

Date: December 22, 2004

Federal Communications Commission

Via: Electronic Filing

Attention: Authorization & Evaluation Division

Applicant: E. F. Johnson Company Equipment: 242-5310-211-AAAA

FCC ID: ATH2425312

FCC Rules: Radiofrequency Radiation Exposure Limits

47 CFR 1.1310

MPE - Mobiles x Fixed Based Station

Gentlemen:

On behalf of the Applicant, enclosed please find the Supplemental Test Data Report, the whole for Environmental Assessment (MPE) of the referenced equipment as shown.

We trust the same is in order. Should you need any further information, kindly contact the writer who is authorized to act as agent.

Sincerely yours,

David E. Lee,

Compliance Test Manager

enclosure(s) cc: Applicant DEL/del



Environmental Assessment

for

Mobiles/Fixed Base Station

for

FCC ID: FCC ID: ATH2425312 Model: 242-5310-211-AAAA

to

Federal Communications Commission

47 CFR 1.1310 (MPE)

Radiofrequency Radiation Exposure Limits

Date Of Report: December 22, 2004

On the Behalf of the Applicant:

E. F. Johnson Company

At the Request of: P.O.171006

E. F. Johnson Company, 299 Johnson Ave. Waseca, MN 56093-0514

Attention of: (507) 835-6579; FAX: -6666

John Oblak, Director, Radio Products Development

E-mail: joblak@efjohnson.com

David E. Lee,

Compliance Test Manager

Supervised By:



Table of Contents

Rule	Description	Page
	Test Report	1
2.1033(c)	General Information Required	3
	Standard Test Conditions and Engineering Practices	4
1.1310	Environmental Assessment	5



Page Number 1 of 7.

Required information per ISO/IEC Guide 25-1990, paragraph 13.2:

a) Test Report (Supplemental)

b) Laboratory: M. Flom Associates, Inc.

(FCC: 31040/SIT) 3356 N. San Marcos Place, Suite 107

(Canada: IC 2044) Chandler, AZ 85225

c) Report Number: d04c0056

d) Client: E. F. Johnson Company,

299 Johnson Ave.

Waseca, MN 56093-0514

e) Identification: 242-5310-211-AAAA

FCC ID: ATH2425312

Description: VHF / FM mobile 100 Watt

f) EUT Condition: Not required unless specified in individual tests.

g) Report Date: December 22, 2004 EUT Received: November 1, 2004

h, j, k): As indicated in individual tests.

i) Sampling method: No sampling procedure used.

I) Uncertainty: In accordance with MFA internal quality manual.

m) Supervised by:

David E. Lee,

Compliance Test Manager

n) Results: The results presented in this report relate only to the item tested.

o) Reproduction: This report must not be reproduced, except in full, without written

permission from this laboratory.



Identification of the Equipment Under Test (EUT)

Name and Addre	ess of Applicant:
	E. F. Johnson Company 299 Johnson Ave. Waseca, MN 56093-0514

Waseca, MN 56093-0514		
Manufacturer:		
Applicant		
FCC ID:	ATH2425312	
Model Number:	242-5310-211-AAAA	
Description:	VHF / FM mobile 100 Watt	
Type of Emission:	16K0F3E, 11K0F3E, 8K10F1E, 8K10F1D	
Frequency Range, MHz:	146 to 174	
Power Rating, Watts: Switchable X Variable	110 N/A	
Modulation:	AMPS TDMA CDMA X OTHER	
Antenna:	Helical Monopole X Whip Other	

Note: For RF Safety test antenna gain taken at the upper range of expected gain (i.e. 0 dBd) and RF Power set to highest nominal power across all channels.





A2LA

"A2LA has accredited M. Flom Associates, Inc. Chandler, AZ for technical competence in the field of Electrical Testing. The accreditation covers the specific tests and types of tests listed on the agreed scope of accreditation. This laboratory meets the requirements of ISO/IEC 17025 – 1999 'General Requirements for the Competence of Testing and Calibration Laboratories' and any additional program requirements in the identified field of testing."

Certificate Number: 2152-01



September 15, 1999

Mr. Mortou Fleer M. Flore Associates Inc. 3356 N. San Marcon Place, Saire 107 Chandler, AZ 85224

I am pleased to inform you that your laboratory has been validated by the Chinese Taipei Bureau of Standards, Methology, and Inspection (BSSM) under the Asia Teorific Resonetic Cooperation Musical Recognition Armagement (APRC MRA). Your laboratory in row formuly designated to set as a Confirmity Assessment Boyl (CAB) under Appendix S, Phane I Proceedings, of the APRC MRA between the American Institute in Taiwan (AIT) and the Taipei Economic and Cultural Representative Office (TECRI) in the United States, conving equipment subject to Electro-Magnetic Compatibility (EMC) requirements. The names of all validated and constituted (Samarator will be period on the NIST website at http://lin.chin.gov/mns.under the "Asia" category.

As of August 1, 1999, you may submit test task to BSMI to verify that the equipment to be imposed into Chinero Tajed swintles the applicable BMC requirement. New assigned #85MI samble in BAG-14N-6-4BMI, you must asset this number when sending test reports to BSMI. Your disligation will remain in force as long as your NVLAF and/or AZLA and/or BSMI surrelitation remain ratio for the CMS 13MI.

Please note that BSMI requires that the entity making application for the remore sets that those in requires that the entry making application for the approval of regulated equipment must make used application in parses at their Taipul office. SEMF also requires the gatest of the attainable rigustation when an authorised to eight the out reports. Yet one need this information via fact of Taipul CAS Response Winnager of 301-975-5414. I am also enclusing a copy of the caver when that, according to BSMI requirements, must average over their report.

NIST

If you have any questions, please contact Robert Gladkill at 391-975-4273 or Joe Dhillon at 301-975-5528. We appreciate your continued interest in our international conformity assessment activities.

puile Acelin

Hollinda L. Collins, 75.D. Director, Office of Standards Services

NIST

I am pleased to inform you that your laboratory has been validated by the Chinese Taipei Bureau of Standards, Metrology and Inspection (BSMI) under the Asia Pacific Economic Cooperation Mutual Recognition Agreement (APEC MRA). Your laboratory is now formally designated to act as a Conformity Assessment Body (CAB) under Appendix B, Phase I Procedures, of the APEC MRA between the American Institute in Taiwan (AIT) and the Taipei Economic and Cultural Representative Office (TECRO) in the United States, covering equipment subject to Electro-Magnetic Compatibility (EMC) requirements. The names of all validated and nominated laboratories will be posted on the NIST website at http://ts.nist.gov/mra under the 'Asia' category."

BSMI Number: SL2-IN-E-041R

M. Flom Associates, Inc. 3356 North San Marcos Place, Suite 107 Chandler, Arizona 85225-7176 (480) 926-3100 phone, (480) 926-3598 fax



Standard Test Conditions and Engineering Practices

Except as noted herein, the following conditions and procedures were observed during the testing:

In accordance with ANSI C63.4-1992/2001, section 6.1.9, and unless otherwise indicated in the specific measurement results, the ambient temperature of the actual EUT was maintained within the range of 10° to 40°C (50° to 104 °F) unless the particular equipment requirements specify testing over a different temperature range. Also, unless otherwise indicated, the humidity levels were in the range of 10% to 90% relative humidity.

Prior to testing, the EUT was tuned up in accordance with the manufacturer's alignment procedures. All external gain controls were maintained at the position of maximum and/or optimum gain throughout the testing.



Specification: FCC: 47 CFR 1.1310

Measurement Guide: ANSI/IEEE C95.1 1992

Test Equipment: Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE) measurement system, consisting of:

Amplifier Research FP6001 Field Test Kit (Cal June, 04)

Measurement Procedure: 1. The following measurements were performed with a field probe using

ANSI/IEEE C95.1 as a guide.

2. Prior to making any measurements, the measurements system was calibrated in accordance with the manufacturer's procedures.

3. The EUT's radiating element (antenna) was placed on a 1 m tall table for ease of testing. For equipment normally operated on a metal surface, a ground plane was used.

4. The remaining equipment necessary to operate the EUT was maintained at a distance from the measurement arrangement suitable to minimize interference with the measurements.

5. The minimum safe distance was calculated from the formula Power Density = EIRP / $4\pi R^2$ (Peak Watts/m²). The calculation is shown with the measurement data.

6. With the EUT operating at maximum power, a search was initiated for worst-case emissions with the probe raised and lowered over a range of 0.2 to 2 meters in height and over a horizontal plane of 0° to 360° .

7. Average values were calculated for the whole body (0.2-2.0m), lower body (0.2-0.8m) and upper body (1.0-2.0m).

Results: Attached.



Test Setup:

Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE)





Name of Test: R.F. Radiation Exposure

FCC Rules: 1.1307, 1.1310, 1.1311, 2.1091
Description, EUT: See page 2 of Test Report

1500-100,000 MHz: $Limit [mW/cm^2] = 1.0$

Test Frequencies, MHz 146.000 155.000 174.000

Power, Conducted, W = 110

Test Antenna Gain -0.15dBd = 2dbi = Numeric Gain of 1.64

Test Antenna Model ¼ wave monopole over ground plane (ASPA1415)

PTT Factor 50% = 0.5 Total Adjustment Factor X 0.82

Pre-test Power_[W EIRP] = $P_{[conducted]}$ x G _[antenna] = 110 X 0.82 = 90.23

Results at Power Density, mW/cm² tested Probe Height, m Freq. 146.000 MHz Freq. 155.000MHz Freq. 174.000MHz distances Distance 150 cm Distance 150 cm Distance 150 cm 2.0 0.101 0.100 0.104 1.8 0.1430.1200.141 1.6 0.163 0.149 0.162 1.4 0.170 0.162 0.175 1.2 0.175 0.173 0.180 1.0 0.162 0.177 0.174 0.8 0.152 0.170 0.147 0.6 0.126 0.151 0.130 0.142 0.4 0.093 0.111 0.2 0.081 0.119 0.099

Power Density The measured power density readings were summed and the results divided by the number of readings to calculate the average.

	146 MHz	155 MHz	174 MHz
Whole body average $(0.2 - 0.8 \text{ m}, \text{ mW/cm}^2) =$	0.138	0.146	0.141
Lower body average (0.2 - 0.8 m, mW/cm ²) =	0.113	0.146	0.122
Upper body average (1.0 - 2.0 m, mW/cm ²) =	0.155	0.146	0.154

END OF TEST REPORT



(The following will be placed in the Instruction Manual)

Mandatory Safety Instructions to Installers & Users

Use only manufacturer or dealer supplied antenna.

Antenna Minimum Safe Distance: 150cm (1.5m).

Antenna Gain: 0dB referenced to a dipole (0dBd), 2.15dB referenced to isotropic (2.15dBi)

The Federal Communications Commission has adopted a safety standard for human exposure to RF (Radio Frequency) energy, which is below the OSHA (Occupational Safety and Health Act) limits.

Antenna Mounting: The antenna supplied by the manufacturer or radio dealer must not be mounted at a location such that during radio transmission, any person or persons can come closer than the above indicated minimum safe distance to the antenna i.e. **150cm (1.5m)**.

To comply with current FCC RF Exposure limits, the antenna must be installed at or exceeding the minimum safe distance shown above, and in accordance with the requirements of the antenna manufacturer or supplier.

Base Station Installation: The antenna should be fixed-mounted on an outdoor permanent structure. RF Exposure compliance must be addressed at the time of installation.

Antenna Substitution: Do not substitute any antenna for the one supplied or recommended by the manufacturer or radio dealer. You may be exposing person or persons to excess radio frequency radiation. You may contact your radio dealer or the manufacturer for further instructions.

Warning: Maintain a separation distance from the antenna to a person(s) of at least 150cm (1.5m).

You, as the qualified end-user of this radio device must control the exposure conditions of bystanders to ensure the minimum separation distance (above) is maintained between the antenna and nearby persons for satisfying RF Exposure compliance. The operation of this transmitter must satisfy the requirements of Occupational/Controlled Exposure Environment, for work-related use. Transmit only when person(s) are at least the minimum distance from the properly installed, externally mounted antenna.



Testimonial and Statement of Certification

This is to certify that:

- 1. **That** the application was prepared either by, or under the direct supervision of, the undersigned.
- 2. **That** the technical data supplied with the application was taken under my direction and supervision.
- 3. **That** the data was obtained on representative units, randomly selected.
- 4. **That**, to the best of my knowledge and belief, the facts set forth in the application and accompanying technical data are true and correct.

Certifying Engineer:

David E. Lee, Compliance Test Manager