



# Report Tracker

For MFA internal use only

Please keep this page with the report in out files.

Applicant: E. F. Johnson Company  
Model: 242-5377-401-GD2  
FCC ID: ATH2425371

Formulaire: L:\\Project\\Formulaire\\FCC.MPE.rtf  
Last Modified: 2000-Oct-17  
Purpose: Environmental Assessment (MPE)

MFA Project ID: p0490012  
Client ID: JOHNSON

MFA Document ID: d0520034  
Date: February 16, 2005  
This Printing: 2005-Apr-8 Fri  
Writer: DEL/del

☐ < Check here if this report has been manually modified.  
☐ < Check here if this report was made from another report.  
Original document:  
☐ < Check here if this report varies significantly from the formulaire




# M. Flom Associates, Inc.

## International Compliance Testing Laboratory

3356 N. San Marcos Place, Suite 107  
Chandler, AZ 85225

toll-free: (866) 311-3268  
fax: (480) 926-3598

<http://www.mflom.com>  
[info@mflom.com](mailto:info@mflom.com)

Date: February 16, 2005

Applicant: E. F. Johnson Company  
299 Johnson Ave.  
Waseca, MN 56093-0514

Attention of: (507) 835-6579; FAX: -6666  
John Oblak, Director, Radio Products Development  
E-mail: [joblak@efjohnson.com](mailto:joblak@efjohnson.com)  
Ann Chester-Jones, Administrator, Engineering Dept  
E-mail: [ajones@efjohnson.com](mailto:ajones@efjohnson.com)

Equipment: 242-5377-401-GD2  
FCC ID: ATH2425371  
P.O. Number: 169361  
FCC Rules: Radiofrequency Radiation Exposure Limits  
47 CFR 1.1310  
MPE - Mobiles     X     Fixed Based Station           

Gentlemen:

Enclosed please find your copy of the Supplemental Test Data Report, the whole for Environmental Assessment (MPE) of the referenced equipment as shown.

Should you need any clarification, just fax or phone. Thank you again for this order - it has been a pleasure to be of service.

Sincerely yours,

David E. Lee, Compliance Test Manager

enclosure(s)  
DEL/del



# M. Flom Associates, Inc.

## International Compliance Testing Laboratory

3356 N. San Marcos Place, Suite 107  
Chandler, AZ 85225

toll-free: (866) 311-3268  
fax: (480) 926-3598

<http://www.mflom.com>  
[info@mflom.com](mailto:info@mflom.com)

Date: February 16, 2005

Federal Communications Commission  
Via: Electronic Filing

Attention: Authorization & Evaluation Division

Applicant: E. F. Johnson Company  
Equipment: 242-5377-401-GD2  
FCC ID: ATH2425371  
FCC Rules: Radiofrequency Radiation Exposure Limits  
47 CFR 1.1310  
MPE - Mobiles   X   Fixed Based Station           

Gentlemen:

On behalf of the Applicant, enclosed please find the Supplemental Test Data Report, the whole for Environmental Assessment (MPE) of the referenced equipment as shown.

We trust the same is in order. Should you need any further information, kindly contact the writer who is authorized to act as agent.

Sincerely yours,

David E. Lee, Compliance Test Manager

enclosure(s)  
cc: Applicant  
DEL/del

M. Flom Associates, Inc.  
3356 North San Marcos Place, Suite 107  
Chandler, Arizona 85225-7176  
(480) 926-3100 phone, (480) 926-3598 fax

FCC ID: ATH2425371  
MFA p0490012, d052003434



# M. Flom Associates, Inc.

## International Compliance Testing Laboratory

3356 N. San Marcos Place, Suite 107  
Chandler, AZ 85225

toll-free: (866) 311-3268  
fax: (480) 926-3598

<http://www.mflom.com>  
[info@mflom.com](mailto:info@mflom.com)

### Environmental Assessment

#### Mobiles/Fixed Base Station

for

FCC ID: ATH2425371  
Model: 242-5377-401-GD2

to

#### Federal Communications Commission

47 CFR 1.1310 (MPE)  
Radiofrequency Radiation Exposure Limits

Date Of Report: February 16, 2005

#### On the Behalf of the Applicant:

E. F. Johnson Company

#### At the Request of:

P.O. 169361

E. F. Johnson Company,  
299 Johnson Ave.  
Waseca, MN 56093-0514

#### Attention of:

(507) 835-6579; FAX: -6666  
John Oblak, Director, Radio Products Development  
E-mail: [joblak@efjohnson.com](mailto:joblak@efjohnson.com)  
Ann Chester-Jones, Administrator, Engineering Dept  
E-mail: [ajones@efjohnson.com](mailto:ajones@efjohnson.com)

#### Supervised By:

David E. Lee, Compliance Test Manager

## Table of Contents

Rule	Description	Page
	Test Report	2
	Identification of the Equipment Under Test	3
	Standard Test Conditions and Engineering Practices	5
1.1310	Environmental Assessment	6

*Required information per ISO/IEC Guide 25-1990, paragraph 13.2:*

a) **Test Report (Supplemental)**

b) Laboratory: M. Flom Associates, Inc.  
(FCC: 31040/SIT) 3356 N. San Marcos Place, Suite 107  
(Canada: IC 2044) Chandler, AZ 85225

c) Report Number: d0520034

d) Client: E. F. Johnson Company,  
299 Johnson Ave.  
Waseca, MN 56093-0514

e) Identification: 242-5377-401-GD2  
FCC ID: ATH2425371  
Description: Mobile Transceiver

f) EUT Condition: Not required unless specified in individual tests.

g) Report Date: February 16, 2005  
EUT Received: September 22, 2005

h, j, k): As indicated in individual tests.

i) Sampling method: No sampling procedure used.

l) Uncertainty: In accordance with MFA internal quality manual.

m) Supervised by:



David E. Lee, Compliance Test Manager

n) Results: The results presented in this report relate only to the item tested.

o) Reproduction: This report must not be reproduced, except in full, without written permission from this laboratory.

### Identification of the Equipment Under Test (EUT)

**Name and Address of Applicant:**

E. F. Johnson Company  
299 Johnson Ave.  
Waseca, MN 56093-0514

**Manufacturer:**

Applicant

**FCC ID:**

ATH2425371

**Model Number:**

242-5377-401-GD2

**Description:**

Mobile Transceiver

**Type of Emission:**

8K10F1D, 8K10F1E, 16K0F3E, 11K0F3E,  
14K00F3E

**Frequency Range, MHz:**

762 to 806  
806 to 869

**Power Rating, Watts:**

☐ Switchable

☒ Variable

30 and 35

☐ N/A

**Modulation:**

☐ AMPS  
☐ TDMA  
☐ CDMA  
☒ OTHER

**Antenna:**

☐ Helical  
☐ Monopole  
☒ Whip  
☐ Other

**Note:** For RF Safety test antenna gain taken at the upper range of expected gain (i.e. 0 dBd) and RF Power set to highest nominal power across all channels.



THE AMERICAN  
ASSOCIATION  
FOR LABORATORY  
ACCREDITATION

#### ACCREDITED LABORATORY

A2LA has accredited

**M. FLOM ASSOCIATES, INC.**  
Chandler, AZ

for technical competence in the field of

#### Electrical Testing

The accreditation covers the specific tests and types of tests listed on the agreed scope of accreditation. This laboratory meets the requirements of ISO/IEC 17025 - 1999 'General Requirements for the Competence of Testing and Calibration Laboratories' and any additional program requirements in the identified field of testing.

Presented this 14<sup>th</sup> day of June 2004.



*Peter N. Noy*  
President  
For the Accreditation Council  
Certificate Number 2152-01  
Valid to August 31, 2006

For tests or types of tests to which this accreditation applies, please refer to the laboratory's Electrical Scope of Accreditation.

## A2LA

"A2LA has accredited M. Flom Associates, Inc. Chandler, AZ for technical competence in the field of Electrical Testing. The accreditation covers the specific tests and types of tests listed on the agreed scope of accreditation. This laboratory meets the requirements of ISO/IEC 17025 - 1999 'General Requirements for the Competence of Testing and Calibration Laboratories' and any additional program requirements in the identified field of testing."

Certificate Number: 2152-01



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
National Institute of Standards and Technology  
Gaithersburg, Maryland 20899

September 15, 1999

Mr. Morton Flom  
M. Flom Associates Inc.  
3356 N. San Marcos Place, Suite 107  
Chandler, AZ 85224

Dear Mr. Flom:

I am pleased to inform you that your laboratory has been validated by the Chinese Taipei Bureau of Standards, Metrology, and Inspection (BSMI) under the Asia Pacific Economic Cooperation Mutual Recognition Arrangement (APEC MRA). Your laboratory is now formally designated to act as a Conformity Assessment Body (CAB) under Appendix B, Phase I Procedures, of the APEC MRA between the American Institute in Taiwan (AIT) and the Taipei Economic and Cultural Representative Office (TECRO) in the United States, covering equipment subject to Electro-Magnetic Compatibility (EMC) requirements. The names of all validated and nominated laboratories will be posted on the NIST website at <http://ts.nist.gov/mra> under the "Asia" category.

As of August 1, 1999, you may submit test data to BSMI to verify that the equipment to be imported into Chinese Taipei satisfies the applicable EMC requirements. **Your assigned BSMI number is SL2-IN-E-041R; you must use this number when sending test reports to BSMI.** Your designation will remain in force as long as your NVLAP and/or A2LA and/or BSMI accreditation remains valid for the CNS 13438.

Please note that BSMI requires that the entity making application for the approval of regulated equipment must make such application in person at their Taipei office. **BSMI also requests the names of the authorized signatories who are authorized to sign the test reports.** You can send this information via fax to C-Taipei CAB Response Manager at 301-975-5414. I am also enclosing a copy of the cover sheet that, according to BSMI requirements, must accompany every test report.

**NIST**

If you have any questions, please contact Robert Gladhill at 301-975-4273 or Joe Dhillon at 301-975-5521. We appreciate your continued interest in our international conformity assessment activities.

Sincerely,

*Belinda L. Collins*

Belinda L. Collins, Ph.D.  
Director, Office of Standards Services

Enclosure

## NIST

I am pleased to inform you that your laboratory has been validated by the Chinese Taipei Bureau of Standards, Metrology and Inspection (BSMI) under the Asia Pacific Economic Cooperation Mutual Recognition Agreement (APEC MRA). Your laboratory is now formally designated to act as a Conformity Assessment Body (CAB) under Appendix B, Phase I Procedures, of the APEC MRA between the American Institute in Taiwan (AIT) and the Taipei Economic and Cultural Representative Office (TECRO) in the United States, covering equipment subject to Electro-Magnetic Compatibility (EMC) requirements. The names of all validated and nominated laboratories will be posted on the NIST website at <http://ts.nist.gov/mra> under the 'Asia' category."

BSMI Number: SL2-IN-E-041R



## **Standard Test Conditions and Engineering Practices**

Except as noted herein, the following conditions and procedures were observed during the testing:

In accordance with ANSI C63.4-1992/2001, section 6.1.9, and unless otherwise indicated in the specific measurement results, the ambient temperature of the actual EUT was maintained within the range of 10° to 40°C (50° to 104 °F) unless the particular equipment requirements specify testing over a different temperature range. Also, unless otherwise indicated, the humidity levels were in the range of 10% to 90% relative humidity.

Prior to testing, the EUT was tuned up in accordance with the manufacturer's alignment procedures. All external gain controls were maintained at the position of maximum and/or optimum gain throughout the testing.

Measurement results, unless otherwise noted, are worst-case measurements.

**Name of Test:** Environmental Assessment

**Specification:** FCC: 47 CFR 1.1310

**Measurement Guide:** ANSI/IEEE C95.1 1992

**Test Equipment:** Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE) measurement system, consisting of:  
Amplifier Research FP6001 Field Test Kit (Cal June, 04)

**Measurement Procedure:**

1. The following measurements were performed with a field probe using ANSI/IEEE C95.1 as a guide.
2. Prior to making any measurements, the measurements system was calibrated in accordance with the manufacturer's procedures.
3. The EUT's radiating element (antenna) was placed on a 1 m tall table for ease of testing. For equipment normally operated on a metal surface, a ground plane was used.
4. The remaining equipment necessary to operate the EUT was maintained at a distance from the measurement arrangement suitable to minimize interference with the measurements.
5. The minimum safe distance was calculated from the formula  $\text{Power Density} = \text{EIRP} / 4\pi R^2$  (Peak Watts/m<sup>2</sup>). The calculation is shown with the measurement data.
6. With the EUT operating at maximum power, a search was initiated for worst case emissions with the probe raised and lowered over a range of 0.2 to 2 meters in height and over a horizontal plane of 0° to 360°.
7. Average values were calculated for the whole body (0.2-2.0m), lower body (0.2-0.8m) and upper body (1.0-2.0m).

**Results:** Attached.

**Test Setup:** Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE)



**Name of Test:** R.F. Radiation Exposure

**FCC Rules:** 1.1307, 1.1310, 1.1311, 2.1091

**Description, EUT:** See page 2 of Test Report

Limits: Uncontrolled Exposure	0.3-1.234 MHz:	Limit [mW/cm <sup>2</sup> ] = 100
47 CFR 1.1310	1.34-30 MHz:	Limit [mW/cm <sup>2</sup> ] = (180/f <sup>2</sup> )
Table 1, (B)	30-300 MHz:	Limit [mW/cm <sup>2</sup> ] = 0.2
	300-1500 MHz	Limit [mW/cm <sup>2</sup> ] = f/1500
	1500-100,000 MHz:	Limit [mW/cm <sup>2</sup> ] = 1.0

Test Frequencies, MHz	762.0125	792.0125	805.9875
Power, Conducted, W	= 30 (PTT = 50%)		
Antenna Gain	= 3 dBi (Gain = 2)		
Antenna Model	¼ Wave Whip		

Pre-test Calculations	Power <sub>[W EIRP]</sub> = P <sub>[conducted]</sub> x G <sub>[antenna]</sub> x PTT =	30 X 2 X 50%		
	Limit <sub>[mW/cm<sup>2</sup>]</sub>	=	0.51	0.53
	Limit <sub>[W/m<sup>2</sup>]</sub> = 10 x Limit <sub>[mW/cm<sup>2</sup>]</sub>	=	5.1	5.3
	R <sub>[m]</sub> = [P <sub>[W EIRP]</sub> / (4π x Limit <sub>[W/m<sup>2</sup>]</sub> )] <sup>1/2</sup> =		0.69	0.67
				0.54
				5.4
				0.66

Results at tested distances	Probe Height, m	Power Density, mW/cm <sup>2</sup>		
		Freq. 762.0125 MHz Distance 65 cm	Freq. 792.0125 MHz Distance 65 cm	Freq. 805.9875 MHz Distance 65 cm
	2.0	0.24	0.21	0.19
	1.8	0.31	0.29	0.27
	1.6	0.38	0.35	0.34
	1.4	0.43	0.41	0.39
	1.2	0.46	0.45	0.43
	1.0	0.49	0.47	0.46
	0.8	0.45	0.44	0.42
	0.6	0.32	0.30	0.31
	0.4	0.21	0.21	0.20
	0.2	0.16	0.15	0.11

**Power Density Calculations:** The measured power density readings were summed and the results divided by the number of readings to calculate the average.

	762.0125 MHz	792.0125 MHz	805.9875 MHz
Whole body average (0.2 - 0.8 m, mW/cm <sup>2</sup> ) =	0.345	0.328	0.312
Lower body average (0.2 - 0.8 m, mW/cm <sup>2</sup> ) =	0.285	0.275	0.260
Upper body average (1.0 - 2.0 m, mW/cm <sup>2</sup> ) =	0.385	0.363	0.347

**Name of Test:** R.F. Radiation Exposure

**FCC Rules:** 1.1307, 1.1310, 1.1311, 2.1091

**Description, EUT:** See page 2 of Test Report

Limits: Uncontrolled Exposure	0.3-1.234 MHz:	Limit [mW/cm <sup>2</sup> ] = 100
47 CFR 1.1310	1.34-30 MHz:	Limit [mW/cm <sup>2</sup> ] = (180/f <sup>2</sup> )
Table 1, (B)	30-300 MHz:	Limit [mW/cm <sup>2</sup> ] = 0.2
	300-1500 MHz	Limit [mW/cm <sup>2</sup> ] = f/1500
	1500-100,000 MHz:	Limit [mW/cm <sup>2</sup> ] = 1.0

Test Frequencies, MHz	806.0125	823.9875	868.9875
Power, Conducted, W	= 35 (PTT = 50%)		
Antenna Gain	= 3 dBi (Gain = 2)		
Antenna Model	¼ Wave Whip		

Pre-test Calculations	Power <sub>[W EIRP]</sub> = P <sub>[conducted]</sub> × G <sub>[antenna]</sub> × PTT =	35 × 2 × 50%		
	Limit <sub>[mW/cm<sup>2</sup>]</sub> =	0.54	0.55	0.58
	Limit <sub>[W/m<sup>2</sup>]</sub> = 10 × Limit <sub>[mW/cm<sup>2</sup>]</sub> =	5.4	5.5	5.8
	R <sub>[m]</sub> = [P <sub>[W EIRP]</sub> / (4π × Limit <sub>[W/m<sup>2</sup>]</sub> )] <sup>1/2</sup> =	0.72	0.72	0.70

Results at tested distances	Probe Height, m	Power Density, mW/cm <sup>2</sup>		
		Freq. 806.0125 MHz Distance 70 cm	Freq. 823.9875 MHz Distance 70 cm	Freq. 868.9875 MHz Distance 70 cm
	2.0	0.18	0.16	0.26
	1.8	0.30	0.28	0.33
	1.6	0.39	0.38	0.41
	1.4	0.49	0.46	0.47
	1.2	0.53	0.52	0.52
	1.0	0.52	0.52	0.55
	0.8	0.45	0.43	0.46
	0.6	0.32	0.30	0.34
	0.4	0.21	0.20	0.23
	0.2	0.15	0.18	0.16

**Power Density Calculations:** The measured power density readings were summed and the results divided by the number of readings to calculate the average.

	806.0125 MHz	823.9875 MHz	868.9875 MHz
Whole body average (0.2 - 0.8 m, mW/cm <sup>2</sup> ) =	0.354	0.343	0.373
Lower body average (0.2 - 0.8 m, mW/cm <sup>2</sup> ) =	0.283	0.278	0.298
Upper body average (1.0 - 2.0 m, mW/cm <sup>2</sup> ) =	0.402	0.387	0.423



Performed by:  
**END OF TEST REPORT**

David E. Lee, Compliance Test Manager

(The following will be placed in the Instruction Manual)

**Mandatory Safety Instructions to Installers & Users**

Use only manufacturer or dealer supplied antenna.

**Antenna Minimum Safe Distance:** 70cm.

Antenna Gain: zero dBd referenced to a dipole.

The Federal Communications Commission has adopted a safety standard for human exposure to RF (Radio Frequency) energy which is below the OSHA (Occupational Safety and Health Act) limits.

**Antenna Mounting:** The antenna supplied by the manufacturer or radio dealer must not be mounted at a location such that during radio transmission, any person or persons can come closer than the above indicated minimum safe distance to the antenna i.e. **70cm**.

To comply with current FCC RF Exposure limits, the antenna must be installed at or exceeding the minimum safe distance shown above, and in accordance with the requirements of the antenna manufacturer or supplier.

**Antenna Substitution:** Do not substitute any antenna for the one supplied or recommended by the manufacturer or radio dealer. You may be exposing person or persons to excess radio frequency radiation. You may contact your radio dealer or the manufacturer for further instructions.

**Warning:** Maintain a separation distance from the antenna to a person(s) of at least **70cm**.

You, as the qualified end-user of this radio device must control the exposure conditions of bystanders to ensure the minimum separation distance (above) is maintained between the antenna and nearby persons for satisfying RF Exposure compliance. The operation of this transmitter must satisfy the requirements of Occupational/Controlled Exposure Environment, for work-related use. Transmit only when person(s) are at least the minimum distance from the properly installed, externally mounted antenna.

**Testimonial  
and  
Statement of Certification**

**This is to certify that:**

1. **That** the application was prepared either by, or under the direct supervision of, the undersigned.
2. **That** the technical data supplied with the application was taken under my direction and supervision.
3. **That** the data was obtained on representative units, randomly selected.
4. **That**, to the best of my knowledge and belief, the facts set forth in the application and accompanying technical data are true and correct.

**Certifying Engineer:**



**David E. Lee, Compliance Test Manager**