

SAR Compliance Test Report

Test report no.:	Oulu_SAR_0538_04	Date of report:	2005-09-29
Template version:	4a	Number of pages:	39
Testing laboratory:	TCC Nokia, Oulu Yrttpellontie 6 P.O. Box 300 FIN-90401 OULU Tel. +358-7180-08000 Fax. +358-7180-47222	Client:	Nokia Corporation Lise Meitner Strasse 10 GER-89081 ULM Tel. +49-731-1754-0 Fax. +49-731-1754-6800
Responsible test engineer:	Kai Niskala	Product contact person:	Thomas Reitmayer
Measurements made by:	Rolf Rundgren		
Tested device:	RM-70		
FCC ID:	PPIRM-70	IC:	661U-RM70
Supplement reports:	-		
Testing has been carried out in accordance with:	47CFR §2.1093 Radiofrequency Radiation Exposure Evaluation: Portable Devices FCC OET Bulletin 65 (Edition 97-01), Supplement C (Edition 01-01) Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields RSS-102 Evaluation Procedure for Mobile and Portable Radio Transmitters with Respect to Health Canada's Safety Code 6 for Exposure of Humans to Radio Frequency Fields IEEE 1528 - 2003 IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques		
Documentation:	The documentation of the testing performed on the tested devices is archived for 15 years at TCC Nokia.		
Test results:	The tested device complies with the requirements in respect of all parameters subject to the test. The test results and statements relate only to the items tested. The test report shall not be reproduced except in full, without written approval of the laboratory.		

Date and signatures:

For the contents:

Kai Niskala
Test System Manager

CONTENTS

1. SUMMARY OF SAR TEST REPORT	3
1.1 TEST DETAILS.....	3
1.2 MAXIMUM RESULTS.....	3
1.2.1 <i>Head Configuration</i>	3
1.2.2 <i>Body Worn Configuration</i>	3
1.2.3 <i>Maximum Drift</i>	4
1.2.4 <i>Measurement Uncertainty</i>	4
2. DESCRIPTION OF THE DEVICE UNDER TEST	5
2.1 PICTURE OF THE DEVICE.....	5
2.2 DESCRIPTION OF THE ANTENNA	6
3. TEST CONDITIONS	6
3.1 TEMPERATURE AND HUMIDITY	6
3.2 TEST SIGNAL, FREQUENCIES AND OUTPUT POWER.....	6
4. DESCRIPTION OF THE TEST EQUIPMENT	6
4.1 MEASUREMENT SYSTEM AND COMPONENTS	6
4.1.1 <i>Isotropic E-field Probe serial number</i>	7
4.2 PHANTOMS	8
4.3 TISSUE SIMULANTS	8
4.3.1 <i>Tissue Simulant Recipes</i>	9
4.3.2 <i>System Checking</i>	9
4.3.3 <i>Tissue Simulants used in the Measurements</i>	9
5. DESCRIPTION OF THE TEST PROCEDURE	11
5.1 DEVICE HOLDER.....	11
5.2 TEST POSITIONS.....	11
5.2.1 <i>Against Phantom Head</i>	11
5.2.2 <i>Body Worn Configuration</i>	12
5.3 SCAN PROCEDURES.....	13
5.4 SAR AVERAGING METHODS.....	13
6. MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY	14
7. RESULTS	15
APPENDIX A: SYSTEM CHECKING SCANS.....	16
APPENDIX B: MEASUREMENT SCANS.....	18
APPENDIX C: RELEVANT PAGES FROM PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT(S)	32
APPENDIX D: RELEVANT PAGES FROM DIPOLE VALIDATION KIT REPORT(S)	33

1. SUMMARY OF SAR TEST REPORT

1.1 Test Details

Period of test	2005-09-15 to 2005-09-16
SN, HW and SW numbers of tested device	SN: 004400/56/170772/8; HW: 4031; SW: 3.01; DUT#: 30481
Batteries used in testing	BL-4B; DUT#'s: 30478,30479, 30482, 30483
Headsets used in testing	HS-6; DUT#: 30435
Other accessories used in testing	
State of sample	Prototype unit
Notes	

1.2 Maximum Results

The maximum measured SAR values for Head configuration and Body Worn configuration are given in section 1.2.1 and 1.2.2 respectively. The device conforms to the requirements of the standard(s) when the maximum measured SAR value is less than or equal to the limit.

1.2.1 Head Configuration

Mode	Ch / f (MHz)	Radiated power	Position	Measured SAR value (1g avg)	Scaled* SAR value (1g avg)	SAR limit (1g avg)	Result
GSM1900	661 / 1880.0	28.2 dBm EIRP	Right, Cheek	0.46 W/kg	0.52 W/kg	1.6 W/kg	PASSED

1.2.2 Body Worn Configuration

Mode	Ch / f (MHz)	Radiated power	Separation distance	Measured SAR value (1g avg)	Scaled* SAR value (1g avg)	SAR limit (1g avg)	Result
2-slot GPRS1900	810 / 1909.8	28.4 dBm EIRP	2.2 cm	0.57 W/kg	0.64 W/kg	1.6 W/kg	PASSED

*SAR values are scaled up by 12% to cover measurement drift.

1.2.3 Maximum Drift

Maximum drift covered by 12% scaling up of the SAR values	Maximum drift during measurements
0.5 dB	0.27 dB

1.2.4 Measurement Uncertainty

Expanded Uncertainty (k=2) 95%	$\pm 27.4 \%$
--------------------------------	---------------

2. DESCRIPTION OF THE DEVICE UNDER TEST

Device category	Portable
Exposure environment	General population / uncontrolled

Modes and Bands of Operation	GSM 1900	GPRS 1900	EGPRS 1900	BT
Modulation Mode	GMSK	GMSK	8PSK	GFSK
Duty Cycle	1/8	1/8 or 2/8	1/8 or 2/8	
Transmitter Frequency Range (MHz)	1850 - 1910	1850 - 1910	1850 - 1910	2402 – 2480

Outside of USA and Canada, the transmitter of the device is capable of operating also in GSM900 and GSM1800, which are not part of this filing.

EGPRS mode was not measured, because maximum averaged output power is more than 3 dB lower in EGPRS mode than in GPRS mode.

2.1 Picture of the Device



2.2 Description of the Antenna

The device has an internal patch antenna.

3. TEST CONDITIONS

3.1 Temperature and Humidity

Ambient temperature (°C):	22.0 to 24.5
Ambient humidity (RH %):	30 to 45

3.2 Test Signal, Frequencies and Output Power

The device was put into operation by using a call tester. Communication between the device and the call tester was established by air link.

The device output power was set to maximum power level for all tests; a fully charged battery was used for every test sequence.

In all operating bands the measurements were performed on lowest, middle and highest channels.

The radiated output power of the device was measured by a separate test laboratory on the same unit as used for SAR testing.

4. DESCRIPTION OF THE TEST EQUIPMENT

4.1 Measurement System and Components

The measurements were performed using an automated near-field scanning system, DASY4 software version 4.5, manufactured by Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG) in Switzerland. The SAR extrapolation algorithm used in all measurements was the 'advanced extrapolation' algorithm.

The following table lists calibration dates of SPEAG components:

Test Equipment	Serial Number	Calibration interval	Calibration expiry
DAE4	555	12 months	2006-02
E-field Probe ET3DV6	1765	12 months	2006-02
Dipole Validation Kit, D1900V2	5d030	24 months	2007-02

Additional test equipment used in testing:

Test Equipment	Model	Serial Number	Calibration interval	Calibration expiry
Signal Generator	HP 8657B	3630U08114	12 months	2006-02
Amplifier	Amplifier Research 5S1G4	306024	-	-
Power Meter	R&S NRT	101143	12 months	2006-02
Power Sensor	R&S NRT-Z43	100239	12 months	2006-02
Thermometer	Fluke 51 II	84350048	12 months	2006-02
Thermometer	Fluke 52 II	82810048	12 months	2006-02
Network Analyzer	HP 8753D	3410A08934	12 months	2006-02
Dielectric Probe Kit	Agilent 85070D	US01440162	-	-
Radio Communication Tester	CMU 200	106354	12 months	2006-04

4.1.1 Isotropic E-field Probe ET3DV6

Construction	Symmetrical design with triangular core Built-in optical fiber for surface detection system Built-in shielding against static charges PEEK enclosure material (resistant to organic solvents, e.g., butyl diglycol)
Calibration	Calibration certificate in Appendix C
Frequency	10 MHz to 3 GHz (dosimetry); Linearity: ± 0.2 dB (30 MHz to 3 GHz)

Optical Surface Detection	± 0.2 mm repeatability in air and clear liquids over diffuse reflecting surfaces
Directivity	± 0.2 dB in HSL (rotation around probe axis) ± 0.4 dB in HSL (rotation normal to probe axis)
Dynamic Range	5 μ W/g to > 100 mW/g; Linearity: ± 0.2 dB
Dimensions	Overall length: 330 mm Tip length: 16 mm Body diameter: 12 mm Tip diameter: 6.8 mm
Application	Distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 2.7 mm General dosimetry up to 3 GHz Compliance tests of mobile phones Fast automatic scanning in arbitrary phantoms

4.2 Phantoms

The phantom used for all tests i.e. for both system checking and device testing, was the twin-headed "SAM Phantom", manufactured by SPEAG. The phantom conforms to the requirements of IEEE 1528 - 2003.

System checking was performed using the flat section, whilst Head SAR tests used the left and right head profile sections. Body SAR testing also used the flat section between the head profiles.

The SPEAG device holder (see Section 5.1) was used to position the device in all tests whilst a tripod was used to position the validation dipoles against the flat section of phantom.

4.3 Tissue Simulants

Recommended values for the dielectric parameters of the tissue simulants are given in IEEE 1528 - 2003 and FCC Supplement C to OET Bulletin 65. All tests were carried out using simulants whose dielectric parameters were within $\pm 5\%$ of the recommended values. All tests were carried out within 24 hours of measuring the dielectric parameters.

The depth of the tissue simulant was 15.0 ± 0.5 cm measured from the ear reference point during system checking and device measurements.

4.3.1 Tissue Simulant Recipes

The following recipes were used for Head and Body tissue simulants:

1900MHz band		
Ingredient	Head (% by weight)	Body (% by weight)
Deionised Water	54.50	70.25
Tween 20	45.23	29.41
Salt	0.27	0.34

4.3.2 System Checking

The manufacturer calibrates the probes annually. Dielectric parameters of the tissue simulants were measured every day using the dielectric probe kit and the network analyser. A system check measurement was made following the determination of the dielectric parameters of the simulant, using the dipole validation kit. A power level of 250 mW was supplied to the dipole antenna, which was placed under the flat section of the twin SAM phantom. The system checking results (dielectric parameters and SAR values) are given in the table below.

System checking, head tissue simulant

<i>f</i> [MHz]	Description	SAR [W/kg], 1g	Dielectric Parameters		Temp [°C]
			ϵ_r	σ [S/m]	
1900	Reference result	9.76	39.5	1.46	
	± 10% window	8.78 – 10.74			
	2005-09-15	10.2	39.3	1.48	21.8

Plots of the system checking scans are given in Appendix A.

4.3.3 Tissue Simulants used in the Measurements

Head tissue simulant measurements

<i>f</i> [MHz]	Description	Dielectric Parameters		Temp [°C]
		ϵ_r	σ [S/m]	
1880	Recommended value	40.0	1.40	
	± 5% window	38.0 – 42.0	1.33 – 1.47	
	2005-09-15	39.4	1.46	22.0

Body tissue simulant measurements

f [MHz]	Description	Dielectric Parameters		Temp [°C]
		ϵ_r	σ [S/m]	
1880	Recommended value	53.3	1.52	
	$\pm 5\%$ window	50.6 – 56.0	1.44 – 1.60	
	2005-09-15	53.2	1.58	22.0

5. DESCRIPTION OF THE TEST PROCEDURE

5.1 Device Holder

The device was placed in the device holder (illustrated below) that is supplied by SPEAG as an integral part of the Dasy system.



Device holder supplied by SPEAG

A Nokia designed spacer (illustrated below) was used to position the device within the SPEAG holder. The spacer positions the device so that the holder has minimal effect on the test results but still holds the device securely. The spacer was removed before the tests.



Nokia spacer

5.2 Test Positions

5.2.1 Against Phantom Head

Measurements were made in “cheek” and “tilt” positions on both the left hand and right hand sides of the phantom.

The positions used in the measurements were according to IEEE 1528 - 2003 “IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques”.



Photos of the device in “cheek” position



Photos of the device in “tilt” position

5.2.2 Body Worn Configuration

The device was placed in the SPEAG holder using the Nokia spacer and placed below the flat section of the phantom. The distance between the device and the phantom was kept at the separation distance indicated in the photo below using a separate flat spacer that was removed before the start of the measurements. The device was oriented with its antenna facing the phantom since this orientation gives higher results.



Photo of the device positioned for Body SAR measurement.
The spacer was removed for the tests.

5.3 Scan Procedures

First, area scans were used for determination of the field distribution. Next, a zoom scan, a minimum of 5x5x7 points covering a volume of at least 30x30x30mm, was performed around the highest E-field value to determine the averaged SAR value. Drift was determined by measuring the same point at the start of the area scan and again at the end of the zoom scan.

5.4 SAR Averaging Methods

The maximum SAR value was averaged over a cube of tissue using interpolation and extrapolation.

The interpolation, extrapolation and maximum search routines within Dasy4 are all based on the modified Quadratic Shepard's method (Robert J. Renka, "Multivariate Interpolation Of Large Sets Of Scattered Data", University of North Texas ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software, vol. 14, no. 2, June 1988, pp. 139-148).

The interpolation scheme combines a least-square fitted function method with a weighted average method. A trivariate 3-D / bivariate 2-D quadratic function is computed for each measurement point and fitted to neighbouring points by a least-square method. For the zoom scan, inverse distance weighting is incorporated to fit distant points more accurately. The interpolating function is finally calculated as a weighted average of the quadratics.

In the zoom scan, the interpolation function is used to extrapolate the Peak SAR from the deepest measurement points to the inner surface of the phantom.

6. MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

Table 6.1 – Measurement uncertainty evaluation

Uncertainty Component	Section in IEEE 1528	Tol. (%)	Prob Dist	Div	C_i	$C_i \cdot U_i$ (%)	V_i
Measurement System							
Probe Calibration	E2.1	±5.8	N	1	1	±5.8	∞
Axial Isotropy	E2.2	±4.7	R	√3	$(1-C_p)^{1/2}$	±1.9	∞
Hemispherical Isotropy	E2.2	±9.6	R	√3	$(C_p)^{1/2}$	±3.9	∞
Boundary Effect	E2.3	±8.3	R	√3	1	±4.8	∞
Linearity	E2.4	±4.7	R	√3	1	±2.7	∞
System Detection Limits	E2.5	±1.0	R	√3	1	±0.6	∞
Readout Electronics	E2.6	±1.0	N	1	1	±1.0	∞
Response Time	E2.7	±0.8	R	√3	1	±0.5	∞
Integration Time	E2.8	±2.6	R	√3	1	±1.5	∞
RF Ambient Conditions - Noise	E6.1	±3.0	R	√3	1	±1.7	∞
RF Ambient Conditions - Reflections	E6.1	±3.0	R	√3	1	±1.7	∞
Probe Positioner Mechanical Tolerance	E6.2	±0.4	R	√3	1	±0.2	∞
Probe Positioning with respect to Phantom Shell	E6.3	±2.9	R	√3	1	±1.7	∞
Extrapolation, interpolation and Integration Algorithms for Max. SAR Evaluation	E5.2	±3.9	R	√3	1	±2.3	∞
Test sample Related							
Test Sample Positioning	E4.2.1	±6.0	N	1	1	±6.0	11
Device Holder Uncertainty	E4.1.1	±5.0	N	1	1	±5.0	7
Output Power Variation - SAR drift measurement	6.6.3	±0.0	R	√3	1	±0.0	∞
Phantom and Tissue Parameters							
Phantom Uncertainty (shape and thickness tolerances)	E3.1	±4.0	R	√3	1	±2.3	∞
Conductivity Target - tolerance	E3.2	±5.0	R	√3	0.64	±1.8	∞
Conductivity - measurement uncertainty	E3.3	±5.5	N	1	0.64	±3.5	5
Permittivity Target - tolerance	E3.2	±5.0	R	√3	0.6	±1.7	∞
Permittivity - measurement uncertainty	E3.3	±2.9	N	1	0.6	±1.7	5
Combined Standard Uncertainty			RSS			±13.7	148
Coverage Factor for 95%			k=2				
Expanded Uncertainty						±27.4	

7. RESULTS

The measured Head SAR values for the test device are tabulated below:

1900MHz Head SAR results

Option used: Swivel , BT	Test configuration		SAR, averaged over 1g (W/kg)		
			Ch 512 1850.2 MHz	Ch 661 1880.0 MHz	Ch 810 1909.8 MHz
GSM1900	Power		29.9 dBm	28.2 dBm	27.8 dBm
Swivel closed	Left	Cheek		0.41	
		Tilt		0.12	
	Right	Cheek		0.28	
		Tilt		0.15	
Swivel open	Left	Cheek		0.39	
		Tilt		0.21	
	Right	Cheek	0.44	0.46	0.45
		Tilt		0.18	
Swivel open, BT active	Right	Cheek		0.42	

The measured Body SAR values for the test device are tabulated below:

1900MHz Body SAR results

Option used: Swivel, BT	Test configuration		SAR, averaged over 1g (W/kg)		
			Ch 512 1850.2 MHz	Ch 661 1880.0 MHz	Ch 810 1909.8 MHz
GSM1900	Power		29.9 dBm	28.2 dBm	27.8 dBm
Swivel closed	Without headset			0.22	
2-slot GPRS1900	Power		28.9 dBm	28.3 dBm	28.4 dBm
Swivel closed	Without headset		0.31	0.34	0.44
	Headset HS-6		0.34	0.39	0.50
Swivel closed, BT active	Headset HS-6				0.57

Plots of the Measurement scans are given in Appendix B.

APPENDIX A: SYSTEM CHECKING SCANS

Date/Time: 15.09.2005 13:44:43

Test Laboratory: TCC Nokia, Oulu Laboratory

Type: D1900V2; Serial: D1900V2 - SN:5d030

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 1900 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: HSL1900 Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 1900$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.48$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 39.3$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1765; ConvF(5.13, 5.13, 5.13); Calibrated: 24.02.2005
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn555; Calibrated: 21.02.2005
- Phantom: SAM 4; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: 1342
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 146

d=10mm, Pin=250mW, t=21.8 C/Zoom Scan (7x7x7) (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 94.0 V/m; Power Drift = -0.114 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 18.4 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 10.2 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 5.29 mW/g


[Info: Interpolated medium parameters used for SAR evaluation!](#)

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 11.6 mW/g

d=10mm, Pin=250mW, t=21.8 C/Area Scan (61x81x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

[Info: Interpolated medium parameters used for SAR evaluation!](#)

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 11.9 mW/g



APPENDIX B: MEASUREMENT SCANS

Date/Time: 15.09.2005 18:13:16

Test Laboratory: TCC Nokia, Oulu Laboratory

Type: RM-70; Serial: 004400561708403; swivel closed

Communication System: GSM 1900; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium: HSL1900 Medium parameters used: $f = 1880$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.46$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 39.4$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Left Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1765; ConvF(5.13, 5.13, 5.13); Calibrated: 24.02.2005
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn555; Calibrated: 21.02.2005
- Phantom: SAM 4; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: 1342
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 146

Cheek position - Middle, $t=21.8$ C/Zoom Scan (5x5x7) (5x5x7)/Cube 0:

Measurement grid: $dx=7.5$ mm, $dy=7.5$ mm, $dz=5$ mm

Reference Value = 5.05 V/m; Power Drift = -0.094 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.767 W/kg


SAR(1 g) = 0.412 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.211 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.460 mW/g

Cheek position - Middle, $t=21.8$ C/Area Scan (51x91x1): Measurement grid:

$dx=15$ mm, $dy=15$ mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.439 mW/g



Date/Time: 15.09.2005 18:30:27

Test Laboratory: TCC Nokia, Oulu Laboratory

Type: RM-70; Serial: 004400561708403; swivel closed

Communication System: GSM 1900; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium: HSL1900 Medium parameters used: $f = 1880$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.46$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 39.4$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Left Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1765; ConvF(5.13, 5.13, 5.13); Calibrated: 24.02.2005
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn555; Calibrated: 21.02.2005
- Phantom: SAM 4; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: 1342
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 146

Tilt position - Middle, $t=21.8$ C/Zoom Scan (5x5x7) (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=7.5$ mm, $dy=7.5$ mm, $dz=5$ mm

Reference Value = 9.07 V/m; Power Drift = -0.273 dB


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.179 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.116 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.073 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.122 mW/g

Tilt position - Middle, $t=21.8$ C/Area Scan (51x91x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15$ mm, $dy=15$ mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.146 mW/g



Date/Time: 15.09.2005 16:56:36

Test Laboratory: TCC Nokia, Oulu Laboratory

Type: RM-70; Serial: 004400561708403; swivel closed

Communication System: GSM 1900; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium: HSL1900 Medium parameters used: $f = 1880$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.46$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 39.4$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Right Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1765; ConvF(5.13, 5.13, 5.13); Calibrated: 24.02.2005
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn555; Calibrated: 21.02.2005
- Phantom: SAM 4; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: 1342
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 146

Cheek position - Middle, $t=21.8$ C/Area Scan (61x91x1): Measurement grid:

$dx=15$ mm, $dy=15$ mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.312 mW/g

Cheek position - Middle, $t=21.8$ C/Zoom Scan (5x5x7) (5x5x7)/Cube 0:


Measurement grid: $dx=7.5$ mm, $dy=7.5$ mm, $dz=5$ mm

Reference Value = 7.64 V/m; Power Drift = -0.230 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.449 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.276 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.159 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.309 mW/g



Date/Time: 15.09.2005 17:21:35

Test Laboratory: TCC Nokia, Oulu Laboratory

Type: RM-70; Serial: 004400561708403; swivel closed

Communication System: GSM 1900; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium: HSL1900 Medium parameters used: $f = 1880$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.46$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 39.4$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Right Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1765; ConvF(5.13, 5.13, 5.13); Calibrated: 24.02.2005
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn555; Calibrated: 21.02.2005
- Phantom: SAM 4; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: 1342
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 146

Tilt position - Middle, $t=21.8$ C/Area Scan (61x91x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15$ mm, $dy=15$ mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.179 mW/g


Tilt position - Middle, $t=21.8$ C/Zoom Scan (5x5x7) (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=7.5$ mm, $dy=7.5$ mm, $dz=5$ mm

Reference Value = 11.0 V/m; Power Drift = -0.042 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.226 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.150 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.090 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.163 mW/g



Date/Time: 15.09.2005 18:59:58

Test Laboratory: TCC Nokia, Oulu Laboratory

Type: RM-70; Serial: 004400561708403; swivel open

Communication System: GSM 1900; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium: HSL1900 Medium parameters used: $f = 1880$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.46$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 39.4$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Left Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1765; ConvF(5.13, 5.13, 5.13); Calibrated: 24.02.2005
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn555; Calibrated: 21.02.2005
- Phantom: SAM 4; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: 1342
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 146

Cheek position - Middle, $t=21.8$ C/Zoom Scan (5x5x7) (5x5x7)/Cube 0:

Measurement grid: $dx=7.5$ mm, $dy=7.5$ mm, $dz=5$ mm

Reference Value = 4.57 V/m; Power Drift = 0.01 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.591 W/kg


SAR(1 g) = 0.386 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.237 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.401 mW/g

Cheek position - Middle, $t=21.8$ C/Area Scan (51x91x1): Measurement grid:

$dx=15$ mm, $dy=15$ mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.433 mW/g



Date/Time: 15.09.2005 19:15:26

Test Laboratory: TCC Nokia, Oulu Laboratory

Type: RM-70; Serial: 004400561708403; swivel open

Communication System: GSM 1900; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium: HSL1900 Medium parameters used: $f = 1880$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.46$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 39.4$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Left Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1765; ConvF(5.13, 5.13, 5.13); Calibrated: 24.02.2005
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn555; Calibrated: 21.02.2005
- Phantom: SAM 4; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: 1342
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 146

Tilt position - Middle, $t=21.8$ C/Zoom Scan (5x5x7) (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=7.5$ mm, $dy=7.5$ mm, $dz=5$ mm

Reference Value = 12.0 V/m; Power Drift = -0.091 dB


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.331 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.210 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.125 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.223 mW/g

Tilt position - Middle, $t=21.8$ C/Area Scan (51x91x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15$ mm, $dy=15$ mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.246 mW/g



Date/Time: 15.09.2005 17:42:28

Test Laboratory: TCC Nokia, Oulu Laboratory

Type: RM-70; Serial: 004400561708403; swivel open

Communication System: GSM 1900; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium: HSL1900 Medium parameters used: $f = 1880$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.46$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 39.4$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Right Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1765; ConvF(5.13, 5.13, 5.13); Calibrated: 24.02.2005
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn555; Calibrated: 21.02.2005
- Phantom: SAM 4; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: 1342
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 146

Cheek position - Middle, $t=21.8$ C/Area Scan (61x91x1): Measurement grid:

$dx=15$ mm, $dy=15$ mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.546 mW/g

Cheek position - Middle, $t=21.8$ C/Zoom Scan (5x5x7) (5x5x7)/Cube 0:


Measurement grid: $dx=7.5$ mm, $dy=7.5$ mm, $dz=5$ mm


Reference Value = 4.83 V/m; Power Drift = -0.027 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.803 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.461 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.249 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.511 mW/g





Date/Time: 15.09.2005 17:59:31

Test Laboratory: TCC Nokia, Oulu Laboratory

Type: RM-70; Serial: 004400561708403; swivel open

Communication System: GSM 1900; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium: HSL1900 Medium parameters used: $f = 1880$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.46$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 39.4$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Right Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1765; ConvF(5.13, 5.13, 5.13); Calibrated: 24.02.2005
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn555; Calibrated: 21.02.2005
- Phantom: SAM 4; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: 1342
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 146

Tilt position - Middle, $t=21.8$ C/Area Scan (61x91x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15$ mm, $dy=15$ mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.207 mW/g


Tilt position - Middle, $t=21.8$ C/Zoom Scan (5x5x7) (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=7.5$ mm, $dy=7.5$ mm, $dz=5$ mm

Reference Value = 10.9 V/m; Power Drift = -0.090 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.299 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.178 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.108 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.188 mW/g



Date/Time: 16.09.2005 11:14:14

Test Laboratory: TCC Nokia, Oulu Laboratory

Type: RM-70; Serial: 004400561708403; without headset

Communication System: GSM 1900; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium: BSL1900 Medium parameters used: $f = 1880$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.58$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 53.2$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1765; ConvF(4.67, 4.67, 4.67); Calibrated: 24.02.2005
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn555; Calibrated: 21.02.2005
- Phantom: SAM 1; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: 1275
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 146

Body worn - Middle, $t=23.3$ C/Zoom Scan (5x5x7) (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=7.5$ mm, $dy=7.5$ mm, $dz=5$ mm

Reference Value = 7.70 V/m; Power Drift = -0.096 dB


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.358 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.221 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.130 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.240 mW/g

Body worn - Middle, $t=23.3$ C/Area Scan (51x91x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15$ mm, $dy=15$ mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.260 mW/g



Date/Time: 16.09.2005 12:15:35

Test Laboratory: TCC Nokia, Oulu Laboratory

Type: RM-70; Serial: 004400561708403; without headset

Communication System: GPRS 1900; Frequency: 1909.8 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:4.2

Medium: BSL1900 Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 1909.8 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 1.61 \text{ mho/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 53.1$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1765; ConvF(4.67, 4.67, 4.67); Calibrated: 24.02.2005
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection) Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn555; Calibrated: 21.02.2005
- Phantom: SAM 1; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: 1275
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 146

Body worn - High, $t=23.3 \text{ C}$ /Area Scan (51x91x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15\text{mm}$, $dy=15\text{mm}$

[Info: Interpolated medium parameters used for SAR evaluation!](#)

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.530 mW/g

Body worn - High, $t=23.3 \text{ C}$ /Zoom Scan (5x5x7) (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=7.5\text{mm}$, $dy=7.5\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$


Reference Value = 9.57 V/m; Power Drift = -0.132 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.733 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.444 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.257 mW/g

[Info: Interpolated medium parameters used for SAR evaluation!](#)

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.488 mW/g



Date/Time: 16.09.2005 13:29:14

Test Laboratory: TCC Nokia, Oulu Laboratory

Type: RM-70; Serial: 004400561708403; headset HS-6; BT active

Communication System: GPRS 1900; Frequency: 1909.8 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:4.2

Medium: BSL1900 Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 1909.8 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 1.61 \text{ mho/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 53.1$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1765; ConvF(4.67, 4.67, 4.67); Calibrated: 24.02.2005
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection) Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn555; Calibrated: 21.02.2005
- Phantom: SAM 1; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: 1275
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 146

Body worn - High, $t=22.9 \text{ C}$ /Area Scan (51x91x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15\text{mm}$, $dy=15\text{mm}$

[Info: Interpolated medium parameters used for SAR evaluation!](#)

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.707 mW/g

Body worn - High, $t=22.9 \text{ C}$ /Zoom Scan (5x5x7) (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=7.5\text{mm}$, $dy=7.5\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$


Reference Value = 8.18 V/m ; Power Drift = -0.244 dB


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.935 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.568 mW/g ; SAR(10 g) = 0.325 mW/g

[Info: Interpolated medium parameters used for SAR evaluation!](#)

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.627 mW/g





APPENDIX C: RELEVANT PAGES FROM PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT(S)



Accredited by the Swiss Federal Office of Metrology and Accreditation
The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: SCS 108

Client **Nokia Oulu**

Certificate No: ET3-1765_Feb05

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object **ET3DV6 - SN:1765**

Calibration procedure(s) **QA CAL-01.v5**
Calibration procedure for dosimetric E-field probes

Calibration date: **February 24, 2005**

Condition of the calibrated item **In Tolerance**

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI).
 The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature (22 ± 3)°C and humidity < 70%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID #	Cal Date (Calibrated by, Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter E4419B	GB41293874	5-May-04 (METAS, No. 251-00388)	May-05
Power sensor E4412A	MY41495277	5-May-04 (METAS, No. 251-00388)	May-05
Reference 3 dB Attenuator	SN: S5054 (3c)	10-Aug-04 (METAS, No. 251-00403)	Aug-05
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: S5086 (20b)	3-May-04 (METAS, No. 251-00389)	May-05
Reference 30 dB Attenuator	SN: S5129 (30b)	10-Aug-04 (METAS, No. 251-00404)	Aug-05
Reference Probe ES3DV2	SN: 3013	7-Jan-05 (SPEAG, No. ES3-3013_Jan05)	Jan-06
DAE4	SN: 617	19-Jan-05 (SPEAG, No. DAE4-617_Jan05)	Jan-06


Secondary Standards	ID #	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
Power sensor HP 8481A	MY41092180	18-Sep-02 (SPEAG, in house check Oct-03)	In house check: Oct 05
RF generator HP 8648C	US3642U01700	4-Aug-99 (SPEAG, in house check Dec-03)	In house check: Dec-05
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585	18-Oct-01 (SPEAG, in house check Nov-04)	In house check: Nov 05

Calibrated by:

Name	Function	Signature
Nico Vetterli	Laboratory Technician	

Approved by:

Katja Pokovic	Technical Manager
---------------	-------------------



Issued: February 25, 2005

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.

DASY - Parameters of Probe: ET3DV6 SN:1765

Sensitivity in Free Space ^A			Diode Compression ^B	
NormX	1.61 ± 10.1%	μV/(V/m) ²	DCP X	93 mV
NormY	1.86 ± 10.1%	μV/(V/m) ²	DCP Y	93 mV
NormZ	1.94 ± 10.1%	μV/(V/m) ²	DCP Z	93 mV

Sensitivity in Tissue Simulating Liquid (Conversion Factors)

Please see Page 8.

Boundary Effect

TSL	900 MHz	Typical SAR gradient: 5 % per mm	
Sensor Center to Phantom Surface Distance		3.7 mm	4.7 mm
SAR _{be} [%]	Without Correction Algorithm	8.7	4.4
SAR _{be} [%]	With Correction Algorithm	0.6	0.1

TSL	1750 MHz	Typical SAR gradient: 10 % per mm	
Sensor Center to Phantom Surface Distance		3.7 mm	4.7 mm
SAR _{be} [%]	Without Correction Algorithm	13.1	8.4
SAR _{be} [%]	With Correction Algorithm	0.6	0.1



Sensor Offset

Probe Tip to Sensor Center	2.7 mm
----------------------------	--------

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor k=2, which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

^A The uncertainties of NormX,Y,Z do not affect the E²-field uncertainty inside TSL (see Page 8).
^B Numerical linearization parameter: uncertainty not required.

Conversion Factor Assessment



f [MHz]	Validity [MHz] ^c	TSL	Permittivity	Conductivity	Alpha	Depth	ConvF	Uncertainty
835	± 50 / ± 100	Head	41.5 ± 5%	0.90 ± 5%	1.22	1.42	6.72	± 11.0% (k=2)
900	± 50 / ± 100	Head	41.5 ± 5%	0.97 ± 5%	1.28	1.37	6.43	± 11.0% (k=2)
1750	± 50 / ± 100	Head	40.1 ± 5%	1.37 ± 5%	0.68	2.14	5.21	± 11.0% (k=2)
1900	± 50 / ± 100	Head	40.0 ± 5%	1.40 ± 5%	0.65	2.30	5.13	± 11.0% (k=2)
1950	± 50 / ± 100	Head	40.0 ± 5%	1.40 ± 5%	0.60	2.48	4.89	± 11.0% (k=2)
2450	± 50 / ± 100	Head	39.2 ± 5%	1.80 ± 5%	0.72	2.21	4.55	± 11.8% (k=2)
835	± 50 / ± 100	Body	55.2 ± 5%	0.97 ± 5%	1.24	1.45	6.32	± 11.0% (k=2)
900	± 50 / ± 100	Body	55.0 ± 5%	1.05 ± 5%	1.17	1.50	6.00	± 11.0% (k=2)
1750	± 50 / ± 100	Body	53.4 ± 5%	1.49 ± 5%	0.60	2.64	4.75	± 11.0% (k=2)
1900	± 50 / ± 100	Body	53.3 ± 5%	1.52 ± 5%	0.60	2.71	4.67	± 11.0% (k=2)
1950	± 50 / ± 100	Body	53.3 ± 5%	1.52 ± 5%	0.66	2.42	4.50	± 11.0% (k=2)
2450	± 50 / ± 100	Body	52.7 ± 5%	1.95 ± 5%	0.78	1.95	4.35	± 11.8% (k=2)

^c The validity of ± 100 MHz only applies for DASY v4.4 and higher (see Page 2). The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band.

APPENDIX D: RELEVANT PAGES FROM DIPOLE VALIDATION KIT REPORT(S)



Accredited by the Swiss Federal Office of Metrology and Accreditation
The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: **SCS 108**

Client **Nokia Oulu 2**

Certificate No: **D1900V2-5d030_Feb05**

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object **D1900V2 - SN: 5d030**

Calibration procedure(s) **QA CAL-05.v6**
Calibration procedure for dipole validation kits

Calibration date: **February 18, 2005**

Condition of the calibrated item **In Tolerance**

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI).
The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature (22 ± 3)°C and humidity < 70%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID #	Cal Date (Calibrated by, Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter EPM E442	GB37480704	12-Oct-04 (METAS, No. 251-00412)	Oct-05
Power sensor HP 8481A	US37292783	12-Oct-04 (METAS, No. 251-00412)	Oct-05
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: 5086 (20g)	10-Aug-04 (METAS, No 251-00402)	Aug-05
Reference 10 dB Attenuator	SN: 5047.2 (10r)	10-Aug-04 (METAS, No 251-00402)	Aug-05
Reference Probe ET3DV6	SN 1507	26-Oct-04 (SPEAG, No. ET3-1507_Oct04)	Oct-05
DAE4	SN 601	07-Jan-05 (SPEAG, No. DAE4-601_Jan05)	Jan-06

Secondary Standards	ID #	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
Power sensor HP 8481A	MY41092317	18-Oct-02 (SPEAG, in house check Oct-03)	In house check: Oct-05
RF generator R&S SML-03	100698	27-Mar-02 (SPEAG, in house check Dec-03)	In house check: Dec-05
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585 S4206	18-Oct-01 (SPEAG, in house check Nov-04)	In house check: Nov 05

Calibrated by:

Name	Function	Signature
Mike Meili	Laboratory Technician	

Approved by:

Katja Pokovic	Technical Manager	
---------------	-------------------	--

Issued: February 25, 2005

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

DUT: Dipole 1900 MHz; Type: D1900V2; Serial: D1900V2 - SN:5d030

Communication System: CW-1900; Frequency: 1900 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: HSL 1800 MHz;

Medium parameters used: $f = 1900$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.46$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 39.5$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY4 (High Precision Assessment)

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1507; ConvF(4.96, 4.96, 4.96); Calibrated: 26.10.2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn601; Calibrated: 07.01.2005
- Phantom: Flat Phantom 5.0; Type: QD000P50AA; Serial: 1001;
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 11; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 144

Pin = 250 mW; d = 10 mm/Area Scan (81x81x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 11.2 mW/g


Pin = 250 mW; d = 10 mm/Zoom Scan 2 (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 88.4 V/m; Power Drift = 0.020 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 17.1 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 9.76 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 5.09 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 11.1 mW/g



0 dB = 11.1 mW/g

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

DUT: Dipole 1900 MHz; Type: D1900V2; Serial: D1900V2 - SN:5d030

Communication System: CW-1900; Frequency: 1900 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: Muscle 1800 MHz;

Medium parameters used: $f = 1900$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.57$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 52.2$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY4 (High Precision Assessment)

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1507; ConvF(4.43, 4.43, 4.43); Calibrated: 26.10.2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn601; Calibrated: 07.01.2005
- Phantom: Flat Phantom 5.0; Type: QD000P50AA; Serial: 1001;
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 13; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 142

Pin = 250 mW; d = 10 mm/Area Scan (81x81x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 11.5 mW/g



Pin = 250 mW; d = 10 mm/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 89.4 V/m; Power Drift = 0.0 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 16.7 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 9.94 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 5.3 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 11.2 mW/g



0 dB = 11.2 mW/g