Mike Kuo

From: leon Sent: March15日2004年Monday 5:10 AM To: Mike Kuo(CCS) Cc: 1Shelene Hou(TTEMC) Fw: Jow Tong Technology Co., Ltd., FCC ID:QPRST26, AN04T3673 (Update) Subject: F930021-JowTong- JowTong-ST26_QP st26 Digital ST26 Operational ST26(0313)-R3.... RST26 ID Label ... Transmitter User ... Description-1... Hello Mike: There are 4 attached files that we correct the deficiency they are: (We already make sure with my client to recognize the correct action) 1. Report: F930021-JowTong-ST26(0313)-R3 (Revised section 3.7 & Appendix & Frequency range). 2. User Manual: ST26 Digital Transmitter User Manual-Rev (Revised Frequency range) 3. ID Label Format: JowTong-ST26_QPRST26_ID Label Format (Revised Frequency range) 4. ST26 Operational Description-1-r (Revised Frequency range) If any deviations, please let me know ASAP. The next mail with report that has same comment as this one. Best regards.(Hope hear you soon) Leon Liu 3/15 Audix EMC Dept. ---- Original Message -----From: "Mike Kuo" <MKUO@CCSEMC.com> To: "'shelene'": "Mike Kuo" <MKUO@CCSEMC.com>; "'cherry'"; <leon Sent: Saturday, March 13, 2004 8:56 AM Subject: RE: Jow Tong Technology Co., Ltd., FCC ID:QPRST26, AN04T3673 > Hi Shelene: > Section 3.7 of test report still show the highest fundamental frequency is > beyond 108MHz, regardless what you have measured in section 4 26dB BW, the > test data still show non-compliance. In addition, page 6 of user manual > indicates the frequencies it tuned to with 0.1MHz increment. You need > revise all your submitted document to show Exactly Fundamental Frequency > range. Based upon the review history, this device can not declare the > tuning frequency is from 88 - 108MHz. Please review all the document > submitted and provide revised documentation. > Best Regards > > Mike Kuo > -----Original Message-----> From: shelene > Sent: Wednesday, March 10, 2004 2:07 AM > To: Mike Kuo; 'cherry'; > Subject: Re: Jow Tong Technology Co., Ltd., FCC ID:QPRST26, AN04T3673 > >

> ---- Original Message -----

> From: "Mike Kuo" < MKUO@CCSEMC.com>

```
> To: "'cherry'" <cherry>; "Mike Kuo" <MKUO@CCSEMC.com>;
 > <leon>
 > Cc: <Shelene
 > Sent: Thursday, March 04, 2004 9:29 AM
 > Subject: RE: Jow Tong Technology Co., Ltd., FCC ID:QPRST26, AN04T3673
 >
 > > Hi Cherry:
 > >
 > > In the attached test report, the test data still does not comply with
 >> Question #3. This device is operated under section 15.239 of FCC
 >> The frequency band for 15.239 is designed for the fundamental
frequency
> band
 >> from 88 -1 08MHz. In the revised test report, the lower channel of
 >> fundamental is tuned to 88.0073MHz and the high channel of fundamental
>> tuned to 108.84MHz which are outside of frequency band. 108.84MHz is
 > > restricted band per 15.205 table. No fundamental frequency can
transmit
> in
 > > the restricted band.
 >>
 >> In addition, the 200kHz does not contains within the band. Please
 address
 >> these non-compliance issues.
 >> When you reply to the questions, please address each question and
 >> the method was used to address the questions. In the original test
 > report,
 >> the test data submitted do not comply with 15.21(b) as indicated in
the
>> question #2, but the revised test report do comply with 15.21(b) but
no
>> explanation was given. What have been done to correct the spurious
>> emissions since there is no modification made on the device?
>>
 > > Best Regards
 > >
 > > Mike Kuo
 >>
 >> -----Original Message-----
 > > From: cherry
 > > Sent: Monday, March 01, 2004 3:20 AM
 > > To: Mike Kuo; leon
 > > Cc: Shelene
 >> Subject: Re: Jow Tong Technology Co., Ltd., FCC ID:QPRST26, AN04T3673
 > > Importance: High
 >>
 > >
 > > Dear Mike,
 > > Attached file is the revised test report for your question.
 > > Should your have any comments, please let me know.
 > > Thanks for your cooperation!
 > >
 > > Cherry Wang
 > > AUDIX Corporation, EMC Dept.
 > > This e-mail and the information it contains may be legally privileged
 > > and/orCONFIDENTIAL. The unauthorised use, disclosure, distribution
 and/or
> > copying
 >> of this e-mail, or any information it contains, is prohibited and
could,
 >> certaincircumstances, constitute a criminal offence. If you are not an
 > > intended
```

>> recipient please inform the senderby selecting the reply button to

>> e-mail and/or telephone +886-2-26092133. E-mail may be susceptible to data > > corruption, interceptionand unauthorised amendment, and we do not accept > > liability for any such corruption, interception oramendment or the >> consequences thereof. >> > > >> >> ---- Original Message -----> > From: "Mike Kuo" < MKUO@CCSEMC.com> > > To: <leon> > > Cc: <Cherry>; <Shelene> > > Sent: Thursday, February 19, 2004 12:18 PM >> Subject: FW: Jow Tong Technology Co., Ltd., FCC ID:QPRST26, AN04T3673 >> >>> >>> >>> -----Original Message----->>> From: CERTADM >> Sent: Wednesday, February 18, 2004 8:17 PM >>> To: Mike Kuo >> Subject: Jow Tong Technology Co., Ltd., FCC ID:QPRST26, AN04T3673 >>> >> Notice_content >>> ------> > Question #1:Question #1:FCC Docket 01-278, 95-19 and FCC 03-149 has >> published in Federal Register on Dec. 09, 2003 with effective date of > Jan. >> 08, 2004. All applications submitted after Jan.08 shall comply with >> C63.4:2001 procedures. In the test report, ANSI C63.4:1992 is used. > > Please >> confirm the tests were performed per ANSI C63.4:2001 and revised the > test >> report to indicate the test procedure used. >>> >>> Question #2: per 15.21 (b) of FCC rules, any unwanted emission shall be >>> attenuated below the level of fundamental emission field strength. >>> upon the test report, there are several spurious emissions are higher > than >>> the fundamental emission levels. Please address this non-compliance > > issue. >> Question #3:Based upon 15.239(a) 200kHz bandwidth requirement, the > > submitted >> bandwidth plots on low and high channel do not comply this requirement. >> Please address this non-compliance issue. >>> >>> Question #4: Please provide channel number with matching frequencies > list. >>> >>> Best Regards >>> >>> Mike Kuo >> The items indicated above must be submitted before processing can >> on the above referenced application. Failure to provide the requested >> information within 30 days of the original e-mail date may result in >> application dismissal and forfeiture of the filing fee. Also, please

return

- > note
- >>> that partial responses increase processing time and should not be
- > submitted.
 > > Any questions about the content of this correspondence should be
 > directed
- > > to
- >>> the e-mail address listed below the name of the sender.
- >>>
- > > > > > >