	16QAM	4	-2.55	-43.21	40.66	
IEEE 802.11n	20	40	64QAM	5	-2.67	-42.02	39.35	
IEEE 802.11n	20	40	64QAM	6	-2.92	-45.73	42.81	
IEEE 802.11n	20	40	64QAM	7	-2.89	-42.50	39.61	
IEEE 802.11ac	20	40	256QAM	8	-2.67	-42.18	39.51	

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST: Proud to be post of (6) elected	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 23 of 94
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		Fage 23 01 94

Table 6-4
IEEE 802.11n/ac 40MHz BW SNNR by Radio Configuration

included the state of the state							
Mode	Bandwidth [MHz]	Channel	Modulation	MCS Index	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]
IEEE 802.11n	40	38	BPSK	0	-2.47	-44.79	42.32
IEEE 802.11n	40	38	QPSK	1	-2.71	-45.12	42.41
IEEE 802.11n	40	38	QPSK	2	-2.27	-45.35	43.08
IEEE 802.11n	40	38	16QAM	3	-2.68	-45.40	42.72
IEEE 802.11n	40	38	16QAM	4	-2.49	-45.44	42.95
IEEE 802.11n	40	38	64QAM	5	-2.42	-45.19	42.77
IEEE 802.11n	40	38	64QAM	6	-2.36	-42.93	40.57
IEEE 802.11n	40	38	64QAM	7	-2.50	-43.45	40.95
IEEE 802.11ac	40	38	256QAM	8	-2.54	-44.70	42.16
IEEE 802.11ac	40	38	256QAM	9	-2.29	-45.66	43.37

#### 2. Codec Configuration

An investigation was performed to determine the audio codec configuration to be used for testing. The effects of codec configuration were found to be independent of radio configuration; therefore, only one radio configuration was used for this investigation. The WB AMR 6.60kbps setting was used for the audio codec on the CMW500 for VoWIFI over IMS T-coil testing. See below table for comparisons between different codecs and codec data rates:


Table 6-5
AMR Codec Investigation – VoWIFI over IMS

	,							
Codec Setting:	WB AMR 23.85kbps	WB AMR 6.60kbps	NB AMR 12.2kbps	NB AMR 4.75kbps	Orientation	Band	Standard	Channel
ABM1 (dBA/m)	-1.09	-2.58	2.47	2.39		0.4015	1555 000 441	
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-40.69	-40.92	-40.03	-40.72	Axial			
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Axiai	2.4GHz	IEEE 802.11b	6
S+N/N (dB)	39.60	38.34	42.50	43.11				

Table 6-6
EVS Codec Investigation – VoWIFI over IMS

Codec Setting:	EVS Primary SWB 13.2kbps	EVS Primary SWB 9.6kbps	EVS Primary WB 13.2kbps	EVS Primary WB 5.9kbps	EVS Primary NB 13.2kbps	EVS Primary NB 5.9kbps	Orientation	Band	Standard	Channel
ABM1 (dBA/m)	6.43	5.05	-0.26	-1.49	3.57	5.34			2401	
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-40.24	-40.69	-40.35	-40.78	-40.17	-40.62	A sei mi	Axial 2.4GHz		
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Axiai		2.4012 1222 0	IEEE 802.11b 6
S+N/N (dB)	46.67	45.74	40.09	39.29	43.74	45.96				

Mute on; Backlight off; Max Volume; Max Contrast



Audio Band Magnetic Curve Measurement Block Diagram

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST *	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 24 of 94
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		Fage 24 01 94

# 7. OTT VOIP TEST SYSTEM AND DUT CONFIGURATION

# I. Test System Setup for OTT VoIP T-Coil Testing

#### 1. OTT VoIP Application

Google Duo is a pre-installed application on the DUT which allows for VoIP calls in a held-to-ear scenario. Duo uses the OPUS audio codec and supports a bitrate range of 6kb/s to 75kb/s. All air interfaces capable of a data connection were evaluated with Google Duo.

#### 2. Equipment Setup

A CMW500 callbox was used to perform OTT VoIP T-coil measurements. The Data Application Unit (DAU) of the CMW500 was connected to the internet and allowed for an IP data connection on the DUT. An auxiliary VoIP unit was used to initiate an OTT VoIP call to the DUT. The auxiliary VoIP unit allowed for the configuration and monitoring of the OTT VoIP codec bitrate during a call. Both high and low bitrate settings were evaluated in to determine the worst-case configuration.

#### 3. Audio Level Settings

According to KDB 285076 D02, the average speech level of -20dBm0 shall be used for protocols not specifically listed in Table 7.1 of ANSI C63.19-2011 or the ANSI C63.19-2011 VoLTE interpretation<sup>3</sup>. The auxiliary VoIP unit allowed for monitoring the signal input level to ensure that the settings for speech input and full scale levels resulted in the -20dBm0 speech input level to the DUT for the OTT VoIP call.

Note: The green highlighted text is approved by FCC under the TCB PAG Re-Use Policy 388624 D01 IV. D. for T-Coil Testing for WI-FI calling and Google Duo.

# II. DUT Configuration for OTT VoIP T-Coil Testing

#### 1. Codec Configuration

An investigation was performed for each applicable data mode to determine the audio codec configuration to be used for testing. The effects of codec configuration were found to be independent of radio configuration; therefore, only one radio configuration for each applicable data mode was used for these investigations. The 6kbps codec setting was used for the audio codec on the auxiliary VoIP unit for OTT VoIP T-Coil testing. See below tables for comparisons between codec data rates on all applicable data modes:

Table 7-1
Codec Investigation – OTT VoIP (EvDO)

Codec Setting:	75kbps	6kbps	Orientation	Channel			
ABM1 (dBA/m)	4.27	4.75					
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-55.66	-54.29	Axial	600			
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass					
S+N/N (dB)	59.93	59.04					

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> FCC Office of Engineering and Technology KDB, "285076 D02 T-Coil Testing for CMRS IP v03," September 13, 2017

- to o onless of Engineering and restinising from the transfer of the transfer						
FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST* Proud to be post of @ descent	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT		Approved by: Quality Manager		
Filename: 1M2007230115-21.ZNF	Test Dates: 9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	DUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 25 of 94		

Table 7-2
Codec Investigation – OTT VoIP (EDGE)

Oddec investigation – OTT voil (LDOL)								
Codec Setting:	75kbps	6kbps	Orientation	Channel				
ABM1 (dBA/m)	4.78	4.56						
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-34.44	-34.44	Axial	661				
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass	Allai	001				
S+N/N (dB)	39.22	39.00						

Table 7-3
Codec Investigation – OTT VoIP (HSPA)

Codec Setting:	75kbps	6kbps	Orientation	Channel	
ABM1 (dBA/m)	4.59	4.68			
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-54.58	-54.11	Axial	9400	
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass	Axiai		
S+N/N (dB)	59.17	58.79			


Table 7-4
Codec Investigation – OTT VoIP (LTE)

	400 111100	gao	011 1011	\ <b>-</b> · <b>-</b> /	
Codec Setting:	75kbps	6kbps	Orientation	Band / BW	Channel
ABM1 (dBA/m)	4.83	4.65			
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-46.15	-45.21	Axial	Band 12	23095
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass	AXIAI	10MHz	
S+N/N (dB)	50.98	49.86			

Table 7-5
Codec Investigation – OTT VoIP (WIFI)

Codes investigation Off von (vin i)													
Codec Setting:	75kbps	6kbps	Orientation	Band	Standard	Channel							
ABM1 (dBA/m)	4.88	4.91											
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-38.83	-38.64		2.4GHz	.===	6							
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass	Axial	2.46H2	IEEE 802.11b	0							
S+N/N (dB)	43.71	43.55											

- Mute on; Backlight off; Max Volume; Max Contrast
- · Radio Configurations can be found in Section 9.II.H



Audio Band Magnetic Curve Measurement Block Diagram

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST*  Road to be port of ® element	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 26 of 94
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		Fage 20 01 94

#### 2. Radio Configuration for OTT VoIP (LTE)

An investigation was performed to determine the worst-case LTE FDD band to be used for OTT VoIP testing. LTE FDD Band 13 was used for the testing as the worst-case configuration for the handset. See below table for SNNR comparison between different LTE FDD bands:

Table 7-6
OTT VoIP (LTE FDD) SNNR by LTE Band

Band	Frequency [MHz]	Channel	Bandwidth [MHz]	Modulation	RB Size	RB Offset	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]
71	680.5	133297	20	16QAM	1	0	4.29	-46.90	51.19
12	707.5	23095	10	16QAM	1	0	4.37	-44.95	49.32
13	782.0	23230	10	16QAM	1	0	4.42	-41.69	46.11
26	831.5	26865	15	16QAM	1	0	4.64	-45.39	50.03
5	836.5	20525	10	16QAM	1	0	4.76	-46.13	50.89
66	1745.0	132322	20	16QAM	1	0	4.40	-46.55	50.95
2	1880.0	18900	20	16QAM	1	0	4.32	-45.90	50.22
25	1882.5	26365	20	16QAM	1	0	4.57	-45.39	49.96

An investigation was performed to determine the worst-case LTE TDD band to be used for OTT VoIP testing. LTE TDD Band 41 (PC2) was used for the testing as the worst-case configuration for the handset. See below table for SNNR comparison between different LTE TDD bands:

Table 7-7
OTT VoIP (LTE TDD) SNNR by LTE Band

			,	,					
Band	Frequency [MHz]	Channel	Bandwidth [MHz]	Modulation	RB Size	RB Offset	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]
41 (PC3)	2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	4.58	-34.34	38.92
41 (PC2)	2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	4.49	-32.31	36.80
48	3625.0	55990	20	16QAM	1	0	4.22	-36.60	40.82

### 3. LTE FDD Uplink Carrier Aggregation for OTT VoIP

LTE FDD ULCA was evaluated to ensure LTE FDD standalone was the worst-case scenario. The configurations in Table 7-8 were determined from Table 7-6 and satisfy the configuration requirements as defined in 3GPP 36.101.

Table 7-8
LTE FDD SNNR for OTT VoIP Uplink Carrier Aggregation

	LILI DD SWW IOI OTT VOIL Opinik Carrier Aggregation																
				PCC							scc						
Combination	PCC Band	PCC Bandwidth [MHz]	PCC (UL) Channel	PCC (UL) Frequency [MHz]	Modulation	PCC UL# RB	PCC UL RB Offset	SCC Band	SCC Bandwidth [MHz]	SCC (UL) Channel	SCC (UL) Frequency [MHz]	Modulation	SCC UL# RB	SCC UL RB Offset	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]
2A-12A	LTE B2	20	18900	1880.0	16QAM	1	0	LTE B12	10	23095	707.5	16QAM	1	0	4.77	-49.66	54.43
12A-2A	LTE B12	10	23095	707.5	16QAM	1	0	LTE B2	20	18900	1880.0	16QAM	1	0	4.42	-50.41	54.83
12A-66A	LTE B12	10	23095	707.5	16QAM	1	0	LTE B66	20	132322	1745.0	16QAM	1	0	4.45	-51.91	56.36
66A-12A	LTE B66	20	132322	1745.0	16QAM	1	0	LTE B12	10	23095	707.5	16QAM	1	0	4.46	-51.80	56.26

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST . Proud to be part of @ element	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dogo 27 of 04
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		Page 27 of 94

#### 4. LTE TDD Uplink Carrier Aggregation for OTT VolP

LTE TDD ULCA was evaluated to ensure LTE TDD standalone was the worst-case scenario. The configurations in Table 7-9 were determined from Table 7-7 and satisfy the configuration requirements as defined in 3GPP 36.101.

Table 7-9
LTE TDD SNNR for OTT VoIP Uplink Carrier Aggregation

				PCC					•		SCC	JJ - ,					
Combination	PCC Band	PCC Bandwidth [MHz]	PCC (UL/DL) Channel	PCC (UL/DL) Frequency [MHz]	Modulation	PCC UL# RB	PCC UL RB Offset	SCC Band	SCC Bandwidth [MHz]	SCC (UL/DL) Channel	SCC (UL/DL) Frequency [MHz]	Modulation	SCC UL# RB	SCC UL RB Offset	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]
CA_41C (PC3)	LTE B41	20	40620	2593.0	16QAM	1	0	LTE B41	20	40422	2573.2	16QAM	1	99	4.47	-35.55	40.02
CA_41C (PC2)	LTE B41	20	40620	2593.0	16QAM	1	0	LTE B41	20	40422	2573.2	16QAM	1	99	4.48	-33.43	37.91

#### 5. Interim Procedure for evaluation OTT VoIP (NR)

The following procedure is used to evaluate OTT VoIP (NR) given equipment limitations.

- a. This procedure is applicable for OTT VoIP (NR) voice calls that use the same protocol, codec(s), and reference level as OTT VoIP (LTE) (i.e. -20dBm0).
- b. Establish the ABM1<sub>NR</sub> value by using the ABM1<sub>LTE</sub> magnetic intensity for an LTE call using a correlating LTE band through existing procedures and test equipment.
- c. Establish an  $ABM2_{NR}$  value using factory test mode (FTM) to simulate a NR connection for the desired NR band and channel under test.
- d. The following information is documented in Section 9:
  - i. ABM2<sub>LTE</sub> and ABM2<sub>NR</sub> for respective tests.
  - ii. Calculate SNNR:
    - 1.  $ABM1 = ABM1_{LTE}$
    - 2.  $ABM2 = ABM2_{NR}$
    - 3.  $SNNR_{NR} = [ABM1_{LTE} ABM2_{NR}] 3dB$ 
      - a. A 3dB margin is built in to ensure conservative results with this interim procedure.

The above is only applicable for OTT VoIP scenarios, this device does not support VoNR over IMS.

The manufacturer has confirmed the handset as designed is expected to exhibit similar audio intensity levels between an OTT VoIP call placed over a 4G LTE and a 5G Sub-6GHz data connection.

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST* Provid to be post of the second	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 28 of 94
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		Fage 20 01 94

### 6. Radio Configuration for OTT VoIP (NR)

An investigation was performed to determine the waveform, modulation, and RB configuration to be used for testing. Due to equipment limitations, the procedure outlined in 7.II.5 was used to evaluate the SNNR for each radio configuration below. CP-OFDM 16QAM, 1RB, 1RB offset was determined to be the worst-case configuration for the handset and will be used for full testing in Section 9.

Table 7-10 NR OTT VoIP SNNR by Radio Configuration (CP-OFDM)

Title Office of the Strate of										
Band	Frequency [MHz]	Channel	Bandwidth [MHz]	Waveform	Modulation	RB Size	RB Offset	ABM1 <sub>LTE</sub> [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 <sub>NR</sub> [dB(A/m)]	SNNR <sub>NR</sub> [dB]
n66	1745.0	349000	20	CP-OFDM	QPSK	1	1	4.40	-50.32	54.72
n66	1745.0	349000	20	CP-OFDM	QPSK	1	53	4.40	-49.22	53.62
n66	1745.0	349000	20	CP-OFDM	QPSK	1	104	4.40	-50.60	55.00
n66	1745.0	349000	20	CP-OFDM	QPSK	53	0	4.40	-53.58	57.98
n66	1745.0	349000	20	CP-OFDM	QPSK	53	26	4.40	-55.89	60.29
n66	1745.0	349000	20	CP-OFDM	QPSK	53	53	4.40	-56.46	60.86
n66	1745.0	349000	20	CP-OFDM	QPSK	106	0	4.40	-56.14	60.54
n66	1745.0	349000	20	CP-OFDM	16QAM	1	1	4.40	-48.11	52.51
n66	1745.0	349000	20	CP-OFDM	16QAM	1	53	4.40	-48.35	52.75
n66	1745.0	349000	20	CP-OFDM	16QAM	1	104	4.40	-48.67	53.07
n66	1745.0	349000	20	CP-OFDM	16QAM	53	0	4.40	-55.92	60.32
n66	1745.0	349000	20	CP-OFDM	16QAM	53	26	4.40	-55.77	60.17
n66	1745.0	349000	20	CP-OFDM	16QAM	53	53	4.40	-56.01	60.41
n66	1745.0	349000	20	CP-OFDM	16QAM	106	0	4.40	-55.91	60.31
n66	1745.0	349000	20	CP-OFDM	64QAM	1	1	4.40	-52.65	57.05
n66	1745.0	349000	20	CP-OFDM	64QAM	1	53	4.40	-52.42	56.82
n66	1745.0	349000	20	CP-OFDM	64QAM	1	104	4.40	-52.76	57.16
n66	1745.0	349000	20	CP-OFDM	64QAM	53	0	4.40	-56.43	60.83
n66	1745.0	349000	20	CP-OFDM	64QAM	53	26	4.40	-56.35	60.75
n66	1745.0	349000	20	CP-OFDM	64QAM	53	53	4.40	-56.59	60.99
n66	1745.0	349000	20	CP-OFDM	64QAM	106	0	4.40	-56.06	60.46
n66	1745.0	349000	20	CP-OFDM	256QAM	1	1	4.40	-55.10	59.50
n66	1745.0	349000	20	CP-OFDM	256QAM	1	53	4.40	-54.51	58.91
n66	1745.0	349000	20	CP-OFDM	256QAM	1	104	4.40	-55.18	59.58
n66	1745.0	349000	20	CP-OFDM	256QAM	53	0	4.40	-56.57	60.97
n66	1745.0	349000	20	CP-OFDM	256QAM	53	26	4.40	-56.49	60.89
n66	1745.0	349000	20	CP-OFDM	256QAM	53	53	4.40	-56.50	60.90
n66	1745.0	349000	20	CP-OFDM	256QAM	106	0	4.40	-56.09	60.49

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 29 of 94
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		Page 29 01 94

Table 7-11
NR OTT VoIP SNNR by Radio Configuration (DFT-s-OFDM)

NK OTT VOIP SWINK BY KAUIO COINIGUIALION (DFT-S-OFDIN)										
Band	Frequency [MHz]	Channel	Bandwidth [MHz]	Waveform	Modulation	RB Size	RB Offset	ABM1 <sub>LTE</sub> [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 <sub>NR</sub> [dB(A/m)]	SNNR <sub>NR</sub> [dB]
n66	1745.0	349000	20	DFT-s-OFDM	π/2-BPSK	1	1	4.40	-55.48	59.88
n66	1745.0	349000	20	DFT-s-OFDM	π/2-BPSK	1	53	4.40	-55.35	59.75
n66	1745.0	349000	20	DFT-s-OFDM	π/2-BPSK	1	104	4.40	-55.38	59.78
n66	1745.0	349000	20	DFT-s-OFDM	π/2-BPSK	50	0	4.40	-54.33	58.73
n66	1745.0	349000	20	DFT-s-OFDM	π/2-BPSK	50	28	4.40	-55.87	60.27
n66	1745.0	349000	20	DFT-s-OFDM	π/2-BPSK	50	56	4.40	-56.30	60.70
n66	1745.0	349000	20	DFT-s-OFDM	π/2-BPSK	100	0	4.40	-55.86	60.26
n66	1745.0	349000	20	DFT-s-OFDM	QPSK	1	1	4.40	-55.57	59.97
n66	1745.0	349000	20	DFT-s-OFDM	QPSK	1	53	4.40	-55.57	59.97
n66	1745.0	349000	20	DFT-s-OFDM	QPSK	1	104	4.40	-55.77	60.17
n66	1745.0	349000	20	DFT-s-OFDM	QPSK	50	0	4.40	-55.83	60.23
n66	1745.0	349000	20	DFT-s-OFDM	QPSK	50	28	4.40	-55.59	59.99
n66	1745.0	349000	20	DFT-s-OFDM	QPSK	50	56	4.40	-55.93	60.33
n66	1745.0	349000	20	DFT-s-OFDM	QPSK	100	0	4.40	-55.57	59.97
n66	1745.0	349000	20	DFT-s-OFDM	16QAM	1	1	4.40	-49.74	54.14
n66	1745.0	349000	20	DFT-s-OFDM	16QAM	1	53	4.40	-49.05	53.45
n66	1745.0	349000	20	DFT-s-OFDM	16QAM	1	104	4.40	-50.08	54.48
n66	1745.0	349000	20	DFT-s-OFDM	16QAM	50	0	4.40	-55.46	59.86
n66	1745.0	349000	20	DFT-s-OFDM	16QAM	50	28	4.40	-55.57	59.97
n66	1745.0	349000	20	DFT-s-OFDM	16QAM	50	56	4.40	-55.52	59.92
n66	1745.0	349000	20	DFT-s-OFDM	16QAM	100	0	4.40	-55.05	59.45
n66	1745.0	349000	20	DFT-s-OFDM	64QAM	1	1	4.40	-50.09	54.49
n66	1745.0	349000	20	DFT-s-OFDM	64QAM	1	53	4.40	-48.98	53.38
n66	1745.0	349000	20	DFT-s-OFDM	64QAM	1	104	4.40	-50.27	54.67
n66	1745.0	349000	20	DFT-s-OFDM	64QAM	50	0	4.40	-55.67	60.07
n66	1745.0	349000	20	DFT-s-OFDM	64QAM	50	28	4.40	-55.51	59.91
n66	1745.0	349000	20	DFT-s-OFDM	64QAM	50	56	4.40	-55.60	60.00
n66	1745.0	349000	20	DFT-s-OFDM	64QAM	100	0	4.40	-54.84	59.24
n66	1745.0	349000	20	DFT-s-OFDM	256QAM	1	1	4.40	-55.16	59.56
n66	1745.0	349000	20	DFT-s-OFDM	256QAM	1	53	4.40	-54.92	59.32
n66	1745.0	349000	20	DFT-s-OFDM	256QAM	1	104	4.40	-55.34	59.74
n66	1745.0	349000	20	DFT-s-OFDM	256QAM	50	0	4.40	-55.45	59.85
n66	1745.0	349000	20	DFT-s-OFDM	256QAM	50	28	4.40	-55.48	59.88
n66	1745.0	349000	20	DFT-s-OFDM	256QAM	50	56	4.40	-55.44	59.84
n66	1745.0	349000	20	DFT-s-OFDM	256QAM	100	0	4.40	-55.13	59.53

An investigation was performed to determine the worst-case NR FDD band to be used for OTT VoIP testing. NR n5 was used for the testing as the worst-case configuration for the handset. See below table for SNNR comparison between different NR FDD bands:

Table 7-12
OTT VoIP (NR FDD) SNNR by Band

Band	Frequency [MHz]	Channel	Bandwidth [MHz]	Waveform	Modulation	RB Size	RB Offset	ABM1 <sub>LTE</sub> [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 <sub>NR</sub> [dB(A/m)]	SNNR <sub>NR</sub> [dB]
n71	680.5	136100	20	CP-OFDM	16QAM	1	1	4.29	-44.28	48.57
n66	1745.0	349000	20	CP-OFDM	16QAM	1	1	4.40	-48.12	52.52
n25	1882.5	376500	20	CP-OFDM	16QAM	1	1	4.57	-49.12	53.69

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 30 of 94
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		rage 30 01 94

# 8. FCC 3G MEASUREMENTS

# I. CDMA Test Configurations

Radio Configuration 1, Service Option 3 (thick, green data curve) was used for the testing as the worst-case configuration for the handset due to vocoder gating from the EVRC logic. See below plot for ABM noise comparison between operational field service options and radio configurations for a CDMA2000 handset:





Figure 8-1
CDMA Audio Band Magnetic Noise

Table 8-1 FCC 3G ABM Measurements for ZNFF100TM (CDMA)

	O O O TABIN INC					
Configuration:	RC1/SO3	RC3/SO3	RC4/SO3	Orientation	Channel	
ABM1 (dBA/m)	4.14	4.10	4.15			
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-40.92	-52.14	-58.67	Axial	384	
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass	Pass	Axial		
S+N/N (dB)	45.06	56.24	62.82			

- Mute on; Backlight off; Max Volume; Max Contrast
- Power Control Bits = "All Up"



**Audio Band Magnetic Curve Measurement Block Diagram** 

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST* Proud to be past of @ minered	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dags 24 of 04
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		Page 31 of 94

© 2020 PCTEST 8/18/2020

# II. UMTS Test Configurations

AMR at 12.2kbps, 13.6kbps SRB (thick, purple data curve) was used for the testing as the worst-case configuration for the handset. See below plot for ABM noise comparison between vocoder rates:




Figure 8-3
UMTS Audio Band Magnetic Noise

Table 8-2 Codec Investigation - UMTS

		co mvestigatio	11 0111110		
Codec Setting:	AMR 12.2kbps	AMR 7.95kbps	AMR 4.75kbps	Orientation	Channel
ABM1 (dBA/m)	6.39	6.37	6.24		
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-52.19	-55.05	-56.56	Axial	9400
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass	Pass	Axiai	
S+N/N (dB)	58.58	61.42	62.80		

- Mute on; Backlight off; Max Volume; Max Contrast
- · TPC="All 1s"



Audio Band Magnetic Curve Measurement Block Diagram

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST* Proved to be part of @ steemed	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 32 of 94
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		Page 32 01 94

Table 9-1 **Consolidated Tabled Results** 

		COI	isoliu	ateu i	abieu	Nesu	เเอ		ı
		-	esponse rgin	_	netic / Verdict		SNNR dict	Margin from FCC Limit	C63.19-2011
		8.3	3.2	8.	3.1	8.3	3.4	(dB)	Rating
C63.19	9 Section	Axial	Radial	Axial	Radial	Axial	Radial	, í	
	Secondary Cellular	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
CDMA	Cellular	PASS	NA.	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-21.42	T4
	PCS	PASS	NA.	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
	Secondary Cellular	PASS	NA.	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
EvDO	Cellular	PASS	NA NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-34.08	T4
(OTT VoIP)	PCS	PASS	NA NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-34.00	1.4
	Cellular	PASS	NA NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
GSM	PCS	PASS	NA NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-12.77	T4
	Cellular	PASS	NA NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
EDGE (OTT VoIP)	PCS	PASS	NA NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-13.13	T4
(0111111)	Cellular	PASS	NA NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
	AWS	PASS	NA NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-37.04	T4
UMTS								-37.04	14
	PCS	PASS	NA NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
HSPA	Cellular		NA NA					26.40	T4
(OTT VoIP)	AWS	PASS	NA NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-36.40	14
	PCS	PASS	NA 	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
	B71	PASS	NA 	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
	B12	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
	B13	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
LTE FDD	B26	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-24.60	T4
	B5	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
	B66	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
	B2	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
	B25	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
LTE FDD (OTT VoIP)	B13	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-25.78	T4
	B41 (PC3)	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
LTE TDD	B41 (PC2)	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-7.71	Т3
	B48	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
LTE TDD (OTT VoIP)	B41 (PC2)	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-15.40	T4
NR FDD (OTT VoIP)	n71	NA	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-25.73	T4
NR TDD (OTT VoIP)	n41	NA	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-10.04	T4
	IEEE 802.11b	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
WLAN	IEEE 802.11g	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-13.43	T4
WLAN	IEEE 802.11n	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-13.43	14
	IEEE 802.11ac	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
	IEEE 802.11b	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
WLAN	IEEE 802.11g	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-17.96	T4
(OTT VoIP)	IEEE 802.11n	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-17.90	14
	IEEE 802.11ac	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
	IEEE 802.11a	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
U-NII	IEEE 802.11n	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-17.51	T4
		PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
	IEEE 802.11ac	1 700							
	IEEE 802.11ac	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
U-NII (OTT VoIP)		1		PASS PASS	PASS PASS	PASS PASS	PASS PASS	-22.06	T4

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dags 22 of 04
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		Page 33 of 94

© 2020 PCTEST REV 3.5.M

#### I. **Raw Handset Data**

Table 9-2 **Raw Data Results for CDMA** 

Mode	Orientation	Channel	DUT Configuration	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
		476	Normal	4.24	-38.95		2.00	43.19	20.00	-23.19	T4	
	Axial	564	Normal	4.09	-39.32	-63.98	2.00	43.41	20.00	-23.41	T4	1.8, 2.8
	Axiai	684	Normal	3.95	-39.53	-03.90	2.00	43.48	20.00	-23.48	T4	1.0, 2.0
Secondary		476	Swivel	4.17	-37.42	] [	2.00	41.59	20.00	-21.59	T4	
Cellular		476	Normal	-2.97	-47.10			44.13	20.00	-24.13	T4	
	Radial	564	Normal	-3.00	-47.58	-63.72	N/A	44.58	20.00	-24.58	T4	2.0, 2.0
	Radiai	684	Normal	-2.94	-47.15	-03.72	IVA	44.21	20.00	-24.21	T4	2.0, 2.0
		476	Swivel	-3.26	-44.68			41.42	20.00	-21.42	T4	
		1013	Normal	4.03	-40.53		2.00	44.56	20.00	-24.56	T4	
	Axial	384	Normal	3.95	-41.07	-63.98	2.00	45.02	20.00	-25.02	T4	1.8, 2.8
	Axidi	777	Normal	4.17	-40.45	-03.96	2.00	44.62	20.00	-24.62	T4	1.0, 2.0
Cellular		1013	Swivel	4.05	-40.59		2.00	44.64	20.00	-24.64	T4	
Celiulai		1013	Normal	-3.02	-47.85			44.83	20.00	-24.83	T4	
	Radial	384	Normal	-2.73	-49.28	-63.72 N/A	46.55	20.00	-26.55	T4	2.0, 2.0	
	Naulai	777	Normal	-3.13	-48.68	-03.72	-63.72 N/A	45.55	20.00	-25.55	T4	2.0, 2.0
		1013	Swivel	-3.15	-46.42			43.27	20.00	-23.27	T4	
		25	Normal	4.11	-41.28		2.00	45.39	20.00	-25.39	T4	
	Axial	600	Normal	4.05	-42.66	-63.98	2.00	46.71	20.00	-26.71	T4	1.8, 2.8
	Axidi	1175	Normal	4.33	-42.95	-03.96	2.00	47.28	20.00	-27.28	T4	1.0, 2.0
PCS		25	Swivel	4.17	-41.45		2.00	45.62	20.00	-25.62	T4	
103		25	Normal	-2.91	-49.95			47.04	20.00	-27.04	T4	
	Radial	600	Normal	-2.74	-50.91	-63.72	N/A	48.17	20.00	-28.17	T4	2.0, 2.0
	radiai	1175	Normal	-2.90	-50.08	-03.72	IVA	47.18	20.00	-27.18	T4	2.0, 2.0
		25	Swivel	-3.13	-48.54			45.41	20.00	-25.41	T4	

Table 9-3 **Raw Data Results for GSM** 

Mode	Orientation	Channel	DUT Configuration	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
		128	Normal	6.91	-27.37		1.92	34.28	20.00	-14.28	T4	
	Axial	190	Normal	6.87	-27.12	-63.98	1.86	33.99	20.00	-13.99	T4	1.8, 2.8
	Axiai	251	Normal	6.87	-27.61	-03.90	1.88	34.48	20.00	-14.48	T4	1.0, 2.0
GSM850		190	Swivel	6.64	-26.13		1.86	32.77	20.00	-12.77	T4	
GSIVIOSU		128	Normal	-0.71	-37.31			36.60	20.00	-16.60	T4	
	Radial	190	Normal	-0.76	-36.48	-63.72	N/A	35.72	20.00	-15.72	T4	2.0, 2.0
	Naulai	251	Normal	-0.75	-39.50		IVA	38.75	20.00	-18.75	T4	2.0, 2.0
		190	Swivel	-1.16	-34.29			33.13	20.00	-13.13	T4	
		512	Normal	6.85	-29.66		1.86	36.51	20.00	-16.51	T4	
	Axial	661	Normal	6.62	-30.16	-63.98	1.90	36.78	20.00	-16.78	T4	1.8, 2.8
	Axiai	810	Normal	6.90	-30.14	-03.90	1.91	37.04	20.00	-17.04	T4	1.0, 2.0
GSM1000		512	Swivel	6.63	-29.24		1.87	35.87	20.00	-15.87	T4	
33111900	Radial	512	Normal	-0.75	-40.83		·	40.08	20.00	-20.08	T4	
		661	Normal	-0.75	-40.78	62.72	N/A	40.03	20.00	-20.03	T4	2.0, 2.0
		810	Normal	-0.78	-40.98	-63.72	IVA	40.20	20.00	-20.20	T4	2.0, 2.0
		661	Swivel	-1.05	-37.88			36.83	20.00	-16.83	T4	

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 34 of 94
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		Fage 34 01 94

Table 9-4 **Raw Data Results for UMTS** 

				itai	· Data :	Results i	01 01111	<u> </u>				
Mode	Orientation	Channel	DUT Configuration	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
		4132	Normal	6.36	-51.91		2.00	58.27	20.00	-38.27	T4	
	Axial	4183	Normal	6.34	-55.02	-63.98	2.00	61.36	20.00	-41.36	T4	1.8, 2.8
	Axidi	4233	Normal	6.34	-54.07	-03.96	2.00	60.41	20.00	-40.41	T4	1.0, 2.0
UMTS V		4132	Swivel	6.05	-54.04		2.00	60.09	20.00	-40.09	T4	
OWISV		4132	Normal	-1.21	-59.61			58.40	20.00	-38.40	T4	
	Radial	4183	Normal	-1.20	-60.65	-63.72	N/A	59.45	20.00	-39.45	T4	2.0, 2.0
	Naulai	4233	Normal	-1.25	-60.61	-03.72	IVA	59.36	20.00	-39.36	T4	2.0, 2.0
		4132	Swivel	-1.45	-61.49			60.04	20.00	-40.04	T4	
		1312	Normal	6.37	-54.26		2.00	60.63	20.00	-40.63	T4	
	Axial	1412	Normal	6.37	-53.06	-63.98	2.00	59.43	20.00	-39.43	T4	1.8, 2.8
	Axiai	1513	Normal	6.33	-52.05		2.00	58.38	20.00	-38.38	T4	1.0, 2.0
UMTS IV		1513	Swivel	6.11	-51.92		2.00	58.03	20.00	-38.03	T4	
OWITSTV		1312	Normal	-1.19	-60.34		-63.72 N/A	59.15	20.00	-39.15	T4	
	Radial	1412	Normal	-1.19	-59.48	62.72		58.29	20.00	-38.29	T4	2.0, 2.0
	Naulai	1513	Normal	-1.21	-60.78	-03.72		59.57	20.00	-39.57	T4	2.0, 2.0
		1412	Swivel	-1.47	-62.04			60.57	20.00	-40.57	T4	
		9262	Normal	6.47	-53.76		2.00	60.23	20.00	-40.23	T4	
	Axial	9400	Normal	6.44	-52.29	-63.98	2.00	58.73	20.00	-38.73	T4	1000
	Axiai	9538	Normal	6.42	-53.12	-03.90	2.00	59.54	20.00	-39.54	T4	1.8, 2.8
UMTS II		9400	Swivel	6.05	-53.58		2.00	59.63	20.00	-39.63	T4	
OWITSII		9262	Normal	-1.19	-59.13			57.94	20.00	-37.94	T4	
	Dadial	9400	Normal	-1.17	-58.21	62.70	N/A	57.04	20.00	-37.04	T4	2.0, 2.0
	Radial	9538	Normal	-1.19	-60.20	-63.72	IV/A	59.01	20.00	-39.01	T4	
		9400	Swivel	-1.46	-59.75			58.29	20.00	-38.29	T4	

Table 9-5 **Raw Data Results for LTE B71** 

Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	DUT Configuration	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
		20MHz	133297	Normal	1.62	-47.55		1.56	49.17	20.00	-29.17	T4	
		15MHz	133297	Normal	1.76	-46.60		1.37	48.36	20.00	-28.36	T4	
	Axial	10MHz	133297	Normal	1.69	-47.02	-63.98	1.58	48.71	20.00	-28.71	T4	1.8, 2.8
		5MHz	133297	Normal	1.67	-48.36		1.44	50.03	20.00	-30.03	T4	
LTE Band 71		15MHz	133297	Swivel	1.71	-45.25		1.49	46.96	20.00	-26.96	T4	1
LIE Ballu / I		20MHz	133297	Normal	-5.52	-56.35			50.83	20.00	-30.83	T4	
	Radial	15MHz	133297	Normal	-5.89	-56.55			50.66	20.00	-30.66	T4	1
		10MHz	133297	Normal	-5.64	-57.07	-63.72	N/A	51.43	20.00	-31.43	T4	2.0, 2.0
		5MHz	133297	Normal	-5.90	-58.36			52.46	20.00	-32.46	T4	1
		15MHz	133297	Swivel	-6.36	-55.78			49.42	20.00	-29.42	T4	1

# Table 9-6 **Raw Data Results for LTE B12**

Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	DUT Configuration	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates	
		10MHz	23095	Normal	1.88	-47.28		1.43	49.16	20.00	-29.16	T4		
		5MHz	23095	Normal	1.91	-49.13	-63.98	1.48	51.04	20.00	-31.04	T4		
	Axial	3MHz	23095	Normal	1.84	-47.51		-63.98 1.35	1.35	49.35	20.00	-29.35	T4	1.8, 2.8
		1.4MHz	23095	Normal	1.82	-47.50		1.57	49.32	20.00	-29.32	T4		
LTE Band 12		10MHz	23095	Swivel	1.55	-47.01		1.45	48.56	20.00	-28.56	T4		
LIE Ballu 12		10MHz	23095	Normal	-6.00	-56.87			50.87	20.00	-30.87	T4		
		5MHz	23095	Normal	-5.66	-56.89			51.23	20.00	-31.23	T4		
	Radial	3MHz	23095	Normal	-5.78	-56.10	-63.72	N/A	50.32	20.00	-30.32	T4	2.0, 2.0	
		1.4MHz	23095	Normal	-5.67	-55.93			50.26	20.00	-30.26	T4		
		1.4MHz	23095	Swivel	-6.14	-55.68			49.54	20.00	-29.54	T4		

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 35 of 94
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		rage 33 of 94

#### Table 9-7 **Raw Data Results for LTE B13**

Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	DUT Configuration	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
		10MHz	23230	Normal	1.77	-43.07	-63.98	1.43	44.84	20.00	-24.84	T4	
	Axial	5MHz	23230	Normal	1.78	-47.00		1.62	48.78	20.00	-28.78	T4	1.8, 2.8
LTE Band 13		10MHz	23230	Swivel	1.60	-43.00		1.48	44.60	20.00	-24.60	T4	
LIE Ballu 13		10MHz	23230	Normal	-5.63	-55.16		63.72 N/A	49.53	20.00	-29.53	T4	
	Radial	5MHz	23230	Normal	-5.64	-55.41	-63.72		49.77	20.00	-29.77	T4	2.0, 2.0
		10MHz	23230	Swivel	-6.24	-52.47			46.23	20.00	-26.23	T4	

### Table 9-8 **Raw Data Results for LTE B26**

Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	DUT Configuration	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
		15MHz	26865	Normal	1.54	-46.06	-63.98	1.40	47.60	20.00	-27.60	T4	
		10MHz	26865	Normal	1.54	-46.18		1.48	47.72	20.00	-27.72	T4	1.8, 2.8
	Axial	5MHz	26865	Normal	1.63	-47.06		1.38	48.69	20.00	-28.69	T4	
		3MHz	26865	Normal	1.67	-46.07		1.39	47.74	20.00	-27.74	T4	
		1.4MHz	26865	Normal	1.78	-47.06		1.59	48.84	20.00	-28.84	T4	
LTE Band 26		15MHz	26865	Swivel	1.53	-46.94		1.46	48.47	20.00	-28.47	T4	
LIE Ballu 20		15MHz	26865	Normal	-5.67	-55.16	-63.72		49.49	20.00	-29.49	T4	
		10MHz	26865	Normal	-5.54	-55.64			50.10	20.00	-30.10	T4	
	Radial	5MHz	26865	Normal	-5.91	-55.86		N/A	49.95	20.00	-29.95	T4	2.0, 2.0
	radiai	3MHz	26865	Normal	-5.86	-55.41		IWA	49.55	20.00	-29.55	T4	2.0, 2.0
		1.4MHz	26865	Normal	-5.95	-57.10			51.15	20.00	-31.15	T4	1
		15MHz	26865	Swivel	-6.03	-53.88			47.85	20.00	-27.85	T4	

### Table 9-9 **Raw Data Results for LTE B5**

Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	DUT Configuration	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates	
		10MHz	20525	Normal	1.75	-46.91		1.37	48.66	20.00	-28.66	T4		
		5MHz	20525	Normal	1.79	-48.47		1.50	50.26	20.00	-30.26	T4		
	Axial	Axial	3MHz	20525	Normal	1.77	-47.86	-63.98	1.49	49.63	20.00	-29.63	T4	1.8, 2.8
		1.4MHz	20525	Normal	1.81	-47.30		1.41	49.11	20.00	-29.11	T4		
LTE Band 5		10MHz	20525	Swivel	1.65	-44.98		1.41	46.63	20.00	-26.63	T4		
LIE Ballu 5		10MHz	20525	Normal	-5.60	-57.12			51.52	20.00	-31.52	T4		
		5MHz	20525	Normal	-5.66	-57.08			51.42	20.00	-31.42	T4		
	Radial	3MHz	20525	Normal	-5.68	-56.82	-63.72	N/A	51.14	20.00	-31.14	T4	2.0, 2.0	
		1.4MHz	20525	Normal	-5.94	-56.57			50.63	20.00	-30.63	T4		
		1.4MHz	20525	Swivel	-5.87	-52.80			46.93	20.00	-26.93	T4		

## **Table 9-10 Raw Data Results for LTE B66**

Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	DUT Configuration	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
		20MHz	132322	Normal	1.69	-48.94		1.43	50.63	20.00	-30.63	T4	1.8, 2.8
		15MHz	132322	Normal	1.52	-46.45	1 [	1.46	47.97	20.00	-27.97	T4	
		10MHz	132322	Normal	1.75	-46.88	1 [	1.65	48.63	20.00	-28.63	T4	
	Axial	5MHz	132322	Normal	1.73	-46.76	-63.98	1.51	48.49	20.00	-28.49	T4	
		3MHz	132322	Normal	1.74	-45.10		1.44	46.84	20.00	-26.84	T4	
		1.4MHz	132322	Normal	1.79	-46.04		1.53	47.83	20.00	-27.83	T4	
LTE Band 66		3MHz	132322	Swivel	1.42	-45.13		1.49	46.55	20.00	-26.55	T4	
LIE Ballu 66		20MHz	132322	Normal	-5.82	-56.82			51.00	20.00	-31.00	T4	2.0, 2.0
		15MHz	132322	Normal	-5.94	-57.12			51.18	20.00	-31.18	T4	
		10MHz	132322	Normal	-5.86	-56.68			50.82	20.00	-30.82	T4	
	Radial	5MHz	132322	Normal	-5.95	-56.32	-63.72	N/A	50.37	20.00	-30.37	T4	
		3MHz	132322	Normal	-5.85	-56.01			50.16	20.00	-30.16	T4	
		1.4MHz	132322	Normal	-5.92	-56.47			50.55	20.00	-30.55	T4	
		3MHz	132322	Swivel	-6.28	-54.57			48.29	20.00	-28.29	T4	

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	Post ST Proud to be post of ® element	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager	
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dags 26 of 04	
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		Page 36 of 94	
© 2020 PCTEST				REV 3.5.M	

#### **Table 9-11 Raw Data Results for LTE B25**

							13 101 E						
Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	DUT Configuration	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
		20MHz	26365	Normal	1.66	-46.99		1.44	48.65	20.00	-28.65	T4	
		15MHz	26365	Normal	1.50	-46.38		1.38	47.88	20.00	-27.88	T4	
		10MHz	26365	Normal	1.67	-46.88		1.60	48.55	20.00	-28.55	T4	
	Axial	5MHz	26365	Normal	1.75	-47.68	-63.98	1.55	49.43	20.00	-29.43	T4	1.8, 2.8
		3MHz	26365	Normal	1.88	-46.43		1.36	48.31	20.00	-28.31	T4	
		1.4MHz	26365	Normal	1.39	-46.50		1.57	47.89	20.00	-27.89	T4	
LTE Band 25		15MHz	26365	Swivel	1.75	-45.89		1.37	47.64	20.00	-27.64	T4	
LIE Ballu 25		20MHz	26365	Normal	-5.65	-55.99			50.34	20.00	-30.34	T4	
		15MHz	26365	Normal	-5.54	-55.44			49.90	20.00	-29.90	T4	
		10MHz	26365	Normal	-5.53	-56.08			50.55	20.00	-30.55	T4	
	Radial	5MHz	26365	Normal	-5.59	-55.98	-63.72	N/A	50.39	20.00	-30.39	T4	2.0, 2.0
		3MHz	26365	Normal	-5.72	-55.51			49.79	20.00	-29.79	T4	
		1.4MHz	26365	Normal	-5.62	-56.60			50.98	20.00	-30.98	T4	
		3MHz	26365	Swivel	-5.95	-55.29			49.34	20.00	-29.34	T4	

#### **Table 9-12** Raw Data Results for LTE B2

					aw Dat	<u> </u>	<u> </u>						
Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	DUT Configuration	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
		20MHz	18900	Normal	2.02	-46.48		1.36	48.50	20.00	-28.50	T4	
		15MHz	18900	Normal	1.59	-48.61		1.51	50.20	20.00	-30.20	T4	
		10MHz	18900	Normal	1.68	-46.71		1.43	48.39	20.00	-28.39	T4	
	Axial	5MHz	18900	Normal	1.90	-46.51	-63.98	1.39	48.41	20.00	-28.41	T4	1.8, 2.8
		3MHz	18900	Normal	1.66	-46.11		1.37	47.77	20.00	-27.77	T4	
		1.4MHz	18900	Normal	2.09	-45.88		1.60	47.97	20.00	-27.97	T4	
		3MHz	18900	Swivel	1.46	-44.88		1.51	46.34	20.00	-26.34	T4	
LTE Band 2		20MHz	19100	Normal	-5.81	-55.23			49.42	20.00	-29.42	T4	
LIE Ballu 2		20MHz	18900	Normal	-5.93	-55.28			49.35	20.00	-29.35	T4	
		20MHz	18700	Normal	-5.89	-55.28			49.39	20.00	-29.39	T4	
		15MHz	18900	Normal	-5.56	-56.18			50.62	20.00	-30.62	T4	
	Radial	10MHz	18900	Normal	-5.68	-56.50	-63.72	N/A	50.82	20.00	-30.82	T4	2.0, 2.0
		5MHz	18900	Normal	-5.58	-56.08			50.50	20.00	-30.50	T4	
		3MHz	18900	Normal	-5.64	-56.08			50.44	20.00	-30.44	T4	
		1.4MHz	18900	Normal	-5.59	-57.11			51.52	20.00	-31.52	T4	
		20MHz	18900	Swivel	-6.15	-54.15			48.00	20.00	-28.00	T4	

#### **Table 9-13** Raw Data Results for LTE B41 Power Class 3

Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	DUT Configuration	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
		20MHz	40620	Normal	1.69	-35.12		1.36	36.81	20.00	-16.81	T4	
		15MHz	40620	Normal	1.78	-34.64		1.43	36.42	20.00	-16.42	T4	
	Axial	10MHz	40620	Normal	1.78	-34.61	-63.98	1.46	36.39	20.00	-16.39	T4	1.8, 2.8
LTE Band 41		5MHz	40620	Normal	1.77	-34.42		1.49	36.19	20.00	-16.19	T4	
		5MHz	40620	Swivel	1.56	-34.22		1.35	35.78	20.00	-15.78	T4	
LIL Danu 41		20MHz	40620	Normal	-5.92	-45.39			39.47	20.00	-19.47	T4	
		15MHz	40620	Normal	-5.55	-45.09			39.54	20.00	-19.54	T4	
	Radial	10MHz	40620	Normal	-5.81	-44.95	-63.72	N/A	39.14	20.00	-19.14	T4	2.0, 2.0
		5MHz	40620	Normal	-5.48	-44.70			39.22	20.00	-19.22	T4	
		10MHz	40620	Swivel	-5.77	-43.20			37.43	20.00	-17.43	T4	

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST* Provid to be part of @ stemmed	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 37 of 94
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		rage 37 01 94

#### **Table 9-14** Raw Data Results for LTE B41 Power Class 2

							. — —						
Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	DUT Configuration	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
		20MHz	40620	Normal	1.83	-33.17		1.37	35.00	20.00	-15.00	T4	
		15MHz	40620	Normal	1.96	-32.51		1.52	34.47	20.00	-14.47	T4	
		10MHz	40620	Normal	1.79	-32.58		1.48	34.37	20.00	-14.37	T4	
		5MHz	41490	Normal	1.98	-33.53		1.43	35.51	20.00	-15.51	T4	
	Axial	5MHz	41055	Normal	1.80	-32.54	-63.98	1.44	34.34	20.00	-14.34	T4	1.8, 2.8
		5MHz	40620	Normal	1.79	-32.40		1.43	34.19	20.00	-14.19	T4	
LTE Band 41		5MHz	40185	Normal	1.94	-33.48		1.51	35.42	20.00	-15.42	T4	
LIE Ballu 41		5MHz	39750	Normal	1.62	-31.73		1.53	33.35	20.00	-13.35	T4	
		5MHz	39750	Swivel	1.74	-31.43		1.51	33.17	20.00	-13.17	T4	
		20MHz	40620	Normal	-5.79	-42.85			37.06	20.00	-17.06	T4	
		15MHz	40620	Normal	-5.50	-42.50			37.00	20.00	-17.00	T4	
	Radial	10MHz	40620	Normal	-5.53	-42.27	-63.72	N/A	36.74	20.00	-16.74	T4	2.0, 2.0
		5MHz	40620	Normal	-5.47	-42.09			36.62	20.00	-16.62	T4	
		5MHz	40620	Swivel	-5.94	-40.69			34.75	20.00	-14.75	T4	

#### **Table 9-15 Raw Data Results for LTE B48**

Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	DUT Configuration	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
		20MHz	55990	Normal	1.96	-36.54		1.45	38.50	20.00	-18.50	T4	
		15MHz	55990	Normal	2.12	-36.50		1.47	38.62	20.00	-18.62	T4	
	Axial	10MHz	55990	Normal	1.81	-36.57	-63.98	1.67	38.38	20.00	-18.38	T4	1.8, 2.8
		5MHz	55990	Normal	1.92	-36.75		1.53	38.67	20.00	-18.67	T4	
		10MHz	55990	Swivel	1.56	-35.80		1.47	37.36	20.00	-17.36	T4	
LTE Band 48		20MHz	55990	Normal	-5.72	-34.65			28.93	20.00	-8.93	T3	
LIE Ballu 46		15MHz	56665	Normal	-5.52	-35.11			29.59	20.00	-9.59	T3	
		15MHz	55990	Normal	-5.85	-34.51			28.66	20.00	-8.66	T3	
	Radial	15MHz	55315	Normal	-5.96	-34.09	-63.72	N/A	28.13	20.00	-8.13	T3	2.0, 2.0
		10MHz	55990	Normal	-5.81	-34.55			28.74	20.00	-8.74	Т3	
		5MHz	55990	Normal	-5.50	-34.26			28.76	20.00	-8.76	T3	
		15MHz	55315	Swivel	-6.13	-33.84			27.71	20.00	-7.71	T3	

#### **Table 9-16** Raw Data Results for 2.4GHz WIFI

				INAW D	ata NES	uits for a	4GHZ V	V II I				
Mode	Orientation	Channel	DUT Configuration	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
		1	Normal	-2.82	-40.87		1.49	38.05	20.00	-18.05	T4	
	Axial	6	Normal	-2.61	-38.69	-64.82	1.44	36.08	20.00	-16.08	T4	4000
	Axiai	11	Normal	-2.29	-41.06	-64.82	1.50	38.77	20.00	-18.77	T4	1.8, 2.8
IEEE		6	Swivel	-2.98	-38.41		1.35	35.43	20.00	-15.43	T4	
802.11b		1	Normal	-10.02	-47.81			37.79	20.00	-17.79	T4	
	Radial	6	Normal	-9.93	-49.52	62.72	N/A	39.59	20.00	-19.59	T4	2.0, 2.0
	Radiai	11	Normal	-9.93	-50.97	-63.72	IVA	41.04	20.00	-21.04	T4	2.0, 2.0
		1	Swivel	-10.23	-43.66			33.43	20.00	-13.43	T4	
IEEE	Axial	6	Normal	-2.47	-45.90	-64.82	1.48	43.43	20.00	-23.43	T4	1.8, 2.8
802.11g	Radial	6	Normal	-10.06	-54.54	-63.72	N/A	44.48	20.00	-24.48	T4	2.0, 2.0
IEEE	Axial	6	Normal	-2.26	-46.03	-64.82	1.40	43.77	20.00	-23.77	T4	1.8, 2.8
802.11n	Radial	6	Normal	-9.57	-53.98	-63.72	N/A	44.41	20.00	-24.41	T4	2.0, 2.0
IEEE	Axial	6	Normal	-2.80	-45.11	-64.82	1.42	42.31	20.00	-22.31	T4	1.8, 2.8
802.11ac	Radial	6	Normal	-9.90	-54.19	-63.72	N/A	44.29	20.00	-24.29	T4	2.0, 2.0

#### **Table 9-17** Raw Data Results for 5GHz WIFI IEEE 802.11a

М	lode	Orientation	Bandwidth	U-NII	Channel	DUT Configuration	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)		Test Coordinates
		Axial	20MHz	1	40	Normal	-2.38	-45.44	-64.82	1.44	43.06	20.00	-23.06	T4	1.8, 2.8
IEEE:	802.11a														
		Radial	20MHz	1	40	Normal	-9.58	-54.97	-63.72	N/A	45.39	20.00	-25.39	T4	2.0, 2.0

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST* Proved to be part of @ secreted	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 38 of 94
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		Page 36 01 94

Table 9-18
Raw Data Results for 5GHz WIFI IEEE 802.11n

Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	U-NII	Channel	DUT Configuration	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
		40MHz	1	38	Normal	-2.34	-43.11		1.46	40.77	20.00	-20.77	T4	
		20MHz	1	36	Normal	-2.69	-45.21		1.54	42.52	20.00	-22.52	T4	
		20MHz	1	40	Normal	-2.44	-41.53		1.37	39.09	20.00	-19.09	T4	
		20MHz	1	48	Normal	-2.55	-43.81		1.44	41.26	20.00	-21.26	T4	
		40MHz	2A	54	Normal	-2.36	-46.89		1.45	44.53	20.00	-24.53	T4	
	Axial	20MHz	2A	56	Normal	-2.15	-43.02	-64.82	1.66	40.87	20.00	-20.87	T4	1.8, 2.8
		40MHz	2C	118	Normal	-2.90	-46.76		1.55	43.86	20.00	-23.86	T4	
		20MHz	2C	120	Normal	-2.57	-44.33		1.53	41.76	20.00	-21.76	T4	
		40MHz	3	151	Normal	-2.32	-46.88		1.48	44.56	20.00	-24.56	T4	
		20MHz	3	157	Normal	-2.42	-43.41		1.66	40.99	20.00	-20.99	T4	
IEEE		20MHz	1	40	Swivel	-2.67	-40.18		1.47	37.51	20.00	-17.51	T4	
802.11n														
002.1111		40MHz	1	38	Normal	-9.93	-57.07			47.14	20.00	-27.14	T4	
		20MHz	1	40	Normal	-10.02	-54.90			44.88	20.00	-24.88	T4	
		40MHz	2A	54	Normal	-10.02	-55.83			45.81	20.00	-25.81	T4	
		20MHz	2A	52	Normal	-9.96	-54.37			44.41	20.00	-24.41	T4	
		20MHz	2A	56	Normal	-10.10	-54.23			44.13	20.00	-24.13	T4	
	Radial	20MHz	2A	64	Normal	-9.93	-54.09	-63.72	N/A	44.16	20.00	-24.16	T4	2.0, 2.0
		40MHz	2C	118	Normal	-9.61	-56.31			46.70	20.00	-26.70	T4	
		20MHz	2C	120	Normal	-10.12	-54.32			44.20	20.00	-24.20	T4	1
		40MHz	3	151	Normal	-10.00	-55.57			45.57	20.00	-25.57	T4	1
		20MHz	3	157	Normal	-9.92	-54.84			44.92	20.00	-24.92	T4	1
		20MHz	2A	56	Swivel	-9.80	-55.69			45.89	20.00	-25.89	T4	1

Table 9-19
Raw Data Results for 5GHz WIFI IEEE 802.11ac

	Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	U-NII	Channel	DUT Configuration	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011	Test Coordinates
		Axial	40MHz	1	38	Normal	-2.32	-43.78	-64.82	1.49	41.46	20.00	-21.46	T4	1.8, 2.8
	IEEE	Axiai	20MHz	1	40	Normal	-2.29	-41.75	-04.02	1.54	39.46	20.00	-19.46	T4	1.0, 2.0
	802.11ac	Radial	40MHz	1	38	Normal	-10.08	-56.81	-63.72	N/A	46.73	20.00	-26.73	T4	2.0. 2.0
		Radiai	20MHz	1	40	Normal	-9.63	-55.46	-03.72	IN/A	45.83	20.00	-25.83	T4	2.0, 2.0

Table 9-20 Raw Data Results for EvDO (OTT VoIP)

			110	W Data	INCOUL	3 101 EV	00 (01	i voir)				
Mode	Orientation	Channel	DUT Configuration	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
Secondary	Axial	564	Normal	4.39	-55.21	-63.98	1.59	59.60	20.00	-39.60	T4	1.8, 2.8
Cellular	Radial	564	Normal	-3.36	-59.71	-63.72	N/A	56.35	20.00	-36.35	T4	2.0, 2.0
EvDO	Radiai	564	Swivel	-3.14	-59.17	-03.72	IVA	56.03	20.00	-36.03	T4	2.0, 2.0
	Axial	384	Normal	4.47	-54.56	-63.98	1.61	59.03	20.00	-39.03	T4	1.8, 2.8
Cellular EvDO	Axidi	384	Swivel	4.22	-53.51	-03.90	1.57	57.73	20.00	-37.73	T4	1.0, 2.0
	Radial	384	Normal	-3.01	-59.90	-63.72	N/A	56.89	20.00	-36.89	T4	2.0, 2.0
	Axial	600	Normal	4.71	-54.24	62.00	1.62	58.95	20.00	-38.95	T4	40.00
PCS EvDO	Axiai	600	Swivel	4.46	-53.05	-63.98	1.58	57.51	20.00	-37.51	T4	1.8, 2.8
	Radial	600	Normal	-3.12	-58.81	-63.72	N/A	55.69	20.00	-35.69	T4	2.0, 2.0
	Radiai	600	Swivel	-3.13	-57.21	-03.72	IVA	54.08	20.00	-34.08	T4	2.0, 2.0

# Table 9-21 Raw Data Results for EDGE (OTT VoIP)

			K	aw Data	ı Kesuit	S for ED	GE (OTI	VOIP)				
Mode	Orientation	Channel	DUT Configuration	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
	Axial	190	Normal	4.50	-28.79	-63.98	1.50	33.29	20.00	-13.29	T4	1.8, 2.8
EDGESEN	EDGE850	190	Swivel	3.99	-29.14	-03.90	1.52	33.13	20.00	-13.13	T4	1.0, 2.0
EDGE030	Radial	190	Normal	-3.22	-41.04	-63.72	N/A	37.82	20.00	-17.82	T4	2.0, 2.0
	Naulai	190	Swivel	-3.53	-37.35	-03.72	IV.	33.82	20.00	-13.82	T4	2.0, 2.0
	Axial	661	Normal	4.87	-33.55	-63.98	1.42	38.42	20.00	-18.42	T4	1.8, 2.8
EDGE1900	Axiai	661	Swivel	4.52	-32.36	-03.90	1.38	36.88	20.00	-16.88	T4	1.0, 2.0
EDGE1900	Radial	661	Normal	-3.13	-42.18	-63.72	N/A	39.05	20.00	-19.05	T4	2.0, 2.0
	Naulai	661	Swivel	-3.53	-41.03	-03.72	IWA	37.50	20.00	-17.50	T4	2.0, 2.0

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 39 of 94
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		rage 39 of 94

## Table 9-22 Raw Data Results for HSPA (OTT VoIP)

Naw Data Results 101 115FA (OT1 VOIF)													
Mode	Orientation	Channel	DUT Configuration	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates	
	Axial	4183	Normal	4.77	-55.26	-63.98	1.38	60.03	20.00	-40.03	T4	1.8, 2.8	
HSPA V	Axiai	4183	Swivel	4.37	-53.94	-03.90	1.21	58.31	20.00	-38.31	T4	1.0, 2.0	
HOFA V	Radial	4183	Normal	-2.84	-59.99	-63.72	N/A	57.15	20.00	-37.15	T4	2.0, 2.0	
	Naulai	4183	Swivel	-3.25	-60.42	-03.72	INA	57.17	20.00	-37.17	T4	2.0, 2.0	
	Avial	1412	Normal	4.79	-53.89	-63.98	1.26	58.68	20.00	-38.68	T4	1.8, 2.8	
HCDA IV	Axial HSPA IV	1412	Swivel	3.98	-53.13	-03.90	1.46	57.11	20.00	-37.11	T4	1.0, 2.0	
HOPA IV	Radial	1412	Normal	-2.75	-59.89	-63.72	N/A	57.14	20.00	-37.14	T4	2.0, 2.0	
	Raulai	1412	Swivel	-3.20	-60.21	-03.72	IN/A	57.01	20.00	-37.01	T4	2.0, 2.0	
	Axial	9400	Normal	5.04	-53.93	62.00	1.66	58.97	20.00	-38.97	T4	10.00	
HSPA II	Axiai	9400	Swivel	4.10	-54.22	-63.98	1.28	58.32	20.00	-38.32	T4	1.8, 2.8	
norA II	Dadial	9400	Normal	-2.72	-59.90	62.70	N/A	57.18	20.00	-37.18	T4	20.20	
	Radial	9400	Swivel	-3.11	-59.51	-63.72	IN/A	56.40	20.00	-36.40	T4	2.0, 2.0	

## Table 9-23 Raw Data Results for LTE B13 (OTT VoIP)

Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	DUT Configuration	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
		10MHz	23230	Normal	4.31	-41.47		1.39	45.78	20.00	-25.78	T4	
	Axial	5MHz	23230	Normal	4.58	-45.27	-63.98	1.50	49.85	20.00	-29.85	T4	1.8, 2.8
LTE Band 13		10MHz	23230	Swivel	4.30	-42.23		1.45	46.53	20.00	-26.53	T4	
LIE Band 13		10MHz	23230	Normal	-3.83	-53.13			49.30	20.00	-29.30	T4	
	Radial	5MHz	23230	Normal	-3.39	-55.10	-63.72	N/A	51.71	20.00	-31.71	T4	2.0, 2.0
		10MHz	23230	Swivel	-3.59	-52.44			48.85	20.00	-28.85	T4	

Table 9-24
Raw Data Results for LTE B41 (PC2) (OTT VoIP)

Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	DUT Configuration	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
		20MHz	40620	Normal	4.73	-32.24		1.27	36.97	20.00	-16.97	T4	
		15MHz	40620	Normal	4.67	-32.23		1.50	36.90	20.00	-16.90	T4	
		10MHz	40620	Normal	4.31	-32.13		1.57	36.44	20.00	-16.44	T4	
		5MHz	41490	Normal	4.65	-33.32		1.46	37.97	20.00	-17.97	T4	
	Axial	5MHz	41055	Normal	4.39	-31.77	-63.98	1.46	36.16	20.00	-16.16	T4	1.8, 2.8
		5MHz	40620	Normal	4.33	-31.82		1.32	36.15	20.00	-16.15	T4	
		5MHz	40185	Normal	4.63	-33.09		1.43	37.72	20.00	-17.72	T4	
		5MHz	39750	Normal	4.14	-31.52		1.58	35.66	20.00	-15.66	T4	
LTE Band 41		5MHz	39750	Swivel	4.13	-31.27		1.45	35.40	20.00	-15.40	T4	
LIE Ballu 41		20MHz	40620	Normal	-3.21	-42.72			39.51	20.00	-19.51	T4	
		15MHz	40620	Normal	-3.42	-42.25			38.83	20.00	-18.83	T4	
		10MHz	40620	Normal	-3.47	-42.01			38.54	20.00	-18.54	T4	
		5MHz	41490	Normal	-3.12	-43.53			40.41	20.00	-20.41	T4	
	Radial	5MHz	41055	Normal	-3.53	-42.27	-63.72	N/A	38.74	20.00	-18.74	T4	2.0, 2.0
		5MHz	40620	Normal	-4.26	-41.95			37.69	20.00	-17.69	T4	
		5MHz	40185	Normal	-3.47	-42.96			39.49	20.00	-19.49	T4	1
		5MHz	39750	Normal	-3.95	-41.56			37.61	20.00	-17.61	T4	1
		5MHz	39750	Swivel	-3.56	-39.63			36.07	20.00	-16.07	T4	1

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST To Road to be part of the element	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dago 40 of 04
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		Page 40 of 94

## Table 9-25 Raw Data Results for NR n5 (OTT VoIP)

									<del>- 1</del>						
Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	DUT Config	ABM1 <sub>LTE</sub> [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 <sub>NR</sub> [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 <sub>LTE</sub> [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N <sub>NR</sub> (dB)	S+N/N <sub>NR</sub> - 3 dB (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
		20MHz	137600	Normal	4.43	-45.54	-46.61			49.97	46.97	20.00	-26.97	T4	
		20MHz	136100	Normal	4.43	-45.24	-46.61			49.67	46.67	20.00	-26.67	T4	
		20MHz	134600	Normal	4.43	-44.30	-46.61			48.73	45.73	20.00	-25.73	T4	
	Axial	15MHz	136100	Normal	4.43	-45.60	-46.61	-64.82	N/A	50.03	47.03	20.00	-27.03	T4	1.8, 2.8
		10MHz	136100	Normal	4.43	-46.03	-46.61			50.46	47.46	20.00	-27.46	T4	
		5MHz	136100	Normal	4.43	-45.74	-46.61			50.17	47.17	20.00	-27.17	T4	
NR n71		20MHz	134600	Swivel	4.43	-44.53	-46.61			48.96	45.96	20.00	-25.96	T4	
NK II/1		20MHz	136100	Normal	-3.70	-57.43	-57.93			53.73	50.73	20.00	-30.73	T4	
		15MHz	136100	Normal	-3.70	-57.38	-57.93			53.68	50.68	20.00	-30.68	T4	
		10MHz	136100	Normal	-3.70	-57.20	-57.93			53.50	50.50	20.00	-30.50	T4	
	Radial	5MHz	139100	Normal	-3.70	-56.48	-57.93	-63.72	N/A	52.78	49.78	20.00	-29.78	T4	2.0, 2.0
		5MHz	136100	Normal	-3.70	-57.03	-57.93			53.33	50.33	20.00	-30.33	T4	
		5MHz	133100	Normal	-3.70	-56.80	-57.93			53.10	50.10	20.00	-30.10	T4	
		5MHz	139100	Swivel	-3.70	-56.58	-57.93			52.88	49.88	20.00	-29.88	T4	1

## Table 9-26 Raw Data Results for LTE B5 (OTT VoIP – Additional Measurements for NR)

	Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	DUT Config	ABM1 <sub>LTE</sub> [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 <sub>NR</sub> [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 <sub>LTE</sub> [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N <sub>LTE</sub> (dB)	S+N/N <sub>NR</sub> - 3 dB (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
	LTE Band 71	Axial	20MHz	133297	Normal	4.43	N/A	-46.61	-64.82	N/A	51.04	N/A	20.00	-31.04	T4	1.8, 2.8
		Radial	20MHz	133297	Normal	-3.70	N/A	-57.93	-63.72	NA	54.23	IVA	20.00	-34.23	T4	2.0, 2.0

Table 9-27
Raw Data Results for NR n41 (PC2) (OTT VoIP)

Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	DUT Configuration	ABM1 <sub>LTE</sub> [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 <sub>NR</sub> [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 <sub>LTE</sub> [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N <sub>NR</sub> (dB)	S+N/N <sub>NR</sub> - 3 dB (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
		100MHz	518598	Normal	4.73	-33.64	-32.24			38.37	35.37	20.00	-15.37	T4	
		90MHz	518598	Normal	4.73	-33.90	-32.24			38.63	35.63	20.00	-15.63	T4	
		80MHz	518598	Normal	4.73	-33.58	-32.24			38.31	35.31	20.00	-15.31	T4	
		60MHz	518598	Normal	4.73	-33.34	-32.24			38.07	35.07	20.00	-15.07	T4	
		50MHz	518598	Normal	4.73	-33.20	-32.24			37.93	34.93	20.00	-14.93	T4	
	Axial	40MHz	534000	Normal	-64 82	64.92	N/A	37.81	34.81	20.00	-14.81	T4	1.8, 2.8		
	Axiai	40MHz	526302	Normal	4.73	-32.25	-32.24	-04.02	IWA	36.98	33.98	20.00	-13.98	T4	1.0, 2.0
		40MHz	518598	Normal	4.73	-32.69	-32.24			37.42	34.42	20.00	-14.42	T4	
		40MHz	510900	Normal	4.73	-33.51	-32.24			38.24	35.24	20.00	-15.24	T4	
		40MHz	503202	Normal	4.73	-33.31	-32.24			38.04	35.04	20.00	-15.04	T4	
		20MHz	518598	Normal	4.73	-32.71	-32.24			37.44	34.44	20.00	-14.44	T4	
NR n41		40MHz	526302	Swivel	4.73	-32.17	-32.24			36.90	33.90	20.00	-13.90	T4	
NK II41		100MHz	518598	Normal	-3.21	-37.70	-42.72			34.49	31.49	20.00	-11.49	T4	
		90MHz	518598	Normal	-3.21	-37.76	-42.72			34.55	31.55	20.00	-11.55	T4	
		80MHz	518598	Normal	-3.21	-37.64	-42.72			34.43	31.43	20.00	-11.43	T4	
		60MHz	518598	Normal	-3.21	-37.49	-42.72			34.28	31.28	20.00	-11.28	T4	
		50MHz	518598	Normal	-3.21	-37.01	-42.72			33.80	30.80	20.00	-10.80	T4	
	Radial	40MHz	518598	Normal	-3.21	-36.61	-42.72	-63.72	N/A	33.40	30.40	20.00	-10.40	T4	2.0, 2.0
	Radiai	20MHz	535998	Normal	-3.21	-37.25	-42.72	-03.72	IWA	34.04	31.04	20.00	-11.04	T4	2.0, 2.0
		20MHz	527298	Normal	-3.21	-37.20	-42.72			33.99	30.99	20.00	-10.99	T4	
		20MHz	518598	Normal	-3.21	-36.25	-42.72			33.04	30.04	20.00	-10.04	T4	
		20MHz	509898	Normal	-3.21	-37.94	-42.72			34.73	31.73	20.00	-11.73	T4	
		20MHz	501204	Normal	-3.21	-37.75	-42.72			34.54	31.54	20.00	-11.54	T4	
		20MHz	518598	Swivel	-3.21	-36.49	-42.72			33.28	30.28	20.00	-10.28	T4	

## Table 9-28 Raw Data Results for LTE B41 (PC2) (OTT VoIP – Additional Measurements for NR)

	11411	Dutui	<b>LOGUIL</b>	,		(	, , $\circ$	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Additi	Ollai II	icasai				
Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	DUT Configuration	ABM1 <sub>LTE</sub> [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 <sub>NR</sub> [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 <sub>LTE</sub> [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N <sub>LTE</sub> (dB)	S+N/N <sub>NR</sub> - 3 dB (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)		Test Coordinates
LTE B41	Axial	20MHz	40620	Normal	4.73	N/A	-32.24	-64.82	N/A	36.97	N/A	20.00	-16.97	T4	1.8, 2.8
L1E B41	Radial	20MHz	40620	Normal	-3.21	IVA	-42.72	-63.72	IVA	39.51	N/A	20.00	-19.51	T4	2.0, 2.0

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST* Proud to be part of @ element	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 41 of 94
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		Fage 41 01 94

#### **Table 9-29** Raw Data Results for 2.4GHz WIFI (OTT VoIP)

				<u> </u>	<del></del>	71 Z.TOI1	/ .	<del>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </del>	,			
Mode	Orientation	Channel	DUT Configuration	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
		1	Normal	4.92	-38.81		1.15	43.73	20.00	-23.73	T4	
	Axial	6	Normal	4.82	-38.59	-64.82	1.29	43.41	20.00	-23.41	T4	1.8, 2.8
	Axiai	11	Normal	4.95	-39.78	-04.02	1.40	44.73	20.00	-24.73	T4	1.0, 2.0
IEEE		6	Swivel	4.58	-35.64		1.44	40.22	20.00	-20.22	T4	
802.11b		1	Normal	-3.12	-46.69			43.57	20.00	-23.57	T4	
	Radial	6	Normal	-3.31	-46.33	-63.72	N/A	43.02	20.00	-23.02	T4	2.0, 2.0
	Radiai	11	Normal	-2.76	-46.84	-03.72	IN/A	44.08	20.00	-24.08	T4	2.0, 2.0
		6	Swivel	-2.87	-40.83			37.96	20.00	-17.96	T4	
IEEE	Axial	6	Normal	4.45	-41.89	-64.82	1.55	46.34	20.00	-26.34	T4	1.8, 2.8
802.11g	Radial	6	Normal	-2.71	-48.38	-63.72	N/A	45.67	20.00	-25.67	T4	2.0, 2.0
IEEE	Axial	6	Normal	4.48	-40.57	-64.82	1.37	45.05	20.00	-25.05	T4	1.8, 2.8
802.11n		6	Normal	-3.13	-48.34	-63.72	N/A	45.21	20.00	-25.21	T4	2.0, 2.0
IEEE	Axial	6	Normal	4.45	-40.30	-64.82	1.47	44.75	20.00	-24.75	T4	1.8, 2.8
802.11ac	Radial	6	Normal	-3.08	-46.82	-63.72	N/A	43.74	20.00	-23.74	T4	2.0, 2.0

#### **Table 9-30**

#### Raw Data Results for 5GHz WIFI IEEE 802.11a (OTT VoIP)

Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	U-NII	Channel	DUT Configuration	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011	Test Coordinates
IEEE	Axial	20MHz	1	40	Normal	4.75	-43.33	-64.82	1.60	48.08	20.00	-28.08	T4	1.8, 2.8
802.11														
002.11	Radial	20MHz	1	40	Normal	-2.86	-53.88	-63.72	N/A	51.02	20.00	-31.02	T4	2.0, 2.0

#### **Table 9-31**

#### Raw Data Results for 5GHz WIFI IEEE 802.11n (OTT VoIP)

Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	U-NII	Channel	DUT Configuration	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011	Test Coordinates
	Axial	40MHz	1	38	Normal	4.68	-43.52	-64.82	1.61	48.20	20.00	-28.20	T4	1.8, 2.8
IEEE	Axiai	20MHz	1	40	Normal	4.59	-42.29	-04.02	1.63	46.88	20.00	-26.88	T4	1.0, 2.0
802.11n														
002.1111	Radial	40MHz	1	38	Normal	-2.84	-55.06	-63.72	N/A	52.22	20.00	-32.22	T4	2.0, 2.0
	Radiai	20MHz	1	40	Normal	-3.03	-53.13	-03.72	IVA	50.10	20.00	-30.10	T4	2.0, 2.0

#### **Table 9-32**

#### Raw Data Results for 5GHz WIFI IEEE 802.11ac (OTT VoIP)

			ZCIVV	Data IX	Juito it	JI UUII	_ ****		L. I Iuc	(011)	<i>,</i> OII <i>,</i>			
Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	U-NII	Channel	DUT Configuration	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
		40MHz	1	38	Normal	4.63	-45.09		1.58	49.72	20.00	-29.72	T4	
		20MHz	1	40	Normal	4.65	-41.78		1.59	46.43	20.00	-26.43	T4	
		40MHz	2A	54	Normal	4.62	-44.14		1.58	48.76	20.00	-28.76	T4	
		20MHz	2A	56	Normal	4.89	-40.92		1.64	45.81	20.00	-25.81	T4	]
		40MHz	2C	118	Normal	4.56	-43.45		1.60	48.01	20.00	-28.01	T4	
	Axial	20MHz	2C	100	Normal	4.69	-39.60	-64.82	1.60	44.29	20.00	-24.29	T4	1.8, 2.8
		20MHz	2C	120	Normal	4.27	-40.52		1.60	44.79	20.00	-24.79	T4	
		20MHz	2C	144	Normal	4.64	-40.02		1.61	44.66	20.00	-24.66	T4	
		40MHz	3	151	Normal	4.66	-41.99		1.63	46.65	20.00	-26.65	T4	
		20MHz	3	157	Normal	4.70	-41.30		1.63	46.00	20.00	-26.00	T4	1
IEEE		20MHz	2C	100	Swivel	4.50	-37.56		1.59	42.06	20.00	-22.06	T4	
802.11ac														
002.1140		40MHz	1	38	Normal	-3.08	-54.96			51.88	20.00	-31.88	T4	
		20MHz	1	40	Normal	-3.03	-52.45			49.42	20.00	-29.42	T4	
		40MHz	2A	54	Normal	-3.13	-54.60			51.47	20.00	-31.47	T4	
		20MHz	2A	56	Normal	-2.66	-51.81			49.15	20.00	-29.15	T4	
		40MHz	2C	118	Normal	-3.14	-54.46			51.32	20.00	-31.32	T4	
	Radial	20MHz	2C	100	Normal	-3.43	-51.34	-63.72	N/A	47.91	20.00	-27.91	T4	2.0, 2.0
		20MHz	2C	120	Normal	-3.02	-51.62			48.60	20.00	-28.60	T4	
		20MHz	2C	144	Normal	-3.02	-51.56			48.54	20.00	-28.54	T4	
		40MHz	3	151	Normal	-3.45	-54.23			50.78	20.00	-30.78	T4	
		20MHz	3	157	Normal	-2.89	-52.55			49.66	20.00	-29.66	T4	
		20MHz	2C	100	Swivel	-3.72	-52.70			48.98	20.00	-28.98	T4	

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 42 of 94
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		Fage 42 01 94

#### II. Test Notes

#### A. General

- 1. Phone Condition: Mute on; Backlight off; Max Volume; Max Contrast
- 2. 'Radial' orientation refers to radial transverse.
- 3. Hearing Aid Mode (Phone→Call Settings→Additional Settings→Hearing aids) was set to ON for Frequency Response compliance
- 4. Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice
- 5. Bluetooth and WIFI were disabled while testing 2G/3G/4G/5G modes.
- 6. Licensed data modes and Bluetooth were disabled while testing WIFI modes.
- 7. The Margin from FCC limit column indicates a margin from the FCC limit for compliance (T3).
- 8. Each band/mode was additionally evaluated in the Swivel mode as described in section 2.II.

#### B. CDMA

- 1. Power Configuration: Power Control Bits = "All Up"
- 2. Vocoder Configuration: RC1/SO3 (CDMA EVRC)

#### C. GSM

- 1. Power Configuration: GSM850: PCL=5, GSM1900: PCL=0;
- 2. Vocoder Configuration: EFR (GSM);

#### D. UMTS

- 1. Power Configuration: TPC= "All 1s";
- 2. Vocoder Configuration: AMR 12.2 kbps (UMTS);

#### E. LTE FDD

- 1. Power Configuration: TPC = "Max Power"
- 2. Radio Configuration: 16QAM, 1RB, 0RB offset
- 3. Vocoder Configuration: WB AMR 6.60kbps
- 4. The worst-case band and bandwidth combination for each probe orientation is additionally tested on the low and high channels for those combinations. LTE Band 13 at 10MHz is the worst-case for the Axial and Radial probe orientation. However, since LTE Band 13 at 10MHz bandwidth supports only one channel, no additional testing was performed.

#### F. LTE TDD

- 1. Power Configuration: TPC = "Max Power"
- 2. Radio Configuration: 16QAM, 1RB, 0RB offset
- 3. Power Class 3 Uplink-Downlink configuration: 2
- 4. Power Class 2 Uplink-Downlink configuration: 2
- 5. Vocoder Configuration: WB AMR 6.60kbps
- 6. The worst-case band and bandwidth combination for each probe orientation is additionally tested on the low, low-mid, high-mid, and high channels for those combinations. LTE Band 41 (Power Class 2) at 5MHz is the worst-case for the Axial probe orientation. LTE Band 48 (Power Class 3) at 15MHz is the worst-case for the Radial probe orientation.

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST* Proud to be part of @ element	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dogo 42 of 04
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		Page 43 of 94

#### G. WIFI

- 1. Radio Configuration
  - a. IEEE 802.11b: CCK, 5.5Mbps
  - b. IEEE 802.11g/a: QPSK. 18Mbps
  - c. IEEE 802.11n/ac 20MHz: QPSK, MCS 1
  - d. IEEE 802.11n/ac 40MHz: 64QAM, MCS 6
- 2. Vocoder Configuration: WB AMR 6.60kbps
- 3. The worst-case standard for 2.4GHz WIFI in each probe orientation is additionally tested on the low and high channels. IEEE 802.11b is the worst-case for the Axial and Radial probe orientation.
- 4. The worst-case standard for 5GHz WIFI in each probe orientation is additionally tested on higher U-NII bands as well as applicable low and high channels. IEEE 802.11n 20MHz (U-NII 1) is the worst-case for the Axial probe orientation. IEEE 802.11n 20MHz (U-NII 2A) is the worst-case for the Radial probe orientation.

#### H. OTT VolP

- 1. Vocoder Configuration: 6kbps
- 2. EvDO Configuration
  - a. Revision: A
- 3. EDGE Configuration
  - a. MCS Index: 7
  - b. Number of TX slots: 2
- 4. HSPA Configuration:
  - a. Release: 6
  - b. 3GPP 34.121 Subtest 1
- 5. LTE FDD Configuration:
  - a. Power Configuration: TPC = "Max Power"
  - b. Radio Configuration: 16QAM, 1RB, 0RB offset
  - c. LTE Band 13 was the worst-case band from Table 7-6 and was used to test both Axial and Radial probe orientations.
  - The worst-case band and bandwidth combination for each probe orientation is additionally tested on the low and high channels for those combinations. LTE Band 13 at 10MHz is the worst-case for the Axial and Radial probe orientation. However, since LTE Band 13 at 10MHz bandwidth supports only one channel, no additional testing was performed.
- LTE TDD Configuration:
  - a. Power Configuration: TPC = "Max Power"
  - b. Radio Configuration: 16QAM, 1RB, 0RB offset
  - c. Power Class 2 Uplink-Downlink configuration: 1
  - d. LTE Band 41 (PC2) was the worst-case band from Table 7-7 and was used to test both Axial and Radial probe orientations.
  - e. The worst-case band and bandwidth combination for each probe orientation is additionally tested on the low, low-mid, high-mid, and high channels for those combinations. LTE Band 41 (Power Class 2) at 5MHz is the worst-case for the Axial and Radial probe orientation.
- 7. NR FDD Configuration
  - a. Power Configuration: TxAGC is set such that the DUT operates at max power.
  - b. Radio Configuration: CP-OFDM, 16QAM, 1RB, 1RB Offset
  - Due to equipment limitations, ABM1 measurements were not possible. Therefore, the procedure outlined in Section 7.II.5 was followed to obtain SNNR values, Additionally. Frequency Response measurements were not possible due to equipment limitations.
  - NR Band n71 was the worst-case band from Table 7-12 and was used to test both Axial and Radial probe orientations.

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST* Proud to be post of the second	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 44 of 94
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		Fage 44 01 94

e. The worst-case band and bandwidth combination for each probe orientation is additionally tested on the low and high channels for those combinations. NR n71 at 20MHz is the worstcase for the Axial probe orientation. NR n71 at 5MHz is the worst-case for the Radial probe orientation.

#### 8. NR TDD Configuration


- a. Power Configuration: TxAGC is set such that the DUT operates at max power.
- Radio Configuration: CP-OFDM, 16QAM, 1RB, 0RB Offset Due to equipment limitations, ABM1 measurements were not possible. Therefore, the procedure outlined in Section 7.II.5 was followed to obtain SNNR values. Additionally, Frequency Response measurements were not possible due to equipment limitations.
- The worst-case band and bandwidth combination for each probe orientation is additionally tested on the low and high channels for those combinations. NR n41 at 40MHz is the worstcase for the Axial probe orientation. NR n41 at 20MHz bandwidth is the worst-case for the Radial probe orientation.
- 9. WIFI Configuration:

rofilm, without permission in writing from PCTES nts thereof, please contact INFO@PCTEST.COM.


- a. Radio Configuration
  - i. IEEE 802.11b: CCK, 5.5Mbps
  - ii. IEEE 802.11g/a: QPSK, 18Mbps
  - iii. IEEE 802.11n/ac 20MHz: QPSK, MCS 1
  - iv. IEEE 802.11n/ac 40MHz: 64QAM, MCS 6
- b. The worst-case standard for 2.4GHz WIFI in each probe orientation is additionally tested on the low and high channels. IEEE 802.11b is the worst-case for the Axial and Radial probe orientation.
- c. The worst-case standard for 5GHz WIFI in each probe orientation is additionally tested on higher U-NII bands as well as applicable low and high channels. IEEE 802.11ac 20MHz (U-NII 2C) is the worst-case for the Axial and Radial probe orientation.

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename: 1M2007230115-21.ZNF	<b>Test Dates:</b> 9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	DUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 45 of 94
11V12007230113-21.ZINF	9/1/2020 - 9/13/2020	Fortable Harluset		


## III. 1 kHz Vocoder Application Check



This model was verified to be within the linear region for ABM1 measurements at -18 dBm0 for CDMA. This measurement was taken in the axial configuration above the maximum location.



This model was verified to be within the linear region for ABM1 measurements at -16 dBm0 for GSM, UMTS, and VoLTE over IMS. This measurement was taken in the axial configuration above the maximum location.



This model was verified to be within the linear region for ABM1 measurements at -20 dBm0 for VoWIFI over IMS and OTT VoIP. This measurement was taken in the axial configuration above the maximum location.

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST* Proud to be part of @ steemed	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 46 of 94
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		Fage 40 01 94

#### IV. T-Coil Validation Test Results

**Table 9-33** Helmholtz Coil Validation Table of Results - 9/7/2020

ltem	Target	Result	Verdict
Axial			
Magnetic Intensity, -10 dBA/m	-10 ± 0.5 dB	-10.225	PASS
Environmental Noise	< -58 dBA/m	-63.98	PASS
Frequency Response, from limits	> 0 dB	0.80	PASS

**Table 9-34** Helmholtz Coil Validation Table of Results - 9/14/2020

Item	Target	Result	Verdict
Axial			
Magnetic Intensity, -10 dBA/m	-10 ± 0.5 dB	-10.230	PASS
Environmental Noise	< -58 dBA/m	-64.82	PASS
Frequency Response, from limits	> 0 dB	0.80	PASS
Radial			
Magnetic Intensity, -10 dBA/m	-10 ± 0.5 dB	-10.280	PASS
Environmental Noise	< -58 dBA/m	-63.72	PASS
Frequency Response, from limits	> 0 dB	0.80	PASS

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST: Proud to be post of @ simused	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dogo 47 of 04
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		Page 47 of 94

8/18/2020

#### **ABM1 Magnetic Field Distribution Scan Overlays** ٧.




Figure 9-1 **T-Coil Scan Overlay Magnetic Field Distributions** 

#### Notes:

- 1. Final measurement locations are indicated by a cursor on the contour plots.
- 2. See Test Setup Photographs for actual WD overlay.

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST: Proof to be past of a second	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 48 of 94
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		Fage 46 01 94

© 2020 PCTEST **REV 3.5.M** 

#### **MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY** 10.

#### **Table 10-1 Uncertainty Estimation Table**

Contribution	Data +/- %	Data +/- dB	Data Type	Probability distribution	Divisor	Standard uncertainty	Standard Uncertainty (dB)
ABM Noise	7.0%	0.29	Std. Dev.	Normal k=1	1.00	7.0%	
RF Reflections	4.7%	0.20	Specification	Rectangular	1.73	2.7%	
Reference Signal Level	12.2%	0.50	Specification	Rectangular	1.73	7.0%	
Positioning Accuracy	10.0%	0.41	Uncertainty	Rectangular	1.73	5.8%	
Probe Coil Sensitivity	12.2%	0.50	Specification	Rectangular	1.73	7.0%	
Probe Linearity	2.4%	0.10	Std. Dev.	Normal k=1	1.00	2.4%	
Cable Loss	2.8%	0.12	Specification	Rectangular	1.73	1.6%	
Frequency Analyzer	5.0%	0.21	Specification	Rectangular	1.73	2.9%	
System Repeatability	5.0%	0.21	Std. Dev.	Normal k=1	1.00	5.0%	
WD Repeatability	9.0%	0.37	Std. Dev.	Normal k=1	1.00	9.0%	
Positioner Accuracy	1.0%	0.04	Specification	Rectangular	1.73	0.6%	
Combined standard uncertainty, uc (k=1)							0.71
Expanded uncertainty (k=2), 95% confidence level						35.3%	1.31

#### Notes:

- Test equipments are calibrated according to techniques outlined in NIS81, NIS3003 and NIST Tech Note 1297.
- All equipments have traceability according to NIST. Measurement Uncertainties are defined in further detail in NIS 81 and NIST Tech Note 1297 and UKAS M3003.

Measurement uncertainty reflects the quality and accuracy of a measured result as compared to the true value. Such statements are generally required when stating results of measurements so that it is clear to the intended audience that the results may differ when reproduced by different facilities. Measurement results vary due to the measurement uncertainty of the instrumentation, measurement technique, and test engineer. Most uncertainties are calculated using the tolerances of the instrumentation used in the measurement, the measurement setup variability, and the technique used in performing the test. While not generally included, the variability of the equipment under test also figures into the overall measurement uncertainty. Another component of the overall uncertainty is based on the variability of repeated measurements (so-called Type A uncertainty). This may mean that the Hearing Aid compatibility tests may have to be repeated by taking down the test setup and resetting it up so that there are a statistically significant number of repeat measurements to identify the measurement uncertainty. By combining the repeat measurement results with that of the instrumentation chain using the technique contained in NIS 81 and NIS 3003, the overall measurement uncertainty was estimated.

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST: Proof to be past of a second	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 49 of 94
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		Fage 49 01 94

#### **EQUIPMENT LIST** 11.

#### **Table 11-1 Equipment List**

Equipment List						
Manufacturer	Model	Description	Cal Date	Cal Interval	Cal Due	Serial Number
Dell	Latitude E6540	SoundCheck Acoustic Analyzer Laptop	4/24/2019	Biennial	4/24/2021	7BFNM32
Listen	SoundConnect	Microphone Power Supply	4/22/2019	Biennial	4/22/2021	PS2612
RME	Fireface UC	Soundcheck Acoustic Analyzer External Audio Interface	4/24/2019	Biennial	4/24/2021	23528889
Rohde & Schwarz	CMW500	Radio Communication Tester	5/21/2020	Annual	5/21/2021	128635
Rohde & Schwarz	CMW500	Wideband Radio Communication Tester	2/4/2020	Annual	2/4/2021	162125
Seekonk	NC-100	Torque Wrench (8" lb)	8/4/2020	Biennial	8/4/2022	21053
TEM	Axial T-Coil Probe	Axial T-Coil Probe	5/17/2019	Biennial	5/17/2021	TEM-1124
TEM	Radial T-Coil Probe	Radial T-Coil Probe	5/17/2019	Biennial	5/17/2021	TEM-1130
TEM	C63.19	Helmholtz Coil	5/20/2019	Biennial	5/20/2021	925
TEM		HAC Positioner	N/A		N/A	N/A
TEM		HAC System Controller with Software	N/A		N/A	N/A

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST* Provid to be port of ® clossed	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 50 of 94
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		

## 12. TEST DATA

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST . Proud to be post of semenal	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dags 51 of 04
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		Page 51 of 94

1M2007230115-21.ZNF 9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020 Portable Handset Page 51 of 94

© 2020 PCTEST REV 3.5.M 8/18/2020



### **PCTEST Hearing-Aid Compatibility Facility**

DUT: HH Coil - SN: 925


Type: HH Coil Serial: 925

#### Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011


#### **Equipment:**

- Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe SN: TEM-1124; Calibrated: 5/17/2019
- Helmholtz Coil SN: 925; Calibrated: 5/20/2019

#### **Noise Spectrum**



#### Frequency Response



#### Results

Verification 1kHz Intensity	-10.225	dB	$\checkmark$	Max/Min	-9.5/-10.5
Verification ABM2	-63.98	dB	•	Maximum	-58.0
Frequency Response Margin	800m	dB	•	Tolerance curves	Aligned Data

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 52 of 94
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		Page 52 01 94



### **PCTEST Hearing-Aid Compatibility Facility**

DUT: HH Coil - SN: 925


Type: HH Coil Serial: 925

#### Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011


#### **Equipment:**

- Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe SN: TEM-1124; Calibrated: 5/17/2019
- Helmholtz Coil SN: 925; Calibrated: 5/20/2019

#### **Noise Spectrum**



#### Frequency Response



#### Results

Verification 1kHz Intensity	-10.23 dB	$\checkmark$	Max/Min	-9.5/-10.5
Verification ABM2	-64.82 dB	~	Maximum	-58.0
Frequency Response Margin	800m dB	~	Tolerance curves	Aligned Data

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST: Proud to be part of a sterood	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 53 of 94
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		rage 55 of 94



DUT: HH Coil - SN: 925


Type: HH Coil Serial: 925

#### Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011


#### **Equipment:**

- Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe SN: TEM-1130; Calibrated: 5/17/2019
- Helmholtz Coil SN: 925; Calibrated: 5/20/2019

#### **Noise Spectrum**



#### Frequency Response



#### Results

Verification 1kHz Intensity	-10.28 dB	$\checkmark$	Max/Min	-9.5/-10.5
Verification ABM2	-63.72 dB	•	Maximum	-58.0
Frequency Response Margin	800m dB	•	Tolerance curves	Aligned Data

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 54 of 94
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		rage 54 of 94



**DUT: ZNFF100TM** Type: Portable Handset

Serial: 03767


Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

#### Equipment:

Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1124; Calibrated: 5/17/2019

#### **Test Configuration:**

- Mode: Secondary Cellular CDMA
- Channel: 476
- Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice
- DUT Configuration: Swivel



FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST . Proud to be part of @ circumst	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dogo EE of 04
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		Page 55 of 94



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 03767


Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

#### Equipment:

Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1124; Calibrated: 5/17/2019

#### **Test Configuration:**

- Mode: Cellular CDMA
- Channel: 1013
- Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice
- **DUT Configuration: Normal**



FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST* Proud to be part of @ element	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 56 of 94
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		rage 50 or 94



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 03767


Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

#### Equipment:

Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1124; Calibrated: 5/17/2019

#### **Test Configuration:**

- Mode: PCS CDMA
- Channel: 25
- Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice
- **DUT Configuration: Normal**



FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 57 of 94
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		Page 37 of 94



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 03767


Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

#### Equipment:

Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1124; Calibrated: 5/17/2019

#### **Test Configuration:**

- Mode: GSM850
- Channel: 190
- Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice
- DUT Configuration: Swivel



FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 58 of 94
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		rage 56 of 94



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 03767


Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

#### Equipment:

Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1124; Calibrated: 5/17/2019

#### **Test Configuration:**

- Mode: GSM1900
- Channel: 512
- Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice
- DUT Configuration: Swivel



FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST* Proved to be part of @ secreted	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 59 of 94
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		Page 59 01 94



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 03767


Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

#### Equipment:

Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1124; Calibrated: 5/17/2019

#### **Test Configuration:**

- Mode: UMTS V Channel: 4132
- Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice
- DUT Configuration: Normal



FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST Proud to be port of a demonstration	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 60 of 94
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		rage 00 01 94



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 03767


Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

#### Equipment:

Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1124; Calibrated: 5/17/2019

#### **Test Configuration:**

- Mode: UMTS IV Channel: 1513
- Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice
- **DUT Configuration: Swivel**



FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST* Proud to be port of @ innered	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 61 of 94
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		rage of 01 94



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 03767


Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

#### Equipment:

Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1124; Calibrated: 5/17/2019

#### **Test Configuration:**

- Mode: UMTS II Channel: 9400
- Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice
- **DUT Configuration: Normal**



FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST To Prove to be port of the common of	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 62 of 94
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		raye 02 01 94



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 03767

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

#### Equipment:


Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1124; Calibrated: 5/17/2019

#### **Test Configuration:**

Mode: LTE FDD Band 13 Bandwidth: 10MHz Channel: 23230

Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice

**DUT Configuration: Swivel** 



FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST* Proud to be port of ® comment	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 63 of 94
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		rage 03 01 94



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 03767

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

#### Equipment:

Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1124; Calibrated: 5/17/2019


#### **Test Configuration:**

Mode: LTE TDD Band 41 (PC2)

Bandwidth: 5MHz Channel: 39750

Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice

**DUT Configuration: Swivel** 



FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST* Proved to be part of @ secreted	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 64 of 94
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		rage 64 of 94



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 03767

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

#### Equipment:

Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1124; Calibrated: 5/17/2019


#### **Test Configuration:**

Mode: 2.4GHz WLAN Standard: IEEE 802.11b

Channel: 6

Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice

**DUT Configuration: Swivel** 



FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 65 of 94
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		rage 65 of 94



#### **DUT: ZNFF100TM** Type: Portable Handset

Serial: 03767

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

#### Equipment:

Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1124; Calibrated: 5/17/2019


#### **Test Configuration:**

Mode: 5GHz WLAN Standard: IEEE 802.11n Bandwidth: 20MHz

Channel: 40

Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice

**DUT Configuration: Swivel** 



FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST* Proud to be port of ® comment	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 66 of 94
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		Fage 00 01 94



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 03767

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

#### Equipment:

Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1124; Calibrated: 5/17/2019


#### **Test Configuration:**

· VolP Application: Google Duo

Mode: EDGE850 Channel: 190

Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice

**DUT Configuration: Swivel** 



FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 67 of 94
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		rage 07 of 94



**DUT: ZNFF100TM** Type: Portable Handset Serial: 03767

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

#### Equipment:


Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1130; Calibrated: 5/17/2019

#### **Test Configuration:**

Mode: Secondary Cellular CDMA

Channel: 476

**DUT Configuration: Swivel** 



#### Results

ABM1	-3.26	dB	$\checkmark$	Minimum	-18.0
ABM2	-44.68	dB	•	Maximum	0.0
SNNR	41.42	dB	$\checkmark$	Minimum	20.0

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST* Proud to be post of ® element	HAC (1-COIL) TEST REPORT		Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 68 of 94
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		rage 00 01 94



#### **DUT: ZNFF100TM** Type: Portable Handset

Serial: 03767

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011


#### Equipment:

Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1130; Calibrated: 5/17/2019

#### **Test Configuration:**

 Mode: Cellular CDMA Channel: 1013

**DUT Configuration: Swivel** 



[Hz]

#### Results

ABM1	-3.15	dB	$\checkmark$	Minimum	-18.0
ABM2	-46.42	dB	<b>✓</b>	Maximum	0.0
SNNR	43.27	dB	<b>✓</b>	Minimum	20.0

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST* Proud to be part of @ element	HAC (L-COIL) LEST REPORT		Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 69 of 94
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		rage 09 01 94



#### **DUT: ZNFF100TM** Type: Portable Handset

Serial: 03767

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

#### Equipment:


Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1130; Calibrated: 5/17/2019

#### **Test Configuration:**

 Mode: PCS CDMA Channel: 25

**DUT Configuration: Swivel** 

#### Noise Spectrum



#### Results

ABM1	-3.13	dB	$\checkmark$	Minimum	-18.0
ABM2	-48.53	dB	•	Maximum	0.0
SNNR	45.41	dB	•	Minimum	20.0

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST* Proud to be post of ® element	HAC (1-COIL) TEST REPORT		Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 70 of 94
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		Page 70 of 94



**DUT: ZNFF100TM** Type: Portable Handset Serial: 03767

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011


#### Equipment:

Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1130; Calibrated: 5/17/2019

#### **Test Configuration:**

 Mode: GSM850 Channel: 190

**DUT Configuration: Swivel** 



#### Results

ABM1	-1.16	dB	•	Minimum	-18.0
ABM2	-34.29	dB	•	Maximum	0.0
SNNR	33.13	dB	~	Minimum	20.0

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST* Proud to be post of ® stressed	HΔC: (1-COIL) TEST REPORT		Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 71 of 94
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		Page / 1 01 94



#### **DUT: ZNFF100TM** Type: Portable Handset

Serial: 03767

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011


#### Equipment:

Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1130; Calibrated: 5/17/2019

#### **Test Configuration:**

 Mode: GSM1900 Channel: 661

**DUT Configuration: Swivel** 



ABM1	-1.05	dB	•	Minimum	-18.0
ABM2	-37.88	dB	•	Maximum	0.0
SNNR	36.83	dB	~	Minimum	20.0

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST* Proud to be part of ® element	HAC (1-COIL) TEST REPORT		Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 72 of 94
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		Fage 72 01 94



**DUT: ZNFF100TM** Type: Portable Handset Serial: 03767

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011


#### Equipment:

Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1130; Calibrated: 5/17/2019

#### **Test Configuration:**

 Mode: UMTS V Channel: 4132

**DUT Configuration: Normal** 



#### Results

ABM1	-1.21	dB	•	Minimum	-18.0
ABM2	-59.61	dB	•	Maximum	0.0
SNNR	58.4	dB	~	Minimum	20.0

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST* Proud to be post of ® element	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 73 of 94
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		Fage 73 01 94



# **DUT: ZNFF100TM** Type: Portable Handset

Serial: 03767

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011


#### Equipment:

Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1130; Calibrated: 5/17/2019

#### **Test Configuration:**

 Mode: UMTS IV Channel: 1412

**DUT Configuration: Normal** 



#### Results

ABM1	-1.19	dB	•	Minimum	-18.0
ABM2	-59.48	dB	•	Maximum	0.0
SNNR	58.29	dB	~	Minimum	20.0

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dogo 74 of 04
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		Page 74 of 94



**DUT: ZNFF100TM** Type: Portable Handset Serial: 03767

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011


#### Equipment:

Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1130; Calibrated: 5/17/2019

#### **Test Configuration:**

 Mode: UMTS II Channel: 9400

**DUT Configuration: Normal** 



#### Results

ABN	<b>/11</b>	-1.17	dB	•	Minimum	-18.0
ABN	12	-58.21	dB	•	Maximum	0.0
SNN	IR	57.04	dB	$\checkmark$	Minimum	20.0

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST* Proud to be part of ® element	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 75 of 94
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		Page 75 01 94



# **DUT: ZNFF100TM** Type: Portable Handset

Serial: 03767

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

#### Equipment:


Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1130; Calibrated: 5/17/2019

#### **Test Configuration:**

Mode: LTE FDD Band 13 Bandwidth: 10MHz Channel: 23230

**DUT Configuration: Swivel** 





#### Results

ABM1	-6.24	dB	$\checkmark$	Minimum	-18.0
ABM2	-52.46	dB	$\checkmark$	Maximum	0.0
SNNR	46.23	dB	•	Minimum	20.0

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST: Proof to be past of a second	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT		Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 76 of 94
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		Page 70 01 94



# **DUT: ZNFF100TM** Type: Portable Handset

Serial: 03767

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011


#### Equipment:

Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1130; Calibrated: 5/17/2019

#### **Test Configuration:**

Mode: LTE TDD Band 48 Bandwidth: 15MHz Channel: 55315

**DUT Configuration: Swivel** 



#### Results

ABM1	-6.13	dB	$\checkmark$	Minimum	-18.0
ABM2	-33.84	dB	$\checkmark$	Maximum	0.0
SNNR	27.71	dB	•	Minimum	20.0

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST: Proud to be post of (6) elected	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 77 of 94
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		Page 11 01 94



# **DUT: ZNFF100TM**

Type: Portable Handset Serial: 03767

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

#### **Equipment:**

Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1130; Calibrated: 5/17/2019


#### **Test Configuration:**

Mode: 2.4GHz WLAN Standard: IEEE 802.11b

Channel: 1

**DUT Configuration: Swivel** 

#### Noise Spectrum



#### Results

ABM1	-10.23	dB	$\checkmark$	Minimum	-18.0
ABM2	-43.66	dB	$\checkmark$	Maximum	0.0
SNNR	33.43	dB	•	Minimum	20.0

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST: Proud to be post of @ element	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT		Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 78 of 94
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		Fage 76 01 94



# **DUT: ZNFF100TM**

Type: Portable Handset Serial: 03767

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

#### Equipment:


Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1130; Calibrated: 5/17/2019

#### **Test Configuration:**

 Mode: 5GHz WLAN Standard: IEEE 802.11n Bandwidth: 20MHz Channel: 56

**DUT Configuration: Normal** 

#### Noise Spectrum



#### Results

ABM1	-10.1	dB	$\checkmark$	Minimum	-18.0
ABM2	-54.23	dB	•	Maximum	0.0
SNNR	44.13	dB	~	Minimum	20.0

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST Trought to be post of a common	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 79 of 94
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		Page 79 01 94



**DUT: ZNFF100TM** Type: Portable Handset Serial: 03767

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

#### Equipment:

Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1130; Calibrated: 5/17/2019


#### **Test Configuration:**

· VolP Application: Google Duo

Mode: NR TDD n41 Bandwidth: 20MHz Channel: 518598

**DUT Configuration: Normal** 

#### Noise Spectrum



#### Results


ABM2 -36.25 dB Maximum 0.0

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST* Proud to be post of ® element	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 80 of 94
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		rage 60 01 94

#### **CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES** 13.

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST: Proud to be part of the channel	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dogo 91 of 04
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		Page 81 of 94

© 2020 PCTEST **REV 3.5.M** 



# **Certificate of Calibration**

for

AXIAL T COIL PROBE

Manufactured by:

TEM CONSULTING AXIAL T COIL PROBE

Model No: Serial No:

TEM-1124

Calibration Recall No: 29973

Submitted By:

Customer:

ANDREW HARWELL

Company:

PCTEST ENGINEERING LAB

Address:

6660-B DOBBIN ROAD COLUMBIA

MD 21045

The subject instrument was calibrated to the indicated specification using standards traceable to the National Institute of Standards and Technology or to accepted values of natural physical constants. This document certifies that the instrument met the following specification upon its return to the submitter.

West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Procedure No.

AXIAL T C TEM C

6/4/2019

Upon receipt for Calibration, the instrument was found to be:

Within (X)

tolerance of the indicated specification. See attached Report of Calibration.
The information supplied relates to the calibrated item listed above.
West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories' calibration control system meets the requirements, ISO 10012-1 MIL-STD-45662A, ANSI/NCSL Z540-1, IEC Guide 25, ISO 9001:2015 and ISO 17025.

Note: With this Certificate, Report of Calibration is included.

Approved by:

Calibration Date:

17-May-19

James Zhu

Certificate No:

29973 -1

Quality Manager ISO/IEC 17025:2005

QA Doc. #1051 Rev. 2.0 10/1/01

Certificate Page 1 of 1
West Caldwell
Calibration

ACCREDITED

uncompromised calibration Laboratories, Inc.

1575 State Route 96, Victor, NY 14564, U.S.A.

Calibration Lab. Cert. # 1533.01

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM

PCTEST
HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT

Quality Manager

Filename:
1M2007230115-21.ZNF
9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020
Portable Handset

Approved by:
Quality Manager

Page 82 of 94

© 2020 PCTEST

REV 3.5.M 8/18/2020



Calibration Lab. Cert. # 1533.01

ISO/IEC 17025: 2005

1575 State Route 96, Victor NY 14564

# REPORT OF CALIBRATION

**TEM Consulting LP Axial T Coil Probe** Company: PCTest Engineering Labs

Model No.: Axial T Coil Probe

Serial No.: TEM-1124

I. D. No.: XXXX

Calibration results: Probe Sensitivity measured with Helmholtz Coil

Helmholtz Coil; Before & after data same: ... X... the number of turns on each coil; 10 No. the radius of each coil, in meters; 0.204 Laboratory Environment: m °С the current in the coils, in amperes.; 0.09 Α Ambient Temperature: 20.7 Helmholtz Coil Constant; 7.09 A/m/V Ambient Humidity: 42.7 % RH Helmholtz Coil magnetic field; 5.96 Ambient Pressure: 98.256 kPa A/m Calibration Date: 17-May-2019 Calibration Due: 17-May-2020 1000 Hz. Probe Sensitivity at -60.41 dBV/A/m 29973 -1 Report Number: was 0.954 mV/A/m Control Number: 29973 903

The above listed instrument meets or exceeds the tested manufacturer's specifications.

This Calibration is traceable through NIST test numbers:


683/290345-18

Ohms

The expanded uncertainty of calibration: 0.30dB at 95% confidence level with a coverage factor of k=2.

Probe resistance

Graph represents Probes Frequency Response.



The above listed instrument was checked using calibration procedure documented in West Caldwell

Calibration Laboratories Inc. procedure :

Rev. 7.0 Jan. 24, 2014 Doc. # 1038 HCATEMC

Calibration was performed by West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Inc. under Operating Procedures

intended to implement the requirements of ISO10012-1, IEC Guide 25, ANSI/NCSL Z540-1, (MIL-STD-45662A) and ISO 17025

Cal. Date: 17-May-2019

Measurements performed by: ......

James Zhu

Calibrated on WCCL system type 9700

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval from West Caldwell Cal. Labs. Inc.

Rev. 7.0 Jan. 24, 2014 Doc. # 1038 HCATEMC

#### Page 1 of 2

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 83 of 94
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		rage 63 01 94

# HCATEMC\_TEM-1124\_May-17-2019

#### West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Inc.

1575 State Route 96, Victor NY 14564 Tel. (585) 586-3900 FAX (585) 586-4327

# Calibration Data Record

Model No.: Axial T Coil Probe

Serial No.: TEM-1124

**TEM Consulting LP Axial T Coil Probe** Company: PCTest Engineering Labs

Test	Function	nction Tolerance		Measured values			
				Before	Out	Remarks	
1.0	Probe Sensitivity at	1000 Hz.	dBV/A/m	-60.41			
			dB				
2.0	Probe Level Linearity		6	6.10			
		Ref. (0 dB)	0	0.00			
			-6	-6.00			
			-12	-12.00			
			Hz				
3.0	Probe Frequency Response		100	-19.9			
			126	-17.9			
			158	-16.0			
		200	-14.0				
		251	-12.0				
			316	-10.0			
			398	-8.0			
			501	-6.0			
			631	-3.9			
			794	-2.0			
		Ref. (0 dB)	1000	0.0			
			1259	2.0			
			1585	4.0			
			1995	5.9			
			2512	7.9			
			3162	9.9			
			3981	11.9			
			5012	13.9			
			6310	15.9			
			7943	18.0			
			10000	20.2			
						1	

alibration:		Date of Cal.	Traceability No.	Due Date
34401A	S/N US360641	25-Jul-2018	,1010733	26-Jul-2019
34401A	S/N US361024	25-Jul-2018	,1010733	26-Jul-2019
33120A	S/N US360437	25-Jul-2018	,1010733	26-Jul-2019
2133	S/N 1583254	25-Jul-2018	683/290345-18	26-Jul-2019
	34401A 34401A 33120A	34401A S/N US360641 34401A S/N US361024 33120A S/N US360437	34401A S/N US360641 25-Jul-2018 34401A S/N US361024 25-Jul-2018 33120A S/N US360437 25-Jul-2018	34401A S/N US360641 25-Jul-2018 ,1010733 34401A S/N US361024 25-Jul-2018 ,1010733 33120A S/N US360437 25-Jul-2018 ,1010733

Cal. Date: 17-May-2019

Calibrated on WCCL system type 9700

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval from West Caldwell Cal. Labs. Inc.

Tested by: James Zhu

Rev. 7.0 Jan. 24, 2014 Doc. # 1038 HCATEMC

# Page 2 of 2

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST* Proud to be past of @ interest	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dama 04 of 04
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		Page 84 of 94



# **Certificate of Calibration**

#### RADIAL T COIL PROBE

Manufactured by:

TEM CONSULTING

Model No:

RADIAL T COIL PROBE

Serial No: Calibration Recall No: TEM-1130 29973

Submitted By:

Customer:

ANDREW HARWELL

Company:

PCTEST ENGINEERING LAB 6660-B DOBBIN ROAD

Address: COLUMBIA

MD 21045

The subject instrument was calibrated to the indicated specification using standards traceable to the National Institute of Standards and Technology or to accepted values of natural physical constants. This document certifies that the instrument met the following specification upon its return to the submitter.

West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Procedure No.

RADIAL T TEM C

Upon receipt for Calibration, the instrument was found to be:

Within (X)

tolerance of the indicated specification. See attached Report of Calibration. The information supplied relates to the calibrated item listed above. West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories' calibration control system meets the requirements, ISO 10012-1 MIL-STD-45662A, ANSI/NCSL Z540-1, IEC Guide 25, ISO 9001:2015 and ISO 17025.

Note: With this Certificate, Report of Calibration is Included.

Approved by:

Calibration Date:

17-May-19

Certificate No:

29973 -2

QA Doc. #1051 Rev. 2.0 10/1/01

Certificate Page 1 of 1

West Caldwell Calibration uncompromised calibration Laboratories, Inc.

1575 State Route 96, Victor, NY 14564, U.S.A.

Quality Manager ISO/IEC 17025:2005

James Zhu



Calibration Lab. Cert. # 1533.01

Approved by: FCC ID: ZNFF100TM HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT LG Quality Manager **DUT Type:** Filename: Test Dates: Page 85 of 94 1M2007230115-21.ZNF 9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020 Portable Handset

© 2020 PCTEST



1575 State Route 96, Victor NY 14564



# REPORT OF CALIBRATION

TEM Consulting LP Radial T Coil Probe Company: PCTest Engineering Labs

Model No.: Radial T Coil Probe

Serial No.: TEM-1130


I. D. No.: XXXX

Probe Sensitivity measured wit	h Helmhel	r Coil			
	ii i ieiiiiiioi	2 0011	D. C	.,	
Helmholtz Coil;			Before & after data same:	X	
the number of turns on each coil;	10	No.			
the radius of each coil, in meters;	0.204	m	Laboratory Environment:		
the current in the coils, in amperes.;	0.08	Α	Ambient Temperature:	20.7	°C
Helmholtz Coil Constant;	7.09	A/m/V	Ambient Humidity:	42.7	% RH
Helmholtz Coil magnetic field;	5.94	A/m	Ambient Pressure:	98.256	kPa
			Calibration Date:	17-May-2019	
Probe Sensitivity at	1000	Hz.	Calibration Due:	17-May-2020	
was	-60.37	dBV/A/m	Report Number:	29973	-2
	0.958	mV/A/m	Control Number:	29973	
Probe resistance	895	Ohms			

The above listed instrument meets or exceeds the tested manufacturer's specifications. 683/290345-18 This Calibration is traceable through NIST test numbers:

The expanded uncertainty of calibration: 0.30dB at 95% confidence level with a coverage factor of k=2.

Graph represents Probes Frequency Response.



The above listed instrument was checked using calibration procedure documented in West Caldwell

Calibration Laboratories Inc. procedure :

Rev. 7.0 Jan. 24, 2014 Doc. # 1038 HCRTEMC

Calibration was performed by West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Inc. under Operating Procedures

intended to implement the requirements of ISO10012-1, IEC Guide 25, ANSI/NCSL Z540-1, (MIL-STD-45662A) and ISO 17025

Cal. Date: 17-May-2019

Measurements performed by: ......

James Zhu

Calibrated on WCCL system type 9700

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval from West Caldwell Cal. Labs. Inc.

Rev. 7.0 Jan. 24, 2014 Doc. # 1038 HCRTEMC

#### Page 1 of 2

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST* Proud to be past of @ minuted	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dags 96 of 04
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		Page 86 of 94

# HCRTEMC\_TEM-1130\_May-17-2019

#### West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Inc.

1575 State Route 96, Victor NY 14564 Tel. (585) 586-3900 FAX (585) 586-4327

# Calibration Data Record

for

TEM Consulting LP Radial T Coil Probe Company: PCTest Engineering Labs

Model No.: Radial T Coil Probe

Serial No.: TEM-1130

Function	Tolerance		Measured values			
			Before	Out	Remarks	
Probe Sensitivity at	1000 Hz.	dBV/A/m	-60.37			
		dB				
Probe Level Linearity		6	6.00			
	Ref. (0 dB)	0	0.00			
		-6	-6.10			
		-12	-12.10			
		Hz				
Probe Frequency Response			i I			
			3 1			
		200	-14.0			
		251	-12.0			
		316	-10.0			
		398	-8.0			
		501	-6.0			
		631	-4.0			
		794	-2.0		1	
	Ref. (0 dB)	1000	0.0		1	
		1259	1.9			
		1585	3.9			
		1995	5.9		1	
		2512	7.9			
		3162	9.9		1	
		3981	11.9			
		5012	13.9			
		6310	15.9			
		7943	18.0			
		10000	20.1			
	Probe Sensitivity at	Probe Sensitivity at 1000 Hz.  Probe Level Linearity  Ref. (0 dB)  Probe Frequency Response	Probe Sensitivity at 1000 Hz. dBV/A/m  Probe Level Linearity  Ref. (0 dB)  Ref. (0 dB)	Probe Sensitivity at 1000 Hz. dBV/A/m -60.37    Probe Level Linearity	Probe Sensitivity at 1000 Hz. dBV/A/m -60.37  Probe Level Linearity  Ref. (0 dB) 0 0.00 -6 6.00 -12 -12.10  Probe Frequency Response  Hz Probe Frequency Response  100 -20.0 126 -17.9 158 -16.0 200 -14.0 251 -12.0 316 -10.0 398 -8.0 501 -6.0 631 -4.0 794 -2.0 Ref. (0 dB) 1000 0.0 1259 1.9 1585 3.9 1995 5.9 2512 7.9 3162 9.9 3981 11.9 5012 13.9 6310 15.9 7943 18.0	

Instruments used for o	calibration:		Date of Cal.	Traceability No.	Due Date
HP	34401A	S/N US360641	25-Jul-2018	,1010733	26-Jul-2019
HP	34401A	S/N US361024	25-Jul-2018	,1010733	26-Jul-2019
HP	33120A	S/N US360437	25-Jul-2018	,1010733	26-Jul-2019
B&K	2133	S/N 1583254	25-Jul-2018	683/290345-18	26-Jul-2019

Cal. Date: 17-May-2019

Calibrated on WCCL system type 9700

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval from West Caldwell Cal. Labs. Inc.

Tested by: James Zhu

Rev. 7.0 Jan. 24, 2014 Doc. # 1038 HCRTEMC

#### Page 2 of 2

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST Trought to be post of a common	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 87 of 94
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		Page 67 01 94

#### 14. CONCLUSION

The measurements indicate that the wireless communications device complies with the HAC limits specified in accordance with the ANSI C63.19 Standard and FCC WT Docket No. 01-309 RM-8658. Precise laboratory measures were taken to assure repeatability of the tests. The tested device complies with the requirements in respect to all parameters specific to the test. The test results and statements relate only to the item(s) tested.

The measurement system and techniques presented in this evaluation are proposed in the ANSI standard as a means of best approximating wireless device compatibility with a hearing-aid. The literature is under continual re-construction.

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 88 of 94
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		rage oo oi 94

#### 15. REFERENCES

- ANSI C63.19-2011, American National Standard for Methods of Measurement of Compatibility between Wireless communication devices and Hearing Aids.", New York, NY, IEEE, May 2011
- FCC Office of Engineering and Technology KDB, "285076 D01 HAC Guidance v05," September 13, 2017
- 3. FCC Office of Engineering and Technology KDB, "285076 D02 T-Coil Testing for CMRS IP v03," September 13, 2017
- FCC Public Notice DA 06-1215, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau and Office of Engineering and Technology Clarify Use of Revised Wireless Phone Hearing Aid Compatibility Standard, June 6, 2006
- FCC 3G Review Guidance, Laboratory Division OET FCC, May/June 2006
- Berger, H. S., "Compatibility Between Hearing Aids and Wireless Devices," Electronic Industries Forum, Boston, MA, May, 1997
- Berger, H. S., "Hearing Aid and Cellular Phone Compatibility: Working Toward Solutions," Wireless Telephones and Hearing Aids: New Challenges for Audiology, Gallaudet University, Washington, D.C., May, 1997 (To be reprinted in the American Journal of Audiology).
- Berger, H. S., "Hearing Aid Compatibility with Wireless Communications Devices, "IEEE International Symposium on Electromagnetic Compatibility, Austin, TX, August, 1997.
- Bronaugh, E. L., "Simplifying EMI Immunity (Susceptibility) Tests in TEM Cells," in the 1990 IEEE International Symposium on Electromagnetic Compatibility Symposium Record, Washington, D.C., August 1990, pp. 488-491
- 10. Byme, D. and Dillon, H., The National Acoustics Laboratory (NAL) New Procedure for Selecting the Gain and Frequency Response of a Hearing Aid, Ear and Hearing 7:257-265, 1986.
- 11. Crawford, M. L., "Measurement of Electromagnetic Radiation from Electronic Equipment using TEM Transmission Cells, " U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards, NBSIR 73-306, Feb. 1973.
- 12. Crawford, M. L., and Workman, J. L., "Using a TEM Cell for EMC Measurements of Electronic Equipment," U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards. Technical Note 1013, July 1981.
- 13. EHIMA GSM Project, Development phase, Project Report (1st part) Revision A. Technical-Audiological Laboratory and Telecom Denmark, October 1993.
- 14. EHIMA GSM Project, Development phase, Part II Project Report, Technical-Audiological Laboratory and Telecom Denmark, June 1994.
- 15. EHIMA GSM Project Final Report, Hearing Aids and GSM Mobile Telephones: Interference Problems, Methods of Measurement and Levels of Immunity. Technical-Audiological Laboratory and Telecom Denmark, 1995.
- 16. HAMPIS Report, Comparison of Mobile phone electromagnetic near field with an upscaled electromagnetic far field, using hearing aid as reference, 21 October 1999.
- 17. Hearing Aids/GSM, Report from OTWIDAM, Technical-Audiological Laboratory and Telecom Denmark, April 1993.
- 18. IEEE 100, The Authoritative Dictionary of IEEE Standards Terms, Seventh Edition.
- 19. Joyner, K. H, et. al., Interference to Hearing Aids by the New Digital Mobile Telephone System, Global System for Mobile (GSM) Communication Standard, National Acoustic Laboratory, Australian Hearing Series, Sydney 1993.
- Joyner, K. H., et. al., Interference to Hearing Aids by the Digital Mobile Telephone System, Global System for Mobile Communications (GSM), NAL Report #131, National Acoustic Laboratory, Australian Hearing Series, Sydney, 1995.
- 21. Kecker, W. T., Crawford, M. L., and Wilson, W. A., "Contruction of a Transverse Electromagnetic Cell", U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards, Technical Note 1011, Nov. 1978.
- 22. Konigstein, D., and Hansen, D., "A New Family of TEM Cells with enlarged bandwidth and Optimized working Volume," in the Proceedings of the 7th International Symposium on EMC, Zurich, Switzerland, March 1987; 50:9, pp. 127-132.

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST . Proud to be port of the second	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 89 of 94
1M2007230115-21.ZNF	9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	Portable Handset		Fage 69 01 94

- 23. Kuk, F., and Hjorstgaard, N. K., "Factors affecting interference from digital cellular telephones," Hearing Journal, 1997; 50:9, pp 32-34.
- 24. Ma, M. A., and Kanda, M., "Electromagnetic Compatibility and Interference Metrology," U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards, Technical Note 1099, July 1986, pp. 17-43.
- 25. Ma, M. A., Sreenivashiah, I., and Chang, D. C., "A Method of Determining the Emission and Susceptibility Levels of Electrically Small Objects Using a TEM Cell," U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards, Technial Note 1040, July 1981.
- 26. McCandless, G. A., and Lyregaard, P. E., Prescription of Gain/Output (POGO) for Hearing Aids, Hearing Instruments 1:16-21, 1983
- 27. Skopec, M., "Hearing Aid Electromagnetic Interference from Digital Wireless Telephones, "IEEE Transactions on Rehabilitation Engineering, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 235-239, June 1998.
- 28. Technical Report, GSM 05.90, GSM EMC Considerations, European Telecommunications Standards Institute, January 1993.
- 29. Victorian, T. A., "Digital Cellular Telephone Interference and Hearing Aid Compatibility—an Update," Hearing Journal 1998; 51:10, pp. 53-60
- 30. Wong, G. S. K., and Embleton, T. F. W., eds., AIP Handbook of Condenser Microphones: Theory, Calibration and Measurements. AIP Press.

FCC ID: ZNFF100TM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename: 1M2007230115-21.ZNF	Test Dates: 9/7/2020 - 9/15/2020	<b>DUT Type:</b> Portable Handset		Page 90 of 94