

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

7185 Oakland Mills Road, Columbia, MD 21046 USA Tel. 410.290.6652 / Fax 410.290.6654 http://www.pctest.com



HEARING AID COMPATIBILITY

Applicant Name:

LG Electronics U.S.A, Inc. 1000 Sylvan Avenue Englewood Cliffs, NJ 07632 **United States**

Date of Testing: 04/15/2019 - 05/05/2019 Test Site/Location: PCTEST Lab, Columbia, MD, USA **Test Report Serial No.:** 1M1903190041-02-R3.ZNF Date of Issue:

FCC ID: **ZNFG810EAW**

APPLICANT: LG ELECTRONICS U.S.A, INC.

Audio Band Magnetic Testing (T-Coil) Scope of Test:

Application Type: Certification FCC Rule Part(s): CFR §20.19(b) ANSI C63.19-2011 **HAC Standard:**

285076 D01 HAC Guidance v05

285076 D02 T-Coil testing for CMRS IP v03

05/09/2019

DUT Type: Portable Handset Model: LM-G810EAW

Additional Model(s): LMG810EAW, G810EAW, LM-G810EA, LMG810EA, G810EA,

LM-G810RA, LMG810RA, G810RA

Test Device Serial No.: Pre-Production Sample [S/N: 15406]

C63.19-2011 HAC Category: T3 (SIGNAL TO NOISE CATEGORY)

Note: This revised Test Report (S/N: 1M1903190041-02-R3.ZNF) supersedes and replaces the previously issued test report on the same subject device for the same type of testing as indicated. Please discard or destroy the previously issued test report(s) and dispose of it accordingly.

This wireless portable device has been shown to be hearing-aid compatible under the above rated category, specified in ANSI/IEEE Std. C63.19-2011 and has been tested in accordance with the specified measurement procedures. Test results reported herein relate only to the item(s) tested. Hearing-Aid Compatibility is based on the assumption that all production units will be designed electrically identical to the device tested in this report. North American Bands only.

I attest to the accuracy of data. All measurements reported herein were performed by me or were made under my supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I assume full responsibility for the completeness of these measurements and vouch for the qualifications of all persons taking them.







FCC ID: ZNFG810EAW	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 1 of 79
1M1903190041-02-R3.ZNF	04/15/2019 - 05/05/2019	Portable Handset		Fage 1 01 79

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	INTRODUCTION	3
2.	DUT DESCRIPTION	4
3.	ANSI C63.19-2011 PERFORMANCE CATEGORIES	6
4.	METHOD OF MEASUREMENT	8
5.	VOLTE TEST SYSTEM SETUP AND DUT CONFIGURATION	18
6.	VOWIFI TEST SYSTEM SETUP AND DUT CONFIGURATION	22
7.	OTT VOIP TEST SYSTEM AND DUT CONFIGURATION	25
8.	FCC 3G MEASUREMENTS	28
9.	T-COIL TEST SUMMARY	29
10.	MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY	40
11.	EQUIPMENT LIST	41
12.	TEST DATA	42
13.	CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES	67
14.	CONCLUSION	74
15.	REFERENCES	75
16.	TEST SETUP PHOTOGRAPHS	77

FCC ID: ZNFG810EAW	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 2 of 79
1M1903190041-02-R3.ZNF	04/15/2019 - 05/05/2019	Portable Handset		Fage 2 01 79

1. INTRODUCTION

On July 10, 2003, the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) adopted new rules requiring wireless manufacturers and service providers to provide digital wireless phones that are compatible with hearing aids. The FCC has modified the exemption for wireless phones under the Hearing Aid Compatibility Act of 1998 (HAC Act) in WT Docket 01-309 RM-86581 to extend the benefits of wireless telecommunications to individuals with hearing disabilities. These benefits encompass business, social and emergency communications, which increase the value of the wireless network for everyone. An estimated more than 10% of the population in the United States show signs of hearing impairment and of that fraction, almost 80% use hearing aids. Approximately 500 million people worldwide and 30 million people in the United States suffer from hearing loss.

Compatibility Tests Involved:

The standard calls for wireless communications devices to be measured for:

- RF Electric-field emissions
- T-coil mode, magnetic-signal strength in the audio band
- T-coil mode, magnetic-signal frequency response through the audio band
- T-coil mode, magnetic-signal and noise articulation index

The hearing aid must be measured for:

- RF immunity in microphone mode
- RF immunity in T-coil mode

In the following tests and results, this report includes the evaluation for a wireless communications device.



Figure 1-1 Hearing Aid in-vitu

¹ FCC Rule & Order, WT Docket 01-309 RM-8658

FCC ID: ZNFG810EAW	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dogo 2 of 70
1M1903190041-02-R3.ZNF	04/15/2019 - 05/05/2019	Portable Handset		Page 3 of 79

2. DUT DESCRIPTION



FCC ID: ZNFG810EAW

Applicant: LG Electronics U.S.A, Inc.

1000 Sylvan Avenue

Englewood Cliffs, NJ 07632

United States

Model: LM-G810EAW

Additional Model(s): LMG810EAW, G810EAW, LM-G810EA, LMG810EA,

G810EA,

LM-G810RA, LMG810RA, G810RA

Serial Number: 15406 HW Version: Rev.B

SW Version: V09h-EUR-XX
Antenna: Internal Antenna
DUT Type: Portable Handset

I. LTE Band Selection

This device supports the following pairs of LTE bands with similar frequencies: LTE B12 & B17, B5 & B26, and B4 & B66. These pairs of LTE bands have the same target power and share the same transmission paths. Since the supported frequency spans for the smaller LTE bands are completely covered by the larger LTE bands, only the larger LTE bands (LTE B12, B26, and B66) were evaluated for hearing-aid compliance.

FCC ID: ZNFG810EAW	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 4 of 79
1M1903190041-02-R3.ZNF	04/15/2019 - 05/05/2019	Portable Handset		Fage 4 01 79

Table 2-1 ZNFG810EAW HAC Air Interfaces

	ZNI OUTULAW HAO All litterfaces					
Air-Interface	Band (MHz)	Type Transport	HAC Tested	Simultaneous But Not Tested	Name of Voice Service	Audio Codec Evaluated
	850	VO	Yes	Voc. MIEL or DT	CMRS Voice ¹	EFR
GSM	1900	VO	res	res: WiFi of Bi	Yes: WIFI or BT CMRS Voice ¹	
	GPRS/EDGE	VD	Yes	Yes: WIFI or BT	Google Duo²	OPUS
	850					
UMTS	1700	VD	Yes	Yes: WIFI or BT	CMRS Voice ¹	NB AMR
UIVITS	1900					
	HSPA	VD	Yes	Yes: WIFI or BT	Google Duo ²	OPUS
	700 (B12)					
	700 (B17)					
	780 (B13)					
	850 (B5)					
LTE (FDD)	850 (B26)	VD Yes	Yes Yes: WIFI or BT VoLTE ¹ , Google Du	Yes Yes: WIFI or BT VoLTE ¹ , Google Duo ² VoL	VoLTE ¹ , Google Duo ²	Volte: NB AMR, WB AMR, EVS Google Duo: OPUS
	1700 (B4)					Google Duo. Or 03
	1700 (B66)					
	1900 (B2)					
	2500 (B7)					
LTE (TDD)	2600 (B41)	VD	Yes	Yes: WIFI or BT	VoLTE ¹ , Google Duo ²	VoLTE: NB AMR, WB AMR, EVS Google Duo: OPUS
	2450					
	5200 (U-NII 1)					
WIFI	5300 (U-NII 2A)	VD	Yes	Yes: GSM, UMTS, or LTE	VoWIEI ² Google Duo ²	VoWIFI: NB AMR, WB AMR, EVS Google Duo: OPUS
	5500 (U-NII 2C)					Googi
	5800 (U-NII 3)]				
ВТ	2450	DT	No	Yes: GSM, UMTS, or LTE	N/A	N/A
Type Transport VO = Voice Only			Notes: 1. Reference le	evel in accordance with 7.4.2.1 of A	ANSI C63.19-2011 and July 2	012 C63 VoLTE Interpretation.

DT = Digital Data - Not intended for Voice Services
VD = CMRS and/or IP Voice over Data Transport

2. Reference level is -20dBm0 in accordance with FCC KDB 285076 D02

FCC ID: ZNFG810EAW	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dogo 5 of 70
1M1903190041-02-R3.ZNF	04/15/2019 - 05/05/2019	Portable Handset		Page 5 of 79

ANSI C63.19-2011 PERFORMANCE CATEGORIES 3.

I. MAGNETIC COUPLING

Axial and Radial Field Intensity

All orientations of the magnetic field, in the axial and radial position along the measurement plane shall be \geq -18 dB(A/m) at 1 kHz in a 1/3 octave band filter per §8.3.1.

Frequency Response

The frequency response of the axial component of the magnetic field shall follow the response curve specified in EIA RS-504-1983, over the frequency range 300 Hz - 3000 Hz per §8.3.2.




Figure 3-1 Magnetic field frequency response for Wireless Devices with an axial field ≤-15 dB(A/m) at 1 kHz




Figure 3-2 Magnetic Field frequency response for wireless devices with an axial field that exceeds -15 dB(A/m) at 1 kHz

FCC ID: ZNFG810EAW	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dogo 6 of 70
1M1903190041-02-R3.ZNF	04/15/2019 - 05/05/2019	Portable Handset		Page 6 of 79

Signal Quality

The table below provides the signal quality requirement for the intended audio magnetic signal from a wireless device. Only the RF immunity of the hearing aid is measured in T-coil mode. It is assumed that a hearing aid can have no immunity to an interference signal in the audio band, which is the intended reception band for this mode. The only criterion that can be measured is the RF immunity in T-coil mode. This is measured using the same procedure as the audio coupling mode at the same levels.

The signal quality of the axial and radial components of the magnetic field was used to determine the T-coil mode category.

Catagory	Telephone RF Parameters		
Category	Wireless Device Signal Quality [(Signal + Noise)-to-noise ratio in dB]		
T1	0 to 10 dB		
T2	10 to 20 dB		
Т3	20 to 30 dB		
T4	> 30 dB		
Table 3-1 Magnetic Coupling Parameters			

Note: The FCC limit for SNNR is 20dB and the test data margins will indicate a margin from the FCC limit for compliance.

FCC ID: ZNFG810EAW	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dogo 7 of 70
1M1903190041-02-R3.ZNF	04/15/2019 - 05/05/2019	Portable Handset		Page 7 of 79

4. METHOD OF MEASUREMENT

I. Test Setup

The equipment was connected as shown in an acoustic/RF hemi-anechoic chamber:




Figure 4-1
Validation Setup with Helmholtz Coil




Figure 4-2 T-Coil Test Setup

FCC ID: ZNFG810EAW	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dogo 9 of 70
1M1903190041-02-R3.ZNF	04/15/2019 - 05/05/2019	Portable Handset		Page 8 of 79

II. **Scanning Mechanism**

Manufacturer: TEM

Accuracy: ± 0.83 cm/meter

Minimum Step Size: 0.1 mm Maximum speed 6.1 cm/sec Line Voltage: 115 VAC Line Frequency: 60 Hz

Material Composite: Delrin (Acetal) Data Control: Parallel Port

Dynamic Range (X-Y-Z): 45 x 31.75 x 47 cm

36" x 25" x 38" Dimensions: 36" x 49" x 55" Operating Area:

Reflections: < -20 dB (in anechoic chamber)




Figure 4-3 RF Near-Field Scanner

III. ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice


ITU-T Manufacturer:

Active Frequency 100 Hz - 8 kHz Range:


Male and Female, no spaces Stimulus Type:

Single Sample 20.96 seconds Duration:

Activity Level: 100%




Spectral Characteristic of full P.50



Temporal Characteristic of full P.50

FCC ID: ZNFG810EAW	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 9 of 79
1M1903190041-02-R3.ZNF	04/15/2019 - 05/05/2019	Portable Handset		Fage 9 01 79



ABM2 Measurement Block Diagram:



Figure 4-6 Magnetic Measurement Processing Steps

IV. **Test Procedure**

- 1. Ambient Noise Check per C63.19 §7.3.1
 - a. Ambient interference was monitored using a Real-Time Analyzer between 100-10,000 Hz with 1/3 octave filtering.
 - b. "A-weighting" and Half-Band Integration was applied to the measurements.
 - c. Since this measurement was measured in the same method as ABM2 measurements, this level was verified to be more than 10 dB below the lowest measurement signal (which is the highest ABM2 measurement for a T4 WD). Therefore the maximum noise level for a T4 WD with an ABM1 = -18 dBA/m is:

- 2. Measurement System Validation (See Figure 4-1)
 - a. The measurement system including the probe, pre-amplifier and acquisition system were validated as an entire system to ensure the reliability of test measurements.
 - b. ABM1 Validation The magnetic field at the center of the Helmholtz coil is given by the equation (per C63.19 Annex D.10.1):

$$H_c = \frac{NI}{r\sqrt{1.25^3}} = \frac{N(\frac{V}{R})}{r\sqrt{1.25^3}}$$

Where H_c = magnetic field strength in amperes per meter N = number of turns per coil

For the Helmholtz Coil, N=20; r=0.13m; R=10.193Ω and using V=29mV:

$$H_c = \frac{20 \cdot (\frac{0.029}{10.193})}{0.13 \cdot \sqrt{1.25^3}} = 0.316A/m \approx -10dB(A/m)$$

Therefore a pure tone of 1kHz was applied into the coils such that 29mV was observed across the resistor. The voltmeter used for measurement was verified to be capable of measurements in the audio band range. This theoretically generates an expected field of -10 dB(A/m) in the center of the Helmholtz coil which was used to validate the probe measurement at -10dB(A/m). This was verified to be within ± 0.5 dB of the -10dB(A/m) value (see Page 38).

FCC ID: ZNFG810EAW	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 10 of 79
1M1903190041-02-R3.ZNF	04/15/2019 - 05/05/2019	Portable Handset		Page 10 01 79

c. Frequency Response Validation

The frequency response through the Helmholtz Coil was verified to be within 0.5 dB relative to 1kHz, between 300 – 3000 Hz using the P.50 signal as shown below:



Figure 4-7 Frequency Response Validation

d. ABM2 Measurement Validation

WD noise measurements are filtered with A-weighting and Half-Band Integration over a frequency range of 100Hz – 10kHz to process ABM2 measurements. Below is the verification of the system processing A-weighting and Half-Band integration between system input to output within 0.5 dB of the theoretical result:

Table 4-1
ABM2 Frequency Response Validation

	HBI, A -	HBI, A -	
f (Hz)	Measured	Theoretical	dB Var.
	(dB re 1kHz)	(dB re 1kHz)	
100	-16.180	-16.170	-0.010
125	-13.257	-13.250	-0.007
160	-10.347	-10.340	-0.007
200	-8.017	-8.010	-0.007
250	-5.925	-5.920	-0.005
315	-4.045	-4.040	-0.005
400	-2.405	-2.400	-0.005
500	-1.212	-1.210	-0.002
630	-0.349	-0.350	0.001
800	0.071	0.070	0.001
1000	0.000	0.000	0.000
1250	-0.503	-0.500	-0.003
1600	-1.513	-1.510	-0.003
2000	-2.778	-2.780	0.002
2500	-4.316	-4.320	0.004
3150	-6.166	-6.170	0.004
4000	-8.322	-8.330	0.008
5000	-10.573	-10.590	0.017
6300	-13.178	-13.200	0.022
8000	-16.241	-16.270	0.029
10000	-19.495	-19.520	0.025

FCC ID: ZNFG810EAW	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dogo 11 of 70
1M1903190041-02-R3.ZNF	04/15/2019 - 05/05/2019	Portable Handset		Page 11 of 79







Figure 4-8
ABM2 Frequency Response Validation

The ABM2 result is a power sum from 100Hz to 10kHz with half-band integration and A-weighting. To verify the power sum measurement, a power sum over the full band was measured and verified to track with the source level (See Figure 4-9). Therefore the setup in this step was used to verify the power sum post-processing for ABM2 measurements. See below block diagram:



The power summed output results for a known input were compared to the multi-meter results to verify any deviation in the post-processing implemented with the power-sum.

Table 4-2
ABM2 Power Sum Validation

7121121 01101 04111 1411441011				
WN Input (dBV)	Power Sum (dBV)	Multimeter-Full (dBV)	Dev (dB)	
-60	-60.36	-60.2	0.16	
-50	-50.19	-50.13	0.06	
-40	-40.14	-40.03	0.11	
-30	-30.13	-30.01	0.12	
-20	-20.12	-20	0.12	
-10	-10.14	-10	0.14	

FCC ID: ZNFG810EAW	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dogo 12 of 70
1M1903190041-02-R3.ZNF	04/15/2019 - 05/05/2019	Portable Handset		Page 12 of 79





Figure 4-10 **ABM2 Power Sum Validation**

- 3. Measurement Test Setup
 - a. Fine scan above the WD (TEM)
 - i. A multitone signal was applied to the handset such that the phone acoustic output was stable within 1dB over the probe settling time and with the acoustic output level at the C63.19 specified levels (below). The measurement step size was in 2 mm increments at a distance of 10 mm between the surface of the wireless device as shown below (note that in Figure 4-12, the grid is not to scale but merely a graphical representation of the coordinate system in use):



- ii. After scanning, the planar field maximum point was determined. The position of the probe was moved to this location to setup the test using the SoundCheck system.
- iii. These steps were repeated for all T-coil orientations (axial and radial) per Figure 4-14 after a T-coil orientation was fully measured with the SoundCheck system.
- b. Speech Signal Setup to Base Station Simulator
 - i. C63.19 Table 7-1 states audio reference input levels for various technologies:

Standard	Technology	Input Level (dBm0)
TIA/EIA/IS-2000	CDMA	-18
J-STD-007	GSM (217)	-16
T1/T1P1/3GPP	UMTS (WCDMA)	-16
iDEN TM	TDMA (22 and 11 Hz)	-18

FCC ID: ZNFG810EAW	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT		LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 13 of 79
1M1903190041-02-R3.ZNF	04/15/2019 - 05/05/2019	Portable Handset		rage 13 01 79

- ii. See Section 5 and 6 for more information regarding CMW500 audio level settings for Voice Over LTE (VoLTE), and Voice Over WIFI (VoWIFI) testing.
- iii. See Section 7 for more information regarding audio level settings for Over-The-Top (OTT) Voice Over IP (VoIP) Testing.

c. Real-Time Analyzer (RTA)

 The Real-Time Analyzer was configured to analyze measurements using 1/3 Octave band weighted filtering.

d. WD Radio Configuration Selection

- i. The device was chosen to be tested in the worst-case ABM2 condition (See Section 8 for more information regarding worst-case configurations for UMTS. LTE configuration information can be found in Section 5 and 7. WIFI configuration information can be found in Section 6 and 7.)
- ii. Supported GSM vocoders were investigated for the worst-case ABM2 condition. GSM-EFR was deemed the worst-case condition for the GSM air interface.

4. Signal Quality Data Analysis

- a. Narrow-band Magnetic Intensity
 - i. The standard specifies a 1kHz 1/3 octave band minimum field intensity for a sine tone. The ABM1 measurements were evaluated at 1kHz with 1/3 octave band filtering over an averaged period of 10 seconds.

b. Frequency Response

- i. The appropriate frequency response curve was measured to curves in Figure 3-1 or Figure 3-2 between 300 3000 Hz using digital linear averaging (limit lines chosen according to measurement found in step 4a). A linear average over 3x the length of the artificial voice signal (3x sampling) was performed. A 10 second delay was configured in the measurement process of the stimulus to ensure handset vocoder latency effects and echo cancellation devices (if any) were appropriately stabilized during measurements.
- ii. The appropriate post-processing was applied according to the system processing chain illustrated in Figure 4-7. All R10 frequencies were plotted with respect to 0dB at 1kHz value and aligned with respect to the EIA-504 mask.
- iii. The margin is represented by the closest measured data point on the curve to the EIA-504 limit lines, in dB.

c. Signal Quality Index

- i. Ensuring the WD was at maximum RF power, maximum volume, backlight off, display on, maximum contrast setting, keypad lights on (when possible) with no audio signal through the vocoder, the WD was measured over at least 100 Hz 10,000 Hz, maximized over 5 seconds with a 50ms sample time for the ABM2 measurement (5 second time period is used in noise measurements under standards such as IEEE 269, etc.).
- ii. After applying half-band integration and A-weighting to the result, a power sum was applied over each 1/3 octave bandwidth frequency for an ABM2 value.
- This result was subtracted from the ABM1 result in step a, to obtain the Signal Quality.

FCC ID: ZNFG810EAW	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dogo 14 of 70
1M1903190041-02-R3.ZNF	04/15/2019 - 05/05/2019	Portable Handset		Page 14 of 79

V. **Test Setup**




Figure 4-13 **Audio Magnetic Field Test Setup**

Environmental conditions such as temperature and relative humidity are monitored to ensure there are no impacts on system specifications. Proper voltage and power line frequency conditions are maintained with three phase power sources. Environmental noise and reflections are monitored through system checks.

Deviation from C63.19 Test Procedure VI.

Non-conducted RF connection due to inaccessible RF ports.

VII. Air Interface Technologies Tested

All air interfaces which support voice capabilities over a managed CMRS or pre-installed OTT VoIP applications were tested for T-coil unless otherwise noted. See Table 2-1 for more details regarding which modes were tested.

FCC ID: ZNFG810EAW	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 15 of 79
1M1903190041-02-R3.ZNF	04/15/2019 - 05/05/2019	Portable Handset		Fage 15 01 79

VIII. Wireless Device Channels and Frequencies

1. 2G/3G Modes

The frequencies listed in the table below are those that lie in the center of the bands used for cellular telephony. Low, middle and high channels were tested in each band for FCC compliance evaluation to ensure the maximum emission is captured across the entire band. Only middle channels were evaluated for data modes since circuit-switched voice modes were worst-case.

Table 4-3
Center Channels and Frequencies

Toot frequencies 2 acceptated channels				
Test frequencies & associated channels				
Channel	Frequency (MHz)			
Cellular 850				
190 (GSM)	836.60			
4183 (UMTS)	836.60			
AWS 1750				
1412 (UMTS)	1730.40			
PCS 1900				
661 (GSM)	1880			
9400 (UMTS)	1880			

2. 4G (LTE) Modes

For every band that was evaluated, the middle channel for every bandwidth was tested for each probe orientation. The band and bandwidth combination from each probe orientation resulting in the worst-case SNNR was additionally tested using low and high channels for that band and bandwidth combination. Low-mid and mid-high channels are additionally tested for LTE TDD. The middle channel and supported bandwidths from the worst-case band according to Table 7-5 was additionally evaluated with OTT VoIP for each probe orientation. See Tables 9-4 to 9-10 as well as Tables 9-17 and 9-18 for LTE bandwidths and channels.

3. WIFI

The middle channel for each 802.11 standard was tested for each probe orientation. The 2.4GHz 802.11 standard from each probe orientation resulting in the worst-case SNNR was additionally tested using low and high channels. The 5GHz 802.11 standard from each probe orientation resulting in the worst-case SNNR was additionally tested on higher U-NII bands as well as applicable low and high channels. See Tables 9-11 to 9-14 as well Tables 9-19 to 9-22 for WIFI standards and channels.

FCC ID: ZNFG810EAW	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dogo 16 of 70
1M1903190041-02-R3.ZNF	04/15/2019 - 05/05/2019	Portable Handset		Page 16 of 79

IX. **Test Flow**

The flow diagram below was followed (From C63.19):




Figure 4-14 **C63.19 T-Coil Signal Test Process**

FCC ID: ZNFG810EAW	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 17 of 79
1M1903190041-02-R3.ZNF	04/15/2019 - 05/05/2019	Portable Handset		Page 17 0179

© 2019 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

REV 3.3.M

5. **VOLTE TEST SYSTEM SETUP AND DUT CONFIGURATION**

I. Test System Setup for VoLTE over IMS T-coil Testing

1. Equipment Setup

The general test setup used for VoLTE over IMS is shown below. The callbox used when performing VoLTE over IMS T-coil measurements is a CMW500. The Data Application Unit (DAU) of the CMW500 was used to simulate the IP Multimedia Subsystem (IMS) server.




Figure 5-1 Test Setup for VoLTE over IMS T-Coil Measurements

2. Audio Level Settings

According to the July 2012 interpretations by the C63 Committee regarding the appropriate audio levels to be used for VoLTE over IMS T-coil testing, -16dBm0 shall be used for the normal speech input level*. The CMW500 base station simulator was manually configured to ensure that the settings for speech input and full scale levels resulted in the -16dBm0 speech input level to the DUT for the VoLTE over IMS connection.

FCC ID: ZNFG810EAW	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dogo 19 of 70
1M1903190041-02-R3.ZNF	04/15/2019 - 05/05/2019	Portable Handset		Page 18 of 79

^{*} http://c63.org/documents/misc/posting/new_interpretations.htm

II. **DUT Configuration for VoLTE over IMS T-coil Testing**

1. Radio Configuration

An investigation was performed to determine the modulation and RB configuration to be used for testing. 16QAM, 1RB, 0RB offset was used for the testing as the worst-case configuration for the handset. See below table for SNNR comparison between different radio configurations:

> Table 5-1 Vol TF over IMS SNNR by Radio Configuration

VOLTE OVER INIS SINING BY RAUTO CONTIGURATION											
Band	Frequency [MHz]	Channel	Bandwidth [MHz]	Modulation	RB Size	RB Offset	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]		
66	1745.0	132322	20	QPSK	1	0	3.05	-47.85	50.90		
66	1745.0	132322	20	QPSK	1	50	3.11	-48.47	51.58		
66	1745.0	132322	20	QPSK	1	99	3.08	-48.14	51.22		
66	1745.0	132322	20	QPSK	50	0	3.09	-49.92	53.01		
66	1745.0	132322	20	QPSK	50	25	3.09	-50.03	53.12		
66	1745.0	132322	20	QPSK	50	50	3.08	-50.42	53.50		
66	1745.0	132322	20	QPSK	100	0	3.10	-50.37	53.47		
66	1745.0	132322	20	16QAM	1	0	3.07	-42.34	45.41		
66	1745.0	132322	20	16QAM	1	50	3.04	-43.02	46.06		
66	1745.0	132322	20	16QAM	1	99	3.16	-42.83	45.99		
66	1745.0	132322	20	16QAM	50	0	3.08	-50.04	53.12		
66	1745.0	132322	20	16QAM	50	25	3.08	-50.31	53.39		
66	1745.0	132322	20	16QAM	50	50	3.04	-49.75	52.79		
66	1745.0	132322	20	16QAM	100	0	3.07	-49.80	52.87		
66	1745.0	132322	20	64QAM	1	0	3.01	-43.50	46.51		
66	1745.0	132322	20	64QAM	1	50	3.11	-44.46	47.57		
66	1745.0	132322	20	64QAM	1	99	3.09	-43.87	46.96		
66	1745.0	132322	20	64QAM	50	0	3.12	-50.76	53.88		
66	1745.0	132322	20	64QAM	50	25	3.11	-50.29	53.40		
66	1745.0	132322	20	64QAM	50	50	3.11	-49.57	52.68		
66.0	1745.0	132322	20	64QAM	100	0	3.11	-49.94	53.05		


2. Codec Configuration

An investigation was performed to determine the audio codec configuration to be used for testing. The WB AMR 6.60kbps setting was used for the audio codec on the CMW500 for VoLTE over IMS T-coil testing. See below table for comparisons between different codecs and codec data rates:

> Table 5-2 **AMR Codec Investigation – VoLTE over IMS**

Codec Setting:	WB AMR 23.85kbps	WB AMR 6.60kbps	NB AMR 12.2kbps	NB AMR 4.75kbps	Orientation	Band / BW	Channel
ABM1 (dBA/m)	3.33	3.07	4.21	4.02			21100
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-42.56	-42.11	-42.48	-41.34	Axial	Band 7 20MHz	
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass			
S+N/N (dB)	45.89	45.18	46.69	45.36			

- Mute on; Backlight off; Max Volume; Max Contrast
- TPC = "Max Power"



Audio Band Magnetic Curve Measurement Block Diagram

FCC ID: ZNFG810EAW	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dogo 10 of 70
1M1903190041-02-R3.ZNF	04/15/2019 - 05/05/2019	Portable Handset		Page 19 of 79

Table 5-3 **EVS Codec Investigation - VoLTE over IMS**

Codec Setting:	EVS Primary SWB 24.4kbps	EVS Primary SWB 9.6kbps	EVS Primary WB 24.4kbps	EVS Primary WB 5.9kbps	EVS Primary NB 24.4kbps	EVS Primary NB 5.9kbps	Orientation	Band / BW	Channel
ABM1 (dBA/m)	4.38	4.96	2.41	3.51	4.01	4.51	- Axial		21100
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-42.55	-42.42	-42.89	-42.79	-42.84	-42.58		Band 7 20MHz	
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass			
S+N/N (dB)	46.93	47.38	45.30	46.30	46.85	47.09			

- Mute on; Backlight off; Max Volume; Max Contrast
- TPC = "Max Power"




Figure 5-3 **Audio Band Magnetic Curve Measurement Block Diagram**

3. LTE TDD Uplink-Downlink Configuration Investigation for VoLTE over IMS

An investigation was performed to determine the worst-case Uplink-Downlink configuration for VoLTE over IMS T-Coil testing.

Per 3GPP TS 36.211, the total frame length for each TDD radio frame of length T_f = 307200 · T_s = 10 ms, where T_s is a number of time units equal to 1/(15000 x 2048) seconds. Additionally, each radio frame consists of 10 subframes, each of length 30720 · T_s = 1 ms, and subframes can be designated as uplink (U), downlink (D), or special subframe (S), depending on the Uplink-Downlink configuration as indicated in Table 4.2-2 of 3GPP TS 36.211. In the transmission duty factor calculation, the special subframe configuration with the shortest UpPTS duration within the special subframe is used and will be applied for measurement. From 3GPP TS 36.211 Table 4.2-1, the shortest UpPTS is 2192 · Ts which occurs in the normal cyclic prefix and special subframe configuration 4.

See table below outlining the calculated transmission duty cycles for each Uplink-Downlink configuration:

> Table 5-4 **Uplink-Downlink Configurations for Type 2 Frame Structures**

Uplink-downlink configuration	Downlink-to-Uplink Switch-point periodicity	Subframe number									Calculated Transmission	
configuration		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Duty Cycle (%)
0	5 ms	D	S	U	U	U	D	S	U	U	U	61.4%
1	5 ms	D	S	U	U	D	D	S	U	U	D	41.4%
2	5 ms	D	S	U	D	D	D	S	U	D	D	21.4%
3	10 ms	D	S	U	U	U	D	D	D	D	D	30.7%
4	10 ms	D	S	U	U	D	D	D	D	D	D	20.7%
5	10 ms	D	S	U	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	10.7%
6	5 ms	D	S	U	U	U	D	S	U	U	D	51.4%

FCC ID: ZNFG810EAW	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dogo 20 of 70
1M1903190041-02-R3.ZNF	04/15/2019 - 05/05/2019	Portable Handset		Page 20 of 79

a. Power Class 3 Uplink-Downlink Configuration Investigation

Power class 3 was evaluated with the following radio configuration: channel 40620, 20MHz BW, 16QAM, 1RB, 0RB Offset. For Power Class 3, all configurations (0-6) are supported. The configuration which resulted in the worst SNNR was used for full testing. Uplink-Downlink configuration 1 was used as the worst-case configuration for Power Class 3 VoLTE over IMS T-Coil testing. See table below for the SNNR comparison between each Uplink-Downlink configuration:

Table 5-5 Power Class 3 VoLTE over IMS SNNR by UL-DL Configuration

Frequency [MHz]	Channel	Bandwidth [MHz]	Modulation	RB Size	RB Offset	UL-DL Configuration	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	0	3.17	-33.49	36.66
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	1	3.02	-33.45	36.47
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	2	3.03	-33.94	36.97
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	3	3.01	-36.33	39.34
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	4	3.07	-36.40	39.47
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	5	3.14	-36.25	39.39
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	6	3.12	-33.44	36.56

b. Conclusion

Per the investigations above, UL-DL Configuration 1 was used to evaluate Power Class 3 VoLTE over IMS.

FCC ID: ZNFG810EAW	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dogo 21 of 70
1M1903190041-02-R3.ZNF	04/15/2019 - 05/05/2019	Portable Handset		Page 21 of 79

6. VOWIFI TEST SYSTEM SETUP AND DUT CONFIGURATION

I. Test System Setup for VoWIFI over IMS T-coil Testing

1. Equipment Setup

The general test setup used for VoWIFI over IMS, or CMRS WIFI Calling, is shown below. The callbox used when performing VoWIFI over IMS T-coil measurements is a CMW500. The Data Application Unit (DAU) of the CMW500 was used to simulate the IP Multimedia Subsystem (IMS) server.




Figure 6-1
Test Setup for VoWIFI over IMS T-Coil Measurements

2. Audio Level Settings

According to KDB 285076 D02 released by the FCC OET regarding the appropriate audio levels to be used for VoWIFI over IMS T-Coil testing, -20dBm0 shall be used for the normal speech input level². The CMW500 base station simulator was manually configured to ensure that the settings for speech input and full scale levels resulted in the -20dBm0 speech input level to the DUT for the VoWIFI over IMS connection.

Note: The green highlighted is approved by FCC under the TCB PAG Re-Use Policy 388624 D01 IV. D. for T-Coil Testing for WI-FI calling and Google Duo.

² FCC Office of Engineering and Technology KDB, "285076 D02 T-Coil Testing for CMRS IP v03," September 13, 2017

FCC ID: ZNFG810EAW	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 22 of 79
1M1903190041-02-R3.ZNF	04/15/2019 - 05/05/2019	Portable Handset		Fage 22 01 79

© 2019 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

REV 3.3.M 2/1/2019

DUT Configuration for VoWIFI over IMS T-coil Testing II.

1. Radio Configuration

An investigation was performed on all applicable data rates and modulations to determine the radio configuration to be used for testing. See tables below for SNNR comparison between radio configurations in each 802.11 standard:

> Table 6-1 802.11b SNNR by Radio Configuration

	602:118 Civity by Radio Configuration										
Mode	Channel	Modulation	Data Rate [Mbps]	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]					
802.11b	6	DSSS	1	-2.53	-41.70	39.17					
802.11b	6	DSSS	2	-3.12	-42.78	39.66					
802.11b	6	CCK	5.5	-2.80	-43.48	40.68					
802.11b	6	CCK	11	-2.48	-43.93	41.45					

Table 6-2 802.11g/a SNNR by Radio Configuration

Mode	Channel	Modulation	Data Rate [Mbps]	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]
802.11g	6	BPSK	6	-2.80	-50.10	47.30
802.11g	6	BPSK	9	-2.75	-50.11	47.36
802.11g	6	QPSK	12	-2.46	-50.53	48.07
802.11g	6	QPSK	18	-2.27	-50.17	47.90
802.11g	6	16-QAM	24	-2.74	-50.22	47.48
802.11g	6	16-QAM	36	-2.27	-50.48	48.21
802.11g	6	64-QAM	48	-2.93	-50.30	47.37
802.11g	6	64-QAM	54	-2.36	-49.78	47.42

Table 6-3 802.11n/ac 20MHz BW SNNR by Radio Configuration

Mode	Bandwidth [MHz]	Channel	Modulation	Data Rate [Mbps]	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]
802.11n	20	40	BPSK	6.5	-2.87	-48.33	45.46
802.11n	20	40	QPSK	13	-2.38	-49.47	47.09
802.11n	20	40	QPSK	19.5	-3.10	-51.14	48.04
802.11n	20	40	16-QAM	26	-2.81	-51.46	48.65
802.11n	20	40	16-QAM	39	-2.26	-51.38	49.12
802.11n	20	40	64-QAM	52	-2.33	-51.90	49.57
802.11n	20	40	64-QAM	58.5	-2.44	-49.89	47.45
802.11n	20	40	64-QAM	65	-2.35	-51.77	49.42
802.11ac	20	40	256-QAM	78	-2.90	-51.58	48.68

FCC ID: ZNFG810EAW	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 23 of 79
1M1903190041-02-R3.ZNF	04/15/2019 - 05/05/2019	Portable Handset		Fage 23 01 79

Table 6-4 802.11n/ac 40MHz BW SNNR by Radio Configuration

002.1111/dC 40Mi12 BW Civil By Radio Comiguration									
Mode	Bandwidth [MHz]	Channel	Modulation	Data Rate [Mbps]	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]		
802.11n	40	38	BPSK	13.5	-2.53	-49.87	47.34		
802.11n	40	38	QPSK	27	-2.52	-51.01	48.49		
802.11n	40	38	QPSK	40.5	-2.79	-51.20	48.41		
802.11n	40	38	16-QAM	54	-2.87	-52.82	49.95		
802.11n	40	38	16-QAM	81	-2.26	-52.56	50.30		
802.11n	40	38	64-QAM	108	-2.86	-51.94	49.08		
802.11n	40	38	64-QAM	121.5	-2.39	-51.99	49.60		
802.11n	40	38	64-QAM	135	-2.47	-51.25	48.78		
802.11ac	40	38	256-QAM	162	-2.39	-52.19	49.80		
802.11ac	40	38	256-QAM	180	-2.32	-51.12	48.80		

2. Codec Configuration

An investigation was performed to determine the audio codec configuration to be used for testing. The WB AMR 6.60kbps setting was used for the audio codec on the CMW500 for VoWIFI over IMS T-coil testing. See below table for comparisons between different codecs and codec data rates:


> Table 6-5 AMR Codec Investigation - VoWIFI over IMS

	7 time Codo invocagation vovin rovor into									
Codec Setting:	WB AMR 23.85kbps	WB AMR 6.60kbps	NB AMR 12.2kbps	NB AMR 4.75kbps	Orientation	Band	Standard	Channel		
ABM1 (dBA/m)	-1.92	-2.61	-0.89	-1.02						
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-41.84	-41.04	-40.58	-40.68	Axial	2.4GHz	IEEE 802.11b	6		
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Avidi					
S+N/N (dB)	39.92	38.43	39.69	39.66						

Table 6-6 EVS Codec Investigation - VoWIFI over IMS

a.										
Codec Setting:	EVS Primary SWB 24.4kbps	EVS Primary SWB 9.6kbps	EVS Primary WB 24.4kbps	EVS Primary WB 5.9kbps	EVS Primary NB 24.4kbps	EVS Primary NB 5.9kbps	Orientation	Band	Standard	Channel
ABM1 (dBA/m)	-1.43	-0.67	-3.23	-1.69	-1.43	-1.62			IEEE 802.11b	6
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-43.09	-43.08	-43.44	-42.32	-42.73	-42.63	Axial	2.4GHz		
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Axiai			
S+N/N (dB)	41.66	42.41	40.21	40.63	41.30	41.01				

Mute on; Backlight off; Max Volume; Max Contrast



Audio Band Magnetic Curve Measurement Block Diagram

FCC ID: ZNFG810EAW	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 24 of 79
1M1903190041-02-R3.ZNF	04/15/2019 - 05/05/2019	Portable Handset		Fage 24 01 79

OTT VOIP TEST SYSTEM AND DUT CONFIGURATION 7.

Test System Setup for OTT VoIP T-Coil Testing I.

1. OTT VoIP Application

Google Duo is a pre-installed application on the DUT which allows for VoIP calls in a held-to-ear scenario. Duo uses the OPUS audio codec and supports a bitrate range of 6kb/s to 64kb/s. All air interfaces capable of a data connection were evaluated with Google Duo.

2. Equipment Setup

A CMW500 callbox was used to perform OTT VoIP T-coil measurements. The Data Application Unit (DAU) of the CMW500 was connected to the internet and allowed for an IP data connection on the DUT. An auxiliary VoIP unit was used to initiate an OTT VoIP call to the DUT. The auxiliary VoIP unit allowed for the configuration and monitoring of the OTT VoIP codec bitrate during a call. Both high and low bitrate settings were evaluated in to determine the worst-case configuration.

3. Audio Level Settings

According to KDB 285076 D02, the average speech level of -20dBm0 shall be used for protocols not specifically listed in Table 7.1 of ANSI C63.19-2011 or the ANSI C63.19-2011 VoLTE interpretation³. The auxiliary VoIP unit allowed for monitoring the signal input level to ensure that the settings for speech input and full scale levels resulted in the -20dBm0 speech input level to the DUT for the OTT VoIP call.

Note: The green highlighted is approved by FCC under the TCB PAG Re-Use Policy 388624 D01 IV. D. for T-Coil Testing for WI-FI calling and Google Duo.

II. **DUT Configuration for OTT VolP T-Coil Testing**

1. Codec Configuration

An investigation was performed for each applicable data mode to determine the audio codec configuration to be used for testing. The 64kbps codec setting was used for the audio codec on the auxiliary VoIP unit for OTT VoIP T-Coil testing. See below tables for comparisons between codec data rates on all applicable data modes:

> Table 7-1 Codec Investigation - OTT VolP (FDGF)

Codec investigation – OTT von (EDGE)							
Codec Setting:	64kbps	6kbps	Orientation	Channel			
ABM1 (dBA/m)	10.19	9.95					
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-24.71	-26.06	Avial	661			
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass	Axial	001			
S+N/N (dB)	34.90	36.01					

³ FCC Office of Engineering and Technology KDB, "285076 D02 T-Coil Testing for CMRS IP v03," September 13, 2017

FCC ID: ZNFG810EAW	PCTEST'	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT		Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 25 of 79
1M1903190041-02-R3.ZNF	04/15/2019 - 05/05/2019	Portable Handset		Faye 23 01 79

Table 7-2
Codec Investigation – OTT VoIP (HSPA)

Codec investigation CTT von (not A)						
Codec Setting:	64kbps	6kbps	Orientation	Channel		
ABM1 (dBA/m)	10.14	10.03				
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-53.42	-54.19	Axial	9400		
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass	Axiai			
S+N/N (dB)	63.56	64.22				

Table 7-3
Codec Investigation – OTT VolP (LTF)

Codec investigation – OTT voil (LTL)									
Codec Setting:	64kbps	6kbps	Orientation	Band / BW	Channel				
ABM1 (dBA/m)	10.00	10.02	10.02						
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-45.59	-45.82	Axial	Band 12 10MHz	23095				
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass	Avidi						
S+N/N (dB)	55.59	55.84							

Table 7-4
Codec Investigation – OTT VoIP (WIFI)

Codec investigation CTT voil (VVIII)									
Codec Setting:	64kbps	6kbps	Orientation	Band	Standard	Channel			
ABM1 (dBA/m)	9.84	10.06	Axial			6			
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-38.63	-39.37		2.4GHz	IEEE 802.11b				
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass							
S+N/N (dB)	48.47	49.43							

- Mute on; Backlight off; Max Volume; Max Contrast
- Radio Configurations can be found in Section 9.II.G




Figure 7-1
Audio Band Magnetic Curve Measurement Block Diagram

FCC ID: ZNFG810EAW	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 26 of 79
1M1903190041-02-R3.ZNF	04/15/2019 - 05/05/2019	Portable Handset		Page 20 01 79

2. Radio Configuration for OTT VoIP (LTE)

An investigation was performed to determine the worst-case LTE band to be used for OTT VoIP testing. LTE FDD Band 2 was used for the testing as the worst-case configuration for the handset. See below table for SNNR comparison between different LTE bands:

> Table 7-5 OTT VoIP (LTE FDD) SNNR by LTE Band

Band	Frequency [MHz]	Channel	Bandwidth [MHz]	Modulation	RB Size	RB Offset	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]
12	707.5	23095	10	16QAM	1	0	9.94	-45.64	55.58
13	782.0	23230	10	16QAM	1	0	9.95	-42.75	52.70
26	831.5	26865	15	16QAM	1	0	10.10	-43.87	53.97
66	1745.0	132322	20	16QAM	1	0	10.11	-44.30	54.41
2	1880.0	18900	20	16QAM	1	0	9.84	-41.72	51.56
7	2535.0	21100	20	16QAM	1	0	9.99	-43.78	53.77

FCC ID: ZNFG810EAW	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dogo 27 of 70
1M1903190041-02-R3.ZNF	04/15/2019 - 05/05/2019	Portable Handset		Page 27 of 79

8. FCC 3G MEASUREMENTS

I. UMTS Test Configurations

AMR at 12.2kbps, 13.6kbps SRB was used for the testing as the worst-case configuration for the handset. See below plot for ABM noise comparison between vocoder rates:





Figure 8-1
UMTS Audio Band Magnetic Noise

Table 8-1 Codec Investigation - UMTS

Codec Setting:	AMR 12.2kbps	AMR 7.95kbps	AMR 4.75kbps	Orientation	Channel	
ABM1 (dBA/m)	3.01	3.01	3.04			
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-53.09	-53.42	-54.14 Axial		9400	
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass	Pass	Axiai	3400	
S+N/N (dB)	56.10	56.43	57.18			

- · Mute on; Backlight off; Max Volume; Max Contrast
- · TPC="All 1s"



Audio Band Magnetic Curve Measurement Block Diagram

FCC ID: ZNFG810EAW	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 28 of 79
1M1903190041-02-R3.ZNF	04/15/2019 - 05/05/2019	Portable Handset		Fage 20 01 /9

Table 9-1 **Consolidated Tabled Results**

			201130110	dated 1	abieu K	Courto			
			esponse rgin	_	netic y Verdict		SNNR dict	Margin from FCC Limit	C63.19-2011
		8.3	3.2	8.3	3.1	8.	3.4	(dB)	Rating
C63.19	9 Section	Axial	Radial	Axial	Radial	Axial	Radial	ì í	
GSM	Cellular	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-4.09	Т3
COM	PCS	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-4.09	13
EDGE	Cellular	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-14.53	T4
(OTT VoIP)	PCS	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-14.53	14
	Cellular	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
UMTS	AWS	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-30.26	T4
	PCS	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS]	
	Cellular	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
HSPA (OTT VoIP)	AWS	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-39.19	T4
(211 1211)	PCS	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
	B12	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
	B13	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
	B26	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	00.04	T.4
LTE FDD	B66	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-22.21	T4
	B2	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
	B7	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	_	
LTE FDD (OTT VoIP)	B2	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-31.39	T4
LTE TDD	B41 (PC3)	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-16.18	T4
LTE TDD (OTT VoIP)	B41 (PC3)	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-24.55	Т4
	802.11b	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
10/1 A N	802.11g	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	7.00	To
WLAN	802.11n	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-7.68	Т3
	802.11ac	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
	802.11b	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
WLAN	802.11g	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	47.40	Т.4
(OTT VoIP)	802.11n	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-17.49	T4
	802.11ac	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
	802.11a	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
U-NII	802.11n	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-8.67	Т3
	802.11ac	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
	802.11a	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
U-NII (OTT VoIP)	802.11n	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-16.77	T4
(311 7011)	802.11ac	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		

FCC ID: ZNFG810EAW	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dogo 20 of 70
1M1903190041-02-R3.ZNF	04/15/2019 - 05/05/2019	Portable Handset		Page 29 of 79

I. **Raw Handset Data**

Table 9-2 **Raw Data Results for GSM**

Mode	Orientation	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
		128	5.20	-22.05		1.68	27.25	20.00	-7.25	T3	
	Axial	190	5.38	-21.63	-62.17	1.65	27.01	20.00	-7.01	Т3	2.6, 3.0
GSM850		251	5.29	-20.48		1.68	25.77	20.00	-5.77	Т3	
GSIVIOSU		128	-3.15	-28.40			25.25	20.00	-5.25	Т3	
	Radial	190	-3.11	-27.75	-63.78	N/A	24.64	20.00	-4.64	T3	2.6, 1.6
		251	-2.62	-26.87		24.25	20.00	-4.25	Т3		
		512	5.35	-21.17		1.53	26.52	20.00	-6.52	Т3	
	Axial	661	5.12	-20.78	-62.17	1.70	25.90	20.00	-5.90	Т3	2.6, 3.0
GSM1900		810	5.33	-20.38		1.74	25.71	20.00	-5.71	Т3	
G3W1900		512	-3.18	-27.93			24.75	20.00	-4.75	Т3	2.6, 1.6
	Radial	661	-3.26	-27.53	-63.78	-63.78 N/A	24.27	20.00	-4.27	Т3	
		810	-3.06	-27.15			24.09	20.00	-4.09	T3	

Table 9-3 **Raw Data Results for UMTS**

Naw Data Nesults for Chiro												
Mode	Orientation	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates	
		4132	3.01	-54.12		2.00	57.13	20.00	-37.13	T4		
	Axial	4183	2.99	-54.10	-62.17	2.00	57.09	20.00	-37.09	T4	2.6, 3.0	
UMTS V		4233	3.02	-53.01		2.00	56.03	20.00	-36.03	T4		
OWI S V		4132	-3.58	-55.17			51.59	20.00	-31.59	T4		
	Radial	4183	-3.55	-55.48	-62.43	N/A	51.93	20.00	-31.93	T4	2.6, 1.6	
		4233	-3.55	-54.82			51.27	20.00	-31.27	T4		
		1312	3.00	-53.94	-62.17	2.00	56.94	20.00	-36.94	T4		
	Axial	1412	3.01	-54.02		2.00	57.03	20.00	-37.03	T4	2.6, 3.0	
UMTS IV		1513	3.02	-52.75		1.98	55.77	20.00	-35.77	T4		
OWITSTV		1312	-3.56	-55.02			51.46	20.00	-31.46	T4		
	Radial	1412	-3.55	-54.98	-62.43 N	N/A	51.43	20.00	-31.43	T4	2.6, 1.6	
		1513	-3.54	-53.80			50.26	20.00	-30.26	T4		
		9262	2.99	-53.73		2.00	56.72	20.00	-36.72	T4		
	Axial	9400	3.01	-53.53	-62.17	2.00	56.54	20.00	-36.54	T4	2.6, 3.0	
UMTS II		9538	3.01	-53.17		2.00	56.18	20.00	-36.18	T4		
OWITSII		9262	-3.49	-54.81			51.32	20.00	-31.32	T4		
	Radial	9400	-3.49	-55.09	-62.43	N/A	51.60	20.00	-31.60	T4	2.6, 1.6	
		9538	-3.50	-55.18			51.68	20.00	-31.68	T4		

Table 9-4 **Raw Data Results for LTE B12**

Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates	
		10MHz	23095	3.12	-42.47		1.76	45.59	20.00	-25.59	T4		
	Axial	5MHz	23095	3.11	-43.81	-62.17	1.71	46.92	20.00	-26.92	T4	2.6, 3.0	
	Axiai	3MHz	23095	2.96	-43.99	-02.17	1.63	46.95	20.00	-26.95	T4	2.0, 3.0	
LTE Ba	ıd	1.4MHz	23095	3.01	-44.08		1.77	47.09	20.00	-27.09	T4		
12		10MHz	23095	-4.70	-53.65			48.95	20.00	-28.95	T4		
	Radial	5MHz	23095	-4.69	-52.93	60.40	NI/A	48.24	20.00	-28.24	T4	2.6, 1.6	
	Raulai	3MHz	23095	-4.47	-54.42	-62.43	-62.43 N/A	IN/A	49.95	20.00	-29.95	T4	2.0, 1.0
		1.4MHz	23095	-4.49	-53.31			48.82	20.00	-28.82	T4		

FCC ID: ZNFG810EAW	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dogo 20 of 70
1M1903190041-02-R3.ZNF	04/15/2019 - 05/05/2019	Portable Handset		Page 30 of 79

Table 9-5 **Raw Data Results for LTE B13**

Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)		Test Coordinates
	Avial	10MHz	23230	2.91	-44.68	-61.26	1.68	47.59	20.00	-27.59	T4	2.6, 3.0
LTE Band Axial	5MHz	23230	2.82	-44.10	-01.20	1.68	46.92	20.00	-26.92	T4	2.6, 3.0	
13	Dodial	10MHz	23230	-4.52	-53.09	62.42	N/A	48.57	20.00	-28.57	T4	2.6. 1.6
	Radial	5MHz	23230	-4.52	-52.67	-62.43	-62.43 N/A -	48.15	20.00	-28.15	T4	2.0, 1.0

Table 9-6 **Raw Data Results for LTE B26**

Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
		15MHz	26865	2.89	-42.68		1.75	45.57	20.00	-25.57	T4	
		10MHz	26865	2.91	-44.78		1.64	47.69	20.00	-27.69	T4	
	Axial	5MHz	26865	2.84	-45.21	-61.26	1.59	48.05	20.00	-28.05	T4	2.6, 3.0
		3MHz	26865	3.08	-46.23		1.81	49.31	20.00	-29.31	T4	
LTE Band	LTE Band	1.4MHz	26865	3.10	-47.81		1.54	50.91	20.00	-30.91	T4	
26		15MHz	26865	-4.58	-52.80			48.22	20.00	-28.22	T4	
		10MHz	26865	-4.45	-52.41			47.96	20.00	-27.96	T4	
	Radial	5MHz	26865	-4.32	-52.09	-62.43	N/A	47.77	20.00	-27.77	T4	2.6, 1.6
		3MHz	26865	-4.52	-51.81	1		47.29	20.00	-27.29	T4	
		1.4MHz	26865	-4.78	-53.71			48.93	20.00	-28.93	T4	

Table 9-7 **Raw Data Results for LTE B66**

					Data IV								
Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates	
		20MHz	132322	3.30	-44.99		1.62	48.29	20.00	-28.29	T4		
		15MHz	132322	2.70	-45.47		1.69	48.17	20.00	-28.17	T4		
	Axial	10MHz	132322	2.74	-46.23	-61.26	1.66	48.97	20.00	-28.97	T4	2.6, 3.0	
	Axiai	5MHz	132322	2.99	-45.93	-01.20	1.60	48.92	20.00	-28.92	T4	2.0, 3.0	
		3MHz	132322	2.78	-44.90		1.76	47.68	20.00	-27.68	T4		
LTE Band		1.4MHz	132322	2.94	-47.02		1.69	49.96	20.00	-29.96	T4		
66		20MHz	132322	-4.19	-52.94	-62.43		48.75	20.00	-28.75	T4		
		15MHz	132322	-5.03	-52.95			47.92	20.00	-27.92	T4		
	Radial	10MHz	132322	-4.26	-53.01			N/A	48.75	20.00	-28.75	T4	2.6, 1.6
	Radiai	5MHz	132322	-4.52	-53.71		IN/A	49.19	20.00	-29.19	T4	2.0, 1.0	
		3MHz	132322	-4.84	-53.61			48.77	20.00	-28.77	T4		
		1.4MHz	132322	-4.57	-52.38			47.81	20.00	-27.81	T4		

Table 9-8 Raw Data Results for LTE B2

						Courto IC	<u> </u>	_				
Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011	Test Coordinates
		20MHz	19100	2.90	-40.80		1.69	43.70	20.00	-23.70	T4	
		20MHz	18900	3.11	-39.10		1.66	42.21	20.00	-22.21	T4	
		20MHz	18700	2.41	-40.63		1.71	43.04	20.00	-23.04	T4	
	Axial	15MHz	18900	2.94	-39.44	-62.17	1.77	42.38	20.00	-22.38	T4	2.6, 3.0
	Axiai	10MHz	18900	2.97	-39.59	-02.17	1.68	42.56	20.00	-22.56	T4	2.0, 3.0
	-	5MHz	18900	2.98	-39.34		1.71	42.32	20.00	-22.32	T4	
		3MHz	18900	3.09	-40.13		1.70	43.22	20.00	-23.22	T4	
LTE Band 2		1.4MHz	18900	3.06	-40.57		1.73	43.63	20.00	-23.63	T4	
LIE Ballu 2		20MHz	19100	-4.25	-49.84			45.59	20.00	-25.59	T4	
		20MHz	18900	-4.58	-49.76			45.18	20.00	-25.18	T4	
		20MHz	18700	-4.55	-51.23			46.68	20.00	-26.68	T4	
	Radial	15MHz	18900	-4.62	-50.65	60.40	NIZA	46.03	20.00	-26.03	T4	00.40
	Radiai	10MHz	18900	-4.54	-50.32	-62.43	N/A	45.78	20.00	-25.78	T4	2.6, 1.6
		5MHz	18900	-4.43	-49.65	j)		45.22	20.00	-25.22	T4	
		3MHz	18900	-4.33	-49.69			45.36	20.00	-25.36	T4	
		1.4MHz	18900	-4.69	-51.22			46.53	20.00	-26.53	T4	

FCC ID: ZNFG810EAW	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dogo 21 of 70
1M1903190041-02-R3.ZNF	04/15/2019 - 05/05/2019	Portable Handset		Page 31 of 79

Table 9-9 **Raw Data Results for LTE B7**

Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011	Test Coordinates
		20MHz	21100	3.07	-42.02		1.83	45.09	20.00	-25.09	T4	
	Axial	15MHz	21100	3.00	-41.74	-62.17	1.69	44.74	20.00	-24.74	T4	2.6, 3.0
	Axiai	10MHz	21100	3.23	-41.58		1.67	44.81	20.00	-24.81	T4 2.0	2.6, 3.0
LTE Band 7		5MHz	21100	3.05	-41.52		1.77	44.57	20.00	-24.57	T4	
LIE Ballu /		20MHz	21100	-4.94	-51.95		-62.43 N/A	47.01	20.00	-27.01	T4	
	Radial	15MHz	21100	-4.56	-54.13			49.57	20.00	-29.57	T4	2.6, 1.6
	Naulai	10MHz	21100	-4.75	-54.60	-02.43	IN/A	49.85	20.00	-29.85	T4	2.0, 1.0
		5MHz	21100	-4.28	-53.34	-		49.06	20.00	-29.06	T4	

Table 9-10 Raw Data Results for LTE B41 Power Class 3

				_ 0.0		JI LIL D						
Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011	Test Coordinates
		20MHz	40620	3.22	-33.46		1.80	36.68	20.00	-16.68	T4	
		15MHz	41490	3.09	-34.00		1.65	37.09	20.00	-17.09	T4	
		15MHz	41055	3.03	-33.92		1.68	36.95	20.00	-16.95	T4	
	Axial	15MHz	40620	3.12	-33.06	-62.17	1.75	36.18	20.00	-16.18	T4	2.6, 3.0
	Axiai	15MHz	40185	3.07	-33.23	-02.17	1.73	36.30	20.00	-16.30	T4	2.0, 3.0
		15MHz	39750	3.08	-33.40		1.74	36.48	20.00	-16.48	T4	
		10MHz	40620	2.93	-33.41		1.79	36.34	20.00	-16.34	T4	
LTE Band		5MHz	40620	3.00	-33.97		1.77	36.97	20.00	-16.97	T4	
41		20MHz	41490	-4.67	-47.88			43.21	20.00	-23.21	T4	
		20MHz	41055	-4.60	-48.44			43.84	20.00	-23.84	T4	
		20MHz	40620	-4.50	-47.26			42.76	20.00	-22.76	T4	
	Radial	20MHz	40185	-4.55	-49.39	-62.43	N/A	44.84	20.00	-24.84	T4	2.6, 1.6
	Naulai	20MHz	39750	-4.77	-46.68	-02.43	IWA	41.91	20.00	-21.91	T4	2.0, 1.0
		15MHz	40620	-4.42	-48.09	1		43.67	20.00	-23.67	T4	
		10MHz	40620	-4.55	-48.68			44.13	20.00	-24.13	T4	
		5MHz	40620	-4.64	-49.91			45.27	20.00	-25.27	T4	

Table 9-11 Raw Data Results for 2.4GHz WIFI

			- 11	aw Data	i Nesuits	101 2.701	12 4411 1				
Mode	Orientation	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
		1	-2.72	-41.46		1.64	38.74	20.00	-18.74	T4	
	Axial	6	-2.72	-42.46	-61.26	1.58	39.74	20.00	-19.74	T4	2.6, 3.0
IEEE		11	-2.31	-40.67		1.54	38.36	20.00	-18.36	T4	
802.11b		1	-8.72	-36.40			27.68	20.00	-7.68	Т3	
	Radial	6	-8.71	-37.89	-62.43	N/A	29.18	20.00	-9.18	Т3	2.6, 1.6
		11	-8.68	-36.85			28.17	20.00	-8.17	Т3	1
IEEE	Axial	6	-3.15	-49.54	-61.26	1.56	46.39	20.00	-26.39	T4	2.6, 3.0
802.11g	Radial	6	-8.70	-42.85	-62.43	N/A	34.15	20.00	-14.15	T4	2.6, 1.6
IEEE	Axial	6	-2.57	-47.44	-61.26	1.49	44.87	20.00	-24.87	T4	2.6, 3.0
802.11n	Radial	6	-8.61	-44.55	-62.43	N/A	35.94	20.00	-15.94	T4	2.6, 1.6
IEEE	Axial	6	-2.39	-50.80	-61.26	1.56	48.41	20.00	-28.41	T4	2.6, 3.0
802.11ac	Radial	6	-9.30	-47.40	-62.43	N/A	38.10	20.00	-18.10	T4	2.6, 1.6

Table 9-12 Raw Data Results for 5GHz WIFI 802.11a

Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	U-NII	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011	Test Coordinates
	Axial	20MHz	1	40	-2.76	-48.06	-61.26	1.18	45.30	20.00	-25.30	T4	2.6, 3.0
IEEE 802.11a													
002.11a	Radial	20MHz	1	40	-9.19	-39.18	-62.43	N/A	29.99	20.00	-9.99	T3	2.6, 1.6

FCC ID: ZNFG810EAW	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 32 of 79
1M1903190041-02-R3.ZNF	04/15/2019 - 05/05/2019	Portable Handset		Page 32 01 79

Table 9-13 Raw Data Results for 5GHz WIFI 802.11n

Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	U-NII	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
		40MHz	1	38	-2.93	-49.72		1.49	46.79	20.00	-26.79	T4	
		20MHz	1	36	-2.61	-45.34		1.47	42.73	20.00	-22.73	T4	
		20MHz	1	40	-2.54	-46.05		1.67	43.51	20.00	-23.51	T4	
		20MHz	1	48	-2.70	-45.77		1.55	43.07	20.00	-23.07	T4	
	Axial	40MHz	2A	54	-2.71	-47.88	-61.26	1.58	45.17	20.00	-25.17	T4	2.6, 3.0
	Anai	20MHz	2A	56	-2.53	-46.13	-01.20	1.56	43.60	20.00	-23.60	T4	2.0, 5.0
		40MHz	2C	110	-3.00	-47.81		1.57	44.81	20.00	-24.81	T4	
		20MHz	2C	116	-2.75	-46.64		1.53	43.89	20.00	-23.89	T4	
		40MHz	3	151	-2.51	-49.86		1.58	47.35	20.00	-27.35	T4	
IEEE		20MHz	3	157	-2.93	-47.81		1.66	44.88	20.00	-24.88	T4	
802.11n													
002		40MHz	1	38	-8.60	-40.29			31.69	20.00	-11.69	T4	
		20MHz	1	36	-9.20	-38.44			29.24	20.00	-9.24	T3	
		20MHz	1	40	-9.12	-37.79			28.67	20.00	-8.67	T3	
		20MHz	1	48	-8.82	-38.58			29.76	20.00	-9.76	T3	
	Radial	40MHz	2A	54	-8.70	-40.28	-62.43	N/A	31.58	20.00	-11.58	T4	2.6, 1.6
	Naulai	20MHz	2A	56	-9.26	-38.48	-02.43	TN/A	29.22	20.00	-9.22	T3	2.0, 1.0
		40MHz	2C	110	-8.65	-40.14			31.49	20.00	-11.49	T4	
		20MHz	2C	116	-8.55	-37.45			28.90	20.00	-8.90	T3	
		40MHz	3	151	-8.74	-41.71			32.97	20.00	-12.97	T4	
		20MHz	3	157	-9.21	-39.79			30.58	20.00	-10.58	T4	

Table 9-14 Raw Data Results for 5GHz WIFI 802.11ac

Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	U-NII	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011	Test Coordinates
	Avial	40MHz	1	38	-3.06	-50.71	-61.26	1.73	47.65	20.00	-27.65	T4	2.6, 3.0
Axial	Axiai	20MHz	1	40	-2.23	-49.68	-01.20	1.44	47.45	20.00	-27.45	T4	2.0, 5.0
802.11ac													
802.11ac	Radial	40MHz	1	38	-9.18	-43.47	62.43	N/A	34.29	20.00	-14.29	T4	2.6. 1.6
	i vadiai	20MHz	1	40	-9.24	-43.38	-62.43	2.43 N/A	34.14	20.00	-14.14	T4	2.0, 1.0

Table 9-15 Raw Data Results for EDGE (OTT VoIP)

Mode	Orientation	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
EDGE850	Axial	190	10.24	-25.70	-61.26	1.05	35.94	20.00	-15.94	T4	2.6, 3.0
EDGE050	Radial	190	3.83	-30.95	-62.43	N/A	34.78	20.00	-14.78	T4	2.6, 1.6
EDGE190	Axial	661	10.12	-25.66	-61.26	1.12	35.78	20.00	-15.78	T4	2.6, 3.0
EDGE 1900	Radial	661	3.73	-30.80	-62.43	N/A	34.53	20.00	-14.53	T4	2.6, 1.6

Table 9-16 Raw Data Results for HSPA (OTT VoIP)

			Itan	Dala N	esuits ioi	1101 - 10	JII VUIF	,			
Mode	Orientation	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
HSPA V	Axial	4183	10.25	-54.33	-61.26	1.04	64.58	20.00	-44.58	T4	2.6, 3.0
NOFA V	Radial	4183	3.81	-55.38	-62.43	N/A	59.19	20.00	-39.19	T4	2.6, 1.6
HSPA IV	Axial	1412	10.17	-52.24	-61.26	1.01	62.41	20.00	-42.41	T4	2.6, 3.0
HOPAIV	Radial	1412	3.91	-55.78	-62.43	N/A	59.69	20.00	-39.69	T4	2.6, 1.6
LI S D A II	Axial	9400	10.28	-53.73	-61.26	1.05	64.01	20.00	-44.01	T4	2.6, 3.0
HSPA II	Radial	9400	3.79	-55.67	-62.43	N/A	59.46	20.00	-39.46	T4	2.6, 1.6

FCC ID: ZNFG810EAW	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 33 of 79
1M1903190041-02-R3.ZNF	04/15/2019 - 05/05/2019	Portable Handset		Fage 33 01 79

Table 9-17 Raw Data Results for LTE FDD B2 (OTT VoIP)

Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
		20MHz	19100	10.05	-43.17		1.09	53.22	20.00	-33.22	T4	
Axial		20MHz	18900	10.14	-41.25		1.08	51.39	20.00	-31.39	T4	
		20MHz	18700	10.15	-42.70		1.07	52.85	20.00	-32.85	T4	
	15MHz	18900	10.15	-41.56	-61.26	1.10	51.71	20.00	-31.71	T4	2.6, 3.0	
	Axiai	10MHz	18900	10.11	-41.69	-01.20	1.05	51.80	20.00	-31.80	T4	2.0, 3.0
		5MHz	18900	9.92	-41.63		1.10	51.55	20.00	-31.55	T4	
		3MHz	18900	10.10	-41.73		1.09	51.83	20.00	-31.83	T4	
LTE Band 2		1.4MHz	18900	9.95	-42.68		1.10	52.63	20.00	-32.63	T4	
LIE Ballu 2		20MHz	18900	3.75	-50.47			54.22	20.00	-34.22	T4	
		15MHz	19125	3.99	-50.38			54.37	20.00	-34.37	T4	
		15MHz	18900	3.60	-49.54			53.14	20.00	-33.14	T4	
	Radial	15MHz	18675	4.05	-51.36	-62.43	N/A	55.41	20.00	-35.41	T4	2.6, 1.6
R	radiai	10MHz	18900	3.68	-51.22	-02.43	IN/A	54.90	20.00	-34.90	T4	2.0, 1.0
		5MHz	18900	3.96	-51.14			55.10	20.00	-35.10	T4	
		3MHz	18900	4.00	-51.25			55.25	20.00	-35.25	T4	
		1.4MHz	18900	4.03	-51.21			55.24	20.00	-35.24	T4	

Table 9-18 Raw Data Results for LTE TDD B41 (OTT VoIP)

Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
		20MHz	40620	9.97	-35.84		1.12	45.81	20.00	-25.81	T4	
		15MHz	41490	9.94	-35.30		1.03	45.24	20.00	-25.24	T4	
		15MHz	41055	10.02	-34.53		1.06	44.55	20.00	-24.55	T4	
	Axial	15MHz	40620	10.16	-35.01	-61.26	1.08	45.17	20.00	-25.17	T4	2.6, 3.0
	Axiai	15MHz	40185	9.99	-35.03	-01.20	1.13	45.02	20.00	-25.02	T4	2.0, 3.0
		15MHz	39750	9.93	-34.78		1.10	44.71	20.00	-24.71	T4	
		10MHz	40620	10.15	-35.31		1.12	45.46	20.00	-25.46	T4	
LTE Band		5MHz	40620	10.08	-35.67		1.09	45.75	20.00	-25.75	T4	
41		20MHz	40620	3.88	-48.57			52.45	20.00	-32.45	T4	
		15MHz	41490	3.88	-48.66			52.54	20.00	-32.54	T4	
		15MHz	41055	3.85	-48.54			52.39	20.00	-32.39	T4	
	Radial	15MHz	40620	3.73	-48.33	-62.43	N/A	52.06	20.00	-32.06	T4	2.6, 1.6
	Naulai	15MHz	40185	3.92	-46.35	-02.43	IN/A	50.27	20.00	-30.27	T4	2.0, 1.0
		15MHz	39750	3.70	-45.77			49.47	20.00	-29.47	T4	
		10MHz	40620	3.95	-48.77			52.72	20.00	-32.72	T4	
		5MHz	40620	3.87	-49.36			53.23	20.00	-33.23	T4	

Table 9-19 Raw Data Results for 2.4GHz WIFI (OTT VoIP)

			Naw Do	ala Nesu	iits for 2.4	+GHZ VVII	TIOIIV	UIF)			
Mode	Orientation	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
		1	10.16	-41.14		1.02	51.30	20.00	-31.30	T4	
	Axial	6	10.23	-39.01	-61.26	0.99	49.24	20.00	-29.24	T4	2.6, 3.0
IEEE		11	10.29	-41.04		0.98	51.33	20.00	-31.33	T4	
802.11b		1	4.08	-33.47			37.55	20.00	-17.55	T4	
	Radial	6	4.18	-34.13	-62.43	N/A	38.31	20.00	-18.31	T4	2.6, 1.6
		11	4.00	-33.49			37.49	20.00	-17.49	T4	1
IEEE	Axial	6	9.90	-46.24	-61.26	1.00	56.14	20.00	-36.14	T4	2.6, 3.0
802.11g	Radial	6	4.11	-38.50	-62.43	N/A	42.61	20.00	-22.61	T4	2.6, 1.6
IEEE	Axial	6	10.30	-46.53	-61.26	0.98	56.83	20.00	-36.83	T4	2.6, 3.0
802.11n	Radial	6	4.15	-38.99	-62.43	N/A	43.14	20.00	-23.14	T4	2.6, 1.6
IEEE	Axial	6	9.98	-46.56	-61.26	1.24	56.54	20.00	-36.54	T4	2.6, 3.0
802.11ac	Radial	6	4.03	-38.06	-62.43	N/A	42.09	20.00	-22.09	T4	2.6, 1.6

FCC ID: ZNFG810EAW	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dogo 24 of 70
1M1903190041-02-R3.ZNF	04/15/2019 - 05/05/2019	Portable Handset		Page 34 of 79

Table 9-20 Raw Data Results for 5GHz WIFI 802.11a (OTT VoIP)

Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	U-NII	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011	Test Coordinates
IEEE	Axial	20MHz	1	40	9.92	-40.42	-61.26	0.94	50.34	20.00	-30.34	T4	2.6, 3.0
802.11a													
802.11a	Radial	20MHz	1	40	4.01	-34.44	-62.43	N/A	38.45	20.00	-18.45	T4	2.6, 1.6

Table 9-21 Raw Data Results for 5GHz WIFI 802.11n (OTT VoIP)

						. 	12 4411 1 0	<u> </u>	,	,, <u>, </u>			
Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	U-NII	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
		40MHz	1	38	9.66	-40.37		1.01	50.03	20.00	-30.03	T4	
		20MHz	1	36	9.82	-38.91		1.00	48.73	20.00	-28.73	T4	
		20MHz	1	40	9.91	-38.76		0.96	48.67	20.00	-28.67	T4	
		20MHz	1	48	10.04	-39.42		0.99	49.46	20.00	-29.46	T4	
	Axial	40MHz	2A	54	9.93	-41.16	-61.26	1.05	51.09	20.00	-31.09	T4	2.6, 3.0
	Axiai	20MHz	2A	56	9.97	-39.07	-01.20	0.95	49.04	20.00	-29.04	T4	2.0, 3.0
		40MHz	2C	110	9.84	-41.67		0.97	51.51	20.00	-31.51	T4	
		20MHz	2C	116	9.87	-39.44		1.06	49.31	20.00	-29.31	T4	
		40MHz	3	151	9.93	-40.68		1.02	50.61	20.00	-30.61	T4	,
		20MHz	3	157	9.98	-40.75		1.02	50.73	20.00	-30.73	T4	
IEEE 802.11n													
002.1111		40MHz	1	38	3.85	-35.91			39.76	20.00	-19.76	T4	
		20MHz	1	36	4.08	-33.46			37.54	20.00	-17.54	T4	
		20MHz	1	40	4.11	-32.66			36.77	20.00	-16.77	T4	
		20MHz	1	48	3.97	-33.71			37.68	20.00	-17.68	T4	
	Radial	40MHz	2A	54	4.04	-35.86	-62.43	N/A	39.90	20.00	-19.90	T4	2.6, 1.6
	Itadiai	20MHz	2A	56	3.76	-33.21	-02.43	IN/A	36.97	20.00	-16.97	T4	2.0, 1.0
		40MHz	2C	110	3.86	-36.02			39.88	20.00	-19.88	T4	
	20MHz	2C	116	3.82	-33.43			37.25	20.00	-17.25	T4		
		40MHz	3	151	3.78	-36.67			40.45	20.00	-20.45	T4	Ī
		20MHz	3	157	4.02	-35.08			39.10	20.00	-19.10	T4	

Table 9-22 Raw Data Results for 5GHz WIFI 802.11ac (OTT VoIP)

Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	U-NII	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
	Axial	40MHz	1	38	10.00	-46.63	-61.26	0.98	56.63	20.00	-36.63	T4	2.6. 3.0
IEEE	Axidi	20MHz	1	40	9.95	-43.42	-01.20	0.99	53.37	20.00	-33.37	T4	2.0, 5.0
802.11ac													
002.1140	Padial	40MHz	1	38	3.75	-40.86	-62.43	N/A	44.61	20.00	-24.61	T4	2.6. 1.6
Radial	20MHz	1	40	3.98	-37.75	-02.43	IV/A	41.73	20.00	-21.73	T4	2.0, 1.0	

II. **Test Notes**

A. General

- 1. Phone Condition: Mute on; Backlight off; Max Volume; Max Contrast
- 2. 'Radial' orientation refers to radial transverse.
- 3. Hearing Aid Mode (Phone→Call Settings→Additional Settings→Hearing aids) was set to ON for Frequency Response compliance
- 4. Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice
- 5. Bluetooth and WIFI were disabled for 2G/3G/4G modes while testing.
- 6. Licensed data modes and Bluetooth were disabled for WIFI modes while testing.
- 7. The Margin from FCC limit column indicates a margin from the FCC limit for compliance (T3).

B. GSM

- 1. Power Configuration: GSM850: PCL=5, GSM1900: PCL=0;
- 2. Vocoder Configuration: EFR (GSM);

	<u> </u>			
FCC ID: ZNFG810EAW	PETEST INCLINITION INC.	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 35 of 79
1M1903190041-02-R3.ZNF	04/15/2019 - 05/05/2019	Portable Handset		Fage 33 01 79

C. UMTS

- 1. Power Configuration: TPC= "All 1s":
- 2. Vocoder Configuration: AMR 12.2 kbps (UMTS);

D. LTE FDD

- 1. Power Configuration: TPC = "Max Power"
- 2. Radio Configuration: 16QAM, 1RB, 0RB offset
- 3. Vocoder Configuration: WB AMR 6.60kbps
- 4. The worst-case band and bandwidth combination for each probe orientation is additionally tested on the low and high channels for those combinations. LTE Band 2 at 20MHz is the worst-case for both Axial and Radial probe orientations.

E. LTE TDD

- 1. Power Configuration: TPC = "Max Power"
- 2. Radio Configuration: 16QAM, 1RB, 0RB offset
- 3. Power Class 3 Uplink-Downlink configuration: 1
- 4. Vocoder Configuration: WB AMR 6.60kbps
- 5. The worst-case band and bandwidth combination for each probe orientation is additionally tested on the low, low-mid, mid-high and high channels for those combinations. LTE Band 41 (PC3) at 15MHz is the worst-case for the Axial probe orientation. LTE Band 41 (PC3) at 20MHz bandwidth is the worst-case for the Radial probe orientation.

F. WIFI

- 1. Radio Configuration
 - a. 802.11b: DSSS, 1Mbps
 - b. 802.11g/a: BPSK, 6Mbps
 - c. 802.11n/ac 20MHz: BPSK, 6.5Mbps
 - d. 802.11n/ac 40MHz: BPSK, 13.5Mbps
- 2. Vocoder Configuration: WB AMR 6.60kbps
- 3. The worst-case standard for 2.4GHz WIFI in each probe orientation is additionally tested on the low and high channels. 802.11b is the worst-case for both Axial and Radial probe orientations.
- 4. The worst-case standard for 5GHz WIFI in each probe orientation is additionally tested on higher U-NII bands as well as applicable low and high channels. 802.11n 20MHz (U-NII 1) is the worstcase for both Axial and Radial probe orientations.


G. OTT VolP

- 1. Vocoder Configuration: 64kbps
- 2. EDGE Configuration
 - a. MCS Index: 7
 - b. Number of TX slots: 2
- 3. HSPA Configuration:
 - a. Release: 6
 - b. 3GPP 34.121 Subtest 1.
- 4. LTE FDD Configuration:
 - a. Power Configuration: TPC = "Max Power" b. Radio Configuration: 16QAM, 1RB, 0RB offset


FCC ID: ZNFG810EAW	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 36 of 79
1M1903190041-02-R3.ZNF	04/15/2019 - 05/05/2019	Portable Handset		Fage 30 01 79

- c. LTE Band 2 was the worst-case band from Table 7-5 and was used to test both Axial and Radial probe orientations.
- d. The worst-case band and bandwidth combination for each probe orientation is additionally tested on the low and high channels for those combinations. LTE Band 2 at 20MHz is the worst-case for the Axial probe orientation. LTE Band 2 at 15MHz bandwidth is the worst-case for the Radial probe orientation.
- LTE TDD Configuration:
 - a. Power Configuration: TPC = "Max Power"
 - b. Radio Configuration: 16QAM, 1RB, 0RB offset
 - Power Class 3 Uplink-Downlink configuration: 1
 - The worst-case band and bandwidth combination for each probe orientation is additionally tested on the low, low-mid, high-mid, and high channels for those combinations. LTE Band 41 (PC3) at 15MHz is the worst-case for both Axial and Radial probe orientations.
- 6. WIFI Configuration:
 - a. Radio Configuration
 - i. 802.11b: DSSS, 1Mbps
 - ii. 802.11g/a: BPSK, 6Mbps
 - iii. 802.11n/ac 20MHz: BPSK, 6.5Mbps
 - iv. 802.11n/ac 40MHz: BPSK, 13.5Mbps
 - b. The worst-case standard for 2.4GHz WIFI in each probe orientation is additionally tested on the low and high channels. 802.11b is the worst-case for both Axial and Radial probe orientations.
 - The worst-case standard for 5GHz WIFI in each probe orientation is additionally tested on higher U-NII bands as well as applicable low and high channels. 802.11n 20MHz (U-NII 1) is the worst-case for both Axial and Radial probe orientations.

III. 1 kHz Vocoder Application Check



This model was verified to be within the linear region for ABM1 measurements at -16 dBm0 for GSM, UMTS, and VoLTE over IMS. This measurement was taken in the axial configuration above the maximum location.



This model was verified to be within the linear region for ABM1 measurements at -20 dBm0 for VoWIFI over IMS and OTT VoIP. This measurement was taken in the axial configuration above the maximum location.

FCC ID: ZNFG810EAW	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT		LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 37 of 79
1M1903190041-02-R3.ZNF	04/15/2019 - 05/05/2019	Portable Handset		Fage 3/ 01/9

© 2019 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

IV. T-Coil Validation Test Results

Table 9-23
Helmholtz Coil Validation Table of Results – 04/15/2019

Item	Target	Result	Verdict
Axial			
Magnetic Intensity, -10 dBA/m	-10 ± 0.5 dB	-9.981	PASS
Environmental Noise	< -58 dBA/m	-62.17	PASS
Frequency Response, from limits	> 0 dB	0.80	PASS
Radial			
Magnetic Intensity, -10 dBA/m	-10 ± 0.5 dB	-10.130	PASS
Environmental Noise	< -58 dBA/m	-63.78	PASS
Frequency Response, from limits	> 0 dB	0.80	PASS

Table 9-24
Helmholtz Coil Validation Table of Results – 04/29/2019

Item	Target	Result	Verdict
Axial			
Magnetic Intensity, -10 dBA/m	-10 ± 0.5 dB	-9.954	PASS
Environmental Noise	< -58 dBA/m	-61.26	PASS
Frequency Response, from limits	> 0 dB	0.60	PASS
Radial			
Magnetic Intensity, -10 dBA/m	-10 ± 0.5 dB	-10.153	PASS
Environmental Noise	< -58 dBA/m	-62.43	PASS
Frequency Response, from limits	> 0 dB	0.70	PASS

FCC ID: ZNFG810EAW	PCTEST*	HAC (I-COIL) IEST REPORT		Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 38 of 79
1M1903190041-02-R3.ZNF	04/15/2019 - 05/05/2019	Portable Handset		Fage 30 01 79

V. ABM1 Magnetic Field Distribution Scan Overlays




Figure 9-1
T-Coil Scan Overlay Magnetic Field Distributions

Notes:

- 1. Final measurement locations are indicated by a cursor on the contour plots.
- 2. See Test Setup Photographs for actual WD overlay.

FCC ID: ZNFG810EAW	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT		(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 39 of 79
1M1903190041-02-R3.ZNF	04/15/2019 - 05/05/2019	Portable Handset		Fage 39 01 79

© 2019 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

10. MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

Table 10-1 Uncertainty Estimation Table

Contribution	Data +/- %	Data +/- dB	Data Type	Probability distribution	Divisor	Standard uncertainty	Standard Uncertainty (dB)
ABM Noise	7.0%	0.29	Std. Dev.	Normal k=1	1.00	7.0%	
RF Reflections	4.7%	0.20	Specification	Rectangular	1.73	2.7%	
Reference Signal Level	12.2%	0.50	Specification	Rectangular	1.73	7.0%	
Positioning Accuracy	10.0%	0.41	Uncertainty	Rectangular	1.73	5.8%	
Probe Coil Sensitivity	12.2%	0.50	Specification	Rectangular	1.73	7.0%	
Probe Linearity	2.4%	0.10	Std. Dev.	Normal k=1	1.00	2.4%	
Cable Loss	2.8%	0.12	Specification	Rectangular	1.73	1.6%	
Frequency Analyzer	5.0%	0.21	Specification	Rectangular	1.73	2.9%	
System Repeatability	5.0%	0.21	Std. Dev.	Normal k=1	1.00	5.0%	
WD Repeatability	9.0%	0.37	Std. Dev.	Normal k=1	1.00	9.0%	
Positioner Accuracy	1.0%	0.04	Specification	Rectangular	1.73	0.6%	
Combined standard uncertainty, uc (k=1)						17.7%	0.71
Expanded uncertainty (k=2), 95% confidence level						35.3%	1.31

Notes:

- 1. Test equipments are calibrated according to techniques outlined in NIS81, NIS3003 and NIST Tech Note 1297.
- All equipments have traceability according to NIST. Measurement Uncertainties are defined in further detail in NIS 81 and NIST Tech Note 1297 and UKAS M3003.

Measurement uncertainty reflects the quality and accuracy of a measured result as compared to the true value. Such statements are generally required when stating results of measurements so that it is clear to the intended audience that the results may differ when reproduced by different facilities. Measurement results vary due to the measurement uncertainty of the instrumentation, measurement technique, and test engineer. Most uncertainties are calculated using the tolerances of the instrumentation used in the measurement, the measurement setup variability, and the technique used in performing the test. While not generally included, the variability of the equipment under test also figures into the overall measurement uncertainty. Another component of the overall uncertainty is based on the variability of repeated measurements (so-called Type A uncertainty). This may mean that the Hearing Aid compatibility tests may have to be repeated by taking down the test setup and resetting it up so that there are a statistically significant number of repeat measurements to identify the measurement uncertainty. By combining the repeat measurement results with that of the instrumentation chain using the technique contained in NIS 81 and NIS 3003, the overall measurement uncertainty was estimated.

FCC ID: ZNFG810EAW	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT		LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dogo 40 of 70
1M1903190041-02-R3.ZNF	04/15/2019 - 05/05/2019	Portable Handset		Page 40 of 79

© 2019 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

EQUIPMENT LIST 11.

Table 11-1 Equipment List

	=qa.p.mont =10t					
Manufacturer	Model	Description	Cal Date	Cal Interval	Cal Due	Serial Number
Control Company	4040	Temperature / Humidity Monitor	2/28/2018	Biennial	2/28/2020	150761911
Dell	Latitude E6540	SoundCheck Acoustic Analyzer Laptop	9/6/2018	Biennial	9/6/2020	2655082910
Listen	SoundConnect	Microphone Power Supply	9/6/2018	Biennial	9/6/2020	0899-PS150
RME	Fireface UC	Soundcheck Acoustic Analyzer External Audio Interface	9/6/2018	Biennial	9/6/2020	23792992
Rohde & Schwarz	CMW500	Wideband Radio Communication Tester	1/30/2019	Annual	1/30/2020	162125
Rohde & Schwarz	CMW500	Radio Communication tester	8/3/2018	Annual	8/3/2019	140144
Rohde & Schwarz	CMW500	Wideband Radio Communication Tester	5/29/2018	Annual	5/29/2019	161662
Seekonk	NC-100	Torque Wrench (8" lb)	5/10/2018	Biennial	5/10/2020	21053
TEM	Axial T-Coil Probe	Axial T-Coil Probe	9/19/2018	Biennial	9/19/2020	TEM-1123
TEM	Radial T-Coil Probe	Radial T-Coil Probe	9/19/2018	Biennial	9/19/2020	TEM-1129
TEM	Helmholtz Coil	Helmholtz Coil	10/10/2018	Biennial	10/10/2020	SBI 1052
TEM		HAC System Controller with Software	N/A		N/A	N/A
TEM		HAC Positioner	N/A		N/A	N/A

FCC ID: ZNFG810EAW	PCTEST*	HAC (I-COIL) TEST REPORT		Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 41 of 79
1M1903190041-02-R3.ZNF	04/15/2019 - 05/05/2019	Portable Handset		Fage 410179

12. TEST DATA

FCC ID: ZNFG810EAW	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT		① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 42 of 79
1M1903190041-02-R3.ZNF	04/15/2019 - 05/05/2019	Portable Handset		Fage 42 01 79



Type: HH Coil Serial: SBI 1052


Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

Equipment:

Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1123; Calibrated: 09/19/2018

Helmholtz Coil – SN: SBI 1052; Calibrated: 10/10/2018

Noise Spectrum -50.0 -55.0 -66.0 -66.0 -60.0 -75.0 -80.0 -85.0 -90.0 -100 -1k -10k Frequency Response



Verification 1kHz Intensity	-9.981 dB	•	Max/Min	-9.5/-10.5
Verification ABM2	-62.17 dB	•	Maximum	-58.0
Frequency Response Margin	800m dB	~	Tolerance curves	Aligned Data

FCC ID: ZNFG810EAW	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT		(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 43 of 79
1M1903190041-02-R3.ZNF	04/15/2019 - 05/05/2019	Portable Handset		Fage 43 01 79



Type: HH Coil Serial: SBI 1052

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

Equipment:

Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1123; Calibrated: 09/19/2018

Helmholtz Coil – SN: SBI 1052; Calibrated: 10/10/2018

Noise Spectrum -50.0 -55.0--60.0--70.0 -70.0-号 -75.0--80.0 -85.0 -90.0-[Hz] Frequency Response 2.0re 1 A/m/V] 1.0-0.0-<u>B</u> -1.0∙ -2.0--3.0-3k

Results

Verification 1kHz Intensity	-9.954 dB	•	Max/Min	-9.5/-10.5
Verification ABM2	-61.26 dB	•	Maximum	-58.0
Frequency Response Margin	600m dB	•	Tolerance curves	Aligned Data

[Hz]

FCC ID: ZNFG810EAW	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 44 of 79
1M1903190041-02-R3.ZNF	04/15/2019 - 05/05/2019	Portable Handset		Fage 44 01 79




Type: HH Coil Serial: SBI 1052

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011


Equipment:

- Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe SN: TEM-1129; Calibrated: 09/19/2018
- Helmholtz Coil SN: SBI 1052; Calibrated: 10/10/2018

Noise Spectrum



Frequency Response



Results

Verification 1kHz Intensity	-10.13 dB	•	Max/Min	-9.5/-10.5
Verification ABM2	-63.78 dB	•	Maximum	-58.0
Frequency Response Margin	800m dB	•	Tolerance curves	Aligned Data

FCC ID: ZNFG810EAW	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 45 of 79
1M1903190041-02-R3.ZNF	04/15/2019 - 05/05/2019	Portable Handset		Fage 45 of 79




Type: HH Coil Serial: SBI 1052

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011


Equipment:

- Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe SN: TEM-1129; Calibrated: 09/19/2018
- Helmholtz Coil SN: SBI 1052; Calibrated: 10/10/2018

Noise Spectrum



Frequency Response



Results

Verification 1kHz Intensity	-10.153	dB	•	Max/Min	-9.5/-10.5
Verification ABM2	-62.43	dB	•	Maximum	-58.0
Frequency Response Margin	700m	dB	•	Tolerance curves	Aligned Data

FCC ID: ZNFG810EAW	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 46 of 79
1M1903190041-02-R3.ZNF	04/15/2019 - 05/05/2019	Portable Handset		Fage 40 of 79



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 15406


Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

Equipment:

Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1123; Calibrated: 09/19/2018

Test Configuration:

- Mode: GSM850
- · Channel: 251
- Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice



FCC ID: ZNFG810EAW	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 47 of 79
1M1903190041-02-R3.ZNF	04/15/2019 - 05/05/2019	Portable Handset		Page 47 0179



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 15406


Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

Equipment:

Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1123; Calibrated: 09/19/2018

Test Configuration:

- Mode: GSM1900
- · Channel: 810
- Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice



FCC ID: ZNFG810EAW	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 48 of 79
1M1903190041-02-R3.ZNF	04/15/2019 - 05/05/2019	Portable Handset		Fage 46 01 79



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 15406


Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

Equipment:

Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1123; Calibrated: 09/19/2018

Test Configuration:

- Mode: UMTS V
- Channel: 4233
- Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice



FCC ID: ZNFG810EAW	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 49 of 79
1M1903190041-02-R3.ZNF	04/15/2019 - 05/05/2019	Portable Handset		Fage 49 01 79



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 15406

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011


Equipment:

Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1123; Calibrated: 09/19/2018

Test Configuration:

Mode: UMTS IV
Channel: 1513

• Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice



FCC ID: ZNFG810EAW	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 50 of 79
1M1903190041-02-R3.ZNF	04/15/2019 - 05/05/2019	Portable Handset		Fage 50 of 79



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 15406


Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

Equipment:

Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1123; Calibrated: 09/19/2018

Test Configuration:

- Mode: UMTS IIChannel: 9538
- Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice



FCC ID: ZNFG810EAW	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dogo 51 of 70
1M1903190041-02-R3.ZNF	04/15/2019 - 05/05/2019	Portable Handset		Page 51 of 79



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 15406

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011


Equipment:

Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1123; Calibrated: 09/19/2018

Test Configuration:

Mode: LTE FDD Band 2Bandwidth: 20MHzChannel: 18900

• Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice



FCC ID: ZNFG810EAW	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 52 of 79
1M1903190041-02-R3.ZNF	04/15/2019 - 05/05/2019	Portable Handset		Fage 52 01 79



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 15406

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011


Equipment:

Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1123; Calibrated: 09/19/2018

Test Configuration:

Mode: LTE TDD Band 41
Bandwidth: 15MHz
Channel: 40620

• Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice



FCC ID: ZNFG810EAW	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 53 of 79
1M1903190041-02-R3.ZNF	04/15/2019 - 05/05/2019	Portable Handset		Page 55 01 79



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 15406

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

Equipment:


Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1123; Calibrated: 09/19/2018

Test Configuration:

Mode: 2.4GHz WIFIStandard: IEEE 802.11b

Channel: 11

Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice



FCC ID: ZNFG810EAW	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 54 of 79
1M1903190041-02-R3.ZNF	04/15/2019 - 05/05/2019	Portable Handset		Page 54 of 79



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 15406


Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

Equipment:

Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1123; Calibrated: 09/19/2018

Test Configuration:

- Mode: 5GHz WIFI
- Standard: IEEE 802.11n (U-NII 1)
- Bandwidth: 20MHz
- Channel: 36
- Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice



FCC ID: ZNFG810EAW	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 55 of 79
1M1903190041-02-R3.ZNF	04/15/2019 - 05/05/2019	Portable Handset		Page 55 of 79



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 15406

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

Equipment:


Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1123; Calibrated: 09/19/2018

Test Configuration:

· VoIP Application: Google Duo

Mode: EDGE1900Channel: 661

• Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice



FCC ID: ZNFG810EAW	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 56 of 79
1M1903190041-02-R3.ZNF	04/15/2019 - 05/05/2019	Portable Handset		Page 50 01 79



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 15406


Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

Equipment:

Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1129; Calibrated: 09/19/2018

Test Configuration:

Mode: GSM850Channel: 251



FCC ID: ZNFG810EAW	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 57 of 79
1M1903190041-02-R3.ZNF	04/15/2019 - 05/05/2019	Portable Handset		Page 37 01 79



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 15406


Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

Equipment:

• Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe - SN: TEM-1129; Calibrated: 09/19/2018

Test Configuration:

Mode: GSM1900
 Channel: 810



FCC ID: ZNFG810EAW	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 58 of 79
1M1903190041-02-R3.ZNF	04/15/2019 - 05/05/2019	Portable Handset		Fage 56 01 79



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 15406


Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

Equipment:

Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1129; Calibrated: 09/19/2018

Test Configuration:

Mode: UMTS VChannel: 4233



FCC ID: ZNFG810EAW	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 59 of 79
1M1903190041-02-R3.ZNF	04/15/2019 - 05/05/2019	Portable Handset		Fage 59 01 79



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 15406


Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

Equipment:

Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1129; Calibrated: 09/19/2018

Test Configuration:

Mode: UMTS IVChannel: 1513



FCC ID: ZNFG810EAW	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 60 of 79
1M1903190041-02-R3.ZNF	04/15/2019 - 05/05/2019	Portable Handset		rage 60 of 79



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 15406


Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

Equipment:

• Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe - SN: TEM-1129; Calibrated: 09/19/2018

Test Configuration:

Mode: UMTS IIChannel: 9262



FCC ID: ZNFG810EAW	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dogo 61 of 70
1M1903190041-02-R3.ZNF	04/15/2019 - 05/05/2019	Portable Handset		Page 61 of 79



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 15406


Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

Equipment:

Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1129; Calibrated: 09/19/2018

Test Configuration:

Mode: LTE FDD Band 2Bandwidth: 20MHzChannel: 18900



FCC ID: ZNFG810EAW	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 62 of 79
1M1903190041-02-R3.ZNF	04/15/2019 - 05/05/2019	Portable Handset		Page 02 01 79



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 15406

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

Equipment:

Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1129; Calibrated: 09/19/2018

Test Configuration:

Mode: LTE TDD Band 41Bandwidth: 20MHzChannel: 39750



FCC ID: ZNFG810EAW	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 63 of 79
1M1903190041-02-R3.ZNF	04/15/2019 - 05/05/2019	Portable Handset		Page 03 01 79



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 15406

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011


Equipment:

Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1129; Calibrated: 09/19/2018

Test Configuration:

Mode: 2.4GHz WIFIStandard: IEEE 802.11b

Channel: 1



FCC ID: ZNFG810EAW	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dogo 64 of 70
1M1903190041-02-R3.ZNF	04/15/2019 - 05/05/2019	Portable Handset		Page 64 of 79



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 15406

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

Equipment:

SNNR

Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1129; Calibrated: 09/19/2018

Test Configuration:

- Mode: 5GHz WIFI
- Standard: IEEE 802.11n (U-NII 1)
- Bandwidth: 20MHz
- Channel: 40



28.67 dB

Minimum

20.0

FCC ID: ZNFG810EAW	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT		Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 65 of 79
1M1903190041-02-R3.ZNF	04/15/2019 - 05/05/2019	Portable Handset		Fage 65 01 79



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 15406

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

Equipment:

• Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe - SN: TEM-1129; Calibrated: 09/19/2018

Test Configuration:

VolP Application: Google Duo

Mode: EDGE1900Channel: 661



FCC ID: ZNFG810EAW	PCTEST*	PCTEST HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT		Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 66 of 79
1M1903190041-02-R3.ZNF	04/15/2019 - 05/05/2019	Portable Handset		Page 66 01 79

13. CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES

FCC ID: ZNFG810EAW	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT		Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dogo 67 of 70
1M1903190041-02-R3.ZNF	04/15/2019 - 05/05/2019	Portable Handset		Page 67 of 79

© 2019 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.



Certificate of Calibration

for

AXIAL T COIL PROBE

Manufactured by:

TEM CONSULTING LP AXIAL T COIL PROBE

Model No: Serial No:

TEM-1123

Calibration Recall No:

Submitted By:

Customer:

Andrew Harwell

Company: Address: PCTest Engineering Lab 6660-B Dobbin Road

29156

Columbia

MD 21045

The subject instrument was calibrated to the indicated specification using standards traceable to the National Institute of Standards and Technology or to accepted values of natural physical constants. This document certifies that the instrument met the following specification upon its return to the submitter.

West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Procedure No.

AXIAL T C TEM C

12/4/201

Upon receipt for Calibration, the instrument was found to be:

Within (X)

tolerance of the indicated specification. See attached Report of Calibration.
The information supplied relates to the calibrated item listed above.
West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories' calibration control system meets the requirements, ISO 10012-1 MIL-STD-45662A, ANSI/NCSL Z540-1, IEC Guide 25, ISO 9001:2008 and ISO 17025.

Note: With this Certificate, Report of Calibration is included.

Approved by: Fc

Calibration Date:

19-Sep-18

Felix Christopher (QA Mgr.)

Certificate No:

29156 -2

West Caldwell

ISO/IEC 17025:2005

QA Doc. #1051 Rev. 2.0 10/1/01

Certificate Page 1 of 1

ACCREDITED

Calibration
uncompromised calibration Laboratories, Inc.

1575 State Route 96, Victor, NY 14564, U.S.A.

Calibration Lab. Cert. # 1533.01

 FCC ID: ZNFG810EAW
 Approved by: Quality Manager

 Filename:
 Test Dates:
 DUT Type:

 1M1903190041-02-R3.ZNF
 04/15/2019 - 05/05/2019
 Portable Handset

Approved by: Quality Manager

Page 68 of 79

© 2019 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

HCATEMC_TEM-1123_Sep-19-2018



ISO/IEC 17025: 2005

ACCREDITED

Calibration Lab. Cert. # 1533.01

1575 State Route 96, Victor NY 14564

REPORT OF CALIBRATION

for

TEM Consulting LP Axial T Coil Probe Company: PCTest Enginering Lab

Model No.: Axial T Coil Probe

Serial No.: TEM-1123

I. D. No.: XXXX

Calibration results:					
Probe Sensitivity measured wit	h Helmholi	tz Coil			
Helmholtz Coil;			Before & after data same:X		
the number of turns on each coil;	10	No.			
the radius of each coil, in meters;	0.204	m	Laboratory Environment:		
the current in the coils, in amperes.;	0.08	Α	Ambient Temperature:	22.7	°C
Helmholtz Coil Constant;	7.09	A/m/V	Ambient Humidity:	52.1	% RH
Helmholtz Coil magnetic field;	5.95	A/m	Ambient Pressure:	99.326	kPa
			Calibration Date:	19-Sep-2018	
Probe Sensitivity at	1000	Hz.	Calibration Due:		
was	-59.89	dBV/A/m	Report Number:	29156	-2
•	1.013	mV/A/m	Control Number:	29156	i
Probe resistance	903	Ohms			


The above listed instrument meets or exceeds the tested manufacturer's specifications.

This Calibration is traceable through NIST test numbers:

683/284413-14

The expanded uncertainty of calibration: 0.30dB at 95% confidence level with a coverage factor of k=2.

Graph represents Probes Frequency Response.



The above listed instrument was checked using calibration procedure documented in West Caldwell

Calibration Laboratories Inc. procedure :

Rev. 7.0 Jan. 24, 2014 Doc. # 1038 HCATEMC

Calibration was performed by West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Inc. under Operating Procedures

intended to implement the requirements of ISO10012-1, IEC Guide 25, ANSI/NCSL Z540-1, (MIL-STD-45662A) and ISO 9001:2008, ISØ)17025

Cal. Date: 19-Sep-2018

Measurements performed by:

Calibrated on WCCL system type 9700

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval from West Caldwell Cal. Labs. inc.

James Zhu
Rev. 7.0 Jan. 24, 2014 Doc. # 1038 HCATEMC

Page 1 of 2

FCC ID: ZNFG810EAW	PCTEST*	HAC (1-COIL) TEST REPORT		Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 69 of 79
1M1903190041-02-R3.ZNF	04/15/2019 - 05/05/2019	Portable Handset		Fage 09 01 79

© 2019 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

HCATEMC_TEM-1123_Sep-19-2018

West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Inc.

1575 State Route 96, Victor NY 14564 Tel. (585) 586-3900 FAX (585) 586-4327

Calibration Data Record

TEM Consulting LP Axial T Coil Probe Company: PCTest Enginering Lab

for Model No.: Axial T Coil Probe

Serial No.: TEM-1123

dBV/A/m dB 6 6 -6 -12 Hz 100	-59.89 6.03 0.00 -6.03 -12.05	easured val	Remarks
dB 6 0 -6 -12 Hz 100	6.03 0.00 -6.03		
6 0 -6 -12 Hz 100	0.00 -6.03		
Hz 100	0.00 -6.03		
-6 -12 Hz 100	-6.03		
-12 Hz 100			
Hz 100	-12.05		
100			
	1		
	-19.9		
126	-17.9		
158	-15.9		
200	-13.9		
251	-11.9		
316	-9.9		
398	-7.9		
501	-6.0		
631	-4.0		
794	-2.0		
1000	0.0		
1259	2.0		
1585	4.0		
1995	5.9		
2512	7.9		
3162	9.9		
3981	11.9		
5012			
6310			
10000	20.1		
	200 251 316 398 501 631 794 3) 1000 1259 1585 1995 2512 3162 3981 5012 6310 7943	200	200

Instruments used for c	alibration:		Date of Cal.	Traceablity No.	Due Date
HP	34401A	S/N US360641	25-Jul-2018	,287708	25-Jul-2019
HP	34401A	S/N US361024	25-Jul-2018	,287708	25-Jul-2019
HP	33120A	S/N US360437	25-Jul-2018	,287708	25-Jul-2019
B&K	2133	S/N 1583254	25-Jul-2018	683/284413-14	25-Jul-2019

Cal. Date: 19-Sep-2018

Calibrated on WCCL system type 9700

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval from West Caldwell Cal, Labs. Inc.

Tested by: James Zhu

Rev. 7.0 Jan. 24, 2014 Doc. # 1038 HCATEMC

Page 2 of 2

FCC ID: ZNFG810EAW	PCTEST*	HAC (1-COIL) TEST REPORT		Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dogo 70 of 70
1M1903190041-02-R3.ZNF	04/15/2019 - 05/05/2019	Portable Handset		Page 70 of 79

© 2019 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.



Certificate of Calibration

RADIAL T COIL PROBE

Manufactured by:

TEM CONSULTING LP RADIAL T COIL PROBE

Model No: Serial No:

TEM-1129

Calibration Recall No: 29156

Submitted By:

Customer:

Andrew Harwell

Company: Address:

PCTest Engineering Lab 6660-B Dobbin Road

Columbia

MD 21045

The subject instrument was calibrated to the indicated specification using standards traceable to the National Institute of Standards and Technology or to accepted values of natural physical constants. This document certifies that the instrument met the following specification upon its return to the submitter.

West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Procedure No.

RADIAL T TEM C

Upon receipt for Calibration, the instrument was found to be:

tolerance of the indicated specification. See attached Report of Calibration. The information supplied relates to the calibrated item listed above. West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories' calibration control system meets the requirements, ISO 10012-1 MIL-STD-45662A, ANSI/NCSL Z540-1, IEC Guide 25, ISO 9001:2008 and ISO 17025.

Note: With this Certificate, Report of Calibration is included.

Approved by: FC

Calibration Date:

19-Sep-18

Felix Christopher (QA Mgr.)

Certificate No:

QA Doc. #1051 Rev. 2.0 10/1/01

29156 -1

ISO/IEC 17025:2005

Certificate Page 1 of 1 West Caldwell

Calibration uncompromised calibration Laboratories, Inc.

1575 State Route 96, Victor, NY 14564, U.S.A.

Calibration Lab. Cert. # 1533.01

Approved by: FCC ID: ZNFG810EAW HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT LG Quality Manager **DUT Type:** Page 71 of 79 1M1903190041-02-R3.ZNF 04/15/2019 - 05/05/2019 Portable Handset

© 2019 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

RFV 3 3 M 2/1/2019

HCRTEMC TEM-1129 Sep-19-2018



Calibration Lab. Cert. # 1533.01

ISO/IEC 17025: 2005

1575 State Route 96, Victor NY 14564

REPORT OF CALIBRATION

TEM Consulting LP Radial T Coil Probe ,Company: PCTest Engineering Lab

the current in the coils, in amperes.;

Model No.: Radial T Coil Probe

Serial No.: TEM-1129

I. D. No.: XXXX

Calibration results: Probe Sensitivity measured with Helmholtz Coil Helmholtz Coil:

Helmholtz Coil Constant;

Probe Sensitivity at

Helmholtz Coil magnetic field;

the number of turns on each coil; 10 No. the radius of each coil, in meters; 0.204 m

> 0.08 7.09

> > 1000

-60.37

0.958

Α A/m/V

Hz.

5.95 A/m Ambient Temperature: Ambient Humidity: Ambient Pressure:

22.7 52.1 99.326

°C % RH kPa

Calibration Date: 19-Sep-2018

Re-calibration Due:

Before & after data same: ... X ...

Laboratory Environment:

Report Number: Control Number:

29156 -1 29156

was Probe resistance 886

The above listed instrument meets or exceeds the tested manufacturer's specifications.


dBV/A/m

mV/A/m

Ohms

This Calibration is traceable through NIST test numbers: 683/284413-14 The expanded uncertainty of calibration: 0.30dB at 95% confidence level with a coverage factor of k=2.

Graph represents Probes Frequency Response.



The above listed instrument was checked using calibration procedure documented in West Caldwell

Calibration Laboratories Inc. procedure :

Rev. 7.0 Jan. 24, 2014 Doc. # 1038 HCRTEMC

Calibration was performed by West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Inc. under Operating Procedures

intended to implement the requirements of ISO10012-1, IEC Guide 25, ANSI/NCSL Z540-1, (MIL-STD-45662A) and ISO 9001:2008, ISQ 17025

Cal. Date: 19-Sep-2018

Measurements performed by: .

Calibrated on WCCL system type 9700 This document shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval from West Caldwell Cal. Labs. Inc.

James Zhu Rev. 7.0 Jan. 24, 2014 Doc. # 1038 HCRTEMC

Page 1 of 2

FCC ID: ZNFG810EAW	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dogo 72 of 70
1M1903190041-02-R3.ZNF	04/15/2019 - 05/05/2019	Portable Handset		Page 72 of 79

© 2019 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

RFV 3 3 M 2/1/2019

HCRTEMC_TEM-1129_Sep-19-2018

West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Inc.

1575 State Route 96, Victor NY 14564 Tel. (585) 586-3900 FAX (585) 586-4327

Calibration Data Record

for

TEM Consulting LP Radial T Coil Probe Company: PCTest Engineering Lab

Model No.: Radial T Coil Probe

Serial No.: TEM-1129

Measur		Measured valu	ies
	Be	Out	Remarks
	-60		
			1
	6.		
	0.		
	-6		
	-12		
	-2		
	-1	1	
	-1		
	-1		
	-1		
	-1		
	-8	İ	
	-6	1	
	-4		
	-2		
	0		
	2		
	4		
	6		
	7	1	
	9	İ	
	1.		
	1		
	18		
	21		
	1: 1: 1:		

Instruments used for	calibration:		Date of Cal.	Traceability No.	Due Date
' HP	34401A	S/N US360641	25-Jul-2018	,287708	25-Jul-2019
HP	34401A	S/N US361024	25-Jul-2018	,287708	25-Jul-2019
HP	33120A	S/N US360437	25-Jul-2018	,287708	25-Jul-2019
B&K	2133	S/N 1583254	25-Jul-2018	683/284413-14	25-Jul-2019

Cal. Date: 19-Sep-2018

Calibrated on WCCL system type 9700

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval from West Caldwell Cal. Labs. Inc.

Tested by: James Zhu

Rev. 7.0 Jan. 24, 2014 Doc. # 1038 HCRTEMC

Page 2 of 2

FCC ID: ZNFG810EAW	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT		Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 73 of 79
1M1903190041-02-R3.ZNF	04/15/2019 - 05/05/2019	Portable Handset		Page 73 01 79

© 2019 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

14. CONCLUSION

The measurements indicate that the wireless communications device complies with the HAC limits specified in accordance with the ANSI C63.19 Standard and FCC WT Docket No. 01-309 RM-8658. Precise laboratory measures were taken to assure repeatability of the tests. The tested device complies with the requirements in respect to all parameters specific to the test. The test results and statements relate only to the item(s) tested.

The measurement system and techniques presented in this evaluation are proposed in the ANSI standard as a means of best approximating wireless device compatibility with a hearing-aid. The literature is under continual re-construction.

FCC ID: ZNFG810EAW	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 74 of 79
1M1903190041-02-R3.ZNF	04/15/2019 - 05/05/2019	Portable Handset		Fage 14 01 19

15. REFERENCES

- ANSI C63.19-2011, American National Standard for Methods of Measurement of Compatibility between Wireless communication devices and Hearing Aids.", New York, NY, IEEE, May 2011
- 2. FCC Office of Engineering and Technology KDB, "285076 D01 HAC Guidance v05," September 13, 2017
- 3. FCC Office of Engineering and Technology KDB, "285076 D02 T-Coil Testing for CMRS IP v03," September 13, 2017
- FCC Public Notice DA 06-1215, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau and Office of Engineering and Technology Clarify Use of Revised Wireless Phone Hearing Aid Compatibility Standard, June 6, 2006
- 5. FCC 3G Review Guidance, Laboratory Division OET FCC, May/June 2006
- Berger, H. S., "Compatibility Between Hearing Aids and Wireless Devices," Electronic Industries Forum, Boston, MA, May, 1997
- Berger, H. S., "Hearing Aid and Cellular Phone Compatibility: Working Toward Solutions," Wireless Telephones and Hearing Aids: New Challenges for Audiology, Gallaudet University, Washington, D.C., May, 1997 (To be reprinted in the American Journal of Audiology).
- Berger, H. S., "Hearing Aid Compatibility with Wireless Communications Devices, "IEEE International Symposium on Electromagnetic Compatibility, Austin, TX, August, 1997.
- Bronaugh, E. L., "Simplifying EMI Immunity (Susceptibility) Tests in TEM Cells," in the 1990 IEEE International Symposium on Electromagnetic Compatibility Symposium Record, Washington, D.C., August 1990, pp. 488-491
- 10. Byme, D. and Dillon, H., The National Acoustics Laboratory (NAL) New Procedure for Selecting the Gain and Frequency Response of a Hearing Aid, Ear and Hearing 7:257-265, 1986.
- 11. Crawford, M. L., "Measurement of Electromagnetic Radiation from Electronic Equipment using TEM Transmission Cells, " U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards, NBSIR 73-306, Feb. 1973.
- 12. Crawford, M. L., and Workman, J. L., "Using a TEM Cell for EMC Measurements of Electronic Equipment," U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards. Technical Note 1013, July 1981.
- 13. EHIMA GSM Project, Development phase, Project Report (1st part) Revision A. Technical-Audiological Laboratory and Telecom Denmark, October 1993.
- 14. EHIMA GSM Project, Development phase, Part II Project Report. Technical-Audiological Laboratory and Telecom Denmark, June 1994.
- 15. EHIMA GSM Project Final Report, Hearing Aids and GSM Mobile Telephones: Interference Problems, Methods of Measurement and Levels of Immunity. Technical-Audiological Laboratory and Telecom Denmark, 1995.
- 16. HAMPIS Report, Comparison of Mobile phone electromagnetic near field with an upscaled electromagnetic far field, using hearing aid as reference, 21 October 1999.
- 17. Hearing Aids/GSM, Report from OTWIDAM, Technical-Audiological Laboratory and Telecom Denmark, April 1993.
- 18. IEEE 100, The Authoritative Dictionary of IEEE Standards Terms, Seventh Edition.
- 19. Joyner, K. H, et. al., Interference to Hearing Aids by the New Digital Mobile Telephone System, Global System for Mobile (GSM) Communication Standard, National Acoustic Laboratory, Australian Hearing Series, Sydney 1993.
- Joyner, K. H., et. al., Interference to Hearing Aids by the Digital Mobile Telephone System, Global System for Mobile Communications (GSM), NAL Report #131, National Acoustic Laboratory, Australian Hearing Series, Sydney, 1995.
- 21. Kecker, W. T., Crawford, M. L., and Wilson, W. A., "Contruction of a Transverse Electromagnetic Cell", U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards, Technical Note 1011, Nov. 1978.

FCC ID: ZNFG810EAW	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 75 of 79
1M1903190041-02-R3.ZNF	04/15/2019 - 05/05/2019	Portable Handset		

- 22. Konigstein, D., and Hansen, D., "A New Family of TEM Cells with enlarged bandwidth and Optimized working Volume," in the Proceedings of the 7th International Symposium on EMC, Zurich, Switzerland, March 1987; 50:9, pp. 127-132.
- 23. Kuk, F., and Hjorstgaard, N. K., "Factors affecting interference from digital cellular telephones," Hearing Journal, 1997; 50:9, pp 32-34.
- 24. Ma, M. A., and Kanda, M., "Electromagnetic Compatibility and Interference Metrology," U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards, Technical Note 1099, July 1986, pp. 17-43.
- 25. Ma, M. A., Sreenivashiah, I., and Chang, D. C., "A Method of Determining the Emission and Susceptibility Levels of Electrically Small Objects Using a TEM Cell," U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards, Technial Note 1040, July 1981.
- 26. McCandless, G. A., and Lyregaard, P. E., Prescription of Gain/Output (POGO) for Hearing Aids, Hearing Instruments 1:16-21, 1983
- 27. Skopec, M., "Hearing Aid Electromagnetic Interference from Digital Wireless Telephones, "IEEE Transactions on Rehabilitation Engineering, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 235-239, June 1998.
- Technical Report, GSM 05.90, GSM EMC Considerations, European Telecommunications Standards Institute, January 1993.
- 29. Victorian, T. A., "Digital Cellular Telephone Interference and Hearing Aid Compatibility—an Update," Hearing Journal 1998; 51:10, pp. 53-60
- 30. Wong, G. S. K., and Embleton, T. F. W., eds., AIP Handbook of Condenser Microphones: Theory, Calibration and Measurements, AIP Press.

FCC ID: ZNFG810EAW	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 76 of 79
1M1903190041-02-R3.ZNF	04/15/2019 - 05/05/2019	Portable Handset		Faye 10 01 19