FCC ID: EJE-WL0007



EMC Technologies Pty Ltd

A.C.N. 057 105 549 57 Assembly Drive Tullamarine Victoria Australia 3043

Ph: +613 9335 3333 Fax: +613 9338 9260 email: melb@emctech.com.au

SAR Test Report

Report Number: M040214_MACE2_CALEXICO2_SAR

Test Sample: Portable Tablet Computer Wireless

LAN

Model: WM3B2200BG

Tested For: Fujitsu Australia Pty Ltd

FCC ID: EJE-WL0007

IC: 337J-WL0007

Date of Issue: 4th March 2004

EMC Technologies Pty Ltd reports apply only to the specific samples tested under stated test conditions. It is the manufacturer's responsibility to assure that additional production units of this model are manufactured with identical electrical and mechanical components. EMC Technologies Pty Ltd shall have no liability for any deductions, inferences or generalisations drawn by the client or others from EMC Technologies Pty Ltd issued reports. This report shall not be used to claim, constitute or imply product endorsement by EMC Technologies Pty Ltd.

CONTENTS

1.0	GENERAL INFORMATION	3
2.0	DESCRIPTION OF DEVICE	4
	2.1 Description of Test Sample	
	2.1.1 Summary of EUT Details	
	2.1.2 EUT Host Details	
	2.2 Test sample Accessories	
	2.2.1 Battery Types	5
	2.3 Test Signal, Frequency and Output Power	5
	2.4 Battery Status	
	2.5 Details of Test Laboratory	
	2.5.2 Accreditations	
	2.5.3 Environmental Factors	
3.0	DESCRIPTION OF SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM	
3.0	3.1 Probe Positioning System	
	3.2 E-Field Probe Type and Performance	
	3.3 Data Acquisition Electronics	
	3.4 Calibration and Validation Procedures and Data	7
	3.4.1 Validation Results @ 2450MHz	7
	3.4.2 Deviation from reference validation values	٤
	3.4.3 Liquid Depth 15cm	٤
	3.5 Phantom Properties (Size, Shape, Shell Thickness)	
	3.6 Tissue Material Properties	10
	3.6.1 Liquid Temperature and Humidity	11
	3.7 Simulated Tissue Composition Used for SAR Test	
	3.8 Device Holder for Laptops and PL550 Phantom	
4.0	SAR MEASUREMENT PROCEDURE USING DASY4	
5.0	MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY	
6.0	EQUIPMENT LIST AND CALIBRATION DETAILS	15
7.0	OET BULLETIN 65 – SUPPLEMENT C TEST METHOD	16
	7.1 Description of the Test Positions	
	7.1.1 "Tablet" Position Definition (0mm spacing)	
	7.1.2 "Lap Arm Held" Position (0mm spacing)	
	7.2 List of All Test Cases (Antenna In/Out, Test Frequencies, User Modes)	
	7.3 FCC RF Exposure Limits for Occupational/ Controlled Exposure	17
	7.4 FCC RF Exposure Limits for Un-controlled/Non–occupational	
8.0	SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS	
9.0	COMPLIANCE STATEMENT	18
APP	PENDIX A1 TEST HOST PHOTOGRAPHS	19
APP	PENDIX A2 TEST SAMPLE PHOTOGRAPHS	20
	PENDIX A3 TEST SETUP PHOTOGRAPHS	
	PENDIX A4 TEST SETUP PHOTOGRAPHS	
	PENDIX B PLOTS OF THE SAR MEASUREMENTS	
	PENDLY C. SAR TESTING FOLLIPMENT CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE ATTACHMENTS	41

SAR EVALUATION

Report Number: M040214_MACE2_CALEXICO2_SAR

FCC ID: EJE-WL0007 IC: 337J-WL0007

1.0 GENERAL INFORMATION

Test Sample: Portable Tablet Computer Wireless LAN

Model: WM3B2200BG

Interface Type: Mini-PCI Module manufactured by Intel Corp

Device Category: Portable Transmitter
Test Device: Production Unit
FCC ID: EJE-WL0007
IC: 337J-WL0007

RF exposure Category: General Population/Uncontrolled

Pentablet Manufacturer: Fujitsu Limited. (Japan)

WLAN Manufacturer: Intel Corp.

Test Standard/s:1. Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines For Human Exposure to

Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields

Supplement C (Edition 01-01) to OET Bulletin 65 (Edition 97-01)

2. Evaluation Procedure for Mobile and Portable Radio Transmitters with respect to Health Canada's Safety Code 6 for Exposure of

Humans to Radio Frequency Fields.

RSS-102 Issue 1 (Provisional) September 25, 1999

Statement Of Compliance: The Fujitsu Portable Tablet Computer Wireless LAN model

WM3B2200BG Complied with the FCC General public/uncontrolled RF exposure limits of 1.6mW/g per requirements of 47CFR2.1093(d).

It also complied with IC RSS-102 requirements.

Test Date: 17th, 18th February and 3rd March 2004

Tested for: Fujitsu Australia Pty Ltd

Address: 5 Lakeside Drive, Burwood East, Vic. 3151, Australia

Contact: Praveen Rao +61 3 9845 4300

Test Officer:

Peter Jakubiec Assoc Dip Elec Eng

Authorised Signature:

Aaron Sargent B.Eng

EMR Engineer

SAR EVALUATION

Report Number: M040214_MACE2_CALEXICO2_SAR

FCC ID: EJE-WL0007 IC: 337J-WL0007

DESCRIPTION OF DEVICE 2.0

2.1 **Description of Test Sample**

The EUT is a Fujitsu LifeBook laptop incorporating a Mini-PCI wireless LAN (WLAN) module (Calexico2 WM3B2200BG). The Calexico2 module is an OEM product. The Mini-PCI wireless LAN (WLAN) module was tested in the dedicated host, a Fujitsu LifeBook laptop "Mace/Mace2".

2.1.1 **Summary of EUT Details**

Operating Mode during Tes	sting	: *Crest Factor – DSSS/OFDM = 1:1.075		
Modulation Schemes 802.11b 802.11g		: Direct Sequence Spread Spectrum (DSSS) : Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing (OFDM)		
Data Rates: 802.11b	DBPSK DQPSK CCK	: Data Rate – 1Mbps : Date Rate – 2Mbps : Data Rate – 5.5Mbps, 11Mbps		
802.11g BPSK QPSK 16QAM 64QAM		: Data Rate - 6Mbps, 9Mbps : Data Rate - 12Mbps, 18Mbps : Data Rate - 24Mbps, 36Mbps : Data Rate - 48Mbps, 54Mbps		
Device Power Rating for and identical production un		: 802.11b - Peak Power is 18 dBm : 802.11g - Peak Power is 15 dBm		
Device Dimensions (LxWxH)		: 325x222x22mm		
Antenna type		: Monopole Dielectric Antenna, Part No: DA120D-2545M-FJ01		
Applicable Head Configurations		: None		
Applicable Body Configurations		Tablet Position Lap Arm Held Position		
Battery Options		: Standard Battery		

*NOTE: Conditional on a data rate of 1Mbps (DSSS) and 6Mbps (OFDM)

2.1.2 EUT Host Details

Test Sample: LIFEBOOK ST Series Models: T3010 Codename: Mace2 CPU Speed: Pentium-M 1.4 GHz Manufacturer: Fujitsu Ltd. Realtech 10/100Base-T (On Board) LAN: Modem: MBH7MD33 / MBH7MD35 SDRAM: 256Mb LCD Screen: 12.1"XGA

2.2 Test sample Accessories

2.2.1 Battery Types

One type of Fujitsu Lithium Ion Battery is used to power the Portable Tablet Computer. SAR measurements were performed with battery type shown below.

Standard Battery

Model CP176590-01 V/mAh 10.8V/3600mAh

Cell No. 6

2.3 Test Signal, Frequency and Output Power

The Portable Tablet Computer Wireless LAN had a total of 11 channels (USA model) within the 2412 to 2462 MHz frequency band. The frequency range is 2412 MHz to 2462 MHz and the device operates in 802.11b and 802.11g modes. For the SAR measurements the device was operating in continuous transmit mode using programming codes supplied by Fujitsu. The fixed frequency channels used in the testing are shown in Table 1. The frequency span of the 2450 MHz Band was more than 10MHz consequently; the SAR levels of the test sample were measured for lowest, centre and highest channels in DSSS mode. There were no wires or other connections to the Portable Tablet Computer during the SAR measurements.

At the beginning and completion of the SAR tests, conducted power of the device was measured after temporary modification of antenna connector inside the device's TX RX compartment no gain or insertions loss correction factors were used. Measurements were performed with a calibrated spectrum analyser. The results of these measurements are listed in table 1.

Modulation Mode	Channel	Channel Frequency MHz	Antenna	Battery Type	Maximum Peak Output Power Measured (dBm)
802.11b - DSSS	01	2412	Aux	3600mAh	15.94
802.11b - DSSS	06	2437	Aux	3600mAh	15.58
802.11b - DSSS	11	2462	Aux	3600mAh	16.10
802.11g - OFDM	01	2412	Aux	3600mAh	18.24
802.11g - OFDM	06	2437	Aux	3600mAh	18.06
802.11g - OFDM	11	2462	Aux	3600mAh	18.37
802.11b - DSSS	01	2412	Main	3600mAh	16.65
802.11b - DSSS	06	2437	Main	3600mAh	16.51
802.11b - DSSS	11	2462	Main	3600mAh	16.38
802.11g - OFDM	01	2412	Main	3600mAh	18.66
802.11g - OFDM	06	2437	Main	3600mAh	18.42
802.11g - OFDM	11	2462	Main	3600mAh	18.69

Table 1: Frequency and Output Power

2.4 Battery Status

The device battery was fully charged prior to commencement of measurement. Each SAR test was completed within 30 minutes. The battery condition was monitored by measuring the RF field at a defined position inside the phantom before the commencement of each test and again after the completion of the test. The uncertainty associated with the power drift was less than 12% and was included in the uncertainty budget.

2.5 Details of Test Laboratory

2.5.1 Location

EMC Technologies Pty Ltd - ACN/ABN: 82 057105 549 57 Assembly Drive Tullamarine, (Melbourne) Victoria Australia 3043

Telephone: +61 3 9335 3333 +61 3 9338 9260 email: melb@emctech.com.au www.emctech.com.au

2.5.2 Accreditations

EMC Technologies Pty. Ltd. is accredited by the National Association of Testing Authorities, Australia (NATA). **NATA Accredited Laboratory Number: 5292**

EMC Technologies Pty Ltd is NATA accredited for the following standards:

AS/NZS 2772.1: RF and microwave radiation hazard measurement

ACA: Radio communications (Electromagnetic Radiation - Human Exposure) Standard

2003

FCC: Guidelines for Human Exposure to RF Electromagnetic Field OET 65C 01/01

CENELEC: ES59005: 1998

EN 50360: 2001 Product standard to demonstrate the compliance of mobile phones with the basic

restrictions related to human exposure to electromagnetic fields (300 MHz – 3

GHz)

EN 50361: 2001 Basic standard for the measurement of Specific Absorption Rate related to human

exposure to electromagnetic fields from mobile phones (300MHz – 3GHz)

IEEE 1528: 2003 Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific

Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head Due to Wireless Communications

Devices: Measurement Techniques.

Refer to NATA website www.nata.asn.au for the full scope of accreditation.

2.5.3 Environmental Factors

The measurements were performed in a shielded room with no background network signals. The temperature in the laboratory was controlled to within $21\pm1.0~^{\circ}$ C, the humidity was in the range 56% to 63%. The liquid parameters are measured daily prior to the commencement of each test. Tests were performed to check that reflections within the environment did not influence the SAR measurements. The noise floor of the DASY4 SAR measurement system using the SN1380 probe is less than $5\mu V$ in both air and liquid mediums.

3.0 **DESCRIPTION OF SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM**

3.1 **Probe Positioning System**

The measurements were performed with the state of the art automated near-field scanning system DASY4 V4.1 Build 47.1 from Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG). The system is based on a high precision 6axis robot (working range greater that 1.1m), which positions the SAR measurement probes with a positional repeatability of better than ±0.02 mm. The DASY4 fully complies with the OET65 C (01-01), IEEE 1528 and EN50361 SAR measurement requirements.

E-Field Probe Type and Performance

The SAR measurements were conducted with the dosimetric probe ET3DV6 Serial: 1380 (manufactured by SPEAG) designed in the classical triangular configuration and optimised for dosimetric evaluation. The probe has been calibrated and found to be accurate to better than ±0.25 dB. The probe is suitable for measurements close to material discontinuity at the surface of the phantom. The sensors of the probe are directly loaded with Schottky diodes and connected via highly resistive lines (length = 300 mm) to the data acquisition unit.

3.3 **Data Acquisition Electronics**

The data acquisition electronics (DAE3) consists of a highly sensitive electrometer-grade preamplifier with autozeroing, a channel and gain switching multiplexer, a fast 16 bit AD-converter and a command decoder and control logic unit. The input impedance of the DAE3 box is 200 MΩ; the inputs are symmetrical and floating. Common mode rejection is above 80dB. Transmission to the PC-card is accomplished through an optical downlink for data and status information as well as an optical uplink for commands and the clock.

The mechanical probe-mounting device includes two different sensor systems for frontal and sideways probe contacts. They are used for mechanical surface detection and probe collision detection.

Calibration and Validation Procedures and Data

Prior to the SAR assessment, the system validation kit was used to verify that the DASY4 was operating within its specifications. The validation was performed at 2450 MHz with the SPEAG 2450V2 calibrated dipole.

The validation dipoles are highly symmetric and matched at the centre frequency for the specified liquid and distance to the phantom. The accurate distance between the liquid surface and the dipole centre is achieved with a distance holder that snaps onto the dipole.

System validation is performed by feeding a known power level into a reference dipole, set at a know distance from the phantom. The measured SAR is compared to the theoretically derived level.

3.4.1 Validation Results @ 2450MHz

The following table lists the dielectric properties of the tissue simulating liquid measured prior to SAR validation. The results of the validation are listed in columns 4 and 5. The forward power into the reference dipole for SAR validation was adjusted to 250 mW.

Table 2: Validation Results (Dipole: SPEAG D2450V2 SN: 724)

1. Validation Date	2. ∈r (measured)	3. σ (mho/m) (measured)	4. Measured SAR 1g (mW/g)	5. Measured SAR 10g (mW/g)
17 th Feb 2004	39.6	1.84	12.8	6.06
18 th Feb 2004	39.43	1.83	13.1	6.21
3 rd March 2004	39.85	1.85	13.2	6.29

3.4.2 Deviation from reference validation values

The reference SAR values are derived using a reference dipole and flat section of the SAM phantom suitable for a centre frequency of 2450MHz. These reference SAR values are obtained from the IEEE Std 1528-2003 and are normalized to 1W.

The SPEAG calibration reference SAR value is the SAR validation result obtained in a specific dielectric liquid using the validation dipole (D2450V2) during calibration. The measured one-gram SAR should be within 10% of the expected target reference values shown in table 3 below.

Table 3: Deviation from reference validation values

Frequency and Date	Measured SAR 1g (mW/g)	Measured SAR 1g (Normalized to 1W)	SPEAG Calibration reference SAR Value 1g (mW/g)	Deviation From SPEAG Reference (1g)	IEEE Std 1528 reference SAR value 1g (mW/g)	Deviation From IEEE (1g)
17 th Feb 2004	12.8	51.2	55.6	-7.91%	52.4	-2.29%
18 th Feb 2004	13.1	52.4	55.6	-5.76%	52.4	-0.0%
3 rd March 2004	13.2	52.8	55.6	-5.04	52.4	0.76

NOTE: All reference validation values are referenced to 1W input power.

3.4.3 Liquid Depth 15cm

During the SAR measurement process the liquid level was maintained to a level of a least 15cm with a tolerance of 0.2cm.



Phantom Properties (Size, Shape, Shell Thickness) 3.5

The phantom used during the validations was the SAM Phantom model: TP - 1060 from SPEAG. It has a single thickness of 2 mm and was filled with the required tissue simulating liquid. The SAM phantom support structures were all non-metallic and spaced more than one device width away in transverse directions.

For SAR testing in the body worn positions a Flat phantom AndreT PL550 was used. The phantom thickness is 2.0mm+/-0.2 mm and the phantom was filled with the required tissue simulating liquid. Table 4 provides a summary of the measured phantom properties. Refer to Appendix C Part 4, for details of PL550 phantom dielectric properties and loss tangent.

Table 4: Phantom Properties (300MHz-2500MHz)


Phantom Properties	Required	Measured
Thickness of flat section	2.0mm ± 0.2mm (bottom section)	2.12-2.20mm
Dielectric Constant	<5.0	4.603 @ 300MHz (worst-case frequency)
Loss Tangent	<0.05	0.0379 @ 2500MHz (worst-case frequency)

Depth of Phantom 200mm Length of Flat Section 620mm Width of Flat Section 540mm

PL550 Flat Phantom



PL550 Flat Phantom



3.6 Tissue Material Properties

The dielectric parameters of the tissue simulating liquid were measured prior to SAR assessment using the HP85070A dielectric probe kit and HP8753ES Network Analyser. The actual dielectric parameters are shown in the following table.

Table 5: Measured Brain Simulating Liquid Dielectric Values - Validation

Frequency Band	∈r (measured range)	∈r (target)	σ (mho/m) (measured range)	σ (target)	ρ kg/m ³
2450 MHz Brain	39.43-39.85	39.8 ±5% (37.8 to 41.8)	1.83-1.85	1.88 ±5% (1.79 to 1.97)	1000

Table 6: Measured Body Simulating Liquid Dielectric Values

Frequency Band	-		σ (mho/m) (measured range)	ਰ (target)	ρ kg /m³
2412 MHz Muscle	53.16-53.41	52.7 ±5% (50.1 to 55.3)	1.91-1.93	1.95 ±5% (1.85 to 2.05)	1000
2437 MHz Muscle	52.77-53.28	52.7 ±5% (50.1 to 55.3)	1.94-1.97	1.95 ±5% (1.85 to 2.05)	1000
2462 MHz Muscle	52.83- 53.1	52.7 ±5% (50.1 to 55.3)	2.00-2.01	1.95 ±5% (1.85 to 2.05)	1000

NOTE: The brain and muscle liquid parameters were within the required tolerances of $\pm 5\%$.

3.6.1 Liquid Temperature and Humidity

The humidity and dielectric/ambient temperatures were recorded during the assessment of the tissue material dielectric parameters. The difference between the ambient temperature of the liquid during the dielectric measurement and the temperature during tests was less than |2|°C.

Table 7: Temperature and Humidity recorded for each day

Date	Ambient	Liquid	Humidity (%)
	Temperature (°C)	Temperature (°C)	
17 th February 2004	21.7	21.1	61
18 th February 2004	21.9	21.1	56
3 rd March 2004	21.5	19.8	63

3.7 Simulated Tissue Composition Used for SAR Test

The tissue simulating liquids are created prior to the SAR evaluation and often require slight modification each day to obtain the correct dielectric parameters.

Table 8: Tissue Type: Brain @ 2450MHz
Volume of Liquid: 30 Litres

Approximate Composition	% By Weight
Distilled Water	62.7
Salt	0.5
Triton X-100	36.8

Table 9: Tissue Type: Muscle @ 2450MHz Volume of Liquid: 60 Litres

Approximate Composition	% By Weight
Distilled Water	73.2
Salt	0.04
DGBE	26.7

3.8 Device Holder for Laptops and PL550 Phantom

A low loss clamp was used to position the Laptop underneath the phantom surface. Small pieces of foam were then used to press the laptop flush against the phantom surface.

Refer to Appendix A4-A7 for photographs of device positioning

^{*}Refer "OET Bulletin 65 97/01 P38"

SAR MEASUREMENT PROCEDURE USING DASY4 4.0

The SAR evaluation was performed with the SPEAG DASY4 system. A summary of the procedure follows:

- a) A measurement of the SAR value at a fixed location is used as a reference value for assessing the power drop of the EUT. The SAR at this point is measured at the start of the test, and then again at the end of the test.
- The SAR distribution at the exposed flat section of the flat phantom is measured at a distance of 3.9 mm from the inner surface of the shell. The area covers the entire dimension of the EUT and the horizontal grid spacing is 20 mm x 20 mm. The actual Area Scan has dimensions of 61mm x 81mm surrounding the test device. Based on this data, the area of the maximum absorption is determined by Spline interpolation. The first "pre-scans" covered an area of 131 mm x 161 mm to ensure that the hotspot was correctly identified.
- Around this point, a volume of 30 mm x 30 mm x 30 mm is assessed by measuring 7 x 7 x 7 points. On the basis of this data set, the spatial peak SAR value is evaluated with the following procedure:
 - The data at the surface are extrapolated, since the centre of the dipoles is 2.7 mm away from the tip of the probe and the distance between the surface and the lowest measuring point is 1.2 mm. The extrapolation is based on a least square algorithm. A polynomial of the fourth order is calculated through the points in z-axes. This polynomial is then used to evaluate the points between the surface and the probe tip.
 - (ii) The maximum interpolated value is searched with a straightforward algorithm. Around this maximum the SAR values averaged over the spatial volumes (1 g and 10 g) are computed using the 3D-Spline interpolation algorithm. The 3D-Spline is composed of three one-dimensional splines with the "Not a knot"- condition (in x, y and z-direction). The volume is integrated with the trapezoidal - algorithm. One thousand points (10 x 10 x 10) are interpolated to calculate the averages.
 - (iii) All neighbouring volumes are evaluated until no neighbouring volume with a higher average value is found.
 - (iv) The SAR value at the same location as in Step (a) is again measured to evaluate the actual power drift.

5.0 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

The uncertainty analysis is based on the template listed in the IEEE Std 1528-2003 for both Handset SAR tests and Validation uncertainty. The measurement uncertainty of a specific device is evaluated independently and the total uncertainty for both evaluations (95% confidence level) must be less than 30%.

Table 10: Uncertainty Budget for DASY4 Version V4.1 Build 47.1 - EUT SAR test

а	b	С	D	e= f(d,k)	f	g	h=cxf/e	i=cxg/e	k
Uncertainty Component	Sec.	Tol. (%)	Prob. Dist.	Div.	C _i (1g)	C _i (10g)	1g u _i (%)	10g u _i (%)	Vi
Measurement System									
Probe Calibration (k=1) (numerical calibration)	E.2.1	4.8	N	1	1	1	4.8	4.8	8
Axial Isotropy	E.2.2	4.7	R	1.73	0.707	0.707	1.9	1.9	8
Hemispherical Isotropy	E.2.2	9.6	R	1.73	0.707	0.707	3.9	3.9	8
Boundary Effect	E.2.3	1	R	1.73	1	1	0.6	0.6	8
Linearity	E.2.4	4.7	R	1.73	1	1	2.7	2.7	∞
System Detection Limits	E.2.5	1	R	1.73	1	1	0.6	0.6	8
Readout Electronics	E.2.6	1	N	1	1	1	1.0	1.0	∞
Response Time	E.2.7	0.8	R	1.73	1	1	0.5	0.5	∞
Integration Time	E.2.8	0	R	1.73	1	1	0.0	0.0	∞
RF Ambient Conditions	E.6.1	0.075	R	1.73	1	1	0.0	0.0	8
Probe Positioner Mechanical Tolerance	E.6.2	0.4	R	1.73	1	1	0.2	0.2	8
Probe Positioning with respect to Phantom Shell	E.6.3	2.9	R	1.73	1	1	1.7	1.7	8
Extrapolation, interpolation and Integration Algorithms for Max. SAR Evaluation	E.5	1	R	1.73	1	1	0.6	0.6	8
Test Sample Related									
Test Sample Positioning	E.4.2	6	N	1	1	1	6.0	6.0	11
Device Holder Uncertainty	E.4.1	3.6	N	1	1	1	3.6	3.6	7
Output Power Variation – SAR Drift Measurement	6.6.2	12.2	R	1.73	1	1	7.0	7.0	8
Phantom and Tissue Parameters									
Phantom Uncertainty (shape and thickness tolerances)	E.3.1	4	R	1.73	1	1	2.3	2.3	8
Liquid Conductivity – Deviation from target values	E.3.2	5	R	1.73	0.64	0.43	1.8	1.2	8
Liquid Conductivity – Measurement uncertainty	E.3.3	10	N	1	0.64	0.43	6.4	4.3	5
Liquid Permittivity – Deviation from target values	E.3.2	5	R	1.73	0.6	0.49	1.7	1.4	8
Liquid Permittivity – Measurement uncertainty	E.3.3	5	N	1	0.6	0.49	3.0	2.5	5
Combined standard Uncertainty			RSS				14.7	13.7	154
Expanded Uncertainty (95% CONFIDENCE LEVEL)			k=2				29.5	27.31	

Estimated total measurement uncertainty for the DASY4 measurement system was $\pm 14.7\%$. The extended uncertainty (K = 2) was assessed to be $\pm 29.5\%$ based on 95% confidence level. The uncertainty is not added to the measurement result.

Table 11: Uncertainty Budget for DASY4 Version V4.1 Build 47.1 - Validation

a	b	С	d	e= f(d,k)	f	g	h=cxf/e	i=cxg/e	k
Uncertainty Component	Sec.	Tol. (6%)	Prob. Dist.	Div.	C _i (1g)	C _i (10g)	1g u _i (6%)	10g u _i (6%)	Vi
Measurement System									
Probe Calibration (k=1) (standard calibration)	E.2.1	4.4	N	1	1	1	4.4	4.4	8
Axial Isotropy	E.2.2	4.7	R	1.73	1	1	2.7	2.7	8
Hemispherical Isotropy	E.2.2	0	R	1.73	1	1	0.0	0.0	∞
Boundary Effect	E.2.3	8.3	R	1.73	1	1	4.8	4.8	8
Linearity	E.2.4	4.7	R	1.73	1	1	2.7	2.7	8
System Detection Limits	E.2.5	1	R	1.73	1	1	0.6	0.6	8
Readout Electronics	E.2.6	1	N	1	1	1	1.0	1.0	8
Response Time	E.2.7	0	R	1.73	1	1	0.0	0.0	8
Integration Time	E.2.8	0	R	1.73	1	1	0.0	0.0	8
RF Ambient Conditions	E.6.1	0.05	R	1.73	1	1	0.0	0.0	8
Probe Positioner Mechanical Tolerance	E.6.2	0.4	R	1.73	1	1	0.2	0.2	8
Probe Positioning with respect to Phantom Shell	E.6.3	2.9	R	1.73	1	1	1.7	1.7	8
Extrapolation, interpolation and Integration Algorithms for Max. SAR Evaluation	E.5	3.9	R	1.73	1	1	2.3	2.3	8
Test Sample Related									
Test Sample Positioning		1	R	1.73	1	1	0.6	0.6	8
Device Holder Uncertainty		4.7	R	1.73	1	1	2.7	2.7	∞
Output Power Variation – SAR Drift Measurement									
Phantom and Tissue Parameters									
Phantom Uncertainty (shape and thickness tolerances)	E.3.1	4	R	1.73	1	1	2.3	2.3	∞
Liquid Conductivity – Deviation from target values	E.3.2	5	R	1.73	0.6	0.43	1.7	1.2	∞
Liquid Conductivity – Measurement uncertainty	E.3.3	10	N	1.73	0.6	0.43	3.5	2.5	5
Liquid Permittivity – Deviation from target values	E.3.2	5	R	1.73	0.6	0.49	1.7	1.4	8
Liquid Permittivity – Measurement uncertainty	E.3.3	5	N	1.73	0.6	0.49	1.7	1.4	5
Combined standard Uncertainty			RSS				10.0	9.5	154
Expanded Uncertainty (95% CONFIDENCE LEVEL)			k=2				±20.0	±19.1	

Estimated total measurement uncertainty for the DASY4 measurement system was $\pm 10.0\%$. The extended uncertainty (K = 2) was assessed to be $\pm 20.0\%$ based on 95% confidence level. The uncertainty is not added to the Validation measurement result.

6.0 **EQUIPMENT LIST AND CALIBRATION DETAILS**

Table 12: SPEAG DASY4 Version 4.1 Build 47.1

Equipment Type	Manufacturer	Model Number	Serial Number	Calibration Due	Used For this Test?
Robot - Six Axes	Staubli	RX90BL	N/A	Not applicable	Yes
Robot Remote Control	SPEAG	CS7MB	RX90B	Not applicable	Yes
SAM Phantom	SPEAG	N/A	1260	Not applicable	No
SAM Phantom	SPEAG	N/A	1060	Not applicable	Yes
Flat Phantom	AndreT	PL870	011	Not Applicable	No
Flat Phantom	AndreT	PL550	10.1	Not Applicable	Yes
Data Acquisition Electronics	SPEAG	DAE3 V1	359	16-July-2004	No
Data Acquisition Electronics	SPEAG	DAE3 V1	442	9-Sept-2004	Yes
Probe E-Field - Dummy	SPEAG	DP1	N/A	Not applicable	No
Probe E-Field	SPEAG	ET3DV6	1380	18-July-2004	Yes
Probe E-Field	SPEAG	ET3DV6	1377	19-Sept-2004	No
Antenna Dipole 450 MHz	SPEAG	D450V2	1009	24-Jan-05	No
Antenna Dipole 900 MHz	SPEAG	D900V2	047	27-Aug-2004	No
Antenna Dipole 1800 MHz	SPEAG	D1800V2	242	28-Aug-2004	No
Antenna Dipole 2450 MHz	SPEAG	D2450V2	724	9-Nov-2004	Yes
RF Amplifier	Mini-Circuits	ZHL-42	N/A	Not applicable	Yes
Synthesized signal generator	Hewlett Packard	ESG- D3000A	GB37420238	In test	Yes
RF Power Meter Dual	Hewlett Packard	437B	3125012786	25-May-04	Yes
RF Power Sensor 0.01 - 18 GHz	Hewlett Packard	8481H	1545A01634	25-May-04	Yes
RF Power Meter	Hewlett Packard	436A	N/A	11-June-04	Yes
RF Power Sensor	Hewlett Packard	8481A	N/A	11-June-04	Yes
RF Power Meter Dual	Hewlett Packard	435A	1733A05847	*Not Required	Yes
RF Power Sensor	Hewlett Packard	8482A	2349A10114	*Not Required	Yes
Network Analyser	Hewlett Packard	8753ES	JP39240130	13-June-2004	Yes
Dual Directional Coupler	NARDA	3022	75453	In test	Yes

7.0 OET BULLETIN 65 – SUPPLEMENT C TEST METHOD

7.1 Description of the Test Positions

Notebooks should be evaluated in normal use positions, typical for lap-held bottom-face only. However the number of positions will depend on the number of configurations the laptop can be operated in. The T Series laptop "Mace" can be used in either a conventional laptop position (see Appendix A1) or a Tablet configuration. The antenna location in the "Mace" is closest to the top of the screen when used in a conventional laptop configuration and due to the separation distances involved between the phantom and the laptop antenna, testing is not required in this position.

If used in the tablet position the antenna location is closest to the screen of the laptop. The T series tablets use interactive screen modes that allow the user to place their arms/hands on the tablet screen. To account for occasional exposure to the arms, SAR tests were performed with the tablet screen facing the laptop.

Therefore SAR measurements were performed with the front and back of the laptop facing the flat section of the AndreT Flat phantom (PL550). See Appendix A for photos of test positions.

7.1.1 "Tablet" Position Definition (0mm spacing)

The device was tested in the 2.00 mm flat section of the AndreT Flat phantom PL550 for the "Tablet" position. The Transceiver was placed at the bottom of the phantom and suspended in such way that the back of the device was touching the phantom. This device orientation simulates the tablet's normal use – being held on the lap of the user whilst in the tablet configuration. A spacing of 0mm ensures that the SAR results are conservative and represent a worst-case position.

7.1.2 "Lap Arm Held" Position (0mm spacing)

The device was tested with the screen of the Tablet touching the flat phantom¹. This orientation simulates use of the device in interactive or arm-held modes where the arm may be rested against the screen during normal use.

For this position, the Transceiver was placed at the bottom of the PL550 phantom and suspended in such way that the screen of the device was touching the phantom. A spacing of 0mm ensures that the SAR results are conservative and represent a worst-case position (with regards to SAR).

_

¹ TCB Workshop Notes 2003, Session 6 "Portable Transmitters"

7.2 List of All Test Cases (Antenna In/Out, Test Frequencies, User Modes)

The device has a fixed antenna. Depending on the measured SAR level up to three test channels with the test sample operating at maximum power, as specified in section 2.3 were recorded. The following table represents the matrix used to determine what testing was required. Due to the low SAR levels measured the number of test configurations has been reduced.

Table 13: Testing configurations

Phantom	Antenna	*Device	Test Configurations			
Configuration		Mode	Channel (Low)	Channel (Middle)	Channel (High)	
Lap-Arm Held		DSSS	X	Х	Х	
		OFDM		Х		
Tablet	Main	DSSS		Х		
]	OFDM				
Lap-Arm Held		DSSS		Х		
	Aux	OFDM				
Tablet		DSSS		X		

Legend X	Testing Required in this configuration
	Testing not required in this configuration because SAR of middle channel is more than
	3dB below the SAR limit.
	Testing not required in this configuration due to low power output in this mode.

7.3 FCC RF Exposure Limits for Occupational/ Controlled Exposure

TIO TOO IN EXPOSURE ENTIRE IOF OR	boupational Controlled Expectate
Spatial Peak SAR Limits For:	
Partial-Body:	8.0 mW/g (averaged over any 1g cube of tissue)
Hands, Wrists, Feet and Ankles:	20.0 mW/g (averaged over 10g cube of tissue)

7.4 FCC RF Exposure Limits for Un-controlled/Non-occupational

Spatial Peak SAR Limits For:	
Partial-Body:	1.6 mW/g (averaged over any 1g cube of tissue)
Hands, Wrists, Feet and Ankles:	4.0 mW/g (averaged over 10g cube of tissue)

8.0 SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS

The SAR values averaged over 1g tissue masses were determined for the sample device for all test configurations listed in section 7.2.

Table 14: SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS - DSSS Mode

1. Test Position	2. Plot No.	3. Antenna	4. Test Channel	5. Test Freq (MHz)	6. Measured 1g SAR Results (mW/g)	7. Measured Drift (dB)
*Lap Arm Held	1	Main	06	2437	- (prescan)	- (prescan)
Lap Arm Held	2	Main	01	2412	0.259	-0.2
Lap Arm Held	3	Main	06	2437	0.261	0.1
Lap Arm Held	4	Main	11	2462	0.262	0.5
*Lap Arm Held	5	Aux	06	2437	- (prescan)	- (prescan)
*Tablet	6	Aux	06	2437	- (prescan)	- (prescan)
*Tablet	7	Main	06	2437	- (prescan)	- (prescan)

NOTE: The measurement uncertainty of 29.5% is not added to the result.

Table 15: SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS - OFDM Mode

1. Test Position	2. Plot No.	3. Antenna	4. Test Channel	5. Test Freq (MHz)	6. Measured 1g SAR Results (mW/g)	7. Measured Drift (dB)
Lap Arm Held	0	Main	06	2437	0.098	-0.2

NOTE: The measurement uncertainty of 29.5% is not added to the result.

9.0 COMPLIANCE STATEMENT

The Pentablet Fujitsu LifeBook T3010 with WLAN Calexico2 model WM3B2200BG, FCC ID: EJE-WL0007, IC: 337J-WL0007 Portable Tablet Computer Wireless LAN was found to comply with the FCC and RSS-102 SAR requirements.

The highest SAR level recorded was 0.262 mW/g for a 1g cube. This value was measured for channel 11 in the "Lap Arm Held" position in DSSS modulation mode. This was below the limit of 1.6 mW/g for uncontrolled exposure, even taking into account the measurement uncertainty of 29.5%.

^{*}This scan is a prescan (area scan) only.

^{*}This scan is a prescan only.

APPENDIX A1 TEST HOST PHOTOGRAPHS

EUT Host - External Front View



EUT Host - External Rear View




This document shall not be copied or reproduced, except in full without the written permission of the Technical Director , EMC Technologies Pty. Ltd.

APPENDIX A2 TEST SAMPLE PHOTOGRAPHS

Mini-PCI Model: WM3B2200BG



Antenna Locations



Battery




Mini-PCI Model: WM3B2200BG inside the host



APPENDIX A3 TEST SETUP PHOTOGRAPHS

Tablet Position





APPENDIX A4 TEST SETUP PHOTOGRAPHS

Lap Arm Held Position

