Sorry Daphne I should have been more specific
Here are my concerns about your answers
1   Your answer to item one is incomplete because it
does not provide a photo of the circuitry under the metal shielding.
This is required by the FCC
Your answer to item 2 says indicates this to be a limited modular approval, this
is fine, but as a Limted Modular Approval you must provide specific installation
instructions to the OEM on how to install this device into the laptop with
specific antenna connecting instructions.
This is especially important with the use of the diversity antenna setup
as mentioned. These instructions must also intentionally NOT be provided
to the end user. The WLAN information provided is OK for the user,
but you have not provided the installation instructions to the OEM.
3 OK
4 The photos of the circuitry under the shielding must be provided as a separate
photo, not as part of the response document.
The photos in the response document are blurred and are not adequate. Please
provide separate photos that are clear.
5 OK
6 Your labels still do not agree. Note that in the manufacturers
attestation (FCC Label info.pdf) he specifically states that the label contains
the 2 condition statement is to be on the label on the device, then the photos
of the label to be used (FCC Label and Label Location.doc and the new FCC
label.JPG) do not contain this statement as attested to by the manufafcturer.
Please provide a sample labels that agrees with the manufacturers has attested
will be on the product (which includes the 2 condition statement on the label.)
7 OK
8 OK
9 As you are aware, the PPSD is over a one MHz range.
With the span being only 300kHz, what steps did you take to investigate
the frequency range above and below the 300kHz being shown on the analyzer
screen? It is typically better to simply put the span to 1.5MHz or
a span greater than 1MHz. Otherwise you must make additional measurements
above and below the 300kHz being measured. Remember, PPSD is a per
Mhz reading. Again, what steps were taken to insure that the peak reading
was measured and that a higher level did not exist above or below the 300kHz
on the screen?
10 & 11 Please review your correction. It is still not clear. Did you use an
analyzer or receiver for radiated?
It is not clear. While I can guess at what was done, the report must
be unambiguous. Your response states above 1GHz you use 1MHz bandwidth
for peak and average. Again, was the equipment an analyzer or receiver?
It would appear that you are only stating the Res BW and not the VBW.
If you do average above 1GHZ, what is the Video BW you used?
Thanks
Dennis