

# SAR EVALUATION REPORT

For

# **Sky Phone LLC**

1348 Washington Av., Suite 350, Miami Beach, Florida, United States

FCC ID: 2ABOSGCFUEGO40D

Report Type: Product Type: Original Report Mobile Phone Terry Kiathou **Test Engineer:** Terry XiaHou **Report Number:** RSZ160120018-20 **Report Date:** 2016-01-31 Bell Hu BeilHu **Reviewed By:** SAR Engineer Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp. (Shenzhen) Prepared By: 6/F, the 3rd Phase of WanLi Industrial Building, ShiHua Road, FuTian Free Trade Zone Shenzhen, Guangdong, China Tel: +86-755-33320018 Fax: +86-755-33320008 www.baclcorp.com.cn

	At	testation of Test Results		
	Company Name	Sky Phone LLC		
	EUT Description	Mobile Phone		
EUT	FCC ID	2ABOSGCFUEGO40D		
Information	Model Number	FUEGO 4.0D		
	Test Date	te 2016-01-13		
Frequency	ı	Max. SAR Level(s) Reported	Limit(W/Kg)	
GSM 850		0.960 W/kg 1g Head SAR 1.168 W/kg 1g Body SAR		
PCS 1900		0.880 W/kg 1g Head SAR 0.787 W/kg 1g Body SAR	1. 6	
Simultaneous		1.342 W/kg 1g Head SAR 1.359 W/kg 1g Body SAR	1.0	
Hotspot		1.359 W/kg 1g Body SAR		
	Electromagnetic Field GHz.  FCC 47 CFR part 2. Radiofrequency radia  IEEE1528:2013 IEEE Recommended Absorption Rate (SAI Measurement Technic	: 2002 Practice for Measurements and Computations of Rads With Respect to Human Exposure to SuchFields,  .1093 Ition exposure evaluation: portable devices  Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average R) in the Human Head from Wireless Communication	100 kHz—300  Specific	
Applicable Standards	communication device Part1:Procedure to de used in close proximi IEC 62209-2:2010 Human exposure to recommunication device Procedure to determine devices used in close KDB procedures KDB 447498 D01 G6 KDB 648474 D04 Ha 941225 D01 3G SAR KDB 865664 D01 SAR		es – 1-held devices ) Inted wireless 1-Part 2: Dommunication	

Report No: RSZ160120018-20

**Note:** This wireless device has been shown to be capable of compliance for localized specific absorption rate (SAR) for General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure limits specified in ANSI/IEEE Standards and has been tested in accordance with the measurement procedures specified in IEEE 1528-2013 and RF exposure KDB procedures.

The results and statements contained in this report pertain only to the device(s) evaluated.

SAR Evaluation Report 2 of 89

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

DOCUMENT REVISION HISTORY	5
EUT DESCRIPTION	6
TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION	6
REFERENCE, STANDARDS, AND GUILDELINES	7
SAR LIMITS	8
FACILITIES	9
DASY4 SAR EVALUATION PROCEDURE	10
Power Reference Measurement	10
AREA SCAN	
ZOOM SCAN	
Z-SCAN	
DESCRIPTION OF TEST SYSTEM	12
EQUIPMENT LIST AND CALIBRATION	22
EQUIPMENTS LIST & CALIBRATION INFORMATION	22
SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM VERIFICATION	23
Liquid Verification	
SYSTEM ACCURACY VERIFICATIONSAR SYSTEM VALIDATION DATA	
EUT TEST STRATEGY AND METHODOLOGY	
TEST POSITIONS FOR DEVICE OPERATING NEXT TO A PERSON'S EAR	
CHEEK/TOUCH POSITION	
EAR/TILT POSITION	
TEST POSITIONS FOR BODY-WORN AND OTHER CONFIGURATIONS	
TEST METHODOLOGY	
CONDUCTED OUTPUT POWER MEASUREMENT	33
PROVISION APPLICABLE	33
TEST PROCEDURE	
MAXIMUM OUTPUT POWER AMONG PRODUCTION UNITSTEST RESULTS:	
SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS	
SAR TEST DATA	
SAR SIMULTANEOUS TRANSMISSION DESCRIPTION	
APPENDIX A MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY	49
APPENDIX B PROBE CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES	51
APPENDIX C DIPOLE CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES	62
APPENDIX D EUT TEST POSITION PHOTOS	80
LIQUID DEPTH ≥ 15CM	80
LEFT HEAD TOUCH SETUP PHOTO	
LEFT HEAD TILT SETUP PHOTO	
RIGHT HEAD TILT SETUP PHOTO	82
BODY-WORN BACK SETUP PHOTO	82

APPENDIX E EUT PHOTOS	85
EUT – Front View	85
EUT – BACK VIEW	85
EUT – LEFT SIDE VIEW	86
EUT – RIGHT SIDE VIEW	86
EUT - TOP VIEW	
EUT – BOTTOM VIEW	
EUT – UNCOVERED VIEW	88
A PDENDLY E INFORMATIVE DEFEDENCES	90

# **DOCUMENT REVISION HISTORY**

Revision Number	Report Number	Description of Revision	Date of Revision	
0	RSZ160120018-20	Original Report	2016-01-31	

Report No: RSZ160120018-20

SAR Evaluation Report 5 of 89

# **EUT DESCRIPTION**

This report has been prepared on behalf of Sky Phone LLC and their product, FCC ID: 2ABOSGCFUEGO40D, Model: FUEGO 4.0D or the EUT (Equipment under Test) as referred to in the rest of this report.

Report No: RSZ160120018-20

## **Technical Specification**

Product Type	Portable	
Exposure Category:	Population / Uncontrolled	
Antenna Type(s):	Internal Antenna	
Body-Worn Accessories:	Headset	
Face-Head Accessories:	None	
Multi-slot Class:	Class12	
Operation Mode :	GSM Voice, GPRS Data and Bluetooth	
	GSM 850 : 824-849 MHz(TX) ; 869-894 MHz(RX)	
	PCS 1900: 1850-1910 MHz(TX) ; 1930-1990 MHz(RX)	
Frequency Band:	Wi-Fi(802.11b/g/n20): 2412 MHz-2462 MHz	
	Wi-Fi(802.11n40): 2422 MHz-2452 MHz	
	Bluetooth:2402-2480MHz	
	GSM 850 : 33.88 dBm	
	PCS 1900: 31.27 dBm	
Conducted RF Power:	Wi-Fi(802.11b/g/n20): 9.08 dBm	
Conducted RF Power:	Wi-Fi(802.11n40): 9.51 dBm	
	Bluetooth3.0: 5.09 dBm	
	BLE: -4.02 dBm	
Dimensions (L*W*H):	125 mm (L) $\times$ 62 mm (W) $\times$ 10 mm (H)	
Power Source:	ce: 3.7 V <sub>DC</sub> Rechargeable Battery	
Normal Operation:	Head and Body-worn	

SAR Evaluation Report 6 of 89

## REFERENCE, STANDARDS, AND GUILDELINES

### FCC:

The Report and Order requires routine SAR evaluation prior to equipment authorization of portable transmitter devices, including portable telephones. For consumer products, the applicable limit is 1.6 mW/g as recommended by the ANSI/IEEE standard C95.1-1992 [6] for an uncontrolled environment (Paragraph 65). According to the Supplement C of OET Bulletin 65 "Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guide-lines for Human Exposure to Radio frequency Electromagnetic Fields", released on Jun 29, 2001 by the FCC, the device should be evaluated at maximum output power (radiated from the antenna) under "worst-case" conditions for normal or intended use, incorporating normal antenna operating positions, device peak performance frequencies and positions for maximum RF energy coupling.

Report No: RSZ160120018-20

This report describes the methodology and results of experiments performed on wireless data terminal. The objective was to determine if there is RF radiation and if radiation is found, what is the extent of radiation with respect to safety limits. SAR (Specific Absorption Rate) is the measure of RF exposure determined by the amount of RF energy absorbed by human body (or its parts) – to determine how the RF energy couples to the body or head which is a primary health concern for body worn devices. The limit below which the exposure to RF is considered safe by regulatory bodies in North America is 1.6 mW/g average over 1 gram of tissue mass.

### CE:

The order requires routine SAR evaluation prior to equipment authorization of portable transmitter devices, including portable telephones. For consumer products, the applicable limit is 2 mW/g as recommended by EN62209-1 for an uncontrolled environment. According to the Standard, the device should be evaluated at maximum output power (radiated from the antenna) under "worst-case" conditions for normal or intended use, incorporating normal antenna operating positions, device peak performance frequencies and positions for maximum RF energy coupling.

This report describes the methodology and results of experiments performed on wireless data terminal. The objective was to determine if there is RF radiation and if radiation is found, what is the extent of radiation with respect to safety limits. SAR (Specific Absorption Rate) is the measure of RF exposure determined by the amount of RF energy absorbed by human body (or its parts) – to determine how the RF energy couples to the body or head which is a primary health concern for body worn devices. The limit below which the exposure to RF is considered safe by regulatory bodies in Europe is 2 mW/g average over 10 gram of tissue mass.

The test configurations were laid out on a specially designed test fixture to ensure the reproducibility of measurements. Each configuration was scanned for SAR. Analysis of each scan was carried out to characterize the above effects in the device.

SAR Evaluation Report 7 of 89

### **SAR Limits**

## FCC Limit (1g Tissue)

Report No: RSZ160120018-20

	SAR (W/kg)			
EXPOSURE LIMITS	(General Population / Uncontrolled Exposure Environment)	(Occupational / Controlled Exposure Environment)		
Spatial Average (averaged over the whole body)	0.08	0.4		
Spatial Peak (averaged over any 1 g of tissue)	1.60	8.0		
Spatial Peak (hands/wrists/feet/ankles averaged over 10 g)	4.0	20.0		

## CE Limit (10g Tissue)

	SAR (W/kg)				
EXPOSURE LIMITS	(General Population / Uncontrolled Exposure Environment)	(Occupational / Controlled Exposure Environment)			
Spatial Average (averaged over the whole body)	0.08	0.4			
Spatial Peak (averaged over any 10 g of tissue)	2.0	10			
Spatial Peak (hands/wrists/feet/ankles averaged over 10 g)	4.0	20.0			

Population/Uncontrolled Environments are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individual who have no knowledge or control of their exposure.

Occupational/Controlled Environments are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by people who are aware of the potential for exposure (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation).

General Population/Uncontrolled environments Spatial Peak limit 1.6W/kg (FCC) & 2 W/kg (CE) applied to the EUT.

SAR Evaluation Report 8 of 89

# Report No: RSZ160120018-20

# **FACILITIES**

The test site used by Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp. (Shenzhen) to collect data is located at 6/F, the 3rd Phase of WanLi Industrial Building, Shi Hua Road, Fu Tian Free Trade Zone, Shenzhen, Guangdong, P.R. of China

SAR Evaluation Report 9 of 89

### **DASY4 SAR Evaluation Procedure**

### **Power Reference Measurement**

The Power Reference Measurement and Power Drift Measurement jobs are useful jobs for monitoring the power drift of the device under test in the batch process. Both jobs measure the field at a specified reference position, at a selectable distance from the phantom surface. The reference position can be either the selected section's grid reference point or a user point in this section. The reference job projects the selected point onto the phantom surface, orients the probe perpendicularly to the surface, and approaches the surface using the selected detection method. The Minimum distance of probe sensors to surface determines the closest measurement point to phantom surface. By default, the Minimum distance of probe sensors to surface is 4mm. This distance can be modified by the user, but cannot be smaller than the Distance of sensor calibration points to probe tip as defined in the probe properties (for example, 2.7mm for an ES3DV3 probe type).


#### Area Scan


The Area Scan is used as a fast scan in two dimensions to find the area of high field values, before doing a finer measurement around the hot spot. The sophisticated interpolation routines implemented in DASY4 software can find the maximum locations even in relatively coarse grids.

The scanning area is defined by an editable grid. This grid is anchored at the grid reference point of the selected section in the phantom. When the Area Scan's property sheet is brought-up, grid settings can be edited by a user.

When an Area Scan has measured all reachable points, it computes the field maxima found in the scanned area, within a range of the global maximum. The range (in dB) is specified in the standards for compliance testing. For example, a 2 dB range is required in IEEE 1528-2003, EN 50361 and IEC 62209 standards, whereby 3 dB is a requirement when compliance is assessed in accordance with the ARIB standard (Japan). If only one Zoom Scan follows the Area Scan, then only the absolute maximum will be taken as reference. For cases where multiple maximums are detected, the number of Zoom Scans has to be increased accordingly.

After measurement is completed, all maxima and their coordinates are listed in the Results property page. The maximum selected in the list is highlighted in the 3-D view. For the secondary maxima returned from an Area Scan, the user can specify a lower limit (peak SAR value), in addition to the Find secondary maxima within x dB condition. Only the primary maximum and any secondary maxima within x dB from the primary maximum and above this limit will be measured.





Report No: RSZ160120018-20

SAR Evaluation Report 10 of 89

#### **Zoom Scan**

Zoom Scans are used to assess the peak spatial SAR values within a cubic averaging volume containing 1 g and 10 g of simulated tissue. The default Zoom Scan measures 5 x 5 x 7 points within a cube whose base faces are centered around the maxima found in a preceding area scan job within the same procedure. When the measurement is done, the Zoom Scan evaluates the averaged SAR for 1 g and 10 g and displays these values next to the job's label.


Report No: RSZ160120018-20

### Power drift measurement

The Power Drift Measurement job measures the field at the same location as the most recent power reference measurement job within the same procedure, and with the same settings. The Power Drift Measurement gives the field difference in dB from the reading conducted within the last Power Reference Measurement. Several drift measurements are possible for one reference measurement. This allows a user to monitor the power drift of the device under test within a batch process. The measurement procedure is the same as Step 1.

### **Z**-Scan

The Z Scan job measures points along a vertical straight line. The line runs along the Z axis of a one-dimensional grid. A user can anchor the grid to the section reference point, to any defined user point or to the current probe location. As with any other grids, the local Z axis of the anchor location establishes the Z axis of the grid.



SAR Evaluation Report 11 of 89

# **Description of Test System**

These measurements were performed with the automated near-field scanning system DASY4 from Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG) which is the fourth generation of the system shown in the figure hereinafter:

Report No: RSZ160120018-20



The system is based on a high precision robot (working range greater than 0.9m), which positions the probes with a positional repeatability of better than  $\pm 0.02mm$ . Special E- and H-field probes have been developed for measurements close to material discontinuity, the sensors of which are directly loaded with a Schottky diode and connected via highly resistive lines to the data acquisition unit.


The SAR measurements were conducted with the dosimetric probe ES3DV3 SN: 1604 (manufactured by SPEAG), designed in the classical triangular configuration and optimized for dosimetric evaluation. The probe has been calibrated according to the procedure with accuracy of better than  $\pm 10\%$ . The spherical isotropy was evaluated with the procedure and found to be better than  $\pm 0.25$ dB.

SAR Evaluation Report 12 of 89

**IEEE SCC-34/SC-2 P1528 Recommended Tissue Dielectric Parameters** 

Frequency	Head 7	Γissue	Body	7 Tissue
(MHz)	εr	O' (S/m)	εr	O (S/m)
150	52.3	0.76	61.9	0.80
300	45.3	0.87	58.2	0.92
450	43.5	0.87	56.7	0.94
835	41.5	0.90	55.2	0.97
900	41.5	0.97	55.0	1.05
915	41.5	0.98	55.0	1.06
1450	40.5	1.20	54.0	1.30
1610	40.3	1.29	53.8	1.40
1800-2000	40.0	1.40	53.3	1.52
2450	39.2	1.80	52.7	1.95
3000	38.5	2.40	52.0	2.73
5800	35.3	5.27	48.2	6.00

# **Measurement System Diagram**



SAR Evaluation Report 13 of 89

• A standard high precision 6-axis robot (Stäubli RX family) with controller, teach pendant and software. An arm extension for accommodating the data acquisition electronics (DAE).

Report No: RSZ160120018-20

- A dosimetric probe, i.e., an isotropic E-field probe optimized and calibrated for usage in tissue simulating liquid. The probe is equipped with an optical surface detector system.
- A data acquisition electronics (DAE) which performs the signal amplification, signal multiplexing, AD-conversion, offset measurements, mechanical surface detection, collision detection, etc. The unit is battery powered with standard or rechargeable batteries. The signal is optically transmitted to the EOC.
- The Electro-optical converter (EOC) performs the conversion between optical and electrical of the signals for the digital communication to the DAE and for the analog signal from the optical surface detection. The EOC is connected to the measurement server.
- The function of the measurement server is to perform the time critical tasks such as signal filtering, control of the robot operation and fast movement interrupts.
- A probe alignment unit which improves the (absolute) accuracy of the probe positioning.
- A computer operating Windows 2000 or Windows XP.
- DASY4 software.
- Remote control with teach pendant and additional circuitry for robot safety such as warning lamps, etc.
- The SAM twin phantom enabling testing left-hand and right-hand usage.
- The device holder for handheld smart phones.
- Tissue simulating liquid mixed according to the given recipes.
- Validation dipole kits allowing system validation.

## **System Components**

- DASY4 Measurement Server
- Data Acquisition Electronics
- Probes
- Light Beam Unit
- Medium
- SAM Twin Phantom
- Device Holder for SAM Twin Phantom
- System Validation Kits
- Robot

SAR Evaluation Report 14 of 89

#### **DASY4 Measurement Server**

The DASY4 measurement server is based on a PC/104 CPU board with a 166MHz low-power Pentium, 32MB chip disk and 64MB RAM. The necessary circuits for communication with either the DAE4 (or DAE3) electronic box as well as the 16-bit AD-converter system for optical detection and digital I/O interface are contained on the DASY4 I/O-board, which is directly connected to the PC/104 bus of the CPU board.



Report No: RSZ160120018-20

The measurement server performs all real-time data evaluation for field measurements and surface detection, controls robot movements and handles safety operation. The PC-operating system cannot interfere with these time critical processes. All connections are supervised by a watchdog, and disconnection of any of the cables to the measurement server will automatically disarm the robot and disable all program-controlled robot movements. Furthermore, the measurement server is equipped with two expansion slots which are reserved for future applications. Please note that the expansion slots do not have a standardized pin out and therefore only the expansion cards provided by SPEAG can be inserted. Expansion cards from any other supplier could seriously damage the measurement server.

### **Data Acquisition Electronics**

The data acquisition electronics DAE3 consists of a highly sensitive electrometer grade preamplifier with auto-zeroing, a channel and gain-switching multiplexer, a fast 16 bit AD-converter and a command decoder and control logic unit. Transmission to the measurement server is accomplished through an optical downlink for data and status information as well as an optical uplink for commands and the clock.



### **Probes**

The DASY system can support many different probe types.

**Dosimetric Probes:** These probes are specially designed and calibrated for use in liquids with high permittivities. They should not be used in air, since the spherical isotropy in air is poor (±2 dB). The dosimetric probes have special calibrations in various liquids at different frequencies.

**Free Space Probes:** These are electric and magnetic field probes specially designed for measurements in free space. The z-sensor is aligned to the probe axis and the rotation angle of the x-sensor is specified. This allows the DASY system to automatically align the probe to the measurement grid for field component measurement. The free space probes are generally not calibrated in liquid. (The H-field probes can be used in liquids without any change of parameters.)

**Temperature Probes:** Small and sensitive temperature probes for general use. They use a completely different parameter set and different evaluation procedures. Temperature rise features allow direct SAR evaluations with these probes.

SAR Evaluation Report 15 of 89

### **ES3DV3 Probe Specification**

Construction Symmetrical design with triangular core Built-in optical fiber for surface detection System Built-in shielding against static charges

Calibration In air from 150 MHz to 3.7 GHz In brain and muscle simulating tissue at Frequencies of 450 MHz, 900 MHz and 1.8 GHz (accuracy ± 8%)

Frequency 10 MHz to > 6 GHz; Linearity: ± 0.2 dB (30 MHz to 3 GHz)

Directivity  $\pm 0.2$  dB in brain tissue (rotation around probe axis)

 $\pm$  0.4 dB in brain tissue (rotation normal probe axis)


Dynamic 5 mW/g to > 100 mW/g;

Range Linearity: ± 0.2 dB

Surface  $\pm 0.2$  mm repeatability in air and clear liquids

Detection over diffuse reflecting surfaces. Dimensions Overall length: 330 mm

Tip length: 16 mm




Distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 2.7 mm

Application General dosimetric up to 3 GHz

Compliance tests of smart phones


Fast automatic scanning in arbitrary phantoms

The SAR measurements were conducted with the dosimetric probe ES3DV3 designed in the classical triangular configuration and optimized for dosimetric evaluation. The probe is constructed using the thick film technique; with printed resistive lines on ceramic substrates. The probe is equipped with an optical multi-fiber line ending at the front of the probe tip. It is connected to the EOC box on the robot arm and provides an automatic detection of the phantom surface. Half of the fibers are connected to a pulsed infrared transmitter, the other half to a synchronized receiver. As the probe approaches the surface, the reflection from the surface produces a coupling from the transmitting to the receiving fibers. This reflection increases first during the approach, reaches maximum and then decreases. If the probe is flatly touching the surface, the coupling is zero. The distance of the coupling maximum to the surface is independent of the surface reflectivity and largely independent of the surface to probe angle. The DASY3 software reads the reflection during a software approach and looks for the maximum using a 2nd order fitting. The approach is stopped when reaching the maximum.



Report No: RSZ160120018-20

Photograph of the probe



Inside view of ES3DV3 E-field Probe

SAR Evaluation Report 16 of 89

#### **E-Field Probe Calibration Process**

Each probe is calibrated according to a dosimetric assessment procedure described in [6] with accuracy better than +/- 10%. The spherical isotropy was evaluated with the procedure described in [7] and found to be better than +/-0.25dB. The sensitivity parameters (NormX, NormY, NormZ), the diode compression parameter (DCP) and the conversion factor (ConvF) of the probe are tested.

Report No: RSZ160120018-20

The free space E-field from amplified probe outputs is determined in a test chamber. This is performed in a TEM cell for frequencies bellow 1 GHz, and in a waveguide above 1 GHz for free space. For the free space calibration, the probe is placed in the volumetric center of the cavity and at the proper orientation with the field. The probe is then rotated 360 degrees.

E-field temperature correlation calibration is performed in a flat phantom filled with the appropriate simulated brain tissue. The measured free space E-field in the medium correlates to temperature rise in dielectric medium. For temperature correlation calibration a RF transparent thermistor-based temperature probe is used in conjunction with the E-field probe.

#### **Data Evaluation**

The DASY4 post-processing software (SEMCAD) automatically executes the following procedures to calculate the field units from the microvolt readings at the probe connector. The parameters used in the evaluation are stored in the configuration modules of the software:

Probe parameters: - Sensitivity - Conversion factor - Diode compression point	Normi, ai0, ai1, ai2 ConvFi dcpi	
Device parameters: - Frequency - Crest factor	f cf	
Media parameters: - Conductivity - Density	σ ρ	

These parameters must be set correctly in the software. They can be found in the component documents or they can be imported into the software from the configuration files issued for the DASY components. In the direct measuring mode of the multimeter option, the parameters of the actual system setup are used. In the scan visualization and export modes, the parameters stored in the corresponding document files are used. The first step of the evaluation is a linearization of the filtered input signal to account for the compression characteristics of the detector diode. The compensation depends on the input signal, the diode type and the DC-transmission factor from the diode to the evaluation electronics. If the exciting field is pulsed, the crest factor of the signal must be known to correctly compensate for peak power. The formula for each channel can be given as:

$$V_i = U_i + U_i^2 \cdot \frac{cf}{dcp_i}$$

 $\begin{array}{lll} With & Vi & = compensated \ signal \ of \ channel \ i \ (i=x, \, y, \, z) \\ Ui & = input \ signal \ of \ channel \ i \ (i=x, \, y, \, z) \\ cf & = crest \ factor \ of \ exciting \ field \ (DASY \ parameter) \\ dcp_i & = diode \ compression \ point \ (DASY \ parameter) \\ \end{array}$ 

SAR Evaluation Report 17 of 89

From the compensated input signals the primary field data for each channel can be evaluated:

E – field  
probes : 
$$E_i = \sqrt{\frac{V_i}{Norm_i \cdot ConvF}}$$

H – fieldprobes: 
$$H_i = \sqrt{V_i} \cdot \frac{a_{i0} + a_{i1}f + a_{i2}f^2}{f}$$

With Vi = compensated signal of channel i (i = x, y, z)

Norm<sub>i</sub> = sensor sensitivity of channel i (i = x, y, z)

 $\mu V/(V/m)^2$  for E-field probes

ConF = sensitivity enhancement in solution

 $a_{ij}$  = sensor sensitivity factors for H-field probes

f = carrier frequency [GHz]

Ei = electric field strenggy of channel i in V/m

H<sub>i</sub> = diode compression point (DASY parameter)

The RSS value of the field components gives the total field strength (Hermitian magnitude):

$$E_{tot} = \sqrt{E_x^2 + E_y^2 + E_z^2}$$

The primary field data are used to calculate the derived field units.

$$SAR = E_{tot}^2 \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\rho \cdot 1'000}$$

With SAR = local specific absorption rate in mW/g

 $E_{tot}$  = total field strength in V/m

 $\sigma$  = conductivity in [mho/meter] or [Siemens/meter]

 $\rho$  = equivalent tissue density in g/cm<sup>3</sup>

Note that the density is normally set to 1, to account for actual brain density rather than the density of the simulation liquid.

#### **Light Beam Unit**

The light beam switch allows automatic "tooling" of the probe. During the process, the actual position of the probe tip with respect to the robot arm is measured, as well as the probe length and the horizontal probe offset. The software then corrects all movements, so that the robot coordinates are valid for the probe tip. The repeatability of this process is better than 0.1 mm. If a position has been taught with an aligned probe, the same position will be reached with another aligned probe within 0.1 mm, even if the other probe has different dimensions. During probe rotations, the probe tip will keep its actual position.

SAR Evaluation Report 18 of 89

#### Medium

### Parameters

The parameters of the tissue simulating liquid strongly influence the SAR in the liquid. The parameters for the different frequencies are defined in the corresponding compliance standards (e.g., EN 50361, IEEE 1528-2003).

#### Parameter measurements

Several measurement systems are available for measuring the dielectric parameters of liquids:

- The open coax test method (e.g., HP85070 dielectric probe kit) is easy to use, but has only moderate acuracy. It is calibrated with open, short, and deionized water and the calibrations a critical process.
- The transmission line method (e.g., model 1500T from DAMASKOS, INC.) measures the transmission and reflection in a liquid filled high precision line. It needs standard two port calibration and is probably more accurate than the open coax method.
- The reflection line method measures the reflection in a liquid filled shorted precision lined. The method is not suitable for these liquids because of its low sensitivity.
- The slotted line method scans the field magnitude and phase along a liquid filled line. The evaluation is straight forward and only needs a simple response calibration. The method is very accurate, but can only be used in high loss liquids and at frequencies above 100 to 200MHz. Cleaning the line can be tedious.

### **SAM Twin Phantom**

The SAM twin phantom is a fiberglass shell phantom with 2mm shell thickness (except the ear region where shell thickness increases to 6mm). It has three measurement areas:

- Left hand
- Right hand
- Flat phantom

The phantom table comes in two sizes: A  $100 \times 50 \times 85$  cm (L x W x H) table for use with free standing robots (DASY4 professional system option) or as a second phantom and a  $100 \times 75 \times 85$  cm(L x W x H) table with reinforcements for table mounted robots (DASY4 compact system option).



Report No: RSZ160120018-20

The bottom plate contains three pair of bolts for locking the device holder. The device holder positions are adjusted to the standard measurement positions in the three sections. Only one device holder is necessary if two phantoms are used (e.g., for different liquids) A white cover is provided to tap the phantom during o\_-periods to prevent water evaporation and changes in the liquid parameters. Free space scans of devices on the cover are possible. On the phantom top, three reference markers are provided to identify the phantom position with respect to the robot.

The phantom can be used with the following tissue simulating liquids:

- Water-sugar based liquids can be left permanently in the phantom. Always cover the liquid if the system is not used, otherwise the parameters will change due to water evaporation.
- Glycol based liquids should be used with care. As glycol is a softener for most plastics, the liquid should be taken out of the phantom and the phantom should be dried when the system is not used

SAR Evaluation Report 19 of 89

(desirable at least once a week).

• Do not use other organic solvents without previously testing the phantom's compatibility.

#### **Device Holder for SAM Twin Phantom**

The SAR in the phantom is approximately inversely proportional to the square of the distance between the source and the liquid surface. For a source in 5mm distance, a positioning uncertainty of  $\pm 0.5$ mm would produce a SAR uncertainty of  $\pm 20\%$ . An accurate device positioning is therefore crucial for accurate and repeatable measurements. The positions, in which the devices must be measured, are defined by the standards.

The DASY device holder is designed to cope with different positions given in the standard. It has two scales for the device rotation (with respect to the body axis) and the device inclination (with respect to the line between the ear reference points). The rotation centers for both scales is the ear reference point ERP). Thus the device needs no repositioning when changing the angles.





Report No: RSZ160120018-20

The DASY device holder has been made out of low-loss POM material having the following dielectric parameters: relative permittivity "=3 and loss tangent \_=0.02. The amount of dielectric material has been reduced in the closest vicinity of the device, since measurements have suggested that the influence of the clamp on the test results could thus be lowered.

### **System Validation Kits**

Each DASY system is equipped with one or more system validation kits. These units, together with the predefined measurement procedures within the DASY software, enable the user to conduct the system performance check and system validation. For that purpose a well-defined SAR distribution in the flat section of the SAM twin phantom is produced.

System validation kit includes a dipole, tripod holder to fix it underneath the flat phantom and a corresponding distance holder. Dipoles are available for the variety of frequencies between 300MHz and 6 GHz (dipoles for other frequencies or media and other calibration conditions are available upon request).

The dipoles are highly symmetric and matched at the center frequency for the specified liquid and distance to the flat phantom (or flat section of the SAM-twin phantom). The accurate distance between the liquid surface and the dipole center is achieved with a distance holder that snaps on the dipole.

SAR Evaluation Report 20 of 89

#### Robot

The DASY4 system uses the high precision industrial robots RX60L, RX90 and RX90L, as well as the RX60BL and RX90BL types out of the newer series from Stäubli SA (France). The RX robot series offers many features that are important for our application:

Report No: RSZ160120018-20

- High precision (repeatability 0.02mm)
- High reliability (industrial design)
- Low maintenance costs (virtually maintenance-free due to direct drive gears; no belt drives)
- Jerk-free straight movements (brushless synchronous motors; no stepper motors)
- Low ELF interference (the closed metallic construction shields against motor control fields)

For the newly delivered DASY4 systems as well as for the older DASY3 systems delivered since 1999, the CS7MB robot controller version from Stäubli is used. Previously delivered systems have either a CS7 or CS7M controller; the differences to the CS7MB are mainly in the hardware, but some procedures in the robot software from Stäubli are also not completely the same. The following descriptions about robot hard-and software correspond to CS7MB controller with software version 13.1 (edit S5). The actual commands, procedures and configurations, also including details in hardware, might differ if an older robot controller is in use. In this case please also refer to the Stäubli manuals for further information.



SAR Evaluation Report 21 of 89

# **EQUIPMENT LIST AND CALIBRATION**

# **Equipments List & Calibration Information**


Equipment	Model	Calibration Date	Calibration Due Date	S/N
Robot	RX60BL	N/A	N/A	F02/5S01A1/A/01
Robot Controller	CS7MBs&p RX60BL	N/A	N/A	F02/5S01A1/C/01
DASY4 Test Software	DASY4, V4.5 Build 19	N/A	N/A	N/A
Data Acquistion Electronics	DAE3	2015-08-17	2016-08-17	456
E-Field Probe	ES3DV3	2015-08-20	2016-08-20	3036
Dipole, 835MHz	ALS-D-835-S-2	2014-10-08	2017-10-08	180-00558
Dipole,1900MHz	ALS-D-1900-S-2	2014-10-09	2017-10-09	210-00710
Dipole Spacer	ALS-DS-U	N/A	N/A	250-00907
Device holder/Positioner	MD4HHTV5 N/A		N/A	SD 000 H01 KA
SPEAG SAM Twin Phantom	Twin SAM	N/A	N/A	Tp-1218
Simulated Tissue 835 MHz Head	ALS-TS-835-H	Each Time	/	270-01002
Simulated Tissue 835 MHz Body	ALS-TS-835-B	Each Time	/	270-02101
Simulated Tissue 1900 MHz Head	ALS-TS-1900-H	Each Time	/	295-01103
Simulated Tissue 1900 MHz Body	ALS-TS-1900-B	Each Time	/	295-02102
Directional couple	DC6180A	N/A	N/A	0325849
Power Amplifier	5S1G4	N/A	N/A	71377
Attenuator	3dB	N/A	N/A	5402
Dielectric probe kit	HP85070B	2015-06-13	2016-06-13	US33020324
Network analyzer	8752C	2015-06-03	2016-06-03	3410A02356
Synthesized Sweeper	HP 8341B	2015-06-03	2016-06-03	2624A00116
UNIVERSAL RADIO COMMUNICATION TESTER	CMU200	2015-11-23	2016-11-23	106891
EMI Test Receiver	ESCI	2015-06-13	2016-06-13	101746

Report No: RSZ160120018-20

SAR Evaluation Report 22 of 89

# SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM VERIFICATION

# **Liquid Verification**



Report No: RSZ160120018-20

Liquid Verification Setup Block Diagram

# **Liquid Verification Results**

Frequency	Liquid	Liquid Parameter		Target Value		Delta (%)		Tolerance
	Туре	$\epsilon_{\rm r}$	O'(S/m)	ε <sub>r</sub>	O'(S/m)	$\Delta \epsilon_{ m r}$	ΔΟ (S/m)	(%)
824.2	Head	41.45	0.92	41.50	0.90	-0.120	2.222	±5
824.2	Body	55.33	0.98	55.20	0.97	0.236	1.031	±5
836.6	Head	41.48	0.93	41.50	0.90	-0.048	3.333	±5
830.0	Body	55.36	0.98	55.20	0.97	0.290	1.031	±5
848.8	Head	41.55	0.93	41.50	0.90	0.120	3.333	±5
040.0	Body	55.39	0.99	55.20	0.97	0.344	2.062	±5
1850.2	Head	41.12	1.42	40.00	1.40	2.800	1.429	±5
1830.2	Body	53.45	1.53	53.30	1.52	0.281	0.658	±5
1880.0	Head	40.10	1.41	40.00	1.40	0.250	0.714	±5
1880.0	Body	53.35	1.51	53.30	1.52	0.094	-0.658	±5
1909.8	Head	40.13	1.41	40.00	1.40	0.325	0.714	±5
1909.8	Body	53.35	1.53	53.30	1.52	0.094	0.658	±5


<sup>\*</sup>Liquid Verification was performed on 2016-01-13.

SAR Evaluation Report 23 of 89

## **System Accuracy Verification**

Prior to the assessment, the system validation kit was used to test whether the system was operating within its specifications of  $\pm 10\%$ . The validation results are tabulated below. And also the corresponding SAR plot is attached as well in the SAR plots files.

## **System Verification Setup Block Diagram**



## **System Accuracy Check Results**

Date	Frequency Band	Liquid Type	Measured SAR (W/Kg)		Target Value (W/Kg)	Delta (%)	Tolerance (%)
	925	Head	1g	0.953*10	9.773	-2.486	±10
2016-01-13	833	Body	1g	0.976*10	9.736	0.247	±10
2010-01-13	1900	Head	1g	3.822*10	39.481	-3.194	±10
		Body	1g	3.750*10	39.715	-5.577	±10

<sup>\*</sup>All SAR values are normalized to 1 Watt forward power.

SAR Evaluation Report 24 of 89

### SAR SYSTEM VALIDATION DATA

Test Laboratory: Bay Area Compliance Labs Corp.(Shenzhen) DUT: Dipole 835 MHz; Type: ALS-D-835-S-2; S/N: 180-00558

Program Name: 835 MHz Head

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 835 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: f = 835 MHz;  $\sigma = 0.93$  S/m;  $\varepsilon_r = 41.37$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section

### DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3036; ConvF(5.96, 5.96, 5.96); Calibrated: 20/08/2015

- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: Dummy DAE SN456; Calibrated: 17/08/2015
- Phantom: TWIN SAM; Type: QD000P40CA; Serial: TP-1218
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 145

**835 Head system check /Area Scan (91x141x1):** Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 1.03 mW/g


**835 Head system check /Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 37.15 V/m; Power Drift = -0.102 dB

Report No: RSZ160120018-20

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.29 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.953 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.628 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.983 mW/g



SAR Evaluation Report 25 of 89

Test Laboratory: Bay Area Compliance Labs Corp.(Shenzhen) DUT: Dipole 835 MHz; Type: ALS-D-835-S-2; S/N: 180-00558

**Program Name: 835 MHz Body** 

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 835 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: f = 835 MHz;  $\sigma = 0.98$  S/m;  $\varepsilon_r = 53.78$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section

## DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3036; ConvF(5.96, 5.96, 5.96); Calibrated: 20/08/2015

- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: Dummy DAE SN456; Calibrated: 17/08/2015
- Phantom: TWIN SAM; Type: QD000P40CA; Serial: TP-1218
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 145

**835 Body system check /Area Scan (91x141x1):** Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 1.15 mW/g


835 Body system check /Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 35.29 V/m; Power Drift = -0.113 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.56 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.976 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.657 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.992 mW/g



SAR Evaluation Report 26 of 89

Test Laboratory: Bay Area Compliance Labs Corp.(Shenzhen)
DUT: Dipole 1900 MHz; Type: ALS-D-1900-S-2; S/N: 210-00710

Program Name: 1900MHz Head

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 1900 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: f = 1900 MHz;  $\sigma = 1.41 \text{ S/m}$ ;  $\varepsilon_r = 40.95$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$ 

Phantom section: Flat Section

## DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3036; ConvF(4.9, 4.9, 4.9); Calibrated: 20/08/2015

- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: Dummy DAE SN456; Calibrated: 17/08/2015
- Phantom: TWIN SAM; Type: QD000P40CA; Serial: TP-1218
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 145

**1900 head system check/Area Scan (81x81x1):** Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 3.85 mW/g


1900 head system check/Zoom Scan (6x6x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=6mm, dy=6mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 61.35 V/m; Power Drift = -0.012 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 5.215 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 3.822 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 1.257 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 3.92 mW/g



SAR Evaluation Report 27 of 89

Test Laboratory: Bay Area Compliance Labs Corp.(Shenzhen)
DUT: Dipole 1900 MHz; Type: ALS-D-1900-S-2; S/N: 210-00710

**Program Name: 1900MHz Body** 

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 1900 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: f = 1900 MHz;  $\sigma = 1.41 \text{ S/m}$ ;  $\varepsilon_r = 40.95$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$ 

Phantom section: Flat Section

## DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3036; ConvF(4.9, 4.9, 4.9); Calibrated: 20/08/2015

- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: Dummy DAE SN456; Calibrated: 17/08/2015
- Phantom: TWIN SAM; Type: QD000P40CA; Serial: TP-1218
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 145

**1900 Body system check/Area Scan (81x81x1):** Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 4.23 mW/g


1900 Body system check/Zoom Scan (6x6x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=6mm, dy=6mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 61.25 V/m; Power Drift = -0.022 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 6.217 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 3.750 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 2.027 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 4.07 mW/g




SAR Evaluation Report 28 of 89


### EUT TEST STRATEGY AND METHODOLOGY

### **Test Positions for Device Operating Next to a Person's Ear**

This category includes most wireless handsets with fixed, retractable or internal antennas located toward the top half of the device, with or without a foldout, sliding or similar keypad cover. The handset should have its earpiece located within the upper ¼ of the device, either along the centerline or off-centered, as perceived by its users. This type of handset should be positioned in a normal operating position with the "test device reference point" located along the "vertical centerline" on the front of the device aligned to the "ear reference point". The "test device reference point" should be located at the same level as the center of the earpiece region. The "vertical centerline" should bisect the front surface of the handset at its top and bottom edges. A "ear reference point" is located on the outer surface of the head phantom on each ear spacer. It is located 1.5 cm above the center of the ear canal entrance in the "phantom reference plane" defined by the three lines joining the center of each "ear reference point" (left and right) and the tip of the mouth

A handset should be initially positioned with the earpiece region pressed against the ear spacer of a head phantom. For the SCC-34/SC-2 head phantom, the device should be positioned parallel to the "N-F" line defined along the base of the ear spacer that contains the "ear reference point". For interim head phantoms, the device should be positioned parallel to the cheek for maximum RF energy coupling. The "test device reference point" is aligned to the "ear reference point" on the head phantom and the "vertical centerline" is aligned to the "phantom reference plane". This is called the "initial ear position". While maintaining these three alignments, the body of the handset is gradually adjusted to each of the following positions for evaluating SAR:





Report No: RSZ160120018-20

SAR Evaluation Report 29 of 89

#### **Cheek/Touch Position**

The device is brought toward the mouth of the head phantom by pivoting against the "ear reference point" or along the "N-F" line for the SCC-34/SC-2 head phantom.

This test position is established:


• When any point on the display, keypad or mouthpiece portions of the handset is in contact with the phantom.

Report No: RSZ160120018-20

(or) When any portion of a foldout, sliding or similar keypad cover opened to its intended self-adjusting normal use position is in contact with the cheek or mouth of the phantom.

For existing head phantoms – when the handset loses contact with the phantom at the pivoting point, rotation should continue until the device touches the cheek of the phantom or breaks its last contact from the ear spacer.

### **Cheek / Touch Position**



### **Ear/Tilt Position**


With the handset aligned in the "Cheek/Touch Position":

- 1) If the earpiece of the handset is not in full contact with the phantom's ear spacer (in the "Cheek/Touch position") and the peak SAR location for the "Cheek/Touch" position is located at the ear spacer region or corresponds to the earpiece region of the handset, the device should be returned to the "initial ear position" by rotating it away from the mouth until the earpiece is in full contact with the ear spacer.
- 2) (otherwise) The handset should be moved (translated) away from the cheek perpendicular to the line passes through both "ear reference points" (note: one of these ear reference points may not physically exist on a split head model) for approximate 2-3 cm. While it is in this position, the device handset is tilted away from the mouth with respect to the "test device reference point" until the inside angle between the vertical centerline on the front surface of the phone and the horizontal line passing through the ear reference point isby 15 80°. After the tilt, it is then moved (translated) back toward the head perpendicular to the line passes through both "ear reference points" until the device touches the phantom or the ear spacer. If the antenna touches the head first, the positioning process should be repeated with a tilt angle less than 15° so that the device and its antenna would touch the phantom simultaneously. This test position may require a device holder or positioner to achieve the translation and tilting with acceptable positioning repeatability.

SAR Evaluation Report 30 of 89

If a device is also designed to transmit with its keypad cover closed for operating in the head position, such positions should also be considered in the SAR evaluation. The device should be tested on the left and right side of the head phantom in the "Cheek/Touch" and "Ear/Tilt" positions. When applicable, each configuration should be tested with the antenna in its fully extended and fully retracted positions. These test configurations should be tested at the high, middle and low frequency channels of each operating mode; for example, AMPS, CDMA, and TDMA. If the SAR measured at the middle channel for each test configuration (left, right, Cheek/Touch, Tile/Ear, extended and retracted) is at least 2.0 dB lower than the SAR limit, testing at the high and low channels is optional for such test configuration(s). If the transmission band of the test device is less than 10 MHz, testing at the high and low frequency channels is optional.

### Ear /Tilt 15° Position



### Test positions for body-worn and other configurations

Body-worn operating configurations should be tested with the belt-clips and holsters attached to the device and positioned against a flat phantom in normal use configurations. Devices with a headset output should be tested with a headset connected to the device. When multiple accessories that do not contain metallic components are supplied with the device, the device may be tested with only the accessory that dictates the closest spacing to the body. When multiple accessories that contain metallic components are supplied with the device, the device must be tested with each accessory that contains a unique metallic component. If multiple accessories share an identical metallic component (e.g., the same metallic belt-clip used with different holsters with no other metallic components), only the accessory that dictates the closest spacing to the body must be tested.

Body-worn accessories may not always be supplied or available as options for some devices that are intended to be authorized for body-worn use. A separation distance of 1.5 cm between the back of the device and a flat phantom is recommended for testing body-worn SAR compliance under such circumstances. Other separation distances may be used, but they should not exceed 2.5 cm. In these cases, the device may use body-worn accessories that provide a separation distance greater than that tested for the device provided however that the accessory contains no metallic components.




Figure 5 - Test positions for body-worn devices

SAR Evaluation Report 31 of 89

#### **SAR Evaluation Procedure**

The evaluation was performed with the following procedure:

Step 1: Measurement of the SAR value at a fixed location above the ear point or central position was used as a reference value for assessing the power drop. The SAR at this point is measured at the start of the test and then again at the end of the testing.

Report No: RSZ160120018-20

- Step 2: The SAR distribution at the exposed side of the head was measured at a distance of 4 mm from the inner surface of the shell. The area covered the entire dimension of the head or EUT and the horizontal grid spacing was 10 mm x 10 mm. Based on these data, the area of the maximum absorption was determined by spline interpolation. The first Area Scan covers the entire dimension of the EUT to ensure that the hotspot was correctly identified.
- Step 3: Around this point, a volume of 35 mm x 35 mm x 35 mm was assessed by measuring 7x 7 x 7 points. On the basis of this data set, the spatial peak SAR value was evaluated under the following procedure:
  - 1) The data at the surface were extrapolated, since the center of the dipoles is 1.2 mm away from the tip of the probe and the distance between the surface and the lowest measuring point is 1.3 mm. The extrapolation was based on a least square algorithm. A polynomial of the fourth order was calculated through the points in z-axes. This polynomial was then used to evaluate the points between the surface and the probe tip.
  - 2) The maximum interpolated value was searched with a straightforward algorithm. Around this maximum the SAR values averaged over the spatial volumes (1 g or 10 g) were computed by the 3D-Spline interpolation algorithm. The 3D-Spline is composed of three one dimensional splines with the "Not a knot"-condition (in x, y and z-directions). The volume was integrated with the trapezoidal-algorithm. One thousand points (10 x 10 x 10) were interpolated to calculate the averages.

All neighboring volumes were evaluated until no neighboring volume with a higher average value was found.

Step 4: Re-measurement of the SAR value at the same location as in Step 1. If the value changed by more than 5%, the evaluation was repeated.

### Test methodology

KDB 447498 D01 General RF Exposure Guidance v06. KDB 648474 D04 Handset SAR v01r03. 941225 D01 3G SAR Procedures v03r01 KDB 865664 D01 SAR measurement 100 MHz to 6 GHz v01r04 KDB 865664 D02 RF Exposure Reporting v01r02

SAR Evaluation Report 32 of 89

# CONDUCTED OUTPUT POWER MEASUREMENT


## **Provision Applicable**

The measured peak output power should be greater and within 5% than EMI measurement.

### **Test Procedure**

The RF output of the transmitter was connected to the input of the EMI Test Receiver through sufficient attenuation.

Report No: RSZ160120018-20



SAR Evaluation Report 33 of 89

# **Maximum Output Power among production units**

Max Target Power for Production Unit (dBm)					
M. I. D I	Channel				
Mode/Band	Low	Middle	High		
GMS 850	33.90	33.90	33.90		
GPRS850 1 slot	34.00	34.00	34.00		
GPRS850 2 slots	33.60	33.60	33.60		
GPRS850 3 slots	32.00	32.00	32.00		
GPRS850 4 slots	30.90	30.90	30.90		
PCS 1900	31.30	31.30	31.30		
GPRS1900 1 slot	31.30	31.30	31.30		
GPRS1900 2 slots	30.70	30.70	30.70		
GPRS1900 3 slots	29.00	29.00	29.00		
GPRS1900 4 slots	27.90	27.90	27.90		
Bluetooth	5.10	5.10	5.10		
BLE	-4.00	-4.00	-4.00		
Wi-Fi(b/g/n20)	9.10	9.10	9.10		
Wi-Fi(n40)	9.60	9.60	9.60		

Report No: RSZ160120018-20

SAR Evaluation Report 34 of 89

## **Test Results:**

### GSM:

Dand	Frequency	Conducted Output Power			
Band	(MHz)	Meas. Power (dBm)	Meas. Power (W)		
	824.2	33.87	2.438		
GSM 850	836.6	33.74	2.366		
	848.8	33.88	2.443		
	1850.2	31.27	1.340		
PCS 1900	1880.0	31.16	1.306		
	1909.8	31.15	1.303		

### **GPRS**:

Band	Channel No.	Frequency (MHz)	RF Output Power (dBm)			
			1 slot	2 slot	3 slots	4 slots
	128	824.2	33.87	33.48	31.89	30.80
GSM 850	190	836.6	33.90	33.49	31.94	30.81
	251	848.8	33.90	33.50	31.92	30.79
	512	1850.2	31.22	30.56	28.81	27.72
PCS 1900	661	1880.0	31.18	30.61	28.92	27.80
	810	1909.8	31.14	30.60	28.92	27.83

For SAR, the time based average power is relevant, the difference in between depends on the duty cycle of the TDMA signal.

Number of Time slot	1	2	3	4
Duty Cycle	1:8	1:4	1:2.66	1:2
Time based Ave. power compared to slotted Ave. power	-9 dB	-6 dB	-4.25 dB	-3 dB
Crest Factor	8	4	2.66	2

## The time based average power for GPRS

Band	Channel No.	Frequency (MHz)	Time based average Power (dBm)			
			1 slot	2 slot	3 slots	4 slots
	128	824.2	24.87	27.48	27.64	27.80
GSM 850	190	836.6	24.90	27.49	27.69	27.81
	251	848.8	24.90	27.50	27.67	27.79
	512	1850.2	22.22	24.56	24.56	24.72
PCS 1900	661	1880.0	22.18	24.61	24.67	24.80
	810	1909.8	22.14	24.60	24.67	24.83

SAR Evaluation Report 35 of 89

## Note:

1. Rohde & Schwarz Radio Communication Tester (CMU200) was used for the measurement of GSM peak and average output power for active timeslots.
For GSM voice, 1 timeslot has been activated with power level 5 (850 MHz band) and 0 (1900 MHz

Report No: RSZ160120018-20

- band).
- 3. For GPRS, 1, 2, 3 and 4 timeslots has been activated separately with power level 3(850 MHz band) and 3(1900 MHz band).

### Bluetooth

Mada	Channel	Channel frequency	Conducted Output Power	
Mode	No.	(MHz)	(dBm)	(mW)
	0	2402	3.90	2.455
BDR(GFSK)	39	2441	4.04	2.535
	78	2480	5.09	3.228
	0	2402	3.33	2.153
EDR( π /4-DQPSK)	39	2441	3.47	2.223
	78	2480	4.50	2.818
EDR(8DPSK)	0	2402	3.76	2.377
	39	2441	3.90	2.455
	78	2480	4.84	3.048
	0	2402	-4.66	0.342
BLE	19	2440	-4.53	0.352
	39	2480	-4.02	0.396

### Wi-Fi

Band	Channel No.	Frequency (MHz)	Conducted Output Power		
			(dBm)	(mW)	
	1	2412	8.23	6.653	
802.11b	6	2437	8.37	6.871	
	11	2462	9.04	8.017	
	1	2412	8.23	6.653	
802.11g	6	2437	8.48	7.047	
	11	2462	8.86	7.691	
802.11n HT20	1	2412	8.13	6.501	
	6	2437	8.37	6.871	
	11	2462	9.08	8.091	
802.11n HT40	1	2422	8.85	7.674	
	4	2437	9.17	8.260	
	7	2452	9.51	8.933	

### Note:

 $1.\ The\ output\ power\ was\ tested\ under\ data\ rate\ 1Mbps\ for\ 802.11b,\ 6Mbps\ for\ 802.11g,\ MCS0\ for\ 802.11n\ HT20,\ MCS0\ for\ 802.11n\ HT40.$ 

SAR Evaluation Report 36 of 89

#### SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS

This page summarizes the results of the performed dosimetric evaluation.

#### **SAR Test Data**

#### **Environmental Conditions**

Temperature:	21-24 °C
Relative Humidity:	50-53 %
ATM Pressure:	1001-1002 mbar

Testing was performed by Terry XiaHou on 2016-01-13.

The DUT is capable of functioning as a Wi-Fi to Cellular Mobile hotspot. Additional SAR testing was performed according to KDB 941225 D06. Testing was performed with a separation of 1cm between the DUT and the flat phantom. The DUT was positioned for SAR tests with the front and back surfaces facing the phantom, and also with the edges facing the phantom in which the transmitting antenna is <2.5 cm from the edge. Each transmit band was utilized for SAR testing. The tested mode has been selected within each band that exhibits the highest time average output power.

Report No: RSZ160120018-20

SAR Evaluation Report 37 of 89

## **GSM 850:**

EUD	E	T = =4	Power	Max.	Max.		1g SAR (	W/Kg)	
EUT Position	Frequency (MHz)	Test Mode	Drift (dB)	Meas. Power (dBm)	Rated Power (dBm)	Scaled Factor	Meas. SAR	Scaled SAR	Plot
	824.2	GSM	0.115	33.87	33.90	1.007	0.757	0.762	/
Left Head Cheek	836.6	GSM	0.077	33.74	33.90	1.038	0.925	0.960	1#
	848.8	GSM	-0.134	33.88	33.90	1.005	0.828	0.832	/
	824.2	GSM	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
Left Head Tilt	836.6	GSM	-0.175	33.74	33.90	1.038	0.397	0.412	/
	848.8	GSM	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
	824.2	GSM	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
Right Head Cheek	836.6	GSM	-0.010	33.74	33.90	1.038	0.769	0.798	/
	848.8	GSM	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
Right Head Tilt	824.2	GSM	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
	836.6	GSM	-0.165	33.74	33.90	1.038	0.382	0.396	/
	848.8	GSM	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
	824.2	GSM	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
Body-Back-Headset (10mm)	836.6	GSM	-0.017	33.74	33.90	1.038	0.686	0.712	/
(1011111)	848.8	GSM	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
	824.2	GPRS	0.137	30.80	30.90	1.023	1.019	1.042	/
Body-Back (10mm)	836.6	GPRS	-0.088	30.81	30.90	1.021	1.128	1.152	/
(1011111)	848.8	GPRS	-0.024	30.79	30.90	1.026	1.138	1.168	2#
	824.2	GPRS	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
Body-Left (10mm)	836.6	GPRS	0.084	30.81	30.90	1.021	0.736	0.751	/
(1011111)	848.8	GPRS	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
	824.2	GPRS	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
Body-Right (10mm)	836.6	GPRS	0.147	30.81	30.90	1.021	0.536	0.547	/
(10,1111)	848.8	GPRS	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
	824.2	GPRS	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
Body-Bottom (10mm)	836.6	GPRS	-0.025	30.81	30.90	1.021	0.386	0.394	/
(1011111)	848.8	GPRS	/	/	/	/	/	/	/

Report No: RSZ160120018-20

SAR Evaluation Report 38 of 89

#### **PCS Band:**

DUC	Frequency	Toot	Power	Max.	Max.	1g SAR (W/Kg)				
EUT Position	(MHz)	Test Mode	Drift (dB)	Meas. Power (dBm)	Rated Power (dBm)	Scaled Factor	Meas. SAR	Scaled SAR	Plot	
	1850.2	GSM	-0.176	31.27	31.30	1.007	0.783	0.788	/	
Left Head Cheek	1880.0	GSM	-0.043	31.16	31.30	1.033	0.852	0.880	3#	
	1909.8	GSM	0.056	31.15	31.30	1.035	0.766	0.793	/	
	1850.2	GSM	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	
Left Head Tilt	1880.0	GSM	0.006	31.16	31.30	1.033	0.385	0.398	/	
	1909.8	GSM	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	
	1850.2	GSM	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	
Right Head Cheek	1880.0	GSM	0.026	31.16	31.30	1.033	0.763	0.788	/	
	1909.8	GSM	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	
Right Head Tilt	1850.2	GSM	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	
	1880.0	GSM	-0.067	31.16	31.30	1.033	0.369	0.381	/	
	1909.8	GSM	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	
	1850.2	GSM	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	
Body-Back-Headset (10mm)	1880.0	GSM	0.162	31.16	31.30	1.033	0.312	0.322	/	
(======)	1909.8	GSM	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	
	1850.2	GPRS	0.216	27.72	27.90	1.042	0.755	0.787	4#	
Body-Back (10mm)	1880.0	GPRS	0.006	27.8	27.90	1.023	0.693	0.709	/	
(1011111)	1909.8	GPRS	0.139	27.83	27.90	1.016	0.678	0.689	/	
	1850.2	GPRS	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	
Body-Left (10mm)	1880.0	GPRS	-0.089	27.8	27.90	1.023	0.529	0.541	/	
(Tomm)	1909.8	GPRS	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	
	1850.2	GPRS	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	
Body-Right (10mm)	1880.0	GPRS	-0.038	27.8	27.90	1.023	0.423	0.433	/	
(10HHII)	1909.8	GPRS	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	
	1850.2	GPRS	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	
Body-Bottom (10mm)	1880.0	GPRS	0.169	27.8	27.90	1.023	0.337	0.345	/	
(10/11111)	1909.8	GPRS	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	

#### Note:

- 1 .When the 1-g SAR is  $\leq$  0.8W/Kg, testing for other channels are optional. 2. The EUT transmit and receive through the same antenna while testing SAR.
- 3. According to IEEE 1528-2013, the middle channel is required to be tested first.
- 4. KDB 447498D01- When the maximum output power variation across the required test channels is  $> \frac{1}{2}$ dB, instead of the middle channel, the highest output power channel must be used.

**SAR Evaluation Report** 39 of 89


- Report No: RSZ160120018-20
- 5. The EUT is a Capability Class B mobile phone which can be attached to both GPRS and GSM services.
- 6. The Multi-slot Classes of EUT is Class12 which has maximum 4 Downlink slots and 4 Uplink slots, the maximum active slots is 5, when perform the multiple slots scan, 1DL+4UL is the worst case.
- 7. When SAR or MPE is not measured at the maximum power level allowed for production units, the results must be scaled to the maximum tune-up tolerance limit according to the power applied to the individual channels tested to determine compliance.

SAR Evaluation Report 40 of 89

## SAR SIMULTANEOUS TRANSMISSION DESCRIPTION

#### **Bluetooth and GSM Antennas Location:**

Report No: RSZ160120018-20



#### **Simultaneous Transmission:**

Description of Simultaneo	Antennas Distance		
Transmitter Combination	Simultaneous?	Hotspot?	(mm)
GSM + Bluetooth	√	×	95
GSM + Wi-Fi	√	$\sqrt{}$	95

#### Standalone SAR test exclusion considerations

Mode	Position	Frequency (MHz)	P <sub>avg</sub> (dBm)	P <sub>avg</sub> (mW)	Distance (mm)	Calculated value	Threshold (1-g)	SAR Test Exclusion
Bluetooth	Head	2480	5.10	3.236	0	1.0	3.0	Yes
Bluetooth	Body	2480	5.10	3.236	10	0.5	3.0	Yes
Wi-Fi	Head	2462	9.60	9.120	0	2.9	3.0	Yes
Wi-Fi	Body	2462	9.60	9.120	10	1.4	3.0	Yes

The 1-g and 10-g SAR test exclusion thresholds for 100 MHz to 6 GHz at *test separation distances*  $\leq$  50 mm are determined by:

[(max. power of channel, including tune-up tolerance, mW)/(min. test separation distance, mm)]  $\cdot$  [ $\sqrt{f(GHz)}$ ]  $\leq 3.0$  for 1-g SAR and  $\leq 7.5$  for 10-g extremity SAR, where

- 1. f(GHz) is the RF channel transmit frequency in GHz.
- 2. Power and distance are rounded to the nearest mW and mm before calculation.
- 3. The result is rounded to one decimal place for comparison.

SAR Evaluation Report 41 of 89

4. When the minimum test separation distance is < 5 mm, a distance of 5 mm is applied to determine SAR test Exclusion.

Report No: RSZ160120018-20

#### **Standalone SAR estimation:**

Mode	Frequency (GHz)	Distance (mm)	P <sub>avg</sub> (dBm)	P <sub>avg</sub> (mW)	Estimated 1-g (W/kg)
BT Head	2.48	0	5.10	3.236	0.136
BT Body	2.48	10	5.10	3.236	0.068
Wi-Fi Head	2.462	0	9.60	9.120	0.382
Wi-Fi Body	2.462	10	9.60	9.120	0.191

When standalone SAR test exclusion applies to an antenna that transmits simultaneously with other antennas, the standalone SAR must be estimated according to following to determine simultaneous transmission SAR test exclusion:

[(max. power of channel, including tune-up tolerance, mW)/(min. test separation distance,mm)]·[ $\sqrt{f(GHz)/x}$ ] W/kg for test separation distances  $\leq 50$  mm;

where x = 7.5 for 1-g SAR.

When the minimum test separation distance is < 5 mm, a distance of 5 mm is applied to determine SAR test Exclusion

#### Simultaneous SAR test exclusion considerations:

Mode	Position	Reported	SAR (W/kg)	ΣSAR
Mode	Fosition	GSM	BT	< 1.6W/kg
	Left Head Cheek	0.960	0.136	1.096
	Left Head Tile	0.412	0.136	0.548
GSM850	Right Head Cheek	0.798	0.136	0.934
	Right Head Tilt	0.396	0.136	0.532
	Body-Back-Headset	0.712	0.068	0.780
	Left Head Cheek	0.880	0.136	1.016
	Left Head Tile	0.398	0.136	0.534
PCS1900	Right Head Cheek	0.788	0.136	0.924
	Right Head Tilt	0.381	0.136	0.517
	Body-Back-Headset	0.322	0.068	0.390

SAR Evaluation Report 42 of 89

#### **GSM** with Wi-Fi:

Mode	Position	Reported	SAR (W/kg)	ΣSAR
Mode	Position	GSM	Wi-Fi	< 1.6W/kg
GSM 850	Left Head Cheek	0.960	0.382	1.342
	Left Head Tilt	0.412	0.382	0.794
	Right Head Cheek	0.798	0.382	1.180
	Right Head Tilt	0.396	0.382	0.778
	Body-Headset-Back	0.712	0.191	0.903
	Left Head Cheek	0.880	0.382	1.262
	Left Head Tilt	0.398	0.382	0.780
PCS 1900	Right Head Cheek	0.788	0.382	1.170
	Right Head Tilt	0.381	0.382	0.763
	Body-Headset-Back	0.322	0.191	0.513

Report No: RSZ160120018-20

#### **Conclusion:**

 $\Sigma SAR < 1.6 \text{ W/kg}$  therefore simultaneous transmission SAR with Volume Scans is **not** required.

SAR Evaluation Report 43 of 89

Evaluations for Simultaneous SAR, BT+GSM/3G/4G									
Test Position	Body-Back (1.0cm)	Body-Left (1.0cm)	Body-Right (1.0cm)	Body-Top (1.0cm)					
Mode		Stand	l Alone 1-g SAR (V	V/Kg)					
GPRS 850	1.168	0.751	0.547	0.394	/				
GPRS 1900	0.787	0.541	0.433	0.345	/				
BT	0.068	/	0.068	/	0.068				
			$\sum 1$ -g SAR(W/Kg)						
GPRS 850 + BT	1.236	/	0.615	/	/				
GPRS 1900 + BT	0.855	/	0.501	/	/				

Report No: RSZ160120018-20

Evaluations for Simultaneous SAR, Mobile Hot Spot Positions									
Test Position	Body-Back (1.0cm)	Body-Left (1.0cm)	Body-Right (1.0cm)	Body-Bottom (1.0cm)	Body-Top (1.0cm)				
Mode		Stand	l Alone 1-g SAR (V	V/Kg)					
GPRS 850	1.168	0.751	0.547	0.394	/				
GPRS 1900	0.787	0.541	0.433	0.345	/				
Wi-Fi	0.191	/	0.191	/	0.191				
			$\sum 1$ -g SAR(W/Kg)						
GPRS 850 + Wi-Fi	1.359	/	0.738	/	/				
GPRS 1900 + Wi-Fi	0.978	/	0.624	/	/				

#### Note:

If the sum of the 1g SAR measured for the simultaneously transmitting antennas is less than the SAR limit, SAR measurement for simultaneous transmission is not required.

SAR Evaluation Report 44 of 89

#### **SAR Plots (Summary of the Highest SAR Values)**

**Test Laboratory: Bay Area Compliance Labs Corp.(Shenzhen)** 

#### Test Plot 1#:GSM 850 Left Cheek Middle Channel

**DUT: Mobile Phone; Type: FUEGO 4.0D** 

Communication System: 2G Band; Frequency: 836.6 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8 Medium parameters used: f = 836.6 MHz;  $\sigma = 0.93$  S/m;  $\epsilon r = 41.48$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Left Section

#### **DASY4** Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 SN3036; ConvF(5.96, 5.96, 5.96); Calibrated: 20/08/2015
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: Dummy DAE SN:456; Calibrated: 17/08/2015
- Phantom: TWIN SAM; Type: QD000P40CA; Serial: TP-1218
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 145

**GSM850-head-left-Middle /Area Scan (71x111x1):** Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.964 mW/g


**GSM850-head-left- Middle /Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Report No: RSZ160120018-20

Reference Value = 11.52 V/m; Power Drift = 0.077 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.961 mW/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.925 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.526 mW/gMaximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.935 mW/g



SAR Evaluation Report 45 of 89

#### Test Plot 2#:GSM 850 Body-worn Back High Channel

**DUT: Mobile Phone; Type: FUEGO 4.0D** 

Communication System: 2G-gprs-4slots; Frequency: 848.8 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:2 Medium parameters used: f = 848.8 MHz;  $\sigma = 0.99$  S/m;  $\varepsilon_r = 55.39$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section

#### DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 SN3036; ConvF(5.96, 5.96, 5.96); Calibrated: 20/08/2015
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: Dummy DAE SN:456; Calibrated: 17/08/2015
- Phantom: TWIN SĂM; Type: QD000P40CA; Serial: TP-1218
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 145

**GSM850-gprs-back -high/Area Scan (71x101x1):** Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 1.635 mW/g


**GSM850-gprs-back -high/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 12.32 V/m; Power Drift = -0.024 dB

Report No: RSZ160120018-20

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.527 mW/kg

SAR(1 g) = 1.138 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.803 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.50 mW/g



SAR Evaluation Report 46 of 89

#### Test Plot 3#:PCS 1900 Left Cheek Middle Channel

**DUT: Mobile Phone; Type: FUEGO 4.0D** 

Communication System: 2G Band; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8

Medium parameters used: f = 1880 MHz;  $\sigma = 1.41 \text{ S/m}$ ;  $\varepsilon_r = 40.10$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$ 

Phantom section: Left Section

#### DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 SN3036; ConvF(5.1, 5.1, 5.1); Calibrated: 20/08/2015
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: Dummy DAE SN:456; Calibrated: 17/08/2015
- Phantom: TWIN SĂM; Type: QD000P40CA; Serial: TP-1218
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 145

**PCS 1900-head-left-mid /Area Scan (71x111x1):** Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.674 mW/g


**PCS 1900-head-left-mid /Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 12.16 V/m; Power Drift = -0.043 dB

Report No: RSZ160120018-20

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.924 mW/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.852 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.537 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.866 mW/g



SAR Evaluation Report 47 of 89

#### Test Plot 4#:PCS 1900 Body-worn Back Low Channel

**DUT: Mobile Phone; Type: FUEGO 4.0D** 

Communication System: 2G-gprs-4slots; Frequency: 1850.2 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:2 Medium parameters used: f =1850.2 MHz;  $\sigma$  = 1.53 S/m;  $\epsilon_r$  = 53.45;  $\rho$  = 1000 kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section

#### DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 SN3036; ConvF(5.1, 5.1, 5.1); Calibrated: 20/08/2015
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: Dummy DAE SN:456; Calibrated: 17/08/2015
- Phantom: TWIN SAM; Type: QD000P40CA; Serial: TP-1218
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 145

**PCS 1900-gprs-back -low/Area Scan (71x101x1):** Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.878 mW/g


PCS 1900-gprs-back -low/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 20.35 V/m; Power Drift = 0.216 dB

Report No: RSZ160120018-20

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.773 mW/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.755 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.419 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.791 mW/g



SAR Evaluation Report 48 of 89

## APPENDIX A MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

The uncertainty budget has been determined for the DASY4 measurement system and is given in the following Table.

Report No: RSZ160120018-20

DASY4 Uncertainty Budget								
	P	Accordin	g to IEE	E 1528				
Error Description	Uncertainty	Prob.	Div.	(c i)	(c i)	Std. Unc.	Std. Unc.	(v i)
2001 2 County World	Value	Dist.	2111	1g	10g	(1g)	(10g)	veff
		Measur	ement Sy	stem				
Probe Calibration	± 6.0 %	N	1	1	1	± 6.0 %	± 6.0 %	$\infty$
Axial Isotropy	± 4.7 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.7	± 1.9 %	± 1.9 %	$\infty$
Hemispherical Isotropy	± 9.6 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.7	± 3.9 %	± 3.9 %	$\infty$
Boundary Effects	± 1.0 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 0.6 %	± 0.6 %	$\infty$
Linearity	± 4.7 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 2.7 %	± 2.7 %	$\infty$
System Detection Limits	± 1.0 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 0.6 %	± 0.6 %	$\infty$
Readout Electronics	± 0.3 %	N	1	1	1	± 0.3 %	± 0.3 %	$\infty$
Response Time	± 0.8 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 0.5 %	± 0.5 %	$\infty$
Integration Time	± 2.6 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 1.5 %	± 1.5 %	$\infty$
RF Ambient Noise	± 3.0 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 1.7 %	± 1.7 %	$\infty$
RF Ambient Conditions	± 3.0 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 1.7 %	± 1.7 %	~
Probe Positioner	± 0.4 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 0.2 %	± 0.2 %	$\infty$
Probe Positioning	± 2.9 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 1.7 %	± 1.7 %	$\infty$
Max. SAR Eval.	± 1.0 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 0.6 %	± 0.6 %	$\infty$
		Test Sa	ample Re	lated				
Device Positioning	± 2.9 %	N	1	1	1	± 2.9 %	± 2.9 %	145
Device Holder	± 3.6 %	N	1	1	1	± 3.6 %	± 2.6 %	5
Power Drift	± 5.0 %	R		1	1	± 2.9 %	± 2.9 %	$\infty$
		Phanto	om and S	etup				
Phantom Uncertainty	± 4.0 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 2.3 %	± 2.3 %	$\infty$
Liquid Conductivity (Target)	± 5.0 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.64	0.43	± 1.8 %	± 1.2 %	$\infty$
Liquid Conductivity (meas.)	± 2.5 %	N	1	0.64	0.43	± 1.6 %	± 1.1 %	œ
Liquid Permittivity (Target)	± 5.0 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	0.49	± 1.7 %	± 1.4 %	œ
Liquid Permittivity (Target)	± 2.5 %	N	1	0.6	0.49	± 1.5 %	± 1.0 %	œ
Combined Std. Uncertainty	-	-	-	-	-	± 10.7 %	± 10.4 %	330
Expanded STD Uncertainty	-	-	-	-	-	± 21.4 %	± 20.8 %	-

SAR Evaluation Report 49 of 89

DASY4 Uncertainty Budget								
		ccording		•				
	Uncertainty	Prob.		(c i)	(c i)	Std. Unc.	Std. Unc.	(v i)
Error Description	Value	Dist.	Div.	1g	10g	(1g)	(10g)	veff
		Measur	rement Sy	ystem				
Probe Calibration	± 6.0 %	N	1	1	1	± 6.0 %	± 6.0 %	œ
Axial Isotropy	± 4.7 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.7	± 1.9 %	± 1.9 %	∝
Boundary Effects	± 1.0 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 0.6 %	± 0.6 %	∝
Linearity	± 4.7 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 2.7 %	± 2.7 %	œ
System Detection Limits	± 1.0 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 0.6 %	± 0.6 %	œ
Readout Electronics	± 0.3 %	N	1	1	1	± 0.3 %	± 0.3 %	œ
Response Time	± 0.8 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 0.5 %	± 0.5 %	$\infty$
Integration Time	± 2.6 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 1.5 %	± 1.5 %	œ
RF Ambient Noise	± 3.0 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 1.7 %	± 1.7 %	$\infty$
RF Ambient Conditions	± 3.0 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 1.7 %	± 1.7 %	$\infty$
Probe Positioner	± 0.4 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 0.2 %	± 0.2 %	$\infty$
Probe Positioning	± 2.9 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 1.7 %	± 1.7 %	∝
Max. SAR Eval.	± 1.0 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 0.6 %	± 0.6 %	œ
		Test Sa	ample Re	lated				
Device Positioning	± 2.9 %	N	1	1	1	± 2.9 %	± 2.9 %	145
Device Holder	± 3.6 %	N	1	1	1	± 3.6 %	± 2.6 %	5
Power Drift	± 5.0 %	R		1	1	± 2.9 %	± 2.9 %	œ
		Phanto	om and S	etup				
Phantom Uncertainty	± 4.0 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 2.3 %	± 2.3 %	œ
Liquid Conductivity (Target)	± 5.0 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.64	0.43	± 1.8 %	± 1.2 %	$\infty$
Liquid Conductivity (meas.)	± 2.5 %	N	1	0.64	0.43	± 1.6 %	± 1.1 %	œ
Liquid Permittivity (Target)	± 5.0 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	0.49	± 1.7 %	± 1.4 %	∝
Liquid Permittivity (Target)	± 2.5 %	N	1	0.6	0.49	± 1.5 %	± 1.0 %	∝
Combined Std. Uncertainty	-	-	-	-	-	± 10.7 %	± 10.4 %	330
Expanded STD Uncertainty	-	-	-	-	-	± 21.4 %	± 20.8 %	-

Report No: RSZ160120018-20

SAR Evaluation Report 50 of 89

#### APPENDIX B PROBE CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES

Calibration Laboratory of Schmid & Partner Engineering AG Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland





S Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst
C Service suisse d'étalonnage
Servizio svizzero di taratura
Swiss Calibration Service

Accreditation No.: SCS 0108

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)

The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA

Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Client

BACL

Certificate No: ES3-3036\_Aug15

#### **CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE**

Object

ES3DV3 - SN:3036

Calibration procedure(s)

QA CAL-01.v9, QA CAL-12.v9, QA CAL-14.v4, QA CAL-23.v5,

QA CAL-25.v6

Calibration procedure for dosimetric E-field probes

Calibration date:

August 20, 2015

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI).
The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature  $(22 \pm 3)^{\circ}$ C and humidity < 70%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID	Cal Date (Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter E4419B	GB41293874	01-Apr-15 (No. 217-02128)	Mar-16
Power sensor E4412A	MY41498087	01-Apr-15 (No. 217-02128)	Mar-16
Reference 3 dB Attenuator	SN: S5054 (3c)	01-Apr-15 (No. 217-02129)	Mar-16
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: S5277 (20x)	01-Apr-15 (No. 217-02132)	Mar-16
Reference 30 dB Attenuator	SN: S5129 (30b)	01-Apr-15 (No. 217-02133)	Mar-16
Reference Probe ES3DV2	SN: 3013	30-Dec-14 (No. ES3-3013 Dec14)	Dec-15
DAE4	SN: 660	14-Jan-15 (No. DAE4-660_Jan15)	Jan-16
Secondary Standards	ID	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
RF generator HP 8648C	US3642U01700	4-Aug-99 (in house check Apr-13)	In house check: Apr-16
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585	18-Oct-01 (in house check Oct-14)	In house check: Oct-15

Calibrated by:

Name Jeton Kastrati Function Laboratory Technician Signature

Approved by:

Katja Pokovic

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory

Technical Manager

Issued: August 20, 2015

\*

Certificate No: ES3-3036\_Aug15

Page 1 of 11

SAR Evaluation Report 51 of 89

## Calibration Laboratory of Schmid & Partner







Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst Service suisse d'étalonnage C Servizio svizzero di taratura Swiss Calibration Service

Report No: RSZ160120018-20

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS) Accreditation No.: SCS 0108

The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

#### Glossary:

TSL tissue simulating liquid NORMx,y,z sensitivity in free space sensitivity in TSL / NORMx,y,z ConvF DCP diode compression point

crest factor (1/duty\_cycle) of the RF signal modulation dependent linearization parameters A, B, C, D

Polarization φ φ rotation around probe axis

Polarization 9 9 rotation around an axis that is in the plane normal to probe axis (at measurement center),

i.e., 9 = 0 is normal to probe axis

Connector Angle information used in DASY system to align probe sensor X to the robot coordinate system

#### Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

- a) IEEE Std 1528-2013, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", June 2013
- IEC 62209-1, "Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)", February 2005
- c) IEC 62209-2, "Procedure to determine the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for wireless communication devices used in close proximity to the human body (frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz)", March 2010
- d) KDB 865664, "SAR Measurement Requirements for 100 MHz to 6 GHz"

#### Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- NORMx, y, z: Assessed for E-field polarization 9 = 0 (f  $\leq 900$  MHz in TEM-cell; f > 1800 MHz: R22 waveguide). NORMx,y,z are only intermediate values, i.e., the uncertainties of NORMx,y,z does not affect the E2-field uncertainty inside TSL (see below ConvF).
- $NORM(f)x,y,z = NORMx,y,z * frequency_response$  (see Frequency Response Chart). This linearization is implemented in DASY4 software versions later than 4.2. The uncertainty of the frequency response is included in the stated uncertainty of ConvF.
- DCPx,y,z: DCP are numerical linearization parameters assessed based on the data of power sweep with CW signal (no uncertainty required). DCP does not depend on frequency nor media.
- PAR: PAR is the Peak to Average Ratio that is not calibrated but determined based on the signal characteristics
- Ax,y,z; Bx,y,z; Cx,y,z; Dx,y,z; VRx,y,z: A, B, C, D are numerical linearization parameters assessed based on the data of power sweep for specific modulation signal. The parameters do not depend on frequency nor media. VR is the maximum calibration range expressed in RMS voltage across the diode.
- ConvF and Boundary Effect Parameters: Assessed in flat phantom using E-field (or Temperature Transfer Standard for  $f \le 800$  MHz) and inside waveguide using analytical field distributions based on power measurements for f > 800 MHz. The same setups are used for assessment of the parameters applied for boundary compensation (alpha, depth) of which typical uncertainty values are given. These parameters are used in DASY4 software to improve probe accuracy close to the boundary. The sensitivity in TSL corresponds to NORMx,y,z \* ConvF whereby the uncertainty corresponds to that given for ConvF. A frequency dependent ConvF is used in DASY version 4.4 and higher which allows extending the validity from ± 50 MHz to ± 100
- Spherical isotropy (3D deviation from isotropy): in a field of low gradients realized using a flat phantom exposed by a patch antenna.
- Sensor Offset: The sensor offset corresponds to the offset of virtual measurement center from the probe tip (on probe axis). No tolerance required.
- Connector Angle: The angle is assessed using the information gained by determining the NORMx (no uncertainty required).

Certificate No: ES3-3036\_Aug15 Page 2 of 11

SAR Evaluation Report 52 of 89

Report No: RSZ160120018-20

ES3DV3 - SN:3036

August 20, 2015

# Probe ES3DV3

SN:3036

Calibrated:

Manufactured: August 21, 2003 August 20, 2015

Calibrated for DASY/EASY Systems (Note: non-compatible with DASY2 system!)

Certificate No: ES3-3036\_Aug15

Page 3 of 11

**SAR** Evaluation Report 53 of 89 ES3DV3-SN:3036 August 20, 2015

Report No: RSZ160120018-20

#### DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: ES3DV3 - SN:3036

#### **Basic Calibration Parameters**

	Sensor X	Sensor Y	Sensor Z	Unc (k=2)
Norm $(\mu V/(V/m)^2)^A$	1.22	1.34	1.49	± 10.1 %
DCP (mV) <sup>B</sup>	102.6	104.5	104.8	

#### **Modulation Calibration Parameters**

UID	Communication System Name		A dB	B dB√μV	С	D dB	VR mV	Unc <sup>E</sup> (k=2)
0	CW	X	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.00	207.4	±3.5 %
		Y	0.0	0.0	1.0		222.8	
		Z	0.0	0.0	1.0		226.3	

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor k=2, which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

Certificate No: ES3-3036\_Aug15

Page 4 of 11

**SAR** Evaluation Report 54 of 89

A The uncertainties of Norm X,Y,Z do not affect the E<sup>2</sup>-field uncertainty inside TSL (see Pages 5 and 6).

B Numerical linearization parameter: uncertainty not required.

Uncertainty is determined using the max. deviation from linear response applying rectangular distribution and is expressed for the square of the

ES3DV3-SN:3036

August 20, 2015

## DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: ES3DV3 - SN:3036

#### Calibration Parameter Determined in Head Tissue Simulating Media

f (MHz) <sup>C</sup>	Relative Permittivity F	Conductivity (S/m) <sup>F</sup>	ConvF X	ConvF Y	ConvF Z	Alpha <sup>G</sup>	Depth <sup>G</sup> (mm)	Unc (k=2)
150	52.3	0.76	7.06	7.06	7.06	0.05	1.20	± 13.3 %
450	43.5	0.87	6.58	6.58	6.58	0.19	1.90	± 13.3 %
750	41.9	0.89	6.13	6.13	6,13	0.25	2.28	± 12.0 %
835	41.5	0.90	5.96	5.96	5.96	0.31	1.86	± 12.0 %
1750	40.1	1.37	5.10	5.10	5.10	0.58	1.37	± 12.0 %
1900	40.0	1.40	4.90	4.90	4.90	0.71	1.22	± 12.0 %
2450	39.2	1.80	4.34	4.34	4.34	0.59	1.44	± 12.0 %
3700	37.7	3.12	3.84	3.84	3.84	0.35	2.20	± 13.1 %

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>C</sup> Frequency validity above 300 MHz of  $\pm$  100 MHz only applies for DASY v4.4 and higher (see Page 2), else it is restricted to  $\pm$  50 MHz. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band. Frequency validity below 300 MHz is  $\pm$  10, 25, 40, 50 and 70 MHz for ConvF assessments at 30, 64, 128, 150 and 220 MHz respectively. Above 5 GHz frequency validity can be extended to  $\pm$  110 MHz.

Falt frequencies below 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters ( $\epsilon$  and  $\sigma$ ) can be relaxed to  $\pm$  10% if liquid compensation formula is applied to measured SAR values. At frequencies above 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters ( $\epsilon$  and  $\sigma$ ) is restricted to  $\pm$  5%. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty for indicated target tissue parameters.

Alpha/Depth are determined during calibration. SPEAG warrants that the remaining deviation due to the boundary effect after compensation is always less than  $\pm$  1% for frequencies below 3 GHz and below  $\pm$  2% for frequencies between 3-6 GHz at any distance larger than half the probe tip diameter from the boundary.

Certificate No: ES3-3036\_Aug15

Page 5 of 11

Report No: RSZ160120018-20

ES3DV3-SN:3036

August 20, 2015

#### DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: ES3DV3 - SN:3036

#### Calibration Parameter Determined in Body Tissue Simulating Media

f (MHz) <sup>C</sup>	Relative Permittivity F	Conductivity (S/m) F	ConvF X	ConvF Y	ConvF Z	Alpha <sup>G</sup>	Depth <sup>G</sup> (mm)	Unc (k=2)
150	61.9	0.80	6.82	6.82	6.82	0.08	1.20	± 13.3 %
450	56.7	0.94	6.69	6.69	6.69	0.14	1.20	± 13.3 %
750	55.5	0.96	6.10	6.10	6.10	0.40	1.64	± 12.0 %
835	55.2	0.97	6.00	6.00	6.00	0.49	1.55	± 12.0 %
1750	53.4	1.49	4.75	4.75	4.75	0.51	1.48	± 12.0 %
1900	53.3	1.52	4.56	4.56	4.56	0.48	1.60	± 12.0 %
2450	52.7	1.95	4.19	4.19	4.19	0.80	1.09	± 12.0 %
3700	51.0	3.55	3.58	3.58	3.58	0.50	2.12	± 13.1 %

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>C</sup> Frequency validity above 300 MHz of  $\pm$  100 MHz only applies for DASY v4.4 and higher (see Page 2), else it is restricted to  $\pm$  50 MHz. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band. Frequency validity below 300 MHz is  $\pm$  10, 25, 40, 50 and 70 MHz for ConvF assessments at 30, 64, 128, 150 and 220 MHz respectively. Above 5 GHz frequency validity can be extended to  $\pm$  110 MHz.

\*\*At frequencies below 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters ( $\epsilon$  and  $\sigma$ ) can be relaxed to  $\pm$  10% if liquid compensation formula is applied to measured SAR values. At frequencies above 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters ( $\epsilon$  and  $\sigma$ ) is restricted to  $\pm$  5%. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty for indicated target tissue parameters.

\*\*Alpha/Depth are determined during calibration. SPEAG warrants that the remaining deviation due to the boundary effect after compensation is always less than  $\pm$  1% for frequencies below 3 GHz and below  $\pm$  2% for frequencies between 3-6 GHz at any distance larger than half the probe tip diameter from the boundary.

Certificate No: ES3-3036\_Aug15


Page 6 of 11

56 of 89 SAR Evaluation Report

ES3DV3-SN:3036

August 20, 2015

## Frequency Response of E-Field (TEM-Cell:ifi110 EXX, Waveguide: R22)



Uncertainty of Frequency Response of E-field: ± 6.3% (k=2)


Certificate No: ES3-3036\_Aug15


Page 7 of 11

ES3DV3- SN:3036

August 20, 2015

## Receiving Pattern ( $\phi$ ), $\vartheta = 0^{\circ}$





Uncertainty of Axial Isotropy Assessment: ± 0.5% (k=2)

Certificate No: ES3-3036\_Aug15


Page 8 of 11


SAR Evaluation Report 58 of 89

ES3DV3- SN:3036

August 20, 2015

## Dynamic Range f(SAR<sub>head</sub>) (TEM cell , f<sub>eval</sub>= 1900 MHz)





Uncertainty of Linearity Assessment: ± 0.6% (k=2)


Certificate No: ES3-3036\_Aug15

Page 9 of 11


SAR Evaluation Report 59 of 89

ES3DV3- SN:3036 August 20, 2015

## **Conversion Factor Assessment**



#### Deviation from Isotropy in Liquid Error (φ, θ), f = 900 MHz



Certificate No: ES3-3036\_Aug15

Page 10 of 11

Uncertainty of Spherical Isotropy Assessment: ± 2.6% (k=2)

SAR Evaluation Report 60 of 89

ES3DV3- SN:3036

August 20, 2015

## DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: ES3DV3 - SN:3036

#### **Other Probe Parameters**

Sensor Arrangement	Triangular
Connector Angle (°)	17.1
Mechanical Surface Detection Mode	enabled
Optical Surface Detection Mode	disabled
Probe Overall Length	337 mm
Probe Body Diameter	10 mm
Tip Length	10 mm
Tip Diameter	4 mm
Probe Tip to Sensor X Calibration Point	2 mm
Probe Tip to Sensor Y Calibration Point	2 mm
Probe Tip to Sensor Z Calibration Point	2 mm
Recommended Measurement Distance from Surface	3 mm

Certificate No: ES3-3036\_Aug15

Page 11 of 11

SAR Evaluation Report 61 of 89

#### APPENDIX C DIPOLE CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES

#### NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES

Report No: RSZ160120018-20

Calibration File No: DC-1599 Project Number: BAC-dipole-cal-5779

## CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

It is certified that the equipment identified below has been calibrated in the NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES by qualified personnel following recognized procedures and using transfer standards traceable to NRC/NIST.

Validation Dipole(Head and Body)

Manufacturer; APREL Laboratories
Part number; ALS-D-835-S-2
Frequency; 835 MHz
Serial No: 180-00558

Customer: Bay Area Compliance Laboratory (China)

Calibrated: 8<sup>th</sup> October 2014 Released on: 8<sup>th</sup> October 2014

This Calibration Certificate is Incomplete Unless Accompanied with the Calibration Results Summary

Released By:

Art Brennan, Quality Manager

NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES

iite 102, 303 Terry Fox Dr. Kanata, ONTARIO CANADA K2K 3J1 Division of APREL Lab. TEL: (613) 435-8300 FAX: (613)435-8306

SAR Evaluation Report 62 of 89

Division of APREL Laboratories.

#### Conditions

Dipole 180-00558 was received with a damaged connection for a re-calibration.

Ambient Temperature of the Laboratory: 22 °C +/- 0.5°C Temperature of the Tissue: 21 °C +/- 0.5°C

#### Attestation

The below named signatories have conducted the calibration and review of the data which is presented in this calibration report.

We the undersigned attest that to the best of our knowledge the calibration of this subject has been accurately conducted and that all information contained within the results pages have been reviewed for accuracy.

Report No: RSZ160120018-20

Art Brennan, Quality Manager

Maryna Nesterova ¢alibration Engineer

#### **Primary Measurement Standards**

 Instrument
 Serial Number
 Cal due date

 Tektronix USB Power Meter
 11C940
 May 14, 2015

 Network Analyzer Anritsu 37347C
 002106
 Feb. 20, 2015

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 63 of 89

Division of APREL Laboratories.

#### **Calibration Results Summary**

The following results relate the Calibrated Dipole and should be used as a quick reference for the user.

#### **Mechanical Dimensions**


**Length:** 162.2 mm **Height:** 89.4 mm

**Electrical Specification** 

Tissue	Frequency	SWR:	Return Loss	Impedance
Head	835 MHz	1.066 U	-30.344 dB	49.001 Ω
Body	835 MHz	1.089 U	-28.118 dB	53.117 Ω

#### System Validation Results

F	Tissue	Frequency	1 Gram	10 Gram	Peak
	Head	835 MHz	9.773	6.174	14.713
Г	Body	835 MHz	9.736	6.297	14.513



This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

3

**SAR** Evaluation Report

Division of APREL Laboratories.

#### Introduction

This Calibration Report has been produced in line with the SSI Dipole Calibration Procedure SSI-TP-018-ALSAS. The results contained within this report are for Validation Dipole 180-00558. The calibration routine consisted of a three-step process. Step 1 was a mechanical verification of the dipole to ensure that it meets the mechanical specifications. Step 2 was an Electrical Calibration for the Validation Dipole, where the SWR, Impedance, and the Return loss were assessed. Step 3 involved a System Validation using the ALSAS-10U, along with APREL E-020 30 MHz to 6 GHz E-Field Probe Serial Number 225.

#### References

- SSI-TP-018-ALSAS Dipole Calibration Procedure
- SSI-TP-016 Tissue Calibration Procedure
- IEEE 1528;2013 "Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques"
- IEC-62209-1:2006 "Human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices – Human models, instrumentation, and procedures"
   Part 1: "Procedure to determine the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity of the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)"
- IEC-62209-2:2010 "Human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices – Human models, instrumentation, and procedures"
   Part 2: "Procedure to determine the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity of the ear (frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz)"
- D28-002 Procedure for validation of SAR system using a dipole

#### Conditions

Dipole 180-00558 was repaired prior to this calibration. The repair reliability depends upon correct usage of the dipole.

Ambient Temperature of the Laboratory: 22 °C +/- 0.5°C Temperature of the Tissue: 20 °C +/- 0.5°C

#### **Dipole Calibration uncertainty**

The calibration uncertainty for the dipole is made up of various parameters presented below.

Mechanical1%Positioning Error1.22%Electrical1.7%Tissue2.2%Dipole Validation2.2%

TOTAL 8.32% (16.64% K=2)

4

Report No: RSZ160120018-20

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 65 of 89

## NCL Calibration Laboratories Division of APREL Laboratories.

#### **Dipole Calibration Results**

#### **Mechanical Verification**

APREL	APREL	Measured	Measured
Length	Height	Length	Height
161.0 mm	89.8 mm	162.2 mm	89.4 mm

#### **Electrical Verification**

Tissue Type	Return Loss:	SWR:	Impedance:
Head	-30.344 dB	1.066 U	49.001Ω
Body	-28.118 dB	1.089 U	53.117 Ω 🗆

#### **Tissue Validation**

	Dielectric constant, 6r	Conductivity, o [S/m]
Head Tissue 835MHz	43.42	0.94
Body Tissue 835MHz	55.77	1.01

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.


**SAR** Evaluation Report 66 of 89

Division of APREL Laboratories.


The Following Graphs are the results as displayed on the Vector Network Analyzer.

#### **S11 Parameter Return Loss**

#### Head Tissue: Frequency Range 0.817 to 0.848 GHz



#### Body Tissue: Frequency Range 0.823 to 0.851 GHz




This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 67 of 89


6

Division of APREL Laboratories.

#### SWR



#### Body




This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 68 of 89


7

Division of APREL Laboratories.

#### **Smith Chart Dipole Impedance**



#### Body



This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

8

SAR Evaluation Report 69 of 89

Division of APREL Laboratories.

## **Test Equipment**

The test equipment used during Probe Calibration, manufacturer, model number and, current calibration status are listed and located on the main APREL server R:\NCL\Calibration Equipment\Instrument List 2014.

9

Report No: RSZ160120018-20

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 70 of 89

#### **NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES**

Report No: RSZ160120018-20

Calibration File No: DC-1601 Project Number: BAC-dipole –cal-5779

#### CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

It is certified that the equipment identified below has been calibrated in the NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES by qualified personnel following recognized procedures and using transfer standards traceable to NRC/NIST.

Validation Dipole (Head & Body)

Manufacturer: APREL Laboratories
Part number: ALS-D-1900-S-2
Frequency: 1900 MHz
Serial No: 210-00710

Customer: Bay Area Compliance Laboratory (China)

Calibrated: 9th October, 2014 Released on: 9th October, 2014

This Calibration Certificate is Incomplete Unless Accompanied with the Calibration Results Summary

Released By:

Art Brennan, Quality Manager

NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES

iuite 102, 303 Terry Fox Dr. Kanata, ONTARIO CANADA K2K 3J1 Division of APREL Lab. TEL: (613) 435-8300 FAX: (613)435-8306

SAR Evaluation Report 71 of 89

Division of APREL Laboratories.

#### Conditions

Dipole 210-00710 was received in good condition and was a re-calibration.

Ambient Temperature of the Laboratory: 22 °C +/- 0.5°C Temperature of the Tissue: 21 °C +/- 0.5°C

#### Attestation

The below named signatories have conducted the calibration and review of the data which is presented in this calibration report.

We the undersigned attest that to the best of our knowledge the calibration of this subject has been accurately conducted and that all information contained within the results pages have been reviewed for accuracy.

Report No: RSZ160120018-20

Art Brennan, Quality Manager

Maryna Nesterova Calibration Engineer

#### **Primary Measurement Standards**

 Instrument
 Serial Number
 Cal due date

 Tektronix USB Power Meter
 11C940
 May 14, 2015

 Network Analyzer Anritsu 37347C
 002106
 Feb. 20, 2015

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 72 of 89

Division of APREL Laboratories.

## **Calibration Results Summary**

The following results relate the Calibrated Dipole and should be used as a quick reference for the user.

#### **Mechanical Dimensions**


**Length:** 67.1 mm **Height:** 38.9 mm

**Electrical Specification** 

Tissue	Frequency	SWR:	Return Loss	Impedance
Head	1900MHz	1.084 U	-27.92 dB	52.247 Ω
Body	1900MHz	1.128 U	-24.40 dB	52.618 Ω

#### **System Validation Results**

Tissue	Frequency	1 Gram	10 Gram	Peak
Head	1900 MHz	39.481	20.44	73.364
Body	1900 MHz	39.715	20.552	73.565



This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 73 of 89

3

Division of APREL Laboratories.

#### Introduction

This Calibration Report has been produced in line with the SSI Dipole Calibration Procedure SSI-TP-018-ALSAS. The results contained within this report are for Validation Dipole 210-00710. The calibration routine consisted of a three-step process. Step 1 was a mechanical verification of the dipole to ensure that it meets the mechanical specifications. Step 2 was an Electrical Calibration for the Validation Dipole, where the SWR, Impedance, and the Return loss were assessed. Step 3 involved a System Validation using the ALSAS-10U, along with APREL E-020 30 MHz to 6 GHz E-Field Probe Serial Number 225.

#### References

- SSI-TP-018-ALSAS Dipole Calibration Procedure
- SSI-TP-016 Tissue Calibration Procedure
- IEEE 1528:2013 "Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques"
- IEC-62209-1:2006 "Human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices – Human models, instrumentation, and procedures"
   Part 1: "Procedure to determine the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity of the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)"
- IEC-62209-2:2010 "Human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices – Human models, instrumentation, and procedures"
   Part 2: "Procedure to determine the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity of the ear (frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz)"
- D28-002 Procedure for validation of SAR system using a dipole

#### Conditions

Dipole 210-00710 was a recalibration.

Ambient Temperature of the Laboratory: 22 °C +/- 0.5°C Temperature of the Tissue: 20 °C +/- 0.5°C

#### Dipole Calibration uncertainty

The calibration uncertainty for the dipole is made up of various parameters presented below.

Mechanical1%Positioning Error1.22%Electrical1.7%Tissue2.2%Dipole Validation2.2%

TOTAL 8.32% (16.64% K=2)

4

Report No: RSZ160120018-20

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 74 of 89

Division of APREL Laboratories.

# **Dipole Calibration Results**

#### **Mechanical Verification**

APREL	APREL	Measured	Measured
Length	Height	Length	Height
68.0 mm	39.5 mm	67.1mm	38.9 mm

## **Electrical Validation**

Tissue	Frequency	SWR:	Return Loss	Impedance
Head	1900MHz	1.084 U	-27.92 dB	52.247 Ω
Body	1900MHz	1.128 U	-24.40 dB	52.618 Ω

## **Tissue Validation**

	Dielectric constant, Er	Conductivity, o [S/m]
Head Tissue 1900MHz	40.20	1.38
Body Tissue 1900MHz	52.63	1.46


5


This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 75 of 89

Division of APREL Laboratories.

The Following Graphs are the results as displayed on the Vector Network Analyzer.






This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.


**SAR** Evaluation Report 76 of 89

6

Division of APREL Laboratories.

#### **SWR**





This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 77 of 89


7

8


#### **NCL Calibration Laboratories**

Division of APREL Laboratories.

## Smith Chart Dipole Impedance



#### Body



This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 78 of 89

Division of APREL Laboratories.

# **Test Equipment**

The test equipment used during Probe Calibration, manufacturer, model number and, current calibration status are listed and located on the main APREL server R:\NCL\Calibration Equipment\Instrument List 2014


9


Report No: RSZ160120018-20

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.


SAR Evaluation Report 79 of 89

# APPENDIX D EUT TEST POSITION PHOTOS





**Left Head Touch Setup Photo** 




SAR Evaluation Report 80 of 89

# **Left Head Tilt Setup Photo**



**Right Head Touch Setup Photo** 



SAR Evaluation Report 81 of 89

# **Right Head Tilt Setup Photo**




**Body-worn Back Setup Photo** 



SAR Evaluation Report 82 of 89

# **Body-worn Left Setup Photo**




**Body-worn Right Setup Photo** 



SAR Evaluation Report 83 of 89

# **Body-worn Bottom Setup Photo**



SAR Evaluation Report 84 of 89

## **APPENDIX E EUT PHOTOS**

**EUT - Front View** 



**EUT - Back View** 



SAR Evaluation Report 85 of 89

## **EUT – Left Side View**



**EUT – Right Side View** 



SAR Evaluation Report 86 of 89

# **EUT - Top View**



**EUT – Bottom View** 



SAR Evaluation Report 87 of 89

## **EUT – Uncovered View**



SAR Evaluation Report 88 of 89

#### APPENDIX F INFORMATIVE REFERENCES

[1] Federal Communications Commission, \Report and order: Guidelines for evaluating the environmental effects of radiofrequency radiation", Tech. Rep. FCC 96-326, FCC, Washington, D.C. 20554, 1996.

Report No: RSZ160120018-20

- [2] David L. Means Kwok Chan, Robert F. Cleveland, \Evaluating compliance with FCC guidelines for human exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic fields", Tech. Rep., Federal Communication Commission, O\_ce of Engineering & Technology, Washington, DC, 1997.
- [3] Thomas Schmid, Oliver Egger, and Niels Kuster, \Automated E-\_eld scanning system for dosimetricPage 89 of 89 assessments", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, pp. 105{113, Jan. 1996.
- [4] Niels Kuster, Ralph K.astle, and Thomas Schmid, \Dosimetric evaluation of mobile communications equipment with known precision", IEICE Transactions on Communications, vol. E80-B, no. 5, pp. 645{652, May 1997.
- [5] CENELEC, \Considerations for evaluating of human exposure to electromagnetic fields (EMFs) from mobile telecommunication equipment (MTE) in the frequency range 30MHz 6GHz", Tech. Rep., CENELEC, European Committee for Electrotechnical Standardization, Brussels, 1997.
- [6] ANSI, ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992: IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz, The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., New York, NY 10017, 1992.
- [7] Katja Pokovic, Thomas Schmid, and Niels Kuster, \Robust setup for precise calibration of E-field probes in tissue simulating liquids at mobile communications frequencies", in ICECOM \_ 97, Dubrovnik, October 15{17, 1997, pp. 120-24.
- [8] Katja Pokovic, Thomas Schmid, and Niels Kuster, \E-field probe with improved isotropy in brain simulating liquids", in Proceedings of the ELMAR, Zadar, Croatia, 23{25 June, 1996, pp. 172-175.
- [9] Volker Hombach, Klaus Meier, Michael Burkhardt, Eberhard K. uhn, and Niels Kuster, \The depen-dence of EM energy absorption upon human head modeling at 900 MHz", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, no. 10, pp. 1865-1873, Oct. 1996.
- [10] Klaus Meier, Ralf Kastle, Volker Hombach, Roger Tay, and Niels Kuster, \The dependence of EM energy absorption upon human head modeling at 1800 MHz", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, Oct. 1997, in press.
- [11] W. Gander, Computermathematik, Birkhaeuser, Basel, 1992.
- [12] W. H. Press, S. A. Teukolsky, W. T. Vetterling, and B. P. Flannery, Numerical Recepies in C, The Art of Scientific Computing, Second Edition, Cambridge University Press, 1992. Dosimetric Evaluation of Sample device, month 1998 9
- [13] NIS81 NAMAS, \The treatment of uncertainity in EMC measurement", Tech. Rep., NAMAS Executive, National Physical Laboratory, Teddington, Middlesex, England, 1994.
- [14] Barry N. Taylor and Christ E. Kuyatt, \Guidelines for evaluating and expressing the uncertainty of NIST measurement results", Tech. Rep., National Institute of Standards and Technology, 1994. Dosimetric Evaluation of Sample device, month 1998 10.

\*\*\*\*\* END OF REPORT \*\*\*\*\*

SAR Evaluation Report 89 of 89